0403

BOX:
138
FOLDER:
1425
DESCRIPTION:
DeRudder, Adolph

DATE:
05/23/84

Witnesses.

14th Court

Day of Trial, [illegible]

Counsel, J. F. Grady

Filed 23 day of May 1884

Pleads Not Guilty

THE PEOPLE

vs.

B

Adolph J. DeRudder

Violation of Excise Law.
(Sunday.)

PETER B. OLNEY,
~~JOHN McKEON,~~

District Attorney.

A True Bill.

A. M. Kirby

Foreman.

0405

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Adolph J. DeRuaser

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse Adolph J. DeRuaser

OF THE CRIME OF **Exposing for Sale and Selling Strong and Spirituous Liquors, Wines, Ale and Beer, on Sunday,** committed as follows:

The said Adolph J. DeRuaser

late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the tenth day of February, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-four, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, the same being the first day of the week, commonly called and known as Sunday, with force and arms, certain strong and spirituous liquors and certain wines, to wit: One gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whisky, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain strong and spirituous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did expose for sale and sell as a beverage to

and ~~to~~ certain ~~other~~ persons whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT:

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said Adolph J. DeRuaser

of the CRIME OF GIVING AWAY AND DISPOSING OF STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINES, ALE AND BEER, ON SUNDAY, committed as follows:

The said Adolph J. DeRuaser

late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: On the said tenth day of February, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-four, at the Ward, City and County

0406

aforesaid, the same being the first day of the week, commonly called and known as Sunday, with force and arms, certain strong and spirituous liquors and certain wines, to wit: One gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whisky, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain strong and spirituous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did give away and dispose of as a beverage to

and to certain ~~other~~ persons whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

THIRD COUNT:

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said —

of the CRIME OF KEEPING OPEN ON SUNDAY a place licensed for the SALE OF STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINES, ALE AND BEER, committed as follows:

The said

late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the said day of in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty- , the same being the first day of the week, commonly called and known as Sunday, being then and there in charge of and having the control of certain premises at number 506

in the City and County aforesaid, which said place was then duly licensed as a place for the sale of strong and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, with force and arms, at the City and County aforesaid, the said place, so licensed as aforesaid, unlawfully did not close, and keep closed, and on the said day, the said place so licensed as aforesaid, unlawfully did then and there open, and cause and procure, and suffer and permit, to be open, and to remain open, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

PETER B. OLNEY,
~~JOHN McKEON~~, **District Attorney.**

0407

POLICE COURT 2 DISTRICT.

City and County of New York, ss.:

THE PEOPLE,

vs.

Adolph Benedict

On Complaint of Frank W Everhart

For Petit Larceny

After being informed of my rights under the law, I hereby waive a trial, by Jury, on this complaint, ~~and my right to make a statement in relation to it~~ and demand a trial at the COURT OF SPECIAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE, to be holden in and for the City and County of New York.

Dated February 10 188 4

A. J. Benedict

Police Justice.

0408

Police Court, ... District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.

Violation of Excise Law

Adolph Hendricks

Dated 10 *day of* Sept 188 4

Corbett *Magistrate.*

Ehrenfried *Officer.*

Witness,

Bailed $ ..... *to Ans.* .....

By .....

..... *Street.*

0409

Police Court 5 District.

STATE OF NEW YORK,
CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss

Frank N Earnhoe

of No. 29th Patrol precinct Street,

of the City of New York, being duly sworn, deposes and says, that on Sunday the 10th day

of February 188 6, in the City of New York, in the County of New York,

at premises 506 6th Avenue

a place where intoxicating liquors and wines were kept for sale, and sold as a beverage,

Adolph J Deunder [now here]

did then and there expose for sale and did sell, caused, suffered and permitted to be sold, and given away under his direction or authority strong and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, being intoxicating liquors, to be drunk in the house or premises aforesaid, contrary to and in violation of law; and did not keep said place closed on said Sunday the 10th day of February 188 6 as required by law. after the hour of 1 A.M. on said day.

WHEREFORE, deponent prays that said Adolph J Deunder may be arrested and dealt with according to law.

Frank N Earnhoe

Sworn to before me, this 10th day of February 188 6

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0410

BAILED, William Clements
No 1, by 336 6th Ave Street.
Residence
No. 2, by Street.
Residence
No. 3, by Street.
Residence
No. 4, by Street.
Residence

Police Court—5 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Laura Ludwig
vs.
Joseph Peet
Rivington St
2
3
4

Offence Grand Larceny

Dated February 10 188 4

Laura Ludwig 188
Complainant.
Residence 29 Rivington St
Witness
Witness
No. 29 Street.

Officer.
Precinct.
Magistrate.
Evening
Street.
No.

Witnesses
Witnesses
No.
Street.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Joseph Peet

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of One Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated February 10 188 4 Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named Defendant to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated February 10 188 4 Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named

guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated 188 . Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Sec. 198—200

12 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Adolph J Benneder being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is h right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h; that the statement is designed to enable h if h see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiver cannot be used against h on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Adolph J Benneder

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 30 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 305 7th Ave about 1 year

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Bartender

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not Guilty of the charge

A. J DeRudder

Taken before me this 10th *day of* February 1888

Police Justice.

0412

BOX:
138
FOLDER:
1425
DESCRIPTION:
Doherty, William
DATE:
05/12/84

Sullivan
No. 47

Counsel,

Filed 12 day of May 1884

Pleads Guilty (X3

THE PEOPLE

vs.

P

William J.

16 cts

Dohnerty

Grand Larceny 2 degree

[Sections 528, 581, Penal Code].

Jany

PETER B. OLNEY,

District Attorney.

A True Bill.

Wm Kirby *Foreman*

May 23/84

Pleads Guilty

Ed Ref

FD

Witnesses :

.....

.....

.....

.....

2160

0414

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
against
William J. Doherty

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse William J. Doherty of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY in the Second degree, committed as follows:

The said William J. Doherty late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the Seventh day of May in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-four, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, three promissory notes for the payment of money of the kind known as United States Treasury notes, the same being then and there due and unsatisfied, for the payment of and of the value of ten dollars each, and three other promissory notes for the payment of money of the kind known as Bank notes, the same being then and there due and unsatisfied, for the payment of and of the value of ten dollars each

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Jacob Brandt

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

0415

Second COUNT.

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

William J. Doherty

of the CRIME OF Grand Larceny in the Second Degree,

committed as follows:

The said William J. Doherty

late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York, on the

Seventh day of May, in the year of our Lord one thousand

eight hundred and eighty-four, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

three promissory notes for the payment of money of the kind known as United States Treasury notes, the same being then and there due and unsatisfied for the payment of and of the value of ten dollars each, and three other promissory notes for the payment of money of the kind known as Bank notes, the same being then and there due and unsatisfied, for the payment of and of the value of ten dollars each, and one piece of paper of the value of one cent, of the goods, chattels, personal property, money and things of value of the Mutual District Messenger Company, limited, then and there being found, then and there feloniously did

year, take and carry away against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

Peter B. Olney, District Attorney.

0417

BAILED,

No. 1, by ..... Street.

Residence .....

No. 2, by ..... Street.

Residence .....

No. 3, by ..... Street.

Residence .....

No. 4, by ..... Street.

Residence .....

Police Court — 1 — District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.

1 ..... 2 .....

2 ..... 3 .....

3 ..... 4 .....

4 .....

Offence .....

Dated ..... 188 .....

Magistrate.

Officer.

Precinct.

Witnesses .....

No. ..... Street,

No. ..... Street,

No. ..... Street,

to answer ..... Sessions.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named .....

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of .....

Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated ..... 188 .....

Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named .....

to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated ..... 188 .....

Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named .....

..... guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated ..... 188 .....

Police Justice.

0418

Sec. 198—200.

1 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss

William J Doherty being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is h right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h; that the statement is designed to enable h if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiver cannot be used against h on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer William J Doherty

Question. How old are you?

Answer 16 Years. last February

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York City

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 67 Newark Avenue Jersey City 6 months

Question What is your business or profession?

Answer Messenger.

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I admit Opening and not delivering the Envelope. But do not admit Putting the Money

William J Doherty

Taken before me this 1 *day of* Dec 1884

Police Justice.

0419

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Jacob S. Brandt

aged 25 years, occupation Stenographer of No. 50 Liberty Street, being duly sworn deposes and says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Charles Mann and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponents' own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 9 day of May 188 4

Jacob S. Brandt

Wm Wilde

Police Justice.

0420

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Alexander D. Cohen.

aged 27 years, occupation Clerk. of No.

56 Liberty Street, being duly sworn deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Charles Hamm.

and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponents' own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 5 *day of* May 1884 } Alexander D. Cohen

Police Justice.

0421

Police Court— 1st District. Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County of New York, } ss.:

Charles Hann

of No. 29 Murray Street, aged 41 years, occupation Agent of Mutual District Messenger Company, being duly sworn deposes and says, that on the 9th day of May 1884 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in the day time, the following property viz:

One Envelope Containing Gold and Lawful Money of the United States Issue Consisting of Three Notes or Bills of the denomination and Value of Ten Dollars Each. Together of the Value of Thirty Dollars

the property of Jacob B. Brandt and in deponents Care and Charge as Agents.

and that this deponent has a probable cause to ~~suspect~~, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away by William J. Doherty (Now here) from the fact that deponent is informed by Jacob B. Brandt that on said date he enclosed the said Money in a Sealed Envelope in his Office No. 86 Liberty Street with directions to have it sent as directed to Marie Wenke at the Steamship Elbe at Hoboken N.J. deponent is further informed by Alexander D. Shaw that he delivered the envelope addressed to Mrs. Marie Wenke at the Steamship Elbe and which was left at the Office of Jacob B. Brandt No. 86 Liberty Street to the said Doherty on said date and which was sealed at the time, deponent therefore charges

Sworn to before me, this ____ day of ____ 188__

Police Justice.

Police Court, ... District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
on the complaint of

.....

vs.

.....

1 .....
2 .....
3 .....
4 .....

Dated .....

Witnesses, ...

No. .....

.....

No. .....

.....

No. .....

.....

$ ..... *to answer* .....

.....

Offence—LARCENY.

.....

.....

.....

0423

BOX:
138
FOLDER:
1425
DESCRIPTION:
Donohue, Edward
DATE:
05/21/84

1425

0424

BOX:
138
FOLDER:
1425
DESCRIPTION:
Vogelsang, Otto

DATE:
05/21/84

Witnesses:

Thos A. Campbell
171 E. 91 St
Robert W. Monroe
175 E. 91 St
Robt D. Gatch Officer
23d Prect
Grant D. Schley
46 E. 60 St.

Mr. Vogelsang
Mortgage Dept,
Dept. 15 years.
May 4th 1883.
Never arrested
before.
Mr Donohue
Dept. has been 1849
old - clean
never arrested before
Punishment has

96 C/O. Pt.

Judge Black

Counsel,

Filed 21 day of May 188 4

Pleads Guilty

1st 193 E 41 Burglary in the third degree, and Grand Larceny in the second degree, [Sections 450, 528, 2d Penal Code].

THE PEOPLE
vs.
Edward Donohue P
1st 196 3 third degree and 2nd
Otto Vogelsang B

PETER B. OLNEY,
District Attorney.

Pr June 10 84
Both plead Pr

A True Bill.

Foreman.

June 10th Part 2
No 1 Pen 6 Mon
No 2. Pen 3. Mon
FS

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

8625

0426

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Edward Donohue,
and
Otto Vogelsang

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse Edward Donohue, and Otto Vogelsang of the CRIME OF BURGLARY IN THE THIRD DEGREE,

committed as follows:

The said Edward Donohue, and Otto Vogelsang, each late of the Fourth Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York, aforesaid, on the fifteenth day of May in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty four with force and arms, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, the saloon of Thomas A. Campbell there situate, feloniously and burglariously, did break into and enter, the same being a part of a building, and in which divers goods, merchandise, and valuable things were then and there kept for use, sale and deposit, to wit: the goods, chattels, and personal property hereinafter described, with intent the said goods, chattels, and personal property of the said Thomas A. Campbell then and there being, then and there feloniously and burglariously to steal, take and carry away, and one hundred of the value of twenty-five cents, one box of the value of twenty five cents, ten cups of the value of ten cents each, ten saucers of the value of ten cents each, and ten glass tumblers, to wit: ten glass goblets of the value of ten cents each

of the goods, chattels and personal property of the said Thomas A. Campbell

so kept as aforesaid in the said saloon, then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

Peter B. Olney
District Attorney

0427

New York, Mai 25th 1884

Mr. _____

To MAX RODDING, Dr.

