0407

BOX:
159
FOLDER:
1629
DESCRIPTION:
Ellis, Samuel B.

DATE:
12/02/84

Chapman Leigh

Bail forfeited

Dec 18/84

Counsel, W C Beckwith

Filed 2 day of Dec 1884

Pleads Not Guilty

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Samuel B. Ellis

January 7. 1885

Tried & Acquitted

Forgery in the Second Degree.
(Sections 511 and 521.)

PETER B. OLNEY,
~~JOHN McKEON,~~

District Attorney.

A True Bill.

J H Draper *Foreman.*

Ledgerstheant to Judy
to plead or demur
to this Indict.

Dec 3/84 F.S.

805

0409

LEIGH & CRENSHAW.

No. 5601 Exhibit "A" NEW YORK, Aug 20 188

THE BANK OF NEW YORK, N. B. A.

PAY TO THE ORDER OF L. J. Fleet Esq or Bearer

Forty eight & 50/100 DOLLARS.

$ 48.50 Leigh & Crenshaw.

0410

STATE OF NEW YORK,
CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss.

POLICE COURT, 1st DISTRICT.

Chapman Leigh
aged 58 years. Commission Merchant

of No. 48 Wall Street, being duly sworn, deposes and says that on the 30th day of August 1884 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, Samuel B Ellis did with the intent to cheat and defraud deponent of the sum of Forty Eight dollars and fifty cents feloniously alter the annexed check marked Exhibit A and forming a part of this complaint by adding the words to said written instrument. viz. "or Bearer" thereby obtaining said monies upon said written instrument.

Wherefore deponent prays that said defendant may be apprehended and dealt with according to law

Sworn to before me this 21 day of November 1884

C. L. Leigh.

P. A. Power
Police Justice

W

Police Court 1 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Chapman Leigh

vs.

Samuel B Ellis

TRANSCRIPT

Dated 21 Nov 188 4

P. G. Duffy *Magistrate.*

Officer.

Witness,

Disposition, 2.000 to

Answer

69

0412

Sec. 198—200.

_____ District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss

Samuel B Ellis being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is h is right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h im; that the statement is designed to enable h im if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h im that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h is waiver cannot be used against h im on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Samuel B Ellis

Question. How old are you?

Answer 23 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. Wall St

Question What is your business or profession?

Answer. Broker

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I waive all examination and

Saml B Ellis

Taken before me this 21

day of December 188 }

Police Justice.

0413

Sec. 151. Police Court 18 District.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss. *In the name of the People of the State of New York; To the Sheriff of the County of New York, or to any Marshal or Policeman of the City of New York,* GREETING:

Whereas, Complaint in writing, and upon oath, has been made before the undersigned, one of the *Police Justices* for the City of New York, by Chapman Leigh of No. 48 Wall 42nd Pearl Street, that on the 30 day of August 1884 at the City of New York, in the County of New York,

Samuel B Ellis did with intent to cheat and defraud complainant of the sum of forty Eight dollars and fifty cents feloniously alter a check by adding the words to said Written instruments "or bearer" thereby obtaining monies on said written instruments

Wherefore, the said Complainant has prayed that the said Defendant may be apprehended and bound to answer the said complaint.

These are, Therefore, in the name of the PEOPLE of the State of New York, to command you the said *Sheriff, Marshals and Policemen,* and each and every of you, to apprehend the said Defendant, and bring forthwith before me, at the 1st *District Police Court,* in the said City, or in case of my absence or inability to act, before the nearest or most accessible Police Justice in this City, to answer the said charge, and to be dealt with according to law.

Dated at the City of New York, this 21 *day of* November 188 4

POLICE JUSTICE.

0414

Police Court ..... District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Stephenson Ellis

vs

Samuel E Ellis

Warrant—General.

Dated Oct 2d 188 4

Duffy *Magistrate.*

Eagle *Officer.*

The Defendant Samuel E Ellis taken, and brought before the Magistrate, to answer the within charge, pursuant to the command contained in this Warrant.

