

0206

BOX:

499

FOLDER:

4550

DESCRIPTION:

Monroe, James

DATE:

10/31/92



4550

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0207

Nicholas Healey
632 Washington St

Witnesses:

Off Barakat

Mudman for
Hemmyford Over

Counsel,

Filed 31 day of 1892

Pleads, Pleads, and

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Grand Larceny,
(From the Person.)
[Sections 528, 530,
Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

B. Lockwood

Foreman.

2 m 4 m 10 m 12 m 14 m 16 m 18 m 20 m 22 m 24 m 26 m 28 m 30 m 32 m 34 m 36 m 38 m 40 m 42 m 44 m 46 m 48 m 50 m 52 m 54 m 56 m 58 m 60 m 62 m 64 m 66 m 68 m 70 m 72 m 74 m 76 m 78 m 80 m 82 m 84 m 86 m 88 m 90 m 92 m 94 m 96 m 98 m 100 m

Police Court—3 District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County }
of New York, } ss.

of No. 423 E 15th Street, aged 37 years,
occupation Wood Carver being duly sworn,

deposes and says, that on the 25th day of October 1897 at the City of New

York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession ~~and~~
person of deponent, in the night time, the following property, viz:

One Silver Watch and
Gold chain the whole valued
at or about Eight dollars

\$ 8.00

the property of

Seppman

and that this deponent
has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen
and carried away by James Monroe (Nathan)

from the fact that deponent was
standing on Third Avenue this City
at the hour three o'clock p.m.
that he had said property in his
vest pocket. That defendant
took said property from the person
of deponent and then ran away.
Officer Brown arrested the defendant
and found said property in
the possession of defendant.

Ferdinand Bremer

Sworn to before me, this
of 26 day

[Signature]
Police Justice.

Sec. 198-200.

3 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss:

James Morrow being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he sees fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *James Morrow*.

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *28 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *New York*

Question. Where do you live and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *97 West Houston Street 7 years*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Truck Driver*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer.

I am not guilty
James Morrow

Taken before me this

day of

189

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0210

BAILED.

No. 1, by _____
Residence _____
Street _____

No. 2, by _____
Residence _____
Street _____

No. 3, by _____
Residence _____
Street _____

No. 4, by _____
Residence _____
Street _____

Police Court, 3 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Frederick Pearson
423 E 15th St
James Monahan

Offense, Larceny
from the person

Dated, Oct 20 1892

Duffy Magistrate.

Boyle Officer.

Witnesses Precinct 14

No. _____
Street _____

No. _____
Street _____

No. _____
Street _____

No. _____
Street _____

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of _____ Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, Oct 26 1892 _____ Police Justice.

I have have admitted the above-named _____ to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____ guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

People vs. James Monroe.

#632 Washington Str. is a stable
occupied by Charles Jetter
of 276 Blecker Str. J. S. Slift
247 Blecker Str. H. Knelle
132 Christopher Str. and
J. W. Slockow 11 Morton Str.
It is on the corner of Barrow
#594 Washington Str. is
where Nicholas Healy stables
it is between Leroy & Clarkson
or two & a half blocks
below #632 Washington Str.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

02 12

1701

SUBPOENA FOR A WITNESS TO ATTEND THE GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE.

In the Name of the People of the State of New York.

To

of No.

Street

YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear before the Court of General Sessions of the Peace in and for the City and County of New York, at the Sessions Building, adjoining the New Court House in the City Hall Park, in the City of New York, on the day of **NOVEMBER** 1892, at **3.30** o'clock in the **after**noon of the same day, as a witness in a criminal action prosecuted by the People of the State of New York, against

Dated at the City of New York, the first Monday of
in the year of our Lord 1892.

DE LANCEY NICOLL, District Attorney.

PART I.

THE COURT ROOM IS IN THE SECOND STORY AND FRONTING THE PARK.
If this Subpoena is disobeyed, an attachment will immediately issue.
Bring this Subpoena with you, and give it to the officer at the Court Room door, that your attendance may be known.

[SEE OTHER SIDE FOR OTHER DIRECTIONS.]

594

Chas. Jetter
276 Bluecher

J. J. Jett
247 Bluecher

H. K. Kelle
132 Christopher

J. W. Blackbore
11 Morton

all milkmen
who stable
at 632 Washington

Should the case not be called on for trial, and no reason assigned in Court, please inquire in the District Attorney's Office about it, and you may save time.
If inconvenient to remain, and you prefer another day, state this early to the District Attorney, in the Court.
If ill when served, please send timely word to the District Attorney's Office.
If you know of more testimony than was produced before the Magistrate, or if a fact which you think material was not there brought out, please state the same to the District Attorney or one of his Assistants.

Court of General Sessions.

THE PEOPLE

vs.

City and County of New York, ss:

sworn, deposes and says: I reside at No.

Street, in the City of New York. I am a Subpoena server in the office of the District Attorney of the City and County of New York. On the

I called at

the alleged

the complainant herein, to serve him with the annexed subpoena, and was informed by

the
Stable Keeper of the above address that
no such person by the name of
Nicholas Healey lived or worked
there. No. 632 Washington is a stable
used by milk dealers. I also
inquired of the policeman on that
post and he did not know of
any such person

Sworn to before me, this

of

18th day
November 1892

John H. Reilly
Court of Dicks
N. Y. City

Subpoena Server.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

02 15

Court of General Sessions.

THE PEOPLE, on the Complaint of

vs.

Offense:

Defendant's name
JOHN R. FELLOWS,

District Attorney.

Affiant of

John W. Reilly
Siding Server.

Failure to find Witness.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
against

James Monroe

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

James Monroe
of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY in the *first* degree, committed as follows:
The said *James Monroe*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *30th*
day of *October* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and
ninety-*two*, in the *night*-time of the said day, at the City and County aforesaid,
with force and arms,

*one watch of the value
of four dollars and one
chain of the value of four
dollars*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one *Ferdinand Greiner*
on the person of the said *Ferdinand Greiner*
then and there being found, from the person of the said *Ferdinand Greiner*
then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in
such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York
and their dignity.

*Wm Lacey Nicoll,
District Attorney*

02 17

BOX:

499

FOLDER:

4550

DESCRIPTION:

Morris, Charles

DATE:

10/20/92



4550

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

02 18

Witnesses

Charles Land
Anna Moscovitz

James [illegible]

169

Counsel, *Do*

Filed

day of

1892

Pleaded

Guilty

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Charles Martin

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

B. Greenwood

Foreman.

Charles T. [illegible]

SP. 270 [illegible]

[Section 498, Burglary in the Third Degree.]

Police Court— 3 District.

City and County }
of New York, } ss.:

of No. 102 East Broadway Street, aged 21 years,

occupation Laundry being duly sworn

deposes and says, that the premises No 102 East Broadway Ward

in the City and County aforesaid the said being a dwelling house, the 1st
floor of
and which was occupied by deponent as a laundry and living apartment
and in which there was at the time a human being, by name

attempted to be
were **BURGLARIOUSLY** entered by means of forcibly opening the
window leading to said store

on the 16 day of October 1892 in the day time, and the
was attempted to be
property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz:

A quantity of wearing apparel
of the value of One hundred dollars

the property of deponent and others

and deponent further says, that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid
BURGLARY was committed and the aforesaid property taken, stolen and carried away by

Charles Marks (now here) and
another man not arrested

for the reasons following, to wit: that said store was

securely locked and fastened and

said property was therein. Deponent found

said shutters broken open and deponent

is informed by Anne Proskowsky (now here) that

she was looking out of the rear window

on the second floor and saw the

deponent and the unknown man

breaking open the shutters

5 11

Deponent to before me on 17th Oct 1892

Notar Public

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0220

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.
OF NEW YORK,

1921

aged 12 years, occupation School - Girl of No. 102 East 13th Street, being duly sworn, deposes and
says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Charles Law
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own
knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 14 day
of Oct 1892

Annie Markowitz

[Signature]

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0221

Sec. 198-200.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss:

District Police Court.

