

0000

BOX:

544

FOLDER:

4947

DESCRIPTION:

Jaccoloni, Vincent

DATE:

12/22/93



4947

0009

302 *F. D. L. of* 1413
Trudy

Counsel,

Filed, *22* day of *Dec* 189*3*

Pleads, *not guilty* 26

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Vincent Jaccoloni

SODOMY.

[Sec. 803, Penal Code, as amended by Chapter 920, Laws of 1892.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

By
John
Cast I
Jan 4

A TRUE BILL.

B. Goodwood
Jan 8 94 Foreman.

Tried & convicted.

177
P. M. J.
P. 3 - *16/94*

Witnesses:

Michael J. Sweeney
Antonia Passi

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE
IN AND FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE

VS.

BRIEF FOR THE PEOPLE.

VINCENT JACCOLINI.

STATEMENT OF CASE:

The Defendant is indicted for Sodomy, in that on December 12, 1893, in Central Park at 104th Street, while one George P. Harvey, aged 14 years, was sitting on a bench, the Defendant, standing in front of him, opened his pants, took out his penis, placed it in the mouth of the said George P. Harvey, and, thus permitting the latter to then and there have carnal knowledge of the Defendant, committed the crime for which he stands indicted.

WITNESSES:

George P. Harvey,
Mrs. Mattie Harvey,
Michael J. Sweeney.

GEORGE P. HARVEY, aged 14 years, of 176 East 81st Street, will testify: That on December 12th, while playing in Central Park he met the Defendant, whom he had previously seen around the same place some three weeks before; that the Defendant asked him to sit down; that Witness did so; that the Defendant then took out his penis, unbuttoned the Witness' pants and took out the Witness' penis; and that each played with the other's penis for some five minutes, when the Defendant asked Witness to take his (Defendant's) in his mouth. That the Witness did as requested but that he only kept the Defendant's penis in his mouth a few moments. WILL FURTHER TESTIFY: That the Defendant wanted to take his (Witness') penis in his (Defendant's) mouth, but that Witness refused.

ALSO,- That when Witness first met Defendant in the Park about three weeks before the Defendant unbuttoned Witness' pants and that they then each felt of the other's privates; and that Witness masturbated the Defendant until the semen flowed.

AND,- That the Witness never did anything of this kind before he met Defendant.

MRS. MATTIE HARVEY, of 176 East 81st Street, will testify: That the Complaining Witness, her son, is fourteen years of age.

MICHAEL J. SWEENEY, an Officer of the Central Park Police, will testify: That on December 12, 1893, he found the Defendant and the Complaining Witness in the Park under the bridge,- the Defendant's penis being in the boy's mouth. Will also testify to arresting both the Defendant and the Complaining Witness.

00 11

77558

N. Y. GENERAL SESSIONS

THE PEOPLE

AGAINST

VINCENT JACCOLINI.

PENAL CODE, §

BRIEF FOR THE PEOPLE.

Copy.

1/8/93

The People
vs.
Vincent Jacoloni

Court of General Sessions - Part I
 Before Judge Fitzgerald. January 8, 1944
 Jointly indicted with George P. Harvey for Sedition
 Michael J. Sweeney, sworn and examined
 What department are you attached to, officer?
 Policeman in the Central Park. I am detailed
 in citizen's clothes as a detective in the
 Central Park to find out and catch any
 body committing crime. Do you remember
 on the afternoon of the 12th of December
 about half past four seeing this defendant?
 Yes. I first met him in the Ramble at 79
 th street between east and west drive. I was
 with officer Higgins and he said something
 to me. This man was pointed out to me
 by Higgins; he was not alone; the boy
 George P. Harvey was with him. I followed
 him. I walked out to the west drive,
 which they did. A stage came along. I
 jumped on the stage and they came up
 again. I jumped on another stage and
 got off at Ninety Second street and they
 continued on up. I went out on Eighth
 Avenue and they came out to the bridge
 and I after them. We call the bridge
 the arches underneath the East drive;
 104 th street. This defendant went under
 it first and Harvey followed. You watched

them did you and they got under?
 Yes, there was a bench underneath
 the bridge; they sat down on that
 bench; they reset the bench inside,
 they sat down a while, and one took
 the other's privates in his hand - it
 seemed to me, I could not understand
 what they were doing. There was a
 waterfall stopped me from hearing what
 was said. They played with one another
 and they were talking. The first thing
 I knew Jacolini put the boy's head
 over. He took the boy on his back
 and pulled him over, and the boy
 put "it" in his mouth with his
 hand. I went then to run around.
 I could not jump over this waterfall.
 By the Court Did you see him put his penus
 in his mouth? Yes.

Vincent Jacolini, sworn and examined
 in his own behalf testified:
 By Counsel Tell the jury what that boy was doing
 to you up in the Park and what
 you did to the boy? I was sitting down
 and then this boy came along to
 me and I was drunk at that time.
 I had been to work at 104th street

and Smith Avenue. That day the boiler machinery did not run. The foreman said there would be no work today. Then I went up and had a couple of glasses of whiskey and I was a little drunk about three or four o'clock. I dont know the time exactly. Then I went in the Park and sat down. This boy came along and he sat down. He touched my pants. If I dont say right, let God take my head. Then he commenced to touch my pants. I says, "What do you want to do? Go on, the police will get us arrested in this place. He says, "No police here. I was drunk. I gave him a slap on his face and I let him go. He says, "Nobody here." Then I was lying down; he touched me again. I says, "Dont you want to go?" He says, "That is all right, I dont care what you do." He pulled at my buttons and pulled out my thing and put it in his mouth. If I dont say right, may God kill me." "Why didnt you slap him in the face and drive him away? I was drunk at that time. I was full. Did two glasses of whiskey make you

so drunk that you could not drive that boy away? You can ask the owner of the shop. You are not a married man? No. The officer says you walked along pretty well, you did not stagger. He came along and he says, "Come here." I says, "What do you want?" He says, "you are arrested." I said, "all right." I dont remember what I told him. You tell us that you were so drunk that you could not prevent that boy unbuttoning your trousers and taking out your privates and putting it into his mouth; is that the truth that you were so drunk you could not prevent him? I was drunk that time. I did not know what that boy did. If I did I would give him a good slap on his face.

Officer Sweeney recalled by Counsel
 What was the condition of this man when you arrested him? Sober. Did he have any appearance of being drunk at all? No.

The jury rendered a verdict of guilty. The defendant was remanded for sentence.

00 16

Testimony in the
case of
Vincent Jacoloni
pled Dec

10 1893

0017

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY
NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Vincent Gecodoni

THE GRAND JURY OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, by this

indictment accuse Vincent Gecodoni

of the CRIME OF SODOMY, committed as follows:

The said Vincent Gecodoni,

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the

Twenty day of December, in the year of our Lord one thousand
eight hundred and ninety- Three, at the City and County aforesaid,

in and upon one George B. Stoney

a — male person, then and there being, feloniously did make an assault, and

him, the said George B. Stoney then

and there feloniously did carnally know by the mouth of against

the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of

the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

(2049)

George B. Stoney
Attorney

00 18

BOX:

544

FOLDER:

4947

DESCRIPTION:

Jackson, Julius

DATE:

12/15/93



4947

00 19

BOX:

544

FOLDER:

4947

DESCRIPTION:

Jackson, Moritz

DATE:

12/15/93



4947

0020

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Bail fixed at \$1500 R.P.C.

Witnesses:

Joseph Steiner
Bernard Raphael

After investigation this case and consulting with counsel for com-plainants (who agree with me in my conclusions) I am of the opinion that a conviction could not be had for the reason that the two main witnesses in the case are dead. I therefore recommend the discharge of the de-fendants on their own recognizance.

Robertson Howe
30th 1898. D.A.D.

16th Dec 1893
W. L. ...

Counsel,

Filed

day of

1893

Pleaded

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Julius Jackson
and
Moritz Jackson

Handwritten notes in right margin: "remanded by ..."

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

B. Lockwood

Part 2. Oct. 5th 1898
Foreman.
on motion of Dist. atty
defendants discharged on
their own recognizance

Handwritten initials and signature at bottom right.

0021

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Bail fixed at \$1500 R.P.C.

Witnesses:

Joseph Steiner
Bernard Raphael

After investigation this case, with consulting with counsel for complainants (who agree with me in my conclusions) I am of the opinion that a conviction could not be had for the reason that the two main witnesses in the case are dead. I therefore recommend the discharge of the defendants on their own recognizance.
Robertson, Attorney
300 1/2 1898.

16th Dec 1893
Counsel, J. Leroy E. 104
Filed 15 day of Dec 1893
Pleads Guilty 20

ENTERED
T. J. W.

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Julius Jackson
and Moritz Jackson

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

M. Lockwood

Foreman.
Part 2. Oct. 5th 1898
on motion of dit. atty
defendants discharged on
their own recognizance
R.P.C.

0022

Police Court, District.

(1858)

City and County }
of New York, } ss.

of No. 111 Mercer

Street, aged 41 years,

occupation Merchant being duly sworn, deposes and says,

that on the 4th 12th day of September 1893 at the City of New York, in the County of New York

Joseph H. Stuer

Julius Jackson and Moritz Jackson
 did with intent to defraud a
 creditor (this deponent) and his firm
 and to prevent the property of
 said Moritz and Julius Jackson from
 being made liable for the pay-
 ment of their debts, or levied upon
 by an execution or warrant of
 attachment, and remove the
 property of said Julius & Moritz Jack-
 son and did secretly assign and
 dispose of the stock and accounts
 of the business carried on by said
 Julius and Moritz Jackson at the
 premises 27 Mercer Street between
 the aforesaid days, for the reasons
 following to wit: That between
 the aforesaid days said defendants
 were indebted to this deponent
 and his firm in the sum of
 \$2375⁹⁵ the dollars for merchan-
 dise, and that the said account
 was past due, and that demands
 for the payment of said money
 were frequently made by this
 deponent, and repeated promises
 made to deponent by defendants
 to pay said money, that on a
 final demand being made
 of defendants by deponent said
 defendants refused to pay the
 same.

That on the 9th day of
 September 1893 deponent and

his firm brought an action in
 the Superior Court of the City of
 New York against the said defen-
 dants for the recovery of the
 said sum of \$2375⁹⁷/₁₀₀ dollars
 which was justly due and
 owing to said firm from said
 defendants, and in said
 action a warrant of attachment
 was duly granted by Hon David
 McAdam one of the judges of
 the said Superior Court of the
 said City of New York (a copy which
 is hereto attached ^{and numbered} Ex A.
 That on the afternoon of the 9th
 day of September 1893 this deponent
 went with the said warrant
 of attachment in his possession
 to the place of business of said
 defendants at 27th Street
 and stated to defendants that
 he had in his possession (deponent)
 a warrant of attachment against
 the property of said defendants
 for the sum of \$2375⁹⁷/₁₀₀ dollars
 paid thereupon demanded pay-
 ment of said sum of money.
 Whereupon said defendants
 told deponent they did not
 have the money and could
 not pay him then, an account
 of the dullness of times, but
 that said deponent knew that
 they defendants had in their store
 over Ten (\$10,000) thousand dollars
 worth of goods, and had due
 them (defendants) upwards of
 six thousand dollars from
 parties whom they had sold

merchandise to, Thereupon
defendants stated to deponent
that he (deponent) had seen in
the place of business of said
defendants merchandise
amounting to over Ten thousand
and dollars, and that on the
9th day of September 1893. Said
defendants had stated to the
deponent that the said mer-
chandise was still there, and
deponent saw said merchan-
dise still in the said premises
on said 9th day of September
1893; and said defendants
also stated to deponent that
they defendants had given to
deponent about the 9th day
of September a detailed list
of the merchandise then in
their store, (which list is hereto
annexed and marked C. B.)
Thereupon deponent filed the

said defendants that he would
cause the warrant of attachment
to be levied upon their property
on Monday September 11th 1893.
at 9 o'clock am whereupon said
defendants told this deponent
there would be no necessity
of executing the warrant, because
no creditor of theirs was
pursuing them, and that they
defendants would not depose of or trans-
fer any of their property or
accounts, (all of which this
deponent had a list) to any
person, that said defendants
agreed to go the following day
which was Sunday September
10th 1893. and meet this deponent
at the residence of his depo-
nent's attorney at 40 East 64th
Street this city, and would
then ^{and} then assure this depo-
nent of their honesty and good

4

intention they had in paying said money, and to secure the payment of said claim, That on the said day said defendants called at the residence of deponents attorney at 40 E 64th Street, and at said time and place said warrant of attachment was exhibited to the said defendants by deponents counsel, and said defendants requested and begged deponent not to execute said warrant of attachment on Monday or Tuesday Sept 11-12th 1893 well observed by them as other day Jews as a religious festival "New years day" and that they strictly adhered to those days, That on Wednesday September 13th 1893, immediately thereafter they defendants moved secure the said claim

and in the meantime nothing
 whatever would be done to
 impair or prejudice the claim
 of deponents for in ^{and} so it would
 be unnecessary to execute the
 warrant of attachment, and
 that on Wednesday September
 13th 1893 the defendants would
 call at the office of deponents
 attorney ^{and} make the necessary
 arrangements, that on wednes-
 day Sept 13th defendants failed
 to call on deponent, or on his
 attorney, and said attachment
 was then issued to the Sheriff
 of the city ^{and} county of New York
 with instructions to execute
 the same

Deponent further
 says he is informed by James
 J. Galhoun a deputy of the
 said Sheriff of the city and
 county of New York that on

6

On the 13th day of September 1893
he went to the place of busi-
ness of said defendants at 27
Mercer Street to execute the
said warrant of attachment
by levying upon the property
of said defendants and found
in the said premises goods
only of the amount of (\$200) dollars
and otherwise the said store
was empty, that thereafter
he caused the safe in the
said premises to be blown
open and found the same empty.

