

0274

BOX:

444

FOLDER:

4090

DESCRIPTION:

Jensen, Peter

DATE:

07/07/91



4090

0275

Witnesses:

Mary Johnson

The defendant stands in
 dicted for a wife; after an in-
 vigation of the facts which
 in the case, I am convinced
 being that in my judgment no
 conviction can be had.
 There is not in this case any
 corroboration of her (the complainant's)
 story. The People have her to rely
 upon only and I charge, personal in-
 evitance which gives her story
 an extremely suspicious appear-
 ance. I am confident that a de-
 sistent be determined

July 13th 1891
 Wm. H. W. [Signature]
 1891 East [Signature]

Counsel,

Filed

1891

day of

July
 7th
 1891
 Plends,
 Mary Johnson (D)

THE PEOPLE

vs.

B

Peter Jensen

DE LANGEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

Arvidus L. Cook
 No. 100 of [Signature]
 1891
 My own receipt
 July 13th 1891
 De Mear [Signature]

(Sections 278 and 218, Penal Code.)

14
 E. S. [Signature]
 1891

City & County of New York.

Clara Luedie of said City, being duly sworn says that she resides at No 326 East 53^d Street in said City & ~~has~~ resided there about one year with her mother & father.

She also knows one Mary Johnson who lives on the first floor in same house & has lived there about three ^{months}.

That deponent ^{knows} that the man spoke of in the Offt of Mrs Foster is the husband of said Mary Johnson because he is the man that Mary Johnson called him her husband. Deponent further says that all the facts contained in the Offt of Mrs Foster are true & correct in ^{every} respect to deponent's own knowledge except the occurrence on the Sunday evening ^{at} which deponent ~~was~~ ^{was} not personally present.

That on the 21 day of May last deponent was home & said Peter Jensen who collects the rents from the tenants go in to Mary Johnson's rooms & saw him come out, deponent was in the hall about twelve feet distant from the door of Mary Johnson's rooms, there was no screaming, outcry

0277

a noise of any kind coming from her room,

That about fifteen minutes after Susan left Mary Johnson came out and went into the yard looking at some children playing there was no difference in her appearance from any other time no excitement in her actions or behaviour, & no difference whatever from her usual appearance. Deponent was on speaking terms with said Mary Johnson at the time & she did not mention or say one word to deponent about any such occurrence as she claims, to have taken place.

Sworn to before me this }
27th day of June 1891 } - Clara Lundy
John O. Lowry
Notary Public N. Y. C.

02.78

App of
Clara Lucie

0279

City, County of New York
I, ²¹ Maria Forster of said City being duly
sworn says, that she is the wife of
William Forster, that they reside
at No 326 East 53^d Street in said
City & have resided there about one
year.

That she knows one Mary Johnson
who resides on the first floor in same
house, & has lived there about three
months, that said Mary Johnson is
a very forward and what deponent
would term a loud woman and
conduct herself in such a manner
as to attract the attention of men
and as no decent respectable woman
would conduct or behave herself.
That among some ^{of} facts are that
she is in the habit of sitting and lounging
around the hall door and raising
her feet up on the railing with very
short underclothing, thereby causing
passers by to stare at her & notice her,
that she ^{sits} in the window smoking
cigarettes.

That on a Sunday evening about the
middle of May ~~she was in her back~~
room, when deponent was standing
in the yard which is on a level with

the room of said Mary Johnson and deponent being compelled to pass her window saw a man exposing his person in the room and afterwards sit on a sofa in her room, that this man was dressing and applying some substance to his private parts at the time, that to the best of deponent's knowledge and belief this man was the husband of said Mary Johnson; Deponent says that she has been informed that the alleged indecent assault upon said Mary Johnson by Peter Jensen took place on the 21 day of May last, and that said Mary Johnson claims to have screamed and hollered at the time. Deponent says that she was home on that day when this assault is alleged to have taken place, that she heard no outcry of any kind, nor did any one else in the house as far as deponent can ascertain upon enquiry, that no one living in the house knew anything about the alleged assault until about two weeks after the 21 day of May last when the complaint was made by said Mary Johnson at Court.

Sworn to before me this 27 day of
 June 1891
 John O. Lowry } Theresa Foister
 Notary Public }
 Notary Public

0281

Off of
Heraa Tontem

0282

DISTRICT POLICE COURT.

THE PEOPLE
ON COMPLAINT OF

Mary Johnson
Peter Jensen

Examination had June 19 - 1888
Before Henry Murray Police Justice.

I, George B. [Signature] Stenographer of the 4th District Police Court, do hereby certify that the within testimony in the above case is a true and correct copy of the original Stenographer's notes of the testimony of Mary Johnson & Peter Jensen as taken by me on the above examination before said Justice.

Dated June 25 - 1888
George B. [Signature] Stenographer.

Police Justice.

District Attorney's Office.

PEOPLE

vs.

Peter Jensen

Referred to Mr. Mc Judger
for examination on
application of ^{Mr. Prelinger atty}
debt for ~~personal~~ ^{personal}

[Handwritten signature]

0284

Police Court, 1 District.

City and County } ss.
of New York,

of No. 376 East 53 Street, aged 21 years,
occupation Keep House being duly sworn, deposes and says,
that on the 21 day of May 1891, at the City of New
York, in the County of New York, ~~and State of New York~~ Jensen

who did willfully, unlawfully
and feloniously ravish and
have carnal knowledge of
deponent, person under the
following circumstances to wit:
said Jensen came into deponent's
apartment at about the hour
of 2 o'clock P.M. on said date
and seized violent hold of
deponent and threw deponent
on a bed and did thus and
there have carnal knowledge of
deponent person against the
will and consent of deponent
deponent therefore asks that
said Jensen be apprehended
and dealt with as the law directs

Sworn to and subscribed } Mrs. Mary Johnson.
2 day of June 1891

Wm. H. ...
[Signature]

0285

Sec. 198-200.

Fourth District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Peter Jensen being duly examined before the undersigned according to law on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Peter Jensen*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *17 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *New York City.*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *Potter Av. Astoria, Long Island.*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Collector of rents*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. *I am not guilty of the charge. The complaint was made twelve days after the alleged rape and after interview between the complainant, her husband and myself at complainant's residence. The complainant is in debt for rent and the charge against me is either the result of a conspiracy between husband and wife or instituted by the complainant with a view of excusing her conduct so as to avoid difficulty with her husband.*
Peter Jensen

Taken before me this

17th

Copy of Jensen 1897

Police Justice

0286

Sec. 151.

Police Court 11 District.

CITY AND COUNTY } ss. *In the name of the People of the State of New York; To the Sheriff of the County*
OF NEW YORK. } *of New York, or to any Marshal or Policeman of the City of New York, GREETING:*

Whereas, Complaint in writing, and upon oath, has been made before the undersigned, one of the *Police*
Justices for the City of New York, by *Mary Johnson*
of No. *356 East 53rd* Street, that on the *21* day of *May*
18*91* at the City of New York, in the County of New York,

Peter Jensen for
Rape

Wherefore, the said Complainant has prayed that the said Defendant may be apprehended and bound to answer the said complaint.

These are Therefore, in the name of the PEOPLE of the State of New York, to command you the said *Sheriff, Marshals and Policemen,* and each and every of you, to apprehend the said Defendant and bring *him* forthwith before me, at the *11* DISTRICT POLICE COURT, in the said City, or in case of my absence or inability to act, before the nearest or most accessible Police Justice in this City, to answer the said charge, and to be dealt with according to law.

Dated at the City of New York, this *21* day of *May* 18*91*
John H. ... POLICE JUSTICE.

Age 17 A.S. - Re Astoria. L.J.

The within named

having been brought before me under this Warrant, is committed for examination to the
WARDEN and KEEPER of the City Prison of the City of New York.

Dated..... 188

Police Justice.

Police Court District.

THE PEOPLE, & c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Warrant-General.

Dated..... 188

Magistrate

Officer.

The Defendant

taken, and brought before the Magistrate, to answer
the within charge, pursuant to the command con-
tained in this Warrant.

Officer.

Dated June 16 188

This Warrant may be executed on Sunday or at
night.

Police Justice.

7820

0288

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Fifty Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated June 17 1891 [Signature] Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named Defendant to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated June 17 1891 [Signature] Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____ guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.

Dated _____ 188 _____ Police Justice.

0289

Ex Luce 17 to
D/O a, m
Baudley

\$2000 Baudley for Ex
BAILED
No. 1, by Wm. Ke. Daye
Residence 139 North 57 Street.
No. 2, by _____
Residence _____ Street.
No. 3, by _____
Residence _____ Street.
No. 4, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

Police Court 63 District 874

IN THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF
Mary Johnson
Peter Jansen
2 _____
3 _____
4 _____
Offence 370-700

Dated Jan 16 1897
Henry Morris Magistrate
Clemmell Officer
Smith District

Witnesses _____
No. _____ Street.
No. _____ Street.
No. _____ Street.
\$ 2000 to answer G.S.
Bailed

Johnson vs Jansen

N.Y. June 29/91

Met Pursuant to adj-
ournment.

