

0237

**BOX:**

514

**FOLDER:**

4681

**DESCRIPTION:**

Taylor, Joseph

**DATE:**

02/08/93



4681

Witnesses:  
John J. Kelly

Counsel, *J*  
Filed  
day of *Feb* 189*3*

day of Dec-1893

Pleads, *Asbury*

Grand Larceny,  
[Sections 628, 681,  
Second Degree,  
Penn Code.]

PI

Joseph Taylor

*District Attorney.*

# A TRUE BILL

*And. C. Aguirre*

*Foreman,*

26480/23

Heads P. 467, 2009

Pen 4 months 2/93

27/9/55

0238

0239

(1865)

Police Court—4 District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County } ss.  
of New York, }

of No. 235-2 East 113<sup>th</sup> Street, aged 32 years,  
 occupation Retail Liquor dealer being duly sworn,  
 deposes and says, that on the 12 day of December 1892 at the City of New  
 York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession  
 of deponent, in the day time, the following property, viz:

7 Thirty five dollars good and lawful  
 money of the United States - Five hundred  
 seals of the value of thirty dollars  
 all together of the value of Sixty five  
dollars

the property of Deponent

and that this deponent  
 has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen  
 and carried away by Joseph Taylor (now here) for the

reason that on said date defendant was  
 in deponent's employ - and as deponent's employee  
 had the keys to deponent's place of business at  
 1121 2<sup>nd</sup> Avenue. On the night of the 11<sup>th</sup>  
 of December 1892 deponent securely fastened and locked  
 said premises and left the above described  
 property in the aforesaid premises at 1121  
 2<sup>nd</sup> Avenue. When deponent next went  
 to the said premises he missed the above described  
 property and as defendant has since kept  
 away from deponent's premises and has never  
 returned deponent his keys, deponent charges  
 the defendant with the larceny of the above  
 described property.

John J. Reilly

Sworn to before me, this  
12 day of December 1892

John J. Reilly  
 1893  
 Police Justice

0240

Sec. 198-200.

4 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY }  
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Joseph Taylor being duly examined before the under-  
signed according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to  
make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to  
enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him  
that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used  
against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Joseph Taylor

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 19 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 221 East 59<sup>th</sup> Street 2 years

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Barton der

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the  
testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your  
exculpation?

Answer. I am guilty.Joseph Taylor

Taken before me this

day of

July

1893

Police Justice



0241

C.O.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named.....

defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars,.....and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated,.....189

[Signature] Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named.....  
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated,.....189

.....Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named.....  
guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated,.....189

.....Police Justice.

0242

Police Court--- District. 148

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

John J. Reilly  
352 vs. 13  
Joseph Taylor

Offense

Indemnity

Dated, Feb 5 1893

Magistrate.

Murphy & O'Donoghue  
23

Officer.

Precinct.

Witnesses

No. Street.

No. Street.

No. Street.

\$ 1000 to answer G.S.

Cum

BAILED,

No. 1, by

Residence Street.

No. 2, by

Residence Street.

No. 3, by

Residence Street.

No. 4, by

Residence Street.

**Court of General Sessions of the Peace**  
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

*Joseph Taylor*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

*Joseph Taylor*

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE *second* DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

*Joseph Taylor*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *twelfth* day of *December* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*two*, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

*the sum of thirty-five dollars in money, lawful money of the United States of America, and of the value of thirty-five dollars, and five hundred cigars of the value of six cents each*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

*John J. Reilly*

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

*De Lancey Nicoll,*  
*District Attorney.*

0244

**BOX:**

514

**FOLDER:**

4681

**DESCRIPTION:**

Tester, Jerry

**DATE:**

02/28/93



4681

0245

Witnesses:

Officer May  
20 pref.