Fresco, House and Sign Painter,

330 East 110th St.

Ich Bescheinige hiermit das der
alte Vogelsang bei mir zur Zufriedenheit
gearbeitet und ich denselben das beste Zeugniss
geben kan, er war Ehrlich, Treu, und Redlich,
auch hatte er Beständig Geld und Werthsachen
anvertraut was er auch zur Zufriedenheit
erfüllte auch meine Arbeiter Ihm das beste
Zeugniss geben.

Max Rodding.
painter.

0428

C. ERNST VETTER,

PROSPECT HILL PHARMACY,

1620 THIRD AVENUE, S. W. COR. 91ST STREET,

New York, May 17 1884

Eddie Donahue was in my employ about nine month, in that time I believed him to be strictly honest, was faithful and attentive to his duties.

C. Ernst Vetter

0429

Mai 27. 1883.

Der Unterzeichnete bescheinigt dem Otto Wagenbauer daß der bei demselben in der Lehre gewesene Friedrich Leist nun drei Jahre gearbeitet und seine Lehrzeit vollendet hat.

Lorenz Thyer
Fabrikant.

New York.
Mai 1883.

0430

Otto Vogelsang
has been a scholar of the
German American Emanuel
School at 87th St. bet. 3rd & Lex. Av.
for several years and
during that time has
conducted himself to
the satisfaction of his
teachers.

New-York, May 23rd 1884

J. Roth,
Principal.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0431

BAILED,

No. 1, by ..... Street,

Residence ..... Street,

No. 2, by John Schneider

Residence 2344 Second Av Street,

No. 3, by ..... Street,

Residence ..... Street,

No. 4, by ..... Street,

Residence ..... Street.

Police Court—4 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF
Edward Woodland
No. 6 9th Ave

1 Edward Woodland
2 Otto Boulsing
3 Hugh Delmour
4

Dated May 16th 1887

Offence, Burglary

Magistrate.

Officer.

Clerk.

Witnesses, Edward Woodland
Otto Boulsing
Hugh Delmour

No. ..... Street,

No. ..... Street,

No. ..... Street,

$ ..... to answer

Police Court—4 District.

1335

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Edward Woodland Otto Boulsing and Hugh Delmour guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Three Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated May 16th 1887 Samuel O'Reilly *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above named _____ to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated ..... 188 _____ *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____ guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated _____ 188 _____ *Police Justice.*

0432

Sec. 198—200.

7th DISTRICT POLICE COURT.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Otto Vogelsang being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is h right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h that the statement is designed to enable h if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiven cannot be used against h on the trial,

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Otto Vogelsang.

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 17 years.

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York city

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 1596-3d Avenue. — 2 years.

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Painting

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer I had a little beer and went down in the cellar to help my Bf.

Taken before me, this 16th *day of* May 188[illegible] Otto Vogelsang

Daniel O'Reilly *Police Justice*

0433

Sec. 198—200.

3 DISTRICT POLICE COURT.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Hugh Dellmour being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is h[illegible] right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h[illegible]; that the statement is designed to enable h[illegible] if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h[illegible] that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h[illegible] waiven cannot be used against h[illegible] on the trial,

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Hugh Dellmour

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 18 years.

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York city

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 173 East 91st Street - 4 years.

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Messenger boy.

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer I am not guilty of the Charge

Taken before me, this 16th *day of* May 188[illegible] } Hugh Dellmour

David C. O'Reilly *Police Justice*

0434

Sec. 198—200.

7th DISTRICT POLICE COURT.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Edward Donohue being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is h right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h; that the statement is designed to enable h if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiven cannot be used against h on the trial,

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Edward Donohue.

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 18 Years.

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York City

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 173 East 91st Street — 3 years.

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Varnisher

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer I did not break the board I found it broken when I got there

Taken before me, this 16th *day of* May 188[illegible]

Edward Donohue

David J. O'Reilly *Police Justice*

0435

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Robt. W Morgan

aged 30 years, occupation Driver of No.

175 E 91st Street, being duly sworn deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Thomas A Campbell

and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponents' own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 16th *day of* May 188 4 } R. W. Morgan.

David O'Reilly

Police Justice.

0436

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Robt D Gatt

aged 36 years, occupation Police officer of the

23d Precinct Police ~~Street~~, being duly sworn deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Thomas A Campbell

and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponents' own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 16th
day of May 188[illegible] } Robt D. Gatt

Daniel C Reilly
Police Justice.

0437

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Edward Pistell

aged 38 years, occupation Police officer of No. -

23d Precinct Police Street, being duly sworn deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Thomas A. Campbell

and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponents' own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 16th *day of* May 1884

Edward Pistell

Daniel O'Reilly

Police Justice.

0438

Police Court—5 District.

City and County of New York, } ss.:

Thomas a. Campbell

of No. 171 East- 91st Street, aged 30 years,

occupation Grocery business being duly sworn

deposes and says, that the premises No 1622 Third avenue Street,

in the City and County aforesaid, the said being a Grocery Store

and which was occupied by deponent as a Store for the sale of groceries

~~and in which there was at the time a human being, by name~~

place for storage of goods Stock and other property

were BURGLARIOUSLY entered by means of forcibly breaking off

a board from the cellar door leading into

said cellar of said premises

on the 15th day of May 188X in the night time, and the

following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz:

One barrell and box containing a number

of Cups, Saucers and glass goblets

of the value of Four dollars

the property of deponent and the copartner John Owens

and deponent further says, that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid

BURGLARY was committed and the aforesaid property taken, stolen, and carried away by

Edward Donohue, Otto Vogelsang and

Hugh Delmore (both now here)

for the reasons following, to wit: That deponent is informed

by officers Gath and Pertell that they found

said Donohue and Vogelsang on said prem-

ises and he is further informed by

Robert Mercer that he saw said

defendants in company with each other talking

and walking together on 91st Street and

Third Avenue in said City and imme-

diately thereafter he saw said Donohue

0439

Aug

"Vogelslang go down in said Cellar and handed the aforesaid property to said Delmore who was standing at said Cellar door and the said Delmore packed the same in a barrell box on the sidewalk and the said Moran called out Police and said Delmore ran away and the officers said Vogelslang and Donohue were found in said premises by said officers as aforesaid

Thomas A. Campbell

Sworn to before me
this 16th day of May 1884
Daniel O'Reilly Police Justice

Police Court ——— District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.

Dated ———— 188

Magistrate.

Officer.

Clerk.

Witnesses:

Committed in default of $ ——— Bail.

Bailed by ———

No. ——— Street.

Burglary ——— Degree.

0440

BOX:
138
FOLDER:
1425
DESCRIPTION:
Dore, Elizabeth

DATE:
05/29/84

1425

Witnesses:

Counsel, House

Filed 29 day of May 1884

Pleads Not guilty

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Elizabeth L. Dore

alias

Louise Dorey

[Sections — Penal Code].

PETER B. OLNEY,

District Attorney.

Tr June 19/84

Tried + acquitted.

A True Bill.

A. M. Kirby

Foreman.

June 18 41 Lbm 2/17 2

June 18 To Jun 17 Wood St Fee

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

1869

0442

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE

in and for the City and County of New-York.

The People of the State of New-York	:
- against -	:
Louise Dorey, otherwise called	:
Elizabeth L. Dore.	:

The GRAND JURY of the City and County of New-York, by this indictment, accuse Louise Dorey, otherwise called Elizabeth L. Dore, of the Crime of PERJURY, committed as follows:

Before the time next hereinafter mentioned application was duly made, at the City of New-York, in the County of New-York aforesaid, to Solon B. Smith, Esquire, one of the Police Justices in and for the City and County of New-York, for an inquiry by him into the facts of an alleged bastard child of the said Louise Dorey, otherwise called Elizabeth L. Dore, which was then likely to become a charge upon the City and County of New-York, as was said; and thereupon the said Police Justice duly issued his warrant for the apprehension of one James M. Fuller, the alleged father of the said bastard child, and upon the said warrant the said James M. Fuller was duly arrested and brought before the said Police Justice, who thereupon, to wit, on the sixth day of May, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty four, then and there duly associated with himself James T. Kilbreth, Esquire, one of the Police Justices of the City and County of New-York; and the said Solon B. Smith, Esquire, Police Justice as aforesaid, and the said James T. Kilbreth, Esquire, Police

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Justice as aforesaid, being associated as aforesaid, then and there, according to law, proceeded to inquire into the said charge and to examine on oath the said Louise Dorey, otherwise called Elizabeth L. Dore, being the woman who was the mother of the said bastard, in the presence of the said James M. Fuller, and to hear the testimony offered in relation thereto; and the said Police Justices then and there entered upon an examination and hearing of the matter; and at and upon the said hearing and examination before the Police Justices last named it became and was necessary and material that the said Police Justices should know whether the said Louise Dorey, otherwise called Elizabeth L. Dore, knew detective Philip Reilly, then attached to the District Attorney's office of the City and County of New-York, and whether the said Philip Reilly had ever taken her, the said Louise Dorey, otherwise called Elizabeth L. Dore, out of prison, and whether the said Louise Dorey, otherwise called Elizabeth L. Dore, was arrested by officer Reid on or about the 2nd. day of June, 1874, in the City of New-York, for stealing a diamond stud from one Hiram Hemlin at the St. Charles Hotel, in the City of New-York, and taken to the Eighth Precinct Station House, and afterwards brought before Police Justice Murray at the Second District Police Court, at Jefferson Market, in said City, and whether she had ever been arrested for any crime, and whether she had ever been convicted of a crime, and whether she had ever committed a crime, and whether she, the said Louise Dorey, otherwise called Elizabeth L. Dore, was in the City of New-York in the year 1878.

And at the hearing and examination aforesaid, on the sixth day of May, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty four, before the said Solon B. Smith, Esquire, and the said James T. Kilbreth, Esquire, Police Justices as afore-

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

said, and so associated as aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, the said Louise Dorey, otherwise called Elizabeth L. Dore, was called as a witness according to law to testify and give evidence before the said Justices touching the charge aforesaid, and was then and there by the said Solon B. Smith, Esquire, and James T. Kilbreth, Esquire, Police Justices aforesaid, duly sworn, and before the said Police Justices did take her corporal oath that the evidence she would give upon the said examination and hearing touching the matter then under inquiry would be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, they, the said Solon B. Smith, Esquire, and James T. Kilbreth, Esquire, Police Justices aforesaid, then and there having full and competent power and authority to administer the said oath to the said Louise Dorey, otherwise called Elizabeth L. Dore, in that behalf.

And the said Louise Dorey, otherwise called Elizabeth L. Dore, being so sworn as aforesaid, then and there upon her oath aforesaid, before the Justices aforesaid, at the said hearing and examination, feloniously, wilfully, knowingly and corruptly did falsely swear and testify of and concerning the material matters aforesaid in substance and to the effect following, that is to say: Philip Reilly, a detective attached to the office of the District Attorney of the City and County of New-York (The said Philip Reilly thereby meaning) had never taken her, the said Louise Dorey, otherwise called Elizabeth L. Dore, out of prison; that she was not arrested by officer Reid on or about the 2nd. day of June, in the year 1874, at the St. Charles Hotel, in the City of New-York, for stealing a diamond stud from Hiram Henlin, and was not taken to the Eighth Precinct Station House, and was not afterwards brought before Police Justice Murray at the Second District Police Court at Jefferson Market, in said City; that she,

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

the said Louise Dorey, otherwise called Elizabeth L. Dore, had never been arrested for a crime, and that she had never been convicted of a crime, and that she, the said Louise Dorey, otherwise called Elizabeth L. Dore, had never committed a crime, and that she, the said Louise Dorey, otherwise called Elizabeth L. Dore, was not in the City of New-York in the year 1878.

