0756

BOX:
295
FOLDER:
2812
DESCRIPTION:
Dillon, Peter

DATE:
02/21/88

2812

Witnesses.

J. Savage Jr.

D. Hammond

Off Clerk

No 417

Counsel, J. R. Fellows

Filed 21 day of Feby 188 8

Pleads, Not guilty

THE PEOPLE

vs.

B

Peter Dillon

Indictment for Grand Larceny and receiving stolen goods [Sections 528, 531, 532, Penal Code].

JOHN R. FELLOWS,

District Attorney.

A True Bill.

William Wardwell

Foreman.

July 28 Tried by Jury & Verdict

Plead Not Acquitted

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS.

THE PEOPLE, &c.

vs.

Peter Dillon.

BRIEF OF FACTS.

For the District Attorney.

Dated Feby 20th 1888.

Wm Travers Jerome

Deputy Assistant.

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS.

THE PEOPLE, &c.

vs.

Peter Dillon.

BRIEF OF FACTS.

For the District Attorney.

Dated Feby 20th 1888.

Wm Travers Jerome

Deputy Assistant.

People
vs.
Peter Dillon.

Peter Dillon,
Defendant.

On January 22d or 23d, 1888, Conklin came to my place of business at 112 Mulberry Street. I am a dealer in rags. Conklin had 7 bales of rags. He asked me to buy them. I opened the bales, weighed the rags, and paid him $137.00 for them. This was the regular price for rags of this quality. He brought the rags on a truck with one or two horses. I cannot read in English. I have been in business in this city for 12 years; in the rag & junk business. Never been arrested or in any trouble before. ~~Defend~~ Conklin left the store with the money. I had never seen Conklin before. Along the first of February Conklin came back to my ~~place of business~~ house. He told me he had gone on a drunk and had lost the money I had paid him for the rags and wanted me to give him

$5.00 to help him out. I had to borrow the money which I did and gave to him. Then he asked me for my name and I wrote it on a piece of paper and gave it to him. Then he said "I wont forget you Pete and I will send this $5.00 to you any time." Then he left. I never heard from him ~~when~~ by the letter attached to the complaint herein. A district messenger boy brought me the letter. I took the letter over to Inspector Byrnes the next day. He referred me to a sergeant. The sergeant said there was no charge against me and to go home. On Friday 13th a rag dealer told me Mr. Savage, the complainant, wanted to see me and I went to see him.
Mr. Savage asked me to go to the court with ~~me~~ him and tell the judge my story. This I did and when I got there I was arrested. When Conklin came to me and wanted me to give him the $5.00 he said he would get me into trouble if I did not give it to him.

he said he wanted the money to square up with the boss. He said "me and you will have to go to state prison if you don't give me this $5.00"

2-16-88
W.J.J.

James Savage Jr.
530 West 38th St.

~~The value of the rags on which this charge is based is $185.00/100. I gave the rags to one Thomas Conklin an employee of mine on about Jany 21st 1888 to take to George Schofield and to sell a dealer in rags.~~

I am a wholesale dealer in rags except what Conklin and the defendant has told me I know nothing of this case from my own knowledge. On the day these rags were stolen Thomas Conklin was an employee of mine and on that day drove a two horse truck of mine. On the front of this truck my name and business and place of business was painted in large letters about 6 inches in size. On Feby 13th 1888 the defendant came to my ~~house~~ office with an Italian, D. Raymond, a customer of mine. He, defendant, showed me

the letter annexed to the complaint and at my request gave it to me. I asked him if he bought my rags. He said yes but that he did not know that they were ~~assure~~ stolen when he bought them. I asked him what he had done with them. He said he opened the bales to sort them and repacked them with some of his own and sold them the next day. ~~The rags had been~~ These rags had been sorted and graded by my employees before they left my place of business. The names of the employees who packed them were are Thos. Keenan, Barney Smith, M. Savage, Thos. Callahan, Mrs Riley and Mrs Smith. I looked at the letter and asked him how it came about that the letter said that he had given Conklin $142.00 while he told me and Conklin also that he had only given Conklin $137.00. Then he replied "I gave him $5.00 the night he came to my house to get away with to pay his railroad fare so that he would not get into trouble."

Y

07

GPI. 28/18

Mr. Peter Allen
115. Mulberry St
New York
City.

07

Office
28/88

New Brunswick
Feb. 7. 88:

Peter Lillie

Sir you got out of the
bought by mistake the
difference is what you
gave me was you owe
$142.00 one Hundred and
forty two dollars for the
woolens and the difference
is $ 25.50 Twenty five dollars
and fifty cents so if you
are fit for to give the
Balance we will bite get
out of the Seattle hillcount
house when I came acted
there to but he told me
that if I told him he
would get them against and

Y

07

Y

07

6 1 (A.E.
2-8/68

0768

Y

0770

Y

0771

0774

STATE OF NEW YORK,
CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

POLICE COURT, 2 DISTRICT.

James Savage Jr.

of No. 536 West 38th Street, being duly sworn, deposes and says that on or about the 16th day of January 1885 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, Peter Dillen, (now here) did unlawfully and feloniously receive a quantity of stolen goods Knowing the same to be stolen, under the following circumstances. The said goods were on a truck belonging to deponent in charge of Thomas Conklin, now here, and now awaiting trial on a charge of stealing the said goods, consisting six bales of woolen rags and one bale of blue cut cloth, of the value of one hundred and eighty five dollars: that the said Thomas Conklin sold the said goods to the defendant for one hundred and thirty seven dollars; the defendant being in the business and knowing that he was purchasing the said goods far below their market value: that ~~the~~ before the said goods were delivered to defendant the said ~~James~~ Thomas Conklin informed defendant that said goods were stolen; that when the said ~~Jas~~ Thomas Conklin got into trouble about the larceny of the said goods the defendant gave him

077

Grand Jury Room.

Part One

PEOPLE,

vs.

Peter Dillon

Acc to G. L.

All Served Pers
for Feby 28
by Commitee

on Feby 27/88

07

District Attorney's Office,

PEOPLE

vs.

Peter Dolan

Robbery 2nd degree

Ryan – 14th Police –

Carlin – Chief – Confirmed

by Sam Carlin

and Kennedy the

Second Police

Det [illegible]

Then ~~letter~~ we went to the Police Court. Corcklin, Dillon, Officer Clarke and myself stood in front of the Clerk. I got the letter from Dillon. I did not get it in my house as I have testified above. I made a complaint against Dillon. Corcklin then stated to the clerk that Dillon knew that the rags were stolen. Dillon said it was a damn lie. Corcklin moved toward Dillon and Officer Clarke stepped between them. Dillon said to Corcklin "what did I give you the $5.00 for?" The Clerk said to Dillon "What did you give it to him for?" ~~Con~~ Dillon replied "I gave it to him to get out of the way." Then we went before the judge. The judge read the complaint to Dillon and asked him what he had to say. He said "I bought the rags but I did not know that they were stolen". I then called the judges attention to what Dillon had said to the Clerk and the judge said to Dillon "did you give this man Conklin $5.50 to get out of the way?" and Dillon said "yes". ~~Did you ke~~ Then the judge asked him "Did you know the rags were stolen when you gave him

the money" and Dillon replied "yes".