Charles Marks being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he sees fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Charles Marks

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

21 years.

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

New York

Question. Where do you live and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

140 Attorney St, 12 yrs.

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Plasterer

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer.

I am not guilty

Charles Marks

Taken before me this

day of

189

Police Justice

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0222

BAILED,
No. 1, by _____
Residence _____ Street _____
No. 2, by _____
Residence _____ Street _____
No. 3, by _____
Residence _____ Street _____
No. 4, by _____
Residence _____ Street _____

Police Court District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

William D. Ball
182 1/2 E. Broadway
Charles H. Hitt

Dated, *Dec 17* 189*9*

2 _____
3 _____
4 _____

Offense?

Any Law

William D. Ball
Magistrate.
Officer.

Witnesses *William D. Ball*
No. *100 E. Broadway* Street
Charles H. Hitt
No. *102 1/2 E. Broadway* Street

No. _____
to answer _____
Street _____

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named *Defendant*

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *100* Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, *Dec 17* 189*9* *W. D. Ball* Police Justice.

I have have admitted the above-named _____ to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____ guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

483

Court of General Sessions of the Peace
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Charles Morris

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Charles Morris of the crime of attempting to commit
of the CRIME OF BURGLARY IN THE THIRD DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

Charles Morris

late of the 7th Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the
sixteenth day of October in the year of our Lord one
thousand eight hundred and ninety-two, in the day - time of the same day, at the
Ward, City and County aforesaid, a certain building there situate, to wit, the laundry of
one

Charley Lau

there situate, feloniously and burglariously did ^{attempt to} break into and enter, with intent to commit some
crime therein, to wit: with intent the goods, chattels and personal property of the said Charley
Lau in the said laundry

then and there being, then and there feloniously and burglariously to steal, take and carry away,
against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the
People of the State of New York and their dignity.

De launcey Nicoll
District Attorney

0224

BOX:

499

FOLDER:

4550

DESCRIPTION:

Mullett, Nicholas

DATE:

10/20/92



4550

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0225

Witnesses:

Counsel,

Filed, day of

1892

Plaintiffs,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

B

POLICY.
[§ 844, Penal Code.]

Nicholas Mullet

Defendant the 16th of 1932
See for proof within 12 in the
Name of the indicted under a name
the Doctor used in 1929/1932
See report in open Court 1932/1935
a report = from 1935

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

B. Woodward

Foreman.

1932

AFFIDAVIT-Selling Lottery Policies.

CITY AND COUNTY
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

L District Police Court,

John Buzzi
of No. 40 Bards Street, being duly sworn,
deposes and says, that on the 15 day of October
1862, at premises No. 309 East 44th Street,
in the City and County of New York,

Nicholas Mullitt (now here)
did unlawfully and feloniously sell and vend to

Deponent
a certain paper and document, the same being what is commonly
known as, and is called a Lottery Policy, and which said Lottery
Policy, writing, paper, and document is as follows, that is to say:

Deponent entered the above premises and
paid said defendant the sum of Ten Cents
for which deponent received from said defendant
the paper here to attached representing to be two 999
violation of Section 344 of the Penal Code of the State of New
York
Which deponent charges was in violation of the statute in such
case made and provided, and prays that the said Nicholas
Mullitt may be dealt with according to law.

Sworn to before me, this

day of

16
Oct 1884 } John Buzzi

Charles K. Linton

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0227

Sec. 198—200.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss:

District Police Court.

Nicholas Mullett being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he sees fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Nicholas Mullett

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

74 Years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

Switzerland

Question. Where do you live and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

309 East 44th Street. 1 Year

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Carpenter

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer.

I am not guilty
N. Mullett

Taken before me this

day of

1897

Charles W. Hamilton

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0228

BAILLED,
No. 1, by Adeline Turk
Residence 206 1/2 16th St. New York
No. 2, by _____
Residence _____
No. 3, by _____
Residence _____
No. 4, by _____
Residence _____
Street _____

Police Court... X District 1287

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

John Ruggie
40 1/2 16th St.

Archie Muelker

Offences Telling
False Police

Dated Oct 16 1892

Samuel Magistrate.

Mumphy Officer.

23 Precinct.

Witnesses _____

No. _____ Street _____

No. _____ Street _____

No. _____ Street _____

No. _____ Street _____

No. _____ Street _____

No. _____ Street _____

No. _____ Street _____

Barber

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Adeline Turk

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars, _____ and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated Oct 16 1892 Charles N. Linter Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named Adeline Turk to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated Oct 16 1892 Charles N. Linter Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____ guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated _____ 1892 _____ Police Justice.

NEW YORK GENERAL SESSIONS.

-----X
THE PEOPLE, &c., :

--against-- :

VICTOR MULLETT :
-----X

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, SS:

MAX STEINITZ, of said City, being duly sworn, says: that he resides at No. 406 East 72nd Street, of said City; that he knew the above named defendant in his life time; that the above named defendant is the same person who signed the statement attached to the complaint against him in this action as N. Mullett, although the complaint herein and the indictment thereon found designate said defendant as Victor Mullett; that said N. Mullett, who was Nicholas Muller, (the "N" standing for Nicholas), died on April 16th, 1893, at No. 309 East 44th Street, in the City of New York; that he is the same person named and described herein as the defendant in the above entitled action.

Sworn to before me this)
13th day of February, 1895.)

Adam M. S.
Notary Public
Residence 107 W. 4th St.

Max Steinitz

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0230

NEW YORK GENERAL SESSIONS.

-----X
THE PEOPLE, &c., :

--against--

VICTOR MULLETT :
-----X

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, SS:

MAX STEINITZ, of said City, being duly sworn, says: that he resides at No. 406 East 72nd Street, of said City; that he knew the above named defendant in his life time; that the above named defendant is the same person who signed the statement attached to the complaint against him in this action as N. Mullett, although the complaint herein and the indictment thereon found designate said defendant as Victor Mullett; that said N. Mullett, who was Nicholas Muller, (the "N" standing for Nicholas), died on April 16th, 1893, at No. 309 East 44th Street, in the City of New York; that he is the same person named and described herein as the defendant in the above entitled action.

Sworn to before me this)
13th day of February, 1895.)

Max Steinitz

C. J. Davis
Notary Public
Resident of New York City

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0231

19 II--894

NEW YORK, Feby. 14th 1895.

A Transcript from the Records of the Deaths Reported to the Health Department of the City of New York.

COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

STATE OF NEW YORK.

CITY OF NEW YORK.

CERTIFICATE AND RECORD OF DEATH

No. of Certificate,

14562

Nicholas Müller

I hereby certify that I attended deceased from Nov. 10 1892 to Apr. 15 1893 that I last saw him alive on the 15 day of April 1893, that he died on the 16 day of April 1893, about 9 o'clock A. M. or P. M., and that to best of my knowledge and belief, the cause of his death was as hereunder written:

Chief Cause,

Senectus

Contributing Cause,

Bronchitis

Duration of Disease.

6 months

Sanitary Observations,

Witness my hand this 16 day of April 1893

Place of Burial, Calvary Cem. (SIGNATURE),

Date of Burial, Apr. 18 1893

Undertaker, Emm. A. Brunner RESIDENCE,

Residence, 872 2 av.

C. H. Weinholts M. D.

157 E 82

Burial permits issued at Criminal Court Building, Centre, White, Elm and Franklin Streets, Week days, 7 A. M.-5 P. M. Sundays and Holidays, 8 A. M.-5 P. M.

