

0508

BOX:

507

FOLDER:

4623

DESCRIPTION:

Wendland, Philip

DATE:

12/19/92



4623

158

Witnesses:

James J. ...

Counsel,

Filed, 19th Dec^r 1892

Pleads, *M. ...*

THE PEOPLE

vs.

B

Philip Wendland

Transferred to the Court of Sessions for trial and final disposal

Part B ... 1893

VIOLETALES WITHOUT LICENSE (Laws of 1892, § 31)

DE LANCEY NICOLL

District Attorney

A TRUE BILL

William ...

Foreman

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

Philip Wendland

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Philip Wendland

of the CRIME OF SELLING STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINE, ALE AND BEER, IN QUANTITIES LESS THAN FIVE GALLONS AT A TIME, WITHOUT HAVING A LICENSE THEREFOR, committed as follows:

The said

Philip Wendland

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *6th* day of *October* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*two*, at the City and County aforesaid, certain strong and spirituous liquors, and certain wine, ale and beer, to wit: one gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whiskey, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain strong and spirituous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did sell, in quantities less than five gallons at a time, to

certain *other* persons whose names are *one James Timoney and to* the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, without having a license granted to him in pursuance of any law of this State permitting him to sell either strong or spirituous liquors, wines, ale or beer, contrary to the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the People of the State of New York.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

05 1 1

BOX:

507

FOLDER:

4623

DESCRIPTION:

Wennerquist, August

DATE:

12/13/92



4623

0512

80/

Witnesses:

Gustav Anderson

Counsel,

Filed

13th day of Dec 1892

Pleas,

Wm July 14

THE PEOPLE

vs.

A

August Wernersquist

H.P.

Grand Larceny, (Section 528, 587)
Degree, Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

Attestum *Albrecht*

Part 3, D December 15, 92

Filed and Corroborated

Emmie Ref. #5013

[Signature]

[Signature]

0513

AFFIDAVIT FOR COMMITMENT OF WITNESS.

4771

POLICE COURT DISTRICT.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Sworn to before me, this
day of Dec 1892

Patrick Corcoran
of the *6th* Precinct Police, being duly sworn, deposes

and says that *Gustav Anderson*

(now here) is a material witness for the people against

August Weonergquist charged

with *Grand Larceny*. As deponent has

cause to fear that the said *Gustav Anderson*

will not appear in court to testify when wanted, deponent prays

that the said *Gustav Anderson* be

committed to the House of Detention in default of bail for his

appearance.

Patrick Corcoran

APM
Police Justice.

0514

Police Court District. Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County }
of New York, } ss:

of No. 30 State Street, aged 24 years,
occupation Sailor being duly sworn,

deposes and says, that on the 7th day of December 1892 at the City of
New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away
from the possession of deponent, in the day time, the following property, viz:

Good and lawful money
of the United States of
the amount and value of
Eighty Dollars \$80.00

the property of Referring

and that this deponent
has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloni-
ously taken, stolen and carried away by August Wimperis

for the reasons following to-wit:
(sum: here) and another man not
yet arrested who were acting in
conjunction for the reasons follow-
ing to wit: on the said date
deponent was met on the street
by the defendant and the man not
yet arrested and invited by them
to go into a saloon to have a
dinner. While in said saloon
the man not yet arrested produced
a pair of cards and told de-
ponent that he could tell his
(deponent) for time with said cards.

Sworn to before me, this 189 day
of 189
Police Justice.

After having drinks defendant pro-
 duced his pocket-book and exhibited
 his money he having taken a twenty-
 five cent piece from said pocket-
 book to pay for a round of drinks
 which he had ordered. The defendant
 Winnier then took the said eight
 dollars from ~~said pocket-book~~ ^{defendant's pocket, saying I will count off for you}
 and gave the bartender seven thirty-
 dollar bills of said money. The defendant
 retaining a fifty-dollar bill which
 was part of said money. When
 defendant demanded his money
 back the man was arrested and
 this defendant ran out of said
 saloon. The defendant following
 this defendant and caused his
 arrest.

Severed to inform me }
 this 5th day of September 1882 }
 J. H. Anderson

W. D. Mahala

Police Justice

0516

Sec. 198-200.

1882 District Police Court.

City and County of New York, ss:

August Wernmerquist being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him, if he see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *August Wernmerquist*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *27 years.*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *Sweden*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *Perth Amboy.*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Porter*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer. *I am not guilty.*
August Wernmerquist

Taken before me this *8* day of *November* 189 *2* }
H. M. Wheeler
Police Justice.

0517

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

Alfred [unclear]

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *Twenty* Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, *Dec 1* 189 *[Signature]* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

05 1

1547
1884

Police Court--- District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF
Gustav Anderson
HOUSE OF DETENTION CASE.
Rafael Werning

Offense
W. M. & G. M. M.

2 _____
3 _____
4 _____

Dated, *Dec 8* 189 *2*

W. M. M. Magistrate.
Chas. T. Mitchell Officer.

Witnesses *Camp in Home*

No. *of Union St* Street.

No. _____ Street.

No. _____ Street.

2000 to answer *G. B.*
2000 bond *St. Dec 10 92*

BAILED,

No. 1, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 2, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 3, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 4, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

0519

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE,
IN AND FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE

VS.

AUGUST WENNERGQUIST,

Defendant.

S i r:

Please take notice that the above named defendant will move for a new trial, under the provisions of the Code of Criminal Procedure of the State of New York, on the annexed affidavits of Charles W. Hartley and the defendant herein, on Friday, the 23rd day of December, instant, at 11 o'clock A.M., or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, in the Court House in the City of New York.

December 23rd, 1892.

*To Hon. Dr. Lancy Mioll
Dist Atty for N.Y. City*

FRANK J. KRUIER,
Attorney for the Defendant;

Office & Post Office Address:

63 to 65 Park Row, N.Y. City.

0520

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE
IN AND FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE

vs.

AUGUST WENNERGUIST,

Defendant.

CITY & COUNTY OF NEW YORK: ss.

CHARLES W. HARTLEY, being duly sworn, says:

I am employed as day bartender at the Van Dyke House in the City of New York, situated at 28 Bowery, at the corner of Bayard and Bowery Streets, and was so on the 6th day of December, instant, and have been so employed for the last years and months.

Second. I remember in the latter part of the afternoon of the 6th of December, instat, three men entering the bar-room of the said Van Dyke House; one of them was a sailor, who has since given his name, as I understand, as Gustave Anderson, another was August Wennergust, and the third an unknown man. The said bar-room is about 50 feet in length, and has a front entrance situated at the corner of Bayard and Bowery Streets; another entrance about half-way up the saloon opening on Bayard Street, and a third entrance at the rear of the saloon on Bayard Street which is known as the Family Entrance, and which is generally kept locked, and was so locked on the said 6th day of December, 1892.

Third. That there are tables in said bar-room towards the rear; and that a short time after the entrance of the

said three men I heard that the unknown or third man had produced a pack of cards and was getting bets on the said cards from the said Anderson and Wennergquist. I at once went forward to stop the same, as no card playing is allowed in the place, when I saw the man who escaped rush out of the middle door entering on Bayard Street, and disappear; the sailor Anderson rushed out of the front door at the corner of Bayard and Bowery Streets, and Wennergquist, the defendant herein, started for the rear door opening out on the Family Entrance in the rear of Bayard Street. This would have completely cut off the escape of the man who ran through the middle door of the said bar-room.

Fourth. The sailor Anderson almost immediately returned, and re-entered the bar-room from the front door; and the said defendant, Wennergquist, returned to the bar-room not having been able to go out by way of the Family Entrance, as same was locked. The sailor and the defendant and both claimed that the man who had ran through the side door had taken their money; and, not wishing to have any disturbance in the place, I told them to leave the saloon at once, as I would have no trouble there. The sailor was starting for the front door, but Wennergquist, the defendant, insisted that an officer should be called, and did not attempt to go away. No accusation was made by the sailor against Wennergquist in my presence, the said sailor Anderson accusing the man who ran away and disappeared. After my telling them the second time they must leave the place, the sailor and Wennergquist left together, and went out of the front door to call an officer.