I have carefully  
examined the  
within case. And am  
thoroughly persuaded  
that it is not in Mexico.  
The Defendant named  
is charged upon his  
own recognizance. I am  
not, however, inclined  
to believe that he is  
guilty. In addition to  
the various certificates that  
is person's reputation for  
honesty and integrity, was  
the fact that he is a gentleman  
of reputation in Montreal is to take  
him back to his home and  
in my judgment any further  
prosecution of the case would  
be a waste of time and all the  
circumstances prove an expense.  
Hence 23rd 73 (with signature)

305 Montpelier

Counsel,  
Filed day of July 1893  
Plends, Wm. J. Clark

THE PEOPLE

28.

James Foster

Grand Larceny, Second Degree  
[Sections 228, 229, Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,  
District Attorney

A TRUE BILL

John J. Clark  
Foreman

0246

Police Court 2 District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County }  
of New York, } ss:Frank Bertiglio

of No. 201 West 33d Street, aged 39 years,  
 occupation Cook being duly sworn,  
 deposes and says, that on the 25 day of February 1893 at the City of  
 New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away  
 from the possession of deponent, in the day time, the following property, viz:

and lawful money of the United States good  
 amount and value of eighty dollars

\$ 80the property of Deponent

and that this deponent  
 has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously  
 taken, stolen and carried away by Jerry Foster (now here)

The defendant occupied the same room with  
 deponent at 201 West 33d Street New York City.  
 Deponent took the said money home with him  
 about the hour of 1 O' Clock A. M. and  
 deponent left the said money in the pocket  
 of his vest hanging in a closet in said room  
 and deponent locked the door of the room and  
 went to sleep in the same bed with defendant  
 in said room. When deponent awoke the  
 door of said room was unlocked, and defendant was  
 gone and said money was gone. Subsequently the  
 defendant was arrested defendant, having in his  
 possession fifty two dollars in money and a  
 ticket to Canada, and deponent is represented  
 by Policeman Alban Hay of the 20th



0247

Précisat that defendant admitted that  
the said money and ticket to Canada were  
the proceeds of defendant's money so stolen  
from defendant

Sworn to before me this  
26th day of February 1893

John P. Voorhis  
Police Justice

Frank Berthel



0248

CITY AND COUNTY }  
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

1991

aged \_\_\_\_\_ years, occupation Allan Hay  
204 Pleasant Street, being duly sworn, deposes and  
says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Frank Bertoglio  
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own  
knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 26 day } Allan Hay  
of February 1893 }

John Bertoglio Police Justice.

0249

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY  
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

*Jerry Lester* being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Jerry Lester*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *23 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *Canada*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *200 West 37th St - 1 week*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Writer*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. *I am not guilty*  
*Jerry Lester*

Taken before me this

*26*

Day of *February* 1899

*Jeffrey M. Lawrence*  
Police Justice.

0250

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named.....

*Jerry Jester*  
guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *One* Hundred Dollars,..... and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated *Feb 26* 18*93* *John B. Corlies* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named.....  
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated.....18..... Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named.....  
guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order he to be discharged.

Dated.....18..... Police Justice.

0251

Police Court--- 2 District. 222

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Frank Bertiglio  
201 W. 33  
Jerry Lester

Officer Lacey  
Felony

2  
3  
4  
Dated Feb 26 1893  
Voorhis Magistrate.  
Hay Officer.  
20 Precinct.

Witnesses W. H. Sullivan  
No. 201 W. 33 Street.  
No. \_\_\_\_\_ Street.



No. \_\_\_\_\_ Street.  
§ 10.40 to answer G. S.  
C 4th

DAILED.

No. 1, by \_\_\_\_\_  
Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

No. 2, by \_\_\_\_\_  
Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

No. 3, by \_\_\_\_\_  
Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

No. 4, by \_\_\_\_\_  
Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

0252

New York General Sessions.

PEOPLE ON MY COMPLAINT,  
VERSUS

Jersey Pester

As complainant in the above case, I beg to recommend the defendant to such leniency and clemency as the Court and District Attorney may see fit to show; but I expressly assert that my reasons for so doing are not controlled by any advantage to myself.

