

0274

**BOX:**

444

**FOLDER:**

4090

**DESCRIPTION:**

Jensen, Peter

**DATE:**

07/07/91



4090

0275

Witnesses:

*Mary Jensen*

The defendant stands in  
dicted for a wife; after an in-  
vestigation of the facts which  
in the case, I am convinced  
that in my judgment no  
conviction can be had,  
there is not in this case any  
corroboration of her (the complainant's)  
story. The People have her to rely  
upon only and I charge, personal cir-  
cumstances which give her story  
an extremely suspicious appear-  
ance. I suggest that a de-  
dictment be returned.

July 13<sup>th</sup> 1891

*Wm. H. W. [illegible]  
[illegible] and [illegible]*

Counsel,

Filed

day of

1891

Plends,

*Wm. H. W. [illegible]*

THE PEOPLE

vs.

*B*

*Peter Jensen*

DE LANCEY NICOLI,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

*Nicholas J. [illegible]*

*No No of [illegible]  
[illegible] 0 0 0  
[illegible] [illegible]  
[illegible] [illegible]  
July 13<sup>th</sup> 1891  
[illegible]*

(Sections 278 and 218, Penal Code.)

City & County of San Diego.

Clara Luedie of said City, being duly sworn says that she resides at No 326 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street in said City & ~~has~~ resided there about one year with her mother & father.

She also knows one Mary Johnson who lives on the first floor in same house & has lived there about three <sup>months</sup>.

That deponent <sup>knows</sup> that the man spoken of in the Afft of Mrs Forster is the husband of said Mary Johnson because he is the man that Mary Johnson called him her husband. Deponent further says that all the facts contained in the Afft of Mrs Forster are true & correct <sup>even</sup> in respect to deponent's own knowledge except the occurrence on the Sunday evening <sup>at</sup> which deponent ~~was~~ <sup>was</sup> not personally present.

That on the 21 day of May last deponent was home & said Peter Jensen who collects the rents from the tenants go in to Mary Johnson's room & saw him come out, deponent was in the hall about twelve feet distant from the door of Mary Johnson's room, there was no screaming, outcry,

0277

a noise of any kind coming from her room,

That about fifteen minutes after Susan left Mary Johnson came out and went into the yard looking at some children playing there was no difference in her appearance from any other time no excitement in her actions or behaviour, & no difference whatever from her usual appearance. Deponent was on speaking terms with said Mary Johnson at this time & she did not mention or say one word to Deponent about any such occurrence as she claims, to have taken place.

Sworn to before me this }  
27 day of June 1891 } - Clara Lundy  
John O. Lowry  
Notary Public N. Y. Co.



02.78

App of  
Clara Lucie

City, County of New York

I, Theresa Forster of said City being duly sworn says, that she is the wife of William Forster, that they reside at No 326 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street in said City & have resided there about one year.

That she knows one Mary Johnson who resides on the first floor in same house, & has lived there about three months, that said Mary Johnson is a very forward and what deponent would term a loud woman and conduct herself in such a manner as to attract the attention of men and as no decent respectable woman would conduct or behave herself. That among some facts are that she is in the habit of sitting and lounging around the hall door and raising her feet up on the railing with very short underclothing, thereby causing passers by to stare at her & notice her, that she <sup>sits</sup> in the window smoking cigarettes.

That on a Sunday evening about the middle of May ~~she was in her back room~~, when deponent was standing in the yard which is on a level with

the room of said Mary Johnson and deponent being compelled to pass her window saw a man exposing his person in the room and afterwards sit on a sofa in her room, that this man was dressing and applying some substance to his private parts at the time, that to the best of deponent's knowledge and belief this man was the husband of said Mary Johnson; Deponent says that she has been informed that the alleged indecent assault upon said Mary Johnson by Peter Jensen took place on the 21 day of May last, and that said Mary Johnson claims to have screamed and hollered at the time. Deponent says that she was home on that day when this assault is alleged to have taken place, that she heard no outcry of any kind, nor did any one else in the house as far as deponent can ascertain upon enquiry, that no one living in the house knew anything about the alleged assault until about two weeks after the 21 day of May last when the complaint was made by said Mary Johnson at Court.

Sworn to before me this 27 day of } Theresa Foster  
 May 1891 }  
 John O. Lowry }  
 Notary Public N.Y.C.

0281

Off of  
Harara Forest

0282

DISTRICT POLICE COURT.

THE PEOPLE  
ON COMPLAINT OF

Mary Johnson  
Peter Jansen

Examination had

June 19 - 1881

Before Henry Murray Police Justice.

I,

George B. B. Stenographer of the

4 District Police

Court, do hereby certify that the within testimony in the above case is a true and correct copy of  
the original Stenographer's notes of the testimony of

Johnson & Co.  
as taken by me on the above examination before said Justice.

Dated

June 25 - 1881

George B. B. Stenographer.

Police Justice.

0283

District Attorney's Office.

PEOPLE

vs.

Peter Jensen

Referred to Mr. McHugh  
for examination on  
application of Mr. Pedersen  
debt for approval.  
H. D.

0284

Police Court, 1 District.City and County } ss.  
of New York,

of No. 376 East 53 Street, aged 21 years,  
 occupation 164p House being duly sworn, deposes and says,  
 that on the 21 day of May 1891, at the City of New  
 York, in the County of New York, John J. Jensen

who did willfully, unlawfully  
 and feloniously ravish and  
 have carnal knowledge of  
 deponent, person under the  
 following circumstances to wit:  
 said Jensen came into deponent's  
 apartments at about the hour  
 of 2 o'clock P.M. on said date  
 and seized violently hold of  
 deponent and threw deponent  
 on a bed and did thus and  
 there have carnal knowledge of  
 deponent person against the  
 will and consent of deponent  
 Deponent therefore asks that  
 said Jensen be apprehended  
 and dealt with as the law directs

Signed & sworn to this } Mrs Mary Johnson.  
 2 day of June 1891

Wm. H. H. Jensen  
 John Jensen

0285

Sec. 198-200.

CITY AND COUNTY  
OF NEW YORK, ss.Fourth District Police Court.

Peter Jensen being duly examined before the undersigned according to law on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Peter Jensen

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 17 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York City.

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. Potter Av. & Astoria, Long Island.

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Collector of rents

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty of the charge. The complaint was made twelve days after the alleged rape and after interview between the complainant, her husband and myself at complainant's residence. The complainant is in debt for rent and the charge against me is either the result of a conspiracy between husband and wife or instituted by the complainant with a view of excusing her conduct so as to avoid difficulty with her husband.  
Peter Jensen.

Taken before me this

17th

day of June 1897

*[Signature]*

Police Justice



0286

Sec. 151.

CITY AND COUNTY  
OF NEW YORK, }

ss.

*In the name of the People of the State of New York; To the Sheriff of the County  
of New York, or to any Marshal or Policeman of the City of New York, GREETING :*

Police Court 11 District.

Whereas, Complaint in writing, and upon oath, has been made before the undersigned, one of the Police  
Justices for the City of New York, by Mary Johnson  
of No. 356 East 53rd Street, that on the 21 day of May  
1898 at the City of New York, in the County of New York,

Peter Jensen for  
Rape

Wherefore, the said Complainant has prayed that the said Defendant may be apprehended and bound to  
answer the said complaint.

These are Therefore, in the name of the PEOPLE of the State of New York, to command you the said  
Sheriff, Marshals and Policemen, and each and every of you, to apprehend the said Defendant and bring him  
forthwith before me, at the 11 DISTRICT POLICE COURT, in the said City, or in case of my absence  
or inability to act, before the nearest or most accessible Police Justice in this City, to answer the said charge, and to  
be dealt with according to law.

Dated at the City of New York, this 21 day of May 1898

John H. H. H. H. H.  
POLICE JUSTICE.

Age 17 AS. Ro Astoria. L.J.

The within named

having been brought before me under this Warrant, is committed for examination to the  
WARDEN and KEEPER of the City Prison of the City of New York.

Dated 188

Police Justice.

Police Court District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.

Warrant-General.

188

Magistrate

Officer.

The Defendant

taken, and brought before the Magistrate, to answer  
the within charge, pursuant to the command con-

tained in this Warrant.

Officer.

Dated June 16 188

This Warrant may be executed on Sunday or at

night.

Police Justice.

7820

0288

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Twenty Hundred Dollars, \_\_\_\_\_ and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated June 17 1891 Wm. H. Murray Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named Defendant  
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated June 17 1891 Wm. H. Murray Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.

Dated \_\_\_\_\_ 188 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.

0289

Ex Lunc 17 to  
D/2 a, m.  
Bancroft

\$2000 Bait for Ex  
BAILED June 17 1894  
No. 1, by Wm. H. Daye  
Residence 139 North 57 Street.  
No. 2, by \_\_\_\_\_  
Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street.  
No. 3, by \_\_\_\_\_  
Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street.  
No. 4, by \_\_\_\_\_  
Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

Police Court 63 District 874  
IN THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF  
Mary Johnson 370-74th  
Peter Jansen 326-25th  
2 \_\_\_\_\_  
3 \_\_\_\_\_  
4 \_\_\_\_\_  
Dated June 16 1894  
Henry Morris Magistrate  
Clemmell Officer.  
Wm. H. Daye District.  
Witnesses \_\_\_\_\_  
No. \_\_\_\_\_ Street.  
No. \_\_\_\_\_ Street.  
No. \_\_\_\_\_ Street.  
\$ 2000 to answer G.S.  
Bailed

Johnson vs Jansen

N.Y. June 29/91

Met Pursuant to adjournment.