0522

Wennerquist stated in my presence, and in the presence
of the sailor, that the man who had ran away had his money
also, as the sailor Anderson knew.

Sworn to before me this 22nd
day of December, 1892.

Char W Hatley

David P Fleming
Commissioner of Streets
N.Y. City

0523

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE,
IN AND FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE

vs.

AUGUST WENNERGQUIST,
Defendant.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK: ss.

AUGUST WENNERGQUIST, the above named defendant, being duly sworn, says that he has been shown and read the annexed affidavit of Charles W. Hartley, and that the same is true in every respect so far as the event of the night of the 6th December, instant, is concerned related therein, and that he verily believes that if such evidence had been adduced at his trial he would have been acquitted; but said evidence has been discovered since the trial, is not cumulative, and the failure to produce the same was not owing to any want of diligence on his part.

Sworn to before me this 23rd
day of December, 1892.

David P. Fleming
Commissioner of Dards
N.Y. City

August Wennergquist

0524

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS,

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE

VS.

AUGUST WENNERGUIST,

Defendant.

MOTION & AFFIDAVITS FOR A NEW

TRIAL.

Copy

FRANK J. KELLER,
Attorney for Deft.,

Office & P. O. Address:

63 to 65 Park Row,
N.Y. City.

10

0525

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE,
IN AND FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE

VS.

AUGUST WENNERGUIST,

Defendant.

S i r:

Please take notice that the above named defendant will move for a new trial, under the provisions of the Code of Criminal Procedure of the State of New York, on the annexed affidavits of Charles W. Hartley and the defendant herein, on Friday, the 23rd day of December, instant, at 11 o'clock A.M., or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, in the Court House in the City of New York.

December 23rd, 1892.

FRANK J. KELLER,

Attorney for the Defendant;

Office & Post Office Address:

63 to 65 Park Row, N.Y. City.

*To Hon Dr Lancy Nicoll
Dist Atty for N.Y. City*

0526

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE
IN AND FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE

vs.

AUGUST WENNERGUIST,

Defendant.

CITY & COUNTY OF NEW YORK: ss.

CHARLES W. HARTLEY, being duly sworn, says:

I am employed as day bartender at the Van Dyke House in the City of New York, situated at 28 Bowery, at the corner of Bayard and Bowery Streets, and was so on the 6th day of December, instant, and have been so employed for the last ^{some} years, and ~~months~~.

Second. I remember, in the latter part of the afternoon of the 6th of December, instat, three men entering the bar-room of the said Van Dyke House; one of them was a sailor, who has sine given his name, as I understand, as Gustave Anderson, another was August Wennerguist, and the third an ~~unknown~~ man. The said bar-room is about 50 feet in length, and has a front entrance situated at the corner of Bayard and Bowery Streets; another entrance about half-way up the saloon opening on Bayard Street, and a third entrance at the rear of the saloon on Bayard Street which is known as the Family Entrance, and which is generally kept locked, and was so locked on the said 6th day of December, 1892.

Third. That there are tables in said bar-room towards the rear; and that a short time after the entrance of the

said three men I heard that the unknown or third man had produced a pack of cards and was getting bets on the said cards from the said Anderson and Wennergust. I at once went forward to stop the same, as no card playing is allowed in the place, when I saw the man who escaped rush out of the middle door entering on Bayard Street, and disappear; the sailor Anderson rushed out of the front door at the corner of Bayard and Bowery Streets, and Wennergust, the defendant herein, started for the rear door opening out on the Family Entrance in the rear of Bayard Street. This would have completely cut off the escape of the man who ran through the middle door of the said bar-room.

Fourth. The sailor Anderson almost immediately returned, and re-entered the bar-room from the front door; and the said defendant, Wennergust, returned to the bar-room not having been able to go out by way of the Family Entrance, as same was locked. The sailor and the defendant both claimed that the man who had ran through the side door had taken their money; and, not wishing to have any disturbance in the place, I told them to leave the saloon at once, as I would have no trouble there. The sailor was starting for the front door, but Wennergust, the defendant, insisted that an officer should be called, and did not attempt to go away. No accusation was made by the sailor against Wennergust in my presence, the said sailor Anderson accusing the man who ran away and disappeared. After my telling them the second time they must leave the place, the sailor and Wennergust left together, and went out of the front door to call an officer.

0528

Wennerguist stated in my presence, and in the presence
of the sailor, that the man who had ran away had his money
also, as the sailor Anderson knew.

Sworn to before me this 22nd
day of December, 1892.

Chas. W. Hartley

David P. Fleming
Com. of D. D. M. City

0529

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE,
IN AND FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE

vs.

AUGUST WENNERGUIST,

Defendant.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK: ss.

AUGUST WENNERGUIST, the above named defendant, being duly sworn, says that he has been shown and read the annexed affidavit of Charles W. Hartley, and that the same is true in every respect so far as the event of the night of the 6th December, instant, is concerned related therein, and that he verily believes that if such evidence had been adduced at his trial he would have been acquitted; but said evidence has been discovered since the trial, is not cumulative, and the failure to produce the same was not owing to any want of diligence on his part.

Sworn to before me this 23rd
day of December, 1892.

August Wennergvist
David P. Fleming
Commissioner of Dards
N.Y. City

0530

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS,
CITY & COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE

VS.

AUGUST WENNERQUIST,
Defendant.

MOTION AND AFFIDAVITS FOR A
NEW TRIAL.

FRANK J. KELLER,
Attorney for Deft.,

Office & P.O. Address:
63 to 65 Park Row,
N.Y. City.

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS-Part III.

-----x
 The People of the State of New York, : Before Hon. RUFUS
 against : B.:COWING, and a Jury.
 AUGUST WENNERQUIST . :
 -----x

Indictment filed December 13th 1892.

Indicted for grand larceny in the 2nd degree.

New York, December 16th 1892.

APPEARANCES: For the People, Asst. Dist. Atty.
Bedford.

For the defendant Mr. Frank J. Keller.

GUSTAV ANDERSON, a witness for the People, sworn, testified:

I belong in Cleveland Ohio. I am a native of Sweden and have been in this country three years. I came to the city of New York intending to return to the old country and see my wife and bring her to this country. I was on the Bowery on the afternoon of the 7th of December. The defendant, in company with another man, came up to me as I was walking along and asked me if I was not a Swede. I told him I was. Then he asked me if I was going across to Europe. I told him I was. He then asked me to go down the Bowery with them, and he would take me to a museum. When we passed a liquor store we went in and had a drink. The defendant talked in my native language with me all the time. He treated and I treated. At the time I was in this saloon I had eighty dollars and fifty cents in my pocket. I produced the roll of bills in the saloon. The defendant asked for permission to count it; I handed him the money for that purpose; he at once took fifty dollars himself and gave the other man that was with him thirty.

Both of them started then to go out; this man went towards the rear door but was stopped by a woman. I caught him and took him outside, shouted for an officer and had him arrested. A lot of money was found in his possession, including my fifty dollar bill.

CROSS EXAMINATION:

The defendant is a countryman of mine. I never saw him before that day I met him on the Bowery. His partner had a pack of cards; they wanted me to play with them. I would not play and I did not play in the saloon. The partner left by the front door and I was unable to catch both of the men. I deny that I put up my eighty dollars or any other sum on a card game. I repeat that there was no game of cards played in the saloon or any place else by me. I do not know anything at all about playing up cards. I had been working out there in Cleveland and had saved up this money intending to bring my wife to this country. On the day of this occurrence I was on my way to the steamship office to buy my ticket to go on the following day. I am not well acquainted in this city. I was not at all under the influence of liquor at the time I was in the company of the defendant.

