0007

RECORD GROUP:

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

SUBGROUP:

NEW YORK COUNTY

SERIES:

GRAND JURY INDICTMENTS

DATES:

1879 - 1893

0008

BOX:
61

FOLDER:
686

DESCRIPTION:
Reilly, Pauline

DATE:
02/24/82

686

Office Wallace
Grand Jury not be found
with persons in custody
Person Tickets represented
Larceny from Person

Remand

20

Counsel,

Filed 24 day of Feby 1882

Pleads

THE PEOPLE

vs.

P

47.
164 N.Y. 542

Pauline Reilly
John McKeon

INDICTMENT:
Grand Larceny of Money, &c.

DANIEL G. ROLLINS,
District Attorney.

Part Trv: Feb 27, 1882
Plead guilty.

A True Bill.

Foreman.

P.Y. Rem
a/

Court of General Sessions of the Peace of the City and County of New York

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

Pauline Reilly

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York by this indictment accuse

Pauline Reilly

of the crime of Larceny

committed as follows:

The said Pauline Reilly

in the County of New York, aforesaid, on the twentieth day of February in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty two at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, three promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury Notes), of the denomination of one thousand dollars, and of the value of one thousand dollars each: three promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury Notes), of the denomination of five hundred dollars, and of the value of five hundred dollars each: twenty promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury Notes), of the denomination of one hundred dollars, and of the value of one hundred dollars each: thirty promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury Notes), of the denomination of fifty dollars, and of the value of fifty dollars each: fifty promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury Notes), of the denomination of twenty dollars, and of the value of twenty dollars each: sixty promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury Notes), of the denomination of ten dollars, and of the value of ten dollars each: eighty promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury Notes), of the denomination of five dollars, and of the value of five dollars each: ninety promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury Notes), of the denomination of three dollars, and of the value of three dollars each: one hundred promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury Notes), of the denomination of two dollars, and of the value of two dollars each: one hundred and twenty promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury Notes), of the denomination of one dollar, and of the value of one dollar each: one promissory note for the payment of money (and of the kind known as bank notes), being then and there due and unsatisfied, of the value of one hundred dollars: one promissory note for the payment of money (and of the kind known as bank notes), being then and there due and unsatisfied, of the value of fifty dollars: two promissory notes for the payment of money (and of the kind known as bank notes), being then and there due and unsatisfied, of the value of twenty dollars each: three promissory notes for the payment of money (and of the kind known as bank notes), being then and there due and unsatisfied, of the value of ten dollars each: ten promissory notes for the payment of money (and of the kind known as bank notes), being then and there due and unsatisfied, of the value of five dollars each: ten promissory notes for the payment of money (and of the kind known as bank notes), being then and there due and unsatisfied, of the value of three dollars each: fifteen promissory notes for the payment of money (and of the kind known as bank notes), being then and there due and unsatisfied, of the value of two dollars each: thirty promissory notes for the payment of money (and of the kind known as bank notes), being then and there due and unsatisfied, of the value of one dollar each: bank bills of banks to the jurors aforesaid unknown, and of a number and denomination to the jurors aforesaid unknown, of the value of one thousand dollars. Two gold coins (of the kind usually known as double eagles), of the value of twenty dollars each: three gold coins (of the kind usually known as eagles), of the value of ten dollars each: six gold coins (of the kind usually known as half eagles), of the value of five dollars each: fifteen gold coins (of the kind usually known as quarter eagles), of the value of two dollars and fifty cents each: ten gold coins (of the kind usually known as three dollar pieces), of the value of three dollars each: thirty gold coins (of the kind usually known as dollar pieces), of the value of one dollar each: gold coin of the denomination to the jurors unknown, and a more particular description whereof cannot be given, of the value of one thousand dollars. Sixty silver coins (of the kind usually known as dollars), of the value of one dollar each: sixty silver coins (of the kind usually known as half dollars), of the value of fifty cents each: one hundred and fifty silver coins (of the kind usually known as quarter dollars), of the value of twenty-five cents each: three hundred silver coins (of the kind usually called dimes), of the value of ten cents each: six hundred silver coins (of the kind usually known as half dimes), of the value of five cents each: one thousand silver coins (of the kind known as three cent pieces), of the value of three cents each: silver coin of a denomination to the jurors unknown, and a more particular description whereof cannot be given, of the value of fifty dollars. Three thousand coins (of the kind known as cents), of the value of one cent each: five hundred coins (of the kind known as two cents), of the value of two cents each. One hundred due bills of the United States of America, the same being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as fractional currency), of the denomination of fifty cents each, and of the marketable value of fifty cents each: two hundred due bills of the United States of America, the same being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as fractional currency), of the denomination of twenty-five cents each, and of the marketable value of twenty-five cents each: five hundred due bills of the United States of America, the same being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as fractional currency), of the denomination of ten cents each, and of the marketable value of ten cents each,

One United States Treasury Note of the value of fifty dollars

of the goods, chattels, and personal property of one Charles Hammond

feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

then and there being found,

DANIEL G. ROLLINS, John McKeon

[redacted]S, District Attorney.

00 11

LAW OFFICE OF
JACOB ABARBANELL,
206 & 208 BROADWAY,
Room 46.

NEW YORK, Feb 24th 1882

Hon John McKeon.

Dear Sir.