WHEREAS, in truth and in fact, the said Philip Reilly had taken her, the said Louise Dorey, otherwise called Elizabeth L. Dore, out of prison; And Whereas, in truth and in fact, the said Louise Dorey, otherwise called Elizabeth L. Dore, was arrested by officer Reid on or about the 2nd. day of June, 1874, at the St. Charles Hotel, in the City of New-York, for stealing a diamond stud from the said Hiram Henlin, and taken to the Eighth Precinct Station House, and was afterwards brought before Police Justice Murray at the Second District Police Court at Jefferson Market, in the City of New-York; And Whereas, in truth and in fact, the said Louise Dorey, otherwise called Elizabeth L. Dore, had been arrested for a crime, and had been convicted of a crime, and had committed a crime; And Whereas, in truth and in fact, the said Louise Dorey, otherwise called Elizabeth L. Dore, was in the City of New-York in the year 1878.

And so the Grand Jury aforesaid do say: That the said Louise Dorey, otherwise called Elizabeth L. Dore, in manner and form aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, on the day and in the year aforesaid, feloniously, wilfully, knowingly and falsely did commit wilful and corrupt perjury; against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New-York and their dignity.

PETER B. OLNEY,

District Attorney.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

C. W. FULLER.

BURGLARIES, MYSTERIOUS DISAPPEARANCES, BLACKMAILING SCHEMES, ANONYMOUS COMMUNICATIONS, FORGERIES, PATENT AND COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENTS, THE ANTECEDENTS, HABITS AND ASSOCIATIONS OF EMPLOYEES AND OTHERS, AND EVERY CLASS OF BOTH CIVIL AND CRIMINAL DETECTIVE BUSINESS TRANSACTED.

REPORT .....

FULL[illegible] YORK

DETECT[illegible] REAU,

Nos. 8[illegible]4 [illegible] WAY,

Between [illegible] Streets.

J. M. FULLER.

TELEPHONE "CALL," 21st STREET, No. 301.

CORRESPONDENTS IN EVERY CITY

OFFICES OPEN DAY AND NIGHT, EVERY DAY IN THE YEAR.

ORDERS BY MAIL OR TELEGRAPH PROMPTLY ATTENDED TO AT ALL HOURS.

EXPERIENCED AND SKILLFUL DETECTIVES SENT TO ANY SECTION.

New York, May 27th 1884

District Attorney Allen
Grand Jury Rooms

Dear Sir:

Mr James M. Fuller was taken suddenly ill this A.M. and will not be able to leave his bed to day; but hopes to be about tomorrow. His case against Elizabeth L. Dore was called for to day.

Yours truly
C. W. Fuller
Clerk

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0447

Police Court—3 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Louise M. Fuller
541 Broadway

Elizabeth F. Doe
Same address

3

4

Dated May 24 1884

Magistrate.

Sheet.

Precinct.

Street,

Street.

Street.

Offence Perjury.

1339

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Elizabeth F. Doe guilty thereof, I order that she be held to answer the same and she be admitted to bail in the sum of Ten Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until she give such bail.

Dated May 24 1884 Solon B. Smith Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named ..... to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated ..... 188 ..... Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named ..... guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated ..... 188 ..... Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

City and County of New-York, SS.:

James M. Fuller, being duly sworn, deposes and says: That he resides at No. 841 Broadway, in the City of New-York; that on the 6' day of May, 1884, there was pending before James T. Kilbreth, Esq., and Solon B. Smith, Esq., police justices of the City of New-York, a certain proceeding in bastardy, in which deponent was the defendant upon the complaint of one Elizabeth L. Dore, and that on said day, before the justices aforesaid, the said Elizabeth L. Dore personally appeared and was sworn as a witness in the said proceeding against this deponent, and being so sworn the said Elizabeth L. Dore did wilfully, feloniously and corruptly swear and depose falsely as follows: Upon the said examination the said Elizabeth L. Dore testified that she knew detective Phillip Reilly, now attached to the District Attorney's office; that the said Phillip Reilly had never taken her, the said Elizabeth L. Dore, out of prison; that she was not arrested for stealing from Hiram Henlin at the St. Charles Hotel, in this City, about June 2nd., 1874, a diamond stud, and taken by officer Reid to the Eighth Precinct and afterwards brought before Justice Murray at the Jefferson Market Police Court; that she had never been arrested for a crime, never convicted and never done a crime; that she was not in the City of New-York in 1878.

Deponent further says that the foregoing statements, sworn to by the said Elizabeth L. Dore, are false, as deponent can show by the testimony of Detective Sergeant Phillip Reilly, Captain Alexander S. Williams, Sergeant Charles M. Woodward of the Seventh Precinct, Hiram Henlin, Hannah L. Curry and Alfred Ivers.

And deponent avers and alleges that all the statements contained in the testimony of the said Elizabeth L. Dore given before the said Justices relating to this deponent being the father of her child are wilfully maliciously false and untrue.

Wherefore deponent prays that the said Elizabeth L. Dore may be dealt with as the law directs, and that the witnesses named above may be summoned and examined with respect to this charge.

Sworn to before me, this
16' day of May, 1884.

Solon B. Smith

James M. Fuller

Police Justice

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0449

PART 2.

THE COURT ROOM IS IN THE THIRD STORY AND FRONTING THE PARK.
☞ If this Subpœna is disobeyed, an attachment will immediately issue.
☞ Bring this Subpœna with you, and give it to the Officer at the Court-Room door, that your attendance may be known.
[SEE OTHER SIDE FOR OTHER DIRECTIONS.]

SUBPŒNA

FOR A WITNESS TO ATTEND THE

Court of General Sessions of the Peace.

The People of the State of New York,

To A. G. Lenong

of No. Court of Genl Sessions Street,

GREETING:

WE COMMAND YOU, That, all business and excuses ceasing, you *appear* in your proper person, before the Court of General Sessions of the Peace, to be holden in and for the City and County of New York, at the Sessions Building, in the Park of the said City, on the 11 day of June instant, at the hour of eleven in the forenoon of the same day, to testify the truth, and give evidence in our behalf, against

Elizabeth L. Dore

in a case of Felony whereof *he stands* indicted. And this you are not to omit, under the penalty of Two Hundred and Fifty Dollars.

Witness, Hon. FREDERICK SMYTH, Recorder, of our said City, at the City Hall, in our said City, the first Monday of June in the year of our Lord 188[illegible]

JOHN McKEON, *District Attorney.*

0450

DR. DOWLING.
313 MADISON AVE. New York.

June 11th 1884

This certifies that Mrs. Hannah L. Curry of No. 231 East 16th Street is now seriously ill - and under my professional care -

She is not able to attend Court to day -

She has been ill and confined to her bed the greater part of the time for the past two weeks

P. D. Dowling M.D.
313 Broadway

0451

District Attorney's Office
City & County of
New York.

Roberts Croats 76 Nov
Rapp vs Croats 94 Nov
Chamberlain 23 " 85
Lord Orr 78
Anderson
Ayers Sitton & Chamberlain 105

0452

People v Perry.

First. Defendant was bound to answer all questions. Penal Code §714.

It is for no purpose that the testimony given was competent or not.

Penal Code §1.

Chamberlain v People, 23 N.Y. 85.

Reg v Winsor, 8 Eng. C.C. 105.

The real question is was the testimony material and was it false.

Ward v People 57 N.Y. 121.

Second.

If matters tends to support and give credit to the witness in respect to the main fact - Perjury may be predicated

Ward v People 59 N.Y. 143.

Matters may tend to support a witness is material to the cause so far as it may increase the confidence to be placed in his statement. And likewise

whatever may tend to discredit him and in that manner to reduce the confidence his evidence may deserve, will materially affect the determination of the issue in controversy. All that can be insisted upon is that the evidence itself shall appear to have had some material bearing in the determination of the case, and whatever may tend to the discredit of the witness giving material evidence must be considered as within this rule.

People v Courtney
31 How. Pr. 197, 205 / Am. Cr. Rep. 583.
& cases cited.

Ray v Gibbons
9 Cox C. C. 105.

Cooper v Courtney.
94 N. Y. 490. / Am. Cr. Rep. 573.

United States v Emmerling
U. S. C. C. June 1883.
7 Am. C. Rep. 570.

Third.

The defendant testified that he was not arrested for stealing a steer. It was one of the

changes in the Criminal Code that he knows he has not been arrested upon any charge of manufacturing counterfeit money.

In this case also the defendant knows that he has been convicted of a crime. He had been convicted before Justice Morgan at Uppsala Municipal Court and fined.

The word "Crime" is defined as an act or omission forbidden by law and punishable upon conviction by 3. Fine.

Penal Code SS 3.

And the definition in the Revised Statutes 3 R. S. 995, Sixth Edition §54 is similar.

0455

STATE OF NEW YORK,
CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss:

POLICE COURT, 2 1 DISTRICT.

Hannah L. Cleary

of No. 231 West 16th Street, being duly sworn, deposes and says, aviran 48 years

that ~~on the~~ ..... ~~day of~~ ..... ~~188~~

at the City of New York, in the County of New York, She knows Elizabeth L'Done and that on or about the 21st day of September 1878, deponent caused said Elizabeth L'Done to be arrested for disorderly conduct and that she was taken from the premises 529 6th Avenue by a police officer to the 29th police precinct station house, and from there to the Jefferson market police court; That deponent has seen the said Elizabeth L'Done in the Tombs police court on the 9th day of Nov. 1878. Dep fully identifies her as being the same person she caused to be arrested on the day

Sworn to before me, this ..... day of 188

Police Justice.

0456

aforesaid and that deponent is confident and
positive that she is the same person

Sworn to before me
this 19th day of May 1874

Hannah L. Cleary

Solon B. Smith
Police Justice

POLICE COURT— DISTRICT.

AFFIDAVIT.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.

Dated 188

Magistrate.

Officer.

Witness,

Disposition

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

STATE OF NEW YORK,
CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss:

POLICE COURT, 6 DISTRICT.

Vivian Newlen

of No. 753 Broadway 37 years Billiard Tables Street, being duly sworn, deposes and says,

that ~~on the~~ day of ~~188~~

at the City of New York, in the County of New York, he knows Elizabeth L'Doze and has known her for the period of ten years last past, and that deponent caused the arrest of said Elizabeth L'Doze on or about the 2nd day of June 1874 for stealing from deponent at the St. Cha. Co. Hotel in this city a diamond stud and that said Elizabeth L'Doze was then taken by a police officer to the 8th police precinct station house and afterwards brought before Henry Murray a police Justice sitting at Jefferson Market Police Court; That deponent has seen

Sworn to before me, this
day of 188

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

POLICE COURT—_____DISTRICT.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.

AFFIDAVIT.

Dated _____ 188

Magistrate.

Officer

Witness,

Disposition

the said Elizabeth done on the 16th day of May 1884 at the Special Sessions Court in this City and fully identifies her as being the same person he caused to be arrested on the day aforesaid and that he is positive that she is the same person.

Sworn to before me this 17th day of May 84

Hiram Hanlin

Police Justice

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

The People &c
James M Fuller
vs
Elizabeth L Doré

Before Hon
Solon D Smith
Police Justice
May 20th 1884

Alexander J Williams called on behalf of the people being duly sworn deposes and says.

By the Court

Q You are a Captain of the 29th Police Precinct
A Yes sir
Q How old are you?
A 43 years
Direct Examination
Q You are now a captain of the Police Force?
A Yes sir
Q And how long have you been a captain of Police?
A 13 years
Q Did you at any time previous to being the captain of the 29th Precinct see the prisoner at the bar?
A Yes sir
Q Where did you see her?
A When she was first arrested.
Q Where did you see her?