George Richards, called for defense, sworn, testified as follows:

By Defts Counsel:

I reside at 334 East 84 Street. I work for Volging & Son. I have charge of the Building occupied by the Complainant. We were compelled to send her a dispossess as the other tenants said they would move out if she remained in the house, as she was not a proper party to live there. They complained that she went around in her night shirt and smoked cigarettes. Personally

2

I know nothing about her. The tenants complained about her bad habits and we had to serve her with a dispossession.

Jessie Frater, called for  
deposition, sworn, tes-  
tified as follows:

By Defts Counsel:

I reside at 326  
East 53 St. I am mar-  
ried and have three  
children. I live with  
my husband in the same  
house as the complainant.  
Q Have you seen the  
complainant in any  
position that looked  
like as though she was  
leaving?

A I wouldn't say she is  
a bad woman but she  
sits on the stoop some-

tenants in a bad condition.  
She sits on the railing  
with her clothes so that  
you can see her knee  
and she also smokes  
cigarettes at the window.  
The other people in the  
house are all respectable.  
She was not liked by  
the tenants.

Q About the first part of  
May did you look into  
her window one evening?

A Yes, sir, on a Sunday even-  
ing.

Q What did you see?

A There was a man at  
the sink and he exposed  
his person and was  
putting a bandage on it.

Q Upon his privates?

A Yes, sir. I thought it  
was her husband but I  
couldn't say for sure. I  
couldn't see his face;

4

By the Court

Q What time of night was that?

A About eleven o'clock

By Defts Counsel

Q Do you know this defendant?

A No sir; he was the agent - that is all I know.

Q There is no reason why you should come here except in the interest of justice?

A No, sir.

~~Chas~~ Fundie, called for defence, testifies -  
just as follows:

By Defts Counsel:

Q Where do you live?

A 376 East 33 St. I lived in the same house as the complainant



3.

Q I have lived there a year.  
 Q What did you see the  
 defendant do while she  
 was there, if anything  
 that made you believe  
 she was not a woman  
 of good character?

A I saw her sitting on the  
 railing with her feet  
 up about two feet  
 high. you could see  
 her underclothing nearly  
 to her knees. I also saw  
 her smoke cigarettes.

Q Did you ever see her  
 bring beer in the house?  
 A Yes, sir.

Q Are you in any way  
 related to the defendant?  
 A No sir; I know he col-  
 lected the rent.

Q Perfect stranger to you?  
 A Yes, sir.

Q Is there any reason why  
 you should come here

6

and testify in his behalf?  
 A Yes sir

Q What was this complainant's  
 general reputation in the  
 home as to decency and  
 respectability?

A She was very loud  
 and attractive looking

Q Do you remember the  
 21st May last?

A Yes, sir

Q How do you know it?

A Because it was Mrs  
 Foster's child's birthday

Q Do you remember seeing  
 this complainant on that  
 day?

A Yes, sir, I saw her about  
 quarter to three in the  
 afternoon, I saw her  
 in the yard.

Q Did you speak to her?

A Yes sir

Q What conversation did you  
 have with her?

1

I can't say exactly. I think it was something on account of the pump being stopped.

Q Did she appear to be excited or have any scratches or bruises on her?

A No sir, not that I saw. Q Did she say to you she had any trouble?

A No sir

Q Did she appear excited?

A Not a bit. She was the same as always.

Q Have you any feelings towards her?

A No sir

Q Did you see the defendant come out of the room previous to seeing her that day?

A Yes, sir, half past two out of the front door.

Q Previous to that did you hear any voices or out-

8

cry or scream?

A No sir

Q If there had been any outcry would you have heard it?

A Yes, sir, because I am always on the watch for the children when I hear any noise.

Q How long were you in that house that day?

A I was in the house from the time I got up out of bed until I went to bed.

Q You heard no noise or scream?

A No sir

Solomon Klug, recalled  
Deft's Counsel:

Q Do you remember after  
leaving this Court the  
other day seeing this com-

9

plaintiff?

A Yes, sir, on the 17<sup>th</sup> of this month. We both left the Court together. What conversation did you have with her?

A I asked her for the water closet key of one of the closets, because I heard what she said in Court about having a disease and I didn't want my children to go where she did. She said it was not true that she was sick; she only wanted to put Jansen down. She wouldn't give me the key first. Then I told my husband and then she gave me the key. She said it was not true she had a disease that she only wanted to

10

put this young man  
down?

A That is what she  
said

The Complainant,  
recalled.

By the Court.

Q you heard what the  
witnesses testified?

A Yes, sir.

Q Did you ever smoke  
any cigarettes?

A Never.

Q Did you ever smoke a  
pipe?

A No, sir.

Q A cigar?

A No, sir, never put one  
in my mouth.

Q Did you ever have any  
cigarette in the house?

A No, sir.

11.

Q What about your sitting  
on the railing in an  
indecent position?

A I never did, Sir.

Q Will you swear you never  
smoked a cigarette in  
your life?

A Yes, Sir.

Depts Counsel moves  
for the discharge of  
the defendant on  
the ground that there  
is no corroboration.  
Motion Denied.

Peter Jensen

Widow's

filed

July 1891

Comptroller

presented for

261 71307.05



Fourth District  
Police Court

Mary Johnson

- vs -

Peter Jansen

Before Hon.  
Henry Murray,  
Justice

W. J. June 17<sup>th</sup>, 1891.

Max Steinert, Esq. appears  
for Complainant.

Eugene Ottoborough, Esq.  
for Defendant.

Mary Johnson, the Com-  
plainant, sworn, testified  
as follows:-

By the Court:

I live at 326 East  
53d St. On the 21<sup>st</sup> of  
May the defendant came  
into my house - on the  
ground floor - about two  
o'clock in the afternoon, to  
see about some empty

2

rooms - to see if I had  
let them. He is collector  
for Volzinger & Sons. I told  
him there was a lady  
in that day but she  
had three children and  
I asked him if I  
should let them. He  
said "Certainly you  
can let them for fourteen  
dollars. When she came  
back I let them to her  
and she gave me three  
dollars deposit. He  
sat on the lounge. He  
told me he was down  
in 46 St. and was tired.  
He asked me if I  
would go for some  
beer and I said "Yes".  
I got the beer, and  
there was some cake on  
the table and I asked  
him if he would have  
some and he said no.

3

He asked me if I would have some beer and I said "No". He asked me if I wanted some soda and brandy. He said "Get some brandy and he laid fifty cents on the table. He asked me what time it was and I went in the next room to see and he took hold of me. I told him to excuse me; I was in the family way and not to abuse me and he put his knee ~~to~~ to my back and tumbled me down and put his hand across my mouth, tore all my underclothes off and got the best of me. He had connection with me. It was on a bed in the room next to the

11

front room. I was quite stupid; I could not see; he had my eyes all punched in with his hand. I was afraid of my life if I told my husband. I was always crying and my husband didn't know what was the matter and I asked him if I confessed to him would he do anything to me and he said "no". I confessed and he went to the Police Station the next day and they said to come to Court. He gave me a disease and I had to go to the doctor. It cost me fifteen dollars. I have no children. I have been married ~~any~~ and a half.

5  
 Mr Volzins gave me this place be-  
 cause my husband was out of work.

Cross Examination

By Mr. Otterbough:

Q Where were you born?

A In February, 1867. I  
 am past twenty-three.

Q Why did you say you  
 were only twenty-one  
 when you made the  
 complaint?

A We don't keep age  
 that way in Ireland.

Q How long have you been  
 in the house in 532 St?

A Since the middle of  
 April. The number is  
 376 E 532 St.

Q Why did you give the  
 number as 356 when you  
 made the complaint?

A No, sir, the clerk must  
 have made a mistake.

Q When you got that posi-  
 tion of housekeeper your  
 husband was out of  
 work?

6

A Yes, sir; he was out  
of work three weeks  
in April. He is  
working again now.  
Q Where were you married  
to him?

A In Hoboken, Jersey.  
Q Did he live in Ho-  
boken?

A No.

Q Why did you go to  
Hoboken?

A Because my folks was  
there.

Q Where did you first  
see this defendant?

A In Volzings office in  
April.

Q Then you saw him on the  
2nd May?

A Yes, sir.

Q What day of the week?

A Thursday

Q In the afternoon?

A Yes, about in the afternoon.

2

Q Does your husband work in the daytime or nighttime?

A In the daytime.

Q What part of the house do you occupy?

A The ground floor as housekeeper.

Q You paid rent there besides?

A Yes, sir, seven dollars.

Q How many rooms have you?

A Four.

Q The front room has how many windows to the street?

A One.

Q And door leading to the hall?

A Yes, sir.

Q The next room - has that any window?

A No, sir, all closed up.

Q The last room leads to the yard?

8

A Yes, sir.

Q And that has two windows?

A No, sir, one.

Q How many doors have you leading to the hall?

A Only one.

Q This is a double house?

A Yes, sir.

Q People living on the other side of the hall?

A Yes, sir, Mrs Klug.

Q They were living there on the 21<sup>st</sup> of May last?

A Yes, sir.

Q How many beds have you?

A I have got two - one for my brother and one for myself.

Q Is your brother living there with you now?

A No, sir. He left me on the 13<sup>th</sup>.



E 1/2

Q Why did he leave?

A Because he got a better job.

Q He is a single man?

A Yes, sir.

Q What is his name.

A William Weir.

Q In what rooms were the beds?

A In the kitchen and next to the front room.