I am the complainant in the case of the People vs Pauline Reilly who pleaded guilty to grand-larceny and was committed for trial. It is true she took fifty dollars from me but restored it to me at the time of her arrest. I understand that it is her first offence, that she is the mother of two children and I do not wish to be hard on the poor woman. Her ~~friend~~ attorney urges to appeal to the clemency of the court through you, and I gladly add my name to those who wish to see her as lightly punished as is consistent with your duty.

Oh Hammond

Hon Recorder Smyth

Dear Sir

As I may not be present when Pauline Riley is called, I would say I think there is good evidence to believe John Riley is the Husband & Pauline the Mother of these children

Pauline has behaved well in prison and ~~appears~~ appears to be a nice woman. The husband gives me fair reasons why he was at home & his wife at service - She was with a person for whom she has done work as I understand & staid there when she was needed -

I have not been able to know her views, but am ready to plead for the Pauline release, on good bond any or mercy -

Respectfully
S Leuter

March 3/8

00 13

New York City.
Dec 1st 82

This is to certify that I know Miss Kelly & her wife Caroline for over seven years & believe them to be honest, & that they are honest & deserving in their dealings

Mathew Gavin
263 Pearl St N.Y.

0014

OFFICE OF

ROBERT HALL'S FERRY STABLES

No. 150 EAST 39th STREET,

Connected by American and Mutual Dist. Messenger Service and Metropolitan Telephone, 112—39th Street

New York, May 2/1888

To Hon Recorder Smyth

Dear Sir

This will certify that Mrs Reilly is a person whom I have known for the past ten years and I have always known him to be strictly honest sober & industrious and a hard working woman any thing you can do for her will be considered by me as a personal favor

Yours Respty

Robert Hall

00 15

N. Y. Feby. 28th 82

Hon. Frederick R. Smyth
Recorder &c.

I have been personally acquainted with Pauline Reilly for the past Seven years and know her to be a person of good character, sober, honest and industrious and have never known her to be charged or arrested for an offense before - Her reputation among her neighbors is that of a peaceful, hardworking woman as also is that of her husband John Reilly.

Michael Kilby
226 E. 38 St. N.Y. City

New York

Feb 28th /82

To The Judge
and Justice of this
Court for Character
of Mrs Julia Riley
which I have known
for the past 3 years
to be a honest
industrious and
hardworking woman
for the support of
her the children and
I most cheerfully speak
and say in her behalf
which if required would
appear in person

Yours truly

Michael Savage
2nd Ave

0017

New York 28th

Received of 21.6.38

to Stock for the Canada

of Otis Kelly to be hereon

Stocky Forward Order

Instructions

Chas. H. Smith

0018

Secs. 208, 209, 210 & 212.

Police Court— 1 — District

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Charles Raymond
Pauline Kelly

Offence, Grand Larceny

BAILED,

No. 1, by _____ Residence _____ Street,

No. 2, by _____ Residence _____ Street,

No. 3, by _____ Residence _____ Street,

No. 4, by _____ Residence _____ Street,

Witnesses Charles Green
No. _____ Street,
No. _____ Street,
No. _____ Street.

Dated February 21 188 2

Magistrate.
Officer.
Clerk.

RECEIVED FEB 23 1982 DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

$1500 Cash S.S.
Cont

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient ~~cause to believe~~ the within named _____

held to answer and

guilty thereof, I order that he be admitted to bail in the sum of Fifteen Hundred Dollars _____ and be committed ~~to the~~ Warden or Keeper of the City Prison until he give such bail.

Dated February 21 188 2 — Hugh Garvan — *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above named _____

to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated _____ *188* _____ *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____

_____ guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated _____ 188 _____ *Police Justice.*

0019

Sec. 198—200.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

9 DISTRICT POLICE COURT.

Pauline Reilly being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is her right to make a statement in relation to the charge against her; that the statement is designed to enable her if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against her that she is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that her waiven cannot be used against her on the trial,

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Pauline Reilly

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 27 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 160 East 42d about two years

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Servant

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am guilty

Pauline Riley

Taken before me, this 21 *day of* February 1882

Hugh Gunn Police Justice.

0020

4

District Police Court—

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK } ss.

Charles Hammond aged 34 years occupation Conductor

of No. 405 Lexington Avenue Street,

being duly sworn, deposeth and saith, that on the 20 day of February 1882

at the 19 Ward of the City of New York,

in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent,

the following property viz.:

Good and lawful money of the Issue of the United States Treasury Department consisting of one Bank Bill of the denomination and value of fifty dollars ($50 00)

the property of Deponent

Sworn before me this 21 day of February 1882

Geo. H. Gardner Police Justice.

has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away by Pauline Reilly whose name from the fact that said Pauline has acknowledged and confessed to deponent in the presence of a witness that she did take steal and carry away the above mentioned property

Ch Hammond

0021

BOX:
61

FOLDER:
686

DESCRIPTION:
Reilly, Thomas

DATE:
02/15/82

686

First offense
F S

WITNESSES.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

93

Day of Trial,

Counsel,

Filed 15 day of Feb 188 2

Pleads

38
152 Sent

THE PEOPLE

vs.

P

Thomas Reilly

LARCENY AND RECEIVING STOLEN GOODS.

JOHN McKEON,
District Attorney.

A True Bill.

Foreman.