Date of Death.	Full Name.	Age in years, mos. and days.	Color.	Single, Married or Widowed.	Occupation.	Birthplace.	How long in U. S. if foreign born.	How long resident in New York City.	Father's Name.	Father's Birthplace.	Mother's Name.	Mother's Birthplace.	Place of Death.	Last place of Residence.	Class of Dwelling (A house occupied by more than two families).	Direct cause of Death.	Indirect cause of Death.	Date of Record.
April 16 1893	Nicholas Müller	75 yrs. 1 mo. 3 days	white	Married	laborer	Germany	40 years	10 years	Don't know	Germany	Don't know	Germany	309 E. 44 th St.	" " "	" " "	Senectus	Senectus	Apr. 17. 1893

A True Copy.

C. Goldman

NOTICE.-In issuing this transcript of record, the Health Department of the City of New York does not certify to the truth of the record transcribed. The seal of the Board of Health attests only the correctness of the transcript, and no inquiry as to the facts reported has been provided for by law.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0232

NEW YORK GENERAL SESSIONS.

THE PEOPLE &c.,

--against--

VICTOR MULLEN

AFFIDAVIT.

JAMES OLIVER,
Defendant's Attorney,
145 Broadway, New York.

NEW YORK GENERAL SESSIONS.

-----X
THE PEOPLE, &c.,

--against--

VICTOR MULLETT.
-----X

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, SS:

MAX STEINITZ, of said City, being duly sworn, says: that he resides at No. 406 East 72nd Street, of said City; that he knew the above named defendant in his life time; that the above named defendant is the same person who signed the statement attached to the complaint against him in this action as H. Mullett, although the complaint herein and the indictment thereon found designate said defendant as Victor Mullett; that said H. Mullett, who was Nicholas Muller, (the "H" standing for Nicholas), died on April 16th, 1893, at No. 309 East 44th Street, in the City of New York; that he is the same person named and described herein as the defendant in the above entitled action.

Sworn to before me this)
13th day of February, 1895.)

Max Steinitz

Adams
Henry
Lawrence

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0234

19 H-1894

NEW YORK, *Apr 13*

2005

1895

A Transcript from the Records of the Deaths Reported to the Health
Department of the City of New York.

COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

STATE OF NEW YORK.

CITY OF NEW YORK.

CERTIFICATE AND RECORD OF DEATH

No. of Certificate,

14562

OF

Nicholas Myler

I hereby certify that I attended deceased from *Nov 10* 1892 to *April 15* 1893
that I last saw him alive on the *15* day of *April* 1893, that he died on the
16 day of *April* 1893, about *7* o'clock A. M. or P. M., and that to best of my
knowledge and belief, the cause of his death was as hereunder written:

Chief Cause,

Tuberculosis

Duration of Disease.

Contributing Cause,

Bronchitis

Concussion

Sanitary Observations,

Witness my hand this

16 day of *April* 1893

Place of Burial,

Calvary Cem

(SIGNATURE),

C. H. Weinberg

M. D.

Undertaker,

Edw. A. Brunner

RESIDENCE,

187 E 82

Residence,

872 20th

Burial permits issued at Criminal Court Building, Centre, White, Elm and Franklin Streets,

Week days, 7 A. M.-6 P. M.
Sundays and Holidays, 8 A. M.-5 P. M.

Date of Death.	Full Name.	Age, in years, months and days.	Color.	Single, Married or Widowed.	Occupation.	Birthplace.	How long in U. S. if foreign born.	How long resident in New York City.	Father's Name.	Father's Birthplace.	Mother's Name.	Mother's Birthplace.	Place of Death.	Last place of Residence.	Class of Dwelling (rent being paid by more than two families).	Direct cause of Death.	Indirect cause of Death.	Date of Record.
<i>April 16 93</i>	<i>Nicholas Myler</i>	<i>75 4 mo 3 d</i>	<i>White</i>	<i>Married</i>	<i>Gasier</i>	<i>Germany</i>	<i>44 1/2</i>	<i>10 1/2</i>	<i>don't know</i>	<i>Germany</i>	<i>don't know</i>	<i>Germany</i>	<i>309 E 44</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>don't know</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>

A True Copy.

C. G. Laiman

NOTICE.-In issuing this transcript of record, the Health Department of the City of New York does not certify to the truth of the record transcribed, and no inquiry as to the facts reported has been provided for by law.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0235

NEW YORK GENERAL SESSIONS.

THE PEOPLE &c.,

--against--

VICTOR MULLETT.

APPILAVIT.

JAMES OLIVER,
Defendant's Attorney,
145 Broadway, New York.

TORN PAGE

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0236

24-25-30

12-14-15

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0237

June 24 1893

This is to certify that
I buried Nicklas Müller
April 18. 1893. from 309. E 44th

Fred A. Brunner

Undertaker

872. 2nd Ave.

FRED. A. BRUNNER,
UNDERTAKER,

872 Second Avenue,

Next Door to Church.

Not a Public Undertaker, New York.

Dr. Chas. H. Weinholtz,

157 EAST 82D STREET,

BET. THIRD & LEXINGTON AVES.,

OFFICE HOURS: (8 to 9 A. M.
2 to 3 P. M.
6 to 8 P. M.)

New York, June 24 1893.

This is to certify, that Mrs
Nic Müller of 309 East
44th Street, died on the
16th of April 1893.

Dr. Weinholtz.

John J. Lusk
Samuel Lusk
Joseph Lusk
Wm. Lusk

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0238

19 FORM H.

NEW YORK, Dec. 5, 1893

A Transcript from the Records of the Deaths Reported to
the Health Department of the City of New York.

COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

STATE OF NEW YORK.

CITY OF NEW YORK.

CERTIFICATE AND RECORD OF DEATH

No. of Certificate,

Nicholas OF Müller

141562

I hereby certify, that I attended deceased from Nov. 10, 1892 to April 15, 1893,
that I last saw ~~him~~ him alive on the 15th day of April, 1893, that he died on the
16th day of April, 1893, about 7 o'clock A. M. or P. M., and that to best of my
knowledge and belief, the cause of his death was as hereunder written:

Chief Cause,

Pneumonia

Duration of Disease.

Contributing Cause,

Bronchitis

10 days

Sanitary Observations

Witness my hand this 16 day of April, 1893

Place of Burial, Calvary (SIGNATURE),

Date of Burial, April 18, 1893

Undertaker, Wm. A. Brennan RESIDENCE,

Residence, 872 2nd Ave

C. H. Weinhold, M. D.
157 E. 82.

Burial permits issued at 301 Mott Street, Room 38, Week days, 7 A. M.-6 P. M. Sundays and Holidays, 8 A. M.-5 P. M.

Date of Death	Full Name	Age in years, months and days	Color	Single, Married or Widowed	Occupation	Birthplace	How long in U. S. If foreign born	How long resident in New York City	Father's Name	Father's Birthplace	Mother's Name	Mother's Birthplace	Place of Death	Last place of Residence	Closed Drilling (A house containing a house occupied by more than two families)	Direct cause of Death	Indirect cause of Death	Date of Record
<u>April 16, 1893</u>	<u>Nicholas Müller</u>	<u>75 years, 1 month & 6 days</u>	<u>White</u>	<u>Married</u>	<u>Teacher</u>	<u>Germany</u>	<u>10 years</u>	<u>10 "</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>Germany</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>Germany</u>	<u>309 E. 44.</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>Pneumonia</u>	<u>Bronchitis</u>	<u>April 14, 1893</u>

A True Copy.