George Richards, called for
defense, sworn, testified
as follows:

By Defts Counsel:

I reside at 334 East
84 Street. I work for
Volging & Son. I have
charge of the Building
occupied by the Com-
plainant. We were com-
pelled to send her a
dispossession as the other
tenants said they would
move out if she remained
in the house, as she was
not a proper party to
live there. They complained
that she went around
in her night shirt and
smoked cigarettes. Personally

2

I know nothing about her. The tenants complained about her bad habits and we had to serve her with a dispossession.

Jessie Trotter, called for
deposition, sworn, tes-
tified as follows:

By Depts Counsel:

I reside at 326
East 53 St. I am mar-
ried and have three
children. I live with
my husband in the same
house as the complainant.

Q Have you seen the
complainant in any
position that looked
like as though she was
leaving?

A I wouldn't say she is
a bad woman but she
sits on the stoop some-

times in a bad condition.
 She sits on the railing
 with her clothes so that
 you can see her knee
 and she also smokes
 cigarettes at the window.
 The other people in the
 house are all respectable.
 She was not liked by
 the tenants

Q About the first part of
 May did you look into
 her window one evening?

A Yes, sir, on a Sunday even-
 ing.

Q What did you see?

A There was a man at
 the sink and he exposed
 his person and was
 putting a bandage on it,
 upon his privates?

A Yes, sir, I thought it
 was her husband but I
 couldn't say for sure. I
 couldn't see his face;

4

By the Court

Q What time of night was that?

A About eleven o'clock

By Defts Counsel

Q Do you know this defendant?

A No sir; he was the agent - that is all I know.

Q There is no reason why you should come here except in the interest of justice?

A No, sir.

Chas. Dundie, called for defence, testifies -
just as follows:

By Defts Counsel:

Q Where do you live?

A 376 East 33 St. I lived in the same house as the complainant

3.

I have lived there a year.
 Q What did you see the
 defendant do while she
 was there, if anything,
 that made you believe
 she was not a woman
 of good character?

A I seen her sitting on the
 railing with her feet
 up about two feet
 high. you could see
 her underclothing nearly
 to her knees. I also saw
 her smoke cigarettes.

Q Did you ever see her
 bring beer in the house?
 A Yes, sir.

Q Are you in any way
 related to the defendant?
 A No sir; I know he col-
 lected the rent.

Q Perfect stranger to you?
 A Yes, sir.

Q Is there any reason why
 you should come here

6

and testify in his behalf?
 A No sir

Q What was this complainant's
 general reputation in the
 home as to decency and
 respectability?

A She was very loud
 and attractive looking

Q Do you remember the
 21st May last?

A Yes, sir

Q How do you know it?

A Because it was Mrs
 Foster's child's birthday

Q Do you remember seeing
 this complainant on that
 day?

A Yes, sir, I saw her about
 quarter to three in the
 afternoon, I saw her
 in the yard.

Q Did you speak to her?

A No sir

Q What conversation did you
 have with her?

1

I can't say exactly. I think it was something on account of the pump being stopped.

Q Did she appear to be excited or have any scratches or bruises on her?

A No sir, not that I saw.

Q Did she say to you she had any trouble?

A No sir.

Q Did she appear excited?

A Not a bit. She was the same as always.

Q Have you any feelings towards her?

A No sir.

Q Did you see the defendant come out of the room previous to seeing her that day?

A Yes, sir, half past two out of the front door.

Q Previous to that did you hear any voices or out-

8

cry or scream?

A No sir

Q If there had been any outcry would you have heard it?

A Yes, sir, because I am always on the watch for the children when I hear any noise.

Q How long were you in that house that day?

A I was in the house from the time I got up out of bed until I went to bed.

Q You heard no noise or scream?

A No sir

Solomon Klug, recalled
Deft's Counsel:

Q Do you remember after
leaving the Court the
other day seeing this com-

9

plaintiff?

A Yes, sir, on the 17th of this month. We both left the Court together.
Q What conversation did you have with her?

A I asked her for the water closet key of one of the closets, because I heard what she said in Court about having a disease and I didn't want my children to go where she did. She said it was not true that she was sick; she only wanted to put Jansen down. She wouldn't give me the key first. Then I told my husband and then she gave me the key.
Q She said it was not true she had a disease that she only wanted to

10

put this young man
down?

A That is what she
said

The Complainant,
recalled.

By the Court.

Q you heard what the
witnesses testified?

A Yes, sir.

Q Did you ever smoke
any cigarettes?

A Never

Q Did you ever smoke a
pipe?

A No, sir

Q A cigar?

A No, sir, never put one
in my mouth.

Q Did you ever have any
cigarette in the house?

A No, sir

0300

11.

Q What about your sitting
on the railing in an
indecent position?

A I never did, Sir.

Q Will you swear you never
smoked a cigarette in
your life?

A Yes, Sir.

Depts Counsel moves
for the discharge of
the defendant on
the ground that there
is no corroboration.
Motion Denied.

0301

Peter Jensen

Widow

filed

July 1891

Comptroller

present

261 7/30/91

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text, possibly a list or ledger entries]

Fourth District
Police Court

Mary Johnson	} Before Hon. Henry Murray, Justice
- vs -	
Peter Jensen	

W. J. June 17th, 1891.
Max Steiner, Esq. appears
for complainant.
Eugene Ottoborough, Esq.
for defendant.

Mary Johnson, the Com-
plainant, sworn, testified
as follows:-

By the Court:

I live at 326 East
53d St. On the 21st of
May the defendant came
into my house - on the
ground floor - about two
o'clock in the afternoon, to
see about some empty

2

rooms - to see if I had
let them. He is collector
for Volzinger & Sons. I told
him there was a lady
in that day but she
had three children and
I asked him if I
should let them. He
said "Certainly you
can let them for fourteen
dollars. When she came
back I let them to her
and she gave me three
dollars deposit. He
sat on the lounge. He
told me he was down
in 46 St. and was tired.
He asked me if I
would go for some
beer and I said "Yes".
I got the beer, and
there was some cake on
the table and I asked
him if he would have
some and he said no.

3

He asked me if I would
have some beer and I
said "no". He asked me
if I wanted some soda
and brandy. He said
"Get some brandy and
he laid fifty cents
on the table. He asked
me what time it was
and I went in the next
room to see and he took
hold of me. I told
him to excuse me; I was
in the family way and
not to abuse me and
he put his knees ~~to~~
to my back, and tumbled
me down, and put his
hand across my mouth,
tore all my underclothes
off, and got the best
of me. He had con-
nection with me. It
was on a bed in the
room next to the

0305

11

front room. I was quite stupid; I could not see; he had my eyes all punched in with his hand. I was afraid of my life if I told my husband. I was always crying and my husband didn't know what was the matter and I asked him if I confessed to him would he do anything to me and he said "no". I confessed and he went to the Police Station the next day and they said to come to Court. He gave me a disease and I had to go to the doctor. It cost me fifteen dollars. I have no children. I have been married ~~any~~ and a half.

5
 Mr Volzins gave me this place be-
 cause my husband was out of work

Cross Examination

By Mr. O'Herbrough:

Q Where were you born?
 A In February, 1867. I
 am past twenty-three.

Q Why did you say you
 were only twenty-one
 when you made the
 complaint?

A We don't keep age
 that way in Ireland.

Q How long have you been
 in the house in 53^d St.?

A Since the middle of
 April. The number is
 376 E 53^d St.

Q Why did you give the
 number as 356 when you
 made the complaint?

A No, sir, the clerk must
 have made a mistake

Q When you got that posi-
 tion of housekeeper your
 husband was out of
 work?

6

Answer; he was out
of work three weeks
in April. He is
working again now.
Q Where were you married
to him?

A In Hoboken, Jersey.
Q Did he live in Ho-
boken?

A No.
Q Why did you go to
Hoboken?

A Because my folks was
there.
Q Where did you first
see this defendant?

A In Volzings office in
April.

Q Then you saw him on the
2nd May?

A Yes, sir.

Q What day of the week?

A Thursday

Q In the afternoon?

A Yes, about in the afternoon.

2

Q Does your husband work
in the daytime or
nights?

A In the daytime.

Q What part of the
house do you occupy?

A The ground floor as
housekeeper.

Q You paid rent this
besides?

A Yes, sir, seven dollars.

Q How many rooms have you?

A Four.

Q The front room has how
many windows to the
street?

A One.

Q And door leading to the
hall?

A Yes, sir.

Q The next room - has
that any window?

A No, sir, all closed up.

Q The last room leads
to the yard?

8

A Yes, sir.

Q And that has two windows?

A No, sir, one.

Q How many doors have you leading to the hall?

A Only one.