Q When the defendant came in what door did he come in?

A The kitchen door and went out the front door.

Q The kitchen door leading to the hall?

A Yes, sir.

Q Both doors lead to the hall?

A Yes, sir.

Q Why did you say that the door only leads to

9

the hall?  
 A The front door and my  
 kitchen door leads to  
 the hall.

Q He came in the kitchen  
 door about what time?

A Quarter to two.

Q What happened when he  
 first came in?

A He asked me how  
 was things. I said a  
 lady was to see the  
 rooma, but she had three  
 children. He said  
 you must let the  
 rooma whether the  
 people have children  
 or not.

Q That conversation  
 took place in the  
 kitchen?

A Yes sir. He told me  
 he was down in the  
 and was tired and  
 would I get a glass.

10

of beer. I got a  
pitcher and got the  
beer.

Q He was in the kitchen?

A Yes, sir. He gave me  
the money for the beer.

Q When you returned where  
was he?

A In the kitchen.

Q On the lounge there?

A Yes, sir.

Q What did you say  
when you got back?

A I gave him the beer  
and asked him if he  
would have a piece of  
cake. He asked me  
to have some beer; I  
said I was not  
drinking.

Q You have a clock there?

A Yes, in my front room.

Q How did you know  
it was quarter to two  
when he came in?

11

A It was half past one when I had my lunch; I took my lunch in the kitchen.

Q Have you got a clock in the kitchen?

A No, sir.

Q How do you know it was half past one when you took your lunch?

A I know it was.

Q How much beer did you bring?

A A Pint.

Q State the conversation while he was drinking his beer?

A He asked me if I would have some beer. I said no.

He asked me if I would have some soda and brandy. I said no. He said

12

Go get some brandy  
and he left fifty  
cents on the table.

Q When you say he left  
fifty cents on the table  
what do you mean?

A He left it there. I  
went in to see what  
time it was and he  
got hold of me be-  
tween the two rooms.

Q Do there a folding  
door?

A No, sir.

Q All open?

A Yes, sir.

Q Were shutters up on  
the windows?

A Yes sir and closed.

Q Dark in the room?

A Yes sir.

Q Pitch dark?

A No, you could see  
between the shutters.

Q Could you see in from

13

the outside?

A No, sir, not very well.

Q There was enough light to see what was going on?

A Yes, sir.

Q You could read in the room?

A Yes, sir.

Q Did you lock the kitchen door when he came in?

A No, sir, it was shut but not locked.

Q Was the kitchen window open?

A No, sir.

Q He followed you into the parlor?

A Into the bedroom.

Q You came from the parlor?

A I was coming from the parlor to tell him the time.

141

Q What is the position of the bed?

A It is next the wall.

Q You could see part of the bed from outside?

A No sir,

Q There is no door between the back room and the front room?

A One door, but not a folding door.

Q And you can't see that bed from the front room?

A No.

Q You were going towards him and he came towards you?

A He got hold of me around my shoulders with both hands, kissed me, I told him to excuse me to let me out. He said he was in a

13

going to do any harm  
to me.

Q Did he lift you up?

A Yes, sir

Q As soon as he kissed  
you he lifted you up?

A He kissed me against  
my will. He put  
his knee to my back  
and tumbled me over  
and tore my underclothes.

Q How did he get behind  
you?

A With his knee. He  
put me across his  
knee.

Q Then he was in front  
of you?

A Put his knee behind  
my back and threw  
me down.

Q With his arms around  
your shoulder he threw  
you on his knee?

A He didn't have his



16

arms there then  
Q He released your arms  
then?

A I guess he did. I am  
sure he did. Then he  
put his knee behind  
my back, and threw  
me down on the bed, and  
then he got into bed.

Q You had your clothes on?

A Yes, sir, he tore my  
underclothes off.

Q Did you scream?

A Yes, sir. I 'hollered'  
'for God's sake let  
me up.'

Q And he put his hand  
on your mouth?

A Yes, and he ran  
out of the front door.

Q Did you kick him?

A Yes, I broke the cigarette  
holder he had in his  
mouth.

Q Then he went into bed.

17

with you did he have  
the cigarette holder in  
his mouth?

A Yes, sir

Q Was he smoking?

A No, sir.

Q When he kissed you  
he also had it in  
his mouth?

A He had it in his hand.

Q Then when he went to  
bed with you he had  
the holder in his  
mouth and you kicked  
him?

A Yes, sir

Q Did you bite him?

A I couldn't, he had  
hold of my mouth

Q Did you scratch  
him?

A Yes, sir; he had my  
two elbows down and  
one hand on my arm.

18

Q How did he hold down  
the other hand?

A He had his hand  
across my mouth.  
Q He held you down  
with one hand?

A With his two knees  
Q One hand on your mouth,  
<sup>knee</sup> one on your arm and  
the other hand?

A He had it right under  
me; I was in a great  
struggle

Q And <sup>while</sup> this struggle was  
going on did you fall  
on the bed?

A Yes sir, I fell on the  
bed afterwards

Q Then he had one hand  
on your mouth, one  
knee on your arm  
and one hand holding  
the other arm - have  
did your clothes come  
to be raised?

19

Q He got his knee between my legs and pulled up my underclothing and clapped his hand across my mouth.

Q Raised your clothes with his hand?

A Yes, sir.

Q Then he didn't have his hand on your mouth?

A Yes, sir.

Q So that when he let go your arms and put his knee on your back and threw you down at the same time he raised your clothes?

A Yes, and tore my underclothes.

Q Did you have a full set of underclothes on?

A Yes, sir, he tore them in pieces.

No.

Q While you were on the bed?

A Yes, sir.

Q While he was tearing your clothes you were screaming?

A Yes, sir.

Q Nobody heard you?

A The lady on the other side was out.

Q How do you know she was out?

A I seen her going out half past eleven.

Q You don't know whether she came back?

A No, sir.

Q This all happened against your will?

A Yes, sir.

Q You didn't consent to it at any time?

A No, sir.

Q And you tried your best to prevent it?

27

A Yes, sir

Q How long were you in bed with him?

A Not more than ten minutes or fifteen minutes

Q When you got up did you look at the clock?

A Yes, sir, it was after two - about ten minutes

Q He was in bed with you about fifteen minutes?

A Yes, sir.

Q Did he have connection with you more than once?

A Yes, sir

Q How often did he have connection with you on that occasion?

A Only once

Q During the whole fifteen minutes?

22

A Yes, sir.

Q How long did he stay in bed after it happened?

A He took himself off to the street.

Q Did he have his pantaloons off at the time?

A No, sir.

Q When did you first see his pants?

A I didn't see them at all.

Q He had his pantaloons and coat on and a cigarette holder in his mouth?

A Yes, sir.

Q And you knocked that cigarette holder out of his mouth?

A Yes, sir.

Q After he left when did you see him again?

23

A On Saturday afternoon  
he came in to collect  
three dollars that the  
lady gave me for  
deposit.

Q What was the next time  
you saw him?

A Tuesday; he came to  
collect eleven dollars  
that the lady gave me.

Q What was May 27<sup>th</sup>?

A None.

Q You saw him how often  
after the 21<sup>st</sup>?

A Twice, and when I  
gave him the money  
he gave me a dollar.

Q On May 23<sup>rd</sup> do you  
remember speaking to  
him about giving you  
five dollars?

A None.

Q Did you know his  
name then?

A None.



24

I discovered that later  
 a man

I you knew he was from  
 Volzing?

a man; he gave me  
 the keys

I didn't you think it  
 was Mr Volzing's son?

a man

I do you know him?

a I know Mr Volzing.

I on May 27<sup>th</sup> you went  
 upstairs to speak to  
 Mrs Hill's?

a I told her that was  
 the agent and she  
 could tell him about  
 the painting.

I you introduced him to  
 this lady upstairs?

a I told her he was  
 the agent and she  
 could talk to him.

I and you gave him the  
 address?

20

A Yes, sir, and he gave me  
one dollar for renting  
the rooms.

Q And that all happened  
on the 27<sup>th</sup>?

A Yes, sir.

Q And he had been there  
once before - the 23<sup>rd</sup>?

A Yes, sir.

Q It was several days  
after this occurrence  
that you introduced  
him to this lady?

A Two days.

Q Two days after you  
went through the  
house with him?

A I only went to the  
other floor.

Q He was in your room  
on the 28<sup>th</sup>?

A I met him in the  
hall. I told him  
I rented the ~~rooms~~.

26

Q Do you remember the date you made this complaint?

A Yes, sir, the 27<sup>th</sup> May.

Q Sure of that?

A Yes, sir.

Q Sure you made this complaint on the 27<sup>th</sup> of May?

A Yes, sir, on last Monday two weeks.

Q Are you sure that is the time you made the complaint?

A My mind is so upset I made it last Monday two weeks.

Q That would be the 26<sup>th</sup>?

A That is the day, sir.

Q The complaint is dated June 6<sup>th</sup>, how can you explain that?

A Yes, on that date I made it.

22

Q You notice you signed that paper June 2/91?

A That is my writing

Q You are evidently mistaken?

A It was on last Monday two weeks

Q Why did you wait from May 21<sup>st</sup> to June 2<sup>d</sup> to make this complaint?

A I was afraid to tell my husband, I asked his forgiveness if I told him?

Q So you waited about thirteen days on account of your husband? When did you tell your husband?

A The Sunday afterwards

Q The Sunday after it happened?

A The Sunday after that again.

Q You didn't tell your husband of it for ten days afterwards?

