0009

BOX:
478

FOLDER:
4372

DESCRIPTION:
Stone, John

DATE:
04/22/92

4372

Witnesses:

Jeff. Feeey

Counsel, ...

Filed, 20 day of April 1892

Pleads, ...

THE PEOPLE

vs.

B

John Stover

20

53 Chambers

POLICY.

[§§ 343 and 344, Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

Chas H. Johann

Foreman.

April 27/92

Mad. guilty

Found

Fine $100

Box 133 W
5-18-36-65
47/33/4

AFFIDAVIT—Selling Lottery Policies.

UNTY }
RK, } ss.

First District Police Court.

Jacob Fess

a Precinct Police ~~Sgt~~, being duly sworn, deposes and says, that on the 23 day of January 188 , at premises No. 124 Cedar ~~Street~~, in the City and County of New York,

John Stone (now here)

did unlawfully and feloniously sell and vend to deponent for the sum of fifteen cents a certain paper and document, the same being what is commonly known as, and is called a Lottery Policy, and which said Lottery Policy, writing, paper, and document is as follows, that is to say:

Both 23 8c
5-18-36-65
47/33/4

Which deponent charges was in violation of the statute in such case made and provided, and prays that the said John Stone may be dealt with according to law.

Sworn to before me, this 24 day of January 1892 } Jacob W. Fess

Solon B. Smith
Police Justice.

AFFIDAVIT—Selling Lottery Policies.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

First District Police Court.

Jacob Fess

of Second Precinct Police ~~Stat~~, being duly sworn, deposes and says, that on the 23 day of January 188 , at premises No. 124 Cedar Street, in the City and County of New York,

John Stone (now here)

did unlawfully and feloniously sell and vend to deponent for the sum of fifteen cents a certain paper and document, the same being what is commonly known as, and is called a Lottery Policy, and which said Lottery Policy, writing, paper, and document is as follows, that is to say:

Both 23 8c
5-18-36-65
47 733/4

Which deponent charges was in violation of the statute in such case made and provided, and prays that the said John Stone may be dealt with according to law.

Sworn to before me, this 24 day of January 1892 } Jacob W. Fess

Solon B Smith

Police Justice.

Sec. 198—200.

/51- District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

John Stone being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is h — right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h —; that the statement is designed to enable h — if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h — that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h — waiver cannot be used against h — on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. John Stone

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 20 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. U-S

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 33 Columbia St — 12 years

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Confectioner

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I have nothing to say

John Stone

Taken before me this 2 day of Jan 1898

Police Justice.

91

Police Court--1st District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF
Jacob Feess
vs.
1 John Stone
2
3
4

Offence Selling Lottery tickets

Dated 24 1892
S B Smith Magistrate.
Feess Officer.
2 Precinct.

Witnesses
No. Street.
No. Street.
No. Street.
$ 500 to answer G.S.
Bailed

BAILED,
No. 1, by Stephen Kent
Residence 318 E. 11th Street.
No. 2, by
Residence Street.
No. 3, by
Residence Street.
No. 4, by
Residence Street

To appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Five *Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated 24 1892 Solon B Smith Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named Defendant *to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.*

Dated 24 1892 Solon B Smith Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named
guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order he to be discharged.

Dated 18 Police Justice.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

AGAINST

John Stone

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment accuse

John Stone

of the CRIME OF KEEPING A ROOM TO BE USED FOR GAMBLING PURPOSES, committed as follows:

The said John Stone

late of the First Ward of the City of New York in the County of New York aforesaid, on the twenty third day of January in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-two, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, unlawfully did keep a certain room in a certain building there situate, to be used for gambling purposes, to wit: to be used for the purpose of therein conducting a certain gambling game commonly called "Policy," where money and property was dependent upon the result, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT:

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment further accuse the said

John Stone

of the CRIME OF KEEPING A ROOM TO BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE OF SELLING LOTTERY POLICIES THEREIN, committed as follows:

The said John Stone

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, unlawfully did keep a certain room in a certain building there situate, to be used for the purpose of therein selling and offering to sell what are commonly called Lottery Policies, and divers writings, papers, and documents in the nature of bets, wagers and insurances upon the drawing or drawn numbers of certain public and private lotteries, and of therein endorsing and using books and other documents for the purpose of enabling divers persons to sell and offer to sell lottery policies and other such writings, papers and documents, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

THIRD COUNT:

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment further accuse the said

John Stone

of the CRIME OF SELLING TO ANOTHER WHAT IS COMMONLY KNOWN AS A LOTTERY POLICY, committed as follows:

The said

John Stone

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, feloniously did sell to one

Jacob W. Fiess

a certain paper, instrument and writing, commonly called a Lottery Policy, which said paper, instrument and writing, called a Lottery Policy, is as follows, that is to say:

Both 23rd
5-18-36-65
47733/4

(a more particular description of which said instrument and writing so commonly called a Lottery Policy is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, and cannot now be given), against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

FOURTH COUNT:

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment further accuse the said

John Stone

of the CRIME OF SELLING A PAPER AND WRITING, IN THE NATURE OF A BET AND WAGER UPON THE DRAWN NUMBERS OF A LOTTERY, committed as follows:

The said

John Stone

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, feloniously did sell to one

Jacob W. Fiess

a certain paper and writing, in the nature of a bet and wager upon the drawn numbers of a certain lottery, the same being a scheme for the distribution of property by chance among persons who had paid or agreed to pay a valuable consideration for such chance (a more particular description of

which said lottery is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, and cannot now be given), which said paper and writing is as follows, that is to say :

Both 2 3 29/11
5-18-36 65
47 7 33/4

(a more particular description of which said paper and writing is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, and cannot now be given), against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

FIFTH COUNT:

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment further accuse the said

John Stone

of the CRIME OF SELLING A WRITING PAPER AND DOCUMENT IN THE NATURE OF AN INSURANCE UPON THE DRAWING OF A LOTTERY, committed as follows :

The said

John Stone

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, feloniously did sell to one

Jacob W. Fuss

a certain paper, writing and document in the nature of an insurance upon the drawing of a certain lottery, the same being a scheme for the distribution of property by chance among certain persons who had paid or agreed to pay a valuable consideration for such chance (a more particular description of which said lottery is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown and cannot now be given), which said paper, writing and document is as follows, that is to say :

Both 2 3 29/11
5-18-36 65
47 7 33/4

(a more particular description of which said paper, writing and document is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, and cannot now be given), against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

00 18

BOX:
478

FOLDER:
4372

DESCRIPTION:
Stoneberg, Frank

DATE:
04/06/92

4372

49.

Witnesses:

Henry Huss

Officer Ryan

In the within case I recommend the acceptance of the Plea of Assault in the 3rd degree as the weapon used was not dangerous as stated but was a stick or leg of a chair

W. Lyons

A.D.A.

April 8/92

Counsel,

Filed 6 day of April 1892

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Frank Stoneberg

Assault in the First Degree, Etc.
(Sections 217 and 218, Penal Code.)

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

Plead Assault 3rd deg

A TRUE BILL.

Per one yr

S. W. Comstock

Foreman.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

49.

Witnesses:

Henry Hess

Officer Ryan

In the within case I recommend the acceptance of the Plea of Assault in the 3rd degree as the weapon used was not dangerous as stated but was a stick or leg of a chair

W. Lyons

A.D.A.

April 8/92

Counsel,

Filed 6 day of April 1892

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Frank Stoneberg

Assault in the First Degree, Etc.
(Sections 217 and 218, Penal Code.)

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

Plead Assault 3rd deg

A TRUE BILL.

Per one yr

S. W. Comstock

Foreman.

Police Court— 1 District.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Henry Huss

of No. 19 Morris St aged 44 years

occupation Segmaker being duly sworn, deposes and says, that

on ~~Sat~~ the ~~[illegible]~~ Third (3d) day of April

in the year 189~~2~~3 at the City of New York, in the County of New York

he was violently and feloniously ASSAULTED and BEATEN by Frank Stonberg (nonresident) who wilfully and maliciously struck deponent twice on the head with a bar of iron then and there held in his hand cutting and wounding him severely

Heinrich Huss

with the felonious intent ~~to take the life of deponent~~ or to do him grievous bodily harm; and without any justification on the part of the said assailant :

Wherefore this deponent prays that the said assailant may be apprehended and bound to answer for the above assault, etc., and be dealt with according to law.

Sworn to before me, this 3 day of Apl 189~~2~~3

Heinrich Huss

W M Smalley POLICE JUSTICE.

Sec. 184, 206. District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Frank Stenberg being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is h right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h ; that the statement is designed to enable h if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiver cannot be used against h on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Frank Stenberg

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 50 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Holland

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 19 Mars St 2 years

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Seaman

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer.

I struck him with a piece of wood

Frank + Stenberg
mark

Taken before me this 2 day of 1892

Police Justice.

0023

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

BAILED,

No. 1, by ..... Residence ..... Street.

No. 2, by ..... Residence ..... Street.

No. 3, by ..... Residence ..... Street.

No. 4, by ..... Residence ..... Street.

Police Court— 1st District.

THE PEOPLE, &c., ON THE COMPLAINT OF Henry Gross 19 Allegro St. Lucas Stevens

1 ..... 2 ..... 3 ..... 4 .....

Offense Assault

Dated, April 3 1892

D. F. McKenna Magistrate. Ryan Officer. 2 Precinct.

Witnesses.

No. ..... Street. No. ..... Street. No. ..... Street.

RECEIVED APR 4 1892 DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

$ 500 to answer

COMMITTED

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendant guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, April 3 189 2 ..... Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named ..... to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, April 189 ..... Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named ..... guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, 189 ..... Police Justice.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,
against
Frank Stoneberg

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse Frank Stoneberg of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE FIRST DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said Frank Stoneberg late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the Third day of April in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-five, with force and arms, at the City and County aforesaid, in and upon the body of one Henry Huss in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously did make an assault and him the said Henry Huss with a certain bar of iron which the said Frank Stoneberg in his right hand then and there had and held, the same being a deadly and dangerous weapon, then and there wilfully and feloniously did strike, beat, cut, stab and wound,

with intent him the said Henry Huss thereby then and there feloniously and wilfully to kill, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said Frank Stoneberg of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said Frank Stoneberg late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: On the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and upon the body of the said Henry Huss in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully make another assault, and him the said Henry Huss with a certain bar of iron which the said Frank Stoneberg in his right hand then and there had and held, the same being a weapon and an instrument likely to produce grievous bodily harm, then and there feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully strike, beat, cut, stab and wound, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

THIRD COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said

Frank Stoneberg

of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said Frank Stoneberg

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: On the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and upon the said Henry Huss in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully make another assault and him the said Henry Huss with a certain bar of iron

which he the said Frank Stoneberg in his right hand then and there had and held, in and upon the head of him the said Henry Huss then and there feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully strike, beat, stab, cut bruise and wound, and did then and there and by the means aforesaid, feloniously, wilfully and wrongfully inflict grievous bodily harm upon the said Henry Huss

against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL, *District Attorney.*

0026

BOX:
478

FOLDER:
4372

DESCRIPTION:
Strauss, Valentine

DATE:
04/05/92

4372

0027

BOX:
478

FOLDER:
4372

DESCRIPTION:
Lauter, Charles

DATE:
04/05/92

4372

Witnesses:

Offin McDonough

No. 14.