Q This is a double house?

A Yes, sir.

Q People living on the other side of the hall?

A Yes, sir, Mrs Klug.

Q They were living there on the 21st of May last?

A Yes, sir.

Q How many beds have you?

A I have got two - one for my brother and one for myself.

Q Do your brother living there with you now?

A No, sir. He left me on the 13th.

F^{1/2}

Q Why did he leave?

A Because he got a better job.

Q He is a single man?

A Yes, sir.

Q What is his name.

A William Weir.

Q In what rooms were the beds?

A In the kitchen and next to the front room.

Q When the defendant came in what door did he come in?

A The kitchen door and went out the front door.

Q The kitchen door leading to the hall?

A Yes, sir.

Q Both doors lead to the hall?

A Yes, sir.

Q Why did you say that the door only leads to

9

the hall?
 A The front door and my
 kitchen door leads to
 the hall.

Q He came in the kitchen
 door about what time?
 A Quarter to two.

Q What happened when he
 first came in?

A He asked me how
 was things. I said a
 lady was to see the
 rooms, but she had three
 children. He said
 you must let the
 rooms whether the
 people have children
 or not.

Q That conversation
 took place in the
 kitchen?

A Yes sir. He told me
 he was down in the
 yard and was tired and
 would I get a glass.

10

of beer. I got a
pitcher and got the
beer.

Q He was in the kitchen?

A Yes, sir. He gave me
the money for the beer.

Q When you returned where
was he?

A In the kitchen.

Q On the lounge there?

A Yes, sir.

Q What did you say
when you got back?

A I gave him the beer
and asked him if he
would have a piece of
cake. He asked me
to have some beer; I
said I was not
drinking.

Q You have a clock there?

A Yes, in my front room.

Q How did you know
it was quarter to two
when he came in?

11

A It was half past one when I had my lunch; I took my lunch in the kitchen.

Q Have you got a clock in the kitchen?

A No, sir.

Q How do you know it was half past one when you took your lunch?

A I know it was.

Q How much beer did you bring?

A A pint.

Q State the conversation while he was drinking his beer?

A He asked me if I would have some beer. I said no.

He asked me if I would have some soda and brandy.

I said no. He said

12

Go get some brandy
and he left fifty
cents on the table.

Q When you say he left
fifty cents on the table
what do you mean?

A He left it there. I
went in to see what
time it was and he
got hold of me he
turned the two rooms.

Q Do there a folding
door?

A No, sir.

Q All open?

A Yes, sir.

Q Were shutters up on
the windows?

A Yes, sir, and closed.

Q Dark in the room?

A Yes, sir.

Q Pitch dark?

A No, you could see
between the shutters

Q Could you see in from

13

the outside?

A No, sir, not very well.

Q There was enough light to see what was going on?

A Yes, sir.

Q You could read in the room?

A Yes, sir.

Q Did you lock the kitchen door when he came in?

A No, sir, it was shut but not locked.

Q Was the kitchen window open?

A No, sir.

Q He followed you into the parlor?

A Into the bedroom.

Q You came from the parlor?

A I was coming from the parlor to tell him the time.

14

Q What is the position of the bed?

A It is next the wall.

Q You could see part of the bed from outside?

A No sir.

Q There is no door between the back room and the front room?

A One door, but not a folding door.

Q And you can't see that bed from the front room?

A No.

Q You were going towards him and he came towards you?

A He got hold of me around my shoulders with both hands, kissed me, I told him to excuse me to let me out. He said he was in a

13

going to do any harm
to me.

Q Did he lift you up?

A Yes, sir

Q As soon as he kissed
you he lifted you up?

A He kissed me against
my will. He put
his knee to my back
and tumbled me over
and tore my underclothes.

Q How did he get behind
you?

A With his knee. He
put me across his
knee.

Q Then he was in front
of you?

A Put his knee behind
my back and threw
me down.

Q With his arms around
your shoulder he threw
you on his knee?

A He didn't have his

16

arms there then
Q He released your arms
then?

A I guess he did, I am
sure he did. Then he
put his knee behind
my back, and threw
me down on the bed, and
then he got into bed.

Q You had your clothes on?
A Yes, sir, he tore my
underclothes off.

Q Did you scream?
A Yes, sir. I 'hollered'
'for God's sake let
me up.'

Q And he put his hand
on your mouth?

A Yes, and he ran
out of the front door.

Q Did you kick him?

A Yes, I broke the cigarette
holder he had in his
mouth.

Q Then he went into bed.

17

with you did he have
the cigarette holder in
his mouth?

A Yes, sir

Q Was he smoking?

A No, sir.

Q When he kissed you
he also had it in
his mouth?

A He had it in his hand.

Q Then when he went to
bed with you he had
the holder in his
mouth and you kicked
him?

A Yes, sir

Q Did you bite him?

A I couldn't, he had
hold of my mouth

Q Did you scratch
him?

A Yes, sir; he had my
two elbows down and
one hand on my arm.

18

Q How did he hold down
the other hand?

A He had his hand
across my mouth.

Q He held you down
with one hand?

A With his two knees

Q One hand on your mouth,
one ^{knee} on your arm and
the other hand?

A He had it right under
me; I was in a great
struggle

Q And ^{while} this struggle was
going on did you fall
on the bed?

A Yes sir, I fell on the
bed afterwards

Q When he had one hand
on your mouth, one
knee on your arm
and one hand holding
the other arm - how
did your clothes come
to be raised?

19

A He got his knee between my legs and pulled up my underclothing and clapped his hand across my mouth.

Q Raised your clothes with his hand?

A Yes, sir.

Q Then he didn't have his hand on your mouth?

A Yes, sir.

Q So that when he let go your arms and put his knee on your back and threw you down, at the same time he raised your clothes?

A Yes, and tore my underclothes.

Q Did you have a full set of underclothes on?

A Yes, sir, he tore them in pieces.

0322

20

Q While you were on the bed?

A Yes, sir.

Q While he was tearing your clothes you were screaming?

A Yes, sir.

Q Nobody heard you?

A The lady on the other side was out.

Q How do you know she was out?

A I seen her going out half past eleven.

Q You don't know whether she came back?

A No, sir.

Q This all happened against your will?

A Yes, sir.

Q You didn't consent to it at any time?

A No, sir.

Q And you tried your best to prevent it?

0323

27

A Yes, sir

Q How long were you in bed with him?

A Not more than ten minutes or fifteen minutes

Q When you got up did you look at the clock?

A Yes, sir, it was after two - about ten minutes

Q He was in bed with you about fifteen minutes?

A Yes, sir.

Q Did he have connection with you more than once?

A Yes, sir

Q How often did he have connection with you on that occasion?

A Only once

Q During the whole fifteen minutes?

22

A Yes, sir

Q How long did he stay in bed after it happened?

A He took himself off to the street.

Q Did he have his pantaloons off at the time?

A No, sir.

Q When did you first see his parts?

A I didn't see them at all.

Q He had his pantaloons and coat on and a cigarette holder in his mouth?

A Yes, sir

Q And you knocked that cigarette holder out of his mouth?

A Yes, sir.

Q After he left when did you see him again?

23

A On Saturday afternoon
he came in to collect
three dollars that the
lady gave me for
deposit.

Q What was the next time
you saw him?

A Tuesday; he came to
collect eleven dollars
that the lady gave me.

Q What was May 27th?

A No sir
Q You saw him how often
after the 21st?

A Twice, and when I
gave him the money
he gave me a dollar.

Q On May 23rd do you
remember speaking to
him about giving you
five dollars?

A No sir

Q Did you know his
name then?

A No sir

M

I discovered that latest
 a man

Q you knew he was from
 Volzing?

A yes; he gave me
 the keys

Q Didn't you think it
 was Mr Volzing's son?

A No

Q Do you know him?

A I know Mr Volzing.

Q On May 27th you went
 upstairs to speak to
 Mrs Hill's?

A I told her that was

the agent and she

could tell him about

the painting.

Q you introduced him to
 this lady upstairs?

A I told her he was

the agent and she

could talk to him.

Q and you gave him the
 keys?

20

A Yes, sir, and he gave me
one dollar for renting
the rooms.

Q And that all happened
on the 27th?

A Yes, sir.

Q And he had been there
once before - the 23^d?

A Yes, sir.

Q It was several days
after this occurrence
that you introduced
him to this lady?

A Two days.

Q Two days after you
went through the
house with him?

A I only went to the
other floor.

Q He was in your room
on the 20^d?

A I met him in the
hall. I told him
I rented the ~~rooms~~.

26

Q Do you remember the date you made this complaint?
 A Yes, sir, the 27th May
 Q Sure of that?

A Yes, sir
 Q Sure you made this complaint on the 27th of May?

A Yes, sir, on last Monday two weeks
 Q Are you sure that is the time you made the complaint?

A My mind is so upset I made it last Monday two weeks.

Q What would be the 26th?
 A That is the day, sir.