A I guess it was ten days

Q Why did you have to ask his forgiveness? Had you done anything wrong?

A Certainly, sir, my husband is diseased from me by him.

Q When did you hear your husband was diseased?

A Not until I made this statement.

Q You had not told him about it until you were diseased?

A I had told him before that.

Q Before he was sick too?

A Yes, sir.

Q Why did you want him to forgive you - you didn't do anything wrong?

27

A I asked him if I told him would he do anything to me. He saw me crying. He asked me what was the matter, if I got a letter from Home. Q He asked you if you had got a letter from Home?

A Yes.

Q Where?

A Ireland.

Q Who lives in Ireland?

A My brother and my sisters.

Q What part of Ireland?

A County Sligo?

Q What did your husband do after you told him this?

A He was nearly crazy. He went up to my brother and came to the Court next

day.

Q Did you go with him  
to see this young  
man?

A I couldn't find him.  
Q Did your husband  
find him?

A Yes, sir, he went to  
the officer.  
Q Did he try to raise  
money?

A Yes, sir.

Q How much was it  
he wanted?

A I don't know.

Q How much money had  
you decided that  
you wanted?

A I didn't decide  
anything.

Q How much did  
your husband decide?

A I don't know.

Q You said your  
expenses were fifteen

37

dollars for doctors bill?

A Yes, sir

Q Were you willing to settle for that?

A No, sir.

Q Your husband did go to see him?

A Yes, sir.

Q And he said he never had anything to do with you?

A Yes, sir.

Q Then the next day did he call at your house?

A Yes, sir, for rent

Q Was your husband present?

A Yes, sir.

Q What was the date he called when your husband was present?

Q Was it the same day the complaint was made?



32

Q The day after  
 I He called after the  
 complaint was made?

A Yes sir

Q When he called what  
 part of your room  
 did you see him?

A In my kitchen

Q Who was there?

A My husband.

Q What was he doing.

A He was sitting on a  
 chair.

Q What was the defendant  
 doing?

A He came to collect  
 the rent

Q What was the conversa-  
 tion?

A I ~~remembered~~ if he told  
 my husband he had  
 nothing to do with  
 me he told a lie.  
 My husband said ~~that~~  
 would bring him to court

Q There was no room there?

A No, sir.

Q Your husband is a good natured man?

A He is very passionate, Sir.

Q But he wouldn't raise a hand to his? A not while we had him in Court.

Q Never said a word?

A No, sir.

Q What time did you get the warrant in the afternoon or morning?

A In the afternoon.

Q Still you swear the defendant didn't call at your place on the morning of the 2<sup>d</sup> June and on the afternoon of the same day you came

34

here and made the complaint?

A I can swear he never did

Q The day the defendant saw your husband will you swear that was not the 24 June?

A I can't say.

Q Will you swear it was not the same day you made this complaint?

A He was not there till the day afterwards. My husband told me he couldn't find him in the office and the next day he left the office and did not come back for two weeks.

Q The fact of this matter is you would not have told your

33

Husband if there had been no trouble?

A I had told him.

Q Before you knew he was sick?

A Yes sir, before this day <sup>two weeks</sup> he was sick.  
By the Court:

Q How long before that had you told him?

A Sunday before that.  
Last Sunday two weeks

Q That was on the 31<sup>st</sup> of May?

A Yes sir

Q When did he tell you he was sick?

A This day two weeks;  
He had to go to the doctor.

Q Did you go to the same doctor?

A Yes sir.

Q What is his name?

A I don't know.

36

By Mr Otterbourg:

Q How long has this doctor been treating you?

A To-morrow two weeks.

Q Has he not been treating you longer than that, and found you had some other trouble?

A No sir, that is a different trouble - my stomach was upset.

Q Where does this doctor live?

A 52d St & 3d Avenue. It

is a drug store  
I not a doctor - a  
druggist?

A Yes, sir.

Q How long have you called there?

A About four times

Q And your husband goes to the same druggist?

A Yes, sir.

32

Q When did you first discover you were sick?

A Yesterday two weeks - I guess it was

Q Did you tell your husband before you discovered you were sick?

A I didn't know it, sis; I didn't understand it.

Q Have you had any children?

A No, sis

Q Did you speak to anyone else about this matter?

A No, sis.

Q Did you complain to anyone in the house?

A No, sis

38

By Mr. Sternist

Q you say when you made a noise in the house there was a lady lived on the same floor with you?

A she was out.

Q you seen her going out?

A Yes, sir.

Q How there any person in the floor above you?

A No, sir.

Q How rooms are vacant?

A Yes, sir.

By the Court.

Q Did this defendant ever take any liberties with you up to that day?

A No, sir.

39

Q Did he ever undertake to kiss you?

A No sir. He abused me brutally that day; he told my husband he was under the influence of gin.

Q In answer to the lawyer you said your husband consented to some settlement - did you mean to settle it for money?

A The counsellor said it would be better to settle it than to make a report about it.

Q Was that before any warrant was issued?

A Yes sir.

Q There was no settlement?

A No, your honor.



40

Q I want to know if there is any money consideration could settle this in your mind or conscience?  
 A No, your honor

By Mr. Atterlyh:

Q Did your husband tell you he had a conversation with the defendant in front of Mr. Volz's place? ~~(A) He~~ He called him outside and asked him what he did to his wife and he said he never had anything to do with his wife?

Q That is what your husband told you?  
 A Yes

Q The next day he called at your house?  
 A No, not, last Tuesday two weeks.

411

Q That was after your husband seen him?

A Yes, sir

Q Was there any amount of money talked about between you and your husband?

A No, sir

Q How did you figure out the expense of the medicine?

A I didn't speak about medicine

Q You said you expended about fifteen dollars?

A Yes, sir.

Q Who spent that money - you or your husband?

A Both

Q You both talked about the money you spent?

A Yes, sir

Q Where did you work?

A In that 18th St

42

Q How long was that ago?  
A In October it will be  
two years.

Q How long had you  
worked there?

A. Three months

Q After you left there  
where did you go?

A I went to my friends  
in 18<sup>th</sup> St near St Ann's

My cousin

Q What does she do?

A She works out

Q How long did you  
stay there?

A Two weeks

Q What did you do there?

A Nothing

Q Where did you go from  
there?

A That was in October;

I got married the  
23<sup>rd</sup> November.

Q Where did you meet  
your husband?

43

A In a friend's house  
in 25<sup>th</sup> St.

Q How long had you  
known him before  
you married him?

A About two months

Q Your husband is a Dane?

A Yes sir

Q And you told the defendant that?

A Yes sir

By the Court:

Q Why did you go out  
of the house - a  
married woman to  
buy beer for this  
man?

A He asked me; he  
said he was tired

By Mr Otterbough:

Q You were friendly  
with him?

A Yes, sir

Q Did you tell the  
defendant how long  
you were married?

114

A Yes, sir.

By the Court -

Do you mean to tell me  
you fought all you  
could to prevent  
him doing that?

A Yes, sir.

By Mr. Otterbough -

Do you know what a  
policy shop is?

A I understand there is  
one in the same  
house.

Do you have seen a great  
many people come  
in there?

A Yes, sir.

Do that right next  
to your room?

A Yes, sir; they come  
there at eight o'clock  
at night and eight  
o'clock in the morning.

45

Q And yet when you  
screamed and 'hollered'  
they didn't hear you?  
A No, sir,

By the Court

Q Do they occupy rooms  
there?

A Yes, sir

Q Were the people in the  
policy shop then?

A No, sir, they come  
right o'clock and only  
stay half an hour.

Q Was the lady that  
occupies the place  
there that day?

A No, sir, she was out.

By Mr. Atterbaugh:

Q Did you see her go  
out?

A Yes, sir.

Deft Counsel moves  
to dismiss complaint  
Motion Denied.

46

Peter Jansen, the Defendant, sworn, testifies as follows:

By Mr. Otterbach:

Q Where do you reside?

A Astoria, Seaf Island.

Q What is your age?

A Seventeen years.

Q Where have you been employed since you on May 21<sup>st</sup> 1891?

A Volzing & Son 57<sup>th</sup> St & 3<sup>rd</sup> Ave

Q How long have you been with that firm?

A Nearly four years.

Q What was your position?

A Collector of rents.

Q You also see that the apartments are let?

A Yes, sir

Q How many houses have you charge of?

A About ninety

Q Were you at 53<sup>rd</sup> St, May 21<sup>st</sup> 1891?

47

Mauris

Q Please state all you know about this complainant, beginning with the first time you met her?

A The first time I met her she came into the office. She wanted to get the position of Janitress for the house. I told her I had a party in view but would let her know. Seven days afterwards I gave her the position and instructed her what to do. I use to call at the house about twice a week to look after matters. I was always very gentlemanly towards her. About the 20th May I



48

called there. I was under the influence of gin which I had taken for a disease I had at the time. He began talking about affairs. I asked her about the apartments. She said a lady wanted them but she had three children and I said to let it to her. She said wouldn't I have a glass of beer. She went out and got a pint of beer and set it on the table. She poured out a glass of beer for herself. I drank mine and said "this was sufficient". She poured out another one and asked me

49

would I have some  
cake. I said I  
didn't want any  
I finished the second  
glass of beer and  
she began telling some  
racy stories. She  
told me about a  
man by the name of  
Skoper who took her  
to an opium den  
in 18<sup>th</sup> Street and as-  
saulted her and she  
said she didn't like  
English folks after  
that. She then said  
I looked sick. I  
said "Yes, I am"  
she said "I have got  
a five months baby  
I am getting rid of"  
and she went over  
to the Bureau and  
brought out a syringe.  
I said "I have got a

syringe to. I asked  
her if I could go  
in the front room and  
sit on a lounge. We  
then entered the front  
room and she said  
wouldn't I feel better  
if I had my girl  
there. I said I didn't  
know. She said she  
would like to give  
me a few minutes of  
her valuable time.  
I said I didn't  
want any of her time  
at all. She then came  
over and commenced  
kissing and embracing  
me. I didn't object  
to this and possibly  
I may have been  
indecent, or touched  
part of her person.  
She began very affec-  
tionately and wanted

52

to know if I wouldn't  
be her lover. I said  
"No"

Q Were you in bed with  
this woman?