Eagle *Officer.*

Dated Oct 22 188 4

This Warrant may be executed on Sunday or at night.

Police Justice.

REMARKS.

Time of Arrest, 2 35 PM

Native of U S

Age, 22

Sex,

Complexion,

Color, W

Profession, Baker

Married,

Single, S

Read, Yes

Write, Yes

Saml E Ellis

0415

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Samuel B Ellis

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Twenty Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated 22 Nov 188 *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated 188 *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated 188 *Police Justice.*

0416

Police Court— First District. 1762

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Chapman Leigh
28 Wall St.

1 Samuel B Ellis

2

3

4

Offence Forgery

Dated Nov 24 1884

P G Dudley Magistrate.

Seelick Officer.

arrested on Warrant Court Precinct.

Witnesses

No. Street.

No. Street,

No. Street.

$ 1000 to answer Sessions.

BAILED,

No. 1, *by*

Residence *Street.*

No. 2, *by*

Residence *Street.*

No. 3, *by*

Residence *Street.*

No. 4, *by*

Residence *Street.*

0417

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Samuel B. Ellis

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Samuel B. Ellis

of the CRIME OF FORGERY IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said Samuel B. Ellis

late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York, aforesaid, on the thirtieth day of August, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-four, with force and arms, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, feloniously did forge, and cause and procure to be forged, and willingly act and assist in the forging a certain instrument and writing, to wit - an order for the payment of money of the kind commonly called bank checks,

which said forged bank checks,

is as follows, that is to say:

No. 5601 New York Aug 30 1884

The Bank of New York

N.B.O.

Pay to the order of S. L. Frost Esq. One

Hundred 00/100 Dollars

$100.00 Samuel B. Ellis

with intent to defraud, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

0418

SECOND COUNT.

And the Grand Jury aforesaid by this indictment further accuse the said

Samuel B. Ellis

of the CRIME OF FORGERY IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said Samuel B. Ellis,

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit, on the said Nineteenth day of August, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-four, with force and arms, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with intent to defraud, Harriet in his possession, a certain forged instrument and writing, to wit: an order for the payment of money of the said tenor following, that is to say,

which said last-mentioned forged order is as follows, that is to say:

No. 560 New York, Aug 30 1884

The Bank of New York

N.B.A.

Pay to the order of Self Four hundred 50/100 on Demand

Forty eight 50/100 Dollars

$48.50/100 Leigh & Cranshaw

with force and arms, the said forged order then and there feloniously did utter, dispose of and put off as true, to the said Samuel B. Ellis

then and there well knowing the same to be forged, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

PETER B. OLNEY,
JOHN McKEON, District Attorney.

0419

BOX:
159
FOLDER:
1629
DESCRIPTION:
Engle, William

DATE:
12/22/84

1629

Witnesses:
Wm K Leary

2 Ex. 1833

Counsel

Filed 22 day of Dec 1884

Pleads Not Guilty 23

THE PEOPLE

vs.

William Engle

Grand Larceny, degree.

PETER B. OLNEY,
~~JOHN McKEON,~~

Jany 8/85 *District Attorney.*

Tried & Acquitted.

A True Bill.

J. K. Draper

Foreman

026

0421

2 District Police Court. Affidavit—Larceny.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK } ss

aged 23 of ~~No.~~ 463 West 52 ~~Street~~ st and James Gorman
~~with Vanderbilt Square~~ ~~West side boards~~
being duly sworn, deposes and says, that on the 26 day of August 1883

at the ..... City of New York,

in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, and from his person in the night time the following property, viz:

one coat value ten dollars
one vest value one dollar
one shaw hat value one dollar and fifty cents
one pair of shoes value two dollars
one ten dollar bill and lawful money of the United States, one pair sleeve buttons value twenty five cents, two shirt buttons value ten cents, one pen knife value ten cents, one scarf pin value sixty cents, one medal pin value fifty cents together of the value of Twenty six 85/100 dollars

the property of Complainant,

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away by William Whitmore alias William Millegan arrested from the fact that defendant had all the above described property in his person, and when in front of number 241 West 52 st. the property was taken from the possession by said Whitmore (defendant) accompanied by Angell being in his company is informed by James McDonnell policeman of precinct 20 that he arrested William Whitmore alias William angell as being

Sworn before me this 27 day of August 1883

Police Justice.