Deponent further says
he is informed by William
Bright of 512 West 39th Street
that he is a coroner, and
that on the 11th day of September
at the request of the said defen-
dants he removed from their
premises 27 Mercer Street two (2)
truck loads of merchandise

and took them away and left them in Maiden Lane on the sidewalk ^{and} thereupon said Julius Jackson procured another truck ^{said wagon} ~~and caused them to be taken~~ ^{reaped the six cases} on said truck, ^{and} ~~caused them to be taken~~ ^{and} ~~away from these~~ ^{two going 2 trucks and 3 cases} ~~placed in each truck~~ ^{and that said Jackson} ~~paid Depoent \$1.00 for the same~~ ^{paid Depoent further says} he is informed by Bernhard Raphael of 26 West 27th Street that on Monday September 11th 1893 he saw defendant Julius Jackson packing ^{goods in} a number of cases in the premises 37 Mercer Street, and on the morning of the ~~12th~~ ^{13th} day of September ¹⁸⁹³ he saw a number of cases taken away from the premises of said defendant by a truckman.

Depoent further says that on the 9th day of September ¹⁸⁹³ after the warrant of attachment had been issued

8

and granted ^{and} after the repeated promises so made to deponent by defendants to pay and to secure deponent ^{and} his firm for the full amount of said claim ^{and} that after agreeing not to dispose of any of their goods or accounts to any person did on the 9th day of September 1893. assign and transfer to Woolf ^{and} Reesing a large amount of accounts to wit about six thousand dollars, ^{and} transferred to Philip Levy a check for \$13,740⁰⁴ dollars after their repeated promises that nothing should be done to impair or prejudice ^{deponent's} ~~defendants~~ rights.

Deponent therefore charges said defendants with removing, secreting, assigning and disposing of their

9

Property with intent to Cheat
And depound this deponent in
violation of Section 587 of the
Penal Code of the State of
New York

Jos. Steiner

Sworn to before me
this 28th day of September 1893

W. W. W. W. W.
or

Deputy Justice

0032

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

James J. Calhoun
aged _____ years, occupation *Deputy Sheriff* of No. _____
County Court House Street, being duly sworn, deposes and
says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of *Joseph Steiner*
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own
knowledge.

Sworn to before me this, *29*
day of *September* 189*3* }

Police Justice.

0033

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Bernhard Raphael

aged 34 years, occupation Porter of No.

26 West 27th Street, being duly sworn, deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Joseph Atkinson

and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own knowledge.

Sworn to before me this, 28th day of September, 1893 } Bernhard Raphael

W. J. Murrahon
Police Justice.

0034

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

William Wright

aged 50 years, occupation Tinsmith of No.

512 West 39th Street, being duly sworn, deposes, and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Joseph Stuer

and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own knowledge.

Sworn to before me this, 29
day of September 1893

Wm Wright

Wm W. Mahon
Police Justice.

0035

Summons.—8r6.

John Polheimus Printing Co., Printers and Mfg Stationers, 221 Fulton St., N. Y.

Exhibit "A"

Superior Court of the City of New York.

Joseph Steiner and David Steiner,
Plaintiffs

against

Julius Jackson and Moritz Jackson,
Defendants

Summons.

To the above named Defendants and each of them

You are hereby Summoned to answer the complaint in this action, and to serve a copy of your answer on the Plaintiff's Attorney within twenty days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service; and in case of your failure to appear, or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default, for the relief demanded in the complaint.

Dated New York, Sept. 8th, 1893.

Hahn & Myers,

Plaintiff's Attorney S

Office and Post Office Address,

No. 237 Broadway,

New York City.

0036

N.Y. Superior Court

Joseph Steiner and David
Steiner,

vs.

Julius Jackson and Moritz
Jackson,

Summons.

Hahn & Myers,
Plaintiff's Attorneys
237 Bway. N.Y.

To the Defendants,

Notice is hereby given to
you that, upon your default to
appear, or answer the within
summons, judgment will be tak-
en against you for the sum of
\$2375.95-100 with interest
from the day of September,
1893, and with the costs of
this action.

Hahn & Myers,
Plaintiff's Attys.
237 Broadway, N.Y.

0037

FORM 21.
WARRANT OF ATTACHMENT--Code of Civil Procedure, § 641.

The People of the State of New York,

To the Sheriff of the City and County of New York, GREETING:

Whereas an Application has been made to the Judge granting this warrant, by Joseph Steiner and David Steiner plaintiffs for a Warrant of Attachment against the property of Julius Jackson and Moritz Jackson defendants in an action in the Supreme Court of the City of New York, and it appearing by affidavit to the satisfaction of the Judge granting this warrant that one of the causes of action specified in Sec. 635 of the Code of Civil Procedure exists against the defendant to recover a sum of money only, to wit: the sum of \$2,375.95-100 dollars, as damages for breach of contract other than a promise to marry

and the affidavit showing that the defendants being natural persons, have assigned, disposed of or secreted with the intent to defraud his creditors or are about to assign, dispose of or secret property with the like intent and the plaintiff having also given the undertaking required by law:

Now you are hereby Commanded to attach and safely keep so much of the property within your County which the defendants Julius Jackson and Moritz Jackson has or which he may have at any time before final judgment in the action as will satisfy plaintiff demand of \$2,375.95-100 dollars, together with cost and expenses, and that you proceed hereon in the manner required of you by law.

Witness Hon. David McAdam, Justice of the Superior Court of the City of New York at New York City

this 9th day of September, in the year one thousand eight hundred and ninety three

Hahn & Myers, Attorney.
237 Broadway, N.Y. City.

David McAdam, Judge.

SUPERIOR COURT.

Joseph Steiner & ano.

vs.

Julius Jackson and ors.

WARRANT ON ATTACHMENT

Hahn & Myers,
Plaintiff's Attorneys
237 Broadway, N. Y.

I hereby Certify the within to be a true copy of the Original Warrant of Attachment as issued to me in the within mentioned action, and that the attachment of which the within is a copy is now in my hands, and that by it I am commanded to attach all estates real and personal, including money and bank notes, bonds, promissory notes and other instruments for the payment of money, of the defendant

Julius Jackson and Morty Jackson
within named within my county (except articles exempt from execution), and to take into my custody all books of account, vouchers, and papers relating to the property, debts, credits and effects of said defendant, together with all evidence of title to real estate, and ~~also~~ all such property debts, credits and effects, and all rights and shares of stock, with all interests and profits thereon, and all dividends thereon or therefrom, of the said defendant now in your possession or under your control, and are hereby those which may come into your possession or under your control, will be liable to said warrant of attachment, and are hereby attached by me, and you are hereby required to deliver all such moneys, bank notes, bonds, promissory notes and other instruments for the payment of money, books, vouchers, papers, debts, credits, effects, evidences of title to real estate, shares of stock, interest, profits and dividends thereon, and all property capable of manual delivery, into my custody without delay. And I hereby require you to furnish me with a certificate as required in that behalf by the Code of Procedure, of any rights, shares, debts or other property of said defendant incapable of manual delivery. And in default hereof you will be liable to the EXAMINATION and ATTACHMENT in such case provided by law.

Dated New York, the

28th

day of

Sept 1893

Yours etc.,

John J. Esman

Sheriff of the City and County of New York.

James Sabatino Deputy Sheriff.

0038

0039

Claims must be made within 5 days after receipt of goods.

REMITTANCE MUST BE MADE DIRECT TO THE HOUSE.

New York, Sept 7th 1893

No.



JACKSON BROTHERS,

Manufacturers of Fine Furs,

27 MERCER STREET,

Bet. Grand and Canal Sts.

Terms,

530	Astrachan	Skins	100	550
14	Malobas	"	125	17 50
33	Cold Camp	"	54 ⁹	17 82
116	Electric Camp	"	40 ⁹	104 40
1	Hot Cross	"	775	7 75
95	Shunks	"	175	186 25
15	Shunk Racoon	"	225	33 25
3	Red Fox	"	175	5 25
15	Monkey	"	175	26 25
5	Persian Lamb	"	350	17 50
12	Minks	"	504	6
22	Shunk III	"	502	11
72	Dark Rat	"	404	28 80
3	Hot Fox	"	254	75
22	Gray Camp	"	504	11
132	Rat	"	402	52 80
				<u>1.056 32</u>

Children sets Skins loose and Fictures

6.50

0040

Claims must be made within 5 days after receipt of goods.

REMITTANCE MUST BE MADE DIRECT TO THE HOUSE.

New York, Sept 7th 1893

No.



JACKSON BROTHERS,

Manufacturers of Fine Furs, HATS, & TRIMMINGS

27 MERCER STREET,

Bet. Grand and Canal Sts.

Terms,

7	Gray Fox Muffs	3 00	21 00
42	Skunk in S.B.	2 40	84
18	Skunk " S.B.	4 50	81
11	Bever " "	5 50	60 50
7	Nutria " "	2 50	17 50
108	Blk Oposome	1 50	162
150	Rat " S.B.	1 50	225
13	Furce " "	3 00	39
51	Next Oposome	1 25	63 75
288	Next " "	33 75	95 04
30	Blk Coy " "	15 00	22 50
10	Moufflon " "	2 00	20 00
66	Blk Coy Skarf	60 00	39 60
4	Perren Lamb	4 00	16
2	Red Fox	2 50	5
4	Gray " "	2 00	8
9	Gray Furmer	2 50	22 50
20	Adl Kinda	1 50	30
14	Tibbit	1 50	21
	Bears		
3	Tibbit	7 00	21
2	Red Fox	6 00	12
3	Gray " "	5 00	15
2	Light Belly	12 50	25
1	" Bush	8 00	8
1	Racome	4 00	4
2	Australian Oposome	3 00	6
2	Next Coy	3 00	6
2	" Oposome	3 00	6
5	Blk Coy Circular out Lined	10 00	50
38	" " 18 inch " "	2 00	76
20	" " 20 inch " "	3 25	65
20	Next Oposome Muffs no	75 00	15
76	" Racome " " "	1 15	3 50
			<u>1345 89</u>

0041

Claims must be made within 5 days after receipt of goods.

REMITTANCE MUST BE MADE DIRECT TO THE HOUSE.

New York, Sept 7th 1893

No.



JACKSON BROTHERS,

Manufacturers of Fine Furs,

Terms,

27 MERCER STREET,

Bet. Grand and Canal Sts.

11	Walobba Bay	22	inch	14	00	154
61	Ant	Pa	22	inch	12	00
15	Ant		19	"	10	00
6	"		22	in Skoller	15	00
8	"	Military	"	"	25	00
13	"	Circular Empire	"	"	25	00
12	Puld Coy	"	"	"	20	00
4	Electric	"	"	"	28	00
11	"	Bot.	"	"	15	00
33	Puld Coy	Military	Sk Color	"	20	
10	"	"	"	"	18	
64	"	"	Bot	22	inch	10
64	"	"	"	20	"	950
22	Blk	"	"	20	"	500
4	Monkey	Military	"	"	35	
5	Puld Coy		22	inch Point	12	
12	Blk Coy		15	inch	3	75
20	Puld	"	14	"	9	50
10	Wool Seal	Bot	22	"	10	50
7	Gray Fur	18	inch	"	15	00
1	"	"	Military	"	30	00
11	Skunk	Bot	22	inch	35	00
4	Nutria	"	22	"	20	00
5	Blk Coy	Military	"	"	12	00
3	Wool Seal	"	30	inch	16	50
9	Monkey	Bot	22	"	21	00
3	"	"	19	"	15	00
3	Skunk	"	19	"	28	00
9	"	Opasone	Bot	22	inch	15
3	Blk Coy	Circular	"	"	15	00
13	Monkey	Stals	"	"	3	00
14	Puld Coy	"	"	"	2	50
10	Blk Opasone	"	"	"	2	50
						224 50

0042

Claims must be made within 5 days after receipt of goods.

REMITTANCE MUST BE MADE DIRECT TO THE HOUSE.

New York, Sept 7 1893

No.



JACKSON BROTHERS,

Manufacturers of Fine Furs,

27 MERCER STREET,

Bet. Grand and Canal Sts.

Terms,

Asats

Cleveland	O. Wm Taylor Son + Co	2655	00		
Fall River	D. Shear	7	00		
	C. T. Shear + Co	221	50		
	Nauman Emporer Fur Co Chicago	162	00		
	" " Milwaukee	96	00		
St Paul	Merrill Ryder	257	00		
Minneapolis	L. J. + J. D. Holzeman	326	18		
	Anderson + Mordall	159	00		
Wilmington	Wm. Muntzer	322	00		
	L. B. Higgins + Co	26	25		
	S. W. Weiss	104	09		
Utica	Robert Fraser	1127	50		
	C. L. Woodbridge	123	50		
	E. C. Tower + Co	128	50		
Cortland	D. Deady Bros	996	25		
Jamestown	C. H. W. Sturtevant	229	50		
	Samuel O'Brien + Hawthorn	643	25		
	General				
				7591	52

0043

Claims must be made within 5 days after receipt of goods.