A No, sir

Q Did you throw her  
on the bed?

A No, sir

Q Did you assault  
her in any way?

A No, sir, I may have  
felt her person  
with my hand.

Q Did she scream?

A No, sir

Q You were sitting on  
a lounge in the kitchen?

A First in the kitchen  
and then in the front  
room.

Q Was the kitchen door  
open?

A Yes, sir

Q When you went into the

32

Q Now did you see anybody?

A There was a man there - an installment man in the room at the time I was there.

Q Which room?

A In the kitchen.

Q How long were you in there?

A I got in there about two o'clock and left about half past two.

Q Did you see any of the other tenants in the house that day?

A No, sir.

Q Did you see anyone come in?

A No, sir.

Q Did you tear any of this woman's clothes?

A No, sir.

Q Who paid for the loss?

53

Ans. Mrs. Johnson. I wanted  
to pay for the beer  
and offered her ten  
cents. She said no  
she would pay for it.

Q Did you ask her to  
take any soda while  
you were sitting in the  
front room?

A She said she would  
like to have some  
whiskey. I said  
"Here is fifty cents."

I threw it on the little  
round table in the parlor.  
Q Did she get any  
whiskey?

A No, sir.

Q Did she keep the  
fifty cents?

A Yes, sir.

Q This was all on the  
31st?

A Yes, sir. I called  
there the following

34

Thursday.  
Q On the 27<sup>th</sup> what occurred?

A I went there and told the woman I had forgotten my position and I apologized to her and said it wouldn't occur again. She said she had three dollars deposit for the floor upstairs. I took the three dollars and gave her one dollar. Then she wanted five dollars and I said I hadn't got five dollars. She said to come upstairs to the new tenant. We went upstairs and she introduced me to the tenant as the collector. I said I would write out

53

a receipt and leave it with the Janitor. I made out a receipt for fourteen dollars and handed it to her and on the back of it I put the endorsement that I had received three dollars on account.

Q Is that the receipt and is that your handwriting? (showing witness papers)

A Yes, sir. I handed that to the Janitor and told her to collect the balance of the rent. Then I left the house.

Q When did you see her again?

A On the 1<sup>st</sup> June as I was coming from the office I met Mr Johnson



0358

3

outside and he took  
me up. He said  
"Did you throw down  
my wife about two  
weeks ago" He said  
"I am Mrs Johnson's hus-  
band down in 55211"  
I said "I never had  
anything to do with  
your wife". He said  
"My wife said you  
threw her down", I am  
going to have you  
arrested. I said  
"I would advise  
you to get out a  
warrant for me" I  
said you must excuse  
me I have got to  
count some money  
and I went in at the  
desk till about six  
o'clock. In the mean-  
time Mr Jansen went  
away.

57

Q Then did you see Mrs Johnson after that?  
A The following day I went down to the house, June 2<sup>d</sup>, and I seen Mrs Johnson and her husband to get an explanation. She said "Jansen, now you know you did me wrong; you had better settle this matter". I said "I didn't do anything wrong" she said "you threw me down". Then she took a knife and wanted to stab me. Mr Johnson didn't say anything. She said if this matter couldn't be settled. I said "No, it was a matter of reputation" and with that I left the place.

38

Q Did you see him or  
her after that?  
A No, sir.

Cross Examination  
By Mr. Steinert:

Q About how many drinks  
of gin did you have  
before you came to that  
house?

A About three.

Q And you were suffering  
at that time from a  
venereal disease?

A Yes, sir.

Q This lady has sworn  
she has a venereal  
disease now?

A Yes, sir.

Q Did you have any  
intercourse with her  
on that day with  
her consent?

A All I did was to  
feel her person.

59

Q You know what connection is, don't you?

A Yes, sir.

Q On that day did you with or without her consent have connection with her?

A No, sir.

Q You simply felt of her privates?

A Yes, sir.

Q Did you ask her to let you sit down and rest yourself in her front room?

A She advised me to do that.

Q Didn't you tell her when you came in that you had been down to 42d St?

A No, sir, I was in 48th St.

Q Didn't you tell her you were tired when you came there?

60

A No, sir

Q Did you let her go out and treat you to beer?

A I wanted to pay for it but she went out and got it.

Q Did you offer her fifty cents?

A She wanted whiskey. I you laid the fifty cents down?

A I gave it to her; I don't ~~at~~ know where she put it.

Q If she insisted upon getting whiskey how was it she didn't go and get it after you gave her the money?

A I couldn't tell you.

Q There is only one way to go out of Valzings office?

A Yes, sir

Q

Q Do you remember her husband standing outside the door?

A Yes sir.

Q You agreed to see him after you got through - had you not?

A Yes sir. I went in the office and said I might be out in fifteen minutes. Then I said I would be back by six o'clock.

Q When you called at the house on the 2<sup>d</sup> June she then accused you of this offense?

A Yes sir.

Q Since the 2<sup>d</sup> of June where have you been? Have you been working for Volzsig?

A No sir. I was home suffering from sickness.

63

Q How did you come to be arrested?

A No one arrested me, I surrendered.

By Mr Otterlyt:

Q The day you called she introduced you to the tenant upstairs.

Did she make any complaint then?

A No, sir.

Q The first you heard of it was when her husband came to the office?

A Yes, sir, 1<sup>st</sup> of June

Q That was twelve days after the occurrence?

A Yes, sir

63

Louisa Robinson sworn,  
 testified as follows:  
 By Mr Otterbough.

Q Where do you live?

A 326 East 53<sup>rd</sup> St.

I moved there the  
 Thursday before the  
 1<sup>st</sup>

Q You are a married  
 lady?

A I am housekeeper for  
 Mrs. Miller.

Q Do you remember the  
 27<sup>th</sup> day of May, 1891?

A We moved in on  
 Thursday.

Q Did you make a  
 payment on account  
 of rent?

A The day we took  
 the rooms, not the  
 day before we moved  
 in.

Q Do you know this  
 complainant?



64

A Yes, sir.

Q On May 27<sup>th</sup> 1891 - did she come up to your apartments in that house with this defendant?

A Yes, sir.

Q They both walked up together?

A Yes, sir. She introduced me to him and said he was the collector. He asked me if I would pay the rent and I said I would.

Q Anything else said at that time?

A No more. He said you pay this lady.  
Q That is all that occurred?

A Yes, sir.

65

Mary Johnson, recalled  
By the Court.

Q you heard the statement  
made by the defendant?  
A Yes, sir.

Q Did you ever have any  
trouble with a man  
before?

A No, sir.

Q Did you ever say you  
didn't like an Englishman  
because of his assaulting  
you?

A No, sir.

Q Did you tell the  
defendant you had  
a disease?

A No, sir.

Q Did you show him  
a syringe?

A No, sir.

Q Did you tell this  
defendant you were  
endeavoring to get rid  
of your child?

66

A never  
 Q Did you tell him you  
 were taking medicine  
 for the purpose of  
 getting rid of it?

A No, sir.

Q Did he tell you about  
 his having a disease?

A No, sir.

Q Did you say to him  
 you would like to have  
 him for your lover?

A No, sir.

Q Do you know how to  
 get rid of a child  
 before it is born?

A No, sir.

Q Did you ever make  
 such a statement as  
 that in your life?

A No, sir.

By Mr. Otterbein:

(Witness produces pair of  
 drawers)

Q Are there any buttons on

67

those drawers?

A No, sir

Q How are they fastened?  
A They got too small  
for me I had to pin  
them.

Q They were pinned on  
that day?

A Yes, sir

Q You swear there are the  
drawers you had on?

A Yes, sir, on May 21<sup>st</sup>

Q Since then where have  
they been?

A Home.

Q You have not worn  
them since?

A No, sir

Q This syringe you have  
here - you say that is  
the syringe he said you  
showed him?

A That is what he said.

Q When did you buy that?

A My husband bought that.

68

Q Have you any other?  
 A No, sir.

By the Court:

Q Did you ever see a  
 syringe up to that time?

A Yes, I seen one on the  
 stand where I lived.

By Mr Otterbuhl:

Q Have you paid your  
 rent where you were  
 living?

A Yes, sir.

Q Is it paid for this  
 month?

A Not yet, Sir. My  
 husband is out of work.

By the Court:

Q Were these drawers closed  
 drawers or open?

A Open drawers. He  
 ripped them.

69

Saloma Klug, called  
for defense, sworn,  
testified as follows:

By Mattelgh:

Q Where do you live?

A 326 East 53 St.

I lived there on the  
27<sup>th</sup> May, 1891. The  
3d of July I will be  
there a year. I live  
on the ground floor, East  
side next to where  
the complainant lives.

Q Have you a family?

A I am a widow. I  
have three children -  
one is married

Q Who lives in those  
apartments with you?

A My daughter, who is  
seventeen and my son  
who is thirteen. My  
daughter is a dressmaker  
and my son goes to  
school.