0422

a suspicious character and
with his companion found a
portion of the property described
in defendants affidavit

Sworn to before me
this 29 day August 1883
Police Justice
City and County of New York

James Gorman

Francis McDaggart
police officer 20 precinct being
duly sworn says that about 3:30 am
on the 29 day of August 1883
Defendant was in last in 29
Street between 7th & avenues Defendant
met William Whitmore and
William Angell. Defendant walked
as until he reached 241. W 29th
a woman called out and said
officer these two young men
who passed by robbed James
Gorman Defendants arrested Whitmore
Angell
taken on suspicion and upon
searching his pockets found in
his possession property which
James Gorman identifies as his
property and which was taken
from his possession

Sworn to before me
this 29 day August 1883

Francis McDaggart

AFFIDAVIT—Larceny.

District Police Court.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.

Dated 188

Witnesses:

Disposition .....

Arrested by ..... Officer.

0423

Sec. 198—200

2 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

William Whitmore being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is h[illegible] right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h[illegible]; that the statement is designed to enable h[illegible] if h[illegible] see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h[illegible] that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h[illegible] waiver cannot be used against h[illegible] on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. William Whitmore

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 26 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Germany

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 232 W 32 St (resided there 1 year)

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Fish Dealer

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty

William Whitmore

Taken before me this 2 *day of* [illegible] 188[illegible]

[illegible]

Police Justice.

0424

Sec. 198—200

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

2 District Police Court.

William Angell being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is h is right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h m; that the statement is designed to enable h m if h see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h m that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiver cannot be used against h m on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. William Angell

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 20 years.

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. France

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 232 w 38st (resided there 8 yrs).

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Upholsterer

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty.

William Engell

Taken before me this 2 *day of* Aug 1888

Police Justice.

0425

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named William Whitmore alias William Angell

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated August 27 188 3 *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named .....
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated ..... 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named .....
..... guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated ..... 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

0426

No 63 (88)

Police Court— 2 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

James Cannon

vs.

463 11 53½ 2½ St.

William Whitmore

William Engelke

3 .....

4 .....

Dated August 2 1883

Duffy Magistrate.

James McDaggart Officer.

20 Precinct.

Witnesses James McDaggart

20 Precinct Police

Annie Harris

No. 239 West 29 Street

Charles Blake

No. 164 West 29 Street,

$ 1000 to answer Eve

BAILED,

No, 1, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

No. 2, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

No. 3, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

No. 4, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

AUG 30 1883 DISTRICT FORGET

0427

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,
against
William Engle

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment accuse William Engle

of the crime of GRAND LARCENY, in the first degree, committed as follows:

