

0000

BOX:

544

FOLDER:

4947

DESCRIPTION:

Jaccoloni, Vincent

DATE:

12/22/93



4947

0009

Witnesses:

Michael J. Sweeney
Clinton Parle

Counsel,

Filed,

day of

189

Pleads,

302 *J. H. L.* *1413*
Curdy
22 *Dec*
not guilty *26*

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Vincent Jaccoloni

SODOMY.

[Sec. 303, Penal Code, as amended by Chapter 320, Laws of 1892.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

B. J. Woodward
Foreman.
Trials & Minutes.

17 Pm Jg
P.3 - 16/94

00 10

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE
IN AND FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE

VS.

BRIEF FOR THE PEOPLE.

VINCENT JACCOLINI.

STATEMENT OF CASE:

The Defendant is indicted for Sodomy, in that on December 12, 1893, in Central Park at 104th Street, while one George P. Harvey, aged 14 years, was sitting on a bench, the Defendant, standing in front of him, opened his pants, took out his penis, placed it in the mouth of the said George P. Harvey, and, thus permitting the latter to then and there have carnal knowledge of the Defendant, committed the crime for which he stands indicted.

WITNESSES:

George P. Harvey,
Mrs. Mattie Harvey,
Michael J. Sweeney.

GEORGE P. HARVEY, aged 14 years, of 176 East 81st Street, will testify: That on December 12th, while playing in Central Park he met the Defendant, whom he had previously seen around the same place some three weeks before; that the Defendant asked him to sit down; that Witness did so; that the Defendant then took out his penis, unbuttoned the Witness' pants and took out the Witness' penis; and that each played with the other's penis for some five minutes, when the Defendant asked Witness to take his (Defendant's) in his mouth. That the Witness did as requested but that he only kept the Defendant's penis in his mouth a few moments. WILL FURTHER TESTIFY: That the Defendant wanted to take his (Witness') penis in his (Defendant's) mouth, but that Witness refused.

ALSO,- That when Witness first met Defendant in the Park about three weeks before the Defendant unbuttoned Witness' pants and that they then each felt of the other's privates; and that Witness masturbated the Defendant until the semen flowed.

AND,- That the Witness never did anything of this kind before he met Defendant.

MRS. MATTIE HARVEY, of 176 East 81st Street, will testify: That the Complaining Witness, her son, is fourteen years of age.

MICHAEL J. SWEENEY, an Officer of the Central Park Police, will testify: That on December 12, 1893, he found the Defendant and the Complaining Witness in the Park under the bridge,- the Defendant's penis being in the boy's mouth. Will also testify to arresting both the Defendant and the Complaining Witness.

00 11

77558

N. Y. GENERAL SESSIONS

THE PEOPLE
AGAINST

VINCENT JACCOLINI.

PENAL CODE, §

BRIEF FOR THE PEOPLE.

Copy.

12/1/93

The People
vs.
Vincent Jacoboni

Court of General Sessions - Part I

Before Judge Fitzgerald. January 8, 1946
 Jointly indicted with George P. Harvey for Sodomy
 Michael J. Sweeney, sworn and examined
 What department are you attached to, Officer?
 Policeman in the Central Park. I am detailed
 in citizen's clothes as a detective in the
 Central Park to find out and catch any
 body committing crime. Do you remember
 on the afternoon of the 12th of December
 about half past four seeing this defendant?
 Yes. I first met him in the Ramble at 79th
 street between east and west drive. I was
 with officer Higgins and he said something
 to me. This man was pointed out to me
 by Higgins; he was not alone; the boy
 George P. Harvey was with him. I followed
 him. I walked out to the west drive,
 which they did. A stage came along. I
 jumped on the stage and they came up
 again. I jumped on another stage and
 got off at Ninety Second street and they
 continued on up. I went out on Eighth
 Avenue and they came out to the bridge
 and after them we call the bridge
 the arches underneath the East drive;
 104th street. This defendant went under
 it first and Harvey followed. You watched

them did you and they got under?
 Yes, there was a bench underneath
 the bridge; they sat down on that
 bench; they reset the bench inside,
 they sat down awhile, and one took
 the other's privates in his hand - it
 seemed to me, I could not understand
 what they were doing. There was a
 waterfall stopped me from hearing what
 was said. They played with one another
 and they were talking. The first thing
 I knew Jacolini put the boy's head
 over. He took the boy on his back
 and pulled him over, and the boy
 put "it" in his mouth with his
 hand. I went then to run around.
 I could not jump over this waterfall.
 By the Court Did you see him put his penus
 in his mouth? Yes.

Vincent Jacolini, sworn and examined
 in his own behalf testified:
 By Counsel Tell the jury what that boy was doing
 to you up in the Park and what
 you did to the boy? I was sitting down
 and then this boy came along to
 me and I was drunk at that time.
 I had been to work at 104th Street

and Smith Avenue. That day the boiler machinery did not run. The foreman said there would be no work today. Then I went up and had a couple of glasses of whiskey and I was a little drunk about three or four o'clock. I don't know the time exactly. Then I went in the Park and sat down. This boy came along and he sat down. He touched my pants. If I don't say right, let God take my head. Then he commenced to touch my pants. I says, "What do you want to do? Go on, the police will get us arrested in this place. He says, "No police here. I was drunk. I gave him a slap on his face and I let him go. He says, "Nobody here." Then I was lying down; he touched me again. I says, "Don't you want to go?" He says, "That is all right, I don't care what you do." He pulled at my buttons and pulled out my thing and put it in his mouth. If I don't say right, may God kill me." "Why didn't you slap him in the face and drive him away? I was drunk at that time. I was full. Did two glasses of whiskey make you

so drunk that you could not drive that boy away? You can ask the owner of the shop. You are not a married man? No. The officer says you walked along pretty well, you did not stagger. He came along and he says, "Come here." I says, "What do you want?" He says, "You are arrested." I said, "all right." I don't remember what I told him. You tell us that you were so drunk that you could not prevent that boy unbuttoning your trousers and taking out your privates and putting it into his mouth; is that the truth that you were so drunk you could not prevent him? I was drunk that time. I did not know what that boy did. If I did I would give him a good slap on his face. Officer Sweeney recalled by Counsel. What was the condition of this man when you arrested him? Sober. Did he have any appearance of being drunk at all? No.

The jury rendered a verdict of guilty. The defendant was remanded for sentence.

00 16

Testimony in the
case of
Vincent Jacoloni
pled Dec
10 1893

00 17

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY
NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Vincent Gecodoni

THE GRAND JURY OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, by this

indictment accuse Vincent Gecodoni —

of the CRIME OF SODOMY, committed as follows:

The said Vincent Gecodoni, —

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the

Twenty day of December, in the year of our Lord one thousand
eight hundred and ninety- Three , at the City and County aforesaid,

in and upon one George B. Starnes.

a — male person, then and there being, feloniously did make an assault, and

him, the said George B. Starnes then
the said George B. Starnes
and there feloniously did carnally know by the mouth against

the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of
the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

George B. Starnes
District Attorney

00 18

BOX:

544

FOLDER:

4947

DESCRIPTION:

Jackson, Julius

DATE:

12/15/93



4947

00 19

BOX:

544

FOLDER:

4947

DESCRIPTION:

Jackson, Moritz

DATE:

12/15/93



4947

0020

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

Bail fixed at
\$1500 R.P.C.

Witnesses:

Joseph Steiner
Bernard Raphael

After investigation this case, and
consulting with counsel for com-
plainants (who agree with me in my
conclusions) I am of the opinion that
a conviction could not be had for the
reason that the two main witnesses
in the case are dead. I therefore
recommend the discharge of the de-
fendants on their own recognizance.
Robertson H. H. H.
30th 1898. D.A.D.

16th Dec 1893
Counsel, J. Leroy
Filed 15 day of Dec 1893
Pleaded, Guilty 20

ENTERED
J. J. W.

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Julius Jackson
and
Moritz Jackson

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

B. Lockwood

Part 2. Oct. 5th 1898 Foreman.
On motion of Dist. atty
Defendants discharged on
their own recognizance
R.P.C.
J

0021

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

Bail fixed at
\$1500 RBC

Witnesses:

Joseph Steiner
Bernard Raphael

After investigation this case, and
consulting with counsel for com-
plainants (who agree with me in my
conclusions) I am of the opinion that
a conviction can not be had for the
reason that the two main witnesses
in the case are dead. I therefore
recommend the discharge of the de-
fendants on their own recognizance.
Robertson, Hovey
30th 1898. D.D.W.

16th Decr 93
Counsel, J. Leroy
Filed 15 day of Dec 1893
Pleads Not Guilty 20

ENTERED
T. J. W.

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Julius Jackson
and Moritz Jackson

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

B. Lockwood

Part 2. Oct. 5th 1898 Foreman.
On motion of Dist. Atty
defendants discharged on
their own recognizance
RBC
J

0022

Police Court, / District.

(1858)

City and County } ss.
of New York,

of No. 11 Mercer

Street, aged 41 years,

Occupation Merchant being duly sworn, deposes and says,

that on the 4th 12th day of September 1893 at the City of New York, in the County of New York

Julius Jackson and Moritz Jackson
 did with intent to defraud a
 creditor (this deponent) and his firm
 and to prevent the property of
 said Moritz and Julius Jackson from
 being made liable for the pay-
 ment of their debts, or levied upon
 by an execution or warrant of
 attachment, and remove the
 property of said Julius & Moritz Jack-
 son and did secretly assign and
 dispose of the stock and accounts
 of the business carried on by said
 Julius & Moritz Jackson at the
 premises 27 Mercer Street between
 the aforesaid days, for the reasons
 following to wit: That between
 the aforesaid days said defendants
 were indebted to this deponent
 and his firm in the sum of
 \$2375⁰⁰ dollars for merchan-
 dise, and that the said account
 was past due, and that demands
 for the payment of said money
 were frequently made by this
 deponent, and repeated promises
 made to deponent by defendants
 to pay said money. That on a
 final demand being made
 of defendants by deponent said
 defendants refused to pay the
 same.

That on the 9th day of
 September 1893 deponent and

his firm brought an action in the Superior Court of the City of New York against the said defendants for the recovery of the said sum of \$2375^{97/100} dollars which was justly due and owing defendants, and in said action a warrant of attachment was duly granted by Hon David M. C. Adams one of the judges of the said Superior Court of the said City of New York (a copy which is hereto attached and marked Ex A). That on the afternoon of the 9th day of September 1893 this deponent went with the said warrant of attachment in his possession to the place of business of said defendants at 27th Street and stated to defendants that he had in his possession (deponent) a warrant of attachment against the property of said defendants for the sum of \$2375^{97/100} dollars and thereupon demanded payment of said sum of money. Whereupon said defendants told deponent they did not have the money and could not pay him then, an account of the dullness of times, but that said deponent knew that they defendants had in their store over Ten (\$10,000) thousand dollars worth of goods, and had due them (defendants) upwards of six thousand dollars from parties whom they had sold

merchandise to, Thereupon
defendants stated to deponent
that he (deponent) had seen in
the place of business of said
defendants merchandise
amounting to over Ten thousand
dollars, and that on the
9th day of September 1893. Said
defendants had stated to the
deponent that the said mer-
chandise was still there, and
deponent saw said merchan-
dise still in the said premises
on said 9th day of September
1893; and said defendants
also stated to deponent that
they defendants had given to
deponent about the 9th day
of September a detailed list
of the merchandise then in
their store, (which list is hereto
annexed and marked Ex B.)
Thereupon deponent filed the

said defendants that he would
cause the warrant of attachment
to be levied upon their property
on Monday September 11th 1893.
at 9 o'clock am. whereupon said
defendants told this deponent
there would be no necessity
of executing the warrant, ~~because~~
no creditor of theirs was
pushing them, and that they
~~defendants~~ would not dispose of or trans-
fer any of their property or
accounts, ^{(all} of which this
deponent had a list) to any
person, that said defendants
agreed to go the following day
which was Sunday September
10th 1893. and meet this deponent
at the residence of his depo-
nent's attorney at 40 East 64th
Street this city, and would
then ^{and} there assure this depo-
nent of their honesty and good

intention they had in paying
said money, and to secure the
payment of said claim, That
on the said day said defendants
called at the residence of
deponents attorney at 40 E 64th
Street, and at said time and
place said warrant of attach-
ment was exhibited to the
said defendants by deponents
counsel, and said defendants
requested and begged deponent
not to execute said warrant
of attachment on Monday
or Tuesday Sept 11-
12th 1893 were observed by
them as other day Jews as a
religious festival "New Year's
day" and that they strictly adhered
to those days, That on Wednes-
day September 13th 1893, immediately
thereafter they defendants
moved secure the said claim

and in the meantime nothing
 whatever would be done to
 impair or prejudice the claim
 of deponents for in ^{and} so it would
 be unnecessary to execute the
 warrant of attachment, and
 that on Wednesday September
 13th 1893 the defendants would
 call at the office of deponents
 attorney ^{and} make the necessary
 arrangements, That on Wednes-
 day Sept 13th defendants failed
 to call on deponent, or on his
 attorney, and said attachment
 was then issued to the Sheriff
 of the City ^{and} County of New York
 with instructions to execute
 the same

Deponent further
 says he is informed by James
 Glackman a deputy of the
 said Sheriff of the City and
 County of New York that on

6

the 13th day of September 1893
he went to the place of busi-
ness of said defendants at 27
Mercer Street to execute the
said warrant of attachment
by levying upon the property
of said defendants and found
in the said premises goods
only of the amount of (\$200) dollars
and otherwise the said store
was empty, that thereafter
he caused the safe in the
said premises to be blown
open and found the same empty.