Officer R. W. Clarke,
20th Precinct.
I have heard what Mr. Savage has said in regard to the admission made by the defendant in Court and can fully corroborate him.

—— Corkin
Has pleaded guilty and is held as a witness. He will swear that Dillon solicited him before this time to sell him rags and that he knew they were the property of the complainants when he bought them.

0779

STATE OF NEW YORK,
CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

POLICE COURT, 2 DISTRICT.

James Savage Jr.

of No. 536 West 38th Street, being duly sworn, deposes and says that on the or about 16th day of January 1888 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, Peter Dillen, (now here) did unlawfully and feloniously receive a quantity of stolen goods Knowing the same to be stolen, under the following circumstances. The said goods were on a truck belonging to deponent in charge of Thomas Conklin, now here, and now awaiting trial on a charge of stealing the said goods, consisting six bales of woolen rags and one bale of blue cut cloth, of the value of one hundred and eighty five dollars; that the said Thomas Conklin sold the said goods to the defendant for one hundred and thirty seven dollars; the defendant being in the business and knowing that he was purchasing the said goods far below their market value; that ~~the~~ before the said goods were delivered to defendant the said Thomas Conklin informed defendant that said goods were stolen; that when the said ~~Jas~~ Thomas Conklin got into trouble about the larceny of the said goods the defendant gave him

five dollars and fifty cents to get him out of the way, and admitted the same to deponent, and gave to deponent a letter hereunto annexed, wherein the said Conklin, before his arrest alluded to the mutual guilty knowledge of the defendant and the said Conklin, and asked him to make restitution.

Sworn to before me this
15th day of February 1881
Saml C Ridlon
Police Justice

James Savage Jr

Police Court _____ District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.

AFFIDAVIT.

Dated _____ 188

_____ Magistrate.

_____ Officer.

Witness, _____

Disposition, _____

0781

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Thomas Conklin

aged 24 years, occupation Driver of No. 39 Orchard Street, being duly sworn deposes and says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of James Dawson Jr. and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponents' own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 17 *day of* February 188[illegible]

Thomas Conklin

Saml C. O'Neill

Police Justice.

0782

Sec. 198—200.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

District Police Court.

Peter Dillon being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is h right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h ; that the statement is designed to enable h if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiver cannot be used against h on the trial,

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Peter Dillon

Question. How old are you ?

Answer. 22 years

Question. Where were you born ?

Answer, Italy

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there ?

Answer. 115 Mulberry St — 2 years

Question. What is your business or profession ?

Answer, Fruit dealer

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation ?

Answer. I have nothing to say at present except that I did not know the goods were stolen when I bought them. Peter Dillon.

Taken before me this 17 *day of* February 1884

Police Justice.

BAILED,
No. 1, by Nicola Caputi
Residence 63 Centre Market Place Street.
No. 2, by
Residence Street.
No. 3, by
Residence Street.
No. 4, by
Residence Street.

Police Court— 2 274 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF
James Savage
536 West 38th St
Peter Diller
2
3
4

Offence Receiving Stolen Goods

Dated Feb 13 1888
O'Reilly Magistrate.
Robert W. Clarke Officer.
26 Precinct.
Witnesses Thomas Conklin
No. Street.
Donovan Raymond
No. 63 Mulberry Street.
No. Street.
$1000 to answer G.S.

RECEIVED
FEB 16 1888
DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

COMMITTED.
Bailed

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Peter Diller guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Ten Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated Feb 13 1888 Daniel O'Reilly Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named defendant to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated Feb 14 1888 Daniel O'Reilly Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.

Dated 188 Police Justice.

0784

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

Peter Dillon

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse Peter Dillon of the crime of being an accessory to the felony

~~of the Crime~~ OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE second DEGREE, committed as follows:

~~The said~~ Heretofore, to wit:

~~late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid~~, on the sixteenth day of January, in the year of our Lord one thousand eighty hundred and eighty-eight, at the City and County aforesaid, ~~with force and arms~~, one Thomas Conklin, late of the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, six hides of modern range of the value of twenty seven dollars each hide, and one hide of cut leather of the value of thirty dollars,

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one James Dawson the manager,

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, ~~against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity~~.

And the said Peter Dillon, late of the City and County aforesaid, after the commission of the felony and larceny aforesaid, by the said Thomas Conklin in manner and form aforesaid, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, having knowledge

and reasonable ground to believe that the said Thomas Conklin was liable to arrest for, and had committed the felony and larceny aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, did feloniously aid the said Thomas Conklin, with intent that he might avoid and escape from arrest, trial and conviction and punishment therefor; against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said

Peter Dillon

of the CRIME OF CRIMINALLY RECEIVING STOLEN PROPERTY committed as follows:

The said Peter Dillon,

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, six bales by weight more or less the value of twenty seven dollars each bale, and one bale of cut cloth of the value of thirty dollars,

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one James Savage the upcomer, by one Thomas Conklin, and by ~~a~~ certain ~~person or~~ persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, then lately before feloniously stolen, taken and carried away from the said James Savage the upcomer,

unlawfully and unjustly, did feloniously receive and have; the said

Peter Dillon

then and there well knowing the said goods, chattels and personal property to have been feloniously stolen, taken and carried away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

JOHN R. FELLOWS,
District Attorney.

0786

BOX:
295

FOLDER:
2812

DESCRIPTION:
DiTomaso, Angiolina

DATE:
02/09/88

2812

Witnesses:

Peter Petrello
Pasquale Petrello
Michael Angelo Petrello
James Carlson
Pascal Brosba

I have examined the within case. The defendant is but a child and did not understand the true nature & what she was doing. I am informed that some duress exists in regard to the 2d marriage and that the deft is about to leave the country. I do not think the ends of justice require that the defendant be punished. The 2d husband was discharged by a police magistrate. I respectfully recommend that the deft be discharged upon her own recognizance.
Part 2 Apl 18/89
Wm Travers Jerome
Deputy Asst

No. 81 Rec'd

Counsel,

Filed, 9 day of Feby 1889

Pleads Not guilty

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Angiolina Di Tomaso
(or Petrello)

BIGAMY.
[Section 298, Penal Code].

~~JOHN R. FELLOWS.~~
RAN~~DOLPH B. MARTI~~NE,
District Attorney.

Tr. apl 10. 1889
Bail disch'd

A True Bill.

April 16th
G. S. A.
Foreman.