The said William Engle late of the First Ward of the City of New York, *in the* County of New York, aforesaid, on the fourteenth day of December, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-four, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in the night time of the said day, three promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury Notes), of the denomination of one thousand dollars, and of the value of one thousand dollars each: three promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury Notes), of the denomination of five hundred dollars, and of the value of five hundred dollars each: twenty promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury Notes), of the denomination of one hundred dollars, and of the value of one hundred dollars each: thirty promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury Notes), of the denomination of fifty dollars, and of the value of fifty dollars each: fifty promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury Notes), of the denomination of twenty dollars, and of the value of twenty dollars each: sixty promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury Notes), of the denomination of ten dollars, and of the value of ten dollars each: eighty promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury Notes), of the denomination of five dollars, and of the value of five dollars each: ninety promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury Notes), of the denomination of three dollars, and of the value of three dollars each: one hundred promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury Notes), of the denomination of two dollars, and of the value of two dollars each: one hundred and twenty promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury Notes), of the denomination of one dollar, and of the value of one dollar each: one promissory note for the payment of money (and of the kind known as bank notes), being then and there due and unsatisfied, of the value of one hundred dollars: one promissory note for the payment of money (and of the kind known as bank notes), being then and there due and unsatisfied, of the value of fifty dollars: two promissory notes for the payment of money (and of the kind known as bank notes), being then and there due and unsatisfied, of the value of twenty dollars each: three promissory notes for the payment of money (and of the kind known as bank notes), being then and there due and unsatisfied, of the value of ten dollars each: ten promissory notes for the payment of money (and of the kind known as bank notes), being then and there due and unsatisfied, of the value of five dollars each: ten promissory notes for the payment of money (and of the kind known as bank notes), being then and there due and unsatisfied, of the value of three dollars each: fifteen promissory notes for the payment of money (and of the kind known as bank notes), being then and there due and unsatisfied, of the value of two dollars each: thirty promissory notes for the payment of money (and of the kind known as bank notes), being then and there due and unsatisfied, of the value of one dollar each: bank bills of banks to the jurors aforesaid unknown, and of a number and denomination to the jurors aforesaid unknown, of the value of one thousand dollars. Two gold coins (of the kind usually known as double eagles), of the value of twenty dollars each: three gold coins (of the kind usually known as eagles), of the value of ten dollars each: six gold coins (of the kind usually known as half eagles), of the value of five dollars each: fifteen gold coins (of the kind usually known as quarter eagles), of the value of two dollars and fifty cents each: ten gold coins (of the kind usually known as three dollar pieces), of the value of three dollars each: thirty gold coins (of the kind usually known as dollar pieces), of the value of one dollar each: gold coin of the denomination to the jurors unknown, and a more particular description whereof cannot be given, of the value of one thousand dollars. Sixty silver coins (of the kind usually known as dollars), of the value of one dollar each: sixty silver coins (of the kind usually known as half dollars), of the value of fifty cents each: one hundred and fifty silver coins (of the kind usually known as quarter dollars), of the value of twenty-five cents each: three hundred silver coins (of the kind usually called dimes), of the value of ten cents each: six hundred silver coins (of the kind usually known as half dimes), of the value of five cents each: one thousand silver coins (of the kind known as three cent pieces), of the value of three cents each: silver coin of a denomination to the jurors unknown, and a more particular description whereof cannot be given, of the value of fifty dollars. Three thousand coins (of the kind known as cents), of the value of one cent each: five hundred coins (of the kind known as two cents), of the value of two cents each.

of the goods, chattels, and personal property of one Juan C. Terry, on the person of one Kate L. Terry, then and there being found, from the person of the said Kate L. Terry, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

PETER B. OLNEY,
JOHN McKEON, District Attorney

0428

BOX:
159
FOLDER:
1629
DESCRIPTION:
Ernest, Michael

DATE:
12/31/84

341

Witnesses:

J. Londerback

Counsel,

Filed 31 day of Dec 1884

Pleads Not Guilty Jan 6/85.

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Michael P
Ernest
14/22214

Grand Larceny 2nd degree

[Sections 528, 531, — Penal Code].

PETER B. OLNEY,

Pr Jan 6/85 *District Attorney.*

Pleads guilty

A True Bill.

S. P. Thurgeau.

J. H. Draper

Foreman.