Counsel,

Filed 5 day of April 1892

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

30 Burglary 1st Deg

vs.

Valentine Strauss

and

Charles Lauter

30 Burglary Indicted

Burglary in the Third Degree.
[Section 498, 500, 501, 502 1

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

Chas H Tobam
Foreman.

April 6, 1892

Both Plead Burg 3d

Each

S. P. 2½ yrs

0029

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Police Court— 3 District.

City and County of New York } ss.:

Sarah Jacksch of No. 13 Orchard Street, aged 27 years, occupation housekeeper, being duly sworn deposes and says, that the premises No. 13 Orchard Street, 10th Ward in the City and County aforesaid the said being a five story brick building and which was occupied by deponent as a Dwelling ~~and in which there was at the time a human being, by name~~

were BURGLARIOUSLY entered by means of forcibly prying the bolt on the door leading into the cellar from the hallway on the first floor and forced a padlock off the wood house in the cellar on the 16th day of March 188 in the Night time, and the following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz.

One Baby Carriage One Velocipede One Clothes wringer A quantity of dishes and glass ware to the value of Twenty five dollars

the property of Deponent

and deponent further says that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid BURGLARY was committed and the aforesaid property taken, stolen and carried away by Valentine Evans and Charles Linder both now here

for the reasons following, to wit: deponent securely locked and fastened the doors at about the hour 9 o'clock and thirty minutes P.M. on the 15th day of March and in the morning of the 16th day of March at about the hour of seven o'clock A.M. deponent was informed by Officer Michael McDonough of the 11th Precinct Police that he discovered said premises had been broken into and

said property taken stolen and carried away and deponent further says that said officer informed him that he found the body, carriage and the police people with said defendants possession and deponent has seen said property and identified the same as a portion of the proceeds of said burglary

Sworn to before me
this 16th day of March 1921

Sarah Sabiecki

J. Wilbert
Police Justice

Police Court, _____ District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
on the complaint of

vs.

1 _____
2 _____
3 _____
4 _____

Offence—BURGLARY.

Dated _____ 188

Magistrate. _____
Officer. _____
Clerk. _____

Witnesses, _____
No. _____ Street, _____
No. _____ Street, _____
No. _____ Street, _____

$ _____ to answer General Sessions.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named _____

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of _____ *Hundred Dollars* _____ *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated _____ 188 . _____ *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above named _____
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated _____ 188 . _____ *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____
_____ *guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.*

Dated _____ 188 . _____ *Police Justice.*

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Michael McDonough

aged 32 years, occupation Police Officer of No. Twelfth Precinct Police Street, being duly sworn, deposes and says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Carlos Alvarez and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 16 *day of* March 1890; } Michael McDonough

J. Williams
Police Justice.

(3692)

(1335)

Sec. 198—200.

B

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK } ss.

Valentine Franz being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Valentine Franz

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 30 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Germany

Question. Where do you live and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 165 Lovern Mentz

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Cook

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am innocent

Valentine Franz

Taken before me this 1st *day of* March 189 4

Police Justice.

(1885)

Sec. 198—200.

3 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Charles Lauter being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Charles Lauter

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 30 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Germany

Question. Where do you live and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 34 Bowery One Month

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Gunsmith

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am innocent

Witness: Carl Lauter

Taken before me this 11 *day of* July 189 [illegible]

[illegible] *Police Justice.*

0034

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Police Court, ... District.

THE PEOPLE, &c., ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Offense, ...

BAILED,

No. 1, by .....
Residence ..... Street.

No. 2, by .....
Residence ..... Street.

No. 3, by .....
Residence ..... Street.

No. 4, by .....
Residence ..... Street.

3 .....
4 .....

Dated, ... 189 .....

..... Magistrate.
..... Officer.
Witnesses ..... Precinct

No ..... Street.
No. ..... Street.
No. ..... Street.
$ ..... to answer.

RECEIVED MAR 17 1882 DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

305

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has ~~been~~ committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named .....

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of ..... *Hundred Dollars,* ..... *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated, ... *189* ..... *Police Justice.*

I have have admitted the above-named .....
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, ... *189* ..... *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named .....
..... *guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.*

Dated, ... *189* ..... *Police Justice.*

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
against
Valentine Strauss
and
Charles Lauter

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Valentine Strauss and Charles Lauter

of the CRIME OF **BURGLARY IN THE THIRD DEGREE,** committed as follows:

The said Valentine Strauss and Charles Lauter, both ——

late of the 10th Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the sixteenth day of March in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-two, with force and arms, in the night-time of the same day, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, the dwelling house of one

Sarah Salesch ——

there situate, feloniously and burglariously did break into and enter, with intent to commit some crime therein, to wit: with intent the goods, chattels and personal property of the said Sarah Salesch in the said dwelling house then and there being, then and there feloniously and burglariously to steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

Valentine Strauss and Charles Lauter

of the CRIME OF Petit LARCENY committed as follows:

The said Valentine Strauss and Charles Lauter, both

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, in the night time of said day, with force and arms, one baby carriage of the value of ten dollars, one velocipede of the value of five dollars, one clothes-wringer of the value of three dollars, twenty dishes of the value of ten cents each, divers articles of glassware, of a number and description to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, of the value of five dollars

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Sarah Salesch

in the dwelling house of the said Sarah Salesch

there situate, then and there being found, from the dwelling house aforesaid, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

De Lancey Nicoll,
District Attorney.

0037

BOX:
478

FOLDER:
4372

DESCRIPTION:
Stromloff, Harris

DATE:
04/14/92

4372

165.

B & C

Witnesses:

Alein Jacobs

Counsel,

Filed 14 day of April 1892

Pleads, Guilty

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Harris Stromloff

(3 cases)

Burglary in the Second degree.
[Section 495, Penal Code, 2 R. S. 690, § 21.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

Chas. H. Isham
Foreman.

April 20/92

Tried & convicted of Burglary 2 deg

5 yrs 8 mos

April 26/92

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Opinion

STATE OF NEW YORK
Executive Chamber
ALBANY

July 6 1895

Dear Sir:

Application for Executive clemency having been made on behalf of Harris Stromloff who was convicted of Burglary 2d in the County of New York and sentenced April 26, 1892 to imprisonment in the Sing Sing Prison for the term of eight years. I am directed by the Governor respectfully to request that, in pursuance of Section 695 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, you will forward to him a concise statement of the facts of the case, together with your opinion of the merits of the application.

It is particularly requested that each letter of inquiry from the Executive Chamber should be separately answered.

Very respectfully yours,

Ashley W. Cole.

Private Secretary.

Hon. J. R. Fellows.

April 1896

STATE OF NEW YORK
Executive Chamber
ALBANY

July 6 1895

Dear Sir:

Application for Executive clemency having been made on behalf of Harris Stromloff who was convicted of Burglary 2d in the County of New York and sentenced April 26, 1892 to imprisonment in the Sing Sing Prison for the term of eight years. I am directed by the Governor respectfully to request that, in pursuance of Section 695 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, you will forward to him a concise statement of the facts of the case, together with your opinion of the merits of the application.

It is particularly requested that each letter of inquiry from the Executive Chamber should be separately answered.

Very respectfully yours,

Ashley W. Cole.

Private Secretary.

Hon. J. R. Fellows.

0041

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Police Court — 1 District.

City and County of New York, } ss.:

Samuel Goldfisher of No. 100 Pitt Street, aged 23 years, occupation Tailor, being duly sworn deposes and says, that the premises No 100 Pitt Street, 13 Ward in the City and County aforesaid the said being a dwelling and which was occupied by deponent as a dwelling and in which there was at the time a human being, by name Charles Goldenberg were BURGLARIOUSLY entered by means of forcibly opening a rear window on the third floor of said premises leading from the fire escape into deponents apartment on the 25th day of March 1892 in the night time, and the following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz:

One silver cased watch and one pocket book containing $37.21 together of the value of $47.21

the property of deponent

and deponent further says, that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid BURGLARY was committed and the aforesaid property taken, stolen and carried away by

Harris Stroutloff

for the reasons following, to wit: that on the evening of ~~said date~~ ~~deponent~~ March 24th 1892 at about the hour of 11.30 PM deponent retired to his bed in said premises and during the night said premises were entered as described and said property stolen and carried away.

That deponent is now informed by

Officer Harley B. McMannus of 300 Myrtle Ave. Stat that he arrested Harris Stromloff as a suspicious person on April 8th 1892 and in his possession found a watch which Aspinwall has been and fully identifies as the watch stolen from his broken premises on said date

Sworn to before me this 10th day of April 1892

Police Justice

Police Court, ... District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

on the complaint of

vs.

1 .....
2 .....
3 .....
4 .....

Offence—BURGLARY.

Witnesses, ...

No. ..... Street,

No. ..... Street,

No. ..... Street,

$ ..... to answer General Sessions.

Dated 18S

Magistrate.

Officer.

Clerk.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named .....

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of ..... Hundred Dollars ..... and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated ..... 18S . ..... Police Justice.

I have admitted the above named .....

to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated ..... 18S . ..... Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named .....

guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order it to be discharged.

Dated ..... 18S ..... Police Justice.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Charles B. McManus

~~aged~~ ~~years~~ occupation Police Officer of No. 300 Mulberry Street, being duly sworn deposes and says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Samuel Goldfisher and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponents' own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 10 *day of* April 189[illegible]

Charles B. McManus

[illegible]

Police Justice.

Sec. 198—200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Harris Stromloff being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Harris Stromloff

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 24 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Russia

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 18 Essex St.

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Tailor

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty,

his
Harris x Stromloff
mark

Taken before me this 6 day of July 1892

[illegible]

Police Justice.

0045

BAILED,

No. 1, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

No. 2, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

No. 3, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

No. 4, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

474

1334

Police Court--- 1 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Samuel Goldfisher
110 Ludlow St

1 Harris Skromoff

2 (3 cases)

3

4

Offence Burglary

Dated, April 10 1892

McMahon. Magistrate.

McManus Officer.

Jacob Haug Co Precinct.

Witnesses

No. ..... Street.

No. ..... Street.

No. ..... Street.

$ 3000 to answer G.S.