That full restitution has been made, and I ask as complainant, that the defendant be allowed to go with his uncle to Canada

Frank Bertoglio

*Court of General Sessions*

THE PEOPLE

against

JERRY TESTER.

X  
X  
X  
X  
X  
X  
X  
X

JOSEPH F. CARMEL being duly sworn deposes and says. That he resides at No. 231 West 33rd Street in the City of New York. That he has known the above named defendant since childhood and the defendant has always had an excellent character for honesty. That the defendant has never been arrested, charged with any offence in his life. That deponent knows him intimately and never heard a word against his character during his whole life. That deponent was astonished when he heard of his arrest as deponent would have been glad to advance him any money he needed to take him home.

Sworn to before me this :  
22nd day of March, 1893. :

*Joseph Carmel*

*William C. Cady*  
*Notary Public*  
*NYC*

Court of General Sessions.

-----o  
:  
The People  
:  
vs  
:  
Jerry Tester  
:  
-----o

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, SS:-

JOSEPH BOURGET being duly sworn deposes and says, that he resides at No. 231 West 33rd. Street in the City of New York.

That he has known the above named defendant for the past ten years and he has always borne an excellent reputation for honesty. The deponent cannot understand the cause which led the defendant to the commission of this larceny. Deponent would have loaned him any amount of money and taken his word that he would return the same.

Sworn to before me this:  
:  
18th. day of March 1893:

*Joseph Bourget*  
*Wm. H. Reek,*  
*Notary Public*  
*New York County.*



0255

Court of General Sessions.

-----o  
 :  
 The People :  
 :  
 vs :  
 :  
 Jerry Tester :  
 :  
 -----o

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, SS:-

ESTHER BOURGET being duly sworn deposes and says, that she has known the above named defendant <sup>Germain Tessier</sup> ever since he was born. That deponent knows other people who know him and he has always borne an excellent reputation for honesty. That deponent knows that the defendant has worked for several different firms in Montreal, Canada. That the defendant has never been arrested discharged with any offense before, if he had been arrested before deponent would have heard of the same.

That defendant belongs to an excellent family in Montreal, and has a good home and excellent surroundings.

Sworn to before me this:  
 :  
 18th. day of March 1893:

*Esther Bourget*

*Wm. H. Reed.*  
*Notary Public (10)*  
*New York County.*

0256

B. MARCUSE

IMPORTER OF

FANCY GOODS

PICTURES,

Fine Art Novelties, &c.

OPTICAL GOODS A SPECIALTY

P.O. BOX 1124.

CABLE ADDRESS  
MARCUSE, MONTREAL.

*823 Craig*

*206 McGill Street,*

*Montreal, 24 Nov 1888*

*This is to certify that  
G. Tessier has been in my  
employ for about six months.  
I consider him trustworthy and  
able to work satisfactorily.*

*B. Marcuse*

0257

P.O. BOX 2149.

## The Williams Manufacturing Co.

Andrew Allen, Pres.  
 Hugh McLaughlin, Vice Pres.  
 C. W. Davis, Gen. Manager.  
 H. D. Crawford, Sec. Treas.

1733 Notre Dame St.

Montreal, July 9<sup>th</sup> 1889To Whom it may concern

This is to certify that G. Lesser  
 has been in our employ for a period  
 of five months during which time  
 we have found him to be honest  
 and capable.

The Williams Mfg Co.  
 Geo Dawson  
 C.M.

0258

St Henri 29 Nov. 1888

La présente est pour certifier  
que je connais très bien le jeune  
Germain Tessier de cette paroisse.  
C'est un jeune homme recommandé  
pour aucune position. Il appartient  
à une bonne famille et il est lui-même  
un bon jeune homme de bonnes  
habitudes

WM Decarie <sup>pastor</sup> curé

0259

H. SANCHE, L. L. B.  
Résidence  
1145 RUE BERRI,  
Cote St-Louis.

# Sanche & Bissonnette

AVOCATS

No. 15 RUE ST-JACQUES,

J. B. BISSONNETTE, L. L. B.  
Résidence  
3532 RUE NOTRE-DAME,  
St-Henri.

*Montréal, 15 Mars 1893*

Je n'hésite pas à dire que Monsieur  
Germain Lessier, le porteur des  
présentes, est un jeune homme  
de talent & d'avenir & que la  
confiance qu'on lui accordera  
sera justifiée par sa conduite.  
Je puis affirmer qu'il est un  
garçon respectable, honnête  
et laborieux. - La famille à  
laquelle il appartient est une  
bonne famille de Montréal.