0460

Q Do the liberty house.
Q Where is the liberty House?
A It was on the corner of Greene & Houston Sts.
Q Do you recollect the month of June 1894?
A I recollect her being arrested in the 8th
precinct, I cannot tell the month, I think
it was in 1894.
Q Do you recollect a man by the name of
Abram Kessler?
A Yes sir I don't know that I do.
Q Around you know the party if you saw him now
that made the charge against this woman
in 1894?
Objected to
By the Court Sustained
Q Did you see them in the station house in
the 8th precinct in the year 1894?
A Yes sir
Q Do you know what she was charged with?
A Charged with a larceny of a pin or stud I
forget which.
Q Where was it committed?
A In the liberty House I believe
Q Was she arrested from the liberty House?
A Yes sir my recollection is she went there with
an officer and found her in a room there
Q Did you see the complainant who made

the complaint against her at that time?
Cupelski
Q Have you seen that complainant since that time?
A I don't know that I have.
Q Would you know her if you saw her?
A I don't know, I would be positive.
Q Do you know whether she was brought to court?
A Positive she was.
Q Do you know whether there was a charge made against her?
A That I cannot say.
Q Do you know what name she went under at that time?

Objected to. By the Court Strike it out.

Q Do you recall what was done with her at that time?
A I do not recall
Q Are you positive that this party, the prisoner here is the same party?
A Yes sir - that is the woman, I knew her long before that arrest.
Q Do you know whether she has ever been arrested for any crime or charged with any crime?
A Previous to that arrest?

0462

4/a

Q Yes sir?
A Yes sir
Q Do you know whether she was after?
A Yes sir
Q For what?
A She was arrested in the 29 th precinct about 18 months or 2 years ago. for receiving goods by false pretenses.
Q any other time?
A Not to my knowledge I understand she has. I don't know positive.

Sworn to before me
this 20 day of May 1884 Chas S. Williams
Solon B Smith.

Police Justice

Charles H. Woodward, called on behalf of the people being duly sworn says.

By the Court.

Q What is your business?

A Sergeant of Police of 4th Precinct

Q What is your age?

A 41 yrs.

Direct Examination

Q How long have you been a sergeant of police

A 2 5 years. Q/W Ors.

Q In the year 1874 at what Station House were you

A I was attached to the 4th precinct

Q There during the whole of that year?

A Yes sir I was, I was, I done desk duty there during that year.

Q Look at the prisoner Lee Q/W say if you know her

A Yes sir I recognize her, She was brought to the 4th precinct Station House by Officer Reel. on the 2d of June 1874 at 5 o'clock in the evening Q/W charged with grand larceny. with stealing a diamond stud from the complainant while occupying a room in the St. Charles hotel. I was at the desk at the time Q/W I took a complaint Q/W locked her up.

Q Did you send her to Court the next morning

6

Q Learned you know the complainant in this case if you saw him?
A I would not unless there was something peculiar about his features; but I saw this time the prisoner at the bar is the identical person that was brought before me.
Q You don't know what was done with her at present of your own knowledge.
Ans. No.
Q Did you have a report on the blotter afterwards what was done?
A I presume there was but I didn't see it;
Was Examined.
Q At the time she was brought in and the charge was made, what did you do, enter it on the blotter
I move that the question be stricken out, By the Court, Motion denied.
Q What time was it that the lady was brought in to the station House?
A The blotter says 10 minutes past 8.
Q When she was brought in was she brought in front of the desk?
Ans. Yes.
Q Did the officer then in her presence charge her with any offense of grand larceny?

As he did on the complaint of the complainant as was there. I have an indistinct recollection if she was confronted with him or not I presume she was.

Q. Will you answer positively now that she was confronted at that time by the complainant and in her presence and hearing so that she could hear that a charge was made against her of a larceny of a diamond stud

A. No sir I cannot state positively

Q. was she revoked in the station house?

A. I cannot state positively

Q. Then you cannot of course state positively whether a diamond stud was found on her person or not?

A. No sir

Redirect

Q. Upon a complaint being made what do you do?

A. I investigate the matter to see whether there was any good reason to believe that a felony had been committed and after investigating it if I see cause I take them and lock them up. we dont commit them without examining into it; when a complaint is made it is always made in the presence of a prisoner

0466

Q are you positive in this case that the complainant was there at the time when the prisoner was there?

A I think so I have no distinct recollection of it.

Recess

Q Are you are not willing now to say under oath that this defendant was present at the time the complaint was made and had knowledge of it?

A Not at that time

By the Court

Q At the time of the complaint being made and the prisoner brought before the desk, did the officer make any statements of the facts of the case?

Objected

Q within the hearing of the defendant?

Objected

Sworn to before me
this 23 day of May 1884

Chas. N. Woodward
Police Justice

0467

William Morgan called on behalf of the people being duly sworn says.
By the Court
Q You are a clerk or assistant?
A Yes sir
Q Attached to the 2d district Police Court?
A Yes sir
Q And as such assistant were you there during the year 1854?
A I was not assigned there
Q Do you know the signature of Judge Murray
A Yes sir
Q Whose signature is this (showing witness)
A Justice Murrays
Q This paper is taken by you from the files of the second district Police Court?
A Yes sir
Q And is still in your custody?
A Yes sir

Paper marked Exhibit 1 Complainants evidence

Wm Morgan

Sworn to before me
this 25th day of May 1854.
Solon B. Smith
Police Justice

Miriam Newlen called on behalf of the
Complainant being duly sworn says
By the Court.

Q Where do you reside?
A 138 Broadway.
Q What is your age?
A 37.
Q What is your business?
A Billiard table business.
Direct Examination
Q Mr Newlen on the 2d day of June 1874
were you in the City of Newyork?
A I was and was staying at the St Charles Hotel
on Broadway.
Q Do you know the defendant at the bar?
A I do Sir
Q Did you on the 2d of June make a Complaint
against the prisoner?
A I was not like to say it was the 2d.
Q Did you know the prisoner at the bar the 2d of June 1874?
A Yes Sir
Q Please state the circumstances of the meeting
the defendant & what occurred at that time
A I met her in the St Charles Hotel and I made
a complaint against of stealing my
diamond stud it may have been on the
2 or 3 of the I don't remember which date

0469

10

but she did ni my room steal from me a diamond stud pin I had her arrested and she was brought before Captain Williams in the 5th Precinct Station House; I met her and another man on the street and I stopped her and said to her give me back my pin you stole from me and she said she didnt have it and just then the officer came in and took them both to the station house. and I made a charge against her in her presence at that time and the Sergeant and Captain were both there. they were sitting behind the desk and they endorsed the charge I laccused her of stealing this diamond stud and she was locked up. and the following morning I was brought to the 3rd Court House.

Q Did you know the Judge?

A Judge murray.

Q was there any complaint taken?

A I explained it to the Judge, I signed a paper

Q Charging her with this larceny?

A yes sir and she was arraigned in court. and taken before the Judge

Q You were present before the magistrate

A yes sir

Q was the prisoner there in front of him.

A yes sir

0470

Q By the Court was the case disposed of at once?
A Yes it was delayed for a day, when Mr Reilly who was a friend of mine got back the pawn ticket for the stud
Q How many days elapsed between the time?
A Two days I don't remember which,
Q Now you identified it at that time?
A Yes sir
Q So that your signature (showing a paper to witness)
A Yes sir Was signed Was known to me in the presence of Judge Murray.
Re Cross Examd
Q Where are you employed?
A 757 Broadway I have been there about 1½ years. Previous at 401 East 59th Street, I lived there 4 years. Before any employment I had I was employed at 51 Avenue Hotel in Long Island for myself until I was burnt out and from then I went to Valley Stream
Q You say you lost a pin in the St Charles Hotel?
A Yes sir at Bleecker Street & Broadway. I was running at the hotel at that time for probably 3 years. I had a furnished

room there;

Q What is the reputation of that hotel?

A I don't know, prior to the I visited the St Nicholas hotel

Q What was the reputation of the St Charles hotel at the time you resided there during the 2 years; is it not true at the time you resided there it was known as a bad house Will you swear now as a matter of fact that the 2 years you resided in the St Charles hotel that it was not known as a bad house

A I never knew it was a bad house, I knew it was a hotel where furnished rooms could be obtained by any gay person that came in there

Q Will you swear now as a matter of fact that you had no knowledge of the fact of people coming in there and occupying rooms in that house for the purpose of (assignation)

A I never concerned myself

Q Will you swear now as a matter of fact that during the 2 years that you resided there it was known as a bad house; do you know the general reputation of the house?

A Well I don't know that it was.

Q Now how long prior to the time of this fire were you acquainted with the defendant?
A I was not much acquainted with her I saw her around the house I saw her probably 20 or 30 times before I lost my pin
Q Did you have any conversation with her during the time you saw her and before you lost your pin?
A Yes, good morning and good evening.
Q Where were you at the time you lost your pin?
A In my room with her.
Q For what purpose did she go in your room with you?
A I don't know
Q If she was not your wife, you were not married to her, she didn't reside there?
A Yes sir I don't remember if she was in the room before that time or not?
Q Do you mean to say that if she was in your room prior to the time you lost your pin you would not remember it
A That was a great many days that came in my room,
Q Did she come with your name on your misstatement?
A I don't know. I cannot say it is 10 years ago

0473

Q When she came in that room did she partake of any lunch with you?
A Yes sir I ordered some dinner, I am sure of that because she said she didnt have anything to eat for 3 or 4 days when she came in;
Q Did you ever see your pin at the time she came into your room?
A I am positive, I took off my coat and vest and she was very close to me., she was sitting on my lap when she took the pin and probably half an hour after that I discovered that my pin was gone.
Q When did you see her after that?
A About 8 hours afterwards walking with another man and I asked her for the pin and she said she didnt have it and I had her arrested, it was between 4 & 5 oclock that I lost the pin
Q Can you describe the man that was with her?
A He was a kind of a short thin dope man I think he had little side whiskers.
Q How long after she left your room was there any other parties in your room before you discovered the loss of your pin?
A Never positively there was not

0474

15

Q When you got to the station house what did you do?

A I told the captain that the state my pin he was sitting behind the desk. We stood near by

Q Did you ever mean that the know what you stated to the Captain or Sergeant?

A She stood right along side me I said this man above been looking for him for 6 months

Q Where next to and you are the?

A Here in the Court room. The following day we saw same she was there

Sworn to before me
this 25th day of May 1914
Edward B Smyth

Miriam Hendlin

Police Justice

16

David Veitch called by the people being duly sworn says.

By the Court

Q Where do you reside?

A 516 6th Avenue

Q What is your business or profession

A Stenographer of the Special Sessions

Direct Examination

Q Also the official stenographer for the justices

sitting at cases of Bastardy?

A That I can hardly say.

Q You act there?

A Whenever the justices call upon me I

serve of course;

Q Were you the stenographer in the matter

of the complaint of Elizabeth Doré against

James O'Fuller?

A Yes sir

Q Is that a copy of the minutes you took?

objected to.

By the Court

Q Have you your notes with you, the original

notes taken by you at that time?

A I have yes sir

Q Have you compared them?

A Yes sir

Q Now is this a true copy of them?

By

Cross Examined

Q What did I understand you to say your official position was?

A My official position is Stenographer to the Court of Special Sessions.

Q How did you become connected with that official position that you have just spoken of?

A By appointment.

Q Who appointed you stenographer of that Court?

A Joseph Dowling and Richard Kelly.

Q And you were appointed simply as Stenographer to the Special Sessions?

A That is my official position and I also do work in the police Courts when called upon by the Justices

Q Have you any official appointment or power to perform the duties other than the official Stenographer of the Court of Special Sessions?

A I am under orders from the Board of Police Magistrates.

Sworn to before me
this day of May 1894

Police Justice

0477

18

Adrian G Jerome called on behalf of the people being duly sworn says:

Q What is your position?

A Clerko assistant police Court.

By the Court

Q Do you know the signature attached to that paper? (Showing witness a paper)

A Yes sir

Q Whose is it?

A Henry J Porter.

Q What is his position?

Q Commissioner of Charities & Corrections

Q Do you know whose signature that is?

A That is Custais Ford.

Q Are those? (Showing witness)

A Signature of the complainant. Elizabeth Doré and the is J Henry Ford.

Q Are the order,?

A The order is signed by Justices Solon B Smith and James J Kilbreth,

Q Do you know them to be their signatures?