C. H. Weinhold

NOTICE.—In issuing this transcript of record, the Health Department of the City of New York does not certify to the truth of the record transcribed. The seal of the Board of Health attests only the correctness of the transcripts, and no inquiry as to the facts reported has been provided for by law.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

AGAINST

Nicholas Mullett

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment accuse

Nicholas Mullett
of the CRIME OF SELLING WHAT IS COMMONLY CALLED A LOTTERY POLICY, committed as follows :

The said

Nicholas Mullett

late of the City of New York in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *nineteenth* day of *October* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*two*, at the City and County aforesaid, feloniously did sell to one

what is commonly called a Lottery Policy, the same being a certain paper and writing, as follows, that is to say :

23 25 30 21 5
12 17 18 15 3

(a more particular description of which said paper and writing so commonly called a Lottery Policy is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, and cannot now be given), against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT:

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment further accuse the said

Nicholas Mullett

of the CRIME OF SELLING A PAPER, WRITING AND DOCUMENT IN THE NATURE OF A BET AND WAGER UPON THE DRAWING OF A LOTTERY, committed as follows :

The said

Nicholas Mullett

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, feloniously did sell to one *John Buzzi*

**POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL**

0240

a certain paper, writing and document in the nature of a bet and wager upon the drawing of a certain lottery, the same being a scheme for the distribution of property by chance among persons who had paid or agreed to pay a valuable consideration for such chance (a more particular description of which said lottery is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, and cannot now be given), which said paper, writing and document is as follows, that is to say :

23 25 30 21 5
12 7 18 75 5

(a more particular description of which said paper, writing and document is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, and cannot now be given), against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the people of the State of New York and their dignity.

THIRD COUNT:

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment further accuse the said

Nicholas Mullett

of the CRIME OF SELLING A WRITING, PAPER AND DOCUMENT IN THE NATURE OF AN INSURANCE UPON THE DRAWING OF A LOTTERY, committed as follows :

The said

Nicholas Mullett

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, feloniously did sell to one

John Buzzell

a certain paper, writing and document in the nature of an insurance upon the drawing of a certain lottery, the same being a scheme for the distribution of property by chance among certain persons who had paid or agreed to pay a valuable consideration for such chance (a more particular description of which said lottery is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown and cannot now be given), which said paper, writing and document is as follows, that is to say :

23 25 30 21 5
12 7 18 75 5

(a more particular description of which said paper, writing and document is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, and cannot now be given), against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

FOURTH COUNT:

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment further accuse the said

Nicholas Mullett

of the CRIME OF SELLING A PAPER, WRITING AND DOCUMENT IN THE NATURE OF A BET AND WAGER UPON THE DRAWN NUMBERS OF A LOTTERY, committed as follows :

The said

Nicholas Mullett

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, feloniously did sell to one

John Buzzi

a certain paper, writing and document in the nature of a bet and wager upon the drawn numbers of a certain lottery, the same being a scheme for the distribution of property by chance among persons who had paid or agreed to pay a valuable consideration for such chance (a more particular description of which said lottery is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, and cannot now be given), which said paper, writing and document is as follows, that is to say:

23 25 30 21 5
12 7 18 15 5

(a more particular description of which said paper, writing and document is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, and cannot now be given), against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

FIFTH COUNT:

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment further accuse the said

Nicholas Mullett

of the CRIME OF SELLING A WRITING, PAPER AND DOCUMENT IN THE NATURE OF AN INSURANCE UPON THE DRAWN NUMBERS OF A LOTTERY, committed as follows:

The said

Nicholas Mullett

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, feloniously did sell to one

John Buzzi

a certain paper, writing and document in the nature of an insurance upon the drawn numbers of a certain lottery, the same being a scheme for the distribution of property by chance among certain persons who had paid or agreed to pay a valuable consideration for such chance (a more particular description of which said lottery is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, and cannot now be given), which said paper, writing and document is as follows, that is to say:

23 25 30 21 5
12 7 18 15 5

(a more particular description of which said paper, writing and document is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, and cannot now be given), against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

0242

BOX:

499

FOLDER:

4550

DESCRIPTION:

Murphy, Mary Ellen

DATE:

10/07/92



4550

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0243

Witnesses:

Mary Rourke

Counsel,

Filed

Pleads,

May of

1892

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Mary Ellen Murphy

Grand Larceny,
(From the Person,
Degree.
Penal Code.)

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

B. Boocwood

Foreman.

Oct 17/92

Spicl Concedet

3/20/11 reffer
ad 20/1/94

20

Police Court

District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County }
of New York, ss:

of No. 542 East 86th Street, aged 51 years,
occupation Housekeeper being duly sworn,

deposes and says, that on the 26 day of September 1892 at the City of
New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away
from the possession of deponent, in the day time, the following property, viz:

A pocket book - containing good and
lawful money of the United States
of the amount of forty dollars
($\$40 \frac{00}{100}$)

the property of Deponent

and that this deponent
has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloni-
ously taken, stolen and carried away by

Mary Ellen Murphy
(now here) from the following facts to wit:
That between the hours of three and four
o'clock P. M. of the aforesaid date, deponent
was in the store of R. H. Macy & Co at
the corner of 14th Street and 6th Avenue -
and at that time the aforesaid pocketbook
containing the said sum of money, was in
a patchel which deponent held in her
hand, and that deponent is informed by
Mary Plunkett, that she saw the defendant
place her hand in the said patchel, and
take the aforesaid pocketbook from said
patchel - and she is further informed by
said Mary Plunkett that she found the

said pocketbook with the aforesaid sum of money, concealed in a pocket of the Dress then and there worn on the person of the defendant. Dependent further says that she has seen the said pocketbook containing the aforesaid sum of money which was found on the person of the defendant by said Mary Plunkett and fully recognizes the same as her property - and as property which was stolen from her on the aforesaid date - dependent therefore asks that the defendant may be held to answer -

Sworn to before me Elizabeth E. Richard
this 27 day of September 1892. Marie

Police Justice

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0246

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

aged _____ years, occupation Mary Plunkett of No. 24

R. B. Macy and Co

Street, being duly sworn, deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of

Charles Chas. H. H.

and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 24

day of Sept 1890, 24

Mary Plunkett

H. J. White

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0247

(1335)

Sec. 198-200.

2

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.
OF NEW YORK, }

Mary Ellen Murphy being duly examined before the under-
signed according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is h right to
make a statement in relation to the charge against h, that the statement is designed to
enable h if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h
that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiver cannot be used
against h on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Mary Ellen Murphy

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

19 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

New York

Question. Where do you live and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

39 East 58 Street -

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Dressmaking

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony
against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer.

I am not guilty

Mary Ellen Murphy

Taken before me this

day of

189

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0248

BAILED,
No. 1, by _____
Residence _____ Street _____
No. 2, by _____
Residence _____ Street _____
No. 3, by _____
Residence _____ Street _____
No. 4, by _____
Residence _____ Street _____

Police Court

District

THE PEOPLE, vs.
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Charles J. ...
to 372 East 8th St
May 1892

Dated

Sept 27

1892

Residence

Ward

Magistrate

Residence

Ward

Officer

Residence

Ward

Precinct

Residence

Ward

Officer

Residence

Ward

Officer

No.

500

Street

No.

300

Street

No.

300

Street

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named *Ward*

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *Five* Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, *September 27* 1892 *John M. Ryan* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named

to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, _____ 189

Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named

guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, _____ 189

Police Justice.

RECEIVED
CLERK OF THE
COURT
WILLIAMSBURG
VIRGINIA

The People vs
Elizabeth Erhardt

Mary Ellen Murphy

Examination Before Justice Ryan
Sept 30 1892

For the defendant - Mr M. Mannus.

Mary A Plunkett a witness for
the People, being duly sworn
and cross examined on her
affidavit before and says:-

Q What is your business?

A I am assistant to the Superintendent
as Detective for R H. Macy & Co

Q How long have you been engaged
in that business?

A About six years

Q Where had you been employed
before?

A In Macy's

Q Before your present employment?

any other person

A. Yes, the Superintendent

Q Did you arrest her yourself?