Part Two Feb 15. 1882
Pleads P.L.
30 days C.P.
F S

0023

Court of General Sessions

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Thomas Reilly

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse Thomas Reilly of the CRIME OF LARCENY committed as follows:

The said Thomas Reilly late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York, aforesaid, on the Ninth day of February in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty two, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms

Two Shoes of the value of one dollars. Each

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one James Callaghan then and there being found, feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said Thomas Reilly of the Crime of Receiving Stolen Goods, committed as follows:

The said Thomas Reilly late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit, on the day and in the year aforesaid, with force and arms, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid,

two Shoes of the value of one dollar each

of the goods, chattels and personal property of the said James Callaghan by a certain person or persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, then lately before feloniously stolen, taken and carried away from the said James Callaghan unlawfully, unjustly, did feloniously receive and have (the said Thomas Reilly then and there well knowing the said goods, chattels and personal property to have been feloniously stolen, taken and carried away, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

JOHN McKEON, District Attorney.

0025

BAILED,

No. 1, by _____

Residence _____ Street,

No. 2, by _____

Residence _____ Street,

No. 3, by _____

Residence _____ Street,

No. 4, by _____

Residence _____ Street,

Sec. 204, 209, 210 & 212.

Police Court— 3 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Owen Gallagher
28 Mackdougal St

1 Owen Reilly

2 .....

3 .....

4 .....

Offence, Larceny from person at Night time

Dated February 10 1882

Sullivan Sq Magistrate.

..... Officer.

..... Clerk.

Witnesses Patrick Officer

No. ..... Street,

No. ..... Street,

No. ..... Street.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Thomas Reilly

guilty thereof, I order that he be admitted to bail in the sum of Two Hundred Dollars and be committed to the Warden or Keeper of the City Prison until he give such bail.

Dated July 10 1882 — McCrum Police Justice.

I have admitted the above named .....

to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated ..... 188 ..... Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named .....

..... guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated ..... 188 ..... Police Justice.

0026

Sec. 198—200.

3 DISTRICT POLICE COURT.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Thomas Reilly being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiven cannot be used against him on the trial,

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Thomas Reilly

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 38 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Ireland

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 152 Scutte Street, 7 days

Question What is your business or profession?

Answer. Fireman

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I was intoxicated, I dont know nothing about it

Taken before me, this 10 *day of* February 188 } Thomas his + mark Reilly

Morgan O'Brien Police Justice.

0027

FORM 112.

STATE OF NEW YORK,
CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss

Police Court—Third District.

James Callaghan

of No. 28 Madison Street, being duly sworn, deposes and says that on the 9th day of February 1882 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away from the possession of deponent and from the person of deponent at Night time

the following property viz: One pair of Shoes

of the value of two Dollars

the property of deponent

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away by Thomas Reilly (now here) from the fact that deponent was walking along Catharine Street, when deponent had said shoes under his arm, when said Reilly came up to deponent, snached said shoes from deponent, and ran away with the same,
Deponent is informed by officer Edward Sullivan of the 9th Precct Police that when he arrested said Reilly he had said shoes concealed under his coat in his possession

James his X mark Callaghan

Sworn to, before me this 10 day of February 1882

Morgan O'Brien

POLICE JUSTICE.

City & County
of Morgan } ss

Edward Sullivan after being duly sworn says that on the 9th day of February 1882 he arrested Thomas Reilly (colored) in Leatherwood Street while he was running, and when arrested deponent found a pair of shoes in his possession and concealed under his coat, which shoes James Callaghan the written complainant identifies as the property stolen from his possession.

Sworn to before me this 10th day of February 1882

Morgan Chesbrough
Police Justice

Edward Sullivan

0029

BOX:
61
FOLDER:
686
DESCRIPTION:
Rhinehardt, Augustus
DATE:
02/24/82

686

187

WITNESSES.

Day of Trial,

Counsel,

Filed 24 day of Feby 188 2

Pleads

THE PEOPLE

vs.

63.
26 Jay et Bldgs P

Augustus Rhinehardt,

LARCENY AND RECEIVING STOLEN GOODS.

JOHN McKEON,

District Attorney.

Part Trs Feby 27. 1882
plead PC.

A True Bill.

Foreman.

Ben Ames
E.S

Court of General Sessions

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Augustus Rhinehardt.

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse Augustus Rhinehardt of the CRIME OF LARCENY

committed as follows:

The said Augustus Rhinehardt

late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York, aforesaid, on the Eighteenth day of February in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty two, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms

Six printed books of the value of seventy-five cents each

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Thomas Sweeny

then and there being found, feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said Augustus Rhuerhardt of the Crime of Receiving Stolen Goods, committed as follows:

The said Augustus Rhuerhardt late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit, on the day and in the year aforesaid, with force and arms, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, Six printed books of the value of seventy five cents Each

of the goods, chattels and personal property of the said Thomas Sweeny by a certain person or persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, then lately before feloniously stolen, taken and carried away from the said Thomas Sweeny unlawfully, unjustly, did feloniously receive and have (the said Augustus Rhuerhardt then and there well knowing the said goods, chattels and personal property to have been feloniously stolen, taken and carried away, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

JOHN McKEON, District Attorney.

0033

157

Sec. 204, 209, 210 & 212.

Police Court 1st **District.**

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF
Mary Greener
No. 2 Pitt St
August Rhinehardt
Larceny

1 August Rhinehardt
2
3
4

Offence, Larceny

Dated July 18 188 2

Geo. B. Smith, Magistrate.
Louis, Officer.
Clerk.

Witnesses.

No. Street,
No. Street,
No. Street.