A Yes sir,

A Requisition from the Commissioner of Charities & Corrections requesting the Arrest examination of Elizabeth Doré the warrant, testimony taken at the examination, Order of filiation made by the magistrate ~~heading the case~~ appeared in evidence

0478

19

And moved Complainants Exhibit 2.

Sworn to before me
this 21st day of May 1884

Solon B Smith

Alexander G Jenne

Notary Public

50

Hannah Berry called on behalf of the people being duly sworn says

By the Court.

Q where do you reside?

A 231 North 16th Street.

Direct Examination

Q are you acquainted with the defendant Elizabeth Dore?

A I used to know her years ago in 1898.

Q Just look at this signature (showing writing on a paper) is that your name?

A yes sir at the time it was made it was my name; Hannah L Babcock. I should say that was my signature I think it is

Q do you recollect the circumstances under which you signed that?

A yes sir I do I caused her to be arrested for disorderly conduct.

Q where did you sign that paper?

A I think I signed it in Jefferson Market before Judge B J Morgan.

Q was she the prisoner there at that time?

A yes sir.

By the Court, Overruled, Counsel Excepts.

Q do you recognize this defendant as the person Elizabeth Dore?

A yes sir

0480

31

Q And the defendant charged in your presence with this complaint (showing entries)
Q did the defendant have this paper at that time?
A I saw the defendant read some papers that were handed to her by the Judge.
Cross Examined
Q Where were you living at the time you made this complaint? and with whom?
A I was residing at 529 6th Avenue with Mrs Done and Mrs Conroy. the man whom afterwards married and who is now under the pod. I was married to him on the 24th of August 1899. I am now living at 231 West 16th Street I have a small flat there in the Clinton flats.
Q This complaint was made on the 20th day of September?
A 20th or 21st the records will show that.
Q until you swear now positively that that signature to that paper is in your handwriting?
A I think it is, but I would not like to swear positively because handwriting can be forged so easily, I never knew any person to write as poorly as I do.
Q until you swear that it is?
A I would not like to swear positively

0481

By the Court

Q You recollect beginning that paper there that day?

A Yes sir I dont think I signed more than one complaint or paper.

Q Did you swear to more than one paper before the magistrate?

A I think so.

Q Did you believe it to be your Land writing?

A Yes sir.

Q You didnt sign any more than one paper do you?

A No sir.

Sworn to before me this 10th day of May 1884

Solon B Smith

Peace Justice

Hannah LeCurry

0482

William Morgan Recalled
By the Court
Q Look at that paper (showing witness a paper whose signature is that?
A Judge Duncan J Morgan.
Q Is that one of the original records under your control in this Court.
Yes sir
Q What is the endorsement at the bottom of it?
A Fined 10 dollars. 600 dollars for her good behavior for 6 months, imprisonment not to exceed 6 months.
Q Is whose handwriting is that?
A Judge Morgans.
Objected to
By the Court Question allowed Exception

Sworn to before me
this 25th day of May 84
Solon B Smith

Wm Morgan

Police Justice

James O'Neil Belland called on behalf of the people being duly sworn says

By the Court.

Q Where do you reside?

A 55 Barrow Street

Q What is your age?

A 40 years.

Q What is your profession?

A Lawyer

District Examination.

Q Are you acquainted with the defendant Elizabeth L. Doré?

A I have met.

Q Have you ever seen her previous to this occasion appear?

Q Where?

A I saw her here the other day.

Q In the year 1894 did you see the prisoner at the bar at the 2d District police Court, then at the bar?

Objected to,

By the Court Question Allowed. Exception

A I shall decline to answer that question.

Q By the Court On what ground.

A That I am a lawyer.

Q That of itself is not sufficient, would it

55

Criminate you?
A. Never mut at all.
Direct Examination
Q. Cannot it convict you of any crime?
A. Not at all.
Q. Question repeated:
Objected to as being incompetent
and immaterial and irrelevant
Question withdrawn
Q. Mr McClelland on the 1st day of June 1874
did you appear at the police Court as Counsell
for the prisoner at the bar who was then
charged under the name of Elizabeth Hannon
otherwise called Elizabeth Doré with the
larceny of a diamond Stud of the value of
$150 Dollars, upon which the complaint
was made
Objected to as being Irrelevant
Immaterial and improper
A. I said a minute ago in answer to the ques
tion that was asked me by Counsell that
I was a lawyer, I apprehended that during
the course of this Examination that certain
revelations might be enquired into and
that is the reason I answered in that way,
as I understood Counsell to ask me if this
is a lady who was the defendant in a

proceeding in June 1874 in which she was charged with the larceny of a diamond stud, I decline to answer the question on what ground.?

In response to that question I offer the declination

Q By the Court Is a proper question for you to answer.

A I regret exceedingly that my ideas of duty compel me to say to the Court, that I can not answer that question under the coercion or compulsion of the Court.

Q By the Court, Is a proper question for you to answer?

A I understand your honor directs that I shall answer that question?

Q By the Court Yes sir

A Yes sir

Direct Examination

Q Did you appear for the defendant in that case

A Yes sir

Q Was she committed by the magistrate?

objected to

Sworn to before me } Jas. D. McCullough
this 22 day of May 1874

Solon B Smith

Police Justice

0486

34

Hugh McAvoy called on behalf of the complainant being duly sworn says.
By the Court
Q Where do you reside ?
A 125 West 10th Street
Q What is your age ?
A 14 Years
Q What is your business ?
A Messenger for the Junior
Line of Examination
Q On the year 1894 what was your business ?
A Messenger for the Court: Jefferson Market
Q Police Court ?
A Yes sir
Q Do you remember the month of June 1894 ?
A I do Sir
Q Do you see the defendant there in the year
1894 ?
A That lady there (pointing to the defendant) Yes sir.
Q Where ?
A Jefferson market Police Court.
Q Before what Judge ?
A Judge Murray.
Q Do you know what the charge was against her
Objected to. By the Court Sustained
Q You saw her in the Prison ?
A I saw her go down on the bridge in the

28

prision from the court room down on the bridge into the prison

Q In 1898 did you see the prisoner?

Ayesir

Q where?

A In the court room as a prisoner

Q Did you go into the prison in 1898?

A Yesir; there was no prision in 1898. the prision was torn down

Cross Examination

Q What do you say you are a messenger?

A Yesir 2 years in this business

Q You have been 2 years as a messenger there?

A In the court and in the prision

Q when did you see this defendant?

A about June 1894 I dont know the date

Q How do you fix that it was in June 1894?

A Because I said to the man ~~your letter~~ ~~got up that stool~~ or you will go to the board of detention, I says I know it & they will send you there & he said oh god I / dont want to go there this arraignment down in the prision then. that was 6 years ago.

Q How many times since that had you seen this defendant?

0488

A I might tell to much. a year before that around St Nicholas Hotel Cruising on Broadway

Q How often ?

A a dozen times

Q Do that when she was brought into prison this time in June 1894 you knew her ?

A I knew of her

Q Did you make the arrest ?

Answer

Q Did you see the arrest made ?

Answer

Q Did you know of your own personal knowledge whether she was under detention at ?

A I did

Q How do you know it ?

A Because Judge Murray committed her

Q Did you see her before and after Judge Murray had committed her ?

A I seen her in the box where they put all the prisoners I saw her among men + women

Q Were you before Judge Murray when she was committed ?

Answer Yes sir

Q You were present when Judge Murray committed her ?

Answer Yes sir

Q Did you see the Judge make out the papers

0489

29

the Commitment?
Answer but I saw the officer take them; and I saw the officer take her down the bridge into the prison
Q How long did she remain there?
A May have been there 10 or 12 days.
Q Then she was discharged?
A I don't know how she got out. I know she went out.
Q When next did you see her arrested as a prisoner?
A Arrested again for disorderly conduct in the Court room. that may be 6 years ago.
Q You didn't make that arrest?
Answer
Q And you didn't see the arrest made? A I did not.
Q Did you see her in the prison on any occasion?
Answer I saw her in Court at the time
Q The old prison was torn down at that time?
Answer

Sworn to before me
this 22 day of May 1884
Solon B Smith
Police Justice

Hugh McAvoy

Philip Reilly called on behalf of the People being duly sworn says'

By the Court

Q where do you reside'

A 1 Varick place

Q what is your age'

A 45 years

Q You are a detective Sergeant attached to the Newyork police force'

Ans Yes sir

Direct Examination

Q Do you know the prisoner at the bar'

Ans Yes sir

Q Did you on 18th appear before Judge Murray in a matter where the defendant was charged with any crime'

A I did Sir

Q will you state what you done and where you found the prisoner & what you done with her'

A The complainant against her at that time Herbin Kenlen was a friend of mine and he lost a stud and charged her with stealing it Herbin Kenlen very well and she was locked up in Jefferson ~~Market~~ Market Prison and he asked me to come and arrest her if she would return that stud to him, and

31

I went and seen Judge Murray and got an examination paper and took her out to see a man by the name of Higgins, I took her out of prision she said that the man Higgins would give her some information about this stud she had an interview with Higgins and I returned her back again to the prision and locked her up

Q You identify her?

A Yes sir sure it is the woman.

Cross Examined

Q Isn't it a matter of fact that you took her out of prision to see whether or not she could identify a man by the name of Higgins

A Really forget now it was for the purpose of identifying him or having an interview

Q Did you hear the conversation that took place between that defendant and this man Higgins

A No sir

Q Will you swear now that you took her out of prision out of Jefferson Morgan Parish Court for the purpose of seeing whether or not she could find the stud that is alleged to have been taken from your premises?

A I will swear that I took her out for that purpose

Q She didn't tell you she knew any thing about

32

the stud ?
A Yassi I went over the Stark Lenant of
Prussia for the purpose of ascertaining and
ascertaining the complainant in regarding
his stud ;
Q She didnt tell you where it was ?
Answer
Q She didnt tell you she knew where it was ?
Answer
Q Did she tell you she had stolen the stud ?
Answer
Q Where did you find Higgins ?
A Corner of Greene and Bleeker. Shell Gasaway
hung out there.
Q Was Higgins afterwards arrested for the larceny
of this stud ?
A I dont know
Q Do you recollect whether the stud was ever returned
Answer I do not.
Q Do you know what was done with the
Defendant
~~Complainant~~ upon that charge ?
A Answer I do not.
Q How did you go from the house where
you met the man ?
A Took this lady out who was my Lady
in the family may at the time for it was
a hot day and she could not walk and I hired

a coach & drove to where Sgt and Higgins at the corner of Greene & Beecher Sts. He was standing on the corner.

Q Did you drive directly there?

A I did

Q Expecting to find Higgins?

A I knew him was usually to be always hanging out in the neighborhood. She did not get out of the coach I called Higgins over to her & I got out of the carriage & stood away from it

Q While I was in the carriage this man Higgins conversed with her? Anything

Q Do you know whether Kirwin was arrested this time?

A No sir I did not

Sworn to before me this 29 day of May 1874

Solon B Smith

Police Justice

Philip McCully

3 4

Direct Examination by Mr. Reader.

Q Did I understand you to say that you subsequently redeemed the diamond stud?

A Yes sir I did

Q Out from whom did you redeem the stud?

A I forget the name it was a loan office corner 4th Street and Broadway, I got the pawn ticket I dont remember who gave me the pawn ticket I think Mr Kelly gave it to me. or she did

Q Did you ever tell Mr Kelly that you redeemed your stud?

A He saw it on me afterwards, I think he did I am not sure though. I think I showed it to him I think he was there when I got it

Q You say that somebody gave you the pawn ticket did you cannot say if it was Kelly or the defendant?

A I dont remember which it was.

Q When was it that you received the pawn ticket

A It was probably 10 days or 2 weeks after I got the pin

Q Who was present when the pawn ticket was given to you?

A I dont remember

Q Until you were under oath that the

0495

Sworn ticket was given to you by this deponent.
Denied not.
After you received the fine you refused to
prosecute the case?
Deponent that was the last I heard of it.