RECEIVED APR 11 1892 DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Harris Skromoff

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Three Hundred *Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated, April 10 *189*2 ..... *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named ..... *to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.*

Dated, ... *189* ..... *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named ..... *guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.*

Dated, ... *189* ..... *Police Justice.*

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Harris Stromloff

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse Harris Stromloff of the CRIME OF BURGLARY in the second degree, committed as follows:

The said Harris Stromloff late of the 13th Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the twenty-fifth day of March in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-two in the night-time of the same day, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, the dwelling house of one Samuel Goldfisher there situate, feloniously and burglariously did break into and enter, there being then and there a human being within the said dwelling house, with intent to commit some crime therein, to wit: the goods, chattels and personal property of the said Samuel Goldfisher in the said dwelling house then and there being, then and there feloniously and burglariously to steal, take and carry away,

against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment further accuse the said Harris Stromloff of the CRIME OF Grand LARCENY in the second degree, committed as follows:

The said Harris Stromloff

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, in the night-time of the said day, with force and arms, one watch of the value of ten dollars, the sum of thirty seven dollars and twenty one cents in money, lawful money of the United States of America, and of the value of thirty-seven dollars and twenty-one cents, and one pocketbook of the value of one dollar

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Samuel Goldfisher

in the dwelling house of the said Samuel Goldfisher

there situate, then and there being found, from the dwelling house aforesaid, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

THIRD COUNT:

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

Harris Stromloff

of the CRIME OF RECEIVING STOLEN GOODS, committed as follows:

The said Harris Stromloff

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

one watch of the value of ten dollars, the sum of thirty-seven dollars and twenty-one cents in money, lawful money of the United States of America, and of the value of thirty-seven dollars and twenty-one cents, and one pocket-book of the value of one dollar

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Samuel Goldfisher

by a certain person or persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, then lately before feloniously stolen, taken and carried away from the said Samuel Goldfisher

unlawfully and unjustly did feloniously receive and have; the said

Harris Stromloff

then and there well knowing the said goods, chattels and personal property to have been feloniously stolen, taken and carried away; against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

0049

BOX:
478

FOLDER:
4372

DESCRIPTION:
Stromloff, Harris

DATE:
04/14/92

4372

0050

BOX:
478

FOLDER:
4372

DESCRIPTION:
Wechensky, Julius

DATE:
04/14/92

4372

167 Bx

Counsel,

Filed 14 day of April 189 2

Pleads, 1. Guilty 2d 20

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Harris Stromloff
(Scope)
and

Julius Wechinsky

Burglary in the second degree, [Section 405, 528, 530 and 531]

H. L. 50 Years each

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

Chas H Johnson Foreman.

April 21/92

Ave

Pris Convicted of Burg 2deg

S.P. 8 years

April 26/92

Sher Cecto

Witnesses:

Offen Jacobs.

167

Counsel,

Filed 14 day of April 189 2

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Harris Stromloff

and

Julius Wechinsky

Burglary in the second degree, Section 496, 497, 528, 529 and 530

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

Foreman.

April 21/92

Trial Postponed to Monday

S.P. 8 Mon

April 25/92

Police Court— 1 District.

City and County of New York, } ss.:

Abraham Epstein

of No. 106 Suffolk Street, aged 24 years,

occupation Grocer being duly sworn

deposes and says, that the premises No 106 Suffolk Street, Ward

in the City and County aforesaid the said being a dwelling

and which was occupied by deponent as a dwelling

and in which there was at the time a human being, by name Sarah Epstein

were BURGLARIOUSLY entered by means of forcibly opening a window leading from the air shaft leading into deponents room of said premises

on the 7th day of April 189~~2~~3 ~~in~~ the night time, and the following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz:

One gold cased watch One small diamond ring Two pocket books and about Thirty Hundred and twenty five dollars together of the value of One Hundred and Ninety dollars

the property of deponent and family

and deponent further says that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid BURGLARY was committed and the aforesaid property taken, stolen and carried away by

Harris Stroulloff and Julius Wechewsky

for the reasons following, to wit: that at about the hour of 12 o'clock Midnight of April 7th deponent retired with his wife in said premises and during the night said premises were entered as described and said property carried away.

Deponent is now informed by Officer Charles Jacobs of 300 Mulberry Street

Police Court, ... District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.;
on the complaint of

vs.

1 .....
2 .....
3 .....
4 .....

Offence—BURGLARY.

Dated 188

Magistrate.

Officer.

Clerk.

Witnesses,

No. ..... Street,

No. ..... Street,

No. ..... Street,

$ ..... to answer General Sessions.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named .....

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be committed to bail in the sum of *Hundred Dollars* ..... *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated ..... 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above named .....
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated ..... 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named .....
guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated ..... 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

that he arrested the defendant and in the room of said Throughoff he found a Pocket book, and in the possession of Medquesky a number of Cuttons, that deponent has seen the property so found and fully identifies the same as a portion stolen from said stolen premises

Abraham Epstein

Sworn to before me this 10th day of April 1892

D Mitchell

Police Justice

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Charles Jacobs.

~~aged~~ ..... ~~years~~, occupation Police Officer of No. 300 Mulberry Street, being duly sworn deposes and says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Abraham Epstein and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponents' own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 10 *day of* April 1892 Charles Jacobs

W M Mahoney

Police Justice.

Sec. 198—200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Julius Weskewsky being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Julius Weskewsky.

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 4 Years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Russia

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 53 Forsyth St.

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Tailor

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty.

his
Julius x Weskewsky
mark

Taken before me this 10 day of June 1893

Police Justice.

Sec. 198—200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Harris Stromloff being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Harris Stromloff

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 24 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Russia

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 18 Essex St.

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Tailor

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty

his
Harris x Stromloff
mark

Taken before me this 10 day of May 1894

[illegible]

Police Justice.

414

1334

Police Court--- 1 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Abraham Levy, Atty
No. 32 Pitt St

1 Harris Stromloff

2 Julius Mechanskey

3

4

Offense Grand Larceny

Dated, April 10 1892

W. McMahon Magistrate.

Jacobs Officer.

Lang & McMahon Precinct.

Witnesses

No. Street.

No. Street.

No. Street.

$ 3000 to answer G. S.

BAILED,

No. 1, by

Residence Street.

No. 2, by

Residence Street.

No. 3, by

Residence Street.

No. 4, by

Residence Street.

RECEIVED, DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, APR 11 1892

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Alfred Allen

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Twenty *Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated, April 10 *189*2

W. McMahon *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named

to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, *189*

Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named

guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.

Dated, *189*

Police Justice.

VI.

STATE OF NEW YORK.
Executive Chamber,
ALBANY.

July 5, 1894

Sir:

Application for Executive clemency having been made on behalf of Julius Welchinsky who was convicted of burglary 2d degree in the county of New York and sentenced April 26. 1892 to imprisonment in the State prison for the term of eight years I am directed by the Governor respectfully to request that, in pursuance of Section 695 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, you will forward to him a concise statement of the facts of the case, together with your opinion of the merits of the application.

It is particularly requested that each letter of inquiry from the Executive Chamber should be separately answered.

Very respectfully yours,

D. S. Williams,
Private Secretary.

Hon. John R. Fellows
New York City.

Sept. 20/44
Sent for Officer

Mulchenly

No. 133 East 35th Street,
New York, March 29th, 1895.

Francis H. Leggett, Esq.,
126 Franklin St., City.

My dear Sir:-

It may be of no importance and yet will doubtless interest you to know that our detectives have "run down" the matter which I mentioned to you in regard to one member of your Jury, namely: Alexander Patton. The information which I have gathered, is as follows:-

(1) Alexander Patton & Son, is on the sign of a small Real Estate Office situated on the south side of Canal Street No. 218.

(2) Alexander Patton, Jr., is a patrolman stationed at the First District Court, which is at the Tombs.

(3) Inspector McLaughlin's mother was married twice; she died Sept. 13th, 1870; her name was Mary A. Mahoney. The will was probated 1874, L.221, page 409, Surrogate's Office. The Executor was Alexander Patton.

Yours very sincerely,

C. H. Parkhurst.

0062

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

District Attorney's Office
City & County of
New York

189

[illegible]

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Hannin Strandberg
and Sylvia Wedemeyer

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Hannin Strandberg and Sylvia Wedemeyer

of the CRIME OF BURGLARY in the first degree, committed as follows:

The said Hannin Strandberg and Sylvia Wedemeyer both

late of the 12th Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the eighth day of August, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-two in the night time of the same day, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, the dwelling house of one

Abraham Epstein,

there situate, feloniously and burglariously did break into and enter, there being then and there a human being within the said dwelling house, with intent to commit some crime therein, to wit: the goods, chattels and personal property of the said

Abraham Epstein,

in the said dwelling house then and there being, then and there feloniously and burglariously to steal, take and carry away, the said Hannin Strandberg and Sylvia Wedemeyer, and each of them, having then and there assisted, aided, confederated, present, to wit: each the other to

against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment further accuse the said Harris Strandberg and Adrian Wachendorf of the CRIME OF Grand LARCENY in the first degree, committed as follows:

The said Harris Strandberg and Adrian Wachendorf, both

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, in the night time of the said day, with force and arms,

one watch of the value of fifty dollars, one foreign money of the value of fifteen dollars, two pocket books of the value of one dollar each, and the money, one hundred and ninety five dollars in money, lawful money of the United States of America, and of the value of one hundred and ninety five dollars,

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Abraham Kapstein,

in the dwelling house of the said Abraham Kapstein,

there situate, then and there being found, from the dwelling house aforesaid, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

THIRD COUNT:

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said Hannie Abramowitz and Sylvia Weckenstein

of the CRIME OF RECEIVING STOLEN GOODS, committed as follows:

The said Hannie Abramowitz and Sylvia Weckenstein late

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

one watch of the value of sixty dollars, one ring of the value of fifteen dollars, two pocket books of the value of one dollar each, and the sum of one hundred and twenty five dollars in money, lawful money of the United States of America, and of the value of one hundred and twenty five dollars,

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Abraham Epstein,

by a certain person or persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, then lately before feloniously stolen, taken and carried away from the said Abraham Epstein,

unlawfully and unjustly did feloniously receive and have; the said Hannie Abramowitz and Sylvia Weckenstein

~~then and there~~ well knowing the said goods, chattels and personal property to have been feloniously stolen, taken and carried away; against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

0067

BOX:
478

FOLDER:
4372

DESCRIPTION:
Stromloff, Harris

DATE:
04/14/92

4372

0068

BOX:
478

FOLDER:
4372

DESCRIPTION:
Eichelbaum, Michael

DATE:
04/14/92

4372

Witnesses:

Officer Jacobs.

The deft Stromloff was convicted on another indictment and this one will be considered in disposing of the other. W. Lyman

The evidence in this case as against the defendant Eichelbaum was not sufficient to warrant a conviction and his arrest was brought about because he was found in the deft Stromloff's apartments where some stolen property was found. While I feel assured of the moral guilt of this deft Eichelbaum yet the evidence to convict him is wholly lacking. I therefore recommend that he be discharged on his own recognizance

April 21/92 Wm Lyman Asst Dist Atty

166

Def

Counsel,

Filed 14 day of April 1892

Pleads, Not guilty

THE PEOPLE

vs.

P

Harris Stromloff (Bears)

and

P

Michael Eichelbaum

Burglary in the degree, and Grand Larceny [Section 496, 528, 1290, 5501]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

Chas H Schaum
Foreman.

April 21/92

N.G.

Discharged on his own recognizance

Two copies

The People vs Julius Kechensky

Court of General Sessions. Part I
Before His Honor Judge Cowing. April 20. 1892.

Jointly indicted with Harris Sternloff for burglary in the first degree, grand larceny in the first degree and receiving stolen goods. Asst. Dist. Atty Lyon for the People. Mr. Greenthal for the defendant.

Abraham Epstein, sworn and examined by Mr. Lyon through the Interpreter.

Q. Where does he live? A. No. 106 Suffolk street in this city. Q. What is your business? A. Grocer, I have a store there. Q. Where are his sleeping apartments? A. In the rear of the store — two rooms and a store, that is all. Ask him what time he went to bed that night, if he recollects, the night of April the 8th or April 9th? A. About half past eleven or a quarter to twelve. Q. In what room did he sleep, the one furthest back, the rear or the middle one? The last room next to the yard. Q. Did he put the windows down, did he fasten them, how did he lock himself up that night? Yes. I fastened everything, the doors and the windows. He said first that he has two rooms and the store; now he speaks of two or three rooms and the Kitchen. Q. Ask him if there is an air shaft that runs into his Kitchen? A. Yes, that goes into the Kitchen.