*J. B. Bissonnette  
Avocat*

0260

New York. 17 Mars 1893.

Je puis certifier que  
le porteur de ce billet M.  
G. Tessier est un jeune homme  
honnête et laborieux. et que  
celui qui aura l'avantage  
d'avoir besoin de ses services  
en sera très satisfait.  
Je ne connais sa famille.

0261

très bien à Montréal. si  
son père trouve une bonne  
place, dans la corporation.

Joseph L. P. Gravel.  
241 W. 33<sup>rd</sup> St.



0262

I hereby Certify that the applicant  
Germain Tessier Jr. is trustworthy  
and well able to fill the position  
he applies for. I know him and  
all his family personally and can  
recommend him safely.

Dr. Gustave Demers  
2193 St. Anne

Montreal 30/11/88

0263

587 TO 593 CRAIG STREET

Montreal, 189

Co.

E. CHANTELOUP

HOT WATER APPARATUS. TELEGRAPHIC INSTRUMENTS

Iron and Brass Founder.

Railroad Work, Lamp and Other Trimmings.

To whom it may concern,

This is to certify that I personally know Mr. G. Tessier for the last five years I always found him honest, sober, and worthy of confidence.

Montreal, March 16<sup>th</sup> 1893.

E. Douchard.

0264

587 TO 593 CRAIG STREET

Montreal, 16 March 1893.

To Whom it may concern

E. CHANTELOUP

HOT WATER APPARATUS, TELEGRAPHIC INSTRUMENTS

Iron and Brass Founder.

Railroad Work, Lamp and Other Trimmings.

The bearer Mr. J. Tessier is a young man whom I may recommend as an honest, sober, labourious & trustworthy, having known him since his boyhood, I am glad to recommend him as such.

I may moreover testify to the honesty of his parents, his father occupies a very trustworthy situation with the Corporation of the City of Montreal.

The party who will engage this young man will certainly receive full value for his service.

*J. H. Hurlbut*

*Gen. Manager.*

0265

## MEMORANDUM

FROM

The City Clerks Office

TO

Whom it may concern

Montreal 6 March 1893



This is to certify that I know the bearer Germain  
Jesier, that his family and connections are of the highest  
respectability in this city, that he is himself a young man  
of liberal education and of good conduct, and that he has a  
good knowledge of business and that I have no hesitation  
recommending him to all persons with whom he may  
come in contact or who may require his services.

A. Boudin  
and City Clerk

0268

U. S. General Sessions

*The People*

*Plaintiff*

*against*

*Jerry Lester*

*Defendant*

*Affidavits*

*JAMES W. McLAUGHLIN,*  
*Attorneys for Left*

No. 280 BROADWAY, New York City

Due and timely service of cop of the  
within hereby admitted  
this day of 18  
*Attorney.*

To \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Court of General Sessions of the Peace  
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

*Jerry Tester*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

*Jerry Tester*  
of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE *second* DEGREE, committed  
as follows:

The said

*Jerry Tester*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *25th*  
day of *February* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and  
ninety-*three*, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

*the sum of eighty dollars in  
money, lawful money of the  
United States of America, and  
of the value of eighty dollars*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

*Frank Bertiglio*

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against  
the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People  
of the State of New York and their dignity.