Q The complaint is dated June 6th, how can you explain that?
 A Yes, on that date I made it.

27

Q You notice you signed that paper June 2/91?

A That is my writing

Q You are evidently mistaken?

A It was on last Monday two weeks

Q Why did you wait from May 21st to June 2nd to make this complaint?

A I was afraid to tell my husband, I asked his forgiveness if I told him?

Q So you waited about thirteen days on account of your husband? When did you tell your husband?

A The Sunday afterwards

Q The Sunday after it happened?

A The Sunday after that again.

28

Q You didn't tell your husband of it for ten days afterwards?

A I guess it was ten days.

Q Why did you have to ask his forgiveness? Had you done anything wrong?

A Certainly, sir, my husband is diseased from me by him.

Q When did you hear your husband was diseased?

A Not until I made this statement.

Q You had not told him about it until you were diseased?

A I had told him before that.

Q Before he was sick too?

A Yes, sir.

Q Why did you want him to forgive you - you didn't do anything wrong?

29

A I asked him if I told him would he do anything to me. He saw me crying. He asked me what was the matter, if I got a letter from Home. Q He asked you if you had got a letter from Home?

A Yes.

Q Where?

A Ireland.

Q Who lives in Ireland?

A My brother and my sisters.

Q What part of Ireland?

A County Sligo?

Q What did your husband do after you told him this?

A He was nearly crazy. He went up to my brother and came to the Court next

30

day.

Q Did you go with him
to see this young
man?

A I couldn't find him.

Q Did your husband
find him?

A Yes, sir, he went to
the office.

Q Did he try to raise
money?

A Yes, sir.

Q How much was it
he wanted?

A I don't know.

Q How much money had
you decided that
you wanted?

A I didn't decide
anything.

Q How much did
your husband decide?

A I don't know.

Q You said your
expenses were fifteen

37

dollars for doctors
bill?

A Yes, sir

Q Now you willing to
puzzle for that?

A No, sir.

Q Your husband didn't
go to see him?

A Yes, sir.

Q And he said he never
had anything to do
with you?

A Yes, sir

Q Then the next day
did he call at
your house?

A Yes, sir, for rent

Q Was your husband
present?

A Yes, sir?

Q What was the date
he called when your
husband was present?

Q Was it the same day
the complaint was made?

32

Q The day after
I he called after the
complaint was made?

A Yes sir

Q When he called what
part of your room
did you see him?

A In my kitchen

Q Who was there?

A My husband.

Q What was he doing.

A He was sitting on a
chair.

Q What was the defendant
doing?

A He came to collect
the rent

Q What was the conversa-
tion?

A I ~~remembered~~ if he told
my husband he had
nothing to do with
me he told a lie.

My husband said ~~that~~
would bring him to court

Q There were no men
there?

A No, sir

Q Your husband is a
good natured man?

A He is very passionate,
sir.

Q But he wouldn't
raise a hand to his?
A Not while we had
him in court.

Q Never said a word?

A No, sir

Q What time did you
get the warrant
in the afternoon or
morning?

A In the afternoon

Q Will you swear the
defendant didn't
call at your place
on the morning of the
2^d June and on
the afternoon of the
same day you came

34

here and made the complaint?

A I can swear he never did

Q The day the defendant saw your husband will you swear that was not the 21 June?

A I can't say.

Q Will you swear it was not the same day you made the complaint?

A He was not there till the day afterwards. My husband told me he couldn't find him in the office and the next day he left the office and did not come back for two weeks.

Q The fact of the matter is you would not have told your

33

Husband if there had
been no trouble?

A I had told him.

Q Before you knew he
was sick?

A Yes sir, before this day
~~two weeks~~ he was sick.

By the Court:

Q How long before that
had you told him?

A Sunday before that.

Last Sunday two
weeks

Q That was on the 31st
of May?

A Yes sir

Q When did he tell
you he was sick?

A This day two weeks;

he had to go to the
doctor.

Q Did you go to the
same doctor?

A Yes sir.

Q What is his name?

A I don't know.

36

By Mr. Otterbourg:

Q How long has this doctor been treating you?

A To-morrow two weeks.

Q Has he not been treating you longer than that, and found you had some other trouble?

A No sir, that is a different trouble—my stomach was upset.

Q Where does this doctor live?

A 52d St & 3^d Avenue. It

is a drug store
I not a doctor—a
druggist?

A Yes, sir.

Q How long have you called there?

A About four times

Q And your husband goes to the same druggist?

A Yes, sir.

39

Q When did you first discover you were sick?

A Yesterday two weeks - I guess it was

Q Did you tell your husband before you discovered you were sick?

A I didn't know it, sis; I didn't understand it.

Q Have you had any children?

A No, sis.

Q Did you speak to anyone else about this matter?

A No, sis.

Q Did you complain to anyone in the house?

A No, sis.

38

By Mr. Ferris

Q You say when you made a noise in the house there was a lady lived on the same floor with you?

A She was out.

Q You seen her going out?

A Yes, sir.

Q Was there any person in the floor above you?

A No, sir.

Q How many are vacant?

A None, sir.

By the Court.

Q Did this defendant ever take any liberties with you up to that day?

A No, sir.

39

Q Did he ever undertake to kiss you?
 A No sir. He abused me brutally that day; he told my husband he was under the influence of gin.

Q In answer to the lawyer you said your husband consented to some settlement - did you mean to settle it for money?

A The counsellor said it would be better to settle it than to make a report about it.

Q Was that before any warrant was issued?
 A Yes sir.

Q There was no settlement?
 A No, your honor.

40

Q I want to know if there is any money consideration could settle this in your mind or conscience?
 A No, your honor

By Mr. Atterly:

Q Did your husband tell you he had a conversation with the defendant in front of Mr. Volz's place? ~~(A) Yes~~ We called him outside and asked him what he did to his wife and he said he never had anything to do with his wife?

Q That is what your husband told you?
 A Yes

Q The next day he called at your house?
 A No, he called Tuesday two weeks.

0343

411

Q That was after your husband seen him?

A Yes, sir

Q Was there any amount of money talked about between you and your husband?

A No, sir

Q How did you figure out the expense of the medicine?

A I didn't speak about medicine

Q You said you expended about fifteen dollars?

A Yes, sir.

Q Who spent that money - you or your husband?

A Both

Q You both talked about the money you spent?

A Yes, sir

Q Where did you work?

A In West 18th St

42

Q How long was that ag?
 A In October it will be
 two years.

Q How long had you
 worked there?

A Three months

Q After you left there
 where did you go?

A I went to my friends
 in 18th near St. Louis.

My cousin

Q What does she do?

A She works out

Q How long did you
 stay there?

A Two weeks

Q What did you do there?

A Nothing

Q Where did you go from
 there?

A That was in October;

I got married the
 23rd November.

Q Where did you meet
 your husband?

43

A In a friend's house
in 25th St.

Q How long had you
known him before
you married him?

A About two months

Q Your husband is a Dane?

A Yes sir told the defendant that?

Q Yes sir

By the Court:

Q Why did you go out
of the house - a
married woman to
buy beer for this
man?

A He asked me; he
said he was tired

By Mr. Otterbough:

Q You were friendly
with him?

A Yes, sir

Q Did you tell the
defendant how long
you were married?

114

A Yes, sir.

By the Court -

Do you mean to tell me
you fought all you
could to prevent
him doing that?

A Yes, sir.

By Mr. Otterbough -

Do you know what a
policy shop is?
A I understand there is
one in the same
house.

Do you have seen a great
many people come
in there?

A Yes, sir.

Do that right next
to your room?

A Yes, sir; they come
there at eight o'clock
at night and eight
o'clock in the morning.

45

Q And yet when you
perceived and 'hollered'
they didn't hear you?
A No, sir,

By the Court

Q Do they occupy rooms
there?

A Yes, sir

Q Were the people in the
policy shop there?

A No, sir, they come
right o'clock and only
stay half an hour.

Q Was the lady that
occupies the place
there that day?

A No, sir, she was out.

By Mr. Atterbough:

Q Did you see her go
out?

A Yes, sir.

Deft Counsel moves
to dismiss complaint
Motion Denied.

46

Peter Jensen, the Defendant, sworn, testifies as follows:

By Mr. Otterbough:

Q Where do you reside?

A Astoria, Seaf Island.

Q What is your age?

A Seventeen years.

Q In whose employ were you on May 21st 1891?

A Volging & Son 57th St & 3rd Ave

Q How long have you been with that firm?

A Nearly four years.

Q What was your position?

A Collector of rents.

Q You also see that the apartments are let?

A Yes, sir

Q How many houses have you charge of?

A About ninety

Q Were you at 53rd St on May 21st 1891?

0349

47

Mearns

Q Please state all you know about the complainant, beginning with the first time you met her?