July 17 Part 2 Apl

Witnesses:

Peter Pitcher

Joseph Pi[illegible]

[illegible] P[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

I have examined the within case. The defendant is but a child and did not understand the true nature of what she was doing. I am informed that undue duress existed in regard to the 2d marriage and that the deft is about to leave the country. I do not think the ends of justice require that the defendant be punished. The 2d husband was discharged by a police magistrate. I respectfully recommend that the deft be discharged upon her own recognizance

Put 2 Apl 1889

W[illegible] Jewers Jerome
Deputy Clerk

No 81 Rec'd

Counsel, ...

Filed, 9 day of Feby 1889

Pleads, Not guilty (10)

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Angiolina Di Tomaso
(or Petrello)

BIGAMY.
[Section 298, Penal Code].

~~JOHN R. FELLOWS,~~
RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,
District Attorney.

Ent'd Apl 10. 1889
Bail disch'd

A True Bill.

April 16th 1889

Foreman.

~~July 17 Put 2 Apl~~

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS.

THE PEOPLE, &c.

vs.

Angelino di Tomaso.

BRIEF OF FACTS.

For the District Attorney.

Dated February 23 1888.

Edward Crosse

Deputy Assistant.

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS.

THE PEOPLE, &c.

vs.

Angelino di Tomaso.

BRIEF OF FACTS.

For the District Attorney.

Dated February 23 1888.

Edward Crosse

Deputy Assistant.

0791

1

Court of General Sessions.

The People
v.
Angiolina Di Tomaso

Memoranda for Opening.

1) Defendant charged with bigamy.

Pasquale Pitrello & Bresha

2) On the 25th of August, 1887, at Jersey City, N.J., before Judge Davison married to Pasquale Pitrello.

Father Musanello, Pasquale Pitrello, Bresha

3) On the 1st Sunday of September, 1887, marriage ceremony performed between the same parties at the Church on corner of Mott and Park Street.

Pasquale P. ~~Michael Pitrello, Alderman Pittler, James Cumpora~~

4) On the 13th of January, 1888, Peter Pitrello, a cousin of Pasquale, caught in bed with defendant. Peter and defendant ordered out of the house by Pasquale.

Alderman Pittler James Cumpora Michael Pitrello

5) On the 14th of January, 1888, Peter and defendant married

at the City Hall by Alderman Butler.

Sergeant Thompson

(6) On the 18th of January, 1888, Pasquale goes to Peter's home, is arrested on charge of assault. Parties taken to the station house and there defendant admits her marriages to Pasquale and Peter.

Court of General Sessions.

The People
v.
Angiolina DiTomaso.

Bigamy

Racey
Defts Atty.

Depositions:

Pasquale Petrello, (through interpreter)
112 Mulberry Street,
Laborer. Know the defendant since June, 1887. On the 25th of August, 1887, I was married to her at Jersey City, N.J., by Justice Davison. The marriage certificate was burnt by Peter Petrello the complainant herein. On the 1st Sunday of September, 1887, a marriage ceremony was performed between my and the defendant at the Church of the Transfiguration ~~on the~~ corner of Mott & Park Street. From that day on I cohabited with the defendant at No. 111 Mulberry Street. On the 19th of January, 1888, I found the defendant with Peter Petrello in my bed. I ordered them out of the house, and I told Peter that he might take her wherever he pleased, that I would not have anything to do with her.

They went away, but in the evening the defendant returned and removed a bed that belonged to her. On the 14th of January, 1888, I learnt from some neighbors that the defendant and Peter had been married. On the 18th of January, 1888, I went to Peter's house to ascertain the truth of said report, and Peter had me arrested on a charge of assault. At the station house the defendant admitted that she was married to me as well as to Peter. In answer to a question by the sergeant, Peter admitted that he knew the defendant being married to me, when he married her. That I put her out of the house, and that he picked her up.

Pascal Bresha,
111 Mulberry Street.

Clerk and interpreter. I was present at the marriage ceremony of the defendant and Pasquale Pitrello on the 25th of August, 1887, before Judge Davisson, at Jersey City, N.J., I acted as interpreter to them. Both

were instructed about the import the ceremony, and answered all the questions put to them in an intelligent manner. They fully knew the nature of their new relations. The father and mother of the bride were also present.

Michael Petrello,
112 Mulberry Street,
Laborer. Brother of the complainant herein. On the 14th of January, 1888, Pasquale, the complainant told me that Peter caught him under his bed while the defendant was lying in the same, that Peter said to him, I forgive you, but you must marry her. I went with him to the City Hall, where they were asked whether they wanted to be husband and wife, and they said yes

Pasquale Petrello, recalled,
I did not say to Peter that he should marry the defendant.

James Campora
55 Park Street,

Real estate agent and interpreter
On the 14th of January, 1888, I acted at the City Hall of New York, as interpreter at the marriage ceremony of Peter Pitrello and the defendant. The ceremony was performed by Alderman James F. Butler. I asked them the usual questions and whether they were already married. Both answered in the negative. To the question, whether they wanted to be husband and wife, they answered yes.

Father Ansanello, of the Church of the Transfiguration performed the marriage ceremony between Pasquale Pitrello and defendant on the 4th of September 1887.

James F. Butler

Alderman for the 14th Dist.
Identifies his signature on the marriage certificate marked Exh. A., and believes that he will be able to identify the defendant, when he sees her.

William Thompson
Sergeant. 6th Precinct.

On the 18th of January, 1888, at the hearing of a charge preferred by Peter Pitrello against Pasquale Pitrello the defendant admitted to be married both to Peter and to Pasquale. Peter said that Pasquale had demanded and received $100 for his wife from him. I directed Officer O'Brien to make a charge of bigamy against the defendant and Peter Pitrello.

Anscabrello.

رقم.

49

Exh. A.

CERTIFICATE OF MARRIAGE

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT

I have this day joined in

MARRIAGE

Peter Petrello of New York State of New York
Angiolina De Tomaso of New York State of New York
according to the laws of the State of New York and that there were
present as witnesses Michelangelo Petrello of New York
and James Cambiora of New York
at the City Hall
Dated January 14 1888

James F. Butler
Alderman 14th Dist

Henry A Van Cleef
Comm of Deeds
N Y Co

W. REID GOULD, STATIONER, 168 NASSAU ST., N. Y.

8898

Exh. A.

CERTIFICATE OF MARRIAGE

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT

I have this day joined in

MARRIAGE

Peter Petrello of New York State of New York
Angiolina De Tomaso of New York State of New York
according to the laws of the State of New York and that there were
present as witnesses Michelangelo Petrello of New York
James Cambora of New York

Dated at the City Hall January 14 1888

James F. Butler
Alderman 12th Dist

Henry A Van Keir
Commr of Deeds
N. Y. Co

W. REID GOULD, STATIONER, 168 NASSAU ST., N. Y.

8888

0801

STATE OF NEW YORK,
CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss:

POLICE COURT, 6 DISTRICT.