Deponent further says
he is informed by William
Bright of 512 West 39th Street
that he is a co-owner, and
that on the 11th day of September
at the request of the said defen-
dants he removed from their
premises 27 Mercer Street two (2)
truck loads of merchandise

and took them away and left them in Maiden Lane and the pedicler ^{and} thereupon said

Julius Jackson procured another truck ^{said wagon} ^{reaped the six cases} and ~~carried them to be taken~~ on said truck, this being 2 trucks ^{and} 3 cases ~~away from there~~ placed in each truck and that said Jackson paid ~~defendant \$1.00 for the same~~ Defendant further says

he is informed by Bernhard Raphael of 26 West 27th Street that on Monday September 11th 1893 he saw defendant Julius Jackson packing ^{goods in} a number of cases in the premises 37 Mercer Street, and on the morning of the ~~12th~~ ^{13th} day of September ¹⁸⁹³ he saw a number of cases taken away from the premises of said defendant by a truckman.

Defendant further says that on the 9th day of September ¹⁸⁹³ after the warrant of attachment had been issued

8

and granted ^{and} after the repeated promises so made to deponent by defendants to pay and to secure deponents ^{and} his firm for the full amount of said claim ^{and} that after agreeing not to dispose of any of their goods or accounts to any person did on the 9th day of September 1893. assign and transfer to Woolf ^{and} Reesing a large amount of accounts to wit about six thousand dollars, ^{and} transferred to Philip Levy a check for \$13 ⁰⁴/₁₀₀ dollars after their repeated promises that nothing should be done to impair or prejudice ^{deponents} ~~defendants~~ rights.

Deponent therefore charges said defendants with removing, secreting, assigning and disposing of their

9

Property with intent to Cheat
 and defraud the depositor in
 violation of Section 587 of the
 Penal Code of the State of
 New York

Jos. Skinner

Sworn to before me ¹⁸⁹³
 this 28th day of September

W. T. McMahon

Deputy Justice

0032

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

James J. Calhoun
aged *29* years, occupation *Deputy Sheriff* of No. *County Court House* Street, being duly sworn, deposes and
says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of *Joseph Steiner*
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own
knowledge.

Sworn to before me this, *29*
day of *September* 189*3* }

Police Justice.

0033

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Bernhard Raphael

aged *34* years, occupation *Porter* of No.

26 West 27th Street, being duly sworn, deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of *Joseph Sturien*

and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own knowledge.

Sworn to before me this,

day of *September*, 189 *3*

28th *Bernhard Raphael*

W. J. Murrah
Police Justice.

0034

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

William Wright
aged 50 years, occupation Tinsmith of No.
512 West 39th Street, being duly sworn, deposes, and
says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Joseph Stuer
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own
knowledge.

Sworn to before me this, 29
day of September 1893 }

Wm Wright

Wm McLaughlin
Police Justice.

0035

Summons.—8x6.

John Polhemus Printing Co., Printers and Mfg Stationers, 221 Fulton St., N. Y.

Exhibit "A"

Superior Court of the City of New York.

Joseph Steiner and David Steiner,
Plaintiffs

against

Julius Jackson and Moritz Jackson,
Defendants

Summons.

To the above named Defendants and each of them

You are hereby Summoned to answer the complaint in this action, and to serve a copy of your answer on the Plaintiff's Attorney within twenty days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service; and in case of your failure to appear, or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default, for the relief demanded in the complaint.

Dated New York, Sept. 8th, 1893

Hahn & Myers,

Plaintiff's Attorney S

Office and Post Office Address,

No. 237 Broadway,
New York City.

0036

N.Y. Superior Court

Joseph Steiner and David
Steiner,

vs.

Julius Jackson and Moritz
Jackson,

Summons.

Hahn & Myers,
Plaintiff's Attorneys
237 Bway. N.Y.

To the Defendants,

Notice is hereby given to
you that, upon your default to
appear, or answer the within
summons, judgment will be tak-
en against you for the sum of
\$2375.95-100 with interest
from the day of September,
1893, and with the costs of
this action.

Hahn & Myers,
Plaintiff's Attys.
237 Broadway, N.Y.

0037

FORM 21.
WARRANT OF ATTACHMENT—Code of Civil Procedure, § 641.

The People of the State of New York,

To the Sheriff of the City and County of New York, GREETING:

Whereas an Application has been made to the Judge granting this warrant, by Joseph Steiner and David Steiner plaintiffs for a Warrant of Attachment against the property of Julius Jackson and Moritz Jackson defendants in an action in the Supreme Court of the City of New York, and it appearing by affidavit to the satisfaction of the Judge granting this warrant that one of the causes of action specified in Sec. 635 of the Code of Civil Procedure exists against the defendant to recover a sum of money only, to wit: the sum of \$2,375.95-100 dollars, as damages for breach of contract other than a promise to marry

and the affidavit showing that the defendants being natural persons, have assigned, disposed of or secreted with the intent to defraud his creditors or are about to assign, dispose of or secret property with the like intent and the plaintiff having also given the undertaking required by law:

Now you are hereby Commanded to attach and safely keep so much of the property within your County which the defendants Julius Jackson and Moritz Jackson has or which he may have at any time before final judgment in the action as will satisfy plaintiff demand of \$2,375.95-100 dollars, together with cost and expenses, and that you proceed hereon in the manner required of you by law.

Witness Hon. David McAdam, Justice of the Superior Court of the City of New York at New York City

this 9th day of September, in the year one thousand eight hundred and ninety three

Hahn & Myers, Attorney. David McAdam, Judge.
237 Broadway, N.Y. City.

SUPERIOR COURT.

Joseph Steiner & ano.

vs.

Julius Jackson and ors.

WARRANT ON ATTACHMENT

Hahn & Myers,
Plaintiff's Attorneys
237 Broadway, N.Y.

I hereby Certify the within to be a true copy of the Original Warrant of Attachment as issued to me in the within mentioned action, and that the attachment of which the within is a copy is now in my hands, and that by it I am commanded to attach all estates real and personal, including money and bank notes, bonds, promissory notes and other instruments for the payment of money, of the defendant.

Julius Jackson and Morty Jackson
within named within my county (except articles exempt from execution), and to take into my custody all books of account, vouchers, and papers relating to the property, debts, credits and effects of said defendant, together with all evidence of title to real estate, and this all such property debts, credits and effects, and all rights and shares of stock, with all interests and profits thereon, and all dividends thereon or therefrom, of the said defendant now in your possession or under your control, are, and those which may come into your possession or under your control, will be liable to said warrant of attachment, and are hereby attached by me, and you are hereby required to deliver all such moneys, bank notes, bonds, promissory notes and other instruments for the payment of money, books, vouchers, papers, debts, credits, effects, evidences of title to real estate, shares of stock, interest, profits and dividends thereon, and all property capable of manual delivery, into my custody without delay. And I hereby require you to furnish me with a certificate as required in that behalf by the Code of Procedure, of any rights, shares, debts or other property of said defendant incapable of manual delivery. And in default hereof you will be liable to the EXAMINATION and ATTACHMENT in such case provided by law.

Dated New York, the

28th

day of

Sept 1893

Yours etc.,

John J. Goodman

Sheriff of the City and County of New York.

James C. Baker Deputy Sheriff.

0039

Claims must be made within 5 days after receipt of goods.

REMITTANCE MUST BE MADE DIRECT TO THE HOUSE.

New York, Sept 7th 1893

No.



JACKSON BROTHERS,

Manufacturers of Fine Furs,

Terms,

27 MERCER STREET,

Bet. Grand and Canal Sts.

530	Astrachan Skins	100	5.50
14	Malobas	125	
33	Red Coy	549	17.50
116	Electric Coy	409	17.82
1	Not Cross		104.40
95	Shunks	715	7.75
15	Shunk Racoon	175	186.25
3	Red Fox	225	33.25
15	Monkey	175	5.25
5	Persen Lamb	175	26.25
12	Mink	350	17.50
22	Shunk III	504	6
72	Dark Rat	502	11
3	Not for	404	28.80
22	Gray Coy	254	7.5
132	Rat	504	11
		402	52.80
			<u>1.056.32</u>

Children sets Skins lost and Fictures

6.50

0040

Claims must be made within 5 days after receipt of goods.

REMITTANCE MUST BE MADE DIRECT TO THE HOUSE.

New York, Sept 7th 1893

No.



JACKSON BROTHERS,

Manufacturers of Fine Furs,

27 MERCER STREET,

Terms,

Bet. Grand and Canal Sts.

7	Gray Fox Muffs	3 00	21 00
42	Skunk " S.B.	2 40	84
18	Skunk " S.B.	4 50	81
11	Beaver " "	5 50	60 50
7	Nutria " "	2 50	17 50
108	Blk Oposome " "	1 50	162
150	Rat " S.B.	1 50	225
13	Furze " "	3 00	39
51	Next Oposome " "	1 25	63 75
288	Next " "	33¢	95 04
30	Blk Cany " "	1 50	22 50
10	Moufflon " "	2 00	20 00
66	Blk Cany Skarf	60¢	39 60
4	Perren Lamb	4 00	16
2	Red Fox	2 50	5
4	Gray " "	2 00	8
9	Gray Hindmer	2 50	22 50
20	Adl. Weind	1 50	30
14	Tibbit	1 50	21
	Bear		
3	Tibbit	7 00	21
2	Red Fox	6 00	12
3	Gray " "	5 00	15
2	Light Belly	12 50	25
1	" Beak	8 00	8
1	Racome	4 00	4
2	Australian Oposome	3 00	6
2	Next Cany	3 00	6
2	" Oposome	3 00	6
5	Blk Cany Circle out Lined	10 00	50
38	" " 18 inch " "	2 00	76
20	" " 20 inch " "	3 25	65
20	Next Oposome Muffs no	75¢	15
16	" Racome " " "	1 15	3 50
			<u>1345 89</u>

0041

Claims must be made within 5 days after receipt of goods.

REMITTANCE MUST BE MADE DIRECT TO THE HOUSE.

New York, Sept 7th 1893

No.



JACKSON BROTHERS,

Manufacturers of Fine Furs,

Terms,

27 MERCER STREET,

Bet. Grand and Canal Sts.

11	Walobba Bay	22 inch	14 00	154
61	Ant	22 inch	12 00	732
15	Ant	19 inch	10 00	150
6	"	22 in Skoller	15 00	99
8	"	Military	25 00	200
13	"	Circular Empire	25 00	325
12	Puld Long	"	20 00	240
4	Electric	"	28 00	112
11	"	Bot.	15 00	165
33	Puld Long	Military SK Color	20	660
10	"	"	18	180
64	"	Bot 22 inch	10 50	672
64	"	" 20 inch	9 50	608
22	Blk	" 20 inch	5 00	110
4	Monkey	Military	35	140
5	Puld Long	22 inch Point	12	60
12	Blk Long	15 inch	3 75	45
20	Puld	14 inch Skoller	9 50	190
10	Wool Seal	Bot 22	10 50	105
7	Gray Hermin	18 inch	15 00	85
1	"	Military	30 00	30
11	Skunk	Bot 22 inch	35 00	385
4	Nutria	" 22 inch	20 00	80
5	Blk Long	Military	12 00	60
3	Wool Seal	" 30 inch	16 50	49 50
9	Monkey	Bot 22	21 10	189
3	"	19 inch	15 00	45
3	Skunk	19 inch	28 00	84
9	"	Opasone Bot 22 inch	15 00	135
3	Blk Long	Circular	15 00	45
13	Monkey	Stals	3 00	30
14	Puld Long	"	2 50	35
10	Blk Opasone	"	2 50	25
				224 50

0042

Claims must be made within 5 days after receipt of goods.

REMITTANCE MUST BE MADE DIRECT TO THE HOUSE.

New York, Sept 7 1893

No.



JACKSON BROTHERS,

Manufacturers of Fine Furs,

Terms,

Cash

27 MERCER STREET,

Bet. Grand and Canal Sts.

Cleveland O. Wm Taylor Son & Co	26 55 00		
Fall River Mass D. Elmer	7 00		
C T Shaver & Co	221 50		
Hansen Emporer Fur Co Chicago	162 00		
" " " " Milwaukee	96 00		
St Paul Minn Merrill Ryder	257 00		
Minneapolis L J. & J D. Holzeman	326 18		
Anderson & Mordall	159 00		
Wilmington Del Wm Alenizer	322 00		
L. B. Higgins & Co	26 25		
S. W. Weiss	104 09		
Utica N.Y. Robert Fraser	1127 50		
C L Woodbridge	123 50		
E C Tower & Co	128 50		
Cartersville O. Deady Bros	996 25		
Jamestown O. H. W. Sturtevant	229 50		
Sander O'Brien & Hannan	643 25		
General			

7591 52

0043

Claims must be made within 5 days after receipt of goods.

REMITTANCE MUST BE MADE DIRECT TO THE HOUSE.

New York, Sept 7th 1893

No.



JACKSON BROTHERS,

Manufacturers of Fine Furs,

Terms,

Liberal

27 MERCER STREET,

Bet. Grand and Canal Sts.