REMITTANCE MUST BE MADE DIRECT TO THE HOUSE.

New York, Sept 7th 1893

No.



JACKSON BROTHERS,

Manufacturers of Fine Furs,

Terms,

Liberal

27 MERCER STREET,

Bet. Grand and Canal Sts.

<i>S. Gorman</i>	<i>770</i>	<i>20</i>	
<i>Chas. M. Landakery</i>	<i>1499</i>	<i>50</i>	
<i>Joseph Steiner & Bros</i>	<i>2375</i>	<i>95</i>	
<i>Chas. A. Verpich</i>	<i>706</i>	<i>14</i>	
<i>W. L. Phillips & Co</i>	<i>450</i>	<i>78</i>	
<i>A. Hyman</i>	<i>973</i>	<i>63</i>	
<i>Ed. R. Lazarus</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>60</i>	
<i>Joseph Allman</i>	<i>750</i>	<i>00</i>	
<i>Wm. J. Mansfield</i>	<i>77</i>	<i>20</i>	
<i>R. Schorling</i>	<i>116</i>	<i>85</i>	
<i>J. S. Hanson & Co</i>	<i>801</i>	<i>75</i>	
<i>Wolff & Reussing</i>	<i>1600</i>	<i>00</i>	
<i>The New Paper Box Co</i>	<i>83</i>	<i>11</i>	
<i>Philip Levy</i>	<i>1508</i>	<i>00</i>	
			<i>\$ 11735 71</i>

0044

Police Court--- District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Joseph H. Stier
vs
1 *Julius Jackson*
Henry Jackson
2
3
4

Officer
1884

Bailed, *Dec. 20/73*
No. 1, by *Geo Levy*
Residence *70 E 104* Street.
No. 2, by *Same*
Residence _____ Street.
No. 3, by _____
Residence _____ Street.
No. 4, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

Dated, _____ 189
Magistrate.
Officer.
Precinct.

Witnesses *James J. Calhoun*
No. *County Court Street*
Edward Rappall
No. *26 W 37 St* Street.
Thomas Wright
No. *517 W 39 St* Street.
\$ *E. J. Hagan* answer
237 Broadway

(455)

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,
against
John Jackson
and
Minnie Jackson

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this

indictment accuse John Jackson and
Minnie Jackson -
of the crime of ~~fraudulently disposing of~~
their property. -

committed as follows:

The said John Jackson and
Minnie Jackson, both -

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the
eleventh day of September in the year of our Lord one thousand
eight hundred and ninety-three, - at the City and County aforesaid,

being partners in Trade in and
by the firm, name and style of
Jackson Brothers, and being then
indebted to Joseph Skemer and
David Skemer, partners in Trade
in and by the firm, name and
style of Joseph Skemer and Brother,
Charles Sandberg, and Samuel
Doorman, and their other persons
whose names are to the regard firm
aforesaid as yet unknown, in divers

large sums of money, did unlawfully
 remove certain of their revenues
 to wit: diverse goods wares and
 merchandise, consisting of furs
 skins, manufactured furs and
 other property, a more particular
 description whereof is to be
 found in a certain indictment
 and process now to appear, of the
 value of seven thousand dollars,
 and proceeds and surplus of the
 same, in a manner and by means
 to the regard, prejudicial and contrary
 with intent to defraud the said
 Joseph Steiner, David Steiner, Charles
 Sanderson, Samuel Dorman,
 and the said other persons, holding
 creditors of them the said
 Julius Jackson and Monte Johnson
 as aforesaid, and to prevent the
 said property from being made
 liable for the payment of their
 debts, against the form of the
 Statute in such case made and
 provided, and against the peace of
 the People of the State of New
 York, and their dignity

De Courcy Hall,
 District Attorney

0047

BOX:

544

FOLDER:

4947

DESCRIPTION:

Jackson, Roger

DATE:

12/22/93



4947

0048

Bail fixed at ~~one thousand~~ ^{one thousand} (1000) dollars

Witnesses:

Henry Hildenbrand
Michael F. Blake
Patrick J. Scully
George Malraiss
John Browning
Alfred R. Conkling

This indictment was found in 1893 it charges practically the violation of the election laws. All information has faded from the recollection of the witnesses. No one remembers even the defendant. In view of this fact it would seem absurd to demand a conviction. I therefore commended that the defendant be discharged on his own recognizance.

J. W. Osborne
A. D. H.

COURT OF OYER AND TERMINER.

Counsel,

Filed 28th day of Dec 1893

Pleads Not Guilty (28)

ENTERED
T. J. W.

THE PEOPLE

vs.

B. J.

Roger Jackson

Ordered to the COURT of
General Sessions
of the COUNTY of NEW YORK
for trial (Entered in the Minutes)
Dec 28th 1893

PERJURY.

[Section 96, Penal Code, and Chapter 680, Laws of 1892, section 104.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney

BILL

Nov 29/98
Bail Discharged.
R. J. Cwas Foreman.

Court of Oyer and Terminer

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Roger Jackson

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this Indictment accuse

Roger Jackson

of the crime of Perjury, committed as follows:

Heretofore, to wit: on Tuesday, the seventh day of November, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-three (the same being the Tuesday next succeeding the first Monday of the said month of November), there was held a general election throughout the State of New York and in and throughout the said City and County of New York;

And on the day and in the year aforesaid, and at the said election, the said *Roger Jackson*, late of the City and County, being a resident of the *Forty second* Election District of the *Eighth* Assembly District of the said City and County, and a voter entitled to vote at the said election in the said election district, for the purpose of voting at the said election, did personally present himself at the duly designated polling place of the said election in and for the said election district, the polls of the said election in and for the said election district being then open, and to and before *George Mahanion, F. Golding and C. E. Benedict*,

then and there being the Inspectors of Election of the said election district, at the said election, at a meeting of the said Inspectors of Election then being duly held in the said polling place for the purposes of the said election.

And the said *Roger Jackson* did then and there desire and require of the said Inspectors of Election that he might select a person for the purpose of assisting him to receive and prepare his ballots, and who should be allowed to pass within the guard rail of the said polling place and receive his official ballots, and enter one of the voting booths of the said polling place, with him, and there assist him in preparing his ballot.

And thereupon he, the said *Roger Jackson* was then and there in due form of law sworn, and did take his corporal oath, by and before the said Inspectors of Election, touching his right to select such person to assist him in receiving and preparing his ballots as aforesaid, they, the said Inspectors of Election, then and there having full and competent power and authority to administer the said oath to the said *Roger Jackson* in that behalf.

And the said Roger Jackson being so sworn as aforesaid, upon his oath aforesaid, before the said Inspectors of Election, then and there feloniously, wilfully, knowingly and corruptly, did falsely swear, declare and say, that by reason of defective eyesight he, the said Roger Jackson was then and there unable to receive or prepare his ballots without assistance.

Whereas, in truth and in fact he, the said Roger Jackson was not by reason of defective eyesight

then and there unable to receive or prepare his ballots without assistance, as he then and there well knew.

And so the Grand Jury aforesaid do say that the said Roger Jackson in manner and form aforesaid, feloniously, wilfully, knowingly, corruptly and falsely, did commit wilful and corrupt perjury; against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

0051

BOX:

544

FOLDER:

4947

DESCRIPTION:

Jackson, Rudolph J.

DATE:

12/15/93



4947

119
order

Witnesses:
Christian Strauch

Counsel,
Filed 15 day of Dec 1893.

Pleads, Not guilty

THE PEOPLE
vs.

B
Rudolph J. Jackson
alias
Rudolph Seidenberg

Grand Larceny, Second Degree.
[Sections 598, 597 — Penal Code.]

From an examination
of the Complainant
in this case, I fail
to find the element
of felonious intent.
From the written
statement of
Christian Strauch the
complaining witness
I am satisfied no
connection could be had.
I must therefore recommend
the discharge of the
defendant upon his own
recognizance.

7th 28th 94 Robert J. Mansel
Just over all.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

For 3 February 28/94
Paid discharged

A TRUE BILL.

B. Lockwood

Foreman.

New York General Sessions.

PEOPLE ON MY COMPLAINT,
VERSUS

Rudolph Jackson
Alias Rudolph Seidenberg

As complainant in the above case, I beg to recommend the defendant to such leniency and clemency as the Court and District Attorney may see fit to show; but I expressly assert that my reasons for so doing are not controlled by any advantage to myself. Since I made the Complaint the defendant has explained to me that he had no intention of defrauding me of my money. He informs me that ~~that~~ he had invested the money in his business and at the time that I demanded ~~it~~ its return he was not able to get it at such short notice. As he has agreed to return it to me, and as I do not believe he was ever arrested before I respectfully request permission to withdraw the Complaint

Christian Stauch

0054

(1860)

City and County }
of New York. } ss.

Police Court, / District.

Christian Strauch

of No. *165 Allen* Street, being duly sworn, deposes and says,

that *Rudolph J. Jackson* (now present) is the person of the name of

Rudolph Seidentusz mentioned in deponent's affidavit of the *5th*

day of *August* 189*3*, hereunto annexed.

Sworn to before me, this *19*
day of *August* 189*3* }

Christian Strauch

Ernest Martin

POLICE JUSTICE.

Police Court _____ District _____ Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County }
of New York, } ss. Christian Strauch
of No. 165 Allen Street, aged 23 years.
occupation Saloon Keeper being duly sworn,

deposes and says, that on the 24 day of July 1893 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in day time, the following property, viz:

Two hundred and fifty dollars good and lawful money of the United States

the property of Deponent

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away by

Rudolph Seidenburg now here
for the reason that on said date deponent gave to defendant the aforesaid money as security for deponent's faithful discharge of his duties in defendant's employ. Defendant agreed to return to deponent the said money when at any time defendant deponent should demand the same. Defendant has kept him self concealed from deponent and keeps away from his place of business and has taken with him deponent's money. Deponent has called repeatedly to have his money returned him but can not find defendant. Wherefore deponent charges defendant with Grand Larceny.
Christian Strauch

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 24th day of July 1893
of _____
Police Justice

0056

(1885)

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Rudolph J. Jackson being duly examined before the under-
signed according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is his right to
make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to
enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him
that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used
against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Rudolph J. Jackson

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

26 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

France

Question. Where do you live and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

291 East 82nd Street. 2 Months

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Seam Manufacturer

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony
against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer.

I am not guilty.
R J Jackson

Taken before me this 19
day of August 1892

Police Justice.

0057

Sec. 151.

Police Court 1 District.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

In the name of the People of the State of New York; To the Sheriff of the County of New York, or any Marshal or Policeman of the City of New York:

Whereas, Complaint in writing, and upon oath, has been made before the undersigned, one of the Police Justices for the City of New York, by Christian Shauch of No. 165 Allen Street, that on the 24 day of July

1883 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, the following article to wit:

Two hundred and fifty dollars
of the value of Two hundred and fifty Dollars,
the property of Department

was taken, stolen and carried away, and as the said complainant has cause to suspect, and does suspect and believe, by Rudolph Seidenburg

Wherefore, the said Complainant has prayed that the said Defendant may be apprehended and bound to answer the said complaint.

These are Therefore, in the name of the PEOPLE of the State of New York, to command you the said Sheriff, Marshals and Policemen, and every of you, to apprehend the body of the said Defendant and forthwith bring him before me, at the 1 DISTRICT POLICE COURT, in the said City, or in case of my absence or inability to act, before the nearest or most accessible Police Justice in this City, to answer the said charge, and to be dealt with according to law.

Dated at the City of New York, this 5 day of August 1883

Amund
POLICE JUSTICE.

0058

64 Perle

Police Court District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Christian Stueck
vs.
Rudolph Seidenberg

Warrant-Larceny.

Dated *Sept 8* 1893

Madame Magistrate

English Officer.

The Defendant

taken, and brought before the Magistrate, to answer the within charge, pursuant to the command contained in this Warrant.

Patrick English Officer.

Dated *Sept 18* 1893

This Warrant may be executed on Sunday or at night.

..... Police Justice.

having been brought before me under this Warrant, is committed for examination to the WARDEN and KEEPER of the City Prison of the City of New York.

Dated 188

..... Police Justice.

The within named

0059

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of 100 Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named _____ to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____ guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

7

0060

63 150/W 896
Police Court---119 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Christian Branch
1433 vs. 6, 15 st
1. Rudolph Berdenburg
2. Chas. B
3. Rudolph J. Jackson
4.

Offense
Larceny
Fulm

Dated, August 19 1893

Ward Magistrate.

English Officer.
Court Precinct.

Witnesses _____ Street.

No. _____ Street.


No. _____ Street.

No. _____ Street.

\$1000 to answer G.S.

1000 of Aug 23, 11 a.m.

Bailed
ans
Vander



BAILED,

No. 1, by Ernestine Schaffner
Residence 70 West 50th Street.