BROOKLYN DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
FEB 20 1882

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named August Rhinehardt be held to answer the Larceny Charge guilty thereof, I order that he be admitted to bail in the sum of ____ Hundred Dollars ____ and be committed to the Warden or Keeper of the City Prison until he give such bail.

Dated July 18 188 2 — Geo. B. Smith *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above named _____ to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated _____ 188 _____ *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____ guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated _____ 188 _____ *Police Justice.*

0034

Sec. 198—200.

First DISTRICT POLICE COURT.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

August Reinhardt being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial,

Question. What is your name?

Answer. August Reinhardt

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 63 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Germany

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. Brooklyn

Question What is your business or profession?

Answer. Peddler

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am guilty of the charge

Taken before me, this 18th day of July 188 9 August Reinhardt

Solon B. Smith *Police Justice.*

0035

First District Police Court. Affidavit—Larceny.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss

Thomas Sweeney of No. Court of Common Pleas Street, 45 Years old Officer being duly sworn, deposes and says, that on the 18th day of July 1882 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, from the Court of Common Pleas in the following property, viz: the day time

A quantity of printed and written papers, labels documents, the same being records and proceedings of said Court of Common Pleas and deposited with the Clerk of said Court and in the care and charge

~~the property~~ of deponent as a Court Officer

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away by Augustus Kniesbander now present from the fact that deponent saw him take said described property & following him found it in his possession in a junk store in Ann Street where he was offering it for sale

Thomas Sweeney

Sworn before me this 19th day of July 1882

Police Justice.

0036

BOX:
61

FOLDER:
686

DESCRIPTION:
Ricker, Ernest

DATE:
02/17/82

686

118

Day of Trial

Counsel,

Filed 17 day of Feb 188 2

Pleads Forgiveness

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Ernest Ricker

John McKeon

~~BENJ. K. PHELPS,~~

District Attorney.

Violation of Excise Law.

Without License

A True Bill

Foreman.

Plead guilty

Fine $5

0038

Court of General Sessions ~~of the Peace~~ of the City and County of New York.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

Ernest Ricker

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York by this indictment accuse Ernest Ricker of the crime of selling spirituous liquors without a license committed as follows:

The said Ernest Ricker

late of the Seventeenth Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York, aforesaid, on the twenty seventh day of January, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty two, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, certain strong and spirituous liquors, and certain wines, to wit: one gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whisky, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain strong and spirituous liquor, to the ~~jurors~~ Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did sell, in quantity less than five gallons at one time, to ~~one~~ a certain person to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown; without having a license therefor, as required by law, contrary to the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the People of the State of New York.

~~SECOND COUNT.—And the Jurors aforesaid, upon their Oath aforesaid, do further present: THAT the said~~

~~late of the Ward, City, and County aforesaid, then and there being a person duly licensed according to law to sell spirituous liquors and wines on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, the same being the first day of the week, commonly called and known as Sunday, with force and arms, certain strong and spirituous liquors and certain wines, to wit: One gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whisky, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain strong and spirituous liquor to the jurors aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did sell, as a beverage, to one~~

~~contrary to the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.~~

John McGeoy

BENJ. K. PHELPS, District Attorney.

0039

Third District Police Court.

STATE OF NEW YORK,
CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

James Neales

of ~~No.~~ 17th Precinct Police ~~Street,~~

of the City of New York, being duly sworn, deposes and says, that on the 27th

day of January 187~~2~~, at the City of New York, in the County of New York,

at No. 257 East 10th Street,

Ernest Ricker

did sell, or caused, suffered or permitted to be sold, or exposed for sale, under his direction or authority, strong or spirituous liquors or ~~wines~~ beer to be drunk in his house or premises aforesaid, in quantities less than five gallons at a time, contrary to and in violation of the Excise Laws of the State of New York, entitled "An Act to Suppress Intemperance and to Regulate the Sale of Intoxicating Liquors," passed April 16, 1857.

deponent Ricker having no license.

Sworn to before me, this 28

day of January 187~~2~~

James Neales

M Wm Ottofsong

POLICE JUSTICE

Sec. 204, 209, 210 & 212.

Police Court— 3d District

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

James Charles
17 Stanton St
Ernest Recker

Offence, Violation Excise Law

BAILED,

No. 1, by Henry Hoffmann

Residence 189½ Forsyth Street,

No. 2, by

Residence Street,

No. 3, by

Residence Street,

No. 4, by

Residence Street,

Witnesses: Geo. Officer

No. Street,

No. Street,

No. Street.

Dated January 25 188 2

Abe Smith Magistrate.

Officer.

17 P Clerk.

Not Guilty
Bailed

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Ernest Recker

guilty thereof, I order that he be admitted to bail in the sum of One Hundred Dollars and be committed to the Warden or Keeper of the City Prison until he give such bail.

Dated January 25 188 2 Morgan Allen Police Justice.

I have admitted the above named Ernest Recker to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated January 25 188 2 Morgan Allen Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____ guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated _____ 188 _____ Police Justice.

0041

Sec. 198—200.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

3d DISTRICT POLICE COURT.

James Ernest Riker being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiven cannot be used against him on the trial,

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Ernest Riker

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 20 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Germany

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 257 East 10 Street

Question What is your business or profession?

Answer. Bartender -

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, ands tate any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. My employer made application for but has not yet received the license

Ernst Ernst Riker

Taken before me, this 27 *day of* January 188 2

Moses Target *Police Justice.*

0042

BOX:
61

FOLDER:
686

DESCRIPTION:
Robinson, Edward

DATE:
02/16/82

686

WITNESSES.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

100

Day of Trial,

Counsel,

Filed 16 day of Feby 1882

Pleads Not Guilty (17)

THE PEOPLE

vs.