A No; the Civil office
relative

Q It was some time before
the officer came?

A It did not take any longer
than ten minutes

Q In the mean time what was
done

A She was simply watched there.
The Rocket book was taken
from her.

Q Did you detain her?

A Yes

Q Yourself individually?

A No

Q Some one in the store?

A The Superintendent or

Q Did you make an examination
of her clothing?

A No; nobody touched her

Q Have you a private room when
you examine suspected persons?

A She was not there at all

2 Have you a private room where you take people who are suspected?

A No sir

2 No room used for that purpose?

A No.

2 None whatever?

A No.

2 Never have had?

A We have a small room on 13th street where we have obliged to take them several times

2 Did you go there?

A Yes.

2 And you swear that you watched this woman thrust her hand into this lady's bag?

A Yes

2 And you saw her take out this pocket book?

A Yes

2 What did she do with it?

A. She put it in her pocket
Q What side?

A That side (Lonting)

Q Do you know right or left?

A Yes - ~~right~~ left hand side

Q What did you do?

A I went up to her and addressed her as Mary Ellen Murphy. I said "You have got her pocket book" she said "Yes" I will divvy with you if you will not make trouble" she said "I will divvy with you." I said "That is not my business at all"

Q Did you arrest her?

A No. I took the pocket book out of her pocket.

Q Did she make any resistance?

A No.

Q She did not attempt to throw it away?

A No.

5 Q After the pocket book was taken

A In Macy's

Q In the capacity of detective?

A I have been employed in Macy's
for twelve years

Q What did you see Defendant
do?

A I saw her in the store
between 3 and 4 o'clock
I knew she was a professional
pickpocket. I saw her in the
basement. I saw her put her
hand in the bag that this
lady carried on her arm, and
take out the pocket book
containing the money.

Q What did you do?

A I notified the detective of the
Central Office

Q Did you keep her under watch?

A Yes

Q Did you call any person to
arrest her?

A The detective of the Central
Office - yes sir

Q Did you call the attention of

How long before you spoke to her

A It was three minutes

Q Five minutes

A It was not three minutes

Q Where was this pocket book taken?

A In the basement - the second aisle from the elevator.

Q You knew the complainant?

A I knew her as May Ellen Murphy a pickpocket. When she took the pocket book from the bag I went right over to her and spoke to her she admitted you she had the pocket book and said if I would not arrest her she would "divvy up."

Q You did not "divvy"?

A No. I do not "divvy" with anybody.

Q Have you made any statement other than that you have made here?

A No Sir

Q You say you saw her take the Rocket book out of the woman's pocket?

A I saw her take the Rocket book out of the woman's pocket.

Q You grabbed hold of her?

A No. I went right up to her

Q any other Rocket book in her possession?

A There is another Rocket book that she claims as her own

Q You knew it was the woman's Rocket book

A When the lady came and identified it I knew it.

Q When she took it where were you?

A When she took the Rocket book I went up to her and got the Rocket book I took it out of her pocket

Q You were very close?

A Yes: I was right behind her after it was later. He said: Why didn't you notice that woman with black crepe standing right alongside of me.

Q Was there such a woman in black crepe there?

A Not that I know of.

Q Many people standing around?

A No.

Q You followed the complainant.

A Yes.

Q How long had you followed her?

A She came in sometime between 3 and 4, and this was done between 4 and 5.

Q And you tracked this girl in town or in down and a half?

A Yes, Sir.

Q When was she arrested?

A About half past four

8 By the Court

Q As soon as you saw the
Defendant did you know
she was a Professional Pickpocket?

A Yes.

By Mr. McManis

Q Did you see another?

A No, sir.

Q Did you see cause he
arrest?

A No sir.

By the Court

Q - You make the charge because
you saw her take this pocket
book from this woman's pocket
and put it in her pocket
and then you went up and
took this pocket book from
her pocket

A Yes Sir.

Elizabeth Erhardt being duly
sworn on the complaining witness
and examined by the Court before
and says: -

Now Examined by Mr Mc Mann

Q What time did you go into the store on the afternoon in question;

A Between 3 and 4 o'clock.

Q What time did you miss the pocket book?

A Some time - a little while after it was not long after - I had bought from little child about five minutes before - I missed the about five minutes after I had bought

By the Court

Q How long were you in the store prior to the taking of this pocket book?

A About 15 minutes

By Mr Mc Mann

Q Who returned the pocket book to you?

A Nobody at all

10 Q Who showed it to you?

A I saw it here in court.

Q Had you taken that pocket book out for any purpose

A No sir; I had a little pocket book lying on top of it and what I found I hand out of that.

Q Any other person with you?

A My daughter.

Q Did you have no occasion to take your pocket book out of your pocket?

A No sir.

Q At any time?

A No sir.

By the Court Q Where is the place?

A No sir.

By the Court Q

Q You had your pocket book open?

A It was opened by her.

Q Did you not have occasion to open it to make purchases - to take out this little pocket book?

A Yes

2 then it goes over.

A not white flower bud

2 You opened the ~~book~~ ^{book} to the patch to take out the small pocket book?

A 40.

2 Then for a minute your
salute was over.

A It was closed up when I
put the activity in

2 What kind of lock?

At New it is Zoucan see -
 I can put to push it - I
 Mont see how she put it
 Over -

2 It was not out of
your hand:

A No mi.

2 The first you knew that you
 had lost took you later was
 when it was shown to you
 by Mrs Blumsett

A. L. L. L.

2. You looked at the contents,

12 A Z zu

Q How much money were there
in it?

A Forty dollars and a Long
Island R.R. Ticket.

Q You did not know how it
came into Mr. Plunkett's possession?

A No sir; I did not see
the woman take the pocket
book.

Q All you know is what
Mrs. Plunkett tells you?

A Yes.

Q Your satchel was opened?

A Yes.

By the Court

Q Were you present when the
woman was searched?

A No.

Q When did you see the pocket
book first after Mr. Lally
had noticed you?

A After she had put it
again.

Q Who

13 A Mrs. Plunkett

2 After you had missed your pocket book, the first time you saw it after you missed it was in the hands of Mrs Blunkett?

A Yes Sir

2 Was there any witness with you - have you a witness that saw you search this woman and take the pocket book from her?

A Not in court.

2 Are there any that were present at the time?

A Yes.

2 Who?

A The elevator man

2 What is his name?

A I can't tell - I can find out his name.

2 Anybody else?

A No - with the exception of customers

2 ~~Any~~ Any other employees of the establishment?

A No Sir.

Q When you took the Pocket
book away from Miss Murphy
did you show it to any one
other than the complainant
here?

A Yes. The Superintendent of
the house.

Q At what time?

A Before I had showed it to this
lady.

Q You went up to the Superintendent?

A I told him we had Mary
Ellen. They all know her
as Mary Ellen.

Q You knew her?

A Yes.

By the Court.

Q How do you know her?

A I have been here when she
was arrested from Ottumwa.

Q You knew her as a Professional
 thief?

A Yes. People kept

kept her to receive \$3000 fine

THE PEOPLE

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS, PART I.

vs

BEFORE JUDGE FITZGERALD.

MARY ELLEN MURPHY.

Monday, October 17, 1892.

Indictment for grand larceny in the second degree.