No. 2, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

No. 3, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

No. 4, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Rudolph J. Jackson

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Rudolph J. Jackson

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE *second* DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

Rudolph J. Jackson

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *24th* day of *July* in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three* at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

the sum of two hundred and fifty dollars in money, lawful money of the United States of America, and of the value of two hundred and fifty dollars

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

Christian Strach

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

Second COUNT-

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further
accuse the said *Rudolph J. Jackson*
of the same CRIME OF *Grand LARCENY*, in the
second degree, committed as follows:

The said *Rudolph J. Jackson*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *24th*
day of *July* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and
ninety-*three*, at the City and County aforesaid, being then and there the
bailee of *Christian Strauch*

and as such *bailee* then and there having in *his* possession,
custody and control certain goods, chattels and personal property of the said

Christian Strauch

the true owner thereof, to wit:

*the sum of two hundred
and fifty dollars in money, law-
ful money of the United States of
America, and of the value of two
hundred and fifty dollars*

did afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with
force and arms, feloniously appropriate the said *sum of money*

to *his* own use, with intent to deprive and defraud the said *Christian Strauch*

of the same, and of the use and benefit thereof; and the same goods, chattels and personal property
of the said *Christian Strauch*

did then and there and thereby feloniously steal, against the form of the statute in such case made
and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

0063

BOX:

544

FOLDER:

4947

DESCRIPTION:

Jacobs, Joseph

DATE:

12/05/93



4947

Witnesses

Officer Krauch
Henry Ebeling

Counsel,

Filed

~~5 Dec 1893~~

Pleads,

Guilty

THE PEOPLE

15
128 Rivington
driver.
Joseph Jacobs

Grand Larceny, second Degree.
[Sections 528, 531, Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

Part 3, December 1893
Pleads Guilty - Attempt
G. L. 2^d deg.

A TRUE BILL.

House of Reps. 1893
R. Lockwood

Foreman.

0065

Police Court 1 District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County } ss.:
of New York, }

John Witteoman

of No. 115 Willett Street, aged 30 years,
occupation Refrigerator being duly sworn,

deposes and says, that on the 14 day of October 1893 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of the deponent, in the day time, the following property, viz:

One horse - Wagon and Harness
all of the value of One hundred
Dollars

the property of John Gerold - and in deponents
care and custody

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away by Joseph Jacobs (now here)

for the acting in concert with Henry Eberling
(now in prison convicted for this crime)
for the following reasons - on said date the
deponent missed said property from
the corner of Duane and West Streets -
The said Eberling was arrested with
said property in his possession - and was
convicted for the Larceny of the same in the Court
of General Sessions - deponent is informed
by said Eberling that the defendant Jacobs
sent a boy to the place where said horse
and wagon were standing - and the boy returned
with said property - and he Eberling and the defendant
Jacobs drove away together with said horse and wagon

John Witteoman

Sworn to before me this 14 day of October 1893

Amos W. Walker Police Justice.

0055

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Henry Ebeling

aged 19 years, occupation Plumber of No.

Street, being duly sworn, deposes and
says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of John Winterman
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own
knowledge.

Sworn to before me this, 24 } Henry Ebeling
day of Jan } 1893

[Signature]
Police Justice.

0067

(1835)

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Joseph Jacobs being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Joseph Jacobs

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

16 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

New York

Question. Where do you live and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

128 Rivington St 2 years

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Driver

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer.

I am not guilty

Joseph Jacobs

Subscribed and sworn to before me this

24

day of August 1903

Police Justice.

0058

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

Deppant
guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of 200 Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, Nov 24 1893 [Signature] Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named
guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

0069

340 Police Court--- / 1255 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

John Witterman
115 Willett
Joseph Jacobs

Offense Larceny

2
3
4

BAILED,

No. 1, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 2, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 3, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 4, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

Dated, Nov 24 1893

Magistrate.

Titus Krauch Officer.

Co Precinct.

Witnesses Henry Ebeling

No. City Prison Street.

No. _____ Street.

No. _____ Street.

No. _____ Street.

\$ 1000 to answer G.S.



Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Joseph Jacobs

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Joseph Jacobs

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE *second* DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

Joseph Jacobs

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the day of *fourteenth* *October*, in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three*, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

one horse of the value of sixty dollars, one wagon of the value of thirty dollars and one set of harness of the value of fifteen dollars

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

John Gerald

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said

Joseph Jacobs
of the CRIME OF CRIMINALLY RECEIVING STOLEN PROPERTY, committed as follows:

The said

Joseph Jacobs

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

one horse of the value of sixty dollars, one wagon of the value of thirty dollars, and one set of harness of the value of fifteen dollars

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

John Gerald

by a certain person or persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, then lately before feloniously stolen, taken and carried away from the said

John Gerald

unlawfully and unjustly did feloniously receive and have; the said

Joseph Jacobs

then and there well known the said goods, chattels and personal property to have been feloniously stolen, taken and carried away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

0072

BOX:

544

FOLDER:

4947

DESCRIPTION:

Jacobs, Wolf

DATE:

12/13/93



4947

Bail fixed at \$1000
P.B.M.

Witnesses:

Rosa Rosenbaum
John S. Tareng

I tried this case in Part 1
during March Term 1894. The
jury disagreed. No property
was taken & it seems to
be unlikely that a conviction
can be had on a new trial
I am persuaded that the
def't is a bad citizen but
it appears as if he cannot
be caught this time. There
is no additional evidence
& I recommend def't's
discharge on his own
recognizance.
Apr 20/94 Stephen J. O'Hara
D.D. District

inv *Justice M. W.*

Counsel,
Filed 13 day of Dec 1893
Pleads, *Guilty*

THE PEOPLE

vs.

P

Wolf Jacobs

Part I

Jan 28/94

DR LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

W. J. O'Hara
W. J. O'Hara
A TRUE BILL.

W. J. O'Hara

Part 2 March 15, 1894 Foreman.

Jury and Jury disagree

Part II March 28/94 O'Hara

Part I Apr 20/94 *W. J. O'Hara*

W. J. O'Hara
Burglary in the Third Degree.
(Section 496, Penal Code.)

7 con
5 ac.

NY - General Sessions

The People
vs.
Paul Baugler

What is your name? William D. Wolff
Your business or profession? Physician
Do you know defendant Paul Baugler? Yes, sir,
I've seen him several times.

When & in what connection did you see him?
In connection with the ambulance call on
December 12/93 about 12 o'clock in the day-
time.

Where was that? 2055 Third Avenue.
I don't see Otto Beck at 2055 Third Ave,
at the same time I saw defendant Baugler.
I found Beck in bed. I tried to find him
suffering excruciating pain and attempted to
make an examination. It was not convenient in
the bed-room. I had him moved to the next
room - the parlor. Examined him there. I
found all the symptoms of a fracture of the
left hip, ~~an intertrochanteric~~. The symptoms I found
were shortening of the limb, eversion of the foot,
extreme pain on passive motion, spasm of the
muscles of the leg, that was all at that time.
I then applied a long splint extending from
the arm-pit to the ankle and bound the leg and
body to it. This gave the patient relief and made
him comfortable. I then put him on the ambulance

lance and took him to the Harlem Hospital, East 120th St. When I got him there I called in Drs. Oppenheimer, Cahille and Manly. I then made an examination, ^{of neck} under ether, with the assistance of these physicians. ~~It was diagnosed~~
 We then diagnosed it as intra ^{capsular} ~~capsular~~ fracture.
 We measured the limb and found a ^{shortening} and three-quarters shortening, found the same symptoms as described a found at 20 55 Third Ave., and in addition found bone crepitus.

At that time I was Junior Assistant Physician at that hospital. Beck came into the hospital Dec. 12, 1893, was discharged Dec. 15, 1894, and ~~came back~~ he was not discharged as cured. From the nature of the injuries sustained can you tell what may have caused them? It was caused by an injury I suppose, how it was done I don't know except from what the patient told me.

It may have come from a fall, ^{from} ~~from~~ ^{being} ~~being~~ ^{knocked}, from any violence. Such an injury as were sustained by this boy is an exceptional case, in a boy of that age, in surgery.

Mr. Beck, the complainant made two different and contradictory statements to me in reference to the cause of his injuries. His ^{first} statement was made to me in the presence of Mr. ~~Beck~~, the proprietor

I think
of the store and the defendant. This was at the
time of the first call of the ambulance. The complainant
stated to me, to my question as to how
he came by the injury that he fell.

The second statement he made in the hospital.
The defendant was not present at that time.
The complainant ^{can} in my opinion, never be
permanently cured. He will be a cripple.
My address now is Madam Hospital.

Cross-examination

I have been will be practicing 1 year this 4th
of April. In a boy of that age the bones here
is more organic than inorganic material, & for
that reason the bones will bend & move than break.
The fracture being intra capsular in a boy of that
age makes it an exceptional case.

Any fall of the boy, fell down on his hip it ~~might~~
might produce the fracture. Any violence or fall
might cause that.

Done & sworn for me
the 15th day of March 1894
Randolph B. Martine
Judge of General Sessions

It is stipulated & agreed that as a condition
of adjournment this case from to-day the
within deposition be read on the trial of
this cause in lieu of producing the
original witness in person on the trial
and is the same effect as if he were produced.
Mch. 15. 1894 - subject to exception and objection
and that it be deemed read and by the Court.
See Ord. Mch 15th 1894

J. D. [Signature]
 Att for Deft

0078

Police Court, 3 District.

1901

City and County of New York; ss. Rosie Rosenbaum
of No. 12 Cannon Street, aged 25 years,
occupation Keep House being duly sworn, deposes and says,
that on the 23 day of November 1899, at the City of New
York, in the County of New York,

Woff. Jacobs (not here) did feloniously and unlawfully enter the premises 12 Cannon Street with intent to commit a burglary in violation of Section 505 of the Penal Code for the persons following to wit: on the said date the defendant was found in ^{said} premises. This defendant heard a noise at her door and when she opened the door she saw the defendant trying to open the door of Isaac Rosen on the same floor with a false key. Defendant is informed by John Hering that he saw the defendant on the roof of said premises and whilst he Hering was chasing the defendant he saw the defendant throw away a quantity of keys which keys he Hering subsequently recovered and which keys are known as "Burglar's" keys. The defendant had no right in said premises

Sworn to before me } Rosie Rosenbaum
this 23rd day of November 1899 }

John Woodie
Police Justice

0079

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

1921

aged 32 years, occupation Housekeeper of No. 10
Chambers Street, being duly sworn, deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Ben Rosubam
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own
knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 23 day of November 1897 } J. H. Loreng

John Pellonius Police Justice.

0080

Sec. 198-200.

3 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss

W. G. Jacobs being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *W. G. Jacobs*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *23 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *England*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *4th Street - 2 years*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Salesman*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. *I am not guilty*
W. G. Jacobs

Taken before me this

day of

1883

John R. ...

Police Justice.

0081

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Three Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated Apr 23 1893 John R. Booth Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named Defendant to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated 24 Apr 1893 Di Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____ guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order h to be discharged.

Dated _____ 1893 _____ Police Justice.

0082

1307 354
Police Court --- District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Joseph Kasubau
vs.
Samuel Jacobus

Office Building
Murray Hill

2
3
4
Dated Jan 23 1893
Gorham Magistrate.
Germann Officer.
12 Precinct.

Witness
Joseph Rosen
of 12 Precinct Street.
To be returned to Court
of General Sessions
No. Street.

No. 300 to answer

Atty Gen
Amely
17 State Rooms

BAILED,
No. 1, by Isaac Vauber
Residence 34 Delancey Street.
No. 2, by
Residence Street.
No. 3, by
Residence Street.
No. 4, by
Residence Street

Court of General Sessions of the Peace
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Wolf Jacobs

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Wolf Jacobs

attempting to commit
of the CRIME OF BURGLARY IN THE THIRD DEGREE, committed as follows :

The said

Wolf Jacobs

late of the *13th* Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *twenty third* day of *November*, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three*, with force and arms, in the time of the same day, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, the dwelling house of one

Isaac Rosen

there situate, feloniously and burglariously did *attempt to* break into and enter, with intent to commit some crime therein, to wit, with intent the goods, chattels and personal property of the said

Isaac Rosen in the said dwelling house then and there being, then and there feloniously and burglariously to steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

De Lancey Nicoll,
District Attorney

0084

BOX:

544

FOLDER:

4947

DESCRIPTION:

Jacobson, Morris

DATE:

12/15/93



4947

0085

BOX:

544

FOLDER:

4947

DESCRIPTION:

Littauer, Henry

DATE:

12/15/93



4947

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Witnesses:

Nathan Friedman

16/5/94 ~~Bert...~~ for

Counsel,

Filed 15/1 day of Dec 1893

Plends, ~~guilty~~

14 THE PEOPLE
88 and/or of
Butcher vs.

Morris Jacobson

18 22nd St
and Russel
Butcher, to

Henry Littauer

Burglary in the 1st degree.
Section 406, 506, 518, 520, 530, 535

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

Call M

A TRUE BILL.

B. Lockwood

Part 2 - Jan. 4, 1894 Foreman.