P

Wm K Thurston Atty

Edward Robinson

LARCENY AND RECEIVING STOLEN GOODS.

JOHN McKEON,

District Attorney.

A True Bill.

O'Keefe

Foreman.

Feby 21/82

Pleads G.L.

S.P. one year.

Court of General Sessions

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Edward Robinson

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse Edward Robinson of the CRIME OF LARCENY

committed as follows:

The said Edward Robinson late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York, aforesaid, on the Eleventh day of February in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty two, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms

Two Rugs, of the value of Twenty five dollars. Each.

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one The Wakefield Rattan Company an association duly organized and incorporated under the laws of the State of Massachussetts then and there being found, feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said Edward Robinson of the Crime of Receiving Stolen Goods, committed as follows:

The said Edward Robinson late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit, on the day and in the year aforesaid, with force and arms, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid,

Two Rugs of the value of twenty five dollars.

of the goods, chattels and personal property of the said The Wakefield Rattan Company so incorporated as aforesaid by a certain person or persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, then lately before feloniously stolen, taken and carried away from the said The Wakefield Rattan Company so incorporated as aforesaid unlawfully, unjustly, did feloniously receive and have (the said Edward Robinson then and there well knowing the said goods, chattels and personal property to have been feloniously stolen, taken and carried away, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

JOHN McKEON, District Attorney.

0046

Sec. 209, 229, 210 & 212.

Police Court— 2 — District.

THE PEOPLE, &c., ON THE COMPLAINT OF 131

Thomas B. Merrill
924 Broadway
vs.
Edward Robinson

1 Edward Robinson
2
3
4

Offence Grand Larceny

Dated February 12 188 2

Patrick Lee
Magistrate.

Sullivan 25
Officer.

Mr Kee
Clerk.

Witnesses: Thomas B. Merrill
No. 25 Cedar St
Street,

No. Street,

No. Street.

Issued Dec.

BAILED,

No. 1, by

Residence Street,

No. 2, by

Residence Street,

No. 3, by

Residence Street,

No. 4, by

Residence Street,

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Edward Robinson

guilty thereof, I order that he fully answer the same and be admitted to bail in the sum of Fifteen Hundred Dollars and be committed to the Warden or Keeper of the City Prison until he give such bail.

Dated February 12 188 2 J. M. Patterson *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above named

to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated 188 *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named

guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated 188 *Police Justice.*

0097

Sec. 198—200.

2 DISTRICT POLICE COURT.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Edward Robinson being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiven cannot be used against him on the trial,

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Edward Robinson

Question. How old are you?

Answer. Twenty nine years of age

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York City

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. Frankfort St. 6 months

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Agent

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer I am not guilty — I want further examination here

his
Edward x Robinson
mark

Taken before me, this 12th *day of* July 188 2

J. W. Patterson *Police Justice.*

0048

2 District Police Court.

Affidavit—Larceny.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss

Simon M. Merrill, Manager,

of No. 924 Broadway Street, aged 55 years.

being duly sworn, deposes and says, that on the 11th day of February 188 2

at the ..... City of New York,

in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in the day time,

the following property, viz:

Two Persian Rugs of the value of Twenty-five dollars each, in all of the value of fifty dollars

the property of the Wakefield Rattan Company, an association duly organized and incorporated under the laws of the State of Massachusetts, and doing in deponents care and charge and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away by Edward Robinson, now here, from the fact deponents caught and detected said defendant, at about the hour of 6 o'clock P. M. of said day, in the act of going out of said premises with said Rugs in his possession.

Simon M. Merrill

Sworn before me this 11th day of February 188 2

Police Justice.

0049

BOX:
61
FOLDER:
686
DESCRIPTION:
Rosseck, Louis
DATE:
02/28/82

686

282 M

Day of Trial,

Counsel,

Filed 28 day of Feb 188 2

Pleads Not guilty (Mot IX)

THE PEOPLE

vs.

B

Louis Rossek

Violation of Excise Law.

JOHN McKEON,

District Attorney.

A True Bill

O'Keefe

Feb 20/12

Foreman.

Tried & Convicted

Fined $1.

0051

Court of General Sessions

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Louis Rosseck

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Louis Rosseck

of the CRIME OF ***Selling Spirituous Liquors without a License,***

committed as follows:

The said Louis Rosseck

late of the – seventh – Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the first — day of February — in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty two ——, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, certain strong and spirituous liquors, and certain wines, to wit: one gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whisky, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain strong and spirituous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did sell, in quantity less than five gallons at one time, to a certain person whose name is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown,

; without having a license therefor, as required by law, contrary to the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the People of the State of New York.

~~SECOND COUNT.—And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said of the crime of exposing for sale and selling spirituous liquors on Sunday, committed as follows, that is to say: The said late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, the same being the first day of the week, commonly called and known as Sunday, with force and arms, certain strong and spirituous liquors and certain wines, to wit: One gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whisky, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain strong and spirituous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did expose for sale and sell as a beverage to a certain person whose name is to the Grand Jury unknown,~~

~~contrary to the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.~~

JOHN McKEON, District Attorney.

0052

Third District Police Court.