A The first time I met her she came into the office. She wanted to get the position of Janitress for the house. I told her I had a party in view but would let her know. Seven days afterwards I gave her the position and instructed her what to do. I use to call at the house about twice a week to look after matters. I was always very gentlemanly towards her. About the 27th May I

48

called there. I was under the influence of gin which I had taken for a disease I had at the time. He began talking about affairs. I asked her about the apartments. She said a lady wanted them but she had three children and I said to let it to her. She said wouldn't I have a glass of beer. She went out and got a pint of beer and set it on the table. She poured out a glass of beer for herself. I drank mine and said "this was sufficient". He poured out another one and asked me

149

would I have some
cake. I said I
didn't want any
I finished the second
glass of beer and
she began telling some
racy stories. She
told me about a
man by the name of
Hooper who took her
to an opium den
in 18th Street and as-
saulted her and she
said she didn't like
English folks after
that. She then said
I looked sick. I
said "Yes, I am"
she said "I have got
a five months baby
I am getting rid of"
and she went over
to the Bureau and
brought out a syringe.
I said "I have got a

syringe to. I asked
her if I could go
in the front room and
sit on a lounge. We
then entered the front
room and she said
wouldn't I feel better
if I had my girl
there. I said I didn't
know. She said she
would like to give
me a few minutes of
her valuable time.
I said I didn't
want any of her time
at all. She then came
over and commenced
kissing and embracing
me. I didn't object
to this and possibly
I may have been
indecent, or touched
part of her person.
She began very affec-
tionately and wanted

57

to know if I wouldn't
be her lover. I said
"No"

Q Were you in bed with
this woman?

A No, sir

Q Did you throw her
on the bed?

A No, sir

Q Did you assault
her in any way?

A No, sir, I may have
felt her person
with my hand.

Q Did she scream?

A No, sir

Q You were sitting on
a lounge in the kitchen?

A First in the kitchen
and then in the front
room.

Q Was the kitchen door
open?

A Yes, sir

Q When you went into the

52

Q How did you see anybody?

A There was a man there - an installment man in the room at the time I was there.

Q Which room?

A In the kitchen.

Q How long were you in there?

A I got in there about two o'clock and left about half past two.

Q Did you see any of the other tenants in the house that day?

A No, sir.

Q Did you see anyone come in?

A No, sir.

Q Did you see any of this woman's clothes?

A No, sir.

Q Who paid for the law?

53

Q Mrs Johnson. I wanted
to pay for the beer
and offered her ten
cents. She said no
she would pay for it.

Q Did you ask her to
take any soda while
you were sitting in the
front room?

A She said she would
like to have some
whiskey. I said
"Here is fifty cents."

I threw it on the little
round table in the parlor.
Q Did she get any
whiskey?

A No, sir.

Q Did she keep the
fifty cents?

A Yes, sir.

Q This was all on the
31st?

A Yes, sir. I called
there the following

34

Thursday.
On the 27th what occurred?

I went there and told the woman I had forgotten my position and I apologized to her and said it wouldn't occur again. She said she had three dollars deposit for the floor upstairs. I took the three dollars and gave her one dollar. Then she wanted five dollars and I said I hadn't got five dollars. She said to come upstairs to the new tenant. We went upstairs and she introduced me to the tenant as the collector. I said I would write out

53

a receipt and leave it with the Janitor. I made out a receipt for fourteen dollars and handed it to her and on the back of it I put the endorsement that I had received three dollars on account.

Q Is that the receipt and is that your handwriting? (showing witness papers)
 A Yes, sir. I handed that to the Janitor and told her to collect the balance of the rent. Then I left the house.

Q When did you see her again?

A On the 1st June and I was coming from the office I met Mr Johnson

0358

3

outside and he took
me up. He said
"Did you throw down
my wife about two
weeks ago" He said
"I am Mrs Johnson's hus-
band down in 52 St"
I said "I never had
anything to do with
your wife". He said
"My wife said you
threw her down", I am
going to have you
arrested. I said
"I would advise
you to get out a
warrant for me" I
said you must excuse
me I have got to
count some money
and I went in at the
desk till about six
o'clock. In the mean-
time Mr Jansen went
away.

57

Q Then did you see Mrs
Johnson after that?
A The following day I
went down to the
house, June 22, and I
saw Mrs Johnson and
her husband to get an
explanation. She said
"Jansen, now you know
you did me wrong;
you had better settle
this matter". I said
"I didn't do anything
wrong" she said
"you threw me down"
Then she took a knife
and wanted to stab
me. Mr Johnson didn't
say anything. She said
if this matter couldn't
be settled. I said "No,
it was a matter of
reputation" and with
that I left the place

38

Q Did you see her or
her after that?
A No, sir

Cross Examination

By Mr. Steiner:

Q About how many drinks
of gin did you have
before you came to that
house?

A About three.

Q And you were suffering
at that time from a
venereal disease?

A Yes, sir.

Q This lady has sworn
she has a venereal
disease now?

A Yes, sir.

Q Did you have any
intercourse with her
on that day with
her consent?

A All I did was to
feel her person.

59

Q You know what connection
 this is, don't you?

A Yes, sir.

Q On that day did you
 with or without her
 consent have connection
 with her?

A No, sir.

Q You simply felt of
 her private?

A Yes, sir.

Q Did you ask her to
 let you sit down and
 rest yourself in her
 front room?

A She advised me to do
 that.

Q Didn't you tell her
 when you came in that
 you had been down
 to 47th St?

A No, sir, I was in 48th.

Q Didn't you tell her
 you were tired when
 you came there?

60

A No, sir

Q Did you let her go out and treat you to beer?

A I wanted to pay for it but she went out and got it.

Q Did you offer her fifty cents?

A She wanted whiskey. I you laid the fifty cents down?

A I gave it to her; I don't ~~at~~ know where she put it.

Q If she insisted upon getting whiskey how was it she didn't go and get it after you gave her the money?

A I couldn't tell you.

Q There is only one way to go out of Valzins office?

A Yes, sir

Q

Do you remember her husband standing outside the door?

A Yes sir

Q You agreed to see him after you got through - had you not?

A Yes sir. I went in the office and said I might be out in fifteen minutes. Then I said I would be back by six o'clock.

Q When you called at the house on the 2^d June she then accused you of this offense?

A Yes sir

Q Since the 2nd of June where have you been? Have you been working for Volzgin?

A No sir. I was home suffering from sickness.

63

Q How did you come to be arrested?

A No one arrested me, I surrendered.

By Mr. Otterlyt:

Q The day you called she introduced you to the tenant upstairs.

Did she make any complaint then?

A No, sir.

Q The first you heard of it was when her husband came to the office?

A Yes, sir, 1st of June

Q That was Tuesday after the occurrence?

A Yes, sir

63

Louisa Robinson sworn,
 testified as follows:

By Mr Otterbough.

Q Where do you live?

A 326 East 53rd St

I moved there the
 Thursday before the
 1st

Q You are a married
 lady?

A I am housekeeper for
 Mrs Hiller.

Q Do you remember the
 27th day of May, 1891?

A We moved in on
 Thursday.

Q Did you make a
 payment on account
 of rent?

A The day we took
 the rooms, not the
 day before we moved
 in.

Q Do you know this
 complainant?

64

A Yes, sir.

Q On May 27th 1891 - did she come up to your apartments in that house with this defendant?

A Yes, sir.

Q They both walked up together?

A Yes, sir. She introduced me to him and said he was the collector. He asked me if I would pay the rent and I said I would.

Q Anything else said at that time?

A No more. He said you pay this lady.

Q That is all that occurred?

A Yes, sir.

65

Mary Johnson, recalled
By the Court:

Q you heard the statement
made by the defendant?
A Yes, sir.

Q Did you ever have any
trouble with a man
before?

A No, sir.

Q Did you ever say you
didn't like an Englishman
because of his assaulting
you?

A No, sir.

Q Did you tell the
defendant you had
a disease?

A No, sir.

Q Did you show him
a syringe?

A No, sir.

Q Did you tell this
defendant you were
endeavoring to get rid
of your child?

66

A never

Q Did you tell him you
were taking medicine
for the purpose of
getting rid of it?

A No, sir.

Q Did he tell you about
his having a disease?

A No, sir.

Q Did you say to him
you would like to have
him for your lover?

A No, sir.

Q Do you know how to
get rid of a child
before it is born?

A No, sir.

Q Did you ever make
such a statement as
that in your life?

A No, sir.

By Mr. Otterbein:

(Witness produces pair of
drawers)

Q Are there any buttons on

0369

67

These drawers?

A Mrs. [unclear]

Q How are they fastened?
A They got too small
for me I had to pin
them.

Q They were pinned on
that day?

A Yes, sir

Q You swear these are the
drawers you had on?

A Yes, sir, on May 21st

Q Since then where have
they been?

A Home.

Q You have not worn
them since?

A No, sir

Q This syringe you have
here you say that is
the syringe he said you
showed him?

A That is what he said.

Q When did you buy that?