2

Q Was the window of that closed when he went to bed that night? A. I myself lowered the window; it was closed, but the hook was not on. Q Was he awakened by anything that night, did he hear any noises, did he wake up any time? A. No, I did not hear anything. Q Did he have any property in that room that night, the time he went to sleep that he subsequently lost or discovered missing? A. From my room I did not miss anything, but I missed from the bureau in the front room.

Q Tell us who slept in that front room.

A The servant girl.

Q Tell us what that property consisted of?

A A gold watch and chain and a gold ring and a small diamond in it worth $125 or $130, I cannot say exactly the amount; two pocket books, one red one and one black one; and then some small change was in a little saucer, and that was gone.

Q Where did he have the gold watch.

A It was all wrapped up in a silk handkerchief, the money and everything in the bureau, the upper drawer, the first drawer.

Q What was that gold watch worth.

A I paid for the ring watch and chain

Together sixty dollars.

By the Court Q There was $125 or $130 in money?

A Yes sir.

By Mr. Lynn Q When he woke up that morning did he notice anything? A. Yes sir; the lower window was raised to the air shaft.

Q Is that air shaft big enough for a man to go into? A. Yes sir big enough for two men.

Q You are on the floor level with the street, you rooms? A. Yes sir.

Q How many feet is that down to the basement, to the cellar? A. From the floor my room down to the bottom of the cellar is about the height of three men, that is about twenty feet.

Q To the cellar or the basement?

A There is only one underneath the house, but he calls that the cellar, the basement about twenty feet the shaft goes down.

Q When he found the window open did he find the goods he has described missing

A Yes; a pocket book was shown to me afterward.

Q I am asking about the things that he described in the morning. A. Yes, he says he missed them in the morning; he said that already.

Q What did he do, report it?

A I went to the station house and to the Headquarters and reported it.

Q Tell him to describe the red pocket book?

A It is a lady's pocket book; it is not very large, it is long, it opens with a little catch. On the inside there is a little catch also that opens. I cannot tell how many divisions is in there. I have two trunks, and the keys of those two trunks were in that lady's pocket book.

Q What else was in the pocket book?

A That is all — two keys.

Q What kind of keys were they? A. Flat keys, very short little keys.

Q Ask him if there was any buttons in the room where the pocket book was taken from that night? Objected to.

Q Tell us something about the buttons.

A One black button is from the trousers, another is from the pillow casing — a mother of pearl button, and then there is a China porcelain button and a few dry Lima beans; that is all what I remember. That was together with the change in the saucer.

Q Ask him to look at that pocket book; tell him to open it and examine it. I dont want to have any mistake in this case.

A that is my pocket book; that is exactly mine.

By the Court Q. It is your pocket book? Yes, it is mine.

By Mr. Lynn Q Ask him to look at those keys I now show him and say whether or not he can identify them? A. Only the two flat ones. This key is not mine; it may fit my bureau, one or two flat keys. Those are the trunk keys.

By the Court Q Ask him if that is one of the pocket books which was taken from his house that night by same person who entered through the air shaft?

A Yes, that is one of the pocket books.

Q Which was stolen from his house.

A Yes, and the other one is missing; I never saw it again.

Q Ask him if he knows what drawer that key opens or will open — any drawer in his room (showing key) Objected to. Objection overruled. Exception

A He says it looks like my key.

Q Ask him does he remember the officer opening the bureau drawer with this key.

A Yes, he opened it with a key like it.

Q Was it the same drawer that contained the money that you lost that night and the pocket books?

A Yes, the same drawer.

Q You can talk English? A. Yes, a little.

6

Q Look at these things I show you, buttons and other things, and say whether or not you can identify them? A. This is mine.

(The witness identified five Lima beans, a black button, a China button, a button from drawers. I should suppose a pearl button)

The Court. Five articles he says are his and three are not his.

By Mr. Lynn Q That is, you do not identify them as your property. A. No sir.

Q Did you learn Officers had made the arrest when you went to tell the police about it? A. I dont know what you mean

Question repeated by the Interpreter.

Witness Not their.

Cross Examined by Counsel.

Q You say you have three rooms adjoining your grocery store? A. Three rooms — the first room is a bed room, the second is my bed room, the third is the Kitchen, and the fourth is the front room.

Q How many rooms have you? A. Five rooms and the store.

Q The front room is away in the rear? A. Yes.

Q In which room did you sleep.

A In the second room from the store.

Q And where did you sen sleep?
A In the front room, I mean the last room to the yard.
Q In which room do you claim did you have this money and all those articles which you claim to have been stolen.
A In the last room.
Q Where the servant slept? A Yes sir.
Q When did you last see this money and these pocket books? A. On Thursday evening.
Q You are sure about that? A. Yes sir
Q At what hour? A. About 8 o'clock in the evening.
Q You saw the money on Thursday you are sure about that? A. Yes sir.
Q How did you come to see it?
A My wife you know put the money in the drawer.
Q How did you come to see that money and the two pocket books and all those articles you claim to have been stolen at 8 o'clock on Thursday night.
A I am telling you how it was – what I myself know – I gave the money to my wife.
Q You gave the money to your wife? A. Yes. I took it from my pocket book and gave it to my wife. She wanted to go to the sheet; she put it in a silk handkerchief in the bureau
Q Did you see her put the money in

the bureau? A. Yes. I did. I was in the Kitchen; she was inside. I saw her going to the bureau and she put it in there. I asked her where she put it in, and she said, "in that silk handkerchief." She put it in on Friday morning when we were up there; she came in the front room. The silk handkerchief was on the bureau, and the bureau was locked

Q You say that you gave the money to your wife at eight oclock Thursday night? A. Yes sir.

Q She placed it in the bureau drawer? A. Yes

Q That is the last you saw of the money or the pocket books? A. Yes sir.

Q You said in that room where your wife placed the articles your servant slept? A. She was sleeping there.

Q Did you have your money and all this jewelry the night before, on Wednesday night? A. Yes sir.

Q Where was it on Wednesday night?

A On Wednesday night the money was by me and the jewelry was in ~~the~~ same handkerchief in the bureau.

Q And the money you had? A. Yes sir.

Q Where did you have it all night on

Wednesday night. the money? A. By me.
Q In your pocket? A. In my pocket.
Q Why did you give it to your wife to place it in the drawer on Thursday night.
A Because I thought it was dangerous to carry too much money. I gave it to her and she put it in the bureau.
Q Did you see your wife place all those articles in that bureau drawer on that night? A. On Thursday I was in the Kitchen; she was inside; she opened the bureau drawer and she put it in there.
Q Where were you, in the same room.
A In the Kitchen.
Q Was the store open yet at that time.
A Yes.
Q Who was in the store? A. My boy. I keep a clerk.
Q Did you go there expressly to see that your wife placed all these articles in there.
A No. not expressly. I seen her put it in the bureau.
Q And that was the last you saw of it.
A Yes sir.
Q You saw your wife lock the drawer.
A She did.
Q Did she keep the key of the bureau drawer,

10 A All those keys are on one ring. She left the keys in the kitchen door.

Q Was this bureau key all the time on the ring

A Yes, always on the ring.

Q And on the morning when you discovered that you were robbed did you find these keys still in the same door? A. In the same door, and the door was open.

Q So that the bureau key was still on the ring was it not? A Yes sir.

Q So that the key that she produces here today is not the key which belongs to your bureau drawer. A. No.

Q This pocketbook here you say, belongs to your wife? A. Yes.

Q Does she carry a pocket book generally in her pocket? A. Yes, if she wants to go in the street or somewhere else she will take the money along.

Q Was there any money in that pocket book? No sir.

Q Why did you place it in such a safe place with all the rest of your valuables in that drawer? A. Because I have got no better place.

Q You were afraid a few buttons and a pocketbook would be stolen.

A She put it in there all the same.

Q Still there was no money in it? A. No, in that pocket book there was not any money.
Q The Keys of his trunk were in there.
A Yes, two Keys were in there.
Q Do you Keep a bank account? A. No sir
Q You always Keep your money at home do you? A. Yes sir.
Q Did you ever see this man here before, the prisoner at the bar? A. No sir.
Q At what hour did you go to sleep that night. A. At a quarter to twelve.
Q You closed your window you say.
A Yes sir.
Q You did not fasten it. A. No.
Q On the morning of Friday you found the window open. A. Altogether open.
Q Then what did you do next after you found the window open. A. I was looking around how they could get in. I seen there was a ladder down stairs I just noticed that this is the place they came in. So I says to my wife, you go first to the station house and report it.
Q What did you do after you found the ladder and the window open, you tried to find out how they got in.
A Nothing – what shall I do?

11

Q You did not do anything? A. Nothing.

Q After you found the window and the air shaft open then you went to look what happened, didnt you? A Yes.

Q You found that the window was open

A Yes sir

Q What did you do after that? A. After I went to the bureau and was looking inside and the money and the jewelry was gone.

By the Court Q And the pocket book was gone? A. Yes.

By Counsel Q You cannot tell us who took your pocket book? A. No

Q How much money do you say you had

A $125 to $130, I am sure of that.

By the Court Q Not less than $125? A. No, not less.

By Counsel Q What else? A. A lady's gold watch and chain, a ring with a little diamond in it and two pocket books and small change.

Q You dont know anything about those buttons here outside of those that you have identified. A. No sir.

Q But those buttons you say were in a pocket book? A. No, not in a pocket book; it was in a glass saucer.

Q Can you tell us what was in the pocket book at the time? A. Two keys, nothing else.

Q Those articles were somewhere in a saucer.
A Yes sir.
Q Where was that saucer? A. Standing in the bureau with the change.
Q Pennies? A. Yes and quarters and ten cutpieces.
By Counsel Q When did you put that saucer in it.
A The same night.
Q Did you have your store closed when you placed it there? A. Yes.
Q At what hour was it. A. When we put that saucer in it was ten oclock.
Q Then your wife placed the other money and valuables in there at 8 oclock, is that true? A. Yes sir.
Q At ten oclock she placed the saucer in there with the change? A Yes sir.
Q Any other money placed in there at ten oclock. A. No!
Q Sure about that. A. Sure.
Q You made a charge against this man the prisoner, did you make a charge against this man? A. No.
Mr. Lynn. We concede he did formally on the information given.
Q When in the morning you discovered your loss can you tell me whether the bureau was then locked or unlocked? A. Locked.
Q Where was the handkerchief?

12 A. It was on the bureau.
Q The same handkerchief that the bundle had been made up in in the bureau.
A Yes, the same one on the bureau.
By Counsel Q You say in the room where all those valuables were your servant was sleeping then? A. Yes sir.
Q How long is that servant in your employ.
A. A month.
Q You did not know her before that time.
A Q I do know her.
Q Is she here in Court. A. No sir.
Q And this servant was sleeping in the very room where you say these articles were taken from. A Yes sir.
Q Why didnt you place the articles in the room where you slept. A. I was not afraid for that girl.
Q You do not want to swear before this Court and jury that you are positive that this girl could not have taken the jewelry out of the bureau drawer? A Who did the girl? A. No sir, she did not.
Q Will you positively swear that she did not.
A Yes, I can swear to it; she is an honest girl.
Q You will positively swear that this money could not have been taken by the servant

who slept in the same room where the jewelry was. A. No sir.