Both Jurors of
Ct. L. 1st Degree

No 1 House of Refuge

No 2 County Ref
Jan 1894

Subpoena
of per receipt
for 8th

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Witnesses:

Nathan Friedman

16/17
Counsel, ~~Perkins & Co~~

Filed 15 day of Dec 1893

Pleas, guilty

~~14~~ THE PEOPLE
88 duellor of Butcher vs.

Morris Jacobson

18
22 Brook St and Rumsey
Butcher, vs. Smith
Henry Littner

Burglary in the Fourth degree.
Section 496, 506, 518, 520, 522

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

Call H

A TRUE BILL.

B. Lockwood

Part 2 - Jan. 4, 1894 Foreman.

Both Trial Committee of
C. L. 1st Degree

N^o 1 Home of Refuge

N^o 2 Aming Ref
Jan 15/94

Subpoena
officer receipt
Jan 8th

0088

of the stolen money and he further
says that on a former occasion the
said Cohen allowed said "Kulot"
to go through his Cohen's window
in order to descend to apartments' apart-
ments to commit a burglary

Yours before me
this 23 day of Nov 1852

John B. Coakley

John B. Coakley
New York

Police Office

Police Court— District.

City and County }
of New York, } ss.:

of No. 22 Norfolk Street, aged 43 years,
occupation Furniture Furnisher being duly sworn

deposes and says, that the premises No 22 Norfolk Street,
in the City and County aforesaid, the said being a five story apart-

ment house, the third floor of

and which was occupied by deponent as a dwellings

and in which there was at the time a human being, by name Nathan

Friedman together with his family were BURGLARIOUSLY entered by means of forcibly passing a

window leading into deponent's

apartment

on the 15 day of November 1889 in the night time, and the

following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz:

Gold and lawful money of

the United States of the amount

and value of five hundred

dollars and a quantity of jewelry

valued at two hundred dollars

the whole being valued at seven hundred

and 75 dollars — 875 00

the property of Deponent

and deponent further says, that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid

BURGLARY was committed and the aforesaid property taken, stolen, and carried away by

Morris Jacobson ^{and David Cohen} and Henry and another

boy who got arrested who was acting in concert

and for the reasons following, to wit: at the hour of midnight

deponent and his family retired

for the night he hearing suspicious

sounds and fastened the doors

and windows of said premises

and he having found the said window

opened and said property missing he

is informed by Officer Morris Debra

that he Debra arrested the defendant

Jacobson and he Jacobson admitted
 to him Schwartz that he and the boy not yet
 arrested ^(Dutch) ^{on same night} together and that the
 said "Dutch" came out of a man named
 Cohen's window ^(one of the defendants) on to the fire escape in
 said premises and descended to defendants
 apartments, raised the window and entered
 said apartments, he subsequently came
 out and gave to him Jacobson the sum
 of ninety-five dollars which he said
 was part of the money which is the
 Dutch had stolen from defendant. The
 said Dutch also said he stole a quantity
 of jewelry which jewelry he Jacobson
 saw in said Dutch's possession. The said
 Jacobson further informed defendant
 that he gave a man named Ike Birman
 ninety-five dollars he Birman will
 know that said money was stolen,
 he Birman subsequently returned to him
 Jacobson forty-five dollars. When Birman
 was arrested by Officer Morris Schwartz
 he at first denied having received any
 money from Jacobson but he subsequently
 said he would give back fifty dollars of
 the money in cash. The said Jacobson,
 Birman and Dutch were together and
 said Birman assisted them in
 spending the part of the money.
 The said Birman has alleged to
 give the fifty dollars as promised.
 The said Jacobson further says that
 he assisted in said burglary by allowing
 the defendant "Dutch" to go through his
 window in order to descend to defendants
 apartments. Jacobson says that he
 said "Dutch" give said Cohen some

District
 Degree
 Burglary
 Magistrate
 Officer
 Clerk
 Witness
 Committed in default of
 Bail by
 No.

THE PEOPLE, et al.
 vs.
 ON THE COMPLAINT OF

0091

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK,

McBerman

being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

McBerman

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

23 years.

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

Russia

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

26 Throton St. 5 months

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Legion Dealer

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer.

*I am not guilty
McBerman*

Taken before me this

23

day of *March* 189*7*

John R. ...

Police Justice.

0092

Sec. 192.

J

District Police Court.

(1881)

Undertaking to appear during the Examination.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

An information having been laid before *John R. Powrie* a Police Justice of the City of New York, charging *Joe Bernman* Defendant, with the offense of _____

Receiving stolen goods and he having been brought before said Justice for an examination of said charge, and it having been made to appear to the satisfaction of said Justice that said examination should be adjourned to some other day, and the hearing thereof having been adjourned.

We *Joe Bernman* Defendant, of No. *26* *West* Street, by occupation a *bagman* and *John J. McAdam* of No. *4* *Attorney* Street, by occupation *bagman* Surety, hereby jointly and severally undertake that the above named *Joe Bernman* Defendant, shall personally appear before the said Justice, at the *2* District Police Court in the City of New York, during the said examination, or that we will pay to the People of the State of New York the sum of *100* Hundred Dollars.

Taken and acknowledged before me, this *23* day of *July* 189*7*.

John R. Powrie POLICE JUSTICE.

0093

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.
OF NEW YORK.

Sworn to before me, this
day of May
1893
John J. McAdams
Police Justice.

John J. McAdams
the within named Bail and Surety, being duly sworn, says, that he is a resident and
holder within the said County and State, and is worth Twenty Hundred Dollars,
exclusive of property exempt from execution, and over and above the amount of all his debts and
liabilities, and that his property consists of House and lot
of land at 164 Duane
Street worth two thousand
dollars for

John J. McAdams
Justice.

District Police Court.

ON THE COMPLAINT OF
THE PEOPLE, &c.,
Undertaking to appear
during the Examination.

Taken the _____ day of _____ 189

0094

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Avron Cohen being duly examined before the under-
signed according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is h's right to
make a statement in relation to the charge against h; that the statement is designed to
enable h if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h
that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h's waiver cannot be used
against h on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the
testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your
exculpation?

Answer.

Avron Cohen

Taken before me this

day of

March 1937

20

John M. ...

Police Justice.

0095

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Morris Jacobson being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Morris Jacobson

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

18 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

Germany

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

84 Hudson St. 2 years

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Working for a butcher

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer.

I was with a boy named "Blutch" on said night, at 7:30 O'clock in the morning he "Blutch" told him Jacobson to wait in front of 22 Perforce whiter he Blutch would go up to a man named Cohen's rooms and by going through said Cohen's window he could descend by way of the fire escape to Nathan Friedman's rooms and steal some money. I went into the yard of said premises and watched for him Blutch. He saw said Blutch come out of Cohen's window descend on the fire escape and raise Friedman's window and enter Friedman's apartments.

Taken before me this _____ day of _____ 189

Police Justice.

he subsequently came out of
 Freedman's apartments and showed
 him a quantity of money and
 jewelry. I received from Klute
 ninety - five dollars at the time
 and he subsequently gave me fifty
 dollars. I gave Birman ninety - five
 dollars and he, ~~Robert~~ and I went to
 theater together and he helped me to
 spend some of the money. Birman
 gave me back forty - five dollars
 and has returned the fifty dollars.
 He another night previous to said
 burglary Klute and I were together
 and Klute went through Cohen's
 window and attempted to go through
 Freedman's apartments but there was
 a woman sitting in a chair and
 he did not go into Freedman's. I can
 not find Cohen some of the other money

Alfred Jacobson
 Thomas
 mark

Tested before me
 this 23rd day of November
 1823
 John A. Cochrane

to
 P. A. Justice
 mark

0097

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

1921

aged 31 years occupation Police Officer of No.

11th Avenue Street, being duly sworn, deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Arthur Friedman

and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 23 day
of November 1921

Morris Schwartz

Johannes Kordis Police Justice.

0098

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named.....

Defendants Morris Jacobson
guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Ten Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated Nov 23 1893 John R. Lewis Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named.....
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated..... 189..... Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named The Russian and Aaron Cohen guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order them to be discharged.

Dated Nov 23 1893 John R. Lewis Police Justice.

0099

\$1000 for Ex^o 230. Phe. book 23/93. 2/10

Police Court District 1262

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Nathan Friedman
22 *N. York*
Mimi Jacobson
William Friedman
Sam Osh

16/1
Office
1262
Samuel...

BAILED,

No. 1, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 2, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 3, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 4, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

Dated *Nov 23* 189

Working Magistrate.

Schmidt & Foley Officer.

_____ Precinct.

Witnesses *Officers*

No. _____ Street.

Rachmell Abramowitz


No. *13 no...* Street.

Isaac...

No. *19...* Street.

W. J. Jordan to answer

Nov 23 Discharge



COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE,
CITY & COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE,)	
)	BEFORE
VS.)	
)	HON. REUBEN BROWNING,
MORRIS JACOBSON,)	
and)	AND A JURY.
HENRY LITTAUER.)	
)	

TRIED NEW YORK, JANUARY 3rd, 1894.

INDICTED FOR BURGLARY IN THE FIRST DEGREE.
INDICTMENT FILED DECEMBER 15th, 1893.

APPEARANCES:

ASSESTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY JAMES W. OSBORNE,
FOR THE PEOPLE.

JACOB BERLINGER, ESQ.,
FOR THE DEFENSE.

////////////////////

0101

2

NATHAN FREEDMAN, The COMPLAINANT, testified that he lived at number 22 Norfolk Street, on the third floor of the house, which is a flat-house. On the morning of November 15th, 1893, he, the complainant, had \$500.00 in money, and \$200.00 worth of jewelry. The money was in the complainant's trousers, in the front room. He, the complainant, got up, about half-past four, and found the window and door open, and his property gone, and his trousers were in the hallway, and his other clothes were in the kitchen. He, the complainant, reported his loss to the 13th Precinct Station. At about 12 o'clock, on the previous night, he, the complainant, saw the defendants on the stoop of his house. Littauer asked him, the complainant, the time. He, the complainant, pulled out his gold watch and said to Littauer, "What do you want to know the time for, boy?" The defendant worked one week for him, the complainant, the year before. He, the complainant, has had no talk with either of the defendants

since the robbery. Subsequently he, the complainant, testified that he received a postal-card from Littauer, asking him to call at the Tombs, to see him, Littauer, and he called there.

Littauer said to him, the complainant, "If you want to get your money, you go to Mr. Aaron Cohen and Mr. Isaac Behrman, and the jewelry was sold in Behrman's place, to a cousin of Behrman. His name is Louis." He, the complainant, never recovered his money or jewelry, or any part of it.

In

CROSS-EXAMINATION

the complainant testified that the postal-card referred to was in the possession of his, the complainant's lawyer. He, the complainant, called on Littauer, at the Tombs, with a pass, about two weeks ago. The jewelry was in his, the complainant's vest pocket, and the money was in his trousers's pocket. He laid his trousers down at half-past 12 o'clock, when he went to bed. He is in the housefurnishing business, in Hester Street. He has a safe in his store, but none in

0103

4

his house. He locked up his store at 12 o'clock. The money he saved, in his business, to invest in stock, in Trenton. Morris Jacobson said to him, the complainant, that he went down the fire escape, the night before, to rob him, the complainant, but he heard the complainant's wife awake. He, the complainant, heard that statement made in the Essex Market, before the judge. Both defendants went down the fire escape, on the night before, to commit the robbery, but, on that night, the \$500.00 was in his the complainant's safe. He, the complainant, took the money out of the safe to invest, in Trenton, the next day. He intended to take the 6 o'clock train in the morning. He generally opened his store about half-past 7 or 8 o'clock. He bought his stock, or, rather, crockery in New Jersey. Littauer said that Morris Jacobson took the property, and Morris Jacobson said that Henry Littauer went in and got the money. Littauer left that night, and he, the complainant, could not find him for five days. The defendants admitted that each of them got half of the money.

Morris Jacobson said that he gave \$95.00 to Behrman, and Littauer said that he gave \$90.00 to Cohen. He, the complainant, did not have any talk with Isaac Behrman in the presence of either of the defendants. He, the complainant, had two sons, the eldest being Morris Freedman. He, the complainant, sent his eldest son to see the defendants after they had been locked up. His, the complainant's, son did not give each of the defendants five dollars. His son is 20 years of age and works for him, and never has any money, except what he, the complainant, gives him on Saturdays, as spending money.

MORRIS SCHWARTZ, testified that he is an officer connected with the Municipal Police of the City assigned, to duty in the 11th Precinct. About the 21st of October he, the witness, had a conversation with the defendants. He had not been looking for them prior to that time. On November 21st he the witness, arrested Morris Jacobson, and he was brought into the station-house, by an officer on

post, on Mr. Freedman's complaint. He, the witness, asked Jacobson if he committed the burglary and he denied it. He said he didn't know anything at all about it. Then he, the witness, took him to court the next morning, November 22nd. He said that he and "Dutch" (meaning Littauer) went into the house, and "Dutch" got \$195.00 and the jewelry. He got \$95.00 out of it and the rest Littauer took, and the jewelry they took into a saloon at the corner of Norfolk and Hester Streets and they sold it to a man named "Louis", for \$30.00. Then he the witness, arrested, on the boys affidavit, Behrman, for receiving the \$95.00, and he denied all knowledge of it. He, the witness, brought Behrman into the station-house, and, when he saw Jacobson under arrest, he admitted he had \$50.00, Jacobson being present at that time. He, Behrman said that Jacobson gave him \$95.00, \$45.00 of which he gave back to him, Behrman keeping \$50.00; and he had his back to his father's store, in the presence of Jacobson, and he asked the father for money, but they didn't have it in the house, so he,

7

the witness, took Behrman to the station-house, and the next day, the case was set down for examination, and Behrman was discharged and Jacobson held. On the 24th, he, the witness, arrested Littauer, who confessed that he committed the burglary with Morris Jacobson. He said that Morris Jacobson went into the rooms, and that he saw him running through the streets and asked him where he was running and he gave him \$95.00. He also said that he saw Morris Jacobson sell the jewelry to Louis for \$30.00. He, the witness, talked with him in the presence of Behrman, Littauer said that Behrman asked Morris Jacobson where he got the money and he said, "We stole it," and he received the money and the next night they went to a theatre and had "A large time" there.