## SECOND COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said

*Jerry Tester*  
of the CRIME OF CRIMINALLY RECEIVING STOLEN PROPERTY, committed as follows:

The said

*Jerry Tester*  
late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

*the sum of eighty dollars  
in money, lawful money of the  
United States of America, and  
of the value of eighty dollars*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

*Frank Bertiglio*

by a certain person or persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, then lately before feloniously stolen, taken and carried away from the said

*Frank Bertiglio*

unlawfully and unjustly did feloniously receive and have; the said

*Jerry Tester*

then and there well knowing the said goods, chattels and personal property to have been feloniously stolen, taken and carried away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

*District Attorney.*



0269

**BOX:**

514

**FOLDER:**

4681

**DESCRIPTION:**

Tholey, Charles

**DATE:**

02/24/93



4681

Witnesses:

L. A. Abraham

261

Counsel

Filed

day of July

1893

Pleads

Voluntarily

18

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Geo. Fred. & Son

Charles D. Haley

[Section 497, Penal Code.]  
Burglary in the second degree.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

See Mr. Davis. In reference

to this case before putting on

Calendari

A TRUE BILL.

J. H. Ford

Foreman.

Order of Court 6. 1893

Reads attempt Burg. 3. Deg

Ed. D. 79

0271

Police Court— 3 District.City and County { ss.:  
of New York,of No. 113 Chrystie Street, aged 27 years,  
occupation Plasterer being duly sworndeposes and says, that the premises No 113 Chrystie Street, 10<sup>th</sup> Ward  
in the City and County aforesaid the said being a dwelling housethe first floor of which  
~~and which was~~ occupied by deponent as a dwellingand in which there was at the time a human being, by name to wit; deponent'swife and deponentwere **BURGLARIOUSLY** entered by means of forcibly opening thethe windows leading into said apartmenton the 12<sup>th</sup> day of February 1893 in the night time, ~~and the~~  
~~following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz:~~with intent to commit some crime  
therein

the property of

and deponent further says, that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid  
**BURGLARY** was committed with the intent aforesaid  
~~and the aforesaid property taken, stolen and carried away by~~Charles Tholey (now here)for the reasons following, to wit: that the doors and windows  
leading into said apartment were securely  
locked, closed and fastened and a  
large quantity of personal property was  
therein; deponent and his wife were  
asleep in the apartment, deponent was  
awakened and found the window partially  
open and found the defendant concealed  
under deponent's bed In presence of  
by LoisSubscribed before me  
this 16<sup>th</sup> day of February 1893  
J. W. D. [Signature]  
Notary Public

0272

Sec. 198—200.

1882  
District Police Court.

City and County of New York, ss:

*Charles Tholey* being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is *his* right to make a statement in relation to the charge against *him*; that the statement is designed to enable *him*, if he see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against *him*; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that *his* waiver cannot be used against *him* on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

*Charles Tholey*

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

*Eighteen years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

*New York.*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

*No Home*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

*Printer*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer.

*I have nothing to say.**Charles Tholey*

Taken before me this

day of

1893

Police Justice.

0273

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named defendant

ten 100 Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail

Dated, Feb. 16 1893 [Signature] Police Justice.

I have have admitted the above-named defendant to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, 189 3 Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named defendant guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, 189 3 Police Justice.

0274

Police Court,

194 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Levi Abraham  
143 Charles  
73 1/2  
Charles Thorley

Offense, Burglary

BAILED,

No. 1, by

Residence Street.

No. 2, by

Residence Street.

No. 3, by

Residence Street.

No. 4, by

Residence Street.

Dated,

February 16 1893

Magistrate.

Officer.

Precinct.

Witnesses

No Street.

No. 20 Street.

No. Street.

\$ 1000 to answer G. S.

Com

B...

0275

**PART II.**

THE COURT ROOM IS IN THE THIRD STORY AND FRONTING THE PARK.  
If this Subpoena is disobeyed, an attachment will immediately issue.  
Bring this Subpoena with you, and give it to the officer at the Court Room door, that your attendance may be known.

[SEE OTHER SIDE FOR OTHER DIRECTIONS.]