Charles Jacobs, sworn and examined, testified.

By Mr. Lynn Q. You are a detective Sergeant? A. Yes.

Q. Were you assigned to this particular case.

A. I was assigned to this particular case in connection with some other cases.

Q. When did you see the defendant for the first time, the night that you arested him

A. About half past twelve o'clock.

Q. What date was that? A. On the 8th of April.

Q. That is the night, half past twelve, the morning of the 8th? A. Yes sir, leading from Thursday to Friday.

Q. So that half an hour before that would have been the 7th. A. Yes sir.

Q. That is the same night that this complainant speaks of as having met with the loss, is it, officer? A. Yes sir.

Q. Where did you see the defendant that night first. A. I first saw him coming out of No. 101 Norfolk street, it is a saloon

Q. How near is 101 Norfolk street, the saloon that you are now speaking of to where Abraham Epstein lives 106 Suffolk street.

A. About a block and a half

Q. After seeing him come out of that saloon at half past twelve, as you testify, in what direction did he go.

A He came up through Norfolk street as far as Broome; the saloon is near Delancey street.

Q Was he alone or in company with anyone

A He was in company with another one.

Q Who was the other man? A Harris Stromloff.

Q The co-defendant here? A. Yes sir.

Q Did you keep sight of him during that evening or did you lose sight of him.

A We followed him. I was accompanied by detectives McManus and Lang; we followed him from the saloon in Delancey to Broome and Norfolk. They turned into Broome and Essex streets, and just at that time there was an arrest being made and a large crowd of people was there, and we lost sight of him.

Q When next did you get sight of him.

A We next saw him about three o'clock turning the corner of Hester and Essex Sts.

Q With whom? A. With Harris Stromloff.

Q The same person that you saw him with in the early part of the evening.

A Yes: they entered the house No. 18 Essex St.

Q Who lives there? A. Harris Stromloff.

Q Go on. A We were on the opposite side of the street. No. 18 Essex street is two or

three houses from the corner of Hester. By the time we got across the street they had got into the hall of that house and slammed the door; the door was on a catch and it locked. We waited there probably twenty minutes a half an hour at the utmost and he, the defendant, came down stairs.

Q He came out on the street again?

A Came out of the hall door of No. 18 Essex St. we stood right in the hall door at the time. The moment he came out I took hold of him and Mr. Lang, and in his right hand he held that closed – Lang had hold of his elbow and I opened his fingers, and he had those two keys and those buttons that are now exhibited here in the palm of his hand. I asked him where he came from? He said he was visiting a countryman of his who lived in 18 Essex Street on the top floor. We then told him that he would have to come back with us, we were officers, he would have to rap at that door and get admission for us – that we would not have to break that door, so we could get in the room. We went up stairs

as slyly as we could, and he rapped at the door. There was a noise inside asking in German, in a sort of Hebrew lingo, "Who is there?" and he answered, "It is me!" The defendant answered? Yes: the door was then opened. We pushed inside; we then made the defendant sit on a chair nearer to the window, the second window away from the fire escape. We did that intentionally, so that while we would be busy searching the room he could not get on the fire escape. We then proceeded to search the room. The window where we sat him — the defendant — it was closed; while we were engaged searching the room, I believe Mr. McManus stood at the door. I was looking on the inside of the stove; it was about a foot and a half or two feet away from where he sat; there was a table in front of where the prisoner sat, and the stove was about here (showing). I was on my knees in front of the stove, looking on the inside of the stove. I heard a jar at the window like that, and looked suddenly round and I saw him in the act of throwing something, but I caught his

hand before he could do what he intended to do, and in his hand I found that pocket book. So I pulled it out of his hand. I said, "What did you open that window for?" He shrugged his shoulders and pretended he could not speak. I spoke to him in his own language but he would not answer me. I searched the room and found a lot of different things. You spoke of two keys, are they two flat keys? Yes, small flat keys.

By the Court. You found two flat keys together with some buttons in the prisoner's hands? Yes.

By Mr. Lynn. Are those the keys the complainant identified as keys belonging to his trunk? Yes, those are the keys he held in his hand. There is a third key, where did you get that key? We found that key in that pocket book, and the next night after the arrest we visited the house of Mr. Epstein, as he had made a complaint that day previous, and when we looked at his bureau drawer (Objected to. Objection overruled) and had him explain to us where his property was when it was taken, this key was then in that pocket book with the other two keys, we had placed it there and

19

all those things together, and he identified that stuff there. We took that out and we fitted that Key to his bureau drawer; it locked and unlocked. I did that in the presence of his wife two or three times. That is the bureau drawer that the complainant has testified contained the silk handkerchief that covered the money and the watch that night? Yes sir.

Did you succeed in getting the prisoner to talk with you at any time about this case? No, he refused to speak to me. I tried to question him. I tried to get him to talk, it was useless, he would not have anything in fact to say; he said nothing at all. He made no explanation as to where he got either of the Keys? I asked him particularly why he had those things in his hand, and he would not even answer that question. Did the complainant identify the buttons, I mean these five that are here? Yes. When we visited his home we had a large package of different things, different pocket books, twenty in number we had found in this place. He having made this complaint, it was on our records in the Office. I was

instructed to visit this man's house. I went there with this property. I opened it on the table and had him pick from them different little things in amongst it, if there was anything that belonged to him.

By the Court Q. The complainant? A. Yes sir, and he and his wife both picked out that pocket book; they identified the two keys and showed me the trunks belonging to them and the buttons and the beans his wife was particular in identifying them

By Mr. Lynn Q. They were positive in their identification of the beans and the buttons? A. Yes. and the pocket book and the two keys. Then they asked me whether I had found any of their jewelry that they lost and their money. (Objected to)

Cross Examined by Counsel. Q. What attracted your attention first to this prisoner at the bar on that night? A. He had been watching the house of Stromloff for several days.

Q. Tell me what attracted your attention to the prisoner? A. His being in company with Harris Stromloff.

Q. Then I understand you to say that you lost sight of him? A. Yes sir and saw him again.

Q. Then they entered a hall and subsequently

this defendant at the bar came out.

A He came out.

Q You arested him. A. Yes sir.

Q Did you search him immediately upon your arrest. A No sir.

Q Did not you take him into the hall and search him. A No, the hall was very dark.

Q Then you say you did not search him at all? A. No, not in the street; we searched him up in the room.

Q Did you find anything upon his person.

A We found a pocket book containing $27 and some cents I believe and an ordinary housedor Key that he said belonged to the house he lived in and some papers, that is all. It was too dark to search him, it was not a good place to do it; we did not want to lose too much time in going back to that room; that was the object I took him by the left shoulder I believe it was, and I noticed him pull this hand away that is what attracted Lang's attention, my partner, and he took him by the hand. We noticed how he held his hand shut. I pulled the hand open and he had that stuff there.

Q You found those buttons here? A. Yes those are the buttons.
Q And did you find those buttons in the same hand? A. Yes, in the same hand.
Q You heard the complainant, he does not identify the three, he had seven buttons and that lima bean? A. Yes, he had them all in his hand with the two flat keys. Q. Where did you find the other key? A. In the pocket book. Q. Did you find the pocket book upon his person? A. No. I found it in his hand at the window where we sat him
Q Did you not say when you searched him first that as he was about to withdraw his hand then you searched his hands and you found these buttons in his hand? A. Exactly. Q. Did he have it in his hand when you searched him up stairs? A. Yes. We did not search him until after we got all through with the room. We seated him on a chair near the window; we were in the act of searching the room; we knew the window was closed because I looked at that deliberately; while I was engaged in that situation, probably three feet from him I heard a sudden jar at the window and caught his hand and

24

found in his hand the pocket book and pulled it out of his hand? According to your statement he must have obtained the pocket book in the room? Possibly. I had not searched him yet, understand. You tell the jury that you discovered that he tried to withdraw his hand discovered by your partner? That was the hand he held the buttons in and those two keys. You took hold of his hand and you discovered that he had the buttons in his hand? A. Yes sir. Q. And your partner Lang had hold of his other hand? No, that was the hand he held those things in, I held the hand that he had nothing in. Were you the officer who informed the complainant that these articles were found? A. I went to his house in company with Mr. McManus. How did you discover that this place was entered into and robbed? A. I had already explained that it had been reported to the Police Headquarters; it was on our returns. You subsequently thought they were the parties that did that? A. At that time I had not information, but I went there with this property we had found. I went to the complainants

house and had numerous pocket books and they picked out this pocket book.
Q Were the contents still in the pocket book the same as you found it? A. There was nothing in it but that one key, that is the one that fitted the bureau. That is what made me look to the bureau with that key. Q Did they pick out the buttons? A. The buttons were all together; we held them in front of them with all the other stuff and they picked the buttons out along with the pocket book and the two keys.
Q How many buttons did they pick out?
A. I cannot exactly say, four or five.
Q Will you swear all these buttons here were identified by the complainant? A. No.
Q You heard that these three buttons he does not identify? A. I heard him testify to that.
Q How many officers were in the hall and on the street? A. Three of us. Q How many went up stairs? A. Three of us.
Q You now say positively that none of you three searched the prisoners down stairs? A. Not in the street or in the hall; he was not searched until we started out of that room; then we searched the whole three of them.
Q Did you notice his cap was taken from his head also in the street? A. No sir.

26

The Case for the Defence.

Julius Wechensky, sworn and examined through the Interpreter. Q. Where do you live? A. No. 55 Forsythe street in this city. Q. Are you a married man? A. Yes. I have four children and a wife. Q. What is your business? I am a tailor. Q. For whom were you working at the time of your arrest? A. I worked in 17 Rutgers Place for Mr. Alexander, but I did not work the week I was arrested. I worked for several firms before I worked for Mr. Alexander. Q. Were you ever arrested before? A. No sir, five years I have been in this country and never arrested. Q. Did you hear the testimony of Officer Jacobs here who has just left the stand, the detective? A. I do not understand English. I do not know what he said. Q. Officer Jacobs says that this pocket book was found in your hand by him, in one of your hands, he took it away from you? A. No; it was found in Shamloff's room, it was not taken from my hand, it was on the window in Shamloff's place. Q. When did you see Officer Jacob first? A. I do not know the man. I only saw him first when he took me in the street in front of No. 18 Essex street. Q. Were you on that night at