Peter Pitrello

of No. 112 Mulberry Street, being duly sworn, deposes and says,

that on the 14th day of January 1888

at the City of New York, in the County of New York, Angela Pitrello

did unlawfully marry one Peter Pitrello this deponent's husband living at the time and the hearing a husband living in violation of section 298 of the Penal Code of the State of New York. For the reasons following, to wit: on the above described date defendant was married to the defendant in the City Hall of this City by Alderman James F. Butler as per annexed certificate and deponent is informed by Pasquale Pitrello her husband that he Pasquale Pitrello is the lawful husband of this defendant he having married her in Jersey City in August 25th 1887.

Sworn to before me this 19th day of January 1888

P. Pitrello

J. Whitehead

Police Justice.

0802

CITY AND COUNTY
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Raguel Pirello

aged 27 years, occupation Laborer of No.

112 Mulberry Street, being duly sworn deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Peter Pirello

and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponents' own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this
day of January 188 } P. Helville

J. Wilbert
Police Justice.

Sec. 198—200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Angelo Pitrello being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is her right to make a statement in relation to the charge against her; that the statement is designed to enable her if she see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against her that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that her waiver cannot be used against her on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Angelo Pitrello

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 17 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Italy.

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 112 Mulberry St. Lived there 1 year

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Married

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you may think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am guilty
Angelo Pitrello
her + mark

Taken before me this 19
day of January 188
Police Justice.

BAILED

No. 1, by John Gudelin

Residence 76 Grand Street.

No. 2, by

Residence Street.

No. 3, by

Residence Street.

No. 4, by

Residence Street.

Police Court— 1874 **District.**

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Lewis Wolf

vs.

Wm. Gudelin

Angelo Lasala

1

2

3

4

Offence Bigamy

Dated Jan 19 188 8

Tombs Magistrate.

Mohammed Ali Officer.

Fifteenth Precinct.

Witnesses Angelo Lasala

No. 112 Mulberry Street.

Lucrezia Brasha

No. 111 Mulberry Street.

Michael Ferrero

No. 112 Mulberry Street.

$ to answer

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendant *guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of* Five *Hundred Dollars,* and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated Jan 19 188 8 J. Whitehurst *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named Defendant *to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.*

Dated Jany 28th 188 8 J. Whitehurst *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named ..... *guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order* h *to be discharged.*

Dated ..... 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

0805

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Angelina DiTomaso

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Angelina DiTomaso

of the CRIME OF BIGAMY, committed as follows:

The said Angelina DiTomaso,

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the twenty-first day of August, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-seven, at the City of Jersey City in the State of New Jersey,

did marry one Pasquale Petrello and him the said Pasquale Petrello, did then and there have for her husband; and the said Angelina DiTomaso, afterwards, to wit, on the fourteenth day of January, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-eight, at the City of New York in the County of New York aforesaid, did feloniously marry and take as her husband one Peter Petrello, and to the said Peter Petrello, was then and there married, the said Pasquale Petrello, being then living and in full life, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

JOHN R. FELLOWS.
~~RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,~~
District Attorney.

0806

BOX:
295
FOLDER:
2812
DESCRIPTION:
Dobbin, Arthur

DATE:
02/10/88

2812

No 141

Witnesses:

Counsel,

Filed, 10 day of Feby 1888

Pleads Not guilty 113

THE PEOPLE,

vs.

B

Arthur Dobbin

556

VIOLATION OF EXCISE LAW.
(Keeping Open on Sunday.)
[III Rev. Stat. (7th Edition), page 1989, Sec. 5]

JOHN R. FELLOWS,
RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,

District Attorney.

Pr Feby 21. 1888
Tried + acquitted.

A True Bill.

G W Haven

Foreman.

0808

Excise Violation—Keeping Open on Sunday. POLICE COURT 4 DISTRICT.

City and County of New York, } *ss.*

of No. 22 Clerk Police Street,

of the City of New York, being duly sworn, deposes and says, that on SUNDAY the 22 *day of* January 188 8 *in the City of New York, in the County of New York,* Arthur Dobbin *(now here) being then and there in lawful charge of the premises No.* ..... *Street, a place duly licensed for the sale of strong and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, to be drunk upon the premises DID NOT KEEP SAID PLACE CLOSED contrary to and in violation of the statute in such case made and provided.*

WHEREFORE, deponent prays that said Arthur Dobbin *may be arrested and dealt with according to law.*

Sworn to before me, this 23 *day of* January 188 8.

Police Justice.

0809

Sec. 198—200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Arthur Dobbin being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Arthur Dobbin

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 23 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Ireland

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 556 West 203rd Street

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Fireman

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you may think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty of the charge and I demand a trial by jury if held after examination.

William E. Dobbin

Arthur. Dobbin

Taken before me this 1st *day of* March *188* 9

William Traphagen

Police Justice.

BAILED,

No. 1, by Daniel J. Grimmons

Residence 810 First ave Street.

No. 2, by

Residence Street.

No. 3, by

Residence Street.

No. 4, by

Residence Street.

2d Police Court 1162 District.

THE PEOPLE &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF
William O'Daly
vs.
Arthur Dobbin

2

3

4

Offence Vio Excise Law

Dated January 23 188 8

Murray Magistrate.

Daly Officer.

22 Precinct.

Witnesses Officer P. Brunner

No. 22d Precinct Street.

No. Street.

No. Street.

RECEIVED
JAN 26 1888
DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

$ 100 to answer G.S.

Bailed

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named William Dobbin guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of One Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated January 23 188 8 Henry Murray Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named defendant to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated Jany: 23 188 8. Henry Murray Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named .... guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated .... 188 .... Police Justice.

0811

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,
Plaintiffs
against
Arthur Dobbin
Defendant.

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York. by this indictment accuse the above named defendant of the CRIME OF KEEPING OPEN ON SUNDAY a place licensed for the SALE OF STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINES, ALE AND BEER, committed as follows:

The said defendant late of the City of New York, in the County of New York, aforesaid, on the twenty-second day of January in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-eight, the same being the first day of the week, commonly called and known as Sunday, being then and there in charge of, and having the control of a certain place there situate, which was then duly licensed as a place for the sale of strong and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, with force and arms, at the City and County aforesaid, the said place, so licensed as aforesaid, unlawfully did not close, and keep closed, and on the said day, the said place so licensed as aforesaid, unlawfully did open, and cause and procure, and suffer and permit, to be open, and to remain open; against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

John R. Fellows,
~~RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,~~ **District Attorney.**

08 12

BOX:
295
FOLDER:
2812
DESCRIPTION:
Doe, John

DATE:
02/01/88

2812

0813

BOX:
295
FOLDER:
2812
DESCRIPTION:
Morris, William

DATE:
02/01/88

2812

08 14

BOX:
295
FOLDER:
2812
DESCRIPTION:
Decker, Arthur

DATE:
02/01/88

2812

08 15

BOX:
295
FOLDER:
2812
DESCRIPTION:
Woodward, William

DATE:
02/01/88

2812

Witnesses:

473

#4 B N. Dec 9/88
1 & 3 b 4. Dec 2/95

Counsel,

Filed, 1/12 day of Feby 188 9

Pleads, not guilty Jany 7/89

THE PEOPLE,

vs.