<i>S. Lerman</i>	770	20	
<i>Chas. M. Landakery</i>	1499	50	
<i>Joseph Steiner & Bros</i>	2375	95	
<i>Chas. A. Verpich</i>	706	14	
<i>W. L. Phillips & Co</i>	450	78	
<i>A. Hyman</i>	973	63	
<i>Ed. R. Sagrarius</i>	80	60	
<i>Joseph Allman</i>	750	00	
<i>Wm. J. Mainfains</i>	77	20	
<i>R. Schorvelling</i>	116	85	
<i>J. S. Hanson & Co</i>	801	75	
<i>Wolff & Reussing</i>	1600	00	
<i>The New Paper Box Co</i>	83	11	
<i>Philip Levy</i>	1508	00	
	<u>\$ 11735</u>		71

0044

Police Court---

District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

1

2

3

4

Dated,

189

Magistrate.

Officer.

Precinct.

Witnesses

No.

No.

No.

\$

BAILED,

No. 1, by

Residence

No. 2, by

Residence

No. 3, by

Residence

No. 4, by

Residence

Dec. 20/93

Geo Levy

70 E 104 Street.

Same

Street.

Street.

Joseph W. Thiering
Julius Jackson
J. H. Jackson

James J. Hallowell
County Court Street
Edward Appleall

No. 26 W 27 St Street.

Murray Wright

No. 512 W 39 St Street.

E. J. Hagan

237 Broadway

(455)

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

John Jackson
and
Mortimer Jackson

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this

indictment accuse

John Jackson and
Mortimer Jackson —

of the crime of

fraudulently disposing of
their property. —

committed as follows:

The said

John Jackson and
Mortimer Jackson, both —

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the

tenth day of September in the year of our Lord one thousand
eight hundred and ninety-three, — at the City and County aforesaid,

being partners in Trade in and
by the firm, name and style of
Jackson Brothers, and being then
indebted to Joseph Skinner and
David Skinner, partners in Trade
in and by the firm, name and
style of Joseph Skinner and Brother,
Charles Sandberg, and Samuel
Doorman, and their other persons,
whose names are to the Grand Jury
aforesaid as yet unknown, in divers

large sums of money, did unlawfully
 remove certain of their property,
 to wit: diverse goods wares and
 merchandise, consisting of furs
 skins, manufactured furs and
 other property, a more particular
 description whereof is to be
 found in a certain return
 and cannot now be given, of the
 value of seven thousand dollars,
 and recede and dispose of the
 same, in a manner and by means
 to the said defendants return,
 with intent to defraud the said
 Joseph Skinner, David Skinner, Charles
 Sandberg, Samuel Dorman,
 and the said other persons, holding
 creditors of them the said
 Julius Jackson and Monty Johnson
 as aforesaid, and to prevent the
 said property from being made
 liable for the payment of their
 debts, against the form of the
 Statute in such case made and
 provided, and against the peace of
 the People of the State of New
 York, and their dignity

Deane M. Hill,

District Attorney

0047

BOX:

544

FOLDER:

4947

DESCRIPTION:

Jackson, Roger

DATE:

12/22/93



4947

0048

Bail fixed at one thousand (1000) dollars

Witnesses:

Henry Hildenbrand
 Michael F. Blake
 Patrick J. Scully
 George Malraiss
 John Browning
 Alfred R. Conkling

This indictment was found in 1893
 it charges practically the violation
 of the election laws. All information
 has faded from the recollection of the
 witnesses. No one remembers even the
 defendant. In view of this fact it
 would seem absurd to demand a
 conviction. I therefore commend
 that the defendant be discharged on
 his own recognizance.

J. W. Osborne
 R. D. H.

COURT OF OYER AND TERMINER.

Counsel,

Filed 28th day of Dec 1893

Pleads, Not Guilty (28)

ENTERED
T. J. W.

THE PEOPLE

vs.

B. J.

Roger Jackson

Ordered to the COURT of
 General Sessions
 of the COUNTY of NEW YORK
 for trial (Entered in the Minutes)
 Dec 28th 1893

PERJURY.
 [Section 96, Penal Code, and Chapter 680, Laws of
 1882, section 104.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney

Bill
 Nov 29/98
 Bail Discharged.
 R. D. Cus Foreman.

Court of Oyer and Terminer

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Roger Jackson

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this Indictment accuse

Roger Jackson

of the crime of Perjury, committed as follows:

Heretofore, to wit: on Tuesday, the seventh day of November, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-three (the same being the Tuesday next succeeding the first Monday of the said month of November), there was held a general election throughout the State of New York and in and throughout the said City and County of New York;

And on the day and in the year aforesaid, and at the said election, the said *Roger Jackson*, late of the City and County, being a resident of the *Fourth* Election District of the *Eighth* Assembly District of the said City and County, and a voter entitled to vote at the said election in the said election district, for the purpose of voting at the said election, did personally present himself at the duly designated polling place of the said election in and for the said election district, the polls of the said election in and for the said election district being then open, and to and before *George Mahanion, Jr. Golding and C. E. Benedict*,

then and there being the Inspectors of Election of the said election district, at the said election, at a meeting of the said Inspectors of Election then being duly held in the said polling place for the purposes of the said election.

And the said *Roger Jackson* did then and there desire and require of the said Inspectors of Election that he might select a person for the purpose of assisting him to receive and prepare his ballots, and who should be allowed to pass within the guard rail of the said polling place and receive his official ballots, and enter one of the voting booths of the said polling place, with him, and there assist him in preparing his ballot.

And thereupon he, the said *Roger Jackson* was then and there in due form of law sworn, and did take his corporal oath, by and before the said Inspectors of Election, touching his right to select such person to assist him in receiving and preparing his ballots as aforesaid, they, the said Inspectors of Election, then and there having full and competent power and authority to administer the said oath to the said *Roger Jackson* in that behalf.

And the said Roger Jackson being
 so sworn as aforesaid, upon his oath aforesaid, before the said Inspectors of Election, then and there
 feloniously, wilfully, knowingly and corruptly, did falsely swear, declare and say, that by reason of
defective eyesight
 he, the said Roger Jackson was then
 and there unable to receive or prepare his ballots without assistance.

Whereas, in truth and in fact he, the said Roger Jackson was not
 by reason of defective eyesight

then and there unable to receive or prepare his ballots without assistance, as he then and there
 well knew.

And so the Grand Jury aforesaid do say that the said Roger Jackson
 in manner and form aforesaid, feloniously, wilfully, knowingly, corruptly and falsely, did commit
 wilful and corrupt perjury; against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and
 against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

0051

BOX:

544

FOLDER:

4947

DESCRIPTION:

Jackson, Rudolph J.

DATE:

12/15/93



4947

0052

Witnesses:

Christian Strauch

Counsel,

Filed 15 day of Dec 1893.

Pleads,

Not guilty

THE PEOPLE

vs.

B

Rudolph J. Jackson

alias

Rudolph Seidenberg

Grand Larceny, Second Degree.
[Sections 598, 599 — Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

For 3. February 28/4

Bail discharged

A TRUE BILL.

B. Lockwood

Foreman.

From an examination
of the Complaint
in this case, I fail
to find the elements
of felonious intent.
From the written
statement of
Christian Strauch the
complaining witness
I am satisfied no
connection could be had.
I must therefore recommend
the discharge of the
defendant upon his own
recognizance.

7th 28-94 Robert J. Samuel.
Just over all.

New York General Sessions.

PEOPLE ON MY COMPLAINT,
VERSUS

Rudolph J. Jackson
Alias Rudolph Seidenberg

As complainant in the above case, I beg to recommend the defendant to such leniency and clemency as the Court and District Attorney may see fit to show; but I expressly assert that my reasons for so doing are not controlled by any advantage to myself. Since I made the Complaint the defendant has explained to me that he had no intention of defrauding me of my money. He informs me that ~~that~~ he had invested the money in his business and at the time that I demanded its return he was not able to get it at such short notice. As he has agreed to return it to me, and as I do not know he was ever arrested before I respectfully request permission to withdraw the Complaint.

Christian Stauch

0054

(1860)

City and County }
of New York. } ss.

Police Court, / District.

Christian Stranch

of No. *165 Allen* Street, being duly sworn, deposes and says,
that *Rudolph J. Jackson* (now present) is the person of the name of
Rudolph Seidentz mentioned in deponent's affidavit of the *8th*
day of *August* 189*3*, hereunto annexed.

Sworn to before me, this

day of

19
August 189*3*

Christian Stranch

Edward Martin POLICE JUSTICE.

Police Court—

District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County } ss.
of New York,

Christian Strauch

of No. 165 Allen

Street, aged 33 years.

occupation Saloon Keeper

being duly sworn,

deposes and says, that on the 24 day of July 1893 at the City of New

York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession
of deponent, in day time, the following property, viz:Two hundred and fifty dollars good
and lawful money of the United
States

the property of

Deponent

and that this deponent

has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen
and carried away by Rudolph Seidenburg now herefor the reason that on said date deponent gave to
defendant the aforesaid money as security for
defendant's faithful discharge of his duties in
defendant's employ. Defendant agreed to return
to deponent the said money when at any time
defendant deponent should demand the same.
Defendant has kept him self concealed from
deponent and keeps away from his place of
business and has taken with him deponent's
money. Deponent has called repeatedly to
have his money returned him but can not
find defendant. Wherefore deponent charges
defendant with Grand Larceny.
Christian Strauch

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 24 day of July 1893

Charles Police Justice

0056

(1885)

Sec. 198—200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Rudolph J. Jackson being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Rudolph J. Jackson

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

56 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

France

Question. Where do you live and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

291 East 82nd Street. 2 Months

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Reyn Manufacturing

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer.

*I am not guilty.
R J Jackson*

Taken before me this

day of

August
189*2*

Police Justice.

0057

Sec. 151.

Police Court 1 District.CITY AND COUNTY
OF NEW YORK, } ss.*In the name of the People of the State of New York; To the Sheriff of the County
of New York, or any Marshal or Policeman of the City of New York:*

Whereas, Complaint in writing, and upon oath, has been made before the undersigned, one of the Police
Justices for the City of New York, by Christian Shauch
of No. 165 Allen Street, that on the 24 day of July

1883 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, the following article to wit:

Two hundred and fifty dollars
of the value of Two hundred and fifty Dollars,
the property of Department
w 12 taken, stolen and carried away, and as the said complainant has cause to suspect, and does suspect and
believe, by Rudolph Seidenburg

Wherefore, the said Complainant has prayed that the said Defendant may be apprehended and bound to
answer the said complaint.

These are Therefore, in the name of the PEOPLE of the State of New York, to command you the said
Sheriff, Marshals and Policemen, and every of you, to apprehend the bod 19 of the said Defendant
and forthwith bring him before me, at the 1 DISTRICT POLICE COURT, in the said City, or in
case of my absence or inability to act, before the nearest or most accessible Police Justice in this City, to answer the
said charge, and to be dealt with according to law.

Dated at the City of New York, this 5 day of August 1883

Amudall
POLICE JUSTICE.

0058

64 Perle

Police Court District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Christian Stueck
vs.
Rudolph Seidenberg
Warrant-Larceny.

Dated *Sept 18* 1893
Madole Magistrate
English Officer.

The Defendant
taken, and brought before the Magistrate, to answer
the within charge, pursuant to the command contained
in this Warrant.

Patrick English Officer.
Dated *Sept 18* 1893

This Warrant may be executed on Sunday or at
night.

..... Police Justice.

having been brought before me under this Warrant, is committed for examination to the
WARDEN and KEEPER of the City Prison of the City of New York.
Dated 188
The within named
Police Justice.

0059

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of
Five Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of
the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, 189

James M. Smith Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, 189

Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named
guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, 189

Police Justice.

0060

BAILED,

No. 1, by Ernestine Schaffner
Residence 70 West 50th St. Street.No. 2, by _____
Residence _____ Street.No. 3, by _____
Residence _____ Street.No. 4, by _____
Residence _____ Street.63 130-119 896
Police Court--- District.THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OFChristian Branch
1433 ts. 6, 15 st
1. Rudolph Berdenburg
2. chas B
3. Rudolph J. Jackson
4. _____

Offense

Dated, August 19 1893

Magistrate.

Officer.

Precinct.

Witnesses _____

No. _____ Street.

No. _____ Street.

No. _____ Street.

to answer G.S.

1000 of Aug 23, 11 a.m.

Bailed Can ans Van

Court of General Sessions of the Peace
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Rudolph J. Jackson

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Rudolph J. Jackson
of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE *second* DEGREE, committed
as follows:

The said

Rudolph J. Jackson

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *24th*
day of *July* in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred and
ninety-*three* at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

*the sum of two hundred and
fifty dollars in money, lawful
money of the United States of
America, and of the value of
two hundred and fifty dollars*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

Christian Strach

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against
the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People
of the State of New York and their dignity.

Second COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further
accuse the said *Rudolph J. Jackson*
of the same CRIME OF *Grand LARCENY*, in the
second degree, committed as follows:

The said

Rudolph J. Jackson

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *24th*
day of *July* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and
ninety-*three*, at the City and County aforesaid, being then and there the
bailee of *Christian Strauch*

and as such *bailee* then and there having in *his* possession,
custody and control certain goods, chattels and personal property of the said

Christian Strauch

the true owner thereof, to wit:

*the sum of two hundred
and fifty dollars in money, law-
ful money of the United States of
America, and of the value of two
hundred and fifty dollars*

did afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with
force and arms, feloniously appropriate the said *sum of money*

to *his* own use, with intent to deprive and defraud the said *Christian Strauch*

of the same, and of the use and benefit thereof; and the same goods, chattels and personal property
of the said *Christian Strauch*

did then and there and thereby feloniously steal, against the form of the statute in such case made
and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

0063

BOX:

544

FOLDER:

4947

DESCRIPTION:

Jacobs, Joseph

DATE:

12/05/93



4947

0064

Witnesses

Officer Vrauch
Henry Ebeling

Counsel,

Filed

day of

1893

Pleads,

Guilty
THE PEOPLE

*15
128 River St
driver.
Joseph Jacobs*

Grand Larceny, second Degree.
[Sections 528, 531, Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

*Part 3. December 8/93
Pleads Guilty - Attempt
G. L. 2^d deg.*

A TRUE BILL.