0430

4 District Police Court. Affidavit—Larceny.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss. Isaac Landesbach

of No. 133 East 65th Street,

being duly sworn, deposes and says, that on the 25 day of December 188[illegible]

at the City of New York,

in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent on the night time

the following property, viz :

One bag containing of the value of fifty dollars $50.00/100

the property of Abraham Rassman and in charge of deponent

and that this deponent

has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away by Michael Cannon (now here) from the following facts to wit:- That deponent was informed by James Thorn of the 19th Police Precinct (Officer) that he (Thorn) arrested defendant with the above described property in his possession (defendants) that deponent has never authorized defendants to take said property

Sworn before me this 26th day of December 188[illegible]

[illegible] Police Justice.

0431

into his possession
Louis Lautenbach.

Sworn to before me
this 26th day of December 1884
Phil Hilton

District Police Court.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF
vs.

AFFIDAVIT—Larceny.

Dated 188

Magistrate.

Officer.

WITNESSES:

DISPOSITION

0432

Sec. 198—200.

4th District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss

Michael Ennis being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question What is your name?

Answer. Michael Ennis.

Question. How old are you?

Answer 29 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York City

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 324 East 47th Street (2 mos)

Question What is your business or profession?

Answer driver and car cont

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I took the horse for the purpose of exercising him.

WITNESS

Taken before me this 4th *day of* December 1884

Police Justice.

0433

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of five Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated December 16 188 *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated 188 . *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated 188 . *Police Justice.*

0434

Police Court 4 1887 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Isaac Landsbach
133 East 65th St

1 Michael Conners

2

3

4

Offence Assault

BAILED,

No 1, *by*

Residence *Street.*

No. 2, *by*

Residence *Street.*

No. 3, *by*

Residence *Street.*

No. 4, *by*

Residence *Street.*

Dated December 7th 188 4

Duffy Magistrate.

Ahern Officer,

19 Precinct.

Witnesses

No. Street.

No. Street.

No. Street.

$ 500 to answer Gen Sessions

C. Dom

0435

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
against
Michael Ernest

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse Michael Ernest of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY in the second degree, committed as follows:

The said Michael Ernest,

late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the twenty-fifth day of December, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-four, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, one horse of the value of fifty dollars

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Abraham Rosenman,

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

Peter B. Olney
District Attorney

0436

BOX:
159
FOLDER:
1629
DESCRIPTION:
Ernst, Henry

DATE:
12/31/84

Dr J B Jhan

344

Day of Trial.

Counsel

Filed 31 day of Dec 1884

Pleads Not Guilty Jan 5/85

THE PEOPLE

vs.

B

Henry Ernst

14

1000 Jan

Adulterated Milk.

PETER B. OLNEY,

BENJ. K. PHELPS,

District Attorney.

Not Guilty

A True Bill

Fine $10. 1/4

Foreman.

0438

City and County of New York, ss.

John L. Shaw M. D. an Assistant Sanitary Inspector of said city, being duly sworn, deposes and says, that on the 15th day of November in the year 188 4 at premises number 1000 Third Ave in the City of New York, the said premises being a place then and there where Milk was kept for sale, one Henry Ernst unlawfully did then and there keep, have and offer for sale three quarts of impure and unwholesome Milk, which had been and was then and there watered, adulterated, reduced and changed by the addition of water or other substance, or by the removal of cream therefrom, and that such impure, unwholesome, watered, adulterated or reduced and changed Milk was then and there, by the said Henry Ernst, unlawfully held, kept and offered for sale against and in violation of the provisions of the Sanitary Code, and of such Sanitary Code then and there, and at all times thereafter in force and operation, and especially against and in violation of the provisions of a section and ordinance of such Sanitary Code which was duly passed and adopted by the Board of Health of the Health Department of the said City of New York, and by said Health Department at a meeting thereof, duly held in said city, on the twenty-third day of February, 1876, in the manner and language following, to wit:

"*Resolved*, That under the power conferred by law upon the Health Department, the "following additional section to the Sanitary Code, for the security of life and health be, and the "same is hereby, adopted and declared to form a portion of the Sanitary Code.

"'No Milk which has been watered, adulterated, reduced or changed in any respect by the "'addition of water or other substance, or by the removal of cream, shall be brought into, held, "'kept or offered for sale at any place in the City of New York, nor shall any one keep, have or "'offer for sale in the said city any such Milk.'"