In

CROSS-EXAMINATION

the witness, testified that Morris Jacobson told him, the witness, about the jewelry. He said it was sold, but he did not state to whom he had sold it: but Littauer told him, the witness, that

it was sold to a man named "Louis", a cousin of Behrman. He, the witness, looked for "Louis", but, he moved away after the thing happened---he used to live with Behrman. The jewelry was never recovered.

THE DEFENSE.

MORRIS JACOBSON, one of the defendants testified that he had known Mr. Freedman for a year. He had never worked for him. He, the witness, never entered Mr. Freedman's premises to steal jewelry and money from him. He, Mr. Freedman, took him, the witness, in to his store, and said "Morris, do you want to make a couple of dollars?" And he, the defendant said, "Yes", and he said, "I will give you \$10.00 for you to say that you be the thief that stole from me \$500.00 in money and \$200.00 in jewelry, and I want to have locked up Behrman and Cohen. I want to get satisfaction from those sons-of-bitches," and he the witness, took the \$10.00 and bought a suit of clothes, and,

0108

9

in a couple of days he locked the witness, up, and Detective Schwartz said, "You tell everything and I take you out." He, the defendant, for \$10.00, told the complainant that he, the defendant, stole his money and jewelry. He, the defendant, further testified that Freedman's son gave him \$5.00 in the Tombs, the same day that he was indicted, and said, "Don't be afraid. You can't get more than three months, and if you get more than three months I will get up a petition that will take you out." And he said, "Don't tell right away if they lock you up, stay a couple of days around." When he, the defendant, was locked up, he had \$1.75. He, the defendant, was arrested only once before--- for peddling and he was fined two dollars.

In

CROSS-EXAMINATION

the defendant testified that he had the conversation with Mr. Freedman just referred to, at his store, on the 10th of the month---he was not certain as to the date. He, the defendant, was arrested on November 22nd and the burglary was committed on

the the 5th, and, two weeks before he was arrested, he went in there, and made the agreement to have himself accused of the crime, and received \$10.00 for it, which he spent before he was arrested. The conversation took place between himself, Mr. Freedman, and Mr. Freedman's son. He, the defendant, did not know anything about the agreement with the other defendant, only he, Mr. Freedman, said that he was going to get another boy. The defendants testified further, 'Well', he, said, to me, 'Morris, you want to make a couple of dollars?' And, I said, 'Yes sir.' and, he said, 'I will give you \$10.00 or \$15.00 more, only you say that you stole from me \$500.00 in property, and \$200.00 in jewelry, and you say you are a thief, and you give Mr. Behrman my money, and "Dutch give Cohen money."' He, the defendant, was in Mr. Freedman's store twice. When he, the defendant, was in Mr. Freedman's store afterwards, Mr. Freedman said to him the defendant, "Do you know who I have got? I have got 'Dutch'." That was two days after. The son, the father and the defendant were present, 'Dutch'

not being there. He did not say anything about 'Dutch' the first time; only the second day. He, the witness, had known him nearly thirteen months. He, the defendant, knew that Behrman was going to be locked up when the charge was made, and he knew that Mr. Freedman was "Going to get even with the son-of-a-bitch." When he, the defendant, agreed with Mr. Freedman as he described, he supposed that he was going to be a witness and did not think he was going to get into trouble or be imprisoned in the Tombs. He, the defendant, is 14 years of age and came from Russia. Mr. Freedman keeps a large crockery store. When he, the defendant, was arrested, he told the officer that he took the money---he told him to say that---Mr. Freedman, and also the officer, told him, the defendant, to say that. He, the defendant, told the officer that Littauer was in and took the money. The defendant testified, "Well, he make agreement with me to say like that, I say of 'Dutch', and 'Dutch' will say of me. He said I must say it of 'Dutch' and 'Dutch' should say it of me." He, the defendant, denied that he

01111

testified that he agreed to go in and steal. When he, the defendant was arrested he was working for Edelson, a butcher, and lived at 29 Hester Street. Afterwards he lived at 88 Ludlow Street. The \$95.00 he, the defendant, had saved during the summer; the money was his own. Two weeks before he, the defendant, was locked up, he gave Behrman the \$95.00. His, the defendant's name, is Morris Jacobson. He, the defendant, at first denied that he made and signed the statement in the police-court but, afterwards, admitted it. He, the defendant, at that time stated that the burglary had been committed by Cohen through Cohen's rooms. When Mr. Freedman gave him, the defendant, the \$10.00, he told him to testify as above---to tell all about the fire-escape, but not about the woman being asleep there the night before; he, did not state before the police justice that, "'Dutch" "'Dutch' went down and looked through the window, the night before, and saw a woman asleep there, and he, the defendant, were together and that 'Dutch' went through Cohen's window, and attempted to

burglarize Freedman's place, the night before. He, the defendant, lived at 88 Lullow Street about three weeks; he lived there with a woman. He had no father or mother.

HENRY LITTAUER, the co-defendant, testified that he lived with Mr. Cohen, for whom he worked about three years. He went away three nights before the robbery, because he quarreled with Cohen's wife, and for three nights he slept at 83 Bowery. He, the defendant, also, had worked for Mr. Freedman, and had known him for two years. He, the defendant, did not go into Mr. Freedman's window, through Mr. Cohen's rooms, and he did not steal \$500.00 and some jewelry. He, the defendant, at one time had been convicted, and had been fined \$5.00 for fighting. The day before his arrest, he had a conversation with Mr. Freedman about the case. He said to the defendant that he "has got arrested another boy. His name is Morris Jacobson, and when I am willing to swear that I see Morris Jacobson give money to Behrman,

well, he, fixed me. He give me a job and every-
thing; that I get out; and if I do get sent away,
on account of that, he will get politicians to
take me out. That is what Mr. Freedman told me."
Mr. Freedman's son called on him, the defendant,
on the Tombs, and said that everything was all right
and gave him, the defendant, \$5.00, and said that his
his, Freedman's, father would be there in a couple
of days and fix him, the defendant. Subse-
quently, the defendant testified that the other
defendant received the \$5.00, and that Freedman's
son promised that his (Freedman's) father would
call in a few days and "fix" him, Littauer, later,
the father called and gave him, Littauer, \$5.00,
and said, "I have got my lawyer downstairs, and
he has a paper, and you go down stairs and sign
that paper, and everything will be all right."
He, the defendant, did not sign the paper that
the lawyer gave him to sign.

In

CROSS-EXAMINATION

the defendant testified that the first talk that

0114

15

that he had with Mr. Freedman was two or three days after the robbery was committed; he also had a talk with him the day before his arrest. At the first talk, Mr. Freedman gave him, the defendant, \$10.00 and at the Tombs he gave him, the defendant, \$5.00. The defendant testified, "Mr. Freedman he said, 'I have you arrested, because I missed \$500.00 in money and \$200.00 in jewelry, and a gold watch and a diamond stud, and when you are going to swear that you did steal the money, and if you are going to say that you stole the money and the jewelry and you give the "kid" half of it, and you have half of it, and you give your own money to Mr. Cohen, and 'the kid' give his own money to Mr. Behrman, the saloonkeeper, that time I have got, try and get my money from Mr. Behrman and Mr. Cohen. Give no money at all to Cohen, only I was working by him for three years, and he lives on the top floor---he don't owe me nothing. I never gave a cent to Mr. Cohen, and did not say so. He, the defendant, agreed to say that he gave the money to Mr. Cohen, and that "Dutch" was to

0115

16

to say that he gave his money to Behrman. Mr. Freedman brought a lawyer for him, the defendant, to sign a paper, in the toms. He, the defendant, knew the lawyer by sight, but not by name; but he knew the name of the father of the lawyer was Weingarten, and he kept a fish store on Hester Street, between Norfolk and Essex Streets. The Lawyer said to him, the defendant, that Mr. Freedman employed him, the lawyer, to have him, the defendant sign the paper, and he, the defendant, refused to sign it. He, the defendant, said, in the police-court, that Jacobson stole the money-- that he, the defendant, saw Jacobson with the money, and he gave him, the defendant, half of it, so that he would not tell about it. He, the defendant, testified that that is what Mr. Freedman told him, the defendant, to say. He, the defendant, made a written statement in the Tombs, but did not tell the officer that he gave part of the money to Cohen. Freedman's son gave Jacobson \$5.00 and gave him, the defendant, \$5.00, also. Four days after being indicted, Freedman gave him, the defendant, \$5.00

more. At the time of his arrest, he, the defendant was working for MR. Aaron Cohen, but left him 3 day before the arrest, because he, the defendant, had a fight with Cohen's wife. He, the defendant, knew that Behrman was going to be arrested and that he, the defendant, was going to swear that the money was given to Behrman. He, the defendant, had known Behrman about 7n or 8 months.

In

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

the defendant testified that Mr. Freedman said that he wanted to have him, the defendant, and Behrman and Cohen arrested, so that he, Mr. Freedman, could get the money out of Behrman and Cohen, and that then he, Mr. Freedman, would get some politicians to get him, the defendant, out of prison. For testifying falsely, for Mr. Freedman, he, the defendant, received from Mr. Freedman, \$10.00 and also \$5.00 in the Tombs.

JOHN MCLEY testified that he was connected with the Municipal Police, assigned to the 11th precinct. He, the witness, had a conversation with the de-

defendants at the station-house, and Jacobson said that if he, the witness, went to the corner of Norfolk and Hester street, he could get the money. He, the witness, went there and saw a young man named Behrman, and asked him what he knew about the matter, and he said that he knew nothing. Then, he, the witness, took Behrman to the station-house, and Jacobson said to Behrman, "I give you \$95, and you have got some of my money now." Behrman said, "If you will go back to my saloon, I will give you back what I have got of the money." He, the witness, went and saw the defendant's father, who said, "I haven't got no money, and he went out to get some money, but did not return, and then, he the witness locked the defendant up in the station-house. Several days later, the other defendant was arrested. Littauer said that he stood outside while Jacobson committed the burglary, and then they went down to Norfolk street and divided the money, and sold the jewelry, at Norfolk and Hester Streets, for \$30. to a man named "Louis." When he, the witness, arrested Behr-

man, he, the witness, accused him of receiving stolen property from Jacobson, but he denied it, and said that he did not know the defendants and had never seen them. At the station-house, Behrman said to Jacobson, "I have got nothing belonging to you." Jacobson said, "You've got \$95 of my money," and Behrman said, "Well, I gave you back about \$45 of it, and I have got \$50 more." At the police-court Behrman was discharged. Two days afterwards, Littauer was arrested, and he said that he saw Jacobson, "Louis" and Behrman in Behrman's saloon, and that the three just mentioned concluded a sale of the jewelry for \$30. Behrman gave "Louis" the money to pay for the property.

In

RE-CROSS-EXAMINATION

the witness testified that Behrman said to him, the witness, that Jacobson gave him the money to keep for him.

NATHAN FREEDMAN, being recalled, by The Court, testified that he did not give the defendants or either of

them \$10 to swear falsely that they stole the money and gave it to Behrman and Cohen. He, the witness, did not give them any money.

In

RE-DIRECT-EXAMINATION

the witness testified that he went to the Tombs with Mr. Henry of the firm of Levien & Henry. He, the witness, had known Behrman about 5 or 6 months. He had never quarrelled with Behrman, and has no spite against him. He, the witness, has been in business for himself in this City about 15 years. He, the witness, never said that he would "get even with the son-of-a-bitch", referring to Behrman. He, the witness, went with his lawyer to see Littauer in the Tombs, because Littauer sent word to him, the witness, that he would tell him where he could recover his property; that he, Littauer, would not "squeal" on Cohen, because Cohen promised to get him, Littauer, out on bail, but as he had not bailed him out, he, the defendant, would "squeal on him.