*House of Reps. B. 14
R. Lockwood*

Foreman.

0066

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Henry Ebeling
aged 19 years, occupation Plumber of No. _____

_____, Street, being duly sworn, deposes and
says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of John Witterman
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own
knowledge.

Sworn to before me this, _____
day of _____, 1893

24
3

Henry Ebeling

James Martin
Police Justice.

0067

(1835)

Sec. 198—200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.
OF NEW YORK,

Joseph Jacobs being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Joseph Jacobs

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

16 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

New York

Question. Where do you live and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

128 Rivington St 2 years

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Driver

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer.

*I am not guilty**Joseph Jacobs*

Subscribed and sworn to before me this

*24*day of *October* 1883

Police Justice.

0068

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named _____

Defendant
_____ guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of _____ Hundred Dollars, _____ and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, *Nov 24* 189 *3* _____ Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named _____
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____
_____ guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

0069

240

Police Court---

1255

District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

John Witterman
115 Willett
Joseph Jacobs

2
3
4

Offense *Larceny*

BAILED,

No. 1, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 2, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 3, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 4, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

Dated, *Nov 24* 189 *3*

Martin Magistrate.

Titus Krueh Officer.

Co Precinct.

Witnesses *Henry Ebeling*

No. *City Prison* Street.

No. _____ Street.

No. _____ Street.

\$ *1000* to answer *G.S.*

Om *gh*



Court of General Sessions of the Peace
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Joseph Jacobs

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Joseph Jacobs
of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE *second* DEGREE, committed
as follows:

The said

Joseph Jacobs

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *fourteenth*
day of *October*, in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred and
ninety-*three*, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

*one horse of the value of sixty
dollars, one wagon of the value
of thirty dollars and one set
of harness of the value of fifteen
dollars*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

John Gerald

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against
the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People
of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said

Joseph Jacobs
of the CRIME OF CRIMINALLY RECEIVING STOLEN PROPERTY, committed as follows:

The said

Joseph Jacobs
late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

*one horse of the value of
sixty dollars, one wagon of
the value of thirty dollars,
and one set of harness of
the value of fifteen dollars*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

John Gerald
by a certain person or persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, then lately before feloniously stolen, taken and carried away from the said

John Gerald
unlawfully and unjustly did feloniously receive and have; the said

Joseph Jacobs
then and there well known the said goods, chattels and personal property to have been feloniously stolen, taken and carried away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

0072

BOX:

544

FOLDER:

4947

DESCRIPTION:

Jacobs, Wolf

DATE:

12/13/93



4947

Bail fixed at \$1000
R.B.M.

Witnesses:

Rosa Rosenbaum
John L. Soreng

Counsel,

Filed

Pleads,

13 day of Dec 1893
Guilty

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Wolf Jacobs

Part I

Jan 28/94

DR LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

R. Lockwood

Part 2 March 15, 1894 Foreman.

Indict and Jury disagree

Part II March 28/94 O'Hare

Part I Apr 20/94 H. H. H. H.

I tried the case in Part I during March Term 1894. The jury disagreed. No property was taken & it seems to be unlikely that a conviction can be had on a new trial. I am persuaded that the deft is a bad citizen but it appears as if he cannot be caught this time. There is no additional evidence & I recommend deft's discharge on his own recognizance.

Apr 20/94 Stephen J. O'Hare
District Attorney

Burglary in the Third Degree.

(Section 498, Penal Code.)

VI. General Sessions

The People
vs.
Paul Baugler

What is your name? William D. Wolff

Your business or profession? Physician

Do you know defendant Paul Baugler? Yes, sir,
I've seen him several times.

When & in what connection did you see him?

In connection with the ambulance call on
December 12/93 about 12 o'clock in the day-
time.

Where was that? 2055 Third Avenue.

I first saw Otto Beck at 2055 Third Ave.,
at the same time I saw defendant Baugler.

I found Beck in bed. I tried to find him
suffering excruciating pain and attempted to
make an examination, it was 'not convenient' in
the bed-room. I had him moved to the next
room - the parlor. Examined him there. I
found all the symptoms of a fracture of the
left hip, ~~an interstition~~, the symptoms I found
were shortening of the limb, eversion of the foot,
extreme pain on passive motion, spasm of the
muscles of the leg, that was all at that time.

I then applied a long splint extending from
the arm-pit to the ankle and bound the leg and
body to it. This gave the patient relief and made
him comfortable. I then put him on the ambulance

lance and took him to the Harlem Hospital, East 120th St. When I got him there I called in Drs. Oppenheimer, Lechille and Manly. I then made an examination ^{of test} under ether, with the assistance of these physicians. ~~When diagnosis~~ We then diagnosed it as intra ^{capsular} ~~capsular~~ fracture. We measured the limb and found an inch and three-quarters shortening, found the same symptoms as described a found at 20 55 third Ave., and in addition found bone crepitus.

At that time I was Junior Assistant Physician at that hospital. Beck came into the hospital Dec. 12, 1893, was discharged Dec. 15, 1894, and ~~came back~~ He was not discharged as cured. From the nature of the injuries sustained can you tell what may have caused them? It was caused by an injury I suppose, how it was done I don't know except from what the patient told me.

It may have come from a fall, ^{from} being kicked, from any violence. Such an injury as were sustained by this boy is an exceptional case, in a boy of that age in surgery.

Mr. Beck, the complainant made two different and contradictory statements to me in reference to the cause of his injuries. His ^{first} statement was made to me in the presence of Mr. Blank, the proprietor

I think
of the store and the defendant. This was at the
time of the first call of the ambulance. The complain-
ant stated to me, to my question as to how
he came by the injury that he fell.

The second statement he made in the hospital.
The defendant was not present at that time.
The complainant ^{can} in my opinion, never be
permanently cured. He will be a cripple.
My address now is Harlem Hospital.

Cross-examination

I have been will be practicing 1 year this 4th
of April. In a boy of that age the bones here
is more organic than inorganic material, & for
that reason the bones will bend & move & break.
The fracture being intra capsular in a boy of that
age makes it an exceptional case.

Any fall of the boy fell down on his hip it ~~might~~
might produce the fracture. Any violence or fall
might cause that.

Done & for me } reviewed and
the 15th day of March 1894
Randolph B. Martine
Judge of General Sessions

It is stipulated & agreed that as a condition
of adjournment this case from to-day the
within deposition be read on the trial of
this cause in lieu of producing the
original witness in person on the trial
and is the same effect as if he were produced.
Dec. 15. 1894 - subject to exception and objection
and that it be deemed relevant by the Court.
Dec. 15. 1894

J. D. Green
Att. for Deft.

0078

Police Court, 3 District.

1901

City and County of New York; ss, Rosie Rosenbaum
 of No. 12 Canaan Street, aged 25 years,
 occupation Keep House being duly sworn, deposes and says,
 that on the 23 day of November 1899, at the City of New
 York, in the County of New York,

Woff. Jacobs (not her) did
 feloniously and unlawfully enter
 the premises 12 Canaan Street
 with intent to commit a burglary
 in violation of Section 505 of
 the Penal Code for the persons
 following to wit: on the said
 date the defendant was found
 in ^{said} premises. This defendant heard
 a noise at her door and when
 she opened the door she saw
 the defendant trying to open the
 door of Isaac Rosen on the same
 floor with a false key. ^{Is informed by John Hering}
 that he saw the defendant
 on the roof of said premises
 and whilst the Hering was
 chasing the defendant he
 saw the defendant throw
 away a quantity of keys
 which keys the Hering subsequently
 recovered and which keys are
 known as "Burglar's" Keys.
 The defendant had no right
 in said premises

Sworn to before me } Rosie Rosenbaum
 this 23rd day of November 1899 }

John C. Woodie

Police Justice

0079

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

1921

aged 32 years, occupation Housekeeper of No. 10

Chambers Street, being duly sworn, deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Isaac Rosenberg

and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 23 day
of November 1897

J. H. Loreng

John McVordis Police Justice.

0080

Sec. 198-200.

3 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss

W. G. Jacobs being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

W. G. Jacobs

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

23 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

England

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

H. E. Bantlyn Street - 2 years

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Salesman

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer.

I am not guilty
W. G. Jacobs

Taken before me this

day of

1883

W. G. Jacobs

Police Justice.

0081

3
It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Three Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated Apr 23 1893 John R. Boonin Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named

Defendant
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated 24 Apr 1893 Di Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named

guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order h to be discharged.

Dated 189 Police Justice.

0082

BAILED,

No. 1, by

Residence

No. 2, by

Residence

No. 3, by

Residence

No. 4, by

Residence

Police Court

District

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Joseph Kasubbaum
vs
Samuel Jacobus

2

3

4

Office Mulveyally
entering building

Dated

189 3

Magistrate.

Officer.

Precinct.

Witness

Joseph Rosen
vs
Samuel Jacobus
to be heard at Court
of Municipal Sessions

No.

\$ 300 to answer

Street

Atty Genl
Amely known
17 Is. St. Room

Court of General Sessions of the Peace
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Wolf Jacobs

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Wolf Jacobs
attempting to commit
of the CRIME OF BURGLARY IN THE THIRD DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

Wolf Jacobs

late of the *13th* Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the
twenty third day of *November*, in the year of our Lord one
thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three*, with force and arms, in the time
of the same day, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, the dwelling house of one

Isaac Rosen

attempt to
there situate, feloniously and burglariously did break into and enter, with intent to commit some
crime therein, to wit, with intent the goods, chattels and personal property of the said

Isaac Rosen in the said dwelling house then and there being, then and there
feloniously and burglariously to steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in
such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and
their dignity.

De Laurence Nicoll,
District Attorney

0084

BOX:

544

FOLDER:

4947

DESCRIPTION:

Jacobson, Morris

DATE:

12/15/93



4947

0085

BOX:

544

FOLDER:

4947

DESCRIPTION:

Littauer, Henry

DATE:

12/15/93



4947

0086

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

Witnesses:

Nathan Friedman

Counsel,

Filed

day of

1893

Plends,

14

88

Butcher

us.

THE PEOPLE

18

22

Butcher, & Russ

Henry Littauer

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

Call H

A TRUE BILL.

B. Lockwood

Part 2 - Jan. 4, 1894 Foreman.

Both indicted of

of L. 1st Degree

No 1 House of Refuge

No 2 County Ref

Jan 1894

Burglary in the 1st degree.
Section 106, 506, 528, 530, 532

Subpoena
of for receipt
for 8th

0087

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

Witnesses:

Nathan Friedman

16/1
Counsel,

Filed

day of

1893

Plends,

~~14~~ 14
88 dndlow of

THE PEOPLE

Butcher vs.

Morris Jacobson

18 22 brook st
and Ruma
butcher, f. Ruma

Henry Littauer

Burglary in the
first degree.
Section 496, 506, 512, 513, 514, 515, 516, 517, 518, 519, 520, 521, 522, 523, 524, 525, 526, 527, 528, 529, 530, 531, 532, 533, 534, 535, 536, 537, 538, 539, 540, 541, 542, 543, 544, 545, 546, 547, 548, 549, 550, 551, 552, 553, 554, 555, 556, 557, 558, 559, 560, 561, 562, 563, 564, 565, 566, 567, 568, 569, 570, 571, 572, 573, 574, 575, 576, 577, 578, 579, 580, 581, 582, 583, 584, 585, 586, 587, 588, 589, 590, 591, 592, 593, 594, 595, 596, 597, 598, 599, 600, 601, 602, 603, 604, 605, 606, 607, 608, 609, 610, 611, 612, 613, 614, 615, 616, 617, 618, 619, 620, 621, 622, 623, 624, 625, 626, 627, 628, 629, 630, 631, 632, 633, 634, 635, 636, 637, 638, 639, 640, 641, 642, 643, 644, 645, 646, 647, 648, 649, 650, 651, 652, 653, 654, 655, 656, 657, 658, 659, 660, 661, 662, 663, 664, 665, 666, 667, 668, 669, 670, 671, 672, 673, 674, 675, 676, 677, 678, 679, 680, 681, 682, 683, 684, 685, 686, 687, 688, 689, 690, 691, 692, 693, 694, 695, 696, 697, 698, 699, 700, 701, 702, 703, 704, 705, 706, 707, 708, 709, 710, 711, 712, 713, 714, 715, 716, 717, 718, 719, 720, 721, 722, 723, 724, 725, 726, 727, 728, 729, 730, 731, 732, 733, 734, 735, 736, 737, 738, 739, 740, 741, 742, 743, 744, 745, 746, 747, 748, 749, 750, 751, 752, 753, 754, 755, 756, 757, 758, 759, 760, 761, 762, 763, 764, 765, 766, 767, 768, 769, 770, 771, 772, 773, 774, 775, 776, 777, 778, 779, 780, 781, 782, 783, 784, 785, 786, 787, 788, 789, 790, 791, 792, 793, 794, 795, 796, 797, 798, 799, 800, 801, 802, 803, 804, 805, 806, 807, 808, 809, 810, 811, 812, 813, 814, 815, 816, 817, 818, 819, 820, 821, 822, 823, 824, 825, 826, 827, 828, 829, 830, 831, 832, 833, 834, 835, 836, 837, 838, 839, 840, 841, 842, 843, 844, 845, 846, 847, 848, 849, 850, 851, 852, 853, 854, 855, 856, 857, 858, 859, 860, 861, 862, 863, 864, 865, 866, 867, 868, 869, 870, 871, 872, 873, 874, 875, 876, 877, 878, 879, 880, 881, 882, 883, 884, 885, 886, 887, 888, 889, 890, 891, 892, 893, 894, 895, 896, 897, 898, 899, 900, 901, 902, 903, 904, 905, 906, 907, 908, 909, 910, 911, 912, 913, 914, 915, 916, 917, 918, 919, 920, 921, 922, 923, 924, 925, 926, 927, 928, 929, 930, 931, 932, 933, 934, 935, 936, 937, 938, 939, 940, 941, 942, 943, 944, 945, 946, 947, 948, 949, 950, 951, 952, 953, 954, 955, 956, 957, 958, 959, 960, 961, 962, 963, 964, 965, 966, 967, 968, 969, 970, 971, 972, 973, 974, 975, 976, 977, 978, 979, 980, 981, 982, 983, 984, 985, 986, 987, 988, 989, 990, 991, 992, 993, 994, 995, 996, 997, 998, 999, 1000

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

Call H

A TRUE BILL.