SUBPOENA FOR A WITNESS TO ATTEND THE GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE.

In the Name of the People of the State of New York.

To *J. Warner*  
of No. \_\_\_\_\_ Street, \_\_\_\_\_

YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear before the Court of General Sessions of the Peace, in and for the City and County of New York, at the Sessions Building, adjoining the New Court House in the City Hall Park in the City of New York, on the  
*6*  
APRIL 189 at the hour of 11 in the forenoon of the same day, as a witness in a criminal action prosecuted by the People of the State of New York, against  
*Chas. Thorley*

Dated at the City of New York, the first Monday of  
in the year of our Lord, 189 *9*

APRIL

DE LANCEY NICOLL, *District Attorney.*



**Court of General Sessions of the Peace**

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

*Charles Tholey*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

*Charles Tholey*

of the CRIME OF BURGLARY in the *second* degree, committed as follows:

The said

*Charles Tholey*

late of the *Tenth* Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *twelfth* day of *February*, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three* in the *year* time of the same day, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, the dwelling house of one

*Levi Abrahams*

there situate, feloniously and burglariously did break into and enter, there being then and there a human being within the said dwelling house, with intent to commit some crime therein, to wit: the goods, chattels and personal property of the said *Levi Abrahams*

in the said dwelling house then and there being, then and there feloniously and burglariously to steal, take and carry away,

against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

*De Lancey McCall,*  
*District Attorney*

0277

**BOX:**

514

**FOLDER:**

4681

**DESCRIPTION:**

Thomas, Richard A.

**DATE:**

02/24/93



4681

Witnesses:

Officer Frank  
330 pm

Counsel,

Filed,

day of May 1893

Pleas,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

B

Richard A. Thompson

Transferred to the Court of Sessions for trial and final disposition

Part 2.....18

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

John A. Ford  
Foreman.

VIOLATION OF THE EXCISE LAW.  
[Chap. 401, Laws of 1892, § 32.]

0278

**Court of General Sessions of the Peace**

2967

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
against

*Richard A. Thomas*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

*Richard A. Thomas*  
of the CRIME OF SELLING STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINES, ALE AND BEER ON SUNDAY, committed as follows:

The said

*Richard A. Thomas*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the day of *February* *5<sup>th</sup>* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three*, at the City and County aforesaid, the same being Sunday, certain strong and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, to wit: One gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whiskey, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain strong and spirituous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did sell, to one—

—and to certain other persons whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

*Richard A. Thomas*  
of the CRIME OF OFFERING AND EXPOSING FOR SALE ON SUNDAY STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINES, ALE AND BEER, committed as follows:

The said

*Richard A. Thomas*

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to-wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, the same being Sunday, certain strong and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, to wit: One gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whiskey, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain strong and spirituous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did offer and expose for sale to one

*Frederick Frank*  
and to certain other persons whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

*District Attorney.*

0280

**BOX:**

514

**FOLDER:**

4681

**DESCRIPTION:**

Thompson, John

**DATE:**

02/13/93



4681

Witnesses:

Mrs. Dempsey  
Martin Purcell

Counsel,

Filed

day of

189

Pleads,

Wm. H. H. - 14

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Robbery, (Sections 224 and 229, Penal Code.)

John Thompson

DE LANCEY NICOLL,  
District Attorney.

Part I

Feb 24  
Feb 28

A TRUE BILL.

Wm. H. Edgels  
Foreman.

Chas. H. H. H. H. H.

0282

AFFIDAVIT FOR COMMITMENT OF WITNESS.

4771

POLICE COURT.....DISTRICT.

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.  
OF NEW YORK,

of the 2 Precinct Police, being duly sworn, deposes  
and says that Thomas Dempsey

(now ~~here~~) is a material witness for the people against  
John Thompson charged  
with Robbery.

As deponent has  
cause to fear that the said Thomas Dempsey  
will not appear in court to testify when wanted, deponent prays  
that the said Thomas Dempsey be  
committed to the House of Detention in default of bail for his  
appearance.