No. 18 Essex street? Yes. I went up stairs because he is a countryman of mine. Stromloff is a countryman of mine and I went up stairs to see him; he is also arrested. Do you occupy those rooms? I do not live up stairs. I live at No. 55 Forsythe street, Stromloff lives in 18 Essex St. What brought you to No. 18 Essex street on that night? I went at nine oclock to Norfolk street to a countryman of mine to buy a pair of shoes. Stromloff was standing in a saloon in Norfolk street. At nine oclock I went into the saloon and got a glass of beer, and in the rear I saw they were playing cards and I looked at them playing cards for half an hour. Then I left the saloon and Stromloff also walked the street; then we went the same direction and when we reached his house he said, "Come up stairs and I will treat you to a glass of whiskey. My wife is confined in child bed and I will treat you to whiskey. I went up stairs. It was half past ten or eleven oclock when I went up stairs. When you got up stairs who did you find there? A man who boards with Mr. Stromloff; he also was arrested. He treated me to

whiskey and I picked up a Hebrew book and I read it. Stamloff's wife and mother and the boarder were sitting there. Then Stamloff left, and he came in about three quarters of an hour and remained up stairs all the time. We played cards for a pint of beer and then I left. Q. Can you tell us what time it was about, when you left that place that night? A. It might have been about one o'clock when I went down stairs. Q. Tell us all that took place when you left and came in front of No. 18 Essex street? A. Whilst I was up stairs there was buttons lying on the table. I just picked them up and played with them, and not thinking about it I kept them in my hand and went down. When I opened the door and came out on the sidewalk and went down a couple of steps then three men were standing there. Then Jacobs came up to me and said, "Where from do you come?" I said, I am coming from my countrymen's." Then he got hold of my hand and opened my hand and said, "What have you got in your hand?" I say, "I have a couple of buttons here." He said, "Where did you take them from?" I said, I take it up

stairs in my countryman's room." Then they opened my clothes, my coat, and ~~vest~~ and commenced to search. Then they searched this pocket and I had an address on paper of a man who is out in the country, and they took that. Then they searched my hip pockets and they searched my stocking; then they searched through the pockets in the coat and he searched inside the cap. I did not know what they were searching for. They searched me at the stoop. Immediately they lit the gas in the hall and they said, "Show us where your country man lives." One of the three lit the gas in the hall. I was confused and can not tell which one. Then we went into Thornloff's rooms, and one of the three placed himself at the door and commenced to search the room. I was sitting on a chair near the window. Then there was a crash as if something was broken and fell down. Then they went to the window and looked and picked up a pocket book from somewhere in the window. They found some forks and spoons in the bureau. I did not know what they were searching for. Did you ever have this pocket book in your hand at all? No. I did not.

29

30

Q When those buttons were taken by you did you have any knowledge at all, whether they had been stolen or not? A I did not know where those buttons were taken from. Q The sum of twenty six dollars in cash was found upon your person? A Yes. Q Was that money of yours that you had earned? A Sixteen dollars of it belonged to my brother-in-law's daughter and ten dollars of it was my own money. Q Do you know a man by the name of Epstein? A No sir. He keeps a grocery store at 106 Suffolk St. Q I do not know him. Epstein says, that on the 7th of April his place was entered and among some other articles stolen from him there were these buttons which were found in your hand, if any such burglary had been committed did you have anything to do with it? A No. I did not. I do not know the place. I did not enter the house. Q Tell the Court and jury what you had in your hand at the time you were arrested by the detective? A I had a few buttons in my hand, that is all. I dont know where the buttons came from they were on the table in this man's place and I just played with them and kept them in my hand. Q Did you

have a Key in your hand at the same time? A. No. I did not have the Key. Did you have a Key about your person at the time? I had in my vest pocket — in my pantaloons pocket I had a small Key belonging to my bureau, and when they searched me they took out the Key. Possibly that is my Key (Key shown to witness) because they had two Keys; they took a Key from the other person, and it may be that is the other person's Key; it looks like mine. Do you know anything about these two other Keys? I did not have this Key, but I have a trunk for which I have a Key like this. I had it in my pocket book. I do not know if this is the Key or not. I only had the buttons in my hand. I had no Key in my hand. Q. You did not know that these buttons were stolen from anybody? A. No sir. I did not know it.

Cross Examined. Q. How came the beam in your hand? A. I did not see that. I do not know anything about this — only a few ordinary buttons I had in my hand. I was playing with them. I was talking with him. I went down and kept the buttons in my hand and did

not think much about it. Q What did you hold your hand so tight for when the officer took hold of you and would not open it? A I did not have it tight. I was about four paces away from the house they came up to me and said, "From where are you coming?" I did not keep the hand very tight. Q So that what the officer says about his hand being tight and the two keys in his hand and the pocket book in his hand and the window being raised is all untrue? A Yes. I was sitting just like I am sitting here and did not do anything. Q It is true that the officers got you on the street that night? A Yes, they took me up on the street. Q What time was it when the officers got you on the street that morning? A I cannot recollect exactly, it was about one o'clock. Q Do you know that Stranloff swore that at that time it was twelve or half past twelve o'clock? A No sir, it was about one o'clock. Q Did not the officer propose to you to take the stand against Stranloff and tell what you knew about it? A When they arrested me I told them I am coming from a countryman's place. Then they

asked me, what is his name? I said his name is Aaron. Then they said, "Will you show us where he lives? I did not know anything about the case. I worked last in Alexander's place, 17 Rutgers Place. I worked before that in Elizabeth St.; they stopped work. Ask him where he got so much money having been out of work twenty six days?" A. I have a brother-in-law and he has two daughters and they are servant girls and they give me their savings; one gave me ten dollars for safe keeping and the other gave me six dollars for safe keeping. A brother-in-law of mine came from Pittsburgh on a visit and he gave me five dollars. Ask him where he got the pennies that were found on him? I bought bananas for a boy of mine and I gave a dollar to an Italian and he gave me a quarter and gave me pennies I dont recollect exactly how many. I also paid for a pint of beer and for cigarretts. I live in Forsythe street but I went to Nafolk street to a countryman, a shoemaker, to get a pair of shoes. I forgot the number and was looking around and could not find him. When I gave up looking for the shoemaker

Then I went to Stromloff. He was standing at the saloon and I went in and got a glass of beer.

Harris Gulase, sworn and examined. I live at 89 Essex St. I know the prisoner for about a year and a half. I never saw him do anything wrong and never heard that he did anything wrong. I never heard other people speak badly of him. I am a singer.

Joe Lefkowitz sworn and examined. I live at 161 Rivington street and am a glazier. I know the prisoner two a three years. I know that he is a tailor and that he was working. I dont know anything bad of him.

Rebutting Testimony

Charles B. McMannus, sworn and examined by Mr. Lynn. I am a detective sergeant. I was one of the officers who was with Officer Jacobs when he made the arrest. I accompanied him to the room where the defendant was arrested. I was searching under the ice box, and I heard Officer Jacobs say, "What is that?" and he reached over towards the window where the defendant was sitting, and he grabbed a pocket book which was on the window sill and he opened the pocket book that contained the key

Exact copy this

that is there which opened Mr. Epstein's drawer where the money was taken from. Jacob had grabbed hold of the defendant when my attention was called. What did you do with this defendant when you arrested him first in the street? Officer Jacob ran over first off from across the street and he was talking to him; then detective Lang and myself went over; he pulled his hand like up his sleeve. We said, "What is that in your hand?" He had his hand closed on something; we did not know what it was until we opened it, and when we opened it he had the buttons, two little keys, and a bean in his hand. Detective Jacobs spoke to him in German. I dont know what he said; we all three went up together. The defendant testifies that they searched him on the street is that true? That is not true. Stromloff was dressed when we went in

Cross Examined. Officer Jacob talked to the prisoner first in the street. I dont know what he said; they were on the sidewalk. Detective Lang and myself went over in about twenty seconds. Detective Jacob and Lang grabbed him one by each arm and Jacob made him open his hand and

35

he took from his hand the buttons and keys. Did you and the other two officers accompanied by this defendant walk right up stairs? Yes. One of us lit the light on the stairs, I think it was detective Lang. I am a detective going on six years. I have made many arrests in that time.
When we got into the room Jacobs sat the defendant down in a chair near the window. I particularly noticed the window when I went into the room. I went to see which side the fire escape was on. Both windows were closed. I did not want him to be near the window ~~and~~ that is the reason I noticed where the fire escape was because he might have a chance to jump out.
I did not see the pocket book which is in evidence in the hands of the defendant at any time.
The jury rendered a verdict of guilty of burglary in the second degree.
The defendant was remanded for sentence.

Testimony in the case of Julius Wechensky filed April 1892

90 Vs

Q. Will you swear that it was not?
A. I positively swear; not with my knowledge it was not.
Q. But the other officers might have done so for aught you knew that they done. I know I was there present during the transaction.
Q. Will you swear that you did not search him in the street, take and read it, and examined his inside pocket? A. I will swear that is not true.

Mr. Green: That is the people's case. Cross Examine.

0108

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Police Court— 1 District.

City and County of New York } ss.:

William Benjamin[illegible]

of No. 163 Chrystie Street, aged 27 years,

occupation Cutter (cloth) being duly sworn

deposes and says, that the premises No. 163 Chrystie Street, 10th Ward

in the City and County aforesaid the said being a dwelling house

and which was occupied by deponent as a dwelling house

and in which there was at the time a human being by name

Sarah Benjamin[illegible]

were BURGLARIOUSLY entered by means of forcibly opening a rear window leading from the fire escape on the second floor into deponents apartments

on the 2 day of April 1892 in the night time, and the following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz:

A pair of diamond earrings, One diamond ring and a number of plated silver spoons knives and forks all of the value of Two Hundred dollars

the property of deponent and wife

and deponent further says, that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid BURGLARY was committed and the aforesaid property taken, stolen and carried away by

Harris Stromloff and Michael Eichelbaum

for the reasons following, to wit: that on the evening of the 1st day of April 1892 deponent retired with his family in said premises at about the hour of 12 o'clock midnight and during the night the premises were broken and entered as aforesaid and said property stolen and carried away.

That subsequently deponent was

informed by Detective Charley Jacobs & Geo Mulberry that that he had arrested the defendants in their room at 18 Essex Street as suspicious persons in their possession he found a number of spoons knives and forks which Department has seen and fully identifies as a portion of the goods stolen from his premises

William Benjamin

Sworn to before me this 10th day of April 1892

[illegible]

Police Justice

Police Court, _____ District.

THE PEOPLE, &c., on the complaint of

vs.

1 _____
2 _____
3 _____
4 _____

Offence—BURGLARY.

Dated _____ 188

Magistrate. _____
Officer. _____
Clerk. _____

Witnesses, _____
No. _____ Street, _____
No. _____ Street, _____
No. _____ Street, _____

$ _____ to answer General Sessions.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named _____ *guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of* _____ *Hundred Dollars* _____ *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated _____ 188 . _____ *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above named _____ *to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.*

Dated _____ 188 . _____ *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____ *guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.*

Dated _____ 188 _____ *Police Justice.*

0110

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Charles Jacobs,

~~aged~~ ~~years~~, occupation Police Officer of No. 300 Mulberry Street, being duly sworn deposes and says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of William Benjamin and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponents' own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 19 *day of* April 1893

Charles Jacobs

D Murphy

Police Justice.

0111

Sec. 198—200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Harris Stroulloff being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Harris Stroulloff

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 25 years.

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Russia

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 18 Essex Street.

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Tailor or Presser.

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

~~*Answer.*~~ I am not guilty

his
Harris × Stroulloff
mark

Taken before me this 10 *day of* October 1892

[illegible]

Police Justice.

0112

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Sec. 198—200.

1 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Michael Eichelbaum being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him, that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Michael Eichelbaum

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 2 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Russia

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 17 Essex St

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Peddler.

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty

his
Michael x Eichelbaum
mark

Taken before me this 10 *day of* April 1892

W Divver

Police Justice.