B. Lockwood

Part 2 - Jan. 4, 1894 Foreman.

Both tried & convicted of
Cy. L. 1st Degree

N°1 House of Refuge

N°2 Cherry Ref
Jan 1894

Subpoena
officer receipt
for 8th

0000

of the stolen money and he further
says that on a former occasion the
said Cohen attempted paid "Kulot"
to go through his Cohen's window
in order to descend to apartments' apart-
ments to commit a burglary

Yours before me
this 23 day of Nov 1892

John B. Doohis

Has of
Kathleen Friedman
man

Police Justice

0089

Police Court— District.

City and County } ss.:
of New York,of No. 22 Norfolk Street, aged 43 years,occupation Furniture Furnisher being duly sworndeposes and says, that the premises No 22 Norfolk Street,in the City and County aforesaid, the said being a five story apart-ment house, the third floor ofand which was occupied by deponent as a dwellinand in which there was at the time a human being, by name NathanFriedman together with his familywere **BURGLARIOUSLY** entered by means of forcibly raising awindow leading into deponent'sapartmenton the 15 day of November 1888 in the night time, and the

following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz:

Gold and lawful money ofthe United States of the amountand value of five hundreddollars and a quantity of jewelryvalued at two hundred dollarsthe whole being valued atseven hundredand fifty dollars — \$750.00andthe property of Deponent

and deponent further says, that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid

BURGLARY was committed and the aforesaid property taken, stolen, and carried away byMorris Jacobson and Henry and anotherboys who got arrested who were acting in concertand were named as accomplices of the said Morris Jacobsonfor the reasons following, to wit:at the hour of midnightdeponent and his family retiredfor the night he leaving premiseslocked and fastened the doorsand windows of said premisesand he having found the said windowopened and said property missing heis informed by Officer Morris Schuchthat he Schuch arrested the defendants

Jacobson and he Jacobson admitted
 to him Schwartz that he and the boy not yet
 arrested ^(Dutch) ^{my name is} together and that the
 said "Dutch" came out of a man named
 Cohen's window, on to the fire escape in
 said premises and descended to defendant's
 apartment, raised the window and entered
 said apartment, he subsequently came
 out and gave to him Jacobson the sum
 of ninety-five dollars which he said
 was part of the money which is the
 Dutch had stolen from defendant. The
 said Dutch also said he stole a quantity
 of jewelry which jewelry he Jacobson
 saw in said Dutch's possession. The said
 Jacobson further informed defendant
 that he gave a man named Ike Birman
 ninety-five dollars he Birman will
 know that said money was stolen,
 he Birman subsequently returned to him
 Jacobson forty-five dollars. When Birman
 was arrested by Officer Morris Schwartz
 he at first denied having received any
 money from Jacobson but he subsequently
 said he would give back fifty dollars of
 the money in cash. The said Jacobson,
 Birman and Dutch were together and
 said Birman assisted them in
 spending the part of the money.
 He said Birman has agreed to
 give the fifty dollars as promised.
 The said Jacobson further says that
 the said Cohen was assisted in said burglary by allowing
 the defendant "Dutch" to go through his
 window in order to descend to defendant's
 apartment. Jacobson says that he
 said "Dutch" gave said Cohen some

 District
 Police Court

 Degree
 Burglary
 Magistrate
 Officer
 Clerk
 Witness
 Committed in default of
 Bail by
 No.

 THE PEOPLE
 vs.
 ON THE COMPLAINT OF

0091

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY
OF NEW YORK,

McBerman being duly examined before the under-
signed according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is h's right to
make a statement in relation to the charge against h's; that the statement is designed to
enable h's if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h's
that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h's waiver cannot be used
against h's on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the
testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your
exculpation?

Answer.

I am not guilty
McBerman

Taken before me this

23

day of November 1893

John P. O'Connell

Police Justice.

0092

Sec. 192.

District Police Court.

(1881)

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Undertaking to appear during the Examination.

An information having been laid before John R. Porchis a Police Justice
of the City of New York, charging Joe Berman Defendant, with
the offense of _____

Receiving Stolen Goods
and he having been brought before said Justice for an examination of said charge, and it having been made to
appear to the satisfaction of said Justice that said examination should be adjourned to some other day, and the hear-
ing thereof having been adjourned.

We Joe Berman Defendant, of No. 26
West Street, by occupation a Sign Painter
and John J. McAdams of No. 14 Attorney
Street, by occupation Legal Business Surety, hereby jointly and severally undertake that
the above named Joe Berman Defendant,
shall personally appear before the said Justice, at the 2 District Police Court in the City of New York,
during the said examination, or that we will pay to the People of the State of New York the sum of 100
Hundred Dollars.

Taken and acknowledged before me, this 23day of November 1893John R. Porchis POLICE JUSTICE.

0093

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.
OF NEW YORK.

day of

Sworn to before me, this

189

John J. McAdams
Justice.

the within named Bail and Surety, being duly sworn, says, that he is a resident and
holder within the said County and State, and is worth Twenty Hundred Dollars,
exclusive of property exempt from execution, and over and above the amount of all his debts and
liabilities, and that his property consists of House and lot

of land at 164 Virginia
Street north, two thousand
dollars per

John
McAdams
Justice.

District Police Court.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Undertaking to appear
during the Examination.

vs.

189

day of

Taken the

0094

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Arion Cohen being duly examined before the under-
signed according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is h's right to
make a statement in relation to the charge against h'; that the statement is designed to
enable h' if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h'
that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h' waiver cannot be used
against h' on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Arion Cohen*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *40 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *Russia*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *22 W. 10th St. New York*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Public Works*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the
testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your
exculpation?

Answer. *I am not guilty**Arion Cohen*

Taken before me this *20*
day of *January* 189*7*

John H. Wood
Police Justice.

0095

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Morris Jacobson being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Morris Jacobson*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *18 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *Germany*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *88 Hudson St. 2 years*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Working for a butcher*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. *I was with a boy named "Blutch" on said night, at 10 o'clock in the morning he "Blutch" told him Jacobson to wait in front of 22 Norfolk where he "Blutch" would go up to a man named Cohen's rooms and by going through said Cohen's window he could descend by way of the fire escape to Nathan Friedman's rooms and steal some money. I went into the yard of said premises and watched for him "Blutch" he saw said "Blutch" come out of Cohen's window descend on the fire escape and raise Friedman's windows and enter Friedman's apartments*

Taken before me this _____ day of _____ 189

Police Justice.

he subsequently came out of
 Freedman's apartments and showed
 him a quantity of money and
 jewelry. I received from Klute
 twenty-five dollars at the time
 and he subsequently gave me fifty
 dollars. I gave Birman twenty-five
 dollars and he, ~~Klute~~ and I went to
 theatre together and he helped me to
 spend some of the money. Birman
 gave me back forty-five dollars
 and has returned the fifty dollars.
 He another night previous to said
 burglary Klute and I were together
 and Klute went through Cohen's
 window and attempted to go through
 Freedman's apartments but there was
 a woman sitting in a chair and
 he did not go into Freedman's. I saw
 some of the stolen money
 sent for Cohen's.

Alfred Jacobson
 Thomas Jacobson
 mark

Taken before me
 this 23rd day of November
 1882
 John A. Boeck

For
 Peace & Justice
 mark

0097

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

1921

aged 31 years occupation Police Officer of No. 11th Avenue Street, being duly sworn, deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Arthur Friedman
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own
knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 23 day
of November 1921

Morris Schwartz

John P. Woods Police Justice.

0098

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named.....

Defendants Morris Jacobson
guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars,..... and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated Nov 23 1893 John R. Boonin Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named.....

to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated..... 189..... Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named The Bernies and Aaron Cohen guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order them to be discharged.

Dated Nov 23 1893 John R. Boonin Police Justice.

0099

\$1000 for Ex. 230. Rec. book 23/93. 210

Police Court District *1262*

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Nathan Friedman
22 *Norfolk*

Miriam Jacobson
McBrisman
Sam Ohara

BAILED,

No. 1, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

No. 2, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

No. 3, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

No. 4, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

Dated *Nov 23* 189 *3*

Voosting Magistrate.
Schmidt & Foley Officer.
Precinct.

Witnesses *Officers*

No. _____ Street.
Rachmell Abramowitz

No. *13 Norfolk* Street.
Isaac Alterman

No. *191 Hester* Street.
M. H. - 1000 Dan St.

to answer

Nos 243 Discharge

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE,
CITY & COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE,)	
)	BEFORE
VS.)	
)	HON. JUDGE ROCCOWING,
MORRIS JACOBSON,)	
and)	AND A JURY.
HENRY LITTAUER.)	
)	

TRIED NEW YORK, JANUARY 3rd, 1894.

INDICTED FOR BURGLARY IN THE FIRST DEGREE.
INDICTMENT FILED DECEMBER 15th, 1893.

APPEARANCES:

ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY JAMES W. OSBORNE,
FOR THE PEOPLE.

JACOB BERLINGER, ESQ.,
FOR THE DEFENSE.

////////////////////

0101

2

NATHAN FREEDMAN, The COMPLAINANT, testified that he lived at number 22 Norfolk Street, on the third floor of the house, which is a flat-house. On the morning of November 15th, 1893, he, the complainant, had \$500.00 in money, and \$200.00 worth of jewelry. The money was in the complainant's trousers, in the front room. He, the complainant, got up, about half-past four, and found the window and door open, and his property gone, and his trousers were in the hallway, and his other clothes were in the kitchen. He, the complainant, reported his loss to the 13th Precinct Station. At about 12 o'clock, on the previous night, he, the complainant, saw the defendants on the stoop of his house. Littauer asked him, the complainant, the time. He, the complainant, pulled out his gold watch and said to Littauer, "What do you want to know the time for, boy?" The defendant worked one week for him, the complainant, the year before. He, the complainant, has had no talk with either of the defendants

since the robbery. Subsequently he, the complainant, testified that he received a postal-card from Littauer, asking him to call at the Tombs, to see him, Littauer, and he called there.

Littauer said to him, the complainant, "If you want to get your money, you go to Mr. Aaron Cohen and Mr. Isaac Behrman, and the jewelry was sold in Behrman's place, to a cousin of Behrman. His name is Louis." He, the complainant, never recovered his money or jewelry, or any part of it.

In

CROSS-EXAMINATION

the complainant testified that the postal-card referred to was in the possession of his, the complainant's lawyer. He, the complainant, called on Littauer, at the Tombs, with a pass, about two weeks ago. The jewelry was in his, the complainant's vest pocket, and the money was in his trousers's pocket. He laid his trousers down at half-past 12 o'clock, when he went to bed. He is in the housefurnishing business, in Hester Street. He has a safe in his store, but none in

0103

4

his house. He locked up his store at 12 o'clock. The money he saved, in his business, to invest in stock, in Trenton. Morris Jacobson said to him, the complainant, that he went down the fire escape, the night before, to rob him, the complainant, but he heard the complainant's wife awake. He, the complainant, heard that statement made in the Essex Market, before the judge. Both defendants went down the fire escape, on the night before, to commit the robbery, but, on that night, the \$500.00 was in his ~~the~~ complainant's safe. He, the complainant, took the money out of the safe to invest, in Trenton, the next day. He intended to take the 6 o'clock train in the morning. He generally opened his store about half-past 7 or 8 o'clock. He bought his stock, or, rather, crockery in New Jersey. Littauer said that Morris Jacobson took the property, and Morris Jacobson said that Henry Littauer went in and got the money. Littauer left that night, and he, the complainant, could not find him for five days. The defendants admitted that each of them got half of the money.

Morris Jacobson said that he gave \$95.00 to Behrman. and Littauer said that he gave \$90.00 to Cohen. He, the complainant, did not have any talk with Isaac Behrman in the presence of either of the defendants. He, the complainant, had two sons, the eldest being Morris Freedman. He, the complainant, sent his eldest son to see the defendants after they had been locked up. His, the complainant's, son did not give each of the defendants five dollars. His son is 20 years of age and works for him, and never has any money, except what he, the complainant, gives him on Saturdays, as spending money.