PATRICK CORCORAN, a witness for the People, sworn, testified:

I am a police officer attached to the 6th precinct. I arrested this defendant at the corner of Bayard Street and the Bowery on the afternoon of the 7th of December. The two men had hold of each other on the corner and both of them were shouting for police. The complainant said that this man had taken eighty dollars from him and had given thirty to another man who ran away. The prisoner told me that he also lost eighty dollars to this man that

ran away. He said that the man whom ran away had been playing cards with them and cheated them both out of eighty dollars.. He calie d to be a Swede also. I searched the defendant and in his pockets I found the sum of \$350. There were two fifty dollar bills. The complainant could not say positively that one of the fifty dollar bills were his . The prisoner told me that he also was going to the old country. The money was not all in one pocket; it was in different pockets .

D E F E N C E .

AUGUST WENNERQUIST, the defendant, sworn, testified:

I was born in Sweden. I am twenty seven years of age. I have never been arrested before in my life. I have lived in this city for several years. I have been in Chicago and different places in the Uⁿited states at work. I had intended just before my arrest leaving this country to pay a visist to my native land. I lost eight dollars on this occasion as well as the complainant. On the day in question I met him on the street and we entered into conversation . The other man came along and proposed a game of cards. We at first bet twenty five cents a piece and then it raised from fifty cents to several dollars. Finally he had the complainants ei ghty on a bet and forty of mine; I had forty more in my hand ready to bet he grabbed that and ran out with the whole of it . I did not know the man and had nothing whatever to do with him . I tried to get out of the saloon by the rear door so I would stop the man if he ran out of the front door and ran around/ I supposed the complainant

Both of them started then to go out; this man went towards the rear door but was stopped by a woman. I caught him and took him outside, shouted for an officer and had him arrested. A lot of money was found in his possession, including my fifty dollar bill.

CROSS EXAMINATION:

The defendant is a countryman of mine. I never saw him before that day I met him on the Bowery. His partner had a pack of cards; they wanted me to play with them. I would not play and I did not play in the saloon. The partner left by the front door and I was unable to catch both of them. I deny that I put up my eighty dollars or any other sum on a card game. I repeat that there was no game of cards played in the saloon or any place else by me. I do not know anything at all about playing up cards. I had been working out there in Cleveland and had saved up this money intending to bring my wife to this country. On the day of this occurrence I was on my way to the steamship office to buy my ticket to go on the following day. I am not well acquainted in this city. I was not at all under the influence of liquor at the time I was in the company of the defendant.

PATRICK CORCORAN, a witness for the People, sworn, testified:

I am a police officer attached to the 6th precinct. I arrested this defendant at the corner of Bayard Street and the Bowery on the afternoon of the 7th of December. The two men had hold of each other on the corner and both of them were shouting for police. The complainant said that this man had taken eighty dollars from him and had given thirty to another man who ran away. The prisoner told me that he also lost eighty dollars to this man that

ran away. He said that the man whom ran away had been playing cards with them and cheated them both out of eighty dollars.. He called to be a Swede also. I searched the defendant and in his pockets I found the sum of \$350. There were two fifty dollar bills. The complainant could not say positively that one of the fifty dollar bills were his. The prisoner told me that he also was going to the old country. The money was not all in one pocket; it was in different pockets.

D E F E N C E .

AUGUST WENNERQUIST, the defendant, sworn, testified:

I was born in Sweden. I am twenty seven years of age. I have never been arrested before in my life. I have lived in this city for several years. I have been in Chicago and different places in the United States at work. I had intended just before my arrest leaving this country to pay a visit to my native land. I lost eight dollars on this occasion as well as the complainant. On the day in question I met him on the street and we entered into conversation. The other man came along and proposed a game of cards. We at first bet twenty five cents a piece and then it raised from fifty cents to several dollars. Finally he had the complainants eighty on a bet and forty of mine; I had forty more in my hand ready to bet he grabbed that and ran out with the whole of it. I did not know the man and had nothing whatever to do with him. I tried to get out of the saloon by the rear door so I would stop the man if he ran out of the front door and ran around. I supposed the complainant

0537

4

ran to the front door. The officer came up and arrested me . I told the officer then that I had lost the same amount of money as the complainant . The money which I had in my clothes was all money which I had saved to go to Europe with .

CROSS EXAMINATION:

I know what perjury is. I have told the truth. The other man was wtalking to Anderson when I first came up to them/ He talked in Swedish also. I do not know the nae of the other man and did not ask him .

The jury returned a verdict of guilty of grand larceny in the 2nd degree.

Indictment filed Dec. 13-1892.

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

Part III.

THE PEOPLE &c.

against

AUGUST WENNERQUIST.

Abstract of testimony on

Trial, New York December

16th 1892.

0538

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

August Wennerquist

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

August Wennerquist

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE second DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

August Wennerquist

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the 7th day of December in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-two, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

the sum of eighty dollars in money, lawful money of the United States of America, and of the value of eighty dollars.

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

Gustave Anderson

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DeLancey Nicoll, District Attorney

0540

BOX:

507

FOLDER:

4623

DESCRIPTION:

Wess, Herman

DATE:

12/19/92



4623

Witnesses:

J. P. Baunters

Counsel,

Filed,

1892

19th Dec

Pleas,

Supplies

THE PEOPLE

vs.

B

Merrett & Co

VIOLATION OF THE EXCISE LAW,
[Chap. 401, Laws of 1892, § 32.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

Massachusetts Bell & Co

Foreman.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

2007

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
against

Herman Weiss

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse
Herman Weiss
of the CRIME OF SELLING STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINES, ALE AND
BEER ON SUNDAY, committed as follows:

The said *Herman Weiss*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *13th* day of *November* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*two*, at the City and County aforesaid, the same being Sunday, certain strong and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, to wit: One gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whiskey, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain strong and spirituous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did sell, ~~to one~~

and to certain ~~other~~ persons whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said
Herman Weiss
of the CRIME OF OFFERING AND EXPOSING FOR SALE ON SUNDAY STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINES, ALE AND BEER, committed as follows:

The said *Herman Weiss*

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to-wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, the same being Sunday, certain strong and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, to wit: One gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whiskey, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain strong and spirituous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did offer and expose for sale to one

and to certain other persons whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

0543

BOX:

507

FOLDER:

4623

DESCRIPTION:

West, William

DATE:

12/21/92



4623

0544

Witnesses:

Chas. B. Webb

.....
.....
.....
.....

996
Counsel, *Harold*
Filed *21st* day of *Dec* 189*2*
Plends, *Wm. West*

24
43
Wm. West
THE PEOPLE
Grand Larceny, (From the Person), Degree.
[Sections 222, 223, Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLI,
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

Alman
Jan 2 - Jan 4 93
Plead attempt J. C.
Gen 6 MUS
RBM

0545

Police Court District. Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County of New York, ss:

Charles H. Webb

of No. 4th Precinct Street, aged 23 years, occupation Police officer being duly sworn,

deposes and says, that on the 17 day of December 1892 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of a museum person, in the day time, the following property, viz:

the property of a museum person

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away by William West

(in a box) for the reasons following to-wit: about the hour of 3 o'clock P.M. on the afternoon of said day deponent saw said museum person in Fulton Street and he saw said defendant place his hands in the right hand breast pocket of the coat said museum person had on, and he arrested him, and said museum person refusing to make complaint against defendant

Charles H. Webb

Sworn to before me, this 18th day of December 1892, Police Justice.

0546

Sec. 198-200

District Police Court.

City and County of New York, ss:

William West being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to a charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him, if he see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *William West*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *27 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *United States*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *13 Morris Street 1 month*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Painter*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer. *I am not guilty*
William West

Taken before me this *1st* day of *February* 189*9*
W. J. [Signature]
Police Justice.

0547

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named.....

.....
guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, Dec 13 189..... Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named.....
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, 189..... Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named.....
..... guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, 189..... Police Justice.