0113

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Police Court ..... District.

1384

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

William Hampton
vs.
Harris Strauss
Charles Cachelle

Offense Burglary

BAILED,

No. 1, by ..... Residence ..... Street.

No. 2, by ..... Residence ..... Street.

No. 3, by ..... Residence ..... Street.

No. 4, by ..... Residence ..... Street.

1 .....
2 .....
3 .....
4 .....

Dated, April 10 1892

W. McAdoo Magistrate.

Officer. Lang Precinct.

Witnesses

No. ..... Street.

No. ..... Street.

No. ..... Street.

RECEIVED DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE APR 11 1892

Iron to assist G. J. Jr.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime herein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named defendants

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Thirty *Hundred Dollars,* ..... *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated, April *189* 2 ..... W. McAdoo *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named .....

to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, ... *189* ..... *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named .....

..... *guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.*

Dated, ... *189* ..... *Police Justice.*

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Hannis Stronsdorff and Michael Richelmann

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Hannis Stronsdorff and Michael Richelmann

of the CRIME OF BURGLARY in the first degree, committed as follows:

The said Hannis Stronsdorff and Michael Richelmann, both —

late of the Fourth Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the second day of — April, — in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety- one — in the night time of the same day, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, the dwelling house of one

William Benjamin, —

there situate, feloniously and burglariously did break into and enter, there being then and there a human being within the said dwelling house, with intent to commit some crime therein, to wit: the goods, chattels and personal property of the said

William Benjamin, —

in the said dwelling house then and there being, then and there feloniously and burglariously to steal, take and carry away, the said Hannis Stronsdorff and Michael Richelmann, and each of them, having then and there with and by an accomplice, actually present, to wit: each by the other; —

against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment further accuse the said Hannis Schmulbach and Michael Kichollrauner of the CRIME OF Grand LARCENY in the first degree, committed as follows:

The said Hannis Schmulbach and Michael Kichollrauner, both late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, in the night time of the said day, with force and arms,

two diamond earrings of the value of seventy dollars each, one Turquois ring of the value of twenty dollars, six pieces of the value of one dollar each, six pieces of the value of one dollar each, and six pieces of the value of one dollar each,

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one William Bonguinson,

in the dwelling house of the said William Bonguinson,

there situate, then and there being found, from the dwelling house aforesaid, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

THIRD COUNT:

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said Harris Strandhoff and Michael Richtermann

of the CRIME OF RECEIVING STOLEN GOODS, committed as follows:

The said Harris Strandhoff and Michael Richtermann, late

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

two diamond earrings of the value of twenty five dollars each, one foreign money of the value of eighty dollars, six finger rings of the value of one dollar each, six opera rings of the value of one dollar each, and six remains of the rings of one dollar each,

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one William Bengeman,

by a certain person or persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, then lately before feloniously stolen, taken and carried away from the said William Bengeman,

unlawfully and unjustly did feloniously receive and have; the said Harris Strandhoff and Michael Richtermann,

then and there well knowing the said goods, chattels and personal property to have been feloniously stolen, taken and carried away; against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

0117

BOX:
478

FOLDER:
4372

DESCRIPTION:
Sullivan, Maurice

DATE:
04/22/92

4372

Witnesses:

Wm A Losier

259

Counsel, Comm

Filed, 26 day of April 1892

Pleads, Not guilty

THE PEOPLE

vs.

B

Maurice Sullivan

35

3 Alleg

POLICY.

[§§ 343 and 344, Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

Chas H Isham

Foreman.

May 6/92

Plead Guilty 1st Cnts

Fine $10 B.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0119

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

CITY OF New York COUNTY OF New York, } ss.
AND STATE OF NEW YORK.

John L. Krauch.

300 Mulberry

of ~~41 Park Row,~~ New York City, being duly sworn deposes and says, he is more than 21 years of age, and is employed as a Detective ~~agent of the New York Society for the Suppression of Vice,~~ that he has just cause to believe, is informed and verily does believe, and charge that Maurice Sullivan

~~whose real name unknown, but who can be identified by~~

did, at the City and County of New York and State of New York, on or about the 8th day of March 1892 unlawfully use a room, table, establishment or apparatus for gambling purposes—and did engage as a dealer or game-keeper in a gambling or banking game, where money or property was dependent upon the result—and did sell, or offer to sell what is commonly called a "lottery policy," and a certain writing, paper, or insurance, upon the drawing or drawn numbers of a certain lottery, hereto annexed, and did endorse and use a book or other document for the purpose of enabling others to sell or offer to sell lottery policies, writings, papers or documents in the nature of a bet, wager or insurance, upon the drawing or drawn numbers of a lottery, against the form of the statute of the State of New York in such case made and provided, and particularly section 344 of the Penal Code.

Deponent further says, he has just cause to believe, is informed and verily does believe, his information being based upon personal observation and from statements made by William A Losey to deponent that the said Maurice Sullivan aforesaid, ~~now~~ had in his possession, at in and upon certain premises occupied by him and situate and known as number 155 Park Row in the City of New York and within the County and State aforesaid, for the purpose of using the same as a means to commit a

0120

public offense, divers and sundry device, apparatus, tables, establishment and paraphernalia ~~layouts, chips, deal boxes, cards,~~ lottery tickets, lottery policies, writings, papers, books and documents for gambling purposes, in violation of the Provisions of Chapter IX of the Penal Code of the State of New York, wherefore deponent prays that warrants may be issued for the arrest of the persons named aforesaid, and to search for, seize and take possession of all of said unlawful matter, and that all be dealt with according to law.
And that said defendant was acting as the agent of said premises

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 9 day of March 1892

John L. Krauch

[illegible] Police Justice.

CITY OF ..... AND COUNTY OF ..... ss.

....

being further sworn deposes and says that on the ..... day of ..... 189....., deponent visited the said premises, named aforesaid, and there saw the said ..... aforesaid, and had dealings and conversation with ..... as follows:

0121

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Sec. 198—200.

1 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Maurice Sullivan being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is h—— right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h——; that the statement is designed to enable h—— if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h—— that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h—— waiver cannot be used against h—— on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Maurice Sullivan

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 34 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. US

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 3 Madison St.

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Cigars

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty

Maurice Sullivan

Taken before me this 9 *day of* March 1892

[illegible]

Police Justice.

303

Police Court, ... District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

John L. Branch

vs.

Maurice Sullivan

1 .....

2 .....

3 .....

4 .....

Offense, Felony

BAILED,

No. 1, by Shahan Solomon

Residence 52 Bonny Street.

No. 2, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

No. 3, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

No. 4, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

Dated March 9 1892

McMahon Magistrate.

Branch Officer.

PO Precinct.

Witnesses .....

No. ..... Street.

No. ..... Street.

No. ..... Street.

RECEIVED DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE MAR 17 1892

$ 1000 to answer GS

1000 hope & Mct 14 2 Pm

Do Mct 16 10 am

Bail

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime herein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Maurice Sullivan

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of One Hundred Dollars, *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated, March 16 *189*2 H. Mahon *Police Justice.*

I have have admitted the above-named Maurice Sullivan *to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.*

Dated, March 16 *189*2 H. Mahon *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named .....

guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, ... *189* ..... *Police Justice.*

0123

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Sec. 192. 1st District Police Court. Undertaking to appear during the Examination.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

An information having been laid before Daniel D. McMahon a Police Justice of the City of New York, charging Maurice Sullivan Defendant with the offence of Violation of Sec 344 Penal Code

and he having been brought before said Justice for an examination of said charge, and it having been made to appear to the satisfaction of said Justice that said examination should be adjourned to some other day, and the hearing thereof having been adjourned,

We, Maurice Sullivan Defendant of No. 3 Madison Street; by occupation a Clerk and Abraham Solomon of No. 52 Bowery Street, by occupation a Shoe Dealer Surety, hereby jointly and severally undertake that the above named Sullivan Defendant shall personally appear before the said Justice, at the 1 District Police Court in the City of New York, during the said examination, or that we will pay to the People of the State of New York the sum of Five Hundred Dollars.

Maurice Sullivan
Abraham Solomon

Taken and acknowledged before me, this 9 *day of* March 1898

D. McMahon Police Justice.

0124

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Abraham Solomon Jr

the within named Bail and Surety being duly sworn, says that he is a resident and

holder within the said County and State, and is worth Twenty Hundred Dollars,

exclusive of property exempt from execution, and over and above the amount of all his debts and liabilities,

and that his property consists of Real Estate of Ground Rent

32 Cherry St. Valued at $18,000 Eighteen Thousand

Dollars, mortgaged for $12,000 Twelve Thousand

Dollars Abraham Solomon

Sworn to before me this 19 day of March 1892

J. Mulrooney Police Justice.

District Police Court.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.

Undertaking to appear during the Examination.

Taken the ..... day of 18

..... Justice.

0125

303

Police Court, ... District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

John L. Branch

vs.

Maurice Sullivan

1

2

3

4

Offense Felony

Dated March 9 189 2

McMahon Magistrate.

Branch Officer.

CO Precinct.

Witnesses

No. ..... Street.

No. ..... Street.

No. ..... Street.

$ 1000 to answer GS

1000 hoge & Mct 14 2 Pm
Do Mct 16 10 am

Bail

BAILED,

No. 1, by Shahan Solomon

Residence 52 Bonny Street.

No. 2, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

No. 3, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

No. 4, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

RECEIVED. MAR 17 1892 DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime herein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Maurice Sullivan

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars, *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated, March 16 *189 2* W. Divver *Police Justice.*

I have have admitted the above-named Maurice Sullivan *to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.*

Dated, March 16 *189 2* W. Divver *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named .....

guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, ... *189* ..... *Police Justice.*

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

AGAINST

Maurice Sullivan

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment accuse

Maurice Sullivan

of the CRIME OF KEEPING A ROOM TO BE USED FOR GAMBLING PURPOSES, committed as follows:

The said Maurice Sullivan

late of the Sixth Ward of the City of New York in the County of New York aforesaid, on the eighth day of March in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-two, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, unlawfully did keep a certain room in a certain building there situate, to be used for gambling purposes, to wit: to be used for the purpose of therein conducting a certain gambling game commonly called "Policy," where money and property was dependent upon the result, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT:

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment further accuse the said

Maurice Sullivan

of the CRIME OF KEEPING A ROOM TO BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE OF SELLING LOTTERY POLICIES THEREIN, committed as follows:

The said

Maurice Sullivan

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, unlawfully did keep a certain room in a certain building there situate, to be used for the purpose of therein selling and offering to sell what are commonly called Lottery Policies, and divers writings, papers, and documents in the nature of bets, wagers and insurances upon the drawing or drawn numbers of certain public and private lotteries, and of therein endorsing and using books and other documents for the purpose of enabling divers persons to sell and offer to sell lottery policies and other such writings, papers and documents, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

De Lancey Nicoll,
District Attorney.

0127

BOX:
478

FOLDER:
4372

DESCRIPTION:
Sumner, Frank

DATE:
04/14/92

4372

168.

Witnesses:

Ofien Cottrell

Counsel,

Filed 14 day of April 1892

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Frank Sumner

Forgery in the Second Degree.
(Sections 511 and 521, Penal Code.)
~~(Endorsement, etc.)~~

M
312 Ed

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

Chas N. Isham
Foreman.