Sworn to before me
this 23d day of May 1884
Solon B. Smith

Miriam Hentlin

Patrick Justice

0496

36

Philip Reilly Called. By the People

Mr Reilly can you refresh your memory in any way in relation to the defendant showing you or telling you about having that suit?

A I do not have no recollection of it. He might have paid me but he might not.

Cross Examined

Q Have you any recollection of Mr Henden a pass ticket upon which he received his pay?

A I am positive that I never did

Q Are you equally as positive that you never gave any body else Mr Henden the pass ticket upon which he received his pay?

A Yes.

Philip Reilly

Sworn to before me
this 18th day of March 1874

Edwin B. Bruce

Philip Reilly

Police Justice

0497

37

Alfred Ivens called by the people
Being duly sworn says
Q where dayan reside?
A 336 4th Ave.
Q what is your age?
A 6-4 years.
Q what is your business?
A Plumber.
Direct Examination
Q Dayan Kuan the defendant Elizabeth Doré
Carbeau June 76.
Q Do you know her in yf? A I saw her in yf
Q where did you see her in yf
Q In this room

Sworn to before me
this day of May 1877

Solon B. Smith
Police Justice

0498

38

Daniel Matthewson called on behalf of the people being duly sworn says.
By the Court
Q what is your age ?
A 44 years
Q you are an officer attached to 29th precinct
Yes sir
Q on September 21st 1878 were you on the police force in this city ?
Yes sir in the 29th precinct
Q did you make an arrest on the 21st day of September
Yes sir
Q do you know the prisoner at the bar ?
Yes sir
Q did you know her by the name of Josie at that time ?
A That is the name she gave, I arrested her. and brought her to the police court.
Q did you take her into custody as a constituent
Yes sir I did I received it from the Judge
By the Court
Q do you identify this defendant as the defendant in that case ?
Yes sir
Cross Examination
Q How long ago was that arrest made ?
A Yes about the 20 or 21st of September

0499

39

Q Have you been talking to anybody relative to this matter within the last few days?
A No sir
Q Have you refreshed your memory by reason of the arrest of the defendant on that day?
A I kept a memorandum of the arrest I made and I looked it up when I got the subpoena.
Q How often had you seen this defendant prior to that time you made the arrest?
A Only once since I sentenced her 9 or 6 days after I sentenced her I don't recollect how she was dressed.
Q When was she dressed after the arrest?
A She had a little child with her, I think she is a little shorter now than she was.
Q How many other people did you arrest on the 21st of September 98?
A 2 or 3 I cannot say.
Q How many did you arrest on the 20th of September 98?
A I don't know
Q How did you come to take particular notice of this prisoner to know now that it is the
A Because it was a peculiar case
Q How long have you been on the force?
A 16 years
Q How is this the only peculiar case you can

0900

40

Arcadia I cannot remember any just now. And I don't know how many other people in Arcadia had on the 20 or 21st of September Wright Lane had one on that day that I don't remember now, this was a coat where the walls & rooms were all besmeared with nuisance

Q How many prisoners do you suppose that you had or that you arrested during the month of September 1926?

A I cannot tell you as I arrested her at 539 6th Avenue near 37th Street in the forenoon.

Q Did you take particular notice of the defendant at the time you arrested her — how was she dressed?

A She had a white waist on when I came in and I went to her room and dressed and took her to the station house I cannot say as to how she was dressed. I didn't take particular notice

Q And you saw her 5 or 6 days after the case was disposed of?

Objected to. Since then I have not seen her. I saw her at the tombs and recognized her.

Q When were you subpoenaed to come here and testify?

A 3 or 4 days ago.

Q Will you swear that it was 4 days ago that

you were subpoened?
A about that time I don't know the date of the month.
Q Give me the day of the month that you were subpoened?
A It was the 18th I do
Q who served it upon you?
A I don't know the gentleman, it was a man.
Q Is he present in the Court room?
A I don't know he was here today.
Q What did the man say when he served it upon you?
A He said he had a subpoena and he asked me if I recollected the case I had some 5 or 6 years ago along 6th Avenue and 32d St. with a woman who committed a nuisance in that house that I was called upon to arrest and I said I don't recollect and I took at my memorandum & found it and he told me he had a subpoena that is about the substance of it
Q Give me this precise language?
A That is it I was subpoened twice once down to the tombs and here. I was not called to testify at the tombs
Q Now was it the same man who served the 2d subpoena on you that did the first one?

0502

x 2

Q Now can you tell me the names of the persons
A I heard him called Dan Paine men talking
amongst themselves.
Q You never had seen the defendant prior to the
time you made the arrest in 1878?
Answer
Q Did you notice anything peculiar about the
face at the time you made the arrest?
A He was a little delicate mone so than the
so man
Q Did you notice a snake on the right cheek?
A I didnt notice
Q Did the men glasses at that time?
A wasn't not in my presence.
Q Please tell me if you can who it was that
called this man who served the subpoena
on you Jam?
A I dont know the man.
Q will you give me a description of this man
Jake that served this subpoena upon you
A he is a man of medium size - short - if
anything with a grey mustache
Q was his face smooth after the lay
grey mustach?
Answer

Case for the people

0503

X13

Sworn to before me
this 22 day of May 1884 } Daniel Mathison

Solon B Smith
Police Justice

Defendants Counsel moves to dismiss the Complaint
By the Court Motion Denied

The further hearing was adjourned to May 23d 1884 3 P.M.

May 23d 1884 Examination Resumed
Mr Stone defendants Counsel.

Defendant renews my motion for the discharge of the prisoner on the Ground that the people have failed to establish sufficient to warrant the Court to hold the defendant to await the action of the grand jury
By the Court Motion Denied
Counsel Excepts

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

District Police Court,

New York, May 8 1884

Affidavit—Larceny.

I hereby Certify the Annexed to be a true copy and the Whole of the Complaint in the Matter of Hiram Hamlin vs Elizabeth L. Hamman otherwise called Elizabeth Lorr. Now on file at this Court

Wm Morgan
Clerk 2nd Dist Police Court

lin
, New Bleecker Street
of June 1884
City of New York,
~~stolen, taken and carried away from the possession~~
of
leg one diamond
hundred and
$150
ich said stud
the bosom of
person or his
clothing Was
n away from
person

Sworn before me this 8th day of May 1884

Police Justice,

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away by Elizabeth L. Hamman otherwise called Elizabeth Lorr. That said Elizabeth and deponent were in an apartment in said named hotel That no person other than deponent and said Elizabeth at the time in said apartment That said stud was in deponents said shirt While deponent and said Elizabeth were together in said apartment and that within the Space of about one minute after said Elizabeth had gone out from said apartment discovered that said property had been abstracted from said shirt and so taken stolen and carried away as aforesaid

Hiram Hamlin

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0505

Second District Police Court. Affidavit—Larceny.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Hiram Hamlin

of the 21- Lehanka Hotel ~~Street,~~ Broadway near Bleecker Street

being duly sworn, deposes and says, that on the Second day of June 1894

at the City of New York,

in the County of New York, ~~was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent~~

the following ~~property~~ article, viz :

one certain Gold Shirt-stud containing one diamond stone and being of the value of one hundred and fifty dollars $150

the property of deponent and which said stud was affixed to and partly inserted in the bosom of the shirt then and there worn by deponent on his person as a part of deponents bodily clothing Was feloniously taken stolen and carried away from deponents possession and from his person

~~the property of~~

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away by Elizabeth Z- Harman otherwise called Elizabeth Darr That said Elizabeth and deponent were in an apartment in said named Hotel That no person other than deponent and said Elizabeth at the time in said apartment That said stud was in deponents said shirt While deponent and said Elizabeth were together in said apartment and that within the space of about one minute after said Elizabeth had gone out from said apartment discovered that said property had been abstracted from said shirt and so taken stolen and carried away as aforesaid

Hiram Hamlin

Sworn before me this 2nd day of June 1894

Police Justice.

at Essex

Second District Police Court.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF
Hiram Hamlin
vs.
Elizabeth K. Harman
otherwise called
Elizabeth Darr

AFFIDAVIT—Larceny.

Dated June 3rd 1874

Murray Magistrate.

Reid 8th Officer.

WITNESSES:

DISPOSITION Dismissed Complainant refusing to prosecute
June 16th 1874
Henry Murray
Police Justice

I hereby decline to prosecute the within complaint and request that the said Elizabeth K. Harman may be discharged from custody

Witness my hand this 16th day of June 1874

Henry Murray Justice of the Peace

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0506

0507

Sec. 151.

Police Court ---------- **District.**

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss. *In the name of the People of the State of New York; To the Sheriff of the County of New York, or to any Marshal or Policeman of the City of New York,* GREETING:

Whereas, Complaint in writing, and upon oath, has been made before the undersigned, one of the *Police Justices* for the City of New York, by James M Sullivan

of No 241 Broadway Street, that on the 6th day of May

188 at the City of New York, in the County of New York,

Elizabeth L Doe did commit wilful and corrupt perjury in swearing to certain facts contained in an affidavit made by her in a certain action then pending between Elizabeth L Doe as the complainant and James M Sullivan as the defendant before Hon Solon B Smith and James T Kilbreth Police Justices

Wherefore, the said Complainant has prayed that the said Defendant may be apprehended and bound to answer the said complaint.

These are, Therefore, in the name of the PEOPLE of the State of New York, to command you the said *Sheriff, Marshals and Policemen,* and each and every of you, to apprehend the said Defendant, and bring him forthwith before me, at the 2d *District Police Court,* in the said City, or in case of my absence or inability to act, before the nearest or most accessible Police Justice in this City, to answer the said charge, and to be dealt with according to law.

Dated at the City of New York, this 7th *day of* May 188

Solon B Smith

POLICE JUSTICE.

Police Court ---------- **District.**

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

James M Sullivan

vs

Elizabeth L Doe

Warrant—General.

Dated May 7 1884

Solon B Smith *Magistrate.*

Officer.

The Defendant Elizabeth L Doe was taken, and brought before the Magistrate, to answer the within charge, pursuant to the command contained in this Warrant.

Dated ---------- 188

Police Officer.

This Warrant may be executed on Sunday or at night.

Police Justice.

REMARKS.

Time of Arrest, 11 AM May 7 1884

Native of U S

Age, 40

Sex,

Complexion,

Color, White

Profession, Lady

Single,

Married, Yes

Read, Yes

Write, Yes

Elizabeth L Doe

0508

Sec. 198—200

Second District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Louise Dorey being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is h[illegible] right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h[illegible]; that the statement is designed to enable h[illegible] if she see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h[illegible] that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h[illegible] waiver cannot be used against h[illegible] on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Louise Dorey

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 34 years.

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Louisiana

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. I don't know

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Home maid

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not Guilty of the charge

Louise Dorey

Taken before me this 26 *day of* February 1884

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0509

POLICE COURT— 2 —DISTRICT.

THE PEOPLE, ETC.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Marcel K. Bisbee

vs.

Elvire T. Dow

AFFIDAVIT—Disorderly Conduct.

Dated Sept 1-21 188 8

M Morgan *Justice.*

Mathieu 29 *Officer.*

W. H. Byrnes.

WITNESSES:

Fined $ 10

$100 Bonds for her good Behavior for six months imprisonment not to exceed one month

Committed

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

05 10

2d District Police Court,

New York, May 8 1884

I hereby certify the annexed to be a true copy and the whole of the complaint in the matter of Hannah L. Babcock vs Eloise L. Dorr now on file at this Court—

Wm Morgan
Clerk 2nd Dist Police Court—

District Police Court.

...h L Babcock

...et, being duly sworn, deposes and says

... 1884, at the City

...Dorr

...Street,

...provoke a breach of the peace, and

...Ella

...there commit-
...of deponents home
...dor and entry
...said little Said
...Ella had been ordered to leave deponents
...home

Hanna L. Babcock

...Justice.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0511

STATE OF NEW YORK,
CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

2 District Police Court.

Hannah T Babcock

of No. 529 Fifth Ave Street, being duly sworn, deposes and says that on the 21 day of Sept 1878, at the City of New York, in the County of New York, Ella Dorr

Eloise L

(now here) was in said premises Street, using threatening, abusive and insulting behavior, with intent to provoke a breach of the peace, and whereby a breach of the peace might be occasioned, that said Ella did then and there commit a nuisance in the hall of deponents home and then & there slam the door and entry way of said home with said Fifth said Ella had been asked to leave deponents home

Hannah T. Babcock

Sworn to before me, this 21 day of Sept 1878

H. F. Morgan Police Justice.

05 12

BOX:
138
FOLDER:
1425
DESCRIPTION:
Dowd, Thomas
DATE:
05/26/84

Witnesses:

Thos. Callahan, officer 5 Prect.