054

Police Court---

District.

1582
1894

THE PEOPLE, &c
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Charles H. Webb
Shirley Webb
Offense *Failure to pay*
to

2
3
4

BAILED,

No. 1, by
Residence Street.

No. 2, by
Residence Street.

No. 3, by
Residence Street.

No. 4, by
Residence Street.

Dated, *Dec 18* 189 *2*

Goody Magistrate.
Webb Officer.
H Precinct.

Witnesses

No. Street.

No. Street.

No. Street.

* *CS* to answer.

CS

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

William West

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

William West attempting to commit the crime of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY in the second degree, committed as follows:

The said William West

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the 17th day of December in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-two, in the day time of the said day, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

divers goods, chattels and personal property, (a more particular description whereof is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown) of the value of ten dollars

of the goods, chattels and personal property of a certain man, whose name is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, on the person of the said man then and there being found, from the person of the said man then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

De Laurey Mcoll, District Attorney.

0550

BOX:

507

FOLDER:

4623

DESCRIPTION:

Whitmann, Albert

DATE:

12/19/92



4623

0551

168

Witnesses:

J. P. Hawthorn

Counsel,

Filed, *19* day of *Dec* 1892

Pleads, *Guilty*

THE PEOPLE

vs.

D

Albert Whitman

May 9 93

VIOLATION OF THE EXCISE LAW,
Selling, etc., on Sunday
[Comp. 401, Laws of 1892, § 32.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

Alfred DeLoach

Foreman.

0552

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

2907

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
against

Albert Whitman

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse
of the CRIME OF *Albert Whitman* SELLING STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINES, ALE AND
BEER ON SUNDAY, committed as follows:

The said *Albert Whitman*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *18th* day of *September* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*two*, at the City and County aforesaid, the same being Sunday, certain strong and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, to wit: One gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whiskey, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain strong and spirituous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did sell, ~~to one~~

and to certain other persons whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said
of the CRIME OF *Albert Whitman* OFFERING AND EXPOSING FOR SALE ON SUNDAY STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINES, ALE AND BEER, committed as follows:

The said *Albert Whitman*

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to-wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, the same being Sunday, certain strong and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, to wit: One gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whiskey, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain strong and spirituous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did offer and expose for sale to one

and to certain other persons whose names are *Jeremiah P. H. Conliffe* to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

0553

BOX:

507

FOLDER:

4623

DESCRIPTION:

Will, Peter

DATE:

12/02/92



4623

0554

Witnesses:

Offc. Hurray. 12th

536

Counsel,

Filed, 2 day of Dec. 1892

(Pleads, *Argued*)

THE PEOPLE

vs.

B

Peter Will

VIOLATION OF THE EXCISE LAW.
[Chap. 401, Laws of 1892, § 82.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

John E. Sullivan

Foreman.

W. H. D. / R. D.

0555

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

2967

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Peter Will

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

of the CRIME OF *Peter Will* SELLING STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINES, ALE AND BEER ON SUNDAY, committed as follows:

The said

Peter Will

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *second* day of *October* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*two*, at the City and County aforesaid, the same being Sunday, certain strong and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, to wit: One gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whiskey, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain strong and spirituous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did sell, ~~to me~~

~~and~~ to certain ~~other~~ persons whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

of the CRIME OF OFFERING AND EXPOSING FOR SALE ON SUNDAY STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINES, ALE AND BEER, committed as follows:

The said

Peter Will

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to-wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, the same being Sunday, certain strong and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, to wit: One gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whiskey, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain strong and spirituous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did offer and expose for sale to one

and to certain other persons whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

0556

BOX:

507

FOLDER:

4623

DESCRIPTION:

Williams, Charles

DATE:

12/12/92



4623

0557

Witnesses:

John C. Hill
Off Street Arresting
Detective McHugh

We People Cannot
produce sufficient testimony
in my opinion to make
a case against the
Defendant. I must
therefore recommend
his release upon his
own recognizance

Wm. J. [Signature]
Dec. 16/93

Counsel,

Filed, *W. J. Hill* 1893

Pleas, *Guilty*

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Pl.
Charles Williams
(2 cases)

RECEIVING STOLEN GOODS
(Section 550, Penal Code.)

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

Filed 16/93
A TRUE BILL.

Henry DeLoach
Foreman.

DeLoach
DeLoach

0558

(1305)

Police Court— District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County }
of New York, } ss.

Mathilde Sahlin

of No. *8-10th St* Street, aged *26* years,

occupation *None* being duly sworn,

deposes and says, that on the *26* day of *October* 189*2* at the City of New

York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession

of deponent, in the *night* time, the following property, viz:

One quantity of jewelry
valued at \$1000
dollars

The property of *David A. Sahlin and*
in the care and custody of
deponent

and that this deponent
has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen
and carried away by *Charles Mills*

for the reasons following to wit:
at the hour of *seven o'clock p.m.*
on said date deponent missed
the said property from her
drawers in deponent's residence
she is informed by *Protectors*
Edward Conington and Joseph
Pauling that they found in the
possession of the deponent
a quantity of jewelry which jewelry
deponent has since seen and
identifies as being a portion of the
property which was feloniously
taken stolen and carried away

Mathilde Sahlin

Sworn to before me, this *27* day

of *November* 189*2*

Walter Kelly
Police Justice

0559

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

1921

aged 30 years, occupation Protection Department of No. 300

300 Street, being duly sworn, deposes and says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Matthew Sallin and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 22 day of November 1892 } Edward Armstrong

Wm. T. Gady Police Justice.

0560

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

1921

aged 29 years, occupation Joseph Dowling of No. Police

3rd Avenue Street, being duly sworn, deposes and says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Matthew Soliman and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 22 day of November 1921 } Joseph Dowling

Matthew Soliman Police Justice.

0561

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court.

City and County of New York, ss:

Charles Williams being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him, if he see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Charles Williams*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *30 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *Ceyland*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *428 - 6th Avenue Jones*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Journalist*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer. *I am not guilty and demand an examination*

Chas Williams

Taken before me this *22* day of *Jan* 189 *7*

Police Justice.

0562

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named.....

Defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *Fifty* Hundred Dollars,..... and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, *Mar 22* 189..... *J. M. ...* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named..... to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated,..... 189..... Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named..... guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated,..... 189..... Police Justice.

0563

1518
1894

Police Court--- District

Wm. H. Brady

THE PEOPLE, &
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Matilda Schlin
vs. *8 Nov. 84*
Charles Williams

James J. Conroy
Officer

1
2
3
4

BAILED,

No. 1, by

Residence Street.

No. 2, by

Residence Street.

No. 3, by

Residence Street.

No. 4, by

Residence Street.

Dated, *Nov 22* 189*4*

Grady Magistrate.

Arresting & Bonding Officer.

Co Precinct.

Witnesses *officers*

No. Street.

Rudolph Hermann

No. *102 Grove* Street.

No. Street.