Henry Hahn

Sworn to before me, this  
day of July

189

John H. Ryan  
Police Justice.



0283

Police Court— District.

1923

City and County }  
of New York, } ss.of No. 26 Albany Street, aged 62 years,  
occupation Labormandeposes and says, that on the 6<sup>th</sup> day of July 1899 at the 3<sup>rd</sup> Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the person of deponent by force and violence, without his consent and against his will, the following property, viz:

Good and lawful money of the  
United States of the amount and  
value of Three hundred and five  
dollars \$305.00

of the value of Three Hundred and Five DOLLARS,  
the property of Deponent

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away by force and violence as aforesaid by

John Thompson, known here, for  
the following reasons, that on said  
date about the hour of 5 o'clock PM  
deponent was in front of the premises  
at 26 Albany Street. That the said  
money was in a leather pouch in  
the right hand top pocket of deponent's  
pants. That the defendant caught  
hold of deponent. Put his hand in  
the said pocket and took the said  
pouch out of the said pocket. Then  
Mr deponent says that the def.  
but he dealt with as the law directs

Thomas <sup>my</sup> Dempsey  
man

Sworn to before me this  
1899

of  
1899  
Police Justice.

0284

Sec. 198-200.

1882  
District Police Court.

City and County of New York, ss:

*John Thompson* being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to a charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him, if he see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *John Thompson*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *37 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *New York City*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *103 Washington St 27 months*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Longshoreman*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer. *I am not guilty**John Thompson*Taken before me this  
day of *April*  
188*3**John Thompson*  
Police Justice.

0285

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ *Almond* \_\_\_\_\_  
guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *Twenty* Hundred Dollars, \_\_\_\_\_ and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, *Feb 27* 189 *John H. Ryan* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named \_\_\_\_\_  
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, \_\_\_\_\_ 189 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, \_\_\_\_\_ 189 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.

0288

Police Court---

170 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

*Thos Dempsey*  
*John Thompson*

2  
3 HOUSE OF DETENTION CASE.  
4

Dated, *July 7* 189

*Ryan* Magistrate.

*Naham* Officer.

*Martin Purcell* Precinct.

Witnesses

No. *26 Albany* Street,

*Complainant*

No. *House of Detention* Sect.

No. *3000* Street.

to answer

*Can*

*Can*

BAILED,

No. 1, by

Residence Street.

No. 2, by

Residence Street.

No. 3, by

Residence Street.

No. 4, by

Residence Street.

**Court of General Sessions of the Peace**

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

*John Thompson*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

*John Thompson*

of the CRIME OF ROBBERY in the *second* degree, committed as follows:

The said

*John Thompson*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *sixth* day of *February* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three*, in the *day*-time of the said day, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and upon one *Thomas Dempsey* in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously did make an assault; and

*the sum of three hundred and five dollars in money, lawful money of the United States of America, and of the value of three hundred and five dollars, and one pouch of the value of one dollar, of the goods, chattels and personal property of the said Thomas Dempsey from the person of the said Thomas Dempsey, against the will and by violence to the person of the said Thomas Dempsey then and there violently and feloniously did rob, steal, take and carry away,*

against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

*De Launcey Nicoll*  
District Attorney

0288

**BOX:**

514

**FOLDER:**

4681

**DESCRIPTION:**

Thompson, Julia H.

**DATE:**

02/09/93



4681



Witnesses:

Albert Reiche

Officer Scully

19th Feb 1893

Counsel,  
Filed  
Pleas,

day of

1893

February 10

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Julia H. Thompson

Grand Larceny,  
(From the Person.)  
[Sections 829, 830, Penal Code.]

DELANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

Discharged on his own recognizance

A TRUE BILL.

Geo A Edgell  
Foreman.

Feb. 28, 1893 Part I.

114

True the charged  
Off. Reiche. It appears  
that the complainant  
consent to proceed  
this case has been  
discharged upon  
the calendar. With the  