70

Q The 21<sup>st</sup> May - you remember that day?

A I couldn't say.

Q Do you generally stay at home?

A I am home the most part.

By the Court -

Q Do you know whether you were home on May 21<sup>st</sup> or not?

A I can't say.

Q At any time during the month of May were you away from your house the whole day?

A No sir.

Q At any time during May were you away from your place between the hours of twelve and four o'clock?

A Yes, sir; I was once downtown and once in

71

By Mr. ~~Attorney~~ Bloomingdale

Q How long were you away when you went to Bloomingdale?

A I couldn't tell you. About the same length of time - about an hour and a half.

Q Did you ever see this complainant in the house?

A Yes.

Q Did you see her every day?

A Yes.

Q Did you see her every day during May when you were going out of the house?

A I couldn't say.

By the Court.

Q How long has she been living in the same house with you?

A About four months.



73

Q What were her habits while she was there?

A I didn't see anything wrong. I see nothing and hear nothing.

Q Was she a sober woman?

A I never saw her tight; only drinking a pint of beer with her husband.

Q Did you ever know her to have gentlemen calling there in the absence of her husband?

A I never seen that.

Q What is your business?

A I go out mashing and sewing.

Q Was there any policy writing done in your house?

A Once a detective came and said I should stop it and I

0375

stopped it.

Deft Counsel moves  
to Dismiss Complaint  
Motion Denied.

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY  
OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

*Peter Jensen*

THE GRAND JURY OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, by this  
indictment, accuse *Peter Jensen*  
of the CRIME OF RAPE, committed as follows:

The said *Peter Jensen*,

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *twenty-first*  
day of *May*, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and  
ninety- *one*, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and upon  
a certain female not his wife, to wit: one *Mary Johnson*,  
then and there being, wilfully and feloniously did make an assault, and her  
the said *Mary Johnson*, then and there, by force and with violence to  
her the said *Mary Johnson*, against her will and with-  
out her consent, did wilfully and feloniously ravish and carnally know, against the form of the  
Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New  
York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further  
accuse the said *Peter Jensen*  
of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE, com-  
mitted as follows:

The said *Peter Jensen*,

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: On the day and in the year aforesaid,  
at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and upon a certain female not his  
wife, to wit: her the said *Mary Johnson*, then and there being,  
wilfully and feloniously did make another assault with intent her the said *Mary*  
*Johnson*, against her will and without her consent, by force and violence, to then  
and there wilfully and feloniously ravish and carnally know, against the form of the Statute in  
such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and  
their dignity.

## THIRD COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further  
accuse the said *Peter Jensen* —  
of the CRIME OF RAPE, committed as follows:

The said *Peter Jensen*, —  
late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: On the day and in the year aforesaid,  
at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and upon a certain female not his wife,  
to wit: her the said *Mary Johnson*, then and there being, wilfully and  
feloniously did make another assault, and an act of sexual intercourse with her the said  
*Mary Johnson*, then and there wilfully and feloniously did  
commit and perpetrate, against the will of the said *Mary Johnson*,  
and without her consent; against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and  
against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

## FOURTH COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further  
accuse the said *Peter Jensen* —  
of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE, com-  
mitted as follows:

The said *Peter Jensen*, —  
late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: On the day and in the year aforesaid,  
at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and upon a certain female not his  
wife, to wit: her the said *Mary Johnson*, then and there being,  
wilfully and feloniously did make another assault, with intent an act of sexual intercourse with  
her the said *Mary Johnson*, against her will and without her  
consent then and there wilfully and feloniously to commit and perpetrate, against the form of  
the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State  
of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL, *District Attorney.*

0378

**BOX:**

444

**FOLDER:**

4090

**DESCRIPTION:**

Johnson, Frederick

**DATE:**

07/15/91



4090



0380

Police Court

2<sup>nd</sup> District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County } ss:  
of New York,

of No. 3 North Washington Square Street, aged 30 years,  
occupation Artist being duly sworn,

deposes and says, that on the 8 day of July 1891 at the City of New York,  
in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in  
the day time, the following property, viz:

A Pocket Book containing Good and  
Lawful Money of the United States, of the  
amount of Five dollars and fifty cents. and

a ticket Book on the Delaware, Lackawanna &  
Western Railroad of the value of Three dollars.  
all of the amount and value of Ten dollars and fifty cents  
the property of Deponent (\$10.50)

and that this deponent  
has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen and  
carried away by Edgwick Johnston (now here) from the

following facts to wit: That on the  
aforesaid date about the hour of 5 o'clock  
P.M. while deponent was walking along 5<sup>th</sup>  
Avenue, between 9 and 11<sup>th</sup> Streets the said  
defendant came up to deponent and  
grabbed and took hold of the aforesaid  
Pocket Book containing the said property  
from deponents hand, dropping the said  
Pocket Book on the sidewalk, and that the  
defendant then immediately ran away.  
Deponent therefore charges the defendant with  
having committed a Larceny and asks  
that he may be held and dealt with as  
the Law may direct.

J. Tillinghast

1891

Police Justice.

0381

Sec. 198-200.

CITY AND COUNTY  
OF NEW YORK, ss.2  
District Police Court.

*Frank Johnson* being duly examined before the under-  
signed according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is h right to  
make a statement in relation to the charge against h; that the statement is designed to  
enable h if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h  
that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiver cannot be used  
against h on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Frank Johnson*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *14 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *New York*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *106 Macdougall Street - 2 years*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *School Boy*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the  
testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your  
exculpation?

Answer.

*I am not guilty*

*Fred Johnson*

Taken before me this

day of

189

Police Justice.



0382

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of two Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.  
Dated July 9 1891 [Signature] Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named  
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated \_\_\_\_\_ 18 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named \_\_\_\_\_  
guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order he to be discharged.

Dated \_\_\_\_\_ 18 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.

0383

Police Court--- 2 District. 905

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Tommy Tillinghast  
3 N. Washington  
Andrew Johnson

2

3

4

Office

Mr. The Person

Dated

July 9 1889

Magistrate.

Officer.

15 Precinct.

Witness

William A. Hunt

No.

100 East 23

Street.

No.

Street.

No.

Street.

to answer

9th person

BAILED.

No. 1, by

Residence

Street.

No. 2, by

Residence

Street.

No. 3, by

Residence

Street.

No. 4, by

Residence

Street.

0384

Court of  
General Sessions  
The People  
25

Ferdick Johnson

REPORT OF THE NEW YORK SOCIETY FOR  
THE PREVENTION OF CRUELTY  
TO CHILDREN.

100 EAST 23<sup>d</sup> STREET,

New York, July 14<sup>th</sup> 1891

CASE NO. 57953

DATE OF ARREST

CHARGE

OFFICER

July 8<sup>th</sup>  
Loring from the person

AGE OF CHILD

RELIGION

FATHER

14 years  
Protestant  
Milton

MOTHER

Ada

RESIDENCE

106 Mac Dougal Street

AN INVESTIGATION BY THE SOCIETY SHOWS THAT

Boy was arrested on February 24<sup>th</sup> 1891, charged with disorderly conduct, arraigned before Justice Ford, at 2<sup>d</sup> Dist. Police Court, when boy was discharged with a reprimand.

Boy has a good home and his parents are respectable people.

All which is respectfully submitted

To Dist. Atty

D. Lillows Secretary

Cont- of

General Sessions

The People

17

Andrick Johnson

*Learn from the Prisoners*

PENAL CODE, N.Y.

**Report of the New York Society  
for the Prevention of Cruelty  
to Children.**

ELBRIDGE T. GERRY,  
*President, &c.,*

100 East 23d Street,

NEW YORK CITY.

0385

0386

69 W. Eleventh St. N. Y.

July 17. 1891

To whom it may concern

This is to certify  
that Frederick Johnson was  
in my employment for six  
months. During that period  
I found <sup>him</sup> punctiliously honest.  
Rather fond of practical  
joking, but in every way  
trustworthy.

He left my employment  
to resume his schooling

Robert Ormby M.D.

# Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

*Frederick Johnson*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment accuse  
*Frederick Johnson*  
 of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY in the *second* degree, committed as follows:

The said *Frederick Johnson*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *eightth*  
 day of *July* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and  
*eighty-ninety-one*, in the *day* time of the said day, at the City and County  
 aforesaid, with force and arms, *one pocketbook of the value of two dollars,*

*one* promissory note for the payment of money of the kind commonly called United States Treasury Notes, of the denomination and value of *five* dollar; *one* promissory note for the payment of money of the kind commonly called Bank Notes, of the denomination and value of *five* dollar; *one* United States Gold Certificate, of the denomination and value of *five* dollar; *one* United States Silver Certificate, of the denomination and value of *five* dollar.

*two* promissory notes for the payment of money of the kind commonly called United States Treasury Notes, of the denomination and value of *two* dollar *each*; *two* promissory notes for the payment of money of the kind commonly called Bank Notes, of the denomination and value of *two* dollar *each*; *two* United States Gold Certificates, of the denomination and value of *two* dollar *each*; *two* United States Silver Certificates, of the denomination and value of *two* dollar *each*.

*three* promissory notes for the payment of money of the kind commonly called United States Treasury Notes, of the denomination and value of *one* dollar *each*; *three* promissory notes for the payment of money of the kind commonly called Bank Notes, of the denomination and value of *one* dollar *each*; *three* United States Gold Certificates, of the denomination and value of *one* dollar *each*; *three* United States Silver Certificates, of the denomination and value of *one* dollar *each*.