John Doe B
William Morris B
alias William Munine
Arthur Decker B
William C. Woodward NA
(Forger)

Section — 527 — Penal Code. Felony

JOHN R. FELLOWS,
RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,
District Attorney.

1 & 3 F Dec 9/95

A True Bill.

Edward C. Sim
for Bail Discharged
Aug 14 99
Foreman.

Granted
J. A. B.
Jug

P1 July 5 1899

0817

City County and State of New York ss.

Anthony Comstock of 150 Nassau street being duly sworn deposes and says that William Morris, and Arthur Decker, ~~whose~~ here present, are the persons described and known as William Morris and R. Swartz — in annexed complaint and warrant —

Anthony Comstock

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 20th day of January 1888

Police Justice

City, County and :
State of New York : s.s.

Anthony Comstock of 150 Nassau Street, New York City, being duly sworn deposes and says, that he is informed, has just cause to believe and verily does believe, his information being based upon correspondence had with the parties named hereafter, and from statements made by George E. Oram, James Brick and others, that J.H.Green, John Doe, Al. Schwartz, William Munnies, J.B.Schwartz and B. Schwartz, whose real names are unknown but who can be identified, did at the City, County and State of New York, between the 1st day of October, 1887, and the 11th day off January 1888, unlawfully, print, write, utter, publish, sell,lend, give away, circulate or distribute, a certain letter, writing, circular, paper, pamphlet, hand-bill or other written or printed matter, advertising, offering, or purporting to advertise, offer for sale, loan, gift, exchange or distribution, or to furnish, procure or distribute, certain counterfeit coin, paper money, Internal Revenue stamp, postage stamp or other token of value, or what purports to be counterfeit coin, paper money, Internal Revenue stamp, postage stamp or other token of value, and giving, or purporting to give information where, how, of whom or by what means what purports tobe counterfeit coin, paper money, Internal Revenue stamp or token of value can be procured or had; and further the said J.H.Green, John Doe, Al. Schwartz, William Munnies, J.B.Schwartz and B. Schwartz aforesaid, did further aid, assist and abet in a certain scheme and device offering, or purporting to offer for sale, loan, gift, exchange or distribution, certain counterfeit coin, paper money or other token of value.

Deponent further says that he is further informed, has just cause to believe and verily does believe that the said J.H.Green, John Doe, Al.Schwartz, William Munnies, J.B.Schwartz and B. Schwartz aforesaid did, in and for executing, operating,

promoting and carrying on, and in the aiding assisting or abetting in the executing, operating, promoting and carrying on of a certain scheme and device to defraud the public, by use or means of certain papers, writings, letters, circulars, or written or printed matter concerning the offering for sale, loan, gift, distribution or exchange of counterfeit coin, paper money or other token of value, or what purports to be counterfeit coin, paper money or other token of value, did use divers and sundry fictitious, false and assumed names or addresses, or names and addresses other than their own right, proper and lawful name; and in the executing, operating, promoting, carrying on, or aiding, assisting or abetting in the execution, promotion and carrying on or a scheme or device offering for sale, loan, gift or distribution, or giving, or purporting to give information where, how, of whom or by what means counterfeit coin, paper money or other token of value can be procured or had, did knowingly receive or take from the mails of the United States certain letters and packages addressed to such fictitious, false or assumed name or addresses, or names or addresses other than his own right, proper and lawful names; against the form of the statute of the people of the State of New York in such case made and provided, and particularly Chapter 687, entitled "An Act to amend Section 527 of the Penal Code," passed June 24th, 1887.

Subscribed and sworn to before me : Anthony Comstock.
this 10th day of January, 1888 :

Solon B. Smith

Police Justice.

0820

Sec. 198—200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.:

Arthur Decker being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Arthur Decker

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 19 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York City

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 49 Lenox Ave. 5 years

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Clerk

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you may think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty

Arthur Decker.

Taken before me this 2d *day of* January 1884

William Gilmartin

Police Justice.

0821

Sec. 198—200.

1st District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

William Morris being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. William Morris

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 39 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York City

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 372 West 37th St 4 months

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Agent

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you may think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty

Wm Morris

Taken before me this 9th *day of* January 188 1

Police Justice.

0822

Sec. 198—200.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

District Police Court.

John Doe being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. John Doe

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 19 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 416 6th Avenue. 1 year

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Statuary

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you may think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty

John Doe

Taken before me this 26 *day of* January 188 4

Matthews

Police Justice.

W

Police Court ..... **District**

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Anthony Comstock &c.

vs.

1 J H Green

2 John Doe

3 Hal Schwartz

William Mumson

J B Schwartz

J B Schwartz

Offence Offering Obscene Prints &c. Contrary to Law

Dated 188

Smith ..... Magistrate.

..... Officer.

..... Precinct.

Witnesses .....

No ..... Street.

.....

No. ..... Street.

.....

No. ..... Street.

$ ..... to answer .....

.....

.....

BAILED,

No. 1, by .....

Residence ..... *Street.*

No. 2, by .....

Residence ..... *Street.*

No. 3, by .....

Residence ..... *Street.*

No. 4, by .....

Residence ..... *Street.*

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named .....

..... *to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of* *.....* *Hundred Dollars,* ..... *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated ..... 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named ..... *to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.*

Dated ..... 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named .....

..... *guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.*

Dated ..... 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

0824

~~Justice Power~~

Wm Morris bail fixed at $2000
Jany 30/88 R B C

BAILED,
No. 1, by ~~Barbara Schwartz~~
Residence ~~314 West 19th~~ Street.
No. ~~by Amelia Day~~
Residence ~~60 West 25th~~ Street.
No. 2 by John McKenna
Residence 73 - 4th Avenue ~~Street~~.
Nos. 1 and 3 by Eveline Stack
~~Residence~~ 111 Canal Street.

Barbara Schwartz
314 West 19th St
and
Amelia Thompson
60 West 25th St

---

473 / 1 142
~~Police Court~~ District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF
Anthony Comstock
150 Nassau
1 John Doe
2 William Morris
3 Arthur Decker
4

Offence [illegible] Counterfeit Money

Dated Jan 20 188 8
Kilbreth Magistrate.
Collins Officer.
60 Precinct.