A My husband bought that.

68

Q Have you any other?
A No, sir.

By the Court:

Q Did you ever see a syringe up to that time?

A Yes, I seen one on the stand where I lived.

By Mr Otterbush:

Q Have you paid your rent where you were living?

A Yes, sir.

Q Is it paid for this month?

A Not yet, Sir. My husband is out of work.

By the Court:

Q Were these drawers closed or open?

A Open drawers. He ripped them.

69

Saloma Klug, called
for defense, sworn,
testified as follows:

By Mr. Atterly:

Q Where do you live?

A 326 East 53 St.

I lived there on the
27th May, 1891. The
3^d of July I will be
there a year. I live
on the ground floor, east
side next to where
the complainant lives.

Q Have you a family?

A I am a widow. I

have three children—

one is married

Q Who lives in those
apartments with you?

A My daughter, who is
seventeen and my son
who is thirteen. My

daughter is a dressmaker

and my son goes to
school.

70

Q The 2nd May - you remember that day?

A I couldn't say.

Q Do you generally stay at home?

A I am home the most part.

By the Court -

Q Do you know whether you were home on May 2nd or not?

A I can't say.

Q At any time during the month of May were you away from your house the whole day?

A No sir.

Q At any time during May were you away from your place between the hours of twelve and four o'clock?

A No, sir; I was once down town and once in

71

By Mr. ~~Blair~~ Bloomingdale

Q How long were you away when you went to Bloomingdale?

A I couldn't tell you. About the same length of time - about an hour and a half.

Q Did you ever see the complainant in the house?

A Yes.

Q Did you see her every day?

A Yes.

Q Did you see her every day during May when you were going out of the house?

A I couldn't say.

By the Court.

Q How long has she been living in the same house with you?

A About four months.

73

Q What were her habits while she was there?

A I didn't see anything wrong. I see nothing and hear nothing.

Q Was she a sober woman?

A I never seen her tight; only drinking a pint of beer with her husband.

Q Did you ever know her to have gentlemen calling there in the absence of her husband?

A I never seen that.

Q What is your business?

A I go out washing and sewing.

Q Was there any policy writing done in your house?

A Once a detective came and said I should stop it and I

0375

stopped it.

Left Counsel move
to Premier's Complaint
Motion Denied.

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

Peter Jensen

THE GRAND JURY OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, by this indictment, accuse *Peter Jensen* of the CRIME OF RAPE, committed as follows:

The said *Peter Jensen*,

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *twenty-first* day of *May*, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*one*, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and upon a certain female not his wife, to wit: one *Mary Johnson*, then and there being, wilfully and feloniously did make an assault, and her the said *Mary Johnson*, then and there, by force and with violence to her the said *Mary Johnson*, against her will and without her consent, did wilfully and feloniously ravish and carnally know, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said *Peter Jensen* of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said *Peter Jensen*,

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: On the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and upon a certain female not his wife, to wit: her the said *Mary Johnson*, then and there being, wilfully and feloniously did make another assault with intent her the said *Mary Johnson*, against her will and without her consent, by force and violence, to then and there wilfully and feloniously ravish and carnally know, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

THIRD COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further
accuse the said *Peter Jensen*
of the CRIME OF RAPE, committed as follows:

The said *Peter Jensen*,
late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: On the day and in the year aforesaid,
at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and upon a certain female not his wife,
to wit: her the said *Mary Johnson*, then and there being, wilfully and
feloniously did make another assault, and an act of sexual intercourse with her the said
Mary Johnson, then and there wilfully and feloniously did
commit and perpetrate, against the will of the said *Mary Johnson*,
and without her consent; against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and
against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

FOURTH COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further
accuse the said *Peter Jensen*
of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE, com-
mitted as follows:

The said *Peter Jensen*,
late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: On the day and in the year aforesaid,
at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and upon a certain female not his
wife, to wit: her the said *Mary Johnson*, then and there being,
wilfully and feloniously did make another assault, with intent an act of sexual intercourse with
her the said *Mary Johnson*, against her will and without her
consent then and there wilfully and feloniously to commit and perpetrate, against the form of
the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State
of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL, *District Attorney.*

0378

BOX:

444

FOLDER:

4090

DESCRIPTION:

Johnson, Frederick

DATE:

07/15/91



4090

~~1000~~
1000
1000

Counsel, *V. B. Van Brock*
Filed *15* day of *July* 1891
Plends, *Not Guilty (16)*

10 THE PEOPLE
vs.
Frederick Johnson
Grand Larceny, Second Degree.
(From the Person.)
[Sections 228, 229 Penal Code.]

BY LEASE OF
JOHN R. FELLOWS,
District Attorney.

A True Bill.

Richard L. Cook

July 23 1891

Pleado *At. G. S. 2d*
John Prop. A.
July 24 1891
24

Witnesses;

Rayo, presnt
at Nat. bank
has no other
business in state
than out of the
city.

0380

Police Court

2nd

District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County } ss:
of New York,

of No. 3 North Washington Square Street, aged 30 years,
occupation Artist being duly sworn,

deposes and says, that on the 5 day of July 1891 at the City of New York,
in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in
the day time, the following property, viz:

A Pocket Book, containing Good and Lawful Money of the United States, of the amount of Five dollars and fifty cents.

and a ticket Book on the Delaware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad of the value of Three dollars— all of the amount and value of Ten Dollars and fifty cents (\$10.50) the property of Deponent

Sworn for before me this 1891
Police Justice

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away by Edgwick Johnston (now here) from the following facts to wit: That on the aforesaid date about the hour of 5 o'clock P.M. while deponent was walking along 5th Avenue, between 9 and 11th Streets the said defendant came up to deponent and grabbed and took hold of the aforesaid Pocket Book containing the said property from deponents hands, dropping the said Pocket Book on the sidewalk, and that the deponent thereupon charges the defendant with having committed a Larceny and wishes that he may be held and dealt with as the Law may direct

A. J. J. J. J. J.

0381

Sec. 198-200.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss.

2 District Police Court.

Frank Johnston being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Frank Johnston*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *14 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *New York*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *106 Macdougall Street - 2 years*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *School Boy*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer.

I am not guilty

Fred Johnson

Taken before me this
day of *July* 189*8*
Fred Johnson
Police Justice

0382

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of two hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated July 9 1891 [Signature] Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named _____ to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated _____ 18 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____ guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order he to be discharged.

Dated _____ 18 _____ Police Justice.

0383

Police Court--- 2 District. 905

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Hannie Tillinghast
3 N. Wash. St. Lynn
Andrew Johnson

Jacomy
Mr. McPherson

2
3
4

BAILED,

No. 1, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

No. 2, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

No. 3, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

No. 4, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

Dated *July 9 91* 188
Magistrate.

Dolan Officer.
15 Precinct.

Witness *William A. Hunt*
No. *100 East 23* Street.

No. _____ Street.

No. _____ Street.

902 to answer

Cur
9th person

0384

Court of
General Sessions
The People
75

Ferdinand Johnson

REPORT OF THE NEW YORK SOCIETY FOR
THE PREVENTION OF CRUELTY
TO CHILDREN.

100 EAST 23^d STREET,

New York, July 14th 1891

CASE NO. 57953

DATE OF ARREST

CHARGE

OFFICER

July 8th
Lalany from the person

AGE OF CHILD

RELIGION

FATHER

14 years
Protestant
Milton

MOTHER

Ada

RESIDENCE

106 Mac Dougal Street

AN INVESTIGATION BY THE SOCIETY SHOWS THAT

Boy was arrested on February 24th, charged with disorderly conduct, arraigned before Justice Ford, at 2^d Dist. Police Court, when boy was discharged with a reprimand.

Boy has a good home and his parents are respectable people.

All which is respectfully submitted

To Dist. Atty

D. Hillows Secretary

0385

Cont. of

General Sessions
The People

17

Andrick Johnson

For every the Person

PENAL CODE, %

**Report of the New York Society
for the Prevention of Cruelty
to Children.**

ELBRIDGE T. GERRY,
President, &c.

100 East 23d Street,
NEW YORK CITY.

0386

69 W. Eleventh St. N. Y.

July 17. 1891

To whom it may concern

This is to certify
that Frederick Johnson was
in my employment for six
months. During that period
I found ^{him} punctiliously honest.
Rather fond of practical
joking, but in every way
trustworthy.