STATE OF NEW YORK,
CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Samuel B Fellows

of the 4th Precinct Police Street,

of the City of New York, being duly sworn, deposes and says, that on the first

day of February 1882, at the City of New York, in the County of New York,

at No. 139 Division Street,

Louis Rosecek. Non Resident

did sell, or caused, suffered or permitted to be sold, or exposed for sale, under his direction or authority, strong or spirituous liquors or wines, to be drunk in his house or premises aforesaid, in quantities less than five gallons at a time, contrary to and in violation of the Excise Laws of the State of New York, entitled "An Act to Suppress Intemperance and to Regulate the Sale of Intoxicating Liquors," passed April 16, 1857.

he was selling Beer without License

Sworn to before me, this 2nd
day of February 1882

Samuel B Fellows

Andrew J Smith

POLICE JUSTICE.

0053

Sec. 204, 209, 210 & 212.

Police Court — 3 — District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

1 Louis Roesch
2
3
4

vs.

Samuel B. Ellery
Geo. Greene

Offence, Viol Exc. Law

Dated February 2 1882

Chas. Fellows Magistrate.
Officer.
Clerk.

Witnesses.

No. Street,
No. Street,
No. Street.

RECEIVED FEB 8 1882 DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

107

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Louis Roesch

held to answer the charge and be guilty thereof, I order that he be admitted to bail in the sum of One Hundred Dollars and be committed to the Warden or Keeper of the City Prison until he give such bail.

Dated February 2 1882 — Andrew J. White Police Justice.

I have admitted the above named Louis Roesch to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated Feby 2 1882 — Andrew J. White Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____ guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated _____ 188 — _____ Police Justice.

0054

BOX:
61

FOLDER:
686

DESCRIPTION:
Rupp, Herman

DATE:
02/07/82

686

On the application of McKeever, Judge of this Court, the Court

Counsel,

Filed 7 day of July 1882

Pleads Not guilty

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Herman Rupp

INDICTMENT: Grand Larceny of Money, &c.

DANIEL G. ROLLINS,
[redacted]
John McKeon District Attorney.

Part Tro Feb 10. 1882
Plead guilty.

A True Bill.

Foreman.

0056

Court of General Sessions ~~of the Peace~~ of the City and County of New York.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, against Herman Rupp

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York by this indictment accuse Herman Rupp of the crime of Larceny committed as follows:

The said Herman Rupp late of the First Ward of the City of New York in the County of New York, aforesaid, on the twenty-seventh day of January in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-two at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, three promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury Notes), of the denomination of one thousand dollars, and of the value of one thousand dollars each: three promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury Notes), of the denomination of five hundred dollars, and of the value of five hundred dollars each: twenty promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury Notes), of the denomination of one hundred dollars, and of the value of one hundred dollars each: thirty promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury Notes), of the denomination of fifty dollars, and of the value of fifty dollars each: fifty promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury Notes), of the denomination of twenty dollars, and of the value of twenty dollars each: sixty promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury Notes), of the denomination of ten dollars, and of the value of ten dollars each: eighty promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury Notes), of the denomination of five dollars, and of the value of five dollars each: ninety promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury Notes), of the denomination of three dollars, and of the value of three dollars each: one hundred promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury Notes), of the denomination of two dollars, and of the value of two dollars each: one hundred and twenty promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury Notes), of the denomination of one dollar, and of the value of one dollar each: one promissory note for the payment of money (and of the kind known as bank notes), being then and there due and unsatisfied, of the value of one hundred dollars: one promissory note for the payment of money (and of the kind known as bank notes), being then and there due and unsatisfied, of the value of fifty dollars: two promissory notes for the payment of money (and of the kind known as bank notes), being then and there due and unsatisfied, of the value of twenty dollars each: three promissory notes for the payment of money (and of the kind known as bank notes), being then and there due and unsatisfied, of the value of ten dollars each: ten promissory notes for the payment of money (and of the kind known as bank notes), being then and there due and unsatisfied, of the value of five dollars each: ten promissory notes for the payment of money (and of the kind known as bank notes), being then and there due and unsatisfied, of the value of three dollars each: fifteen promissory notes for the payment of money (and of the kind known as bank notes), being then and there due and unsatisfied, of the value of two dollars each: thirty promissory notes for the payment of money (and of the kind known as bank notes), being then and there due and unsatisfied, of the value of one dollar each: bank bills of banks to the jurors aforesaid unknown, and of a number and denomination to the jurors aforesaid unknown, of the value of one thousand dollars. Two gold coins (of the kind usually known as double eagles), of the value of twenty dollars each: three gold coins (of the kind usually known as eagles), of the value of ten dollars each: six gold coins (of the kind usually known as half eagles), of the value of five dollars each: fifteen gold coins (of the kind usually known as quarter eagles), of the value of two dollars and fifty cents each: ten gold coins (of the kind usually known as three dollar pieces), of the value of three dollars each: thirty gold coins (of the kind usually known as dollar pieces), of the value of one dollar each: gold coin of the denomination to the jurors unknown, and a more particular description whereof cannot be given, of the value of one thousand dollars. Sixty silver coins (of the kind usually known as dollars), of the value of one dollar each: sixty silver coins (of the kind usually known as half dollars), of the value of fifty cents each: one hundred and fifty silver coins (of the kind usually known as quarter dollars), of the value of twenty-five cents each: three hundred silver coins (of the kind usually called dimes), of the value of ten cents each: six hundred silver coins (of the kind usually known as half dimes), of the value of five cents each: one thousand silver coins (of the kind known as three cent pieces), of the value of three cents each: silver coin of a denomination to the jurors unknown, and a more particular description whereof cannot be given, of the value of fifty dollars. Three thousand coins (of the kind known as cents), of the value of one cent each: five hundred coins (of the kind known as two cents), of the value of two cents each. One hundred due bills of the United States of America, the same being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as fractional currency), of the denomination of fifty cents each, and of the marketable value of fifty cents each: two hundred due bills of the United States of America, the same being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as fractional currency), of the denomination of twenty-five cents each, and of the marketable value of twenty-five cents each: five hundred due bills of the United States of America, the same being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as fractional currency), of the denomination of ten cents each, and of the marketable value of ten cents each,