*one* book of railroad tickets of the value of *three* dollars, *divers* coins of a number, kind and denomination *the Grand Jury aforesaid* unknown, of the value of *five* dollars and *fifty* cent of the goods, chattels and personal property of one *Fannie Tillinghast* on the person of the said *Fannie Tillinghast* then and there being found, from the person of the said *Fannie Tillinghast* then and there feloniously, did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

*De Lancey Nicoll*  
 District Attorney

0388

**BOX:**

444

**FOLDER:**

4090

**DESCRIPTION:**

Jones, Harry

**DATE:**

07/21/91



4090

Dep. has seen  
a team he says  
far off - one  
year ago.  
Inherently  
H

Filed  
21 day of July 1891  
Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

H  
Harry Jones

*Brylinsky in the Third degree.  
A Real Victory  
Accounting*

~~ALL LARVELY NIGELL~~

JOHN R. FELLOWS,

*District Attorney.*

# A True Bill™

Nicholas L. Cook  
 July 23, 1894 Foreman.  
 Pledges Perry, 1899  
 2476, m. 1899  
 1899

0389



0390

Police Court—6<sup>th</sup> District.City and County } ss.:  
of New York,of Charlie Lou  
Bradway Knigs Bridge Street, aged 23 years,  
occupation Laundry being duly sworndeposes and says, that the premises Laurel Bradway - Knigs Bridge Street,  
in the City and County aforesaid, the said being a Frame Building two  
storiesand which was occupied by deponent as a Laundry -  
and in which <sup>deponent</sup> ~~there~~ was at the time a human being, by namewere BURGLARIOUSLY entered by means of forcibly raising the  
curtain leading into said store, and entering  
therein with intent to commit a crime -on the 12<sup>th</sup> day of July 1891 in the day - time, and the  
following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz:a quantity of Ladies and Gentlemen's  
wearing apparel together with in all  
of the value of Ten dollarsthe property of Deponentand deponent further says, that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid  
BURGLARY was committed and the aforesaid property taken, stolen, and carried away byHenry Jones - (name true)for the reasons following, to wit: that said the commission of  
Said offense deponent was informed by Officer  
Michael Brennan 35<sup>th</sup> Precinct Police (name true)  
that he arrested said defendant - and found  
the above described property in said Henry  
Jones possession which property - deponent fully  
identifies and deponent knows of this and  
knows that said crime was doneSworn to before me  
this 12<sup>th</sup> day of July 1891

Charles A. [unclear] Police Justice Charlie Lou

0391

CITY AND COUNTY }  
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Michael Brodnick  
aged 27 years, occupation Police officer of 32<sup>nd</sup> Precinct Street, being duly sworn deposes and  
says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Charles Lam  
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponents' own  
knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 12 day of July 1887 } Michael Brodnick

Charles N. Linton  
Police Justice.

0392

Sec. 198-200.

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.  
OF NEW YORK, }

6 District Police Court

*Harry Jones* being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is *his* right to make a statement in relation to the charge against *him*; that the statement is designed to enable *him* if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against *him* that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that *his* waiver cannot be used against *him* on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Harry Jones*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *35 years -*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *New York*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *328-10<sup>th</sup> Avenue ! 10 years -*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Printer*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. *I am not guilty of the charge*  
*Harry Jones*

Taken before me this

13

day of

*Charles M. Stanton*

Police Justice.

0393

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Alfred

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Ten Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated July 12<sup>th</sup> 1891 Charles N. Lester Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated 18 Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order he to be discharged.

Dated 18 Police Justice.

0394

#130 909  
Police Court--- 6<sup>th</sup> District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Charlie Lane  
Bidway Kingsbridge  
1 Mary Jones

Offence *Burglary*

2  
3  
4

Dated *July 12<sup>th</sup>* 18*91*  
*Simmons* Magistrate.

*Michael Broderick* Officer.  
*35<sup>th</sup>* Precinct.

Witnesses *Louis Coffin*  
No. *35<sup>th</sup> Precinct* Street.

No. \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

No. \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

\$ *1000* to answer *B.S.*

*Come*  
*Burg*  
*P.T.*  
*Revery*

BAILED,

No. 1, by \_\_\_\_\_

Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

No. 2, by \_\_\_\_\_

Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

No. 3, by \_\_\_\_\_

Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

No. 4, by \_\_\_\_\_

Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

# Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,  
against

*Harry Jones*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

*Harry Jones*

of the CRIME OF BURGLARY IN THE THIRD DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

*Harry Jones*

late of the *Twenty-fourth* Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York  
aforesaid, on the *twelfth* day of *July* in the year of our Lord one  
thousand eight hundred and *ninety-one*, with force and arms, in the  
*day* time of the same day at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, the  
dwelling house of one *a certain building, to wit:*

*the laundry of one Charlie Lon-*

there situate, feloniously and burglariously did break into and enter, with intent to  
commit some crime therein, to wit: with intent, the goods, chattels and personal property  
of the said *Charlie Lon-*

*laundry* in the said dwelling house then and there being, then and  
there feloniously and burglariously to steal, take and carry away, against the form of  
the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the  
State of New York and their dignity.

## SECOND COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment further accuse the said

— *Harry Jones* —  
 of the CRIME OF *Petit* LARCENY, committed as follows:

The said

*Harry Jones*  
 late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, in the *day* — time of said day, with force and arms,

*divers articles of wearing apparel, of a number and description to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, of the value of ten dollars*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

*Charlie Lon*  
*laundry*  
 in the dwelling house of the said *Charlie Lon*

*in the laundry*  
 there situate, then and there being found, ~~from the dwelling house~~ aforesaid, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

## THIRD COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said

Harry Jones  
of the CRIME OF RECEIVING STOLEN GOODS, committed as follows:

The said

Harry Jones,

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, with force and arms, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid,

*divers articles of wearing apparel  
of a number and description to the  
Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, of  
the value of ten dollars*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of

Charlie Lon

by a certain person or persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, then lately before feloniously stolen, from the said

Charlie Lon

unlawfully and unjustly, did feloniously receive and have; (the said

Harry Jones

then and there well knowing the said goods, chattels and personal property to have been feloniously stolen,) against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANGLY NICOLL,

JOHN R. FEEOWS,

District Attorney.



0398

**BOX:**

444

**FOLDER:**

4090

**DESCRIPTION:**

Jones, Millie

**DATE:**

07/13/91



4090

0399

POOR QUALITY  
ORIGINAL

Witnesses;

*Her fine hands  
have run  
only 71 of the  
Steen Army  
reserved  
wifes. ch' bus  
for*

*M. 61*

*#61*

Counsel,

Filed *18* day of *July* 18*91*

Pleads, *Not Guilty (14)*

*39  
215*

THE PEOPLE

vs.

*Millie Jones*  
*N. D.*

Grand Larceny Second Degree.  
[Sections 638, 639, Penal Code].

DE LAINE

JOHN R. FELLOWS,

District Attorney.

A True Bill.

*July 14, 1891*

Foreman.

*Pleas P. I. 14/15 Pen + H. 5/6 from*

0400

Police Court

2 District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County } ss:  
of New York, }

Paul Struiken

of No. 229 Sullivan Street, aged 20 years,  
 occupation Lock Smith being duly sworn,  
 deposes and says, that on the 6<sup>th</sup> day of July 1897 at the City of New York,  
 in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in  
 the nighttime, the following property, viz:

One Hundred and sixty  
 dollars in good and lawful  
 money of the United States

\$ 260 <sup>or</sup> <sub>100</sub>

the property of

Deponent

and that this deponent

has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen and  
 carried away by Nellie Jones (now here)

from the fact that deponent went  
 into the basement of House Number  
 215 Sullivan Street in this City. That  
 he had said money in his inside vest  
 pocket that he placed said vest with  
 said money on a chair that he was  
 to bed with another woman. That while he  
 was in said bed, defendant came into  
 said room and took deponent's coat and  
 vest and when deponent followed after  
 defendant she threw said coat and  
 vest at deponent. Deponent searched the  
 vest for his money and found it missing.  
 Defendant having informed of her rights

Sworn to before me this  
 13th day of July 1897  
 Police Justice.

Says she did not take the money but that another person took it, and left her in the lurch. Deponent is informed by Officer Conway that he arrested the defendant that the girl said Officer the sum of seventy one dollars that deponent says that he identifies a new ten dollar bill given said officer by the defendant as part of the property stolen from deponent. Deponent then charges the defendant with having stolen said money and says that she is held to answer

8"

Indy  
 E. J. [Signature]

T. J. [Signature]

0402

Sec. 198—200.

2 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY  
OF NEW YORK, ss.

*Willie Jones* being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is *he* right to make a statement in relation to the charge against *he*; that the statement is designed to enable *he* if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against *he* that *he* is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that *he* waiver cannot be used against *he* on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Willie Jones*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *30 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *North Carolina U.S.*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *215 Sullivan Street 3 months*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Keeps House*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer.

*I am not guilty*  
*Willie Jones*  
*made*

Taken before me this

day of *July*

1891

Police Justice

0403

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named.....

*De Jesus*  
guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *Ten* Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated *July 8<sup>th</sup>* 18 *91* *E. J. Hoffman* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named.....  
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated.....18..... Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named.....  
guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order he to be discharged.

Dated.....18..... Police Justice.

0404

Police Court--- <sup>891</sup> 2 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

*Paul Strunk*  
*House of Detention*  
1 *William Jones*  
2  
3  
4

*Magistrate*  
*Officer*  
*Arrest*

BAILED.