MORRIS SCHWARTZ, testified that he is an officer connected with the Municipal Police of the City assigned, to duty in the 11th Precinct. About the 21st of October he, the witness, had a conversation with the defendants. He had not been looking for them prior to that time. On November 21st he the witness, arrested Morris Jacobson, and he was brought into the station-house, by an officer on

post, on Mr. Freedman's complaint. He, the witness, asked Jacobson if he committed the burglary and he denied it. He said he didn't know anything at all about it. Then he, the witness, took him to court the next morning, November 22nd. He said that he and "Dutch" (meaning Littauer) went into the house, and "Dutch" got \$195.00 and the jewelry. He got \$95.00 out of it and the rest Littauer took, and the jewelry they took into a saloon at the corner of Norfolk and Hester Streets and they sold it to a man named "Louis", for \$30.00. Then he the witness, arrested, on the boys affidavit, Behrman, for receiving the \$95.00, and he denied all knowledge of it. He, the witness, brought Behrman into the Station-house, and, when he saw Jacobson under arrest, he admitted he had \$50.00, Jacobson being present at that time. He, Behrman said that Jacobson gave him \$95.00, \$45.00 of which he gave back to him, Behrman keeping \$50.00; and he had his back to his father's store, in the presence of Jacobson, and he asked the father for money, but they didn't have it in the house, so he,

7

the witness, took Behrman to the station-house, and the next day, the case was set down for examination, and Behrman was discharged and Jacobson held. On the 24th, he, the witness, arrested Littauer, who confessed that he committed the burglary with Morris Jacobson. He said that Morris Jacobson went into the rooms, and that he saw him running through the streets and asked him where he was running and he gave him \$95.00. He also said that he saw Morris Jacobson sell the jewelry to Louis for \$30.00. He, the witness, talked with him in the presence of Behrman, Littauer said that Behrman asked Morris Jacobson where he got the money and he said, "We stole it," and he received the money and the next night they went to a theatre and had "A large time" there.

In

CROSS-EXAMINATION

the witness, testified that Morris Jacobson told him, the witness, about the jewelry. He said it was sold, but he did not state to whom he had sold it: but Littauer told him, the witness, that

it was sold to a man named "Louis", a cousin of Behrman. He, the witness, looked for "Louis", but, he moved away after the thing happened---he used to live with Behrman. The jewelry was never recovered.

THE DEFENSE.

MORRIS JACOBSON, one of the defendants testified that he had known Mr. Freedman for a year. He had never worked for him. He, the witness, never entered Mr. Freedman's premises to steal jewelry and money from him. He, Mr. Freedman, took him, the witness, in to his store, and said "Morris, do you want to make a couple of dollars?" And he, the defendant said, "Yes", and he said, "I will give you \$10.00 for you to say that you be the thief that stole from me \$500.00 in money and \$200.00 in jewelry, and I want to have locked up Behrman and Cohen. I want to get satisfaction from those sons-of-bitches," and he the witness, took the \$10.00 and bought a suit of clothes, and,

0108

9

in a couple of days he locked the witness up, and Detective Schwartz said, "You tell everything and I take you out." He, the defendant, for \$10.00, told the complainant that he, the defendant, stole his money and jewelry. He, the defendant, further testified that Freedman's son gave him \$5.00 in the Tombs, the same day that he was indicted, and said, "Don't be afraid. You can't get more than three months, and if you get more than three months I will get up a petition that will take you out." And he said, "Don't tell right away if they lock you up, stay a couple of days around." When he, the defendant, was locked up, he had \$1.75. He, the defendant, was arrested only once before--- for peddling and he was fined two dollars.

In

CROSS-EXAMINATION

the defendant testified that he had the conversation with Mr. Freedman just referred to, at his sore, on the 10th of the month---he was not certain as to the date. He, the defendant, was arrested on November 22nd and the burglary was committed on

the the 5th, and, two weeks before he was arrested, he went in there, and made the agreement to have himself accused of the crime, and received \$10.00 for it, which he spent before he was arrested. The conversation took place between himself, Mr. Freedman, and Mr. Freedman's son. He, the defendant, did not know anything about the agreement with the other defendant, only he, Mr. Freedman, said that he was going to get another boy. The defendants testified further, 'Well', he, said, to me, 'Morris, you want to make a couple of dollars?' And, I said, 'Yes sir.' and, he said, 'I will give you \$10.00 or \$15.00 more, only you say that you stole from me \$500.00 in property, and \$200.00 in jewelry, and you say you are a thief, and you give Mr. Behrman my money, and "Dutch give Cohen money."' He, the defendant, was in Mr. Freedman's store twice. When he, the defendant, was in Mr. Freedman's store afterwards, Mr. Freedman said to him the defendant, "Do you know who I have got? I have got 'Dutch'." That was two days after. The son, the father and the defendant were present, 'Dutch'

0110

11

not being there. He did not say anything about 'Dutch' the first time; only the second day. He, the witness, had known him nearly thirteen months. He, the defendant, knew that Behrman was going to be locked up when the charge was made, and he knew that Mr. Freedman was "Going to get even with the son-of-a-bitch." When he, the defendant, agreed with Mr. Freedman as he described, he supposed that he was going to be a witness and did not think he was going to get into trouble or be imprisoned in the Tombs. He, the defendant, is 14 years of age and came from Russia. Mr. Freedman keeps a large crockery store. When he, the defendant, was arrested, he told the officer that he took the money---he told him to say that---Mr. Freedman, and also the officer, told him, the defendant, to say that. He, the defendant, told the officer that Littauer was in and took the money. The defendant testified, "Well, he make agreement with me to say like that, I say of 'Dutch', and 'Dutch' will say of me. He said I must say it of 'Dutch' and 'Dutch' should say it of me." He, the defendant, denied that he

0111

testified that he agreed to go in and steal. When he, the defendant was arrested he was working for Edelson, a butcher, and lived at 29 Hester Street. Afterwards he lived at 88 Ludlow Street. The \$95.00 he, the defendant, had saved during the summer; the money was his own. Two weeks before he, the defendant, was locked up, he gave Behrman the \$95.00. His, the defendant's name, is Morris Jacobson. He, the defendant, at first denied that he made and signed the statement in the police-court but, afterwards, admitted it. He, the defendant, at that time stated that the burglary had been committed by Cohen through Cohen's rooms. When Mr. Freedman gave him, the defendant, the \$10.00, he told him to testify as above---to tell all about the fire-escape, but not about the woman being asleep there the night before; he, did not state before the police justice that, "'Dutch" "'Dutch' went down and looked through the window, the night before, and saw a woman asleep there, and he, the defendant, were together and that 'Dutch' went through Cohen's window, and attempted to

burglarize Freedman's place, the night before. He, the defendant, lived at 88 Lullow Street about three weeks; he lived there with a woman. He had no father or mother.

HENRY LITTAUER, the co-defendant, testified that he lived with Mr. Cohen, for whom he worked about three years. He went away three nights before the robbery, because he quarreled with Cohen's wife, and for three nights he slept at 83 Bowery. He, the defendant, also, had worked for Mr. Freedman, and had known him for two years. He, the defendant, did not go into Mr. Freedman's window, through Mr. Cohen's rooms, and he did not steal \$500.00 and some jewelry. He, the defendant, at one time had been convicted, and had been fined \$5.00 for fighting. The day before his arrest, he had a conversation with Mr. Freedman about the case. He said to the defendant that he "has got arrested another boy. His name is Morris Jacobson, and when I am willing to swear that I see Morris Jacobson give money to Behrman,

well, he, fixed me. He give me a job and everything; that I get out; and if I do get sent away, on account of that, he will get politicians to take me out. That is what Mr. Freedman told me." Mr. Freedman's son called on him, the defendant, on the Tombs, and said that everything was all right and gave him, the defendant, \$5.00, and said that his, Freedman's, father would be there in a couple of days and fix him, the defendant. Subsequently, the defendant testified that the other defendant received the \$5.00, and that Freedman's son promised that his (Freedman's) father would call in a few days and "fix" him, Littauer, later, the father called and gave him, Littauer, \$5.00, and said, "I have got my lawyer downstairs, and he has a paper, and you go down stairs and sign that paper, and everything will be all right." He, the defendant, did not sign the paper that the lawyer gave him to sign.

In

CROSS-EXAMINATION

the defendant testified that the first talk that

0114

15

that he had with Mr. Freedman was two or three days after the robbery was committed; he also had a talk with him the day before his arrest. At the first talk, Mr. Freedman gave him, the defendant, \$10.00 and at the Tombs he gave him, the defendant, \$5.00. The defendant testified, "Mr. Freedman he said, 'I have you arrested, because I missed \$500.00 in money and \$200.00 in jewelry, and a gold watch and a diamond stud, and when you are going to swear that you did steal the money, and if you are going to say that you stole the money and the jewelry and you give the "kid" half of it, and you have half of it, and you give your own money to Mr. Cohen, and 'the kid' give his own money to Mr. Behrman, the saloonkeeper, that time I have got, try and get my money from Mr. Behrman and Mr. Cohen. Give no money at all to Cohen, only I was working by him for three years, and he lives on the top floor---he don't owe me nothing. I never gave a cent to Mr. Cohen, and did not say so. He, the defendant, agreed to say that he gave the money to Mr. Cohen, and that "Dutch" was to

0115

16

to say that he gave his money to Behrman. Mr. Freedman brought a lawyer for him, the defendant, to sign a paper, in the tombs. He, the defendant, knew the lawyer by sight, but not by name; but he knew the name of the father of the lawyer was Weingarten, and he kept a fish store on Hester Street, between Norfolk and Essex Streets. The Lawyer said to him, the defendant, that Mr. Freedman employed him, the lawyer, to have him, the defendant sign the paper, and he, the defendant, refused to sign it. He, the defendant, said, in the police-court, that Jacobson stole the money-- that he, the defendant, saw Jacobson with the money, and he gave him, the defendant, half of it, so that he would not tell about it. He, the defendant, testified that that is what Mr. Freedman told him, the defendant, to say. He, the defendant, made a written statement in the Tombs, but did not tell the officer that he gave part of the money to Cohen. Freedman's son gave Jacobson \$5.00 and gave him, the defendant, \$5.00, also. Four days after being indicted, Freedman gave him, the defendant, \$5.00

more. At the time of his arrest, he, the defendant was working for MR. Aaron Cohen, but left him 3 day before the arrest, because he, the defendant, had a fight with Cohen's wife. He, the defendant, knew that Behrman was going to be arrested and that he, the defendant, was going to swear that the money was given to Behrman. He, the defendant, had known Behrman about 7 or 8 months.

In

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

the defendant testified that Mr. Freedman said that he wanted to have him, the defendant, and Behrman and Cohen arrested, so that he, Mr. Freedman, could get the money out of Behrman and Cohen, and that then he, Mr. Freedman, would get some politicians to get him, the defendant, out of prison. For testifying falsely, for Mr. Freedman, he, the defendant, received from Mr. Freedman, \$10.00 and also \$5.00 in the Tombs.

JOHN MCLEARY testified that he was connected with the Municipal Police, assigned to the 11th precinct. He, the witness, had a conversation with the de-

endants at the station-house, and Jacobson said that if he, the witness, went to the corner of Norfolk and Hester street, he could get the money. He, the witness, went there and saw a young man named Behrman, and asked him what he knew about the matter, and he said that he knew nothing. Then, he, the witness, took Behrman to the station-house, and Jacobson said to Behrman, "I give you \$95, and you have got some of my money now." Behrman said, "If you will go back to my saloon, I will give you back what I have got of the money." He, the witness, went and saw the defendant's father, who said, "I haven't got no money, and he went out to get some money, but did not return, and then, he the witness locked the defendant up in the station-house. Several days later, the other defendant was arrested. Littauer said that he stood outside while Jacobson committed the burglary, and then they went down to Norfolk street and divided the money, and sold the jewelry, at Norfolk and Hester Streets, for \$30. to a man named "Louis." When he, the witness, arrested Behr-

man, he, the witness, accused him of receiving stolen property from Jacobson, but he denied it, and said that he did not know the defendants and had never seen them. At the station-house, Behrman, man said to Jacobson, "I have got nothing belonging to you." Jacobson said, "You've got \$95 of my money," and Behrman said, "Well, I gave you back about \$45 of it, and I have got \$50 more." At the police-court Behrman was discharged. Two days afterwards, Littauer was arrested, and he said that he saw Jacobson, "Louis" and Behrman in Behrman's saloon, and that the three just mentioned concluded a sale of the jewelry for \$30. Behrman gave "Louis" the money to pay for the property.

In

RE-CROSS-EXAMINATION

the witness testified that Behrman said to him, the witness, that Jacobson gave him the money to keep for him.

NATHAN FREEDMAN, being recalled, by The Court, testified that he did not give the defendants or either of

them \$10 to swear falsely that they stole the money and gave it to Behrman and Cohen. He, the witness, did not give them any money.

In

RE-DIRECT-EXAMINATION

the witness testified that he went to the Tombs with Mr. Henry of the firm of Levien & Henry. He, the witness, had known Behrman about 5 or 6 months. He had never quarrelled with Behrman, and has no spite against him. He, the witness, has been in business for himself in this City about 15 years. He, the witness, never said that he would "get even with the son-of-a-bitch", referring to Behrman. He, the witness, went with his lawyer to see Littauer in the Tombs, because Littauer sent word to him, the witness, that he would tell him where he could recover his property; that he, Littauer, would not "squeal" on Cohen, because Cohen promised to get him, Littauer, out on bail, but as he had not bailed him out, he, the defendant, would "squeal on him."