169

Day of Trial, K. S. Fell

Counsel,

Filed 26 day of May 188 4

Pleads Not guilty (27)

THE PEOPLE

vs.

B

Thomas Dowd

Assault in the Second Degree. (Resisting Arrest.)

[F. 2, 17]

PETER B. OLNEY,

~~JOHN McKEON,~~

District Attorney.

A True Bill.

Foreman.

E1509

0514

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,
against
Thomas Dowd

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Thomas Dowd

of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said Thomas Dowd

late of the City and County of New York, on the twenty-first day of May in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-four, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms feloniously made an assault in and upon one Thomas Carraghan

then and there being a patrolman of the Municipal Police of the City New York, and as such patrolman being then and there engaged in the lawful apprehension of the said Thomas Dowd for some crime to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, and the said Thomas Dowd him, the said

Thomas Carraghan

then and there feloniously did beat, strike, wound and otherwise ill-treat, with intent then and there to prevent and resist the lawful apprehension of himself, as aforesaid, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

PETER B. OLNEY,
~~JOHN McKEON,~~
District Attorney.

0515

Police Court— 18th District.

STATE OF NEW YORK,
CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } SS.

Thomas Callahan aged 32 years
Occupation Police Officer
of ~~No~~ the Fifth Precinct Police ~~Street,~~

on Wednesday the 21st day of May
in the year 1884, at the City of New York, in the County of New York,

he was violently **ASSAULTED** and **BEATEN** by Thomas Dowd (now here)
who struck deponent several blows on the face with his fists and attempted to throw deponent down a flight of stairs said assault was committed while deponent was in uniform of the Municipal Police of said City and while on duty as said Officer.
without any justification on the part of the said assailant.

Wherefore this deponent prays that the said assailant may be ~~apprehended and~~ bound to answer the above assault, &c., and be dealt with according to law.

Sworn to before me, this 22 *day of* May 1884

Thomas Callahan

Wm Smith *Police Justice.*

0516

To

Frank Byrne

36 Grich St

0517

Court of General Sessions, Part One

THE PEOPLE
vs.
Thomas Dowd

INDICTMENT
For
Assault

To
Mr Frank Byrne
No. 364 Grand Street.

The indictment against the above-named defendant, for whose appearance you are bound, has been placed upon the Calendar for Trial at the Court of General Sessions of the Peace, at the Sessions Building, adjoining the ~~New~~ Court House, in the Park of the said City, on Friday the 19th day of Sept. instant, at eleven o'clock in the forenoon.

If the defendant is not produced at that time, your bond will be forfeited.

PETER B. OLNEY, JOHN McKEON,
District Attorney.

BAILED,

No. 1, by Thomas Dowd

Residence 324 Thirty-first Street.

No. 2, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

No. 3, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

No. 4, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

May 27th 1884

Police Court—First District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Thomas Callahan

vs.

1 Thomas Dowd

2 .....

3 .....

4 .....

Dated 27 May 1884

Chas Wells

Magistrate.

Callahan

Officer.

5 Precinct.

Witnesses .....

No. .....

.....

No. .....

.....

Offence Assault & Battery on an Officer

RECEIVED MAY 24 1884 COURT OF SPECIAL SESSIONS

$ 500 to answer Special Sessions.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Thomas Dowd

.....

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Three Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated 27 May 1884 Chas Wells *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named Thomas Dowd to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated May 28 1884 Chas Wells *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named .....

.....guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated .....188 .....*Police Justice.*

0519

Sec. 198—200.

1882 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss

Thomas Dowd being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is h right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h; that the statement is designed to enable h if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiver cannot be used against h on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer Thomas Dowd

Question. How old are you?

Answer 28 Years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Ireland

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 147 1/2 Franklin St. about 12 Months

Question What is your business or profession?

Answer Longshoreman

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I was drunk

Thomas Dowde

Taken before me this 22

day of May 1882

Police Justice.

0520

BOX:
138
FOLDER:
1425
DESCRIPTION:
Doyle, John

DATE:
05/21/84

Witnesses:

Annie Ward
20 Jefferson St
Hannah McLaughlin
20 Jefferson St

124

C A P Hutchinson
Counsel,

Filed 21 day of May 1884

Pleads Not guilty (23)

THE PEOPLE

vs.

B

John Doyle

Sections 2, 3, 4 Penal Code.

PETER B. OLNEY,

District Attorney.

A True Bill.

Foreman.

0521

0522

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

John Doyle

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse John Doyle of the CRIME OF **Seduction,**

committed as follows:

The said John Doyle

late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the first day of November in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-three, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, feloniously, under promise of marriage, did seduce and have sexual intercourse with one Annie Ward, she the said Annie Ward being then and there an unmarried female of previous chaste character, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

Peter B. Olney,
District Attorney.

0523

Sec. 192. First District Police Court. Undertaking to appear during the Examination.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

An information having been laid before Patrick G. Duffy a Police Justice of the City of New York, charging John Doyle Defendant with the offence of Seduction

and he having been brought before said Justice for an examination of said charge, and it having been made to appear to the satisfaction of said Justice that said examination should be adjourned to some other day, and the hearing thereof having been adjourned,

We, John Doyle Defendant of No. 28 Canal Street; by occupation a Bartender and Thomas Brady of No. 135 Henry Street, by occupation a Liquor dealer Surety, hereby jointly and severally undertake that the above named John Doyle Defendant shall personally appear before the said Justice, at the First District Police Court in the City of New York, during the said examination, or that we will pay to the People of the State of New York the sum of Two Hundred Dollars,

John Doyle

Thos. Brady

Taken and acknowledged before me, this 13 *day of* May 1884.

P. G. Duffy

POLICE JUSTICE.

0524

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Thomas Brady Jr.

the within named Bail and Surety being duly sworn, says, that he is a resident and holder within the said County and State, and is worth Twenty Hundred Dollars, exclusive of property exempt from execution, and over and above the amount of all his debts and liabilities, and that his property consists of the stock, fixtures and lease of Liquor store No 38 Canal Street in said City, said property being worth three thousand dollars over all incumbrance

Th. J. Brady

Sworn to before me, this 13 day of July 188 Y

Police Justice.

District Police Court.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.

Undertaking to appear during the Examination.

Taken the day of 188

Justice.

0525

BAILED, [illegible]

No. 1, by [illegible] Ready

Residence 137 Prince Street.

No. 2, by

Residence Street.

No. 3, by

Residence Street.

No. 4, by

Residence Street.

1894 May 16 1894

Police Court— 1 —— District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

[illegible] Ward

207 Jefferson St

1 [illegible]

2

3

4

Offence Seduction

Dated City, May 16th 1894

[illegible] Duffy Magistrate.

Lex. Ave. Police Officer.

Precinct.

Witnesses [illegible] McLaughlin

No. 22 Jefferson Street,

No. Street,

No. Street,

No. Street,

No. 1 [illegible] Street,

$ 1,000 to answer [illegible] Sessions.

Parties Defendant

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

Defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of [illegible] Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated May 16th 188 [illegible] *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named Defendant

to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated May 16 188 [illegible] *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named

guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated 188 *Police Justice.*

0526

STATE OF NEW YORK,
CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.:

POLICE COURT, First DISTRICT.

Annie Ward

of No. 20 Jefferson Street, being duly sworn, deposes and says that on the first day of May November 188 3

at the City of New York, in the County of New York, John Doyle promised to marry deponent, that deponent consented to become the wife of said John Doyle, that said promise or engagement to marry took place at premises number 20 Jefferson street, in the City of New York; that Hannah McLaughlin was present and heard said promise and conversation; that no time was fixed for the performance of said marriage; deponent further says that she is now in the family way by reason of said promise or engagement made as aforesaid by said John Doyle, the said John Doyle seduced and had sexual intercourse with her, at premises number 20 Jefferson street, City of New York; deponent further says that she is now in the family way by reason of said intercourse; that deponent requested said John Doyle to carry out his promise and marry her, but he has wrongfully deferred to carry out said promise, and on or about April 23, 1884, he refused to marry this deponent.

Wherefore deponent charges the fact to be by reason and under said promise of marriage, and

W

Police Court 1 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Annie Ward

vs.

John Doyle

AFFIDAVIT.

Dated May 17 188X

Duffy Magistrate.

Officer.

Witness,

Disposition Bailed for 24 hours Mon May 18 84

such action by different persons or at different times, all such persons may be included in the same complaint.

Sec. 198. When the complaint is laid before the magistrate, he must examine on oath the complainant and any witnesses he may produce, and take their depositions in writing, and cause them to be subscribed by the parties making them.

Sec. 199. The depositions must set forth the facts tending to establish the commission of the offense by the defendant, or the reasonable grounds of the apprehension of the complainant or the person in whose behalf the complaint is made, that the defendant will commit the offense.

Sworn to before me this 17th day of May 1884

Patrick Duffy Police Justice

Annie Ward

Patrick Duffy

Police Justice

05271

0528

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Hannah McLaughlin

aged 40 years, occupation Shoe finisher of No.

22 Jefferson Street, being duly sworn deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Annie Ward

and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponents' own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 12 *day of* May 188 Hannah McLaughlin

Police Justice.

0529

Sec. 151.

Police Court ---------- **District.**

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

In the name of the People of the State of New York; To the Sheriff of the County of New York, or to any Marshal or Policeman of the City of New York, GREETING:

Whereas, Complaint in writing, and upon oath, has been made before the undersigned, one of the *Police Justices* for the City of New York, by Anne Ward

of No. 20 Jefferson Street, that on the 1 day of November

188 3 at the City of New York, in the County of New York,

John Doyle did on the above date seduce defendant under promises of marriage defendant being of chaste character,

Wherefore, the said Complainant has prayed that the said Defendant may be apprehended and bound to answer the said complaint.

These are, Therefore, in the name of the PEOPLE of the State of New York, to command you the said *Sheriff, Marshals and Policemen,* and each and every of you, to apprehend the said Defendant, and bring forthwith before me, at the ---------- *District Police Court,* in the said City, or in case of my absence or inability to act, before the nearest or most accessible Police Justice in this City, to answer the said charge, and to be dealt with according to law.

Dated at the City of New York, this ---------- *day of* ---------- 188

---------- POLICE JUSTICE.

Police Court ---------- **District.**

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.

---------- Warrant—General.

The Defendant ---------- taken, and brought before the Magistrate, to answer the within charge, pursuant to the command contained in this Warrant.

Dated ---------- 188

---------- *Police Officer.*

This Warrant may be executed on Sunday or at night.

Dated ---------- 188

---------- *Police Justice.*

REMARKS.

Time of Arrest, ----------

Native of ----------

Age, ----------

Sex, ----------

Complexion, ----------

Color, ----------

Profession, ----------

Married, ----------

Single, ----------

Read, ----------

Write, ----------

0530

Sec. 198—200.

1st District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss

John Doyle being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question What is your name?

Answer John Doyle

Question. How old are you?

Answer 40 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. United States

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 28 Canal Street — 2 weeks.

Question What is your business or profession?

Answer Bartender

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. Not guilty
John Doyle

Taken before me this 9 *day of* Dec *188* 9

Police Justice.

0931

M.S. May 16th 1884

Cross Exmn - by Mr. Staem

I recognize this Complaint that is my signature attached to it - I make to it & signed it herein this Conf. That is my signature to that affidavit. to State's Exhibit meaning paper marked Defendants Exhibit "A".

Counsel for Prosecution objected to the introduction of the affidavit as being immaterial & irrelevant.