0120

21

MORRIS FREEDMAN testified that he works for his father at No. 22 & 24 Hester Street. In consequence of a postal-card, which he received, he, the witness, went to the Tombs, with his Lawyer, Mr Henry, to see Littauer, and find out what he had to say. Littauer and Jacobson occupied separate cells, about 10 feet apart, but within hearing distance of each other. The witness testified: "Morris Jacobson said, 'Hello! You got a postal-card?' and I said, 'Yes.' Jacobson knew that Littauer sent the postal-card. So Morris Jacobson said, 'Go over, and Henry Littauer wants to see you.' And Littauer says to me, 'Now, look here. What good will it do you if I will be arrested or sentenced for five or ten years? You ain't got your money back.' And I said, 'Why don't you tell what you know? You didn't want to 'squeal' in court.' He said, 'I will tell you the truth, if you don't go hard against me. Mr Cohen received \$95, and he allowed me through his window to commit the robbery, and the watch and chain was sold in his presence, in Behrman's presence, and he was afraid

to buy it, and then he said, 'Louis, you buy the watch.' And he said, 'Well, I havent got the money.' And he said, 'Well, here is \$33.' And Cohen got \$90 from the other boy, and he said, 'Will you give me a package of cigarettes?' And I said, 'I havent got but one.' And I gave it to him. And, so, then the other boy calls me back and he said, 'Will you go downstairs and get me 25 cents worth of cake?' And I said I didn't have the money. And Hess said, 'I have,' and he pulled out a tobacco bag with change in it, and he gave a quarter, and he said, 'I have got plenty of money. I get it from people. They are giving the money. And I went out and got the cake and sent it upstairs to them.' He, the witness, did not give them \$5.

(The Jury find the defendants guilty of Grand Larceny in the First Degree.)

////////////////////

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against
Morris Jacobson
 and
Henry Littauer

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Morris Jacobson and *Henry Littauer*
 of the CRIME OF BURGLARY in the *first* degree, committed as follows:

The said *Morris Jacobson* and
Henry Littauer, both —

late of the *13th* Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the
fifteenth day of *November* in the year of our Lord one
 thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three* in the *right* time of the same day, at the
 Ward, City and County aforesaid, the dwelling house of one *Nathan Freedman*

there situate, feloniously and burglariously did break into and enter, there being then and there a
 human being within the said dwelling house, with intent to commit some crime therein, to wit: the
 goods, chattels and personal property of the said *Nathan Freedman*

in the said dwelling house then and there being, then and there feloniously and burglariously to steal,
 take and carry away,

the said Morris Jacobson
 and *Henry Littauer* and each
 of them being then and there
 assisted by a confederate actually
 present, to wit: each by the other

against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the
 People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment further accuse the said
Morris Jacobson and Henry Littauer
 of the CRIME OF *Grand* LARCENY in the first degree committed as follows:

The said

Morris Jacobson and Henry Littauer, both
 late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid,
 at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, in the *night* time of the said day, with force and arms,

*the sum of five hundred
 dollars in money, lawful money
 of the United States of America, and
 of the value of five hundred
 dollars, and divers articles
 of jewelry of a number and
 description to the Grand Jury
 aforesaid unknown, of the
 value of two hundred dollars,*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

in the dwelling house of the said

Nathan Freedman
Nathan Freedman

there situate, then and there being found, from the dwelling house aforesaid, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

De Lancey Nicoll
District Attorney

0124

BOX:

544

FOLDER:

4947

DESCRIPTION:

Jahr, John

DATE:

12/20/93



4947

Witnesses:

Charles Warbach

W. D. Mellore

Counsel

Filed *20* day of *Dec* 189*3*

Pleas, *Ignorance*

THE PEOPLE

vs.

P

John Jahn

Assault in the First Degree, etc.
(Sections 217 and 218, Penal Code.)

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

B. Lockwood
Foreman.

*on M.C. of D. Casey
p.p.s. desc^d on list
with rec^d of
See Mr. Noble's opinion
written
Dec 26 1893*

Upon the statement of
Mr. Nicoll within saying
that he sees no objection
to allowing the complaint
in this case to be with-
drawn I respectfully
recommend that the de-
fendant be discharged on
his own recognizance

Part II Thomas J. Bradlee
Dec 26/93 Deputy

New York General Sessions.

PEOPLE ON MY COMPLAINT,
VERSUS

As complainant in the above case, I beg to recommend the defendant to such leniency and clemency as the Court and District Attorney may see fit to show; but I expressly assert that my reasons for so doing are not controlled by any advantage to myself.

I am actuated in this recommendation by the fact that this defendant is the sole support of his family, and the further fact that said assault was committed during an altercation, in which I was an aggressor

Chas. Marlach

0127

District Attorney's Office,
City & County of
New York.

and

John Gahr

in Manly:

I have no
objection to allowing
the complainant
to withdraw de-
termining this case

D. M. Reed

0128

Department of Public Charities and Correction.

BELLEVUE HOSPITAL,
WARDEN'S OFFICE,

WM. B. O'ROURKE,
Warden.

New York, Dec 11. 1893.

This is to certify that
Angelo Marbach is at
present confined in the
wards of this Hospital
is improving, but not as
yet able to leave Hospital.

James
House Surgeon.

0 129

1852

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

POLICE COURT 3 DISTRICT.

Henry Lang
of No. 141 Street, aged years,
occupation being duly sworn, deposes and says
that on the 9 day of Dec 1893
at the City of New York in the County of New York,

Sworn to before me, this

of 1893

day

The Jhr (now here)
Walter, Capt. & wounded
Chas. Harbach who is
now in Hospital and
request asks that said
Jahr be detained to
await the result of
said assault

Henry Lang,

Police Justice

0130

207 *G*
Police Court, _____ District.

THE PEOPLE, Etc.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

John John
vs.

AFFIDAVIT.

Dated *Dec 11* 189*3*

[Signature] Magistrate.

[Signature] Officer.

Witness,

Disposition,

*1000 to award sum of
of injuria*

0132

Police Court— District.

1891

City and County } ss.:
of New York, }

of No. 546-17th Street, aged 23 years,
occupation Reader being duly sworn,
deposes and says, that on the 9 day of December 1891 at the City of New
York, in the County of New York,

he was violently and feloniously ASSAULTED and BEATEN by John Lahr
who cut and stabbed de-
ponent on the stomach
with the blade of a knife,
which he then held in his
hand and said assault
was committed

with the felonious intent to take the life of deponent, or to do him grievous bodily harm; and without
any justification on the part of the said assailant.

Wherefore this deponent prays that the said assailant may be apprehended and bound to answer
for the above assault, etc., and be dealt with according to law.

Sworn to before me, this 15 day }
of December 1891 } John Lahr

John Ryan Police Justice.

0133

Sec. 198-200

J

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK ss.

John Jahn

being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

27 years John Jahn

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

27 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

New York

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

607 E 12th St. 1 month

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Driver

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer.

I am not guilty

John Jahn

Taken before me this

day of

189

[Signature]

Police Justice.

0134

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named.....

Refundans
guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *100* Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated *Dec 10* 189 *E. J. Ryan* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named.....
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated..... 189 Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named.....
guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order h to be discharged.

Dated..... 189..... Police Justice.

0135

Police Court--- District.

3-1339

THE PEOPLE, &c.
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Chas Marbach
John Fahr

John Fahr
Offense

Dated *Dec 13* 189

Regan Magistrate.

Rang Officer.

14 Precinct.

Witnesses..... Street.

No. Street.

No. Street.

No. Street.



1000 to answer *[Signature]*
[Signature]

BAILED,

No. 1, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 2, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 3, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 4, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

John Jahr

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

John Jahr

of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE FIRST DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

John Jahr

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *month*
day of *December* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and
ninety-*three*, with force and arms, at the City and County aforesaid, in and upon
the body of one *Charles Marbach* in the peace of the said People
then and there being, feloniously did make an assault, and *him* the said
Charles Marbach with a certain *knife*

which the said *John Jahr*
in *his* right hand then and there had and held, the same being a deadly and
dangerous weapon, then and there wilfully and feloniously did strike, beat, cut, stab and
wound,

with intent *him* the said *Charles Marbach*
thereby then and there feloniously and wilfully to kill, against the form of the statute in
such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and
their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said

John Jahr

of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

John Jahr

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: On the day and in the year aforesaid,
at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and upon the body of the said
Charles Marbach in the peace of the said
People then and there being, feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully make another assault,
and *him* the said *Charles Marbach*
with a certain *knife*

which the said *John Jahr*
in *his* right hand then and there had and held, the same being a weapon and
an instrument likely to produce grievous bodily harm, then and there feloniously did wilfully
and wrongfully strike, beat, cut, stab and wound, against the form of the statute in such case
made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their
dignity.

THIRD COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said

John Jahn

of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

John Jahn

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: On the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and upon the said *Charles Marbach* in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully make another assault, and *him* the said with a certain *knife Charles Marbach*

which

he

the said

John Jahn

in

his

right hand then and there had and held, in and upon the

stomach

of *him*

the said

Charles Marbach

then and there feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully strike, beat, stab, cut, ~~bruise~~ and wound, and did then and there and by the means aforesaid, feloniously, wilfully and wrongfully inflict grievous bodily harm upon the said

Charles Marbach

against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

0138

BOX:

544

FOLDER:

4947

DESCRIPTION:

James, Frank

DATE:

12/06/93



4947

0139

19

Witnesses:

Ellen L. Evey
Officer N. O'Neill
Central Park

Counsel,

Filed

6 day of *Dec* 189*3*

Pleads,

23
137260

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Frank James

Grand Larceny, *First Degree.*
From the Person.
[Sections 528, 530, — Penal Code.]

Dec 9/93
11/3
OF LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

Pen 6 months

A TRUE BILL.

B. Lockwood

Foreman.

Police Court—4 District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County }
of New York, } ss.

of No. 157 Columbus Avenue Street, aged 38 years.

occupation Keep House being duly sworn,

deposes and says, that on the 27 day of March 1892 at the City of New

York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession

And from

of deponent, in Day time, the following property, viz:

A pocketbook containing gold and
silver money to the amount of One
dollar

the property of deponent

and that this deponent
has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen
and carried away by Frank James (a/k/a Doc)

from the fact that deponent
was carrying said pocketbook in
her left hand and walking in
Columbus Avenue between 62nd and 63rd Street
when the said defendant seized
hold of said pocketbook and ran
away. Deponent ran after said defendant
and shouted stop thief. Deponent is informed
by Officer Nicholas Quill of the Central
Park Police that he arrested the said
defendant while in the act of running
at 60th Street and 8th Avenue. Deponent identifies
the said defendant as the person who did
take said and carry away property from the
possession of deponent E. J. Gray

Sworn to before me, this 27 day of March 1892

W. J. [Signature]

Police Justice

0141

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Frank James being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Frank James*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *33 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *Canada*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *137 Pr. 60 Street 2 weeks*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Laborer*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. *I am very guilty*

Frank James.

Taken before me this _____ day of _____ 1892
[Signature]
Police Justice.

0142

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Agmon

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Ten Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated Nov 28 1893 Thos. J. Brady Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named _____ to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____ guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order he to be discharged.

Dated _____ 189 _____ Police Justice

0143

Police Court--- District. ¹²⁷¹

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Ellis C. Perry
137 Columbia Street
Frank James

George Perry
Offence
John Perry

1 _____
2 _____
3 _____
4 _____

Dated *Nov 28* 189

Crane Magistrate.

Crane Officer.

Crane Const.

Witnesses *Call Officer*

No. _____ Street.

No. _____ Street.

No. _____ Street.

No. _____ Street.

\$ *1000* to answer *W.*



John Perry

BAILED.

No. 1, by _____

Residence _____ Street

No. 2, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 3, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 4, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Frank James

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Frank James

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY in the *first* degree, committed as follows:

The said *Frank James*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *twenty-seventh* day of *November*, in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three*, in the *night*-time of the said day, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

the sum of one dollar in money, lawful money of the United States of America, and of the value of one dollar, and one pocketbook of the value of one dollar

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one *Ellen L. Every* on the person of the said *Ellen L. Every* then and there being found, from the person of the said *Ellen L. Every* then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

Dehancey Nicoll
District Attorney

0145

BOX:

544

FOLDER:

4947

DESCRIPTION:

Jardine, Robert

DATE:

12/08/93



4947

Witnesses:

John M. Peck
officer Thomas Kelly
of the precinct

5th

Counsel,

Filed

day of

1893

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Robert Jardine

Grand Larceny, Second Degree.
From the Person.
[Sections 528, 531, Penal Code.]

DR LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

Part I. Dec. 18th 93 *Jordan*

A TRUE BILL.

Boyd Oakwood
Dec 18 1893
Deputy
Discharged by *Paul*
whom you see

I cannot find
in this case
sufficient testimony
to justify putting
the Defendant to trial.
The identification
of the Defendant is
incomplete. I must
therefore recommend
his discharge upon
his own recognizance.
Wm. Jordan
Dec. 18th 93
D. Asst. Dist. Atty.

0147

Police Court 2 District Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County of New York, ss:

John M. Peck

of No. # 288 West Houston Street, aged 25 years,

occupation Performer being duly sworn,

deposes and says, that on the 30 day of November 1895 at the City of

New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away

from the possession of deponent, in the presence of the following property, viz:

One gold watch and chain
of the value of fifty five
dollars
(\$55.00)

the property of deponent

and that this deponent

has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously

taken, stolen and carried away by Robert Jordan (nowhere)

for the following reasons, to wit:

That deponent saw the defendant

grab and steal the said

property from the lower left

hand pocket of a vest that

deponent was then and there

wearing upon his person

John M. Peck

Sworn to before me this 1st day of December 1895 at New York City, New York.
Wm. H. [Signature]
Police Justice.

0148

Sec. 198-200

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss.