He left my employment
to resume his schooling

Robert Ormsby M.D.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Frederick Johnson

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment accuse

Frederick Johnson of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY in the second degree, committed as follows:

The said Frederick Johnson

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the eighth day of July in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-nine, in the day time of the said day, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, one pocketbook of the value of two dollars,

one promissory note for the payment of money of the kind commonly called United States Treasury Notes, of the denomination and value of five dollar; one promissory note for the payment of money of the kind commonly called Bank Notes, of the denomination and value of five dollars; one United States Gold Certificate, of the denomination and value of five dollars; one United States Silver Certificate, of the denomination and value of five dollar.

two promissory notes for the payment of money of the kind commonly called United States Treasury Notes, of the denomination and value of two dollar each; two promissory notes for the payment of money of the kind commonly called Bank Notes, of the denomination and value of two dollar each; two United States Gold Certificates, of the denomination and value of two dollar each; two United States Silver Certificates, of the denomination and value of two dollar each.

three promissory notes for the payment of money of the kind commonly called United States Treasury Notes, of the denomination and value of one dollar each; three promissory notes for the payment of money of the kind commonly called Bank Notes, of the denomination and value of one dollar each; three United States Gold Certificates, of the denomination and value of one dollar each; three United States Silver Certificates, of the denomination and value of one dollar each.

one book of railroad tickets of the value of three dollars, divers coins of a number kind and denomination the amount of which is unknown, of the value of five dollars and fifty cent of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Fannie Tillinghast on the person of the said Fannie Tillinghast then and there being found, from the person of the said Fannie Tillinghast then and there feloniously, did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

De Lancey Nicoll, District Attorney

0388

BOX:

444

FOLDER:

4090

DESCRIPTION:

Jones, Harry

DATE:

07/21/91



4090

Witnesses;

Dep't has been
a stem to say
for apt. - true
7 years ago.
Inherently lies
H

10/10/91
#190

Counsel,

Filed

21 day of July 18 91

Pleads

vs
THE PEOPLE

Expiring in the Third degree
Delt
Resolving
[Section 498, 106, 123, 134, 135]

vs.

H
Harry Jones

BY LAWYER MICHAEL

JOHN R. FELLOWS,

District Attorney.

A True Bill.

Nicholas J. Cost
July 23, 1991 Foreman.
Pleds' Bench
2.4.96, M. J. J.
H

Police Court - 6th District.

City and County } ss.:
of New York, }

of Charlie Lou
Bradway King Bridge Street, aged 23 years,
occupation Laundry being duly sworn

deposes and says, that the premises Laundry Bradway - King Bridge Street,
in the City and County aforesaid, the said being a Frame Building two
stories

and which was occupied by deponent as a Laundry
and in which ^{deponent} ~~there~~ was at the time a person being by name

were **BURGLARIOUSLY** entered by means of forcibly raising the
curtain leading into said store, and entering
therein with intent to commit a crime -

on the 12th day of July 1891 in the day-time, and the
following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz:

a quantity of Ladies and Gents
wearing apparel together amounting in all
of the value of Two dollars and

the property of deponent

and deponent further says, that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid
BURGLARY was committed and the aforesaid property taken, stolen, and carried away by
Henry Jones - (name true)

for the reasons following, to wit: that said the commission of
said offense deponent was informed by Officer
Michael Brennan 35th Precinct Police (name true)
that he arrested said deponent - and found
the above described property in said Henry
Jones possession which property deponent fully
identifies and deponent knows of his own
knowledge that said crime was done

Sworn to before me
this 12th day of July 1891
Charles A. [unclear] Police Justice Charlie Lou

0391

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Michael Brodwin

aged *27* years, occupation *Police Officer* of ~~no.~~

37th Precinct - Street, being duly sworn deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of *Charles Lane*

and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponents' own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this *12* day of *July*, 188*7* } *Michael Brodwin*

Charles N. Laintor
Police Justice.

0392

Sec. 198-200.

6

District Police Court

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Harry Jones being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Harry Jones*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *35 years -*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *New York*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *328-10th Ave. 10 years -*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Printer*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. *I am not guilty of the charge
Harry Jones*

Taken before me this *13*
day of *July* 19*11*
Charles W. Stewart

Police Justice.

0393

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Alfred...

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Ten Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated July 12th 1891 Charles N. Lester Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated 18 Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order he to be discharged.

Dated 18 Police Justice.

0394

#130 909
Police Court--- 6th District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Charlie Lane
Bidway Kingsbridge
1 Mary Jones
2
3
4

Offence *Disobey*

Dated *July 12th* 18*91*
Simons Magistrate.

Michael Rosdewick Officer.
35th Precinct.

Witnesses *Saul Coffin*
No. *35th Precinct* Street.

No. _____ Street.

No. _____ Street.
\$ *1000* to answer *B.S.*

Cove
Burg
P.T.
Reamy

BAILED,
No. 1, by _____
Residence _____ Street.
No. 2, by _____
Residence _____ Street.
No. 3, by _____
Residence _____ Street.
No. 4, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

Harry Jones

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Harry Jones

of the CRIME OF BURGLARY IN THE THIRD DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

Harry Jones

late of the *Twenty-fourth* Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York
aforesaid, on the *twelfth* day of *July* in the year of our Lord one
thousand eight hundred and *ninety-one*, with force and arms, in the
day time of the same day at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, the
dwelling house of one *a certain building, to wit:*

the laundry of one Charlie Lon-

there situate, feloniously and burglariously did break into and enter, with intent to
commit some crime therein, to wit: with intent, the goods, chattels and personal property
of the said *Charlie Lon*

laundry in the said dwelling house then and there being, then and
there feloniously and burglariously to steal, take and carry away, against the form of
the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the
State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment further accuse the said

Harry Jones

of the CRIME OF *Petit* LARCENY

, committed as follows:

The said

Harry Jones

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, in the *day* time of said day, with force and arms,

divers articles of wearing apparel, of a number and description to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, of the value of ten dollars

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

Charlie Lon

in the dwelling house of the said

laundry *Charlie Lon*

there situate, then and there being found, *in the laundry* ~~from the dwelling house~~ aforesaid, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

THIRD COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said

Harry Jones

of the CRIME OF RECEIVING STOLEN GOODS, committed as follows:

The said *Harry Jones*,

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, with force and arms, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid,

divers articles of wearing apparel of a number and description to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, of the value of ten dollars

of the goods, chattels and personal property of *Charlie Lon*

by a certain person or persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, then lately before feloniously stolen, from the said *Charlie Lon*

unlawfully and unjustly, did feloniously receive and have; (the said

Harry Jones

then and there well knowing the said goods, chattels and personal property to have been feloniously stolen,) against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANGLY NICOLL,
~~JOHN R. FELLOWS,~~
District Attorney.

0398

BOX:

444

FOLDER:

4090

DESCRIPTION:

Jones, Millie

DATE:

07/13/91



4090

0399

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Witnesses;

*This fine should
be commuted
only 71 of the
stems being
restored
w/fer. ch' bus
for*

M. 61

#61

Counsel,

Filed *13* day of *July* 18*91*

Pleads, *Not Guilty (14)*

*39
2-15*

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Millie Jones
N.D.

Grand Larceny Second Degree,
[Sections 628, 627 - Penal Code]

BE LARK

JOHN R. FELLOWS,

District Attorney.

A True Bill.

July 14 1891

Foreman.

*Pleas P.T. Jones
14 yrs Pen + 1/2 of fine*

0400

Police Court 2 District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County } ss:
of New York, }

Paul Struiken

of No. 229 Sullivan Street, aged 20 years,
occupation Lock Smith being duly sworn,
deposes and says, that on the 6th day of July 1891 at the City of New York,
in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in
the nighttime, the following property, viz:

One Hundred and sixty
dollars in good and lawful
money of the United States

\$ 260 ⁰⁰/₁₀₀

the property of

Deponent

and that this deponent

has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen and
carried away by Nellie Corus (now here)

from the fact that deponent went
into the basement of House Number
215 Sullivan Street in this City. That
he had said money in his inside vest
pocket that he placed said vest with
said money on a chair that he was
to bed with another woman. That while he
was in said bed, defendant came into
said room and took deponents coat and
vest and when deponent followed after
defendant she threw said coat and
vest at deponent. Deponent searched the
vest for his money and found it missing.
Defendant being informed of her rights

Sworn to before me this
10th day of July 1891
Police Justice

Says she did not take the money but that another person took it, and left her in the lurch. Deponent is informed by Officer Conway that he arrested the defendant that the grand said officer the sum of seventy one dollars that deponent says that he identifies a new ten dollar bill given said officer by the defendant as part of the property stolen from deponent. Deponent therefore charges the defendant with having stolen said money and prays that she be held to answer

8th

[Handwritten signature]

T. J. [Handwritten signature]

0402

Sec. 198-200.

2 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss.

Willie Jones being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is *his* right to make a statement in relation to the charge against *him*; that the statement is designed to enable *him* if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against *him* that *he* is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that *his* waiver cannot be used against *him* on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Willie Jones*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *30 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *North Carolina U.S.*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *215 Sullivan Street 3 months*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Keeps Home*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. *I am not guilty
Willie ^{her} Jones
made*

Taken before me this
day of *July*

1891

Police Justice

[Signature]

0403

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named.....

Deprived
guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *ten* Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated *July 8* 18 *91* *[Signature]* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named.....
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated..... 18 Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named.....
guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order he to be discharged.