A. Jury was empannelled and sworn.

MARY PLUNKETT, sworn and examined:

I live at 144 West 17th street, I am assistant to the detective in R. H. Macy & Co's, I have been employed by Macy & Co. twelve years. I saw the defendant at the bar in Macy's store on September 26, 1892, between three and four o'clock in the afternoon, I saw her enter the store, I had seen the defendant before . What did you see her do? Down in the basement where the house-furnishing goods are she opened a bag carried by Mrs. Erhardt on her left arm and took a pocket-book out containing money and placed in her jacket pocket on the left hand side. I went up to her and spoke, I accused her of taking the pocket-book. She said, "Now, we are both two women, I am a close mouthed girl, I will "divvy" with you." I said, "I am not in that kind of business." I took the pocket-book out of her pocket on the left hand side; she was next placed in the hands of the detective, I gave the pocket-book to the detective, I opened it and found twenty dollars in bills and twenty dollars in gold. I gave it to detective-sergeant Vallyely of the Central Office. Was Mary Ellen Murphy present when the owner of the pocket-book was found? Yes. What was the name of the owner? Mrs. Erhardt. She said a girl like her ought to be punished, that she was a poor woman. Was the defendant arrested in Macy's store? Yes. How long after she took the pocket-book was she arrested?

About ten minutes, it was ten minutes before the officer came and she was delivered over into the custody of the officer. When she was delivered over to him did she make any statement to him, or to the officer in your hearing? She said wouldn't we pity her poor mother, she did not want to be locked up, wouldn't we try to forgive her. "Pity my poor mother, don't have me locked up, won't you try to let me go?"

I saw the defendant enter the Sixth avenue door near Thirteenth street. Were there many people in the store at the time? Yes, it was quite crowded. Did you look at her as she entered? Yes. What did she do when she came in first? She walked along the floor to the stairway. Did you follow her? Yes. What distance were you behind her during the time that you were following her? There was about two people between me and her. Were you looking at her? Yes. Did she go down the basement stairs? Yes. Were there many people down there? Yes, quite a good many. When she got down in that basement you followed her down? Yes. What did you see her do in the basement? I saw her make several touches. You saw her touch different people, you watched her as she did that? Yes. And then finally you say you saw her do what? Open this bag. Where was the bag at the time? Carried on the lady's arm, a small bag, she took the pocket-book out of the bag. Did she go away after she took the pocket-book? Yes, I followed her, it was not more than a few steps. At the time I took the pocket-book from her she was in a small room adjoining the building. I afterwards saw the complainant and recognized her as the woman that had the bag on her arm. The pocket-book now shown me is the one the money was in.

CROSS EXAMINATION:

2 When I first entered Macy's store I was a cash girl, then a

saleslady, and I have been assistant to the detective for six years. You say that you saw this girl take the pocket-book out of this woman's satchel? Yes. How far away were you at the time? There was one lady between us, that is all, I did not have to look over her shoulder; the lady was standing right between us but not in a way that I would have to look over her shoulder, she was sideways. Then you stepped up to her and had this conversation that you have described and then you took the pocket-book out of her pocket, did you? Yes. We brought her around in the elevator, I asked her to come to the elevator, we went up in the elevator to the second floor, to the main entrance, and then we went round in a small room adjoining in the building, I asked her to take a seat and I telephoned for the detective. The assistant superintendent stayed in the room with her, I brought the lady in that lost the pocket-book, the assistant superintendent was in the room when I went in there.

There was nobody in the room when I brought her in but I sent for the assistant superintendent, I brought the lady, the complainant, and her daughter there. I saw the assistant superintendent when I put her in the elevator and then I and she and the assistant superintendent went up to this room and I left her in the room with the assistant superintendent, I telephoned for the detective and then I returned with the lady and her daughter. You found the defendant still with the assistant superintendent? Yes. I recollect testifying up at Jefferson Market. In what part of the store was it that you took this pocket-book from her, how far was it from the elevator? Right at the entrance of the elevator, the elevator man saw me take it out.

EUGENE JACKSON, sworn and examined:

I live at 210 West 30th street, and at present am elevator man at R. H. Macy's, I have been employed there going on six or seven months. I have seen the defendant before, I saw her on the 26th of September, between three and four o'clock in the afternoon. Who was with her at the time you saw her? Miss. Plunkett in the elevator. Did you see her before she got in the elevator? Only to the extent of her coming into it. Did you see Miss. Plunkitt do anything with respect to this woman? She took from the lady a pocket-book, she was left in the elevator and I was given instructions not to release her until Miss. Plunkitt returned; she left her in the elevator in my charge. Did you hear any conversation between this woman and Miss. Plunkitt? No, with the exception of her requesting her pocket-book. Did you hear any conversation between her and Miss. Plunkitt? I did not.

CROSS EXAMINATION:

There was a great crowd in the store this afternoon, was there not? Yes, there was. Your attention was first called by seeing this woman and Miss. Plunkitt go into the elevator, was it not? It was. On what floor was the elevator at the time they got into it? The basement. Was there anybody else with them in the elevator but you? No. When was it that Miss. Plunkitt asked the defendant for the pocket-book, how far up had you got or had you started? We had got between the first floor and the basement. What exactly did Miss. Plunkitt say to Miss. Murphy, "Give me the pocket-book," or what was it? Yes, "Give me the pocket-book." Then Miss. Murphy put her hand in the pocket of the sack and handed it to her, did she? She did. I did not halt until I got to

the top and then Miss. Plunkitt told me not to let her go until she returned. I judge Miss. Plunkitt was away five minutes, probably not as long. I stayed on the top for a couple of minutes and then I descended and Miss. Murphy descended with me, to the first floor. I stayed at the first floor and Miss. Plunkitt returned to the elevator, she did not go into the elevator, she stood there about a second and went away again, leaving Miss. Murphy still with me; she was gone the second time, I guess, about two minutes and then she returned with Mr. Ridgway, the assistant superintendent, I turned her over then to them, Mr. Ridgway took charge of her, he stepped into the elevator, then Miss. Murphy and Mr. Ridgway stepped out and left the elevator, and that is the last I saw of them. Recall if you can whether any conversation took place between Miss. Plunkitt and Miss. Murphy going up in the elevator? No, not in my presence. When Miss. Plunkitt came back to the elevator and looked in did she say anything to you in the presence of the prisoner? Nothing with the exception not to let her go, that is all. When the superintendent and Miss. Plunkitt came back was anything said? Nothing said.

ELIZABETH ERHARDT, sworn and examined:

Do you live in the city of New York? Yes, I live in 86th street. Do you remember being in Macy's on September 26th between three and four o'clock in the afternoon? Yes.

Did you have a bag on your left arm that afternoon, and is that the bag? Yes. What did you have in your bag? I had my brown pocket-book in the bottom and my little black one on the top, and a pair of glasses on the top of that.

What did you have in that brown pocket-book? I had four gold pieces in it and two Long Island Railroad tickets, Fresh Pond and return tickets back to New York, and two ten's in bills. You had altogether forty dollars in the pocket-book? Yes. Did you miss it that afternoon? I did not miss it until I had bought something there and I walked around the counter and the lady came to me and said, "Your stachel is open, madam, do you miss anything?" I said, "Oh, yes, my pocket-book is gone." My satchel had been closed tight. Was it Miss. Plunkitt who came up to you? Yes. Where did you go immediately after that? I walked from one end of the counter around where I had bought an article. How soon after you had this conversation with Miss. Plunkitt did you see the pocket-book? Yes, about fifteen minutes I guess. Who was present at the time you saw the pocket-book the second time? A gentleman, I do not know who he was, in a little room. Was the defendant there? Yes, she was in the room? Did you open your pocket-book when you got there? I did not get it any more, the gentleman kept it. You did not get your money or railroad ticket? No. In whose hands did you see the pocket-book? In Miss. Plunkitt's, she got it first and she gave it to the same gentleman, Mr. Ridgway, Miss. Plunkitt showed me the pocket-book. When she showed you the pocket-book did she tell you who took it? Yes. What did she say in the presence of this woman, the defendant? She did not say much, I do not remember the exact words she said I was so frightened. Did she say this woman took the pocket-book? Yes, she said that. What did the woman say? She said she felt sorry that she had, that I should let her go. I said I could not do that for I worked too hard for that

money, it was too bad for her to do that; I asked her why she didn't work like I did and then she said her reputation was so bad she could not get work in the city of New York. Did she tell you anything about pitying her mother? She said what would her poor mother say if she heard of it; I told her I was a poor woman, I had children and no husband, only a lady taking care of a big house. You had the care of a large house? Yes, No. 542 87th street.