\$ *5000* to answer *3 P.M.*

Conroy

\$5000 3 P.M. 29 3 P.M.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Charles Williams

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Charles Williams

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE *first* DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

Charles Williams

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *26th* day of *October* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*two*, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

one pendant of the value of one hundred dollars, one pin of the value of fifty dollars, one bangle of the value of fifty dollars, one bracelet of the value of one hundred and fifty dollars, two stick-pins of the value of ~~one~~ twenty-five dollars each, two card cases of the value of ten dollars each, one hat pin of the value of one dollar, three charms of the value of fifteen dollars each, one keyring of the value of ten dollars, one bowl of the value of ten dollars, one collar-button of the value of fifty dollars, one sword-pin of the value of one hundred dollars, one watch of the value of fifty dollars, one gold horseshoe of the value of ten dollars, one gold coin of the value of two dollars and fifty cents, and the sum of eleven dollars in money, lawful money of the United States of America, and of the value of eleven dollars,
of the goods, chattels and personal property of one *David A. Sahlem*

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said

Charles Williams

of the CRIME OF CRIMINALLY RECEIVING STOLEN PROPERTY, committed as follows:

The said *Charles Williams*

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,
one pendant of the value of one hundred dollars,
one pin of the value of fifty dollars, one bangle of
the value of fifty dollars, one bracelet of the value
of one hundred dollars, two stick-pins of the value
of twenty-five dollars each, two card cases of the
value of ten dollars each, one hat-pin of the value of
one dollar, three charms of the value of fifteen
dollars each, one tea-pot of the value of ten dollars,
one cream jug of the value of ten dollars, one bowl of
the value of ten dollars, one collar button of the value
of fifty dollars, one sword pin of the value of one
hundred dollars, one watch of the value of fifty
dollars, one gold horseshoe of the value of ten dollars,
one gold coin of the value of two dollars and fifty cents,
and the sum of eleven dollars in money, lawful
money of the United States of America, and of
the value of eleven dollars

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one *David A. Sahlein*

by a certain person or persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, then lately before
feloniously stolen, taken and carried away from the said *David A. Sahlein*

unlawfully and unjustly did feloniously receive and have; the said

Charles Williams

then and there well knowing the said goods, chattels and personal property to have been
feloniously stolen, taken and carried away, against the form of the statute in such case made
and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

Witnesses:

Off Am N. McDougall

Spun upon an
examination of the
within case. That the
people are willing the
party suspects to
make out a case against
the defendant & also
confessed the
McCombes his tracks
upon his own recognizance
Wm J. J. J. J. J.
May 16 1893

Counsel,

Filed

Pleas,

9 day of Dec 1893

Voluntarily

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Charles Williams

(2 cases)

May 16 1893

Defended in person
BY LANCEY NICOLL,

Recognized attorney.

Book 1 Dec 19 93
Off Am Jervis 6500

A TRUE BILL.

Attorney DeWitt

Foreman

April 20 1893
Hodge

Grand Larceny, (Sections 528, 580 Penal Code)

0567

Court of General Sessions of the Peace
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

139

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Charles Williams

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment accuse

Charles Williams
of the CRIME OF RECEIVING STOLEN GOODS, committed as follows:

The said

Charles Williams

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *16th* day of *November* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*two*, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

one sealskin wrap of the value of three hundred dollars

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

Eben Marsh, by Sarah E. Byrne and David C. Bliss and by certain other persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, then lately before feloniously stolen, taken and carried away from the said Eben Marsh

unlawfully and unjustly did feloniously receive and have; the said

Charles Williams

then and there well knowing the said goods, chattels and personal property to have been feloniously stolen, taken and carried away; against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

0568

BOX:

507

FOLDER:

4623

DESCRIPTION:

Williams, John

DATE:

12/13/92



4623

16/11/18

Counsel, *W. H. [unclear]*
Filed, *10 Dec 1893*
Placed, *Meade-14*

THE PEOPLE
vs.
John Williams
INJURY TO PROPERTY.
[Section 654, Pennl Code]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

William [unclear]

Foreman.
Pat 2 - Jan. 9, 1893
Ready Guilty as 2
Arising from Sentence imposed
Jan 10 1893

Witnesses:
Henry Francis
Charles Schuyler

[Faint handwritten notes]

0570

FREDERICK A. WARE,
ATTORNEY AND COUNSELOR AT LAW.

WARE & BINGHAM,

265 BROADWAY,
(OPPOSITE COURT HOUSE.)

NEW YORK,

Jan 13th 1893

Hon. R. B. Martine.

Dear Sir,

I was assigned by you in the Case of John Williams and investigated his case which I found to be one of great hardship, inasmuch as I believe the "Injury to Property" complained of was an accident. I have been in Court a number of times ready to defend him, but when his case was called in my temporary absence, he pleaded, and I am informed was sentenced to-day to four months in the Penitentiary. If you could suspend sentence in this case, or give me

0571

an opportunity to bring further
evidence of character to that
end. I am confident that I am
in possession of facts that would
induce your Honor to extend
your mercy even to that extent.

I have the honor to be
Sincerely yours
J. A. Ware.

0572

Grand View Hotel,

FORT HAMILTON, L. I.

Open All Year on the European Plan.

"The Madison,"
RESTAURANT,
19 West 26th Street, New York.

ADOLPH RUEHL, PROP.

"The Hamilton,"
CAFÉ,
102 Sixth Avenue, New York.

Fort Hamilton, N. Y.
New York, January 5, 1897.

Miss Bingham
265 Broadway
N.Y.

Dear Madam:
Mr. John Williams
is a student of mine for the
last 4 months and has
during that time always
been so honest, obliging
and interesting.

GRAND VIEW HOTEL,

FORT HAMILTON,

ADOLPH RUEHL, PROP.

Adolph Ruehl

0573

Sec. 151.

Police Court 2 District.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

ss. In the name of the People of the State of New York; To the Sheriff of the County of New York, or to any Marshal or Policeman of the City of New York, GREETING:

Whereas, Complaint in writing, and upon oath, has been made before the undersigned, one of the Police Justices for the City of New York, by Henry Francis of 48 East 14 Street, that on the 5 day of December 1882 at the City of New York, in the County of New York,

William
did willfull and maliciously break and
destroy a pane of glass in a Show Case
doing damage to the amount of twenty eight
dollars

Wherefore, the said Complainant has prayed that the said Defendant may be apprehended and bound to answer the said complaint.

These are Therefore, in the name of the PEOPLE of the State of New York, to command you the said Sheriff, Marshals and Policemen and each and every of you, to apprehend the said Defendant and bring forthwith before me, at the _____ DISTRICT POLICE COURT, in the said City, or in case of my absence or inability to act, before the nearest or most accessible Police Justice in this City, to answer the said charge, and to be dealt with according to law.

Dated at the City of New York, this 5 day of December 1882

John Ryan POLICE JUSTICE.

0574

9¹⁵ PM 91. W. Henry Wailer & Son 402.6 Avenue

The within named

having been brought before me under this Warrant, is committed for examination to the WARDEN and KEEPER of the City Prison of the City of New York.

Dated..... 188

Police Justice.

74 & 14th St
Adolph Reed
Police Court District.

Warrant-General.
THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF
Henry Francis
vs.
John Williams

Dated December 8 1892

Sydney Magistrate

Ward Officer.

The Defendant John Williams
taken, and brought before the Magistrate, to answer
the within charge, pursuant to the command con-
tained in this Warrant.

Albion Wood Officer.

Dated December 8 1892

This Warrant may be executed on Sunday or at
night.

Police Justice.

Court of General Sessions of the peace
for the City and County of New York.

The people of the State
of New York
vs
John Williams

Injury to property.

State of New York
City and County of New York ss.

John Williams
being duly sworn deposes and says:
That on and previous to the 5th day of December
1892. he was employed as a waiter at the
Columbia Restaurant at No. 48 East 14th Str.
in the City of New York and resided at No.
74 Schermerhorn Str. in the City of Brooklyn
N. Y. That on that day money for wages was
due to him for his services at said Restau-
-ant in the sum of eight ~~and~~ dollars
That payment of said sum was refused
for trivial and unjust reasons whereupon
deponent protested, stating that he had
honestly and fairly earned his wages as
agreed upon, and during the course of the
argument deponent's hand in gesticulating came
in contact with the glass of the show case

causing a crack diagonally across one corner of the pane. That deponent had no intention of injuring the property of the complainant in any manner whatever, and the particular injury complained of was wholly accidental.

Deponent further says that he has been confined in the Tombs Prison for the period of about one month ^{and fifty days} and has never been arrested before, nor charged with the commission of any crime, or convicted of any offence whatever.

In conclusion deponent says that he pleaded guilty under a misapprehension, while his attorney was temporarily absent, and that he can neither speak nor understand the English language except in an extremely limited degree, and that he is not guilty of intentionally injuring the property of the complainant in this action.