Witnesses
No. Street.
No. 1 Bail
2
No. Street.
$ 5000 to answer G S
Com

RECEIVED JAN 24 1888 DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named John Doe, William Morris, and Arthur Decker *guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of* 50 *Hundred Dollars,* Each *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.* [illegible]

Dated Jan 20 *188* 8 J. Kilbreth *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named John Doe, Arthur Decker, *to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.*

Dated Jany 21st *188* 8 M J Power *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named .... *guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.*

Dated .... *188* .... *Police Justice.*

473 / 142

Police Court ..... District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF
Patrick Carroll
180 Mott St
William Clark
Charles Clark
Charles Clark

Offence Counterfeiting

BAILED,
No. 1, by Barbara Schmidt
Residence 344 Mott St Street.
No. 2, by Amelia Thompson
Residence 60 West 25th Street.
No. 3, by John Doe
Residence 73 - 4th Avenue Street.
No. 4, by Andrew Doe
Residence 111 Canal Street.

Barbara Schmidt
344 Mott St
and
Amelia Thompson
60 West 25th St

Dated 188

..... Magistrate.
..... Officer.
..... Precinct.

Witnesses
No. 1 ..... Street.
No. 2 ..... Street.
No. 3 ..... Street.
to answer

RECEIVED
JAN 24 1888
DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named John Doe, William Williams, and William Clark *guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of* $10 *Hundred Dollars,* Each *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated Jan 23 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named John Doe, Andrew Doe, *to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.*

Dated January 24 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named .....

..... *guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.*

Dated ..... 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

5280

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

John Doe, William Morris otherwise called William Munnie, Arthur Decker and William C. Woodward

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse John Doe, whose real name is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, William Morris otherwise called William Munnie, Arthur Decker and William C. Woodward ~~of the Crime~~ OF a Felony committed as follows:

The said John Doe, whose real name is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, William Morris, otherwise called William Munnie, Arthur Decker and William C. Woodward late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the eleventh day of November in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-seven, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, did feloniously print and write, and utter, publish, circulate and distribute to one, Anthony Comstock and divers other persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, a certain letter, writing and paper advertising and offering, and purporting to advertise and offer for sale and distribution, and to furnish and procure counterfeit paper money, and giving and purporting to give information, where, how, of whom and by what means such counterfeit paper money could be procured and had, which said letter, writing and paper, is as follows, that is to say:

"New York
11/10/87

Dear Friend

Your satisfactory letter to hand. The denominations of my goods are ones, twos, fives, tens and twenties. No one has ever had trouble with my goods, and it will be impossible for you to have any trouble, provided you keep your business strictly to yourself. The enclosed article, cut from the Herald will give

you just as good an idea how fine my goods
are, as samples would. Now the proper way to do
business is face to face, you to come here, examine
the goods, test them any way you want to, then take
them into your possession, and pay cash for
them. My rates are, three thousand, for three
hundred, five thousand for four hundred, ten
thousand for six hundred and fifty, and twenty
thousand for twelve hundred dollars. If you
take ten thousand or more, I will give you the
Exclusive State right. Now I cannot see you
anywhere but in New York city. I have custom-
ers coming continually to me from long distances,
and I have to be here to meet them, and fill
their orders. As soon as you receive this, notify
me, if you are prepared to come on, and make
a face to face deal, if so, I will immediately send
you complete instructions how to come on
and how to find me without the least trouble
or delay. Now my principal reason in
wishing to meet you face to face is in order
that I may have a chance to explain to you a
simple system by which you can get rid of
the "goods" in large lots, and how to make
the goods look old, and look as if they had
been in circulation a long time before you pass
them. Now if you will come on here at once, or
at your earliest convenience and let me put you
into the business properly, I swear to you before
God and man, you can make thirty or forty
thousand dollars in the next few months.
There is a time in every man's life that an
opportunity comes for him to make plenty of
money. This is certainly your chance, and one
like it can never come to you again. When
you come on here, if my goods are not exactly
as represented, and do not suit you in every
particular, I will allow you, your expenses
both ways, and pay you, what you consider

your loss of time to be worth. By all means, do not take anyone into your confidence. My true name you will find below. Be sure and return this letter. In answering hereafter, do not sign your name, sign B-13.

Address carefully now,

B. Bacon
Box 86-
care of Munnies Ex. Co,
827 - 6th - Avenue
New York."

and also a certain paper and printed matter of the same character and description as follows, to wit:

"A Leak in the Engraving Department.
(United States District Court)

About three months ago a prominent merchant of Denver, Col., received a letter from a man in New York City, offering to sell him money printed from Treasury plates that could not be told from the genuine. The merchant, by the barest accident, happened to be an honest man at heart, and although the temptation offered to him was a great one, one that not one man in a hundred could refuse, decided not to accept the proposal, but to inform the United States Marshal, Mr. Perry, whose headquarters are at Denver. The letter was shown to Marshal Perry, and he answered it under the merchant's name, who

had received it. Marshal Perry wrote to the counterfeiters in New York that he did not care to invest heavily at first until he saw what the goods were, and asked could he buy $100 worth to start on. The reply came back to forward on the money by express to a name and number that was given. The officer sent ten good ten dollar bills, and in return received $1000 in tens and fives. The money was apparently genuine and so the banks pronounced it in Denver. A week after he received the money, he wrote again to New York, saying that he thought of investing on a large scale, and asked if he could make arrangements to meet the maker of the bills in New York City, at the Grand International Hotel, at a certain time. A favorable answer came duly to hand, and the detective started for New York City. When Perry arrived at the hotel in question, he was called upon by an old man, who brought with him a valise which he opened in the room, displaying packages of money amounting to $100000. The detective examined the money carefully said it would suit him, and put his hand in his pocket as if to bring out the money to pay for it; but in the place of money, he drew a pistol, and placing it at the counterfeiter's head, made him a prisoner, and took the contents of the bag into his possession. The preliminary examination took place this morning upon being ar-

ranged on the charge of offering and selling what was supposed to be counterfeit money, the prisoner apparently became indignant and stoutly denied that he ever had a counterfeit bill in his life, and he demanded that the experts employed by the Government Department at Washington be sent for. His demand was complied with, and on arrival of the gentlemen, they at once set about making a thorough and critical examination of the supposed counterfeits; then submitted their sworn report, which was as follows: That all these United States Treasury notes found with Jones were printed from genuine plates used by former workmen in the Printing Bureau. They further added that they had long been aware that some persons had possession of a set of plates supposed to have been furnished by one of the engravers in the Engraving Bureau, and finally, that the only difference between the notes found on the prisoner, who gave the name of Jones, which of course was fictitious, and the genuine lies in their not being so much silk fibre interwoven in the paper. The prisoner's counsel asked the Government Experts if they would swear that the bills examined by them were counterfeits. To the astonishment of every one in the court room

they replied that they would not, in fact could not, as they were positive the bills were as good as any issued by the government and added that the fault lay in the careless manner observed in the Treasury Department in allowing workmen to handle government plates, printing inks, dies etc, as they wished. The Commissioner had no other alternative than to discharge the prisoner, who smiled his thanks, and tripped out of the court room, valise in hand, that contained; says our reporter, $100000 of money good enough for him, at all events. And our reporter was not alone in his envious thoughts, as it seemed that some of the spectators would like to have a few thousand of Jones's so called counterfeits. To give our candid opinion, we could see no difference in the bills, as the notes were printed from genuine United States plates, obtained from the Engravers Department at Washington, by whom it is not known, and perhaps never will be. As the case stands, some one is getting rich in a safe fast and sure manner at the expense of the Government."

against the form of the statute in such case made and provided,

and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

John R. Fellows,
District Attorney

"A"

Office of the United States Marshal
A. E. Gordon
District of New Jersey
Trenton N. J. Nov 13th 1888

The People
by
Arthur Decker

Received from George Jefferies
Deft- U. S. Marshal, the body
of Arthur Decker
A. E. Gordon
U. S. Marshal
per G. D. Bower
Deft-

Southern District of New York, ss.