CROSS EXAMINATION:

Who was present at this conversation you had with her there? My daughter, in Macy's small room. Who else? Miss. Plunkitt and a man that stood at the door, I think Mr. Ridgway the assistant superintendent. I went into the store between three and four o'clock in the afternoon, I was not in I guess more than about fifteen or twenty minutes. Did you make any purchase? Yes. From what pocket-book did you take the money which you paid for the article? The little pocket-book. I always put a little money in that I can spare to spend.

You did not know that your bag was open, did you? My bag was not open, I shut it closed. The first thing you knew about the bag being open was Miss. Plunkitt told you your bag was open? Yes. How long had it been open, do you know?

It could not have been open long before, I do not know exactly, I had been in the store about fifteen minutes. Where did you first go when you went in the store? To the place where they keep tin-ware. Did you make a purchase there? Yes. Did you open your bag? I opened it and took out my little pocket-book. After you made the purchase did you put your little pocket-book back in the bag? Yes. Where next did you go? I walked around the counters. How long

a time elapsed between the time that you made the purchase and the time Miss. Plunkitt called your attention to the bag being open? I guess about ten minutes. Didn't Miss. Murphy ask Mr. Ridgway not to lock her up? Yes, she said not to lock her up. Didn't she say that it would grieve her poor mother or break her mother's heart if Mr. Ridgway locked her up? Yes. She wanted him to let her go, didn't she? Yes.

By Mr. Osborne: Did you open your bag yourself after you first got in the store? Yes. What did you take out of the pocket-book when you opened it? Nothing but my little black pocket-book where I had small change. You left the brown pocket-book in the bag? Yes. Are you sure you closed the bag? Yes, I am too particular over that. I had one black and one brown pocket-book, it was the brown pocket-book that was stolen. When you took your little black pocket-book out of your bag what did you do with it, did you hold it in your hand or put it back in the bag? I left it in the bag and my pair of glasses. After you had paid the change you put it back in your bag? Yes. Are you sure you closed the bag? Yes. How long after you closed the bag was it that Miss. Plunkitt notified you that your bag was open? I do not think it was more than ten minutes. When you looked down was your bag open? Yes. What was gone out of your bag? My brown pocket-book and my glasses. Where were you standing in the store when that conversation took place between you and Miss. Plunkitt? Right at the counter near the entrance of 14th St. In consequence of some remarks made to you did you go up into a little room on the second floor? Yes. It was in that little room that the conversation took place between you and Miss. Plunkitt when the girl Murphy was present? Yes.

Look at that pocket-book, is that the pocket-book that was in your bag and is your money all there? Yes. I worked very hard for it I know it.

The District Attorney offered the pocket-book in evidence. Is your little daughter here? No, she is taking care of the house until I come because I am janitress and we have always to leave some body at home.

By Counsel: Have you talked with Miss. Plunkitt about this case? No. I only met her here when I came down here. I saw her at Jefferson Market but I had no conversation with her there. You did not testify at Jefferson Market any conversation with this girl, were you asked anything about that there, you did not testify at Jefferson Market when you were on the stand there about any talk with this girl? They did not ask me. Are you telling what the girl said or what Miss. Plunkitt told you she said? What the girl said to me.

JAMES F. VALLELY, sworn and examined:

You are connected with the detective bureau of this city, the Central Office? Yes. Do you remember on September 26, 1892, having arrested the defendant in Macy's? I do, it was on the first floor side room on 13th street. Who was in the room at the time you went in there? The superintendent, Miss. Plunkitt, the complainant and her little girl, and the defendant. I took the defendant out of the room to Police Headquarters. On the way did you have any conversation with her? Yes; as soon as we got outside I said, "I thought you were arrested, I thought you were in prison." She said, "No." I said, "How did you get out of the Higgins case?" She said, "Oh, I paid her money back to her and she is my friend now."

I said, "Let us see, it is Nellie Murphy?" She said, "No, Mary Ellen." I said, "They seem to have you pretty straight on this thing, Miss. Plunkitt says that she took the pocket-book out of your pocket." She said, "No, no such thing, she did not get it on me at all." She always sternly denied that she knew anything of this pocket-book. Did you know her in that Higgins matter? I knew the matter, I seen her in court when she was arrested in the Higgins case in Jefferson Market. At the time she was in court, do you know what name she gave in court in reference to that Higgins case?

Objected to.

Objection sustained.

HENRY W. RIDGWAY, sworn and examined:

What is your business? I am assistant superintendent of R. H. Macy & Co's dry goods business. Did you ever see this woman at the bar before? Yes, I did, in our store. Was it on the 26th of September? I can't remember the date, it was somewhere between the hours of two and three in the afternoon. I was summoned from my office by our assistant detective and I went to the elevator. Was the defendant there? Yes, she was all alone, I simply said, you come with me, and she came with me and I took her over to a little ante-room that we have off the shoe department and there I was told by Miss. Plunkitt, in the presence of the defendant, that she had taken this pocket-book. Miss. Plunkitt and Miss. Murphy were in the room about three or four minutes before the complainant came in, she had her daughter with her. Miss. Plunkitt handed me the pocket-book, I held it in my hand until one of the Central Office men came there and relieved me of it. Miss. Murphy pleaded in the room with the complainant to let her go, she

was sorry she had taken her pocket-book, she did not know she was a poor woman otherwise she would not have done it. That is about the substance of the conversation. Shortly after that the officer came in and I turned the property over to him and he took the prisoner off. The defendant was pleading and said that she had a poor mother home, she said shew as a poor girl and was unable to get work and could not get a reference, that no one would give her a character. That is about the sum and substance of the whole thing. What did the complainant say in response to that? She said she was a poor woman, she would not forgive her or let up because she said she had saved that money for a long term of months; it was forty dollars I believe, she said shewas very poor, shexxa had to work for it and she wanted it for a certain purpose and if she lost that she would have lost every cent in the world.

CROSS EXAMINATION:

You found the prisoner in the elevator? Yes, and the colored man was in the inside, Miss. Plunkitt went over with me. How long were you altogether in that room? I should judge twenty minutes or half an hour. Did this girl tell you that she had been arrested before and that was the reason she could not get a reference? No, I do not remember her ever telling me shewas arrested before.; she simply said that she could not get a reference. Were these women pretty much excited? Yes, I think the old lady was pretty well excited, the daughter did not appear to be very much excited, I do not think Miss. Plunkitt was excited.

The case for the defence.