That said ordinance was thereafter duly published once a week, for two successive weeks, in the *City Record*, a daily official newspaper and journal published in said city, to wit, in the issues of such newspaper of the 24th day of February, 1876, and also of the 2d day of March, 1876, and that said ordinance was, at all times alleged herein, in full force and operation in said city and county.

Sworn to before me the 15th *day of* November 188 4

W J Owen

John L. Shaw
Milk Inspector

Police Justice.

Police Court, 4 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

John J. Shaw

vs.

Stacky Ernst

1000 3rd Ave

New York City

Affidavit Filed

Dated 188

..... *Justice.*

..... *Officer.*

Add. Water = 17%

Daily Sale = 202 to

J. J. Shaw

Milk Inspector

69

0440

Sec. 198—200. 4th District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss

Henry Ernst being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question What is your name?

Answer Henry Ernst

Question. How old are you?

Answer 44 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Germany

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 1000 Third Avenue - Eleven years

Question What is your business or profession?

Answer Baker & Confectioner

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. Dam not guilty

Henry Ernst

Taken before me this 20 *day of* November 1894

City

Police Justice.

0441

POLICE COURT 4 DISTRICT.

City and County of New York, ss.:

THE PEOPLE,
John B. Dahm
vs.
Henry Ernst

On Complaint of John B. Dahm
For Violation of the Sanitary Code,

After being informed of my rights under the law, I hereby waive a trial, by Jury, on this complaint, and ~~my right to make a statement in relation to it~~ and demand a trial at the COURT OF SPECIAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE, to be holden in and for the City and County of New York.

Dated November 188 4

Henry Ernst

[illegible] *Police Justice.*

0442

Sec. 151.

Police Court 4th District.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss. *In the name of the People of the State of New York; To the Sheriff of the County of New York, or to any Marshal or Policeman of the City of New York,* GREETING:

Whereas, Complaint in writing, and upon oath, has been made before the undersigned, one of the *Police Justices* for the City of New York, by John J. Shaw Nick Inspector of No. 1055 Lexington Ave Street, that on the 15 day of December 188 4 at the City of New York, in the County of New York,

at premises No. 1000 Third Ave one Henry Ernst did then & there unlawfully keep have & offer for sale in unsound unwholesome & unsound & adulterated & drugged milk in violation of the sanitary Code of said City

Wherefore, the said Complainant has prayed that the said Defendant may be apprehended and bound to answer the said complaint.

These are Therefore, in the name of the PEOPLE of the State of New York, to command you the said *Sheriff, Marshals and Policemen,* and each and every of you, to apprehend the said Defendant and bring him forthwith before me, at the 4th DISTRICT POLICE COURT, in the said City, or in case of my absence or inability to act, before the nearest or most accessible Police Justice in this City, to answer the said charge, and to be dealt with according to law.

Dated at the City of New York, this 18th *day of* December 188 4

Police Justice.

0443

lice Court 4th District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

John J. Johnson

vs.

Henry Ernst

1000 3rd Ave

Warrant—General.

ed 188

..... *Magistrate*

Halliday *Officer.*

he Defendant Henry Ernst

, and brought before the Magistrate, to answer

within charge, pursuant to the command con-

d in this Warrant age Eq. Germ - Res 1000 - 3-Ave

Joseph Halliday *Officer.*

ed November 20 1884

Warrant may be executed on Sunday or at

Police Justice.

having been brought before me under this Warrant, is committed for examination to the WARDEN and KEEPER of the City Prison of the City of New York.

Dated 188

Police Justice.

The within named

POLICE JUSTICE.

0444

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of ~~Five~~ Ten Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

10

Dated November 30 188 4 *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named defendant to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated November 30 188 4. *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named

guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated 188 . *Police Justice.*

0445

Police Court 4 1760 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

John B. Lahm

vs.