George Jefferies being duly sworn says that he is a Deputy U. S. Marshal for the Southern District of New York, that on the 13th day of November 1888. he delivered the body of Arthur Decker to A. E. Gordon U. S. Marshal for the District of New Jersey in accordance with the instructions received from Martin T. McMahon U. S. Marshal of the District who held a warrant of removal signed by the Hon Addison Brown on the 7th

"A"

Office of the United States Marshal
A. E. Gordon
District of New Jersey
Trenton N. J. Nov 13th 1888

The People
by
Arthur Decker

Received from George Jefferies
Dept- U. S. Marshal, the body
of Arthur Decker
A. E. Gordon
U. S. Marshal
per G. L. Bower
Dept-

Southern District of New York, ss.

George Jefferies being duly
sworn says that he is a Deputy
U. S. Marshal for the Southern
District of New York, that on
the 13th day of November 1888. he
delivered the body of Arthur
Decker to A. E. Gordon U. S.
Marshal for the District of
New Jersey in accordance with
the instructions received from
Martin T. McMahon U. S. Marshal
of this District who held a warrant
of removal signed by the Hon
Addison Brown on the 7th

day of November 1888, directing him the said McMahon to remove the said Decker to the district of New Jersey, and deponent further says that the above receipt marked "A" is a true copy of the receipt received by him for the body of the said Arthur Decker.

Sworn to before me this 8 day of January 1889
Nathaniel Baldwin Jr
Notary Public
N.Y. Co

George Jeffreys
Deputy
U. S. Marshal

0036

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Arthur Decker

For

INDICTMENT

To

M. Evelina Stack

No. 111 Canal *Street,*

The indictment against the above-named defendant, for whose appearance you are bound, has been placed upon the Calendar for Pleading at the Court of General Sessions of the Peace, at the Sessions Building, adjoining the New Court House, in the Park of the said City, on the 7th day of instant, at eleven o'clock in the forenoon.

If the defendant is not produced at that time your bond will be forfeited.

JOHN R. FELLOWS,

District Attorney.

111 Cooper

Jany 7/88

Mr Stack called at Clerks office Genl Sess. Aug 10. 1888 and procured a bail piece to surrender Arthur Decker who was at that time in custody of the US Authorities - he left the bail piece with the US Marshal with the order of surrender —

Decker has since been sent to State Prison at Trenton N.J. where he now is for a term of years — as he is informed

Mr Davenport of the Clk Office recollects giving Mr Stack the bail surrender —

FOL. 1

At a Criminal Term, Part 1, of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, held in and for the County of New York at the Criminal Courts Building in the Borough of Manhattan, of the said City of New York, on the 2nd day of Nov., 1899.

Present,

HONORABLE Edgar L. Freeman
Justice.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

John Doe
William Morris alias William Munnie
Arthur Decker.
William C. Woodward.

It appearing in the opinion of this Court, that it is proper that the indictment hereinafter named be tried herein:

Now, on motion of Asa Bird Gardiner, District Attorney of the County of New York, it is

FOL. 2 **ORDERED,** that the indictment found in the Court of General Sessions of the Peace of the City and County of New York on the 1st day of February, 1899 against the above named defendants John Doe, William Morris alias William Munnie, Arthur Decker, William C. Woodward, for the crime of Felony be and the same is hereby removed into the Supreme Court of the State of New York in and for the County of New York.

E. L. Freeman
J. S. C.

NEW YORK SUPREME COURT,

COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

John Doe
William Morris
alias
William Morris
Arthur Decker.
William C Woodward.

Order of Removal of Indictment.

ASA BIRD GARDINER,
DISTRICT ATTORNEY,
CRIMINAL COURT BUILDING,
BOROUGH OF MANHATTAN,
NEW YORK CITY

THE PEOPLE

-against-

JOHN DOE, WILLIAM MORRIS, alias WILLIAM MURRIS, ARTHUR DECKER, and WILLIAM S. WOODWARD.

The defendant ARTHUR DECKER plead guilty on June 30th, 1896 and sentence was suspended. The defendant WOODWARD has never been arrested upon this indictment.

It is alleged that in 1897 these defendants advertised the sale of counterfeit money. It will be impossible for the People to obtain a conviction at this time, and the moral effect so much to be sought for in the punishment of offenders, would be lacking even if a conviction could be obtained.

Nor should the defendants be called upon to defend themselves in this action after the lapse of so many years. It would be unfair to a bondsman to require the production of a defendant some ten years after bail has been accepted.

I therefore recommend that the defendants Doe, Morris ~~and Decker~~ be discharged, each upon his own recognizance.

New York, June 24th, 1906.

John Schwarzkopf
Deputy Assistant District Attorney

I concur in the above recommendation.

Robert Thomson,
Assistant District Attorney.

0841

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

John Doe, William Morris, otherwise called William Munnie, Arthur Decker and William C. Woodward

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse John Doe whose real name is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, William Morris, otherwise called William Munnie, Arthur Decker and William C. Woodward ~~of the CRIME OF~~ a Felony

committed as follows:

The said John Doe whose real name is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, William Morris otherwise called William Munnie, Arthur Decker and William C. Woodward late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the Fifth day of November in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-seven, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, in the executing, promoting, carrying on, and aiding and assisting in the execution, promotion and carrying on of a certain scheme and device offering and purporting to offer for sale and distribution, and giving and purporting to give information, where, how, of whom, and by what means, counterfeit paper money could be obtained and had, feloniously did knowingly take, and secure and cause, procure, suffer and permit to be taken and received, from the mails of the United States, a certain letter addressed to a false and assumed name and address, to wit: the name and address following, that is to say:

"J. G. Green c/o J. B. Swartz & Son
416 6th ave N.Y."

the said name "J. G. Green" not being the own right, proper or lawful name of any or either of them, the said John Doe,

whose real name is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, William Morris, otherwise called William Munnie, Arthur Decker and William C. Woodward, and being ~~as well as the said address wholly assumed or wholly~~ fictitious; against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

John K. Fellows,
District Attorney.