No. 1, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

No. 2, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

No. 3, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

No. 4, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

Dated *July 8<sup>th</sup>* 1891

*Hogan* Magistrate.

*Corrigan* Officer.

*1st* Precinct.

Witnesses .....

No. .... Street.

No. .... Street.

No. .... Street.

\$ *1000* to answer *H.S.*

*Com* *9<sup>th</sup> money*



0405

CITY AND COUNTY  
OF NEW YORK, ss.

POLICE COURT, 2 DISTRICT.

of No. 15<sup>th</sup> Precinct Street, aged \_\_\_\_\_ years,  
 occupation Officer being duly sworn deposes and says,  
 that on the 6<sup>th</sup> day of July 1891  
 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, he arrested

William Jones charged with  
 Larceny upon complaint of  
 Paul Strinken. deponent says that  
 said Strinken is a non resident that  
 he is a material witness for the  
 people wherefor deponent prays that  
 he be committed to the House of  
 Detention

Michael J. Cooney.

Sworn to before me, this

day

of July

1891

Police Justice



COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE, OF THE CITY AND COUNTY  
OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

*Millie Jones*

THE GRAND JURY OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, by  
this indictment, accuse *Millie Jones*

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE *second* DEGREE,

(committed as follows:

The said

*Millie Jones*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *sixth*  
day of *July* - in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and  
*ninety-one*, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in the  
*night* time of the same day, divers promissory notes for the payment of money, being  
then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury  
Notes), of a number and denomination to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, for the  
*\$760.00* payment of and of the value of *one hundred and thirty*

dollars; divers other promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due  
and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as Bank Notes), of a number and denomination  
to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, for the payment of and of the value of  
*one hundred and thirty*

dollars; divers United States Silver Certificates of a number and denomination to the Grand  
Jury aforesaid unknown, of the value of *one hundred and thirty*

dollars; divers United States Gold Certificates of a number and denomination to the  
Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, of the value of *one hundred and thirty*

dollars; divers coins of a number, kind and denomination to the Grand Jury aforesaid  
unknown, of the value of *thirty dollars, one cent*

*of the value of seven dollars and*  
*one cent of the value of three dollars,*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one *Paul Steinkin*  
then and there being found,

then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the  
Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State  
of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL.

~~JOHN R. FELLOWS~~, District Attorney.

0407

**BOX:**

444

**FOLDER:**

4090

**DESCRIPTION:**

Jones, Samuel H.

**DATE:**

07/22/91



4090

July 22, 1891  
The facts in this case  
do not constitute larceny  
or any other crime.

The defendant is a  
Mississauga; a girl in this city  
of the National Bldg. and  
the National Bldg. and  
through him the complain-  
ing witness Hagan in  
December last obtained a  
\$500. paying it on his  
Hagan's Bldg.

She died January 10, 1891  
and on the same day  
Hagan went to defendant  
to arrange about collecting  
the insurance.

Jones took the policy  
and found Hagan  
for the purpose of sending  
them to Hartford.

There is no evidence  
that he did not do so. On  
the contrary, the evidence is  
Hagan and his brother. It  
is clear that the policy  
was sent to Hartford by  
Jones and that the company  
has refused to pay Hagan's  
claim for certain reasons  
which are immaterial to the  
present case.

Jones did not obtain the  
policy by false pretenses or  
any other such or device, and  
he has not appropriated  
it to his own use.  
There is no possible reason  
upon which the People can  
sustain the indictment against  
him.

Counsel,  
Filed 22 day of July 1891  
Pleads,

THE PEOPLE  
vs.  
Samuel H. Jones  
Grand Larceny Second Degree.  
[Sections 528, 531, Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,  
District Attorney.

A True Bill.

Wm. O. Hagan  
clerk of Hagan's Bldg.  
Dec. 1890. Hagan's Bldg.  
Hagan's Bldg.  
Nov. 22, 1891

This indictment  
showed was have  
been found. It is  
clear that no crime  
was committed by  
Jones. It is accounted  
that the indictment  
is dismissed.

De Lancey Nicoll  
District Atty

Nov 22, 1891

0400

Ormeau, Lagan }  
 John Jones } Larcum

Q Did he at any time wish you to give him the policies and if so what reason did he give

A He said when they would receive the policies they would return the money. by this I supposed he meant the insurance company.

Q Did he say that he would send the policies to Hartford

A He did not

Q Did he say where he would send it

A He did not

Q How much was due on the policies

A I expect five hundred dollars less twenty per cent

Q By whose advice did you take this action

A Objection. objection sustained  
 Exception

Q Now state everything that was said at the interview when you gave

back the policies.  
 A When I went up to Mr Jones' office to see the Jones, I said to him my wife

(2)

is dead. He said where are your receipts and policy, I had them in my pocket and gave them to him. He said when they receive this policy and receipts they will return the money. He gave me a proof of death and told me to have it filled out. I did so and returned it by one of his agents.

Q Did you ever demand of the company as back for the money due on the policy.

A I did not personally.

Q By the Court. Did you authorize your counsel to do so

A I did.

Q What answer did you give

A I did not receive any.

Q When did Mrs. Hagan die

A On the 10th of January

Q Of what (Excluded)

Q Was she pregnant at the time when the application for the policy was made (Excluded) (Exception)

0411

STATE OF NEW YORK,  
CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss:POLICE COURT, 2 DISTRICT.Oliver Haganof No. 220 Amsterdam Avenue Street, being duly sworn, deposes and says,that on the 10<sup>th</sup> day of January 1891at the City of New York, in the County of New York, John Jones (now here)

did unlawfully take from deponent  
a certain instrument to wit. a Life  
Insurance policy to the value of  
Five Hundred dollars in the manner  
following to wit: on said date deponent  
went to the office of defendant at number  
34 West 14<sup>th</sup> Street in this City, that deponent  
told defendant that his deponent's wife  
was dead. That defendant told deponent  
to give him the Policy, that deponent gave  
defendant the Policy to wit. of the  
National Life Association of Hartford in  
the State of Connecticut insuring the  
Life of Emma Hagan. Deponent's wife  
to the amount of Five Hundred dollars.  
That defendant then and there told deponent  
he would give deponent said money.  
That defendant has failed to give  
deponent said money and that defendant  
has demanded the return of said policy  
or the said amount and that defendant  
has failed and refused to return the  
policy or the money to deponent. Wherefore  
deponent charges the defendant with  
having stolen said policy and money  
that he be held to answer in violation  
of Section 578 Sub divisions 1 and 2 of the  
Penal Code.

Oliver Hagan

04 12

Sec. 199-200.

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.  
OF NEW YORK,

District Police Court.

*Samuel H Jones* being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Samuel H Jones*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *34 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *Pennsylvania*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *6147 West 16th St New York*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. \_\_\_\_\_

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. \_\_\_\_\_

*by advice of counsel defendant refuses to answer above question*  
*SH Jones*

Taken before me this

day of July

1891

Police Justice

04 13

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named.....

*Defendant*  
guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *Two* Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated *July 6<sup>th</sup>* 18 *91* *O. J. H. J.* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named *Defendant* to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed. *annex deposit with the City Chamberlain*

Dated *July 7<sup>th</sup>* 18 *91* *O. J. H. J.* Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named..... guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order he to be discharged.

Dated..... 18..... Police Justice.



04 14

Paroled  
E. J. 7-730

147  
Police Court--- 840  
2 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

John Hagan  
220 Amsterdam Ave  
Jan H. Hagan

Officer  
J. Hagan

BAILED,

No. 1, by *Attorney of bail*  
Residence *Depot street city church (in)*

No. 2, by  
Residence Street.

No. 3, by  
Residence Street.

No. 4, by  
Residence Street.

Dated *July 6<sup>th</sup>* 1891

*Hagan* Magistrate.

*Court* Officer.

*2<sup>nd</sup>* Precinct.

Witnesses *John Hagan*

No. *220 Amsterdam Ave* Street.

No. Street.

No. Street.

*700* to answer *G. S.*

*Bailed*

*Bill ordered*



04 15

State of New York,  
City and County of New York,

ss.

*Omer Hagan*

of No.

*20 Amsterdam Avenue*

Street, being duly sworn, deposes and says,

that

*Samuel Hagan*

(now present) is the person of the name of

*Samuel* mentioned in deponent's affidavit of the

day of

*July*

189

hereunto annexed.

Sworn to before me, this

day of

*July*

189

*Omer Hagan*

*[Signature]*  
POLICE JUSTICE.

0416

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY  
OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

*Samuel H. Jones*

THE GRAND JURY OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, by this  
indictment, accuse *Samuel H. Jones* —

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY in the *second* degree, committed as follows:

The said *Samuel H. Jones*.

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *Tenth*  
day of *January*, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and  
ninety-*one*, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

*one written instrument and evidence of*  
*contract of the said person as a*  
*policy of life insurance issued by a*  
*certain corporation known as the National*  
*Life Association of Hartford, whereby*  
*the said corporation issued the payment*  
*of the sum of five hundred dollars to one*  
*Owen Hagan in the event of the death of*  
*Emma Hagan his wife, the said Emma Hagan*  
*dying after the issuing of said policy and before*  
*the day and year aforesaid, to wit (a more*  
*particular description of which said policy is to*  
*be found in the aforesaid instrument) of the value*  
*of five hundred dollars,*  
of the goods, chattels and personal property of *the said Owen Hagan.*

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against  
the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People  
of the State of New York and their dignity.

*Deputy Clerk,*  
*Substituted*