Two instrument and writings of the kind usually called checks of the value of eight dollars and twenty five cents each

One instrument and writing of the kind usually called a post office money order of the value of forty three dollars

of the goods, chattels, and personal property of ~~one~~ a certain incorporated Society Known as the Five Point House of Industry then and there being found, feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

~~DANIEL S. ROLLINS~~, John McKeon
~~[illegible]~~, District Attorney.

0057

Received 11.3.68 From the Bernard
Lessons Court Friday 16th 1892
Herman Rupke

0058

$3.00 68¢ and check for $15 taken from
Herman Rupp while arrested
for Grand Larceny by Off
Daniel Dugan to be taken
Care of untill trial takes
place

Answered
July 16/83

659

0060

State of New York.

Executive Chamber,

Albany, July 7 1883

Sir: Application having been made to the Governor for the pardon of Herman Ruff, who was sentenced on Feby 10 1884 in your County, for the crime of G. L. for the term of 5 years and to the State Prison Auburn you are respectfully requested (in pursuance of Chapter 310, Laws 1849) to furnish the Governor with a concise statement of the case as proven on the trial, together with any other facts or circumstances which may have a bearing on the question of granting or refusing a pardon. Be pleased, also, to state the previous character of the convict. You are respectfully requested to give your opinion of the case.

Each letter of inquiry from this Department should be answered on a separate sheet.

Very respectfully yours,

Grover Cleveland

To Hon. John McKeon,

District Attorney, &c.

Copy of 1863

0062

State of New York.

Executive Chamber,

Albany, July 7 1883

Sir: Application having been made to the Governor for the pardon of Herman Ruff, who was tried and convicted before you Feby 10. 1882 G. S. L. and sentenced to the State Prison Auburn 5 years

Will you oblige the Governor with your opinion of the case, together with any facts or circumstances which may have a bearing on the question of granting or refusing a pardon?

Very respectfully yours,

Grover Cleveland

To Hon. Frederick Smyth

Sec. 204, 209, 210 & 212.

Police Court— 1st Dist.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

William T. Barnard
155 Mark St. City
Norman Rulop

Offence Grand Larceny

95
82

1. Norman Rulop
2.
3.
4.

Dated 30 January 188 2

Solon B. Smith Magistrate.

Officer.

Clerk.

Witnesses
No. 1 Daniel McGann Street
No. 2 Patrick Prenner Street
No.
No. Street,
No. Street.

BAILED,

No. 1, by
Residence Street,
No. 2, by
Residence Street,
No. 3, by
Residence Street,
No. 4, by
Residence Street,

The Prisoner pleads Not Guilty

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Norman Rulop

guilty thereof, I order that he be admitted to bail in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars and be committed to the Warden or Keeper of the City Prison until he give such bail.

held to answer the crime and he be of the city of New York

Dated 30 January 188 2 Solon B. Smith *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above named

to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated 188 *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named

guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated 188 *Police Justice.*

0064

Sec. 198—200.

First DISTRICT POLICE COURT.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Herman Rupp being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him upon the trial,

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Herman Rupp

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 19½ years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. Washington St 3 months

Question What is your business or profession?

Answer. Produce

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I have nothing to say

Taken before me, this 30 *day of* January 1883 } Herman Rupp

Solon B. Smith *Police Justice.*

0065

First District Police Court. **Affidavit—Larceny.**

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss

William F Barnard aged 41 years ~~of No~~ Superintendant of the Five point house of Industry No 155 North Street

being duly sworn, deposes and says, that on the 27 day of January 1882

at the ..... City of New York,

in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, and from said premises in the day time

the following property, viz:

One pocket book containing good and lawful money ~~to~~ the amount and value of twenty five 50/100 Dollars and Two checks of the value of sixteen 50/100 Dollars and a money order on the United states Post Office of the value of one dollar in all of the value of ~~forty~~ three dollars

the property of the Five Point House of Industry incorporated under the laws of the state of New York and in care and charge of deponent

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away by Herman Rupp (now here from the fact that said defendant acknowledged and confessed to this deponent in the presence of Officer Daniel Dugan that he did take steal and carry away the aforesaid property as aforesaid

Wm F Barnard

Sworn before me this 27 day of January 1882

Police Justice.

0066

BOX:
61
FOLDER:
686
DESCRIPTION:
Ryan, Francis
DATE:
02/27/82

686

Day of Trial, Huckley
Counsel,
Filed 27 day of Feb 1882
Pleads Not Guilty 28.

THE PEOPLE,

vs.

Francis Ryan.

John McKeon.

BIGAMY.

DANIEL G. ROLLINS,
District-Attorney.

Part Two - May 3. 1882
Tried and convicted

A True Bill.