NELLIE MURPHY, sworn, and examined:

What is your name? Nellie Murphy. Have you got your baptismal certificate with you? Yes, (producing it.) Have you ever been convicted of any crime before? No. Where do you live? 233 East 56th street. Who do you live with? My mother and father and sisters. Is your mother in court? No, she was sick to-day. What does your father do? He is in the department of Public Works. Your mother was here this morning and she had to go home? Yes. You recollect this 28th of September? Yes, very well, I left home in the afternoon at about half-past two, I left Yorkville to go to 14th street and 6th avenue, I went to Macy's, right to Macy's, to the knife box department. You were arrested about six months ago, were you not, and honorably discharged? Yes, honorably discharged. Do you know whether Miss. Plunkitt was in court that day? She was not in court that day but when I was in Police Headquarters she stood among the men, I seen her there, I suppose she was sent for. Tell us everything you did when you went into this store? On Monday afternoon, between three and half past three o'clock, I got to the store from Yorkville, I took the car down and got off at 13th street and then took the one-horse car to Macy's door and got out; I came to the elevator in the new building and took the elevator and went down to the basement. First I came to the basement and met the floor-walker and asked him would he be kind enough to let me know where the knife boxes were? He said, the further room; the sales-lady was waiting on two ladies; I asked her to wait upon me as I had something else to do which would take me a couple of hours. She said to me, wait a few minutes and I will wait on you. While I was

standing there Miss. Plunkitt pushed me and said, "Mary Ellen, give me the pocket-book you have got in your pocket." I told her I had no pocket-book. She said, "Mary Ellen, I know you, if you do not give it to me I will go up stairs and get Steve O'Brien and if he comes down you know what he will do with you." I said, "I do not know you, I do not know Steve O'Brien." She left me standing by myself on the basement floor and she went away for about five minutes and returned with those two ladies. She took the pocket-book from her back pocket and showed it to those two ladies and said, is this your pocket-book? The two ladies said, yes. With that she opened this pocket-book and brings out all the money and counted it before the women and these two or three Long Island Railroad tickets she showed to them. Then she stepped to the elevator and told me to get in. I asked her what for? She said I had to be arrested. I says, all right, I am willing to go; I waited until the superintendent came; we went around into the office. Then this old lady said to me, why did you take my pocketbook, I am a poor woman? I says, I did not take your pocket-book and what is more I did not see it. She said, I do not know whether you took it or not but the detective woman said you had it and of course if she says so you must. I says, I am very sorry, I am only after getting out of a scrape, after being arrested, but I was innocent. I says, my name will be published in the paper again and I will be disgraced, besides I am sorry, but to take your pocket-book I have not. So that is all I know. Miss. Plunkitt says that she walked up to you and said "Give me that pocket-book," or something to that effect, and you said, "We are two women together and we will "divvy"?" I never made

that remark, I could take an oath on the Bible. She says that she took it out of your side pocket, that pocket-book, is that so? No. The colored man says in going up in the elevator, between the basement and the first floor, that Miss. Plunkitt said to you "Give me that pocket-book," and that you put your hand in your pocket and gave her the pocket-book; is that so? No, that is a lie. Who was in the room at the time of this conversation between you and the old lady? The superintendent, the detective lady, and the woman that lost her pocket-book and her daughter and myself. Was there a good deal of excitement? Yes, there was more than usual, everybody was excited. Did Miss. Plunkitt say anything to you about your reputation? Miss. Plunkitt made the remark, "You will go up this time, you have got a bad character, you got out of the other scrape and you will go up for this." I asked her who would send me and she said she would shove me. Those are the remarks she made.

CROSS-EXAMINATION:

I understood you to say you saw the detective take the pocket-book out of her own pocket? She put her hand in the back, I can't say she had a pocket, she had on a black dress; she took it and showed it to the two ladies. My father's name is Patrick Murphy, my mother's name is Ellen, and my name is Nellie Murphy; there is two matrons in the Tombs can identify me and say I am not Mary Ellen Murphy. You were committed to the House of Refuge? Never in my life, I swear that I never was, I do not know where the House of Refuge is. Do you swear that you were not, when you were nine years old, convicted in the Court of Special Sessions, before Judges Ottenburg, Kilbreth and Patterson, convicted of theft

on the steamer "Idlewild," and found guilty and committed to the House of Refuge? No, not me. Never in your life was sent to the House of Refuge? No, I have been to the Sisters, my mother put me there. Say if this is true "October 27, 1887, Police Headquarters sent Nellie Murphy, aged 15, to the Second District Police Court where she was charged by her mother with staying away from home with one Mary Williams at 56 Elm street. The facts were presented to Justice Reilly, who, on Detective Cooper's affidavit, committed the girl to the house of the Good Shepard, where she is reported to have remained about one year." That is where you were committed was it? I do not know what Sisters it was, I was only twelve or thirteen years old when I was in the Sisters, my mother took me there herself. You swear that this detective tells what is untrue when she says that she got the pocket-book from you? Yes. And the old lady swears to a falsehood when she says that you admitted to her that you had taken the pocket-book? The old lady did not say that I admitted taking the pocket-book, I did not hear her say that; she said I said I was sorry; I never did take the pocket-book and I do not know anything about the pocket-book. I never told the old lady that if I had known she was a poor woman I would not have taken the pocket-book, and never said I would not do it again; I said, "My poor mother will be sorry when I am disgraced again for nothing." I am a dress-maker by trade. The lady I worked for since I got out of the other scrape is in Europe, for the last three months I have worked for my mother, she has been laid up; before that I worked for a lady in 34th street, I cannot remember her name, she is French, I cannot pronounce it. I am nineteen now, I have

lived at home with my father and mother ever since I can remember, I never lived anywhere else. I do not know where Randall's Island is. I went to Macy's to buy a knife box, I did not buy it, I did not get a chance.

REBUTTING EVIDENCE:

ELIZABETH ERHARDT, recalled by District Attorney:
Ellen Murphy says on the stand just now that she did not admit in that little room that she took the pocket-book?
(Objected to; objection sustained.) A. She did. When I was in that room I was a little bit excited but I knew what I was doing, she said she was sorry that she did it. Did you have any conversation with Miss. Plunkitt during recess about the case? Just a few words which Miss. Murphy's mother had said to me down in the street.

The Jury rendered a verdict of guilty of grand larceny in the second degree.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0280

TO

Section in the
Case of
Harry Ellen Murphy

filed

Oct. 1972

53-02

C O P Y .

Oct. 19th, 1892.

Officers of Society called at House of Refuge and were informed by the Superintendent that girl Nellie Murphy was discharged from institution on Dec. 8th, 1881, to friends, no names given in record. That, on Dec. 16th, 1886, girl was discharged again from institution to her mother; the first name of mother is not given on record. The name of the father of girl is Patrick according to the records.

Entry on records further show that investigation was made by Officer Files of the House of Refuge on Dec. 18th, 1890, who reported that girl Nellie Murphy lived with parents at 316 East 34th Street; that she had no occupation; was generally well dressed; and was said to have been arrested in Philadelphia for picking pockets. That she had the reputation of being a shrewd pickpocket.

Officers were referred by the Superintendent to the 2nd Assistant Superintendent, Mr. Smith, and his wife. Both the latter informed Officers that they think they would be able to identify girl, and were requested by Officers to attend Court of General Sessions, Oct. 20th, at 10-30 A. M. So was a Miss McGane, who was the person having charge of Nellie Murphy, on both occasions, when she was in the House of Refuge. Miss McGane also stated to Officer that she thinks she would be able to identify Nellie Murphy. She also was subpoenaed for to-morrow (the 20th) and promised to attend court.

All parties made arrangements to meet Officers at 10-30 A. M. at City Hall Elevated Station.

----- :::::-----

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0282

a DISTRICT POLICE COURT.

THE PEOPLE,
ON COMPLAINT OF

Elizabeth Erhardt
May Ellen Murphy

Examination had Sept 30 1882
Before Justice J. J. Gahan Police Justice

I, W. J. Erhardt Stenographer of the 2 District Police

Court, do hereby certify that the within testimony in the above case is a true and correct copy of
the original Stenographer's notes of the testimony of May A. Erhardt

Elizabeth Erhardt
as taken by me on the above examination before said Justice.

Dated Oct 3 1882 W. J. Erhardt
Stenographer.

Police Justice.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Mary Ellen Murphy

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Mary Ellen Murphy
of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY in the second degree, committed as follows:

The said Mary Ellen Murphy,

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the 26th day of September in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-two, in the day time of the said day, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

the sum of forty dollars
in money, lawful money of the
United States of America, and
of the value of forty dollars

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Elizabeth Erhardt.
on the person of the said Elizabeth Erhardt —
then and there being found, from the person of the said Elizabeth Erhardt.
then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in
such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York
and their dignity.

De Lancey Nicoll,
District Attorney