0120

21

MORRIS FREEDMAN testified that he works for his father at No. 22 & 24 Hester Street. In consequence of a postal-card, which he received, he, the witness, went to the Tombs, with his Lawyer, Mr Henry, to see Littauer, and find out what he had to say. Littauer and Jacobson occupied separate cells, about 10 feet apart, but within hearing distance of each other. The witness testified: "Morris Jacobson said, 'Hello! You got a postal-card?' and I said, 'Yes.' Jacobson knew that Littauer sent the postal-card. So Morris Jacobson said, 'Go over, and Henry Littauer wants to see you.' And Littauer says to me, 'Now, look here. What good will it do you if I will be arrested or sentenced for five or ten years? You ain't got your money back.' And I said, 'Why don't you tell what you know? You didn't want to 'squeal' in court.' He said, 'I will tell you the truth, if you don't go hard against me. Mr Cohen received \$95, and he allowed me through his window to commit the robbery, and the watch and chain was sold in his presence, in Behrman's presence, and he was afraid

0121

22

to buy it, and then he said, 'Louis, you buy the watch.' And he said, 'Well, I havent got the money.' And he said, 'Well, here is \$33.' And Cohen got \$90 from the other boy, and he said, 'Will you give me ahpackage of cigarettes?' And I said, 'I havent got but one.' And I gave it to him. And, so, then the other boy calls me back and he said, 'Will you go downstairs and get me 25 cents worth of cake?' And I said I didn't have the money. And Hessaid, 'I have,' and he pulled out a tobacco bag with change in it, and he gave a quarter, and he said, ' I have got plenty of money. I get it from people. They are giving the money. And I went out and got the cake and sent it upstairs to them." He, the witness, did not give them \$5.

(The Jury find the defendants guilty of Grand Larceny in the First Degree.)

////////////////////

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against
Morris Jacobson
and
Henry Littauer

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Morris Jacobson and Henry Littauer
 of the CRIME OF BURGLARY in the *first* degree, committed as follows:

The said *Morris Jacobson and Henry Littauer*, both —

late of the *13th* Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the
fifteenth day of *November* in the year of our Lord one
 thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three* in the *right* time of the same day, at the
 Ward, City and County aforesaid, the dwelling house of one *Nathan Freedman*

there situate, feloniously and burglariously did break into and enter, there being then and there a
 human being within the said dwelling house, with intent to commit some crime therein, to wit: the
 goods, chattels and personal property of the said *Nathan Freedman*

in the said dwelling house then and there being, then and there feloniously and burglariously to steal,
 take and carry away,

the said Morris Jacobson and Henry Littauer and each of them being then and there assisted by a confederate actually present, to wit: each by the other

against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment further accuse the said

Morris Jacobson and Henry Littaner
of the CRIME OF *Grand* LARCENY in the first degree committed as follows:

The said

Morris Jacobson and Henry Littaner, both
late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid,
at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, in the *night* time of the said day, with force and arms,

*the sum of five hundred
dollars in money, lawful money
of the United States of America, and
of the value of five hundred
dollars, and divers articles
of jewelry of a number and
description to the Grand Jury
aforesaid unknown, of the
value of two hundred dollars,*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

in the dwelling house of the said

Nathan Freedman
Nathan Freedman

there situate, then and there being found, from the dwelling house aforesaid, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

De Lancey Nicoll
District Attorney

0124

BOX:

544

FOLDER:

4947

DESCRIPTION:

Jahr, John

DATE:

12/20/93



4947

Witnesses:

Charles Warbach

V. J. Mello

Counsel

Filed

day of

1893

Pleads

THE PEOPLE

vs.

P

John Jahn

Assault in the First Degree, etc.
(Sections 217 and 218, Penal Code.)

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

B. Lockwood

Foreman.

*on M. of D. being
resp. desc'd on the
part of the
Sec Mr. Nicoll's opinion
within
Dec 26 1893*

Upon the statement of
Mr. Nicoll within saying
that he sees no objection
to allowing the complaint
in this case to be with-
drawn I respectfully
recommend that the de-
fendant be discharged on
his own recognizance

Part II Thomas J. Bradley
Dec 26/93. Deputy

New York General Sessions.

PEOPLE ON MY COMPLAINT,
VERSUS

As complainant in the above case, I beg to recommend the defendant to such leniency and clemency as the Court and District Attorney may see fit to show; but I expressly assert that my reasons for so doing are not controlled by any advantage to myself.

I am actuated in this recommendation by the fact that this defendant is the sole support of his family, and the further fact that said assault was committed during an altercation, in which I was an aggressor

Chas. Marlach

District Attorney's Office,
City & County of
New York.

Prope

John Gahr

In Reply:

I have no
objection to letting
the complainant
withdraw or de-
termining this case
O'Brien

0128

Department of Public Charities and Correction.

BELLEVUE HOSPITAL,
WARDEN'S OFFICE,

WM. B. O'ROURKE,
Warden.

New York, Dec 11.

1893.

This is to certify that
Charles Marbach is at
present confined in the
wards of this Hospital
is improving, but not as
yet able to leave Hospital.

James
House Surgeon.

0 129

1852

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

POLICE COURT

DISTRICT.

of No. 141 Street, aged 31 years,
occupation Wigman being duly sworn, deposes and says
that on the 9 day of Dec 1893
at the City of New York, in the County of New York,

Sworn to before me, this

of

1893

day

Police Justice.

The Jhr (now here)
Wabber, Capt. Y. Herried
Chas. Warbach who is
now in Hospital and
repeatedly asks that said
Jhr be detained to
await the result of
said assault

Henry Lang,

0130

207 3
Police Court, District.

THE PEOPLE, Etc.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

AFFIDAVIT.

John John

Dated Dec 11 1893

Magistrate.

Officer.

Witness,

Disposition,

1000 to award sum of
of injuria.

0131

267 3
Police Court, District.

THE PEOPLE, Etc.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.
John Fisher

AFFIDAVIT.

Dated *Dec 11* 189*3*

[Signature] Magistrate.

[Signature] Officer.

Witness,

Disposition,

*1000 to swear peace
of injuria*

0132

Police Court— District.

1931

City and County } ss.:
of New York, }

of No. 546-17th Street, aged 23 years,
 occupation Reader being duly sworn,
 deposes and says, that on the 9 day of December 1899 at the City of New
 York, in the County of New York,

he was violently and feloniously ASSAULTED and BEATEN by John Lahr
who cut and stabbed de-
ponent on the stomach
with the blade of a knife
which he then held in his
hand and said assault
was committed

with the felonious intent to take the life of deponent, or to do him grievous bodily harm; and without
 any justification on the part of the said assailant.

Wherefore this deponent prays that the said assailant may be apprehended and bound to answer
 for the above assault, etc., and be dealt with according to law.

Sworn to before me, this 15 day
 of December 1899,

Chas. Marbach

John Ryan Police Justice.

0133

Sec. 198-200

CITY AND COUNTY
OF NEW YORK ss.

District Police Court.

John Jahn being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *21 years old John Jahn.*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *21 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *New York*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *607 - E - 12th St. / 1 month*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Driver*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. *I am not guilty*

John Jahn

Taken before me this

day of

189

Police Justice.

0134

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named.....

Refundans
guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *200* Hundred Dollars,..... and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated *Dec 10* 189 *E. J. Ryan* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named.....
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated..... 189 Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named.....
..... guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order h to be discharged.

Dated..... 189..... Police Justice.

0135

Police Court---

1339 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Chas. Marbach
John J.ahr

Officer
John J.ahr

BAILED,

No. 1, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 2, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 3, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 4, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

Dated *Dec 13* 189

Ryan Magistrate.

Rang Officer.

14 Precinct.


Witnesses _____

No. _____ Street.

No. _____ Street.

No. _____ Street.

to answer



1000
[Signature]
[Signature]

Court of General Sessions of the Peace
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

John Jahr

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

John Jahr
of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE FIRST DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

John Jahr
late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *ninth*
day of *December* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and
ninety-*three*, with force and arms, at the City and County aforesaid, in and upon
the body of one *Charles Marbach* in the peace of the said People
then and there being, feloniously did make an assault, and *him* the said
Charles Marbach with a certain *knife*

which the said *John Jahr*
in *his* right hand then and there had and held, the same being a deadly and
dangerous weapon, then and there wilfully and feloniously did strike, beat, cut, stab and
wound,

with intent *him* the said *Charles Marbach*
thereby then and there feloniously and wilfully to kill, against the form of the statute in
such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and
their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said

John Jahr
of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

John Jahr
late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: On the day and in the year aforesaid,
at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and upon the body of the said
Charles Marbach in the peace of the said
People then and there being, feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully make another assault,
and *him* the said *Charles Marbach*
with a certain *knife*

which the said *John Jahr*
in *his* right hand then and there had and held, the same being a weapon and
an instrument likely to produce grievous bodily harm, then and there feloniously did wilfully
and wrongfully strike, beat, cut, stab and wound, against the form of the statute in such case
made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their
dignity.

THIRD COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said

John Jahn
of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

John Jahn
late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: On the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and upon the said *Charles Marbach* in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully make another assault, and *him* the said with a certain *knife* *Charles Marbach*

which *he* the said *John Jahn*

in *his* right hand then and there had and held, in and upon the *stomach* of *him* the said *Charles Marbach*

then and there feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully strike, beat, stab, cut, ~~bruise~~ and wound, and did then and there and by the means aforesaid, feloniously, wilfully and wrongfully inflict grievous bodily harm upon the said *Charles Marbach*

against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

0138

BOX:

544

FOLDER:

4947

DESCRIPTION:

James, Frank

DATE:

12/06/93



4947

0139

Witnesses:

Ellen L. Every
John N. O'Neill
Central Park

19

Counsel,

Filed

day of

1893

Pleads,

23
137260

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Frank James

Grand Larceny, First Degree.
From the Person.
[Sections 528, 530, — Penal Code.]

Dec 13/93
OF LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

Pen 6 months

A TRUE BILL.

B. Lockwood

Foreman.

0140

1912

Police Court—4 District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County } ss.
of New York,

of No. 150 Columbus Avenue Street, aged 38 years.

occupation Keep House being duly sworn,

deposes and says, that on the 27 day of March 1892 at the City of New

York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession

of deponent, in Day time, the following property, viz:

A pocketbook containing good and
lawful money to the amount of One
Dollar

the property of Deponent

and that this deponent
has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen
and carried away by Frank James (or Mrs.)

from the fact that deponent
was carrying said pocketbook in
her left hand and walking in
Columbus Avenue between 62nd and 63rd Street
when the said defendant seized
hold of said pocketbook and ran
away. Deponent ran after said defendant
and shouted stop thief. Deponent is refused
by Officer Nicholas Quill of the Central
Park Police that he arrests the said
defendant while in the act of running
at 60th Street and 8th Avenue. Deponent identifies
the said defendant as the person who did
take said and carry away property from the
possession of deponent E. J. Gray

Sworn to before me, this
day of March 1892

Police Justice

0141

Sec. 193-200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Frank James being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Frank James*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *33 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *Canada*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *137 Pr. 60 Street 2 weeks*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Laborer*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. *I am sorry*

Frank James.

Taken before me this

day of

1892

Police Justice.

0142

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Agmon

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Ten Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.
Dated Nov 28 1893 Thos. J. Brady Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named _____
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____
guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order he to be discharged.

Dated _____ 189 _____ Police Justice

0143

BAILED.

No. 1, by _____

Residence _____ Street

No. 2, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 3, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 4, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

Police Court---

1271
District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Ellen L. Perry
137 Columbia St.
Frank James

1 _____
2 _____
3 _____
4 _____

Dated _____ 189

Magistrate.

Officer.

Deputy.


Witnesses *Call Officer*

No. _____ Street.

No. _____ Street.

No. _____ Street.

\$ *1000* to answer *W.*



91 Perry

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Frank James

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Frank James
of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY in the *first* degree, committed as follows:
The said *Frank James*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *twenty-seventh* day of *November*, in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three*, in the *night*-time of the said day, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

the sum of one dollar
in money, lawful money of the
United States of America, and
of the value of one dollar, and
one pocketbook of the value of
one dollar

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one
on the person of the said

Ellen L. Every
Ellen L. Every
then and there being found, from the person of the said *Ellen L. Every*
then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in
such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York
and their dignity.

Dehancey Nicoll
District Attorney

0145

BOX:

544

FOLDER:

4947

DESCRIPTION:

Jardine, Robert

DATE:

12/08/93



4947

0146

Witnesses:

John. M. Peck
officer Thomas Kelly
9th Precinct

573

Counsel,

Filed

day of

1893

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Robert Jardine

~~Part I. Dec. 18th 93~~

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

Part I. Dec. 18th 93 Jones

A TRUE BILL.

By Joseph Wood
Dec. 18th 93
Deputy Clerk
who has been

Grand Larceny, Second Degree.
From the Person.
[Sections 528, 531, Penal Code.]

I cannot find
in this case
sufficient testimony
to justify putting
the Defendant to trial.
The Indictment
of the Defendant is
incomplete. I must
therefore recommend
his discharge upon
his own recognizance.
Wm. M. Peck
Dec. 18th 93

0147

Police Court

District

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County }
of New York, } ss:of No. 286 West Houston Street, aged 25 years,
occupation Performer being duly sworn,deposes and says, that on the 30 day of November 1895 at the City of
New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away
from the possession of deponent, in the presence of the following property, viz:One gold watch and chain
of the value of fifty-four
dollars
(\$54.00)

the property of

Deponent

and that this deponent

has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously
taken, stolen and carried away by Robert Jordan (nowhere)for the following reasons: to wit
That Deponent saw the defendant
grab and steal the said
property from the lower left
hand pocket of a vest that
Deponent was then and there
wearing upon his person.John M. Peck

Sworn to before me this

day

of

1895

William J. Peck
Police Justice.