Sworn to before me this John Williams
2^d day of January 1893.

Fredrick A. Ware
Notary Public 16
N.Y. Co.

State of New York
 City and County of New York ss:
 Frederick A. Ware
 being duly sworn says that he is an
 Attorney and Counsellor at Law in active
 practice and resides in the City of New
 York. That on the 14th day of December
 1892. Hon. Randolph B. Hartine sitting
 in Part I Court of General Sessions
 assigned said Frederick A. Ware as coun-
 sel for one John Williams indicted
 for injury to property and that acting
 on his advice said Williams pleaded
 "not guilty" to the indictment. That on
 Dec 17th 1892 the case was on the calendar
 for trial but was adjourned to December
 23rd 1892 and again adjourned to the
 best of deponents knowledge and belief.
 That the case was on the calendar for
 Part II General Sessions on January 9th 1893.
 That deponent was in Court from 11 o'clock
 A.M. of that day until about One o'clock
 P.M. and stated to the Asst. District Attorney
 Mr. Weeks that he was ready to try the
 case. That on arriving at the Court-room
 a short time after recess he was informed
 by the Asst. District Attorney that Williams
 had pleaded guilty to the indictment.

and deponent was later informed that on January 13th said Williams was sentenced to be imprisoned in the Penitentiary for a period of four months.

On the above several occasions Counsel for the defendant was ready and anxious to try the case, and with witnesses to testify to the good character of the defendant.

Deponent has personally investigated the statements made by said Williams and finds them to be true in as far as his antecedents and occupation is concerned and is informed that his character and reputation for honesty, sobriety and good conduct is very good.

Deponent further says that on several occasions during the month of December 1892 he has been visited at his office by several men who stated that they were former employees of the Columbia Restaurant and that part of their wages were withheld by the management of the Restaurant and for which they desired to bring suit. and on inquiry at No. 402 Sixth Avenue in this City deponent was informed by Herman Abé the proprietor or manager of the establishment which deponent is informed is an agency for waiters that said Columbia Restaurant Management

were notorious for treating their waiters
in this fashion, viz. retaining part of their
wages without good or sufficient reason.

Deponent declares that no payment whatever
has been made for his services in this case
nor does he expect to receive any compensation
whatever.

Deponent verily believes that the allegations
in the above statement made on information
and belief are substantially true wherefore
Deponent prays that sentence may be sus-
-pended in this case.

Sworn to before me
this 19th day of January 1893.

Frederick S. Ware.
Alfred Harwood
Clerk of Seeds
N.Y. City & County

(over)

Witnesses as to the good character of
John Williams.

Adolph Kuehl
Grandview Hotel
Fort Hamilton. N.Y.
Charles Barz
74 Scherhorn str.
Brooklyn, N.Y.

Former employees of the Columbia
Restaurant part of whose earnings
were withheld as stated to me.
F. H. Ware.

Henry Nickning 143 West 30th str. N.Y. City
Mr. Tomash, Headwaiter at the "Gumbli"
7th Ave and 57th str. N.Y. City.
and others if required.

The Affidavit of Charles Barz
as to character is attached to
the papers.

County of General Sessions
N. Y. Court.

The People of the
State of New York.

against

John Williams.

(Injuring to Property)

Affidavits & Petition

F. A.
REINHOLD & WARE,

Attorney for Defendant
No. 268 Broadway,
New York.

To Hon. R. B. Martineau

Attorney for

Due and timely service of a copy of the within is hereby
admitted.

0581

U. S. Court of General Sessions
COURT

The People of the
State of New York

against

John Williams.

(Copying to Property)

Affidavit & Petition.

F. F.
REED & WARE,

Attorney for Defendant
No. 268 Broadway,
New York.

To Hon. J. B. Martine

Attorney for

Due and timely service of a copy of the within is hereby
admitted.

0582

Court of General Sessions.

The People vs

John Williams.

City & County of New York ss:

Charles Barry

being duly sworn says I reside at No 74 Schermerhorn Street in the City of Brooklyn. I know the defendant for a period of 3 months previous to his arrest, having been employed as a waiter with him and he having lived in the same house with me during said period, and I have seen the defendant most every day since I became acquainted with him, and from such intercourse have come to the opinion that he is a worthy citizen - He the defendant has informed that he never before was arrested charged with the commission of any crime and which I believe to be true.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 9th day of July 1893 }
J. J. Alderman }
Notary Public N.Y.C. } Chas. Barry.

General Order

The Paper

apt.

John Williams

affidavit

J. Berlingo
Sept 1849

Police Court, District

City and County of New York, ss.

James Francis

of No. 48 West 14 Street, aged 25 years,

occupation, being duly sworn, deposes and says,

that on the day of 189 at the City of New York, in the County of New York,

James Francis

deposes that about the first of August

at about 9 o'clock P.M. of the afternoon stated, witness

was in the presence of the Defendant, and was in

charge of the same and witness saw the

Defendant take the same in a whip-

case which was placed in said

frame of gears and injury to said car

to the amount of twenty-eight dollars

and defendant further pays that just

previous to said afternoon, defendant paid

frame of gears - he made the repair in

defendant's presence and hearing that if

he (said defendant) did not receive the

amount of fifty dollars - defendant thought

charges for defendant with intention of

deceiving both and said

that he may be apprehended and

will look to the law and my duty

to do my duty

James Francis

0586

District Police Court.

1882

Sec. 198-200.

City and County of New York, ss:

According to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is in his right to make a statement in relation to a charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him to see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that he waives cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *John Williams*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *61 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *Germany*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *407 E. 10th St. N. Y. City*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *None*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer.

By your own statement

John Williams

Taken before me this
day of *Jan* 1892
John R. Gans
Police Justice.

0587

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court.

1882

City and County of New York, ss:

John Williams being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to a charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him, if he see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *John Williams*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *31 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *Germany*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *407 1/2 ...*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *...*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer.

I am not guilty.

John Williams

Taken before me this
day of *...*
John R. ...
1892
Police Justice.

0588

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named John D. ...

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Ten Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, June 189 John D. ... Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated,189 Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named
guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.

Dated,189 Police Justice.

058

Police Court--- 2 --- District. 1547

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Wm Francis
John Williams

J. Macdonald
Magistrate

1
2
3
4

BAILED,
No. 1, by
Residence Street.
No. 2, by
Residence Street.
No. 3, by
Residence Street.
No. 4, by
Residence Street.

Dated, *July 1* 1892
[Signature] Magistrate.
[Signature] Officer.
[Signature] Precinct.

Witnesses
No. Street.
No. Street.
No. *1000* to answer *[Signature]*

[Signature]

0590

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

517

IN AND FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

AGAINST

John Williams

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment accuse

John Williams
of the CRIME OF UNLAWFULLY AND WILFULLY *destroying* PERSONAL PROPERTY OF ANOTHER,
committed as follows:

The said

John Williams

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *fifth*
day of *December* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and
ninety-*two*, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

one pane of plate glass -

of the value of *twenty-eight dollars*
of the goods, chattels and personal property of one *George D. Smith*
then and there being, then and there feloniously did unlawfully and wilfully *break*

and destroy;

[Signature]

against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People
of the State of New York and their dignity.

De Lancey Meade
District Attorney

0591

BOX:

507

FOLDER:

4623

DESCRIPTION:

Wilson, Maggie

DATE:

12/22/92



4623

Witnesses:

William Heyman
of A. Orzuban

Counsel,

Filed

22nd day of Dec^r 1892

Pleads,

Attest 23rd

THE PEOPLE

78.

Maggie Wilson

Grand Larceny, 5th Class
(From the Person)
Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

Herman DeLucho

Foreman.

Janey 4/13

Friedrichson
Wm. R. W. M.

~~116~~

0593

(1865)

Police Court— District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County }
of New York, } ss.