C. April 14/92

Pleads Guilty

Elmira Ref

April 15 92

18

0129

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Police Court 1 District. Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County of New York, } ss:

Charles L. Schrauth

of No. 115 Fulton (C. M. Crilleton) Street, aged 18 years, occupation Order Boy, being duly sworn, deposes and says, that on the 30 day of March 189 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in the day time, the following property, viz:

Porous Plasters of the value of Twenty five dollars and fifty cents and Twenty five dollars and sixty seven cents together of the value of Fifty one 67/100 dollars the property of C. M. Crilleton & Company in department Change and Bank

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away by Frank C. Sumner now here, from the fact that on said date said Sumner appeared at the place of business of said Crilleton and purchased some department porous plasters of the above value and tendered in payment what purported to be a certified check for the sum of $51.67 drawn on the Astor Place Bank signed by Chas Lauzanet endorsed in the name of Emil Kinderman and certified in the name of John J. Adams cashier. Upon following said check

Sworn to before me, this day of 189

Police Justice.

4759

to the genuine delivered the goods
and gave into the possession
of said Summer money to the
amount of $25.60 which he deposited
with the Bank.
Affiant says that he is
informed John F. Perkins the Cashier
of said Bank that what purports
to be his signature is a forgery
and that no such person as
Van Zandt had any account in
the Bank at the time.
Affiant therefore charges said
Summer with the flagrant
said property by false pretence

C. L. Schmidt

Sworn to before me this
7th day of April 1895

W. T. McMahon
Police Justice

0131

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Sec. 198—200.

1 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Frank Sumner being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is h right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h —; that the statement is designed to enable h if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h — waiver cannot be used against h — on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Frank Sumner

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 17 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Austria

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 310 E 4 St 2 mos

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Clerk

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am guilty

Frank Sumner

Taken before me this 7 day of April 1892

W Mahadon

Police Justice.

0132

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

BAILED,

No. 1, by ..... Street.
Residence

No. 2, by ..... Street.
Residence

No. 3, by ..... Street.
Residence

No. 4, by ..... Street.
Residence

Police Court ..... District.

413

THE PEOPLE, &c.
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Offense Larceny

Dated, Apl 7 1892

Magistrate.

Officer.

Witnesses

No. ..... Street.

No. ..... Street.

No. ..... Street.

$ ..... to answer

RECEIVED APR 11 1892 DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Twenty five *Hundred Dollars,* ..... *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated, Apl 7 *189*2 ..... *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named .....

to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, ... *189* ..... *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named .....

..... *guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.*

Dated, ... *189* ..... *Police Justice.*

0133

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

1377

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

John F. Perkins

aged 37 years, occupation Cashier of No. Astor Place & Eight Street, being duly sworn, deposes and says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Charles L. Schrauth and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 7th day of April 189 } John F. Perkins

D. D. Mahoney
Police Justice.

0134

No. [illegible] New York, [illegible] 189[illegible]

CERTIFIED

Astor Place Bank

CASHIER

ASTOR PLACE & 8th STR.

Pay to the order of [illegible]

[illegible] Dollars

$ [illegible]

[illegible]

J.C.& W.E. Powers, Stationers, 204 & 206 Church St., N.Y.

0135

The Seventh Nat'l
TO CREDIT OF
CHAS. N. CRITTENTON,
per

ENDORSEMENT
EVELYN

0136

Mrs Dr. M. Attenborough
Enclosed
please find cheque properly
endorsed. Begging your
pardon for your trouble
& thanking you for your
kindness. I remain
Yours Respectfully
M. Windermann

1551 - 4th St.
Apt 3.

0137

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Frank Sumner

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse Frank Sumner of the CRIME OF FORGERY IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said Frank Sumner, late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the 31st day of March in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-two, at the City and County aforesaid, having in his custody a certain instrument and writing, in the words and figures following, that is to say:

No. 210 New York, March 30 1892

Astor Place Bank
Astor Place & 8th Sts.

Pay to the order of Emil Rindermann

fifty one 17/100 Dollars

$51 17/100 Chas Van Zandt

The said Frank Sumner afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, with intent to defraud, at the City and County aforesaid, feloniously did forge, on the face of the said instrument and writing a certain instrument and writing commonly called a certification which said forged instrument and writing commonly called a certification is as follows, that is to say:

Certified
3/30/92
Jno F. Perkins
Cashier

against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

0138

SECOND COUNT.

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment further accuse the said Frank Summer of the CRIME OF FORGERY IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said Frank Summer late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, having in his possession a certain instrument and writing, in the words and figures following, that is to say:

No. 210 New York, March 30 1892

Astor Place Bank

Pay to the order of Emil Rindermann

fifty one 17/100 — Dollars

$51 17/100 — Chas Van Sander

on the back of which said instrument and writing there was then and there written a certain forged instrument and writing commonly called a certification, which said forged instrument and writing, commonly called a certification is as follows, that is to say:

Certified 3/30/92

Jno T. Perkins

Cashier

with force and arms, the said forged instrument and writing then and there feloniously did utter, dispose of and put off as true, with intent to defraud, he the said Frank Summer then and there well knowing the same to be forged, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

0139

BOX:
478

FOLDER:
4372

DESCRIPTION:
Svedenborg, Hjalmar

DATE:
04/01/92

4372

Witnesses:

Conrad E Hagstrum

Marie Behler

Michael Paulson

No 194

Counsel,

Filed day of April 189 9

Pleads, Not Guilty

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Hjalmar Svedenborg

PETIT LARCENY.

Sections 528, 532, Penal Code.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

Foreman.

April 7/99

Guilty

Pen — C.A.

0141

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

(1365)

Police Court— 2 District. Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County of New York, } ss.

Conrad E. Hagstrum

of No. 339 Furman St Brooklyn ~~Street~~, aged 26 years, occupation Sailor being duly sworn, deposes and says, that on the 17th day of December 1891 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in the day time, the following property, viz:

one Coat — one pair of pants one shirt — collar + tie and good and lawful money of the United States amounting three dollars and fifty cents all of the value of Fifteen dollars ($15.00)

the property of Deponent

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away by Hjalmar Swedenburg for the reasons following to wit

on the 16th day of December 1891 deponent met the Defendant at the Mariner Church at 46 Catherine Street and took him to his home at No 142 West Houston Street and gave him lodging. on the 17th day of December 1891 deponent went to work and left said defendant in his (deponents) room and the said property at that time was in said room — when deponent returned (about 10 o'clock a.m.) the same day he missed said property and the defendant was gone — deponent was informed by

Sworn to before me, this ____ day of ____ 189__

Police Justice

0142

Mrs Williard the landlady of said house that ~~she~~ the defendant asked her for paper to wrap up some clothes, she gave him a newspaper and she saw the defendant wrap up said clothes and go away with them.

deponent asks that said defendant be apprehended and dealt with according to law

Konrad C Hagstrom

Sworn to before me
this 17th day of March 1892

Thos F. Brady

Police Justice

0143

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

(1335)

Sec. 198—200.

2 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Hjalmar Swedenborg being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is h right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h ; that the statement is designed to enable h if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiver cannot be used against h on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Hjalmar Swedenborg

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 21 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Sweden

Question. Where do you live and how long have you resided there?

Answer. Jay St, Brooklyn 2 wks

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Hostler

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty

Hjalmar Swedenborg

Taken before me this 21 day of March 1894

Police Justice.

0144

FORM NO 59.

State of New York,
County of Kings,
CITY OF BROOKLYN. } ss.

John F. Foley

of No. New York County

being duly sworn said that he is acquainted with the handwriting of Thomas G. Grady

the Police Justice, who issued the annexed Warrant and that the signature to this Warrant is in the handwriting of said

John F. Foley

Sworn to before me this 27 day of March 1892

Police Justice of the City of Brooklyn.

This Warrant may be executed in the ~~County of Kings~~ City of Brooklyn on Sunday or Night and

Police Justice.

Dated this 26 day of March 1892

0145

Sec. 151.

Police Court 2 District.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

In the name of the People of the State of New York; To the Sheriff of the County of New York, or any Marshal or Policeman of the City of New York:

Whereas, Complaint in writing, and upon oath, has been made before the undersigned, one of the *Police Justices* for the City of New York, by Conrad E. Hagstrum of No. 339 Furman St Brooklyn Street, that on the 17 day of December 1892 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, the following article to wit: one coat one pair of pants, one shirt collar + tie and good and lawful money of the united states amounting to three ~~two~~ dollars and all, Fifteen Dollars, of the value of Fifteen, the property of Conrad E. Hagstrum, w as taken, stolen and carried away, and as the said complainant has cause to suspect, and does suspect and believe, by Swedenburg

Wherefore, the said Complainant has prayed that the said Defendant may be apprehended and bound to answer the said complaint.

These are Therefore, in the name of the PEOPLE of the State of New York, to command you the said *Sheriff, Marshals and Policemen*, and every of you, to apprehend the body of the said Defendant and forthwith bring him before me, at the 2 DISTRICT POLICE COURT, in the said City, or in case of my absence or inability to act, before the nearest or most accessible Police Justice in this City, to answer the said charge, and to be dealt with according to law.

Dated at the City of New York, this 17 *day of* March 1892

Thos. E. Grady POLICE JUSTICE.

0146

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Police Court 2 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Conrad E. Hagstrum

vs.

Hailman Swedenburg

Warrant—Larceny.

Dated March 17 1892

Grady Magistrate

Foley Officer.

The Defendant Hailman Swedenburg taken, and brought before the Magistrate, to answer the within charge, pursuant to the command contained in this Warrant.

John G. Foley Officer.

Dated March 22 1892

This Warrant may be executed on Sunday or at night.

Police Justice.

10½ P.M. 21 W. Sweden Laborer of No Fixed place Brooklyn.

The within named

having been brought before me under this Warrant, is committed for examination to the WARDEN and KEEPER of the City Prison of the City of New York.

Dated 188

Police Justice.

0147

W 2 36

Police Court--- 2 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Conrad E. Hagstrom
339 Furman St
Brooklyn

1 Hjalmar Swedenborg

2

3

4

Offence Larceny

BAILED,

No. 1, by

Residence Street.

No. 2, by

Residence Street.

No. 3, by

Residence Street.

No. 4, by

Residence Street

Dated March 21 1892

Grady Magistrate.

Foley Officer.

Court Precinct.

Witnesses Mrs Willard

No. 142 W. Houston Street.

Michael Paulson

No. 46 Catherine Street.

No. Street.

$ 500 to answer

Com. P.J.

To appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

Alexander

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of

Five *Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail*

Dated March 18 92 *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named

to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated 18 *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named

guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order he to be discharged.

Dated 18 *Police Justice.*

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Hjalmar Svedenborg

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse Hjalmar Svedenborg of the CRIME OF PETIT LARCENY, committed as follows:

The said Hjalmar Svedenborg, late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the 17th day of December in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-one, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, one coat of the value of seven dollars, one pair of trousers of the value of three dollars, one shirt of the value of one dollar, one collar of the value of twenty cents, one tie of the value of fifty cents and the sum of three dollars and fifty cents in money, lawful money of the United States of America, and of the value of three dollars and fifty cents of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Conrad E. Hagstrom

then and there being found, then and there unlawfully did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

De Lancey Nicoll,
District Attorney