1 Henry Ennis

2

3

4

Offence Assault & Battery

BAILED,

No 1, *by* George Brendelwoff

Residence 1006 - 3rd Avenue *Street.*

No. 2, *by* .....

Residence ..... *Street.*

No. 3, *by* .....

Residence ..... *Street.*

No. 4, *by* .....

Residence ..... *Street.*

Dated Nov. 20th 188 4

Power Magistrate.

..... Officer.

..... Precinct.

Witnesses .....

No. ..... Street.

....

No. ..... Street.

.....

No. ..... Street.

$ 100 to answer S. S.

Bailey

0446

Court of General Sessions of the Peace of the City and County of New York.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,
against
Henry Ernst

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this Indictment, accuse Henry Ernst of the Crime of Exposing for sale Impure, Adulterated and Unwholesome Milk, committed as follows:

The said Henry Ernst,

late of the Nineteenth Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York, aforesaid, on the eighteenth day of January, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ~~seventy~~ eighty-four, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, unlawfully and knowingly did expose for sale at the premises known as number 1000 Third Avenue, ~~Street~~, then and there situate, ten quarts of impure, adulterated and unwholesome milk, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

Henry Ernst

of the Crime of keeping and having exposed for sale impure and unwholesome milk, committed as follows:

The said Henry Ernst,

late of the Ward, City, and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit, on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, at the store and place of business of the said Henry Ernst,

known as number 1000 Third Avenue, ~~Street~~,

in said Ward, City and County, and the said premises being then and there a place where milk was kept for sale, unlawfully did then and there keep, have, and ~~had~~ for sale ten quarts of impure and unwholesome milk, which had been, and was then and there watered, adulterated, reduced and changed by the addition of water or other substance, and ~~that~~ such impure, unwholesome, watered, adulterated, reduced and changed milk was then and there by the said Henry Ernst,

unlawfully held, kept and offered for sale against and in violation of the provisions of the Sanitary Code, and of such Sanitary Code then and there, and at all times thereafter in force and operation, and against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

0447

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

of the CRIME OF

committed as follows:

The said late of the Ward, City, and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, did bring into the said Ward, City and County, and then and there have and offer for sale, at the store and place of business of him, the said known as number Street, the said premises being then and there a place where milk was kept for sale, unlawfully did then and there keep, have, and offer for sale, ten quarts of impure and unwholesome milk, which had been and was then and there, watered, adulterated, reduced and changed by the addition of water or other substance, and that such impure, unwholesome, watered, adulterated or reduced and changed milk was then and there, by the said unlawfully held, kept and offered for sale against and in violation of the provisions the Sanitary Code, and of such Sanitary Code then and there, and at all times thereafter in force and operation, and especially against and in violation of the provisions of a section and ordinance of such Sanitary Code, which was duly passed and adopted by the Board of Health of the Health Department of the said City of New York, and by said Health Department at a meeting thereof, duly held in said City, on the twenty-third day of February, 1876, in the manner and language following, to wit:

"*Resolved*, That under the power conferred by law upon the Health Department, "the following additional section to the Sanitary Code, for the security of life and "health be, and the same is hereby adopted and declared to form a portion of the "Sanitary Code.

"'No milk which has been watered, adulterated, reduced, or changed in any "'respect by the addition of water or other substance, or by the removal of cream, "'shall be brought into, held, kept or offered for sale at any place in the City of New "'York, nor shall any one keep, have, or offer for sale in the said city any such milk.'"

Which said ordinance was thereafter duly published once a week, for two successive weeks, in the *City Record*, a daily official newspaper and journal published in said city, to wit: in the issues of such newspaper of the 24th day of February, 1876, and also of the 2d day of March, 1876, and which said ordinance was then and there, and at all times thereafter, in full force and operation against the forms of the Statute in such case made and provided.

PETER B. OLNEY,
DANIEL C. PHILLIPS, District Attorney.