William Heyman
of No. 7 Frankfort Street, aged 32 years,
occupation Watchman

being duly sworn,
deposes and says, that on the 15 day of December 1892 at the City of New
York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession

and person of deponent, in the night time, the following property, viz:

A gold watch valued at
Sixty Dollars

Sworn to before me, this
15th day
of Dec 1892

Police Justice

the property of

and that this deponent
has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen
and carried away by Maggie Wilson. When
deponent was in a hallway of a house
in Boyer Street and he had said
watch in his hand the defendant snatched
said watch and ran away with it
deponent saw her pass the watch to an
unknown man and when deponent
attempted to take the watch from said unknown
man - he struck deponent and ran away -
deponent fully identifies the defendant
as the person who snatched said
watch from deponent.

William Heyman

0594

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court. 1892

City and County of New York, ss:

Maggie Wilson being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him, if he see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Maggie Wilson

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 22 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 24 Boyer St - 3 months

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Dressmaker

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer. I am not guilty

Maggie Wilson

Taken before me this

1892

1892

A. J. ...

Police Justice.

0595

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named.....

Alfred

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Twenty Hundred Dollars,..... and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, Oct 11 1892..... Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named.....
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated,..... 189..... Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named.....
guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated,..... 189..... Police Justice.

059

Police Court--- District.

158
1884

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

William Heyman
vs.
1. *Maggie Wilson*

Offense *Larceny*
from the person

2. _____
3. _____
4. _____

BAILED,

No. 1, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 2, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 3, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 4, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

Dated, *Dec 15* 189*2*

M. Mahon Magistrate.

Drumman Officer.

6 Precinct.

Witnesses

No. _____ Street.

No. _____ Street.

No. _____ Street.

* *200* to answer. *48*

C *H*

75/12

The People
Maffre

Court of General Sessions. Part I
 Before Recorder Smyth Jan. 4. 1843.
 Indictment for grand larceny in first degree
 William Heyman, sworn and examined.
 testified: Where do you live? No. 7 Front Street
 street in this city. Did you live there on the
 13th of December? Yes. What is your business?
 I am the janitor of the house where I live.
 Did you ever see this woman at the bar?
 did you see her on the 13th of December?
 On the 14th and 15th of December I saw
 her both times. Where was she? In the
 hall room of a large beer saloon Dyer
 street and the Brewery. Was that on the
 14th of December? It was the night time from
 the 14th to the 15th - about the third door
 from the Brewery. Were you in the hall
 way that night? Yes. Where were you
 when you saw that woman? I was in
 the saloon and had a glass of beer, and when
 I left the saloon through the hall door I saw
 this woman. I do not know the name of
 the saloon, it is on the Brewery next door
 to Dyer street. What time of day or night
 was it? About ten minutes past twelve
 o'clock in the night time. Did you have
 a watch in your possession? Yes. Where
 was it, on what part of your person?
 On the left side vest pocket.

Did you have a chain on the watch?
No. What did you have the watch fastened
with? Only loose in the pocket. What kind
of a watch was it? A fourteen carat gold
watch. What was the value of that watch?
Sixty dollars. What did that woman do
to the watch? When I came into the
hall I looked at the watch to see what
time it was. Then she said to me, "Show
it to me," and at the same time she
grabbed the watch and ran out into
the street. I ran after her, and about
fifty paces away from the hall there
were about five men standing. She
gave the watch to one of the five men,
and when I reached him that man
hit me in the face. Then I commenced
to hallow for an officer, and whilst
I was hallowing for the officer, they all
disappeared, all ran away, the woman
and the man. The officer came. We
went into two houses and searched and
could not find her. The officer left me
to go on his round, and I stopped
on the corner watching for about two
hours. After watching for about two hours
I saw this woman with another man
coming up the street - Dwyer street, I

grabbed the woman and called the officers; he was watching also on the other side, and at the same time when I grabbed her the man hit me on the face. The officer came up and arrested the woman, and in the mean time the man ran away that was how long after the watch was stolen. About two hours after.

Cross examined: What day of the week was this? It was the night from Wednesday to Thursday. I live in Frankfurt street and own a jewelry store. How long have you been jewelry store? About six years. How long have you lived in the city of New York? About seven years. Before you came to New York where did you live? I came here from Germany. How long you were robbed of your watch at twelve o'clock at night? Last twelve o'clock. Did you accuse any other woman of stealing it than this woman? No. You say you had a glass of beer in there? Yes. Did you have more than one glass of beer that night? No. I had two glasses the whole evening - one glass in that saloon. How far is Frankfurt st. from this place? Twelve blocks away. What were you doing there that hour of the night? I went up town on a business errand for my office, and coming home

0600

I made a mistake. Instead of taking the City Hall train, I took the South ferry train and got off at the station, and on my way home I entered and had a glass of beer there. Now at the defendant again, is that the girl, are you sure of it, no question about that? Positively she is. After she grabbed your watch she ran out of the hallway? Yes. Was there anybody else but her and yourself in the hallway? Nobody else but I and the woman Williams Green, sworn and examined testified that precinct are you connected with? The sixth precinct. Were you connected with that precinct on the 15th of December? Yes. Did you arrest the prisoner at the jail? Yes. At about ten minutes after twelve o'clock the complainant came to me and said he was robbed of a watch in Dyer St. and he was bleeding from the mouth. I questioned him and he said this woman had taken it. What did you do then in consequence of that complaint? He went and searched the building N. 4 Dyer Street, the place pointed out where the larceny occurred. What did you find if anything? He did not find anything.

We searched the building and failed to find the woman there. Did you search any other building? No. What did you do after that? I told him to go to the station house. I went on my post and patrolled it. About two hours afterwards did you see this complainant? Yes. I was on the corner of Pell street and the Bowery. I saw a crowd running and I immediately ran down to the corner. The other officer went through Pell street to head off anybody that would run through; he chased the man running and when I got to the other corner this complainant had hold of this woman, and another woman was there and several men; the other woman was intoxicated. The complainant told me in the presence and hearing of the defendant that she was the woman who had stolen his watch about two hours previous. He denied the charge. I asked him did he positively identify the woman and he said, yes. I locked the complainant up for intoxication; he was slightly under the influence of liquor and this woman and the woman who was with her. The next morning Justice Mc Mahon gave her a month in the City Prison. The man who came in there

to testify for this prisoner the complainant identified as the man who struck him in the mouth. Justice McElahon held him in three hundred dollars bail for assault. He was fined five dollars in the Court of Special Sessions.

Cross Examined. The complainant did not charge the other woman who was there with anything; he was intoxicated, but he knew what he was doing. Under the circumstances I would not have locked the man up only in order to hold him as a complainant the next morning against the prisoner. He was discharged the next morning when the complainant first spoke to me he was bleeding at the mouth and seemed to be excited. He was not staggering, he spoke intelligently to me when I saw him two hours afterwards he told me that the prisoner was the woman who stole his watch; he talked quite rationally. I did not have to carry him to the station house. He spoke English at the station house No. 4 Doyer street is where the prisoner lived.

Maggie Wilson, sworn and examined in her own behalf testified. You have heard the testimony of the complainant, did you

steal his watch? No, I did not. I was going to my home No. 4 Dwyer street and I heard a woman screaming. I went over to see what was the matter. There was a man standing in the crowd, and as soon as I went in this man caught hold of me by the arm and said I stole his watch and wanted to have me arrested, and the officer arrested me. I had never seen the man before and never seen the watch in my life. The officer said I would have to go to the station house. This is the first time I have ever been arrested in my life.