Dated..... 18 Police Justice.

0404

Police Court--- ⁸⁹¹ 2 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Paul Strunker
House of Detention
1 Miller Jones
2
3
4

Offence: *Armed*
Carrying

BAILED,

No. 1, by

Residence Street.

No. 2, by

Residence Street.

No. 3, by

Residence Street.

No. 4, by

Residence Street.

Dated *July 8th* 1891

Hogan Magistrate.

Conroy Officer.

17th Precinct.

Witnesses

No. Street.

No. Street.

No. Street.

\$ *1000* to answer *H.S.*

Con *9th* money



0405

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss.

POLICE COURT, 2 DISTRICT.

Michael J. Cooney

of No. 15th Avenue Street, aged _____ years, occupation Officer being duly sworn deposes and says, that on the 6th day of July 1891

at the City of New York, in the County of New York, he arrested

William Jones charged with Larceny upon complaint of Paul Strinken. deponent says that said Strinken is a non resident that he is a material witness for the people wherefor deponent says that he is committed to the House of Detention

Michael J. Cooney.

Sworn to before me, this

of July 1891

day

[Signature]

Police Justice

0406

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE, OF THE CITY AND COUNTY
OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

Millie Jones

THE GRAND JURY OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, by

this indictment, accuse

Millie Jones

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE *second* DEGREE,

(committed as follows:

The said

Millie Jones

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *sixth*
day of *July* - in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and
ninety-one, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in the
night time of the same day, divers promissory notes for the payment of money, being
then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury
Notes), of a number and denomination to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, for the
\$760.00 payment of and of the value of *one hundred and thirty*

dollars; divers other promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due
and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as Bank Notes), of a number and denomination
to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, for the payment of and of the value of
one hundred and thirty

dollars; divers United States Silver Certificates of a number and denomination to the Grand
Jury aforesaid unknown, of the value of *one hundred and thirty*

dollars; divers United States Gold Certificates of a number and denomination to the
Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, of the value of *one hundred and thirty*

dollars; divers coins of a number, kind and denomination to the Grand Jury aforesaid
unknown, of the value of *thirty dollars, one coat*

*of the value of seven dollars and
one vest of the value of three dollars,*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

Paul Steinkem

then and there being found,

then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the
Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State
of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL.

~~JOHN R. FELLOWS~~, District Attorney.

0407

BOX:

444

FOLDER:

4090

DESCRIPTION:

Jones, Samuel H.

DATE:

07/22/91



4090

0400

July 22, 1891
The facts in this case do not constitute larceny or any other crime.

The defendant is a ^{Mississ.} agent in this city of the National Life and Fire Insurance Co., Conn., and through him the complain- ing witness Hagan in December last obtained a \$500. policy on his wife's life.

She died January 19, 1891 and on the same day Hagan went to defendant to arrange about collecting the insurance.

Hagan took the policy and found Hagan for the purpose of sending them to Hartford.

There is no evidence that he did not do so, on the contrary the evidence is clear and his brother is clear that the policy was sent to Hartford by Jones and that the company was relieved to pay Hagan's claim for certain reasons which are immaterial to the present case.

Hagan did not obtain the policy by false pretenses or by any trick or device, and he has not appropriated it to his own use. There is no possible reason upon which the People can sustain the charge against Hagan.

[Handwritten signature]

Counsel,
Filed 22 day of July 1891
Pleas,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Grand Larceny Second Degree.

[Sections 528, 531, Penal Code.]

B

Samuel H. Jones

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

A True Bill.

[Handwritten signature]
Wm. O. Kelley
clerk of Court
see testimony & proceed
within F.S. W.
Nov. 22, 1891

They and circuit
should not have
been found. It is
clear that no crime
was committed by
Jones. It occurred
that the indictment
is dismissed.
De Lancey Nicoll
District Atty

Nov 22, 1891

Ormeau, Laguna }
John Jones } Lucas

Q Did he at any time ask you to give him the policies and if so what reason did he give

A He said when they would receive the policies they would return the money. by this I supposed he meant the insurance company.

Q Did he say that he would send the policies to Hartford

A He did not

Q Did he say where he would send it

A He did not

Q How much was due on the policies

A I expect five hundred dollars less ten per cent

Q By whose advice did you have this action

objection. objection sustained
by Exception

Q Now state everything that was said at the interview when you gave

back the policies.
A When I went up to Mr Jones' office to see the Jones, I said to him my wife

(12)
 is dead. He said where are your receipts
 and policy, I had them in my pocket
 and gave them to him. He said when
 they receive this policy and receipts
 they will return the money. He gave
 me a proof of death and told me to
 have it filled out. I did so and returned
 it to one of his agents.

Q Did you ever demand of the company
 at that time the money due on the policy?

A I did not personally.

Q By the Court. Did you authorize
 your counsel to do so?

A I did.

Q What answer did you give

A I did not receive any.

Q When did Mrs. Hagan die?

A On the 10th of January.

Q Of what (Excluded)?

Q Was she pregnant at the time when
 the application for the policy was made
 (Excluded) (Acceptance)

0411

STATE OF NEW YORK,
CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss:

POLICE COURT, 2 DISTRICT.

Oliver Hagan

of No. 220 Amsterdam Avenue Street, being duly sworn, deposes and says,
that on the 10th day of January 1891
at the City of New York, in the County of New York, John Jones (now here)

did unlawfully take from deponent
a certain instrument to wit. a Life
Insurance policy to the value of
Five Hundred dollars in the manner
following to wit: on said date deponent
went to the office of defendant at number
34 West 14th Street in this city, that deponent
told defendant that his deponent's wife
was dead. That defendant told deponent
to give him the Policy, that deponent gave
defendant the Policy to wit. of the
National Life Association of Hartford in
the State of Connecticut insuring the
Life of Emma Hagan, deponent's wife
to the amount of Five Hundred dollars.
That defendant then and there told deponent
he would give deponent said money.
That defendant has failed to give
deponent said money and that deponent
has demanded the return of said policy
or the said amount and that defendant
has failed and refused to return the
policy or the money to deponent. Wherefore
deponent charges the defendant with
having stolen said policy and money
that he be held to answer in violation
of section 578 sub divisions 1 and 2 of the
Penal Code.

Subscribed to and sworn to before me this
6th day of January 1891
at the City of New York, in the County of New York.
[Signature]

Oliver Hagan

0412

Sec. 199-200.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

District Police Court.

Samuel H Jones

being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Samuel H Jones*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *34 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *Russelltown*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *6147 West 107th Street New York*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. _____

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. *by advice of counsel defendant refuses to answer above question
S.H. Jones*

Taken before me this _____ day of July 1891

Police Justice

[Signature]

0413

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named.....

Defendant

~~guilty thereof~~, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *Two* Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated *July 6th* 18 *91* *[Signature]* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named *Defendant* to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed. *annex deposit with the City Chamberlain*

Dated *July 7th* 18 *91* *[Signature]* Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named..... guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order he to be discharged.

Dated..... 18..... Police Justice.

0414

*Paroled
6 July 9- 930*

147
Police Court--- 870
2 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

*Oliver Hagan
220 Amsterdam Ave
Jan H. Jones*

Sarlem
Officer

Dated *July 6th* 1891
Hagan Magistrate.
Conr Officer.
2nd Dist Precinct.

Witnesses *Oliver Hagan*
No. *220 Amsterdam Ave* Street.

No. _____ Street.
No. *700* Street.
to answer *G.S.*



*Bailed
Bill ordered*

BAILED,
No. 1, by *Attorney of bail*
Residence *Deposit at City Chambers*
No. 2, by _____
Residence _____ Street.
No. 3, by _____
Residence _____ Street.
No. 4, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

0415

State of New York,
City and County of New York, } ss.

Omer Hagan

of No. *20 Amsterdam Avenue* Street, being duly sworn, deposes and says,

that *Samuel Hagan* (now present) is the person of the name of

Jones mentioned in deponent's affidavit of the *6th*

day of *July* 18*97*, hereunto annexed.

Sworn to before me, this

day of *July* 18*97*

Omer Hagan

[Signature]
POLICE JUSTICE.

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

Samuel H. Jones

THE GRAND JURY OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, by this indictment, accuse Samuel H. Jones

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY in the second degree, committed as follows:

The said Samuel H. Jones,

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the tenth day of January, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-one, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

one written instrument and evidence of contract of the said Samuel H. Jones as a policy of life insurance issued by a certain corporation known as the National Life Association of Hartford, whereby the said corporation insured the respondent of the sum of five hundred dollars to one Owen Hagan in the event of the death of Emma Hagan his wife, the said Emma Hagan having after the issuing of said policy and before the day and year aforesaid died (a more particular description of which said policy is to be found in the original instrument) of the value of five hundred dollars, of the goods, chattels and personal property of the said Owen Hagan.

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 10th day of January, 1891.