Robert Jordane

being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Robert Jordane

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

17 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

New York

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

314 Hudson St., N.Y.C.

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

None

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer.

I am not guilty

Robt. Jordane

Taken before me this
day of *April* 191*4*
[Signature]

Police Justice

0149

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of ten Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated Dec 1 1890 [Signature] Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named _____ to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated _____ 18 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____ guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order he to be discharged.

Dated _____ 18 _____ Police Justice.

0150

*100.00 fine
by adj. to here. at 2 PM*

Police Court *241* District. 1286

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

John M. Packer
Robert Gardner

258 W. Houston

1
2
3
4

Officer
M. Johnson

BAILED,

No. 1, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 2, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 3, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 4, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

Dated *Dec 1* 18*93*

Perch

Magistrate.

Mally

Officer.

Precinct.


Witnesses _____

No. _____ Street.

No. _____ Street.

No. _____ Street.

\$ *1000* to answer _____



Can *gtr*

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Robert Jardine

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Robert Jardine

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY in the *second* degree, committed as follows:

The said

Robert Jardine

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *thirtieth* day of *November*, in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three*, in the *day*-time of the said day, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

one watch of the value of forty dollars and one chain of the value of fifteen dollars

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

on the person of the said

then and there being found, from the person of the said

then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

John M. Peck
John M. Peck
John M. Peck
De Lancey Nicoll,
District Attorney

0152

BOX:

544

FOLDER:

4947

DESCRIPTION:

Jefferson, Joseph

DATE:

12/22/93



4947

Bail fixed at one thousand
dollars

64

Witnesses:
Henry Alderbrand
Michael F. Blake
Patrick J. Scully
Henry Washington
William S. Spring
William H. Kubie

Feb 14 1894
Same recommendation as in
case of People v John D Hall
for same reasons
J. P. [Signature]
Dist. Atty

27 v 1404

COURT OF OYER AND TERMINER.

Counsel,
Filed 22nd day of Dec 1893
Pleads, Not Guilty (27)

THE PEOPLE
vs.

Joseph Jefferson
15 1/2 Downing St

PERJURY.
[Section 96, Penal Code, and Chapter 680, Laws of
1892, section 104.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney
PH 3 Dec 26 93
at room

A True Bill.

R. J. Cross Foreman.
Feb. 16, 1894
Indictment dismissed
JCS

Court of Oyer and Terminer

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Joseph Jefferson

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this Indictment accuse *Joseph Jefferson*

of the crime of Perjury, committed as follows:

Heretofore, to wit: on Tuesday, the seventh day of November, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-three (the same being the Tuesday next succeeding the first Monday of the said month of November), there was held a general election throughout the State of New York and in and throughout the said City and County of New York;

And on the day and in the year aforesaid, and at the said election, the said *Joseph Jefferson* late of the City and County, being a resident of the *Twenty sixth* Election District of the *Fourth* Assembly District of the said City and County, and a voter entitled to vote at the said election in the said election district, for the purpose of voting at the said election, did personally present himself at the duly designated polling place of the said election in and for the said election district, the polls of the said election in and for the said election district being then open, and to and before *Thomas* *W. Mahan, John Dunning and Charles J. Rege,*

then and there being the Inspectors of Election of the said election district, at the said election, at a meeting of the said Inspectors of Election then being duly held in the said polling place for the purposes of the said election.

And the said *Joseph Jefferson* did then and there desire and require of the said Inspectors of Election that he might select a person for the purpose of assisting him to receive and prepare his ballots, and who should be allowed to pass within the guard rail of the said polling place and receive his official ballots, and enter one of the voting booths of the said polling place, with him, and there assist him in preparing his ballot.

And thereupon he, the said *Joseph Jefferson* was then and there in due form of law sworn, and did take his corporal oath, by and before the said Inspectors of Election, touching his right to select such person to assist him in receiving and preparing his ballots as aforesaid, they, the said Inspectors of Election, then and there having full and competent power and authority to administer the said oath to the said *Joseph Jefferson* in that behalf.

And the said Joseph Jefferson being so sworn as aforesaid, upon his oath aforesaid, before the said Inspectors of Election, then and there feloniously, wilfully, knowingly and corruptly, did falsely swear, declare and say, that by reason of

defective eyesight he, the said Joseph Jefferson was then and there unable to receive or prepare his ballots without assistance.

Whereas, in truth and in fact he, the said Joseph Jefferson was not by reason of defective eyesight

then and there unable to receive or prepare his ballots without assistance, as he then and there well knew.

And so the Grand Jury aforesaid do say that the said Joseph Jefferson in manner and form aforesaid, feloniously, wilfully, knowingly, corruptly and falsely, did commit wilful and corrupt perjury; against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

0156

BOX:

544

FOLDER:

4947

DESCRIPTION:

Johnson, Charles

DATE:

12/19/93



4947

0157

Witnesses:

officer John Bowling
34th Precinct

*166
ordered*

Counsel,

X

Filed

19

day of

Dec

189 *3*

Pleads,

*vs
Bumville
Conh...*

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Charles Johnson
Dec 19/93
Henry G. Gray

Grand Larceny, 3rd Second Degree.
[Sections 528, 531, 532 Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

S.P. 2 years.

A TRUE BILL.

B. Loewood

Foreman.

0158

Police Court 6 District. Affidavit-Larceny.

City and County } ss:
of New York, }

Augustus P. Rockwell

of No Bonnyville Westchester Co NY Street, aged 53 years,

occupation Merchant being duly sworn,

deposes and says, that on the 11th day of December 1893 at the City of New York

Westchester State of New York was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in the night time, the following property, viz:

One raccoon robe, one lapa robe, and one pair of heavy horse blankets. together of the value of thirty five dollars.

the property of deponent.

and that this deponent

has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, and thereafter brought into the County of New York stolen and carried away by

Charles Johnson (now here) from the fact that at about the hour of 7.30 o'clock A.M. December 12. 1893. deponent missed the aforesaid property from deponent's barn in Bonnyville Westchester Co New York. Deponent is informed by Officer John Dowling of the 134th Precinct Police that at the hour of 4.50 o'clock A.M. December 12th 1893. he arrested this defendant in Fordham square New York city with the aforesaid property in his possession. Deponent further says that he identifies

Subscribed before me this 11th day of December 1893
Police Justice

the property found in this defendant's possession by the Officer on his and that he heard the defendant admit and confess in open Court. That he did steal said property from defendant's firm in Bronxville Westchester Co. N.Y. Wherefore defendant charges the said defendant with feloniously taking stealing and carrying away said property from Bronxville Westchester Co. and thereafter bringing the same into the County of New York

Sworn to before me } Augustus P. Rockwell
this 13th day of Dec 1893

G. E. Sumner Jr
Police Justice

0 160

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

John Dowling

aged _____ years, occupation *Police Officer* of No.

34th Precinct Police Street, being duly sworn deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of *Augustus P. Hochstadt*

and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponents' own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this *17* day of *June* 18*90*, *John Dowling*

C. E. Sumner
Police Justice.

0 16 1

Sec. 198-200.

NY 65

1882
District Police Court.

City and County of New York, ss:

Charles Johnson being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to a charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him; if he see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Charles Johnson

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

28 years old.

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

New Scotia

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

Bronxville NY - 3 mos

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Teacher

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer.

I am guilty

Charles Johnson

Taken before me this

day of

Sept

1893

13

Police Justice.

0162

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named _____
 _____ *John Smith* _____
 guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *Fifteen* Hundred Dollars, _____ and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.
 Dated, *Dec 13th* 1893 _____ *Ed. J. ...* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named _____
 to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.
 Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____
 _____ guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.
 Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

0163

166
Police Court---6--- District 1329
1884

THE PEOPLE
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Augustus P. Rockwell
Bronxville Westchester Co.
vs.
Charles Johnson

160
Case
Carving

2
3
4

Dated, Dec 13 1893

Simms Magistrate.

John Dowling Officer.

34 Precinct.

Witnesses Off John Dowling

No. 34 Court Street.

Char. Adams
of Mr Dutcher
Bronxville N.Y. Street.

Char W. Dutcher
Bronxville N.Y. Street.

\$ 1500 to answer

DEC 14 1893
DISTRICT ATTORNEY

BAILED,

No. 1, by
Residence Street.

No. 2, by
Residence Street.

No. 3, by
Residence Street.

No. 4, by
Residence Street.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Charles Johnson

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Charles Johnson
of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE *second* DEGREE, committed
as follows:

The said

Charles Johnson

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the
day of *December*, in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred and
ninety-*three*, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

*two robes of the value of
fifteen dollars each, and
two blankets of the value
of five dollars each*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one *Augustus P. Rockwell*

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against
the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People
of the State of New York and their dignity.

Dehauncey Nicoll
District Attorney

0165

BOX:

544

FOLDER:

4947

DESCRIPTION:

Johnston, Harry

DATE:

12/05/93



4947

Witnesses:

Henry B. Gregory
William Higgins

4th
Counsel,

Filed

day of

1893

Pleads,

21
528
THE PEOPLE
vs.

Harry Johnston

Grand Larceny, Second Degree.
[Sections 528, 531, — Penal Code.]

Dec 19 1893

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

Grand Jury
Emin Ref. Dec 14 1893
A TRUE BILL.

H. Lockwood

Foreman.

0167

Police Court 2 District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County }
of New York, } ss:

Henry B. Gregory Jr.

of No. 108 Montague St, Brooklyn, aged 28 years,
occupation Clerk being duly sworn,

deposes and says, that on the 24th day of November 1893 at the City of
New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away
from the possession of deponent, in the day time, the following property, viz:

Seventy two admission tickets and
three box tickets entitling the holders
thereof to admission on November
30th 1893, to Manhattan Field in said City
to witness an exhibition
All of which tickets are of the
face value of Two Hundred and thirty
four dollars

the property of in deponent's care and charge

and that this deponent
has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloni-
ously taken, stolen and carried away by Harry Johnston (now

here) for the reasons that on said
day the defendant presented an
order for said tickets at the box
office of the Executive Committee of
the Yale-Princeton Foot Ball Games
at 48 West 28th Street
of which deponent is the general
clerk. That deponent believing that
the defendant was in the lawful pos-
session of said order and was entitled
to receive the tickets called for therein
caused the tickets to be delivered to
the defendant. Deponent is informed
by William Higgins (now here) that on
said day he was sent with said

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 24th day of November 1893

Notary Public

order by one Halsey, to said office to receive said tickets and deliver them to him, Halsey. That at the doorway Higgins met the defendant who enquired of his business and took said order from him and instructed Higgins to remain in the hallway while left and shortly returned and stated to Higgins that the tickets were not then ready for delivery. Deponent is informed by Denis Grady (now being a detective) that he arrested the defendant and found a number of admission tickets upon the defendant's person.

Sworn to before me }
this 25th day of November, 1893 }

Henry B. Gregory

J. H. M.
Police Justice

0169



CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

1877

William Higgins

aged 15 years, occupation Office boy of No.

B38 E. 34th Street, being duly sworn, deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of *Henry B. Gray Jr.*
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own
knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 5th
day of November 1893

William F. Higgins

[Signature]
Police Justice.

0170

1877

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Dennis Grady
aged 30 years, occupation Detective Sergeant of No. 300 Mulberry Street, being duly sworn, deposes and
says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Harry B. Grayson
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own
knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 25 day of November 1893 } Dennis Grady

Police Justice.

0171

Sec. 198-200.

2 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss

Harry Johnston being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Harry Johnston*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *21 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *Illinois*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *No home*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Waiter*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. *I am not guilty*

H. Johnston

Taken before me this *25* day of *November* 189*5*

[Signature]
Police Justice.

0172

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named.....

defendant

~~guilty thereof~~ I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *500* Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated *Nov 25* 18*93* *[Signature]* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named.....
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated.....18..... Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named.....
..... guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order he to be discharged.

Dated.....18..... Police Justice.

0173

1258

Police Court--- 2 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Nearby B. Gregory
1258 302 W. 45th
Harry Johnston

2
3
4
Offence *Grand Jury*

Dated *Nov 25* 18*93*

Hogan Magistrate.

Grady Officer.

C. O. Precinct.

Witnesses *Officer*

No. _____ Street.

William Higgins

No. *338 E. 34th* Street.

No. _____ Street.

\$ *1.00* to answer FINE



Cover

BAILED,

No. 1, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 2, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 3, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 4, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

0174

W. L. Walden, Proprietor

Hotel St. Marc,

Fifth Ave, ^{and} 39th St.

New York, Dec 12th 1893

Mr. John A. Foster

Dear Madam

Harry Johnson was
in my employ as waiter
last winter also a short
time last summer. to my
knowledge he never stole
anything from me

I Respectfully yours

W. L. Walden

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
against
Henry Johnston

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

- Henry Johnston -

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE second DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said Henry Johnston,

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the day of November, in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred and ninety-three, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

seizeth two printed tickets, each entitling the holder thereof to admission to certain grounds there, called Manhattan Field, and three other printed tickets, called New York, each entitling the holder thereof to admission to the said grounds for the same purpose, of the value or value of twenty dollars each, and seventy five pieces of paper of the value of one cent each piece,

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Henry B. Johnson the manager.

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

John Jay Gould District Attorney