Sentence
1 Year.

0068

Court of General Sessions ~~of the Peace~~ of the City and County of New York.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, against Francis Ryan

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York by this indictment accuse Francis Ryan of the crime of Bigamy committed as follows: The said Francis Ryan

late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York, aforesaid,

on the ~~fifteenth~~ thirty first day of ~~August~~ January in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ~~seventy eight~~ eighty two

did marry ~~Ida Ryan~~ Maggie Lyons and ~~her~~ the said ~~Ida Ryan~~ Maggie Lyons did then and there have for ~~his~~ wife and that the said Francis Ryan afterwards, to wit, on the ~~thirty first~~ fifth day of ~~January~~ in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ~~seventy~~ eighty-~~one~~ two at the City and County of New York. And whilst he was so married to the said ~~Ida Ryan~~ Maggie Lyons

Attest. m. m. of Hearing F.S.

with force and arms, did feloniously marry and take as his wife one ~~Maggie Lyons~~ Ida Wylie and to the said ~~Maggie Lyons~~ Ida Wylie was then and there married, the said ~~Ida Ryan~~ Maggie Lyons being then and there living and in full life, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

~~DANIEL G. ROLLINS,~~ John McKeon
~~S. B. GARVIN,~~ District-Attorney.

Court of Gen. Sessions.

"People

— vs. —

Francis Ryan.

Notice of Motion.

Hugh Bleman,
Defendant's Attorney,
#6 City Hall Place,
New York,
N.Y.

People
— vs —
Francis Ryan.

Please take Notice that the undersigned will move the Court of General Sessions, at a term thereof, to be held at the Chambers thereof, in the City of New York, on Tuesday, the twenty fifth day of April, A.D. 1882, at Eleven o'clock in the forenoon, or as soon thereafter as Counsel may be heard, that the defendant, Francis Ryan, be discharged from arrest in this action, on the ground that he has been imprisoned more than ninety days.

New York, April 21st 1882.

To John McKeon, Esq.
Atty for
the People

Hugh Coleman,
Defendant's atty.
#6 City Hall Place,
N.Y.

Set down for April 10/82
Hon.

Clerk Sudrin

No Calendars in either part for tomorrow - No Court

Hon. O.

People
vs
Francis Ryan

" "

Motion to dismiss

Hon. Chapman

Cunningham

Lieut. Haselden

Comm of Personal Injuries

Suffolk

vs.

James Ryan

John McCann Ryan
Died Oct 4
My wife would
believe little mother that
the murderer will
come the Saint L. Paul
2 on Oct 6/1882 and
11 Oct, for the murder
of Inspector Ryan, indicted
for Burglary on Sept 16/1882
by the Grand Jury. He
has been in Prison
ever since

Yours
J. H. Allman
Counsel for Defendant
6 Oct 1882

0073

228

Rev. 209, 210, 210 & 212.

Police Court— 7 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Margaret Ryan
Frances Ryan

1 Frances Ryan
2
3
4

Offence Bigamy

Dated February 21 188 2

Magistrate.

Officer.

Clerk.

Witnesses Ida Ryan
21 East 115 Street,
Rose of Sharon Hall
No. Street,
No. Street,
No. Street.

BOROUGH OF BROOKLYN DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FEB 22 1882

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Frances Ryan

the defendant herein and

guilty thereof, I order that he be admitted to bail in the sum of Twenty Hundred Dollars and be committed to the Warden or Keeper of the City Prison until he give such bail.

Dated February 21 188 2 Hugh J. Garrison *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above named

to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated 188 *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named

guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated 188 *Police Justice.*

0074

Sec. 198—200.

4 DISTRICT POLICE COURT.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Francis Ryan being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiven cannot be used against him on the trial,

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Francis Ryan

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 24 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 620 East 13th About three years

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Moulder

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. All I have to say is that Maggie Lyons knew all about me

Frank Ryan

Taken before me, this 21 *day of* February 1882

Hugh C Jardine *Police Justice.*

City and County of New York } ss

Maggie Lyons aged 18 years occupation Operator of No 323 8th Street being duly sworn says that Francis Ryan (now here) did then and there unlawfully and feloniously intermarry with this deponent well knowing at the time that Ida Ryan who is the lawful wife of the said Francis Ryan was living and in full life That the ceremony of marriage between the said Francis Ryan and deponent was duly performed by the Rev. J. H. Stansbery a minister of the Gospel on the 31st day of January 1881 at the residence of said minister situated at No 5 Willett Street in said City. That from and after said marriage ceremony deponent and the said Francis Ryan lived and cohabited together as man and wife M. Lyons

Sworn to before me this 21 day of February 1882
Hugh Garvan
Police Justice

City and County of New York ss
Ida Ryan aged 22 years
occupation none of No 321
East 45th Street being duly
sworn says that Francis Ryan
(now here) is the lawful
husband of deponent that
the ceremony of marriage
between deponent and the
said Francis Ryan was
duly performed by the
Rev Father Quinlan at St
Peters Church situated in
Barclay Street in said City
on the 15 day of August 1878
and deponent was again married
to the said Francis Ryan on
the 2 day of February 1882
by the Rev J. H. Stansbery at
the residence of said minister
situated at No 5 Willett Street
That from and after the said
marriage ceremonies deponent
and the said Francis Ryan
lived and cohabited together
as man and wife

Sworn to before me
this 21 day of February 1882 } Ida Ryan

Hugh Garvin Police Justice