0532

BOX:
138
FOLDER:
1425
DESCRIPTION:
Dress, Jacob

DATE:
05/23/84

Witnesses

144 Pitts. Sess. 1

Day of Trial, [illegible]

Counsel,

Filed 23 day of May 1884

Pleads Not Guilty

THE PEOPLE

vs.

B

Jacob Dress

Violation of Excise Law.
(Sunday.)

PETER B. OLNEY,
~~JOHN McKEON,~~

District Attorney.

A True Bill.

A. M. Kirby

Foreman.

2650

0534

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Jacob Dress.

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse Jacob Dress

OF THE CRIME OF **Exposing for Sale and Selling Strong and Spirituous Liquors, Wines, Ale and Beer, on Sunday,** committed as follows:

The said Jacob Dress.

late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the Second day of March in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-four, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, the same being the first day of the week, commonly called and known as Sunday, with force and arms, certain strong and spirituous liquors and certain wines, to wit: One gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whisky, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain strong and spirituous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did expose for sale and sell as a beverage to

and to certain ~~others~~ persons whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT:

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

Jacob Dress.

of the CRIME OF GIVING AWAY AND DISPOSING OF STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINES, ALE AND BEER, ON SUNDAY, committed as follows:

The said Jacob Dress

late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: On the said Second day of March in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-four, at the Ward, City and County

0535

aforesaid, the same being the first day of the week, commonly called and known as Sunday, with force and arms, certain strong and spirituous liquors and certain wines, to wit: One gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whisky, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain strong and spirituous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did give away and dispose of as a beverage to

~~and to~~ certain ~~other~~ persons whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

THIRD COUNT:

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

Jacob Dress

of the CRIME OF KEEPING OPEN ON SUNDAY a place licensed for the SALE OF STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINES, ALE AND BEER, committed as follows:

The said Jacob Dress

late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the said second day of March in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-four the same being the first day of the week, commonly called and known as Sunday, being then and there in charge of and having the control of certain premises at number 139

Thompson Street

in the City and County aforesaid, which said place was then duly licensed as a place for the sale of strong and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, with force and arms, at the City and County aforesaid, the said place, so licensed as aforesaid, unlawfully did not close, and keep closed, and on the said day, the said place so licensed as aforesaid, unlawfully did then and there open, and cause and procure, and suffer and permit, to be open, and to remain open, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

PETER B. OLNEY,
~~JOHN McKEON~~, **District Attorney.**

0536

1444

Police Court, 2 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.
ON THE COMPLAINT OF
Inspector Hyde
vs.
Jacob Dress

EXCISE VIOLATION.
KEEPING OPEN ON SUNDAY.

Dated 2d day of March 1887

Magistrate.

Officer.

Witness,

Bailed $ 100 to Ans. Gen'l Sessions.

By Adam Bellows

Street.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of One Hundred Dollars *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York until he give such bail.*

Dated March 3 188 7 *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above named Jacob Dress *to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.*

Dated March 3 188 7 *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____ *guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.*

Dated _____ 188 . *Police Justice.*

0537

Excise Violation—Keeping Open on Sunday. POLICE COURT— 2d DISTRICT.

City and County of New York } ss.

Pl. Police George Knoce

of No. 2d Street,

of the City of New York, being duly sworn, deposes and says, that on SUNDAY the 2d day

of March 188 4, in the City of New York, in the County of New York,

Jacob Dress (now here)

being then and there in lawful charge of the premises No. 139 Houston

Street, a place duly licensed for the sale of strong and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, to be drunk upon the premises DID NOT KEEP SAID PLACE CLOSED contrary to and in violation of the statute in such case made and provided.

WHEREFORE, deponent prays that said Jacob Dress may be arrested and dealt with according to law.

Geo Pol

Sworn to before me, this 2d day of March 188 4

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0538

No.

Sec. 568. 2 1st Ward. District Police Court.

UNDERTAKING TO ANSWER. General SESSIONS.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

An order having been made on the 3rd day of March 188 4 by Edward J. White Esq a Police Justice of the City of New York, That Jacob Dress be held to answer upon a charge of Violation Excise Law

upon which he has been duly admitted to bail, in the sum of One Hundred Dollars.

We, Jacob Dress Defendant of No. 139 Thompson Street; Occupation Saloon Keeper and Adam Scherer of No. 139 Thompson Street; Occupation Grocer; Surety, hereby undertake that the above named Jacob Dress shall appear and answer the charge above-mentioned, in whatever Court it may be prosecuted: and shall at all times render himself amenable to the orders and process of the Court; and if convicted, shall appear for judgment, and render himself in execution thereof, or if he fail to perform either of these conditions that he will pay to the People of the State of New York, the sum of One Hundred Dollars.

Taken and acknowledged before me, this 3 *day of* March 188 4

Jacob Dress

Adam Scherer

Andrew J. White POLICE JUSTICE.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0539

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Adam Scherer

the within named Bail and Surety being duly sworn, says, that he is a resident and holder within the said County and State, and is worth Two Hundred Dollars, exclusive of property exempt from execution, and over and above the amount of all his debts and liabilities, and that his property consists of House and Lot of Land situated and known as number 137 Thompson Street Valued at Thirty Thousand Dollars Mortgaged for Fifteen Thousand Dollars in said City

Adam Scherer

Sworn to before me this day of 188

Police Justice.

New York General Sessions.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

George Mace

vs.

Jacob Dreer

Taken the day of March 188

White

Justice.

Filed day of 188

Undertaking to Answer.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0540

Sec. 198—200

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.:

2d District Police Court.

Jacob Dress being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is h.s right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h.m; that the statement is designed to enable h.m if h.e see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h.m that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h.s waiver cannot be used against h.m on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Jacob Dress

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 40 Years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Germany

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 189 Thompson — 9 Years

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Saloon Keeper

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. Not Guilty
Jacob Dress

Taken before me this 8 *day of* August *1887*

Police Justice.

0541

BOX:
138
FOLDER:
1425
DESCRIPTION:
Dwyer, John

DATE:
05/14/84

Witnesses:

Dennis Martin
517 E 14 St
ak

Ex. opposed
to. does not
know. any thing
agt. defendt.

No. 79

Counsel,

Filed 14 day of May 188 4

Pleads Not guilty (1st)

THE PEOPLE

vs.

John Dwyer

18814

Grand Larceny 2nd degree
(From the person.)
[Sections 528, 531, Penal Code].

PETER B. OLNEY,
District Attorney.

A True Bill.

A. W. Worley *Foreman.*
May 28th 84

Plead G.L.
Sen 9 Mos
PS

2450

0543

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
against
John Dunger

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse John Dunger of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY in the second degree, committed as follows:

The said John Dunger

late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the Sixth day of May in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-four, in the day time of the said day, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, one promissory note for the payment of money of the kind known as United States Treasury notes, the name whereof is to the Jurors aforesaid unknown, and of the value of two dollars, two other promissory notes for the payment of money of the kind known as United States Treasury notes, the name whereof is to the Jurors aforesaid unknown, and of the value of one dollar each, and divers coins of the United States of a number, kind and denomination to the Jurors aforesaid unknown, of the value of two dollars,

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Michael Martin on the person of one Dennis Martin, then and there being found, from the person of the said Dennis Martin, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

Peter B. Olney
District Attorney

0544

BAILED,

No. 1, *by* .....

Residence .....

Street.

No. 2, *by* .....

Residence .....

Street.

No. 3, *by* .....

Residence .....

Street.

No. 4, *by* .....

Residence .....

Street.

Witnesses

No. .....

Street,

No. .....

Street,

$ ..... to answer Sessions.

Dated 188

Police Justice.

Police Court— ..... District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

1 .....

2 .....

3 .....

4 .....

Offence .....

Magistrate.

Officer.

Precinct.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named .....

.....

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of ..... Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated ..... 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named .....

to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated ..... 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named .....

..... guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated ..... 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

0545

Sec. 198—200

4 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

John Dwyer being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. John Dwyer

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 19 Yrs

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 528 E 14 St 8 Yrs

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Horse Business

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I was Intoxicated and I can't not what I am charged John Dwyer

Taken before me this 5 *day of* May 188 4

Police Justice.

0546

POLICE COURT 4 DISTRICT.

City and County of New York, ss.:

THE PEOPLE,

vs.

John Dwyer

On Complaint of Denis Martin

For R & L

After being informed of my rights under the law, I hereby waive a trial, by Jury, on this complaint, and demand a trial at the COURT OF SPECIAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE, to be holden in and for the City and County of New York.

Dated May 6 1884

John Dwyer

D G Dudley Police Justice.

0547

4th

District Police Court. Affidavit—Larceny.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Dennis Martin

of No. 517 E 14 Street, a 9e 10 Ave

being duly sworn, deposes and says, that on the 6 day of May 188 4

at the in the day time of City of New York,

in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent

the following property, viz :

Good and lawfull money of the United States of the amount and Value of two dollors

Sworn before me this 6th day of May 188 4

Police Justice.

the property of Michael Martin and in the Care and charge of deponent

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away by John Doyer (Defendant) with the intent to deprive the true owner of said property from the fact that deponent Dennis Martin had the above amount of money in his hand and the said defendant snatched from deponents hand the above Amount of money and thereupon ran away

Denis Martin

0548

BOX:
138
FOLDER:
1425
DESCRIPTION:
Dyke, William

DATE:
05/12/84

Counsel, [illegible]

Filed 12 day of May 1884

Pleads Not Guilty

THE PEOPLE

vs.

William Dyke

Assault in the Third Degree. (Section 219).

PETER B. OLNEY,
~~JOHN McKEON,~~

District Attorney.

May 20/84
Tried & Acquitted

A True Bill.

A. M. Kirby

Foreman.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

8450

0550

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
against
William Diack
otherwise called
William Dyke

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York by this indictment accuse William Diack, otherwise called William Dyke

of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE THIRD DEGREE, committed as follows;

The said William Diack, otherwise called William Dyke,

late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the 27th day of April, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-four, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, in and upon the body of John Kinzinger in the peace of the said people then and there being, with force and arms, unlawfully did make an assault and him the said John Kinzinger did then and there unlawfully beat, wound and illtreat, to the great damage of the said John Kinzinger against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

PETER B. OLNEY,
~~JOHN McKEON,~~ District Attorney.

0551

Police Court— 2 District

STATE OF NEW YORK,
CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss

of ~~No~~ the 29th Precinct Police ~~Street~~ John Kizinger

on Sunday the 27th day of April being duly sworn, deposes and says, that

in the year 188X at the City of New York in the County of New York,

he was violently **ASSAULTED** and **BEATEN** by William Dyke

Now here / who struck deponent on the breast with his clenched fist and knocked deponent down and while deponent lay prostrate on the ground kicked deponent on the head and face / while in the discharge of his duties

without any justification on the part of the said assailant.

Wherefore this deponent prays that the said assailant may be apprehended and bound to answer the above assault, &c, and be dealt with according to law.

Sworn to before me, this 27

day of April 188 } John Kizinger

Police Justice

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0552

BAILED,

No. 1, by ..... Street.

Residence ..... Street.

No. 2, by ..... Street.

Residence ..... Street.

No. 3, by ..... Street.

Residence ..... Street.

No. 4, by ..... Street,

Residence ..... Street.

Case No. 54 of 1884

Police Court — District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

John Ringuen

vs.

1 William Doyle

2 .....

3 .....

4 .....

Dated May 5 1884

Offence Assault & Battery

Police Officer

Magistrate.

Officer.

Precinct.

Witnesses John McQuinn

No. 276 W 42 Street.

No. .....

Street.

No. 552

Street.

$ 500 to answer Session.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named William Doyle

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated April 28 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named .....

to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated ..... 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named .....

..... guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated ..... 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

0553

Sec. 198—200

_____ District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.:

William Dyke, being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is h right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h; that the statement is designed to enable h if h see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiver cannot be used against h on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. William Dyke.

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 30 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Troy

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 308 West 44 Street One year

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Slate Works

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty I have no recollection of doing anything wrong

William Dyke

Taken before me this _____ *day of* _____ 188__

_____ *Police Justice.*