THE PEOPLE

-against-

JOHN DOE, WILLIAM MORRIS, alias WILLIAM HUENNIE, ARTHUR DECKER, and WILLIAM C. WOODWARD.

The defendant ARTHUR DECKER plead guilty on June 30th, 1896 and sentence was suspended. The defendant WOODWARD has never been arrested upon this indictment.

It is alleged that in 1887 these defendants advertised the sale of counterfeit money. It will be impossible for the People to obtain a conviction at this time, and the moral effect so much to be sought for in the punishment of offenders, would be lacking even if a conviction could be obtained.

Nor should the defendants be called upon to defend themselves in this action after the lapse of so many years. It would be unfair to a bondsman to require the production of a defendant some ten years after bail has been accepted.

I therefore recommend that the defendants Doe and Morris ~~and Decker~~ be discharged, each upon his own recognizance.

New York, June 26th, 1899.

John Schwarzkopf
Deputy Assistant District Atty

I concur in the above recommendation.

Robert Zimmerman
Assistant District Attorney.

0844

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

John Doe, William Morris, otherwise called William Munnie, Arthur Decker and William C. Woodward

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse John Doe, whose real name is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, William Morris, otherwise called William Munnie, Arthur Decker and William C. Woodward ~~of the CRIME~~ OF a Felony

committed as follows:

The said John Doe, whose real name is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, William Morris otherwise called William Munnie, Arthur Decker and William C. Woodward late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the twenty-second day of November in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-seven, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, in the Executing, promoting, carrying on, and aiding and assisting in the execution, promotion, and carrying on of a certain scheme and device offering and purporting to offer for sale and distribution, and giving and purporting to give information where, how, of whom, and by what means, counterfeit paper money could be obtained and had, feloniously did knowingly take, and receive and cause, procure, suffer and permit to be taken, and received, from the mails of the United States, a certain letter addressed to a false and assumed name and address, to wit: the name and address following, that is to say:

"B. Bacon, Box. 86 - Care Munnies Ex. Co.
827 6th Avenue, New York."

the said name "B. Bacon" not being the own right, proper or lawful name of any or either of them, the said John Doe, whose real name is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, William Morris, otherwise called William

Munnig, Arthur Decker and William C. Woodward, as well as the said address unknown, and being ~~wholly~~ fictitious; against the form of the statute in such case made and provided and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

John R. Fellows,
District Attorney.

THE PEOPLE

-against-

JOHN DOE, WILLIAM MORRIS, alias WILLIAM MUNNIE, ARTHUR DECKER, and WILLIAM C. WOODWARD.

The defendant ARTHUR DECKER plead guilty on June 30th, 1896 and sentence was suspended. The defendant WOODWARD has never been arrested upon this indictment.

It is alleged that in 1887 these defendants advertised the sale of counterfeit money. It will be impossible for the People to obtain a conviction at this time, and the moral effect so much to be sought for in the punishment of offenders, would be lacking even if a conviction could be obtained.

Nor should the defendants be called upon to defend themselves in this action after the lapse of so many years. It would be unfair to a bondsman to require the production of a defendant some ten years after bail has been accepted.

I therefore recommend that the defendants Doe, Morris and Decker be discharged, each upon his own recognizance.

New York, June 28th, 1899. John Schwarzkopf

Deputy Assistant District Atty

I concur in the above recommendation.

Robert Thomas

Assistant District Attorney.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

John Doe, William Morris otherwise called William Munnie Arthur Decker and William C. Woodward.

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse John Doe, whose real name is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, William Morris, otherwise called William Munnie, Arthur Decker and William C. Woodward ~~of the CRIME~~ OF a Felony

committed as follows:

The said John Doe, whose real name is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, William Morris, otherwise called William Munnie, Arthur Decker and William C. Woodward late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the thirtieth day of October in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-seven, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, did feloniously print and write, and utter, publish, circulate and distribute to one Johnson Wolcott, and divers other persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, a certain letter, writing and paper advertising and offering, and purporting to advertise and offer for sale and distribution, and to furnish and procure, counterfeit paper money therein called "bills" and giving and purporting to give information where, how, of whom and by what means such counterfeit paper money could be procured and had; which said letter, writing and paper is as follows, that is to say:

"Strictly Confidential

New York.

Dear Sir:

My confidential traveling man, who happened to be in your section of the country not very long ago, has written me that he thinks

you would be a good man to handle my "bills". He says also that you are in an excellent position to do business for me, and that he does not think you are the kind of man to betray a trust.

The denominations are Ones, Twos, Fives, Tens and Twenties. They were printed from plates that were "secured" from the U. S. Treasury Department by a former workman in the engraving department. They cannot be told from genuine until they work their way back to Washington. If you will answer this letter at once, and give me your solemn word and honor that you will do me no harm, I will explain to you as a sensible business man, how you can make large sums of money safely and rapidly, without the least danger, and without even your most intimate friends, knowing anything about it.

In answering sign your name and address on a separate piece of paper as I have done, or else return the envelope in which this letter is sent, so that either way I will be sure to know who it is from.

If you received any other letters of this nature return them to me, as they will only be from my agents and would have to go through my hands at Headquarters.

Dictated.

John H. Green,
Clifton
Passaic County
New Jersey"

against the form of the statute in such case made and provided and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

John R. Fellows,
District Attorney.

0849

BOX:
295
FOLDER:
2812
DESCRIPTION:
Dolphin, Edward

DATE:
02/09/88

2812

Witnesses:

1

No 95

Counsel,

Filed, 9 day of Feby 1888

Pleads, Not guilty

THE PEOPLE,

vs.

B

Edward W. Dolphin

Feby 27 88

VIOLATION OF EXCISE LAW.
(Keeping Open on Sunday.)
[III Rev. Stat. (7th Edition), page 1989, Sec. 5]

JOHN R. FELLOWS,
RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,
Feb 20 1883 Atty
District Attorney.

A True Bill.

G G Hann
Foreman.

0851

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,
Plaintiffs
against
Edward W. Dolphin
Defendant.

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York. by this indictment accuse the above named defendant of the CRIME OF KEEPING OPEN ON SUNDAY a place licensed for the SALE OF STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINES, ALE AND BEER, committed as follows:

The said defendant late of the City of New York, in the County of New York, aforesaid, on the eighth day of January in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-eight, the same being the first day of the week, commonly called and known as Sunday, being then and there in charge of, and having the control of a certain place there situate, which was then duly licensed as a place for the sale of strong and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, with force and arms, at the City and County aforesaid, the said place, so licensed as aforesaid, unlawfully did not close, and keep closed, and on the said day, the said place so licensed as aforesaid, unlawfully did open, and cause and procure, and suffer and permit, to be open, and to remain open; against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

John R. Fellows,
~~RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,~~ **District Attorney.**