Cross examined. No. 4 Dwyer street is a tenement house. There is no lager beer saloon there but there is one at No. 2 Dwyer street. There is no hallway between Nos. 2 and 4; the hallway belongs to No. 2. One can pass in from that hallway to the lager beer saloon. After twelve o'clock at night that is the way people go into the saloon. I have been in that saloon, but not on the night the man accuses me of. I live alone at 4 Dwyer street. You solicit on the street don't you? Yes. I could not exactly tell where I was at twelve o'clock at night of the night I was arrested, but I was on the street some place. I believe

0604

at ten minutes past twelve I was on my way home from the Brewery. I believe it was twenty minutes past twelve when I was arrested. I did not notice what time it was when I got to the station house. I had been drinking that night, but I knew what I was doing. I did not see the complainant's lip bleeding. I do not know if anybody struck him. I have never been convicted for anything. It was the corner of Gayer street and the Brewery where the complainant caught me. When I heard the woman scream I went in the crowd, and he said I stole his watch. He did not put his hand on me, but he told the officer. Before the time you were arrested you had been with some man that evening hadn't you? No. Did you meet any man outside of No. 4 Gayer street? No. I saw no men, but I was with a woman whose name is Simpson. I met her quite early and she spent half an hour with me. She lives in Christie street. I have not seen her since my arrest. The jury rendered a verdict of guilty with a recommendation to mercy.

0605

Testimony in the
case of
Maffie Wilson

Filed Dec. 1992

2082

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Maggie Wilson

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Maggie Wilson

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY in the first degree, committed as follows:

The said Maggie Wilson

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the 15th day of December in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-two, in the night time of the said day, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

one watch of the value of sixty dollars

of the goods, chattels and personal property, of one William Heymann on the person of the said William Heymann then and there being found, from the person of the said William Heymann then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

De Lancy Nicoll, District Attorney

0607

BOX:

507

FOLDER:

4623

DESCRIPTION:

Wolfe, William

DATE:

12/23/92



4623

0608

BOX:

507

FOLDER:

4623

DESCRIPTION:

Sanders, Michael

DATE:

12/23/92



4623

Witnesses:

Henry Sullivan
of Thomas Eden

Counsel,

23 day of Dec 1892
Filed
Pleads, *M. J. Sullivan vs. J. M. Wolf*

THE PEOPLE
vs.
J. M. Wolf

William Wolf

and

Michael Sanders

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

*Jan. 5, 1893
Pleads J. M. Wolf vs. M. J. Sullivan
Sentence suspended
Jan. 29, 1893*

A TRUE BILL,

Thomas Eden

Foreman.

Henry Sullivan

Thomas Eden

Michael Sanders
Jan. 29, 1893

Barclay in the Third Degree.
[Section 488, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159, 160, 161, 162, 163, 164, 165, 166, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199, 200]

Court of General Sessions.
 X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X
 THE PEOPLE &c.)
 vs)
 WILLIAM WOLFF.)
 X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X

City and County of New York: SS: *Edward J. ...*
 ... being duly sworn says that he resides
 at *125 ...* Street, in the City of New York.;
 that he is acquainted with William Wolff and has known him
 for about *...* years; that this deponent always knew
 said Wolff to be a good, honest and industrious boy of good
 character and that he has always borne an excellent reputa-
 tion.

Sworn to before me this)
 day of *3rd* 190*...*) *J. H. Shapiro*
W. Robinson
No 80 Notary Public
N. Y. City & Co.

Court of General Sessions.

X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X

THE PEOPLE &c.

vs

WILLIAM WOLFF.

X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X

City and County of New York: SS:

James A. Wilson

being duly sworn says that he resides at *17th Street* Street, in the City of New York.; that he is acquainted with William Wolff and has known him for about _____ years; that this deponent always knew said Wolff to be a good, honest and industrious boy of good character and that he has always borne an excellent reputation.

Sworn to before me this)
day of *3rd* 189 .)

[Signature]

M. Robinson
Notary Public
N. Y. City & Co.

Police Court _____ District.

City and County } ss.:
of New York, }

of No. 178-E-171st Henry Julian Street, aged 47 years,
occupation Rag dealer being duly sworn

deposes and says, that the premises No. 147 West Broadway rect. 5 Ward
in the City and County aforesaid the said being a two story brick
building
and which was ~~occupied~~ unoccupied
and in which there was ~~at the time~~ no man being ~~present~~

were **BURGLARIOUSLY** entered by means of forcibly breaking the
door leading to the cellar of said house

on the 17 day of December 1892 in the day time, and the
following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz:

A quantity of lead pipe
worth about fifteen dollars

*Admitted to before me
this 19th day of December 1892*

the property ~~is~~ in the care and custody of Deponent
and deponent further says, that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid
BURGLARY was committed and the aforesaid property taken, stolen and carried away by

William Wolf and Michael Sanders
both now here acting in concert with each other

for the reasons following, to wit: on said date deponent left
said place and it was securely locked and
fastened and the lead pipe was in its proper
position - deponent is informed by Officer Dolan
of the 5th Precinct that he saw the defendants
walking through Lispenard Street carrying
a quantity of lead pipe in a bag - ~~he~~ he (the officer)
arrested the defendants and they informed him
that they had broken into said place and had
stolen the lead pipe therefrom

*John J. [unclear]
Police Justice*

H. Julian

06 14

1921

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Thomas Dolan
aged _____ years, occupation Police officer of No. _____
5th Precinct Street, being duly sworn, deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Henry Julian
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own
knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 10 day of June 1892 } Thomas Dolan

Wm. H. Gray Police Justice.



06 15

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court.

1882

City and County of New York, ss.:

William Wolf

being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him, if he see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

William Wolf

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

16 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

New York

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

8 Christie St - 3 months

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

To me make

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer.

I am not guilty

William Wolfe.

Taken before me this

day of

189

Police Justice.

06 16

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court. ¹⁸⁹²

City and County of New York, ss.:

Michael Sanders being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him, if he see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Michael Sanders*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *18 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *New York*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *871 Baxter St - 2 years*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Coppersmith*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer. *I am guilty*
Michael Sanders

Witness before me this

day of

1892

Wm. H. Brady

Police Justice.

0617

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named.....

Alfreda
guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *Five* Hundred Dollars, *Five* and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, *July 10* 189..... *John J. [Signature]* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named.....
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated,.....189..... *[Signature]* Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named.....
guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated,.....189..... *[Signature]* Police Justice.

061

Police Court---

District. ¹⁵⁹²

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Henry Julian
178 E 121st

1 *Mattew [unclear]*
2 *Michael Sanders*

3

4

Offense *Burglary*

BAILED,

No. 1, by.....

Residence Street.

No. 2, by.....

Residence Street.

No. 3, by.....

Residence Street.

No. 4, by.....

Residence Street.

Dated, *Dec 19* 189 ²

Grady Magistrate.

Solan Officer.

5 Precinct.

Witnesses *Call the Officer*

No. Street.

No. Street.

No. *[Signature]* Street.

§. *[Signature]* to answer *[Signature]*

[Signature]

Court of General Sessions of the Peace
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

*William Wolfe
and
Michael Sanders*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

William Wolfe and Michael Sanders

of the CRIME OF BURGLARY IN THE THIRD DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

*William Wolfe and
Michael Sanders, both*

late of the *5th* Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the
17th day of *December* in the year of our Lord one
thousand eight hundred and ninety-*two* in the *day* time of the same day, at the
Ward, City and County aforesaid, a certain building there situate, to wit, the *Building* of
one *Henry Julian*

there situate, feloniously and burglariously did break into and enter, with intent to commit some
crime therein, to wit: with intent the goods, chattels and personal property of the said *Henry
Julian* in the said *building*
then and there being, then and there feloniously and burglariously to steal, take and carry away,
against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the
People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

William Wolfe and Michael Sanders

of the CRIME OF *Petit* LARCENY _____ committed as follows:

The said *William Wolfe and Michael Sanders, both* -

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, in the *day* - time of said day, with force and arms,

one hundred pounds of lead pipe of the value of fifteen cents each, found

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

Henry Julian

in the

building

of the said

Henry Julian

there situate, then and there being found, in the *building* aforesaid, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

*De Lancey Nicoll,
District Attorney.*