0148

Sec. 198-200

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss.

Robert Jordan being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer.

I am not guilty
Robt. Jordan

Taken before me this
day of *April* 189*9*
Wm. J. [Signature]

Police Justice.

0149

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of ten Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated Dec 1 18 1891 Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named _____
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated _____ 18 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____
guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order he to be discharged.

Dated _____ 18 _____ Police Justice.

0150

\$40.00 bail
by adj. to here. at 2 P.M.

BAILED,

No. 1, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 2, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 3, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 4, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

Police Court---2x--- District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

John M. Peck
Robert J. Gardner
188 W. Houston

1

2

3

4

Dated

Dec 1
1893

1893

Magistrate.

Officer.

Precinct.

Witnesses

No.

Street.

No.


Street.

No.

Street.

\$

to answer



Can
Y
9th

Court of General Sessions of the Peace
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Robert Jardine

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Robert Jardine
of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY in the *second* degree, committed as follows:
The said *Robert Jardine*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *thirtieth*
day of *November*, in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred and
ninety-*three*, in the *day* - time of the said day, at the City and County aforesaid,
with force and arms,

*one watch of the
value of forty dollars and
one chain of the value of
fifteen dollars*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one *John M. Peck*
on the person of the said *John M. Peck*
then and there being found, from the person of the said *John M. Peck*
then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in
such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York
and their dignity.

De Lancey McCall,
District Attorney

0152

BOX:

544

FOLDER:

4947

DESCRIPTION:

Jefferson, Joseph

DATE:

12/22/93



4947

0153

Bail fixed at one thousand
(\$1000) dollars

64

Witnesses:

Henry Hilderbrand
Michael F. Blake
Patrick J. Scully
Henry Washington
William S. Spring
William H. Knibbs

Feb. 14, 1894
Same recommendation as in
case of People v. John D. Hall
for same reasons

J. H. Nicoll
Dist. Atty.

27 2 1404
COURT OF OYER AND TERMINER.

Counsel,

Filed 22nd day of Dec 1893

Pleads,

Not Guilty (27)

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Joseph Jefferson

15th Downing St.

PERJURY.
[Section 96, Penal Code, and Chapter 680, Laws of
1892, section 104.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney
P. H. 3, Dec 26/93
ar. 12.11.93

A True Bill.

R. J. Cross Foreman.
Feb. 14, 1894
Indictment dismissed
JCH

Court of Oyer and Terminer

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Joseph Jefferson

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this Indictment accuse *Joseph Jefferson* —

of the crime of Perjury, committed as follows :

Heretofore, to wit: on Tuesday, the seventh day of November, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-three (the same being the Tuesday next succeeding the first Monday of the said month of November), there was held a general election throughout the State of New York and in and throughout the said City and County of New York ;

And on the day and in the year aforesaid, and at the said election, the said

Joseph Jefferson late of the City and County, being a resident of the *Twentieth* Election District of the *County of New York* Assembly District of the said City and County, and a voter entitled to vote at the said election in the said election district, for the purpose of voting at the said election, did personally present himself at the duly designated polling place of the said election in and for the said election district, the polls of the said election in and for the said election district being then open, and to and before *Thomas* *W. Mahan, John A. Dunning and Charles J. Rague,*

then and there being the Inspectors of Election of the said election district, at the said election, at a meeting of the said Inspectors of Election then being duly held in the said polling place for the purposes of the said election.

And the said *Joseph Jefferson* did then and there desire and require of the said Inspectors of Election that he might select a person for the purpose of assisting him to receive and prepare his ballots, and who should be allowed to pass within the guard rail of the said polling place and receive his official ballots, and enter one of the voting booths of the said polling place, with him, and there assist him in preparing his ballot.

And thereupon he, the said *Joseph Jefferson* was then and there in due form of law sworn, and did take his corporal oath, by and before the said Inspectors of Election, touching his right to select such person to assist him in receiving and preparing his ballots as aforesaid, they, the said Inspectors of Election, then and there having full and competent power and authority to administer the said oath to the said *Joseph Jefferson* in that behalf.

And the said Joseph Jefferson being
so sworn as aforesaid, upon his oath aforesaid, before the said Inspectors of Election, then and there
feloniously, wilfully, knowingly and corruptly, did falsely swear, declare and say, that by reason of

defective eyesight
he, the said Joseph Jefferson was then
and there unable to receive or prepare his ballots without assistance.

Whereas, in truth and in fact he, the said Joseph Jefferson was not
by reason of defective eyesight

then and there unable to receive or prepare his ballots without assistance, as he then and there
well knew.

And so the Grand Jury aforesaid do say that the said Joseph Jefferson
in manner and form aforesaid, feloniously, wilfully, knowingly, corruptly and falsely, did commit
wilful and corrupt perjury; against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and
against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

0 156

BOX:

544

FOLDER:

4947

DESCRIPTION:

Johnson, Charles

DATE:

12/19/93



4947

0157

Witnesses:

officer John Bowling
34th Precinct

166
ordered
Counsel,

Filed

day of

1893

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Charles Johnson
Dec 19/93
Plead Guilty

Grand Larceny, Second Degree.
[Sections 528, 531, 532 Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

S.P. 2 years.

A TRUE BILL.

B. Lockwood

Foreman.

Police Court

6

District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County } ss:
of New York,

Augustus P. Rockwell

of No. Bronxville Westchester Co NY Street, aged 53 years,
occupation Merchant being duly sworn,

deposes and says, that on the 11th day of December 1893 at the City of New York, in the County of New York was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in the night time, the following property, viz:

One raccoon robe, one cap
robe, and one pair of heavy
horse blankets, together of
the value of thirty five dollars.

the property of deponent.

and that this deponent

has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken,
and thereafter brought into the County of New York
stolen and carried away by

Charles Johnson (now here)
from the fact that at about the
hour of 7.30 o'clock A.M. December
12. 1893. deponent missed the aforesaid
property from deponent's barn in
Bronxville Westchester Co New York.
deponent is informed by Officer
John Dowling of the 134th Precinct
Police that at the hour of 4.50
o'clock A.M. December 12th 1893. he
arrested this defendant in Fordham
Square New York City with the
aforesaid property in his possession.
deponent further says that he identifies

Subscribed before me this

189

Police Justice.

the property found in this defendant's possession by the Officer as his and that he heard the defendant admit and confess in open Court. That he did steal said property from defendant's farm in Bronxville Westchester Co. N.Y. Wherefore defendant charges the said defendant with feloniously taking stealing and carrying away said property from Bronxville Westchester Co. and thereafter bringing the same into the County of New York

Sworn to before me } Augustus P. Rockwell
this 13th day of Dec 1893

G. E. Symms Jr
Police Justice

0160

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

John Dowling
aged _____ years, occupation Police Officer of No.

84th Precinct Police Street, being duly sworn deposes and
says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Augustus P. Hochman
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponents' own
knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 17
day of June 1890, John Dowling

C. E. Simmons
Police Justice.

0161

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court.

1882

City and County of New York, ss:

Charles Johnson being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to a charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him; if he see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Charles Johnson

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

28 years old.

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

New Scotia

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

Bronxville N.Y. - 3 mos

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Teacher

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer.

*I am guilty**Charles Johnson*

Taken before me this

day of

Dec 13

1893

Police Justice.

0162

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defen Jank guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Fifteen Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.
Dated, Dec 13th 1893 Edmunds Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named _____
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____
guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

0163

166
Police Court---

1329
District---

THE PEOPLE
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Augustus P. Rockwell
Bronxville, Westchester Co.
vs.
Charles Johnson

2
3
4

Answer
Carving

Dated, Dec 13 1893

Simon Magistrate.

John Dowling Officer.
34 Precinct.

Witnesses


Off John Dowling
No. 34 Court Street.

Chas. Adams
to Mr Dutcher
Bronxville, N.Y. Street.

Chas W. Dutcher
Bronxville, N.Y. Street.

No.

\$ 1500. to answer



BAILED,

No. 1, by

Residence Street.

No. 2, by

Residence Street.

No. 3, by

Residence Street.

No. 4, by

Residence Street.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Charles Johnson

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Charles Johnson
of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE *second* DEGREE, committed
as follows:

The said

Charles Johnson

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *eleventh*
day of *December*, in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred and
ninety-*three*, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

*two robes of the value of
fifteen dollars each, and
two blankets of the value
of five dollars each*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one *Augustus P. Rockwell*

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against
the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People
of the State of New York and their dignity.

De Lancey Nicoll
District Attorney

0 165

BOX:

544

FOLDER:

4947

DESCRIPTION:

Johnston, Harry

DATE:

12/05/93



4947

0166

Witnesses:

Henry B. Gregory
William Higgins

Counsel,

Filed

day of

1893

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Harry Johnston

Grand Larceny, Second Degree.
[Sections 528, 531, — Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

H. Lockwood

Foreman.

0167

Police Court

2

District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County }
of New York, } ss:

Nearry B. Gregory Jr.
of No. *108 Montague St, Brooklyn*, aged *28* years,
occupation *Clerk* being duly sworn,

deposes and says, that on the *24th* day of *November* 189*3* at the City of
New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away
from the possession of deponent, in the *day* time, the following property, viz:

*Seventy two admission tickets and
thirty four tickets entitling the holders
thereof to admission on November
30th 1893, to Manhattan Field in said City
to witness an exhibition*

*All of which tickets are of the
face value of Two Hundred and thirty
four dollars*

the property of *in deponent's care and charge*

and that this deponent
has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloni-

ously taken, stolen and carried away by *Harry Johnston (now*

here) for the reasons that on said

day the defendant *the* presented an

order for said tickets at the box

office of the Executive Committee of

the Yale-Princeton Foot Ball Game

at *48 West 28th Street*

of which deponent is the general

clerk. That deponent believing that

the defendant was in the lawful pos-

session of said order and was entitled

to receive the tickets called for therein

caused the ~~same~~ tickets to be delivered to

the defendant. Deponent is informed

by William Higgins (now *here*) that on

said day he was sent with said

order by one Halsey, to said office to receive said tickets and deliver them to him Halsey. That at the doorway Higgins met the defendant who enquired of his business and took said order from him and instructed Higgins to remain in the hallway. He left and shortly returned and stated to Higgins that the tickets were not then ready for delivery. Deponent is informed by Denis Grady (now here, a detective) that he arrested the defendant and found a number of admission tickets upon the defendant's person.

Sworn to before me }
 this 25th November, 1893 } Henry B. Gregory
 J. H. M. }
 Police Justice

0169

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

1877

William Higgins
aged 15 years, occupation Office boy of No. B38 E. 34th Street, being duly sworn, deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of *Henry B. Gregory Jr.*
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own
knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 5th } *William F. Higgins*
day of November 1893 }

[Signature]
Police Justice.

0170

1877

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Dennis Grady
aged 30 years, occupation Detective Sergeant of No. 300 Mulberry Street, being duly sworn, deposes and
says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Harry B. Gregory
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own
knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 25 day of November 1893 } Dennis Grady

Police Justice.

0171

Sec. 198-200.

2 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Harry Johnston being duly examined before the under-
signed according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is h's right to
make a statement in relation to the charge against h's; that the statement is designed to
enable h's if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h's
that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h's waiver cannot be used
against h's on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Harry Johnston*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *21 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *Illinois*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *No home*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Waiter*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the
testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your
exculpation?

Answer. *I am not guilty*

H. Johnston.

Taken before me this *25*
day of *November* 189*5*

Police Justice.

[Signature]

0172

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named.....

defendant

~~guilty thereof~~ I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *Five* Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he ~~give such bail~~

Dated *Nov 25* 18*93* *[Signature]* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named.....
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated.....18..... Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named.....
..... guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order h to be discharged.

Dated.....18..... Police Justice.

0173

BAILED,

No. 1, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 2, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 3, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 4, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

Police Court---

2

District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Henry B. Gregory
1258
Harry Johnston

2

3

4

Dated

Nov 25

1893

Hogan Magistrate.

Grady Officer.

C. C. Precinct.

Witnesses

Officer

No.

Street.

No.

Street.

No.


Street.

\$

1000

to answer

FINE



Cover

0174

W. L. Walden, Proprietor

Hotel St. Marc,

Fifth Ave. and 39th St.

New York, Dec 12th 1893

Mrs. John A. Foster

Dear Madam

Harry Johnson was
in my employ as waiter
last winter also a short
time last summer. to my
knowledge he never stole
anything from me

Respectfully yours

W. L. Walden

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Harry Johnston

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

— Harry Johnston —

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE *second* DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

Harry Johnston,

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *twentieth* day of *November*, in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three*, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

seizing two printed tickets, each entitling the holder thereof to admission to certain grounds there, called Manhattan Field, and the purpose of entering and running the puffers or a certain foot ball game, thereafter, to wit: on the 30th day of November 1893, to be played at and upon said grounds, and three other printed tickets, called Box Tickets, entitling the holder thereof to admission to the said grounds for the same purpose, of the value or twenty dollars each, and seventy five pieces of paper of the value of one cent each piece,

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one *Samuel B. Freeman*

the owner.

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

William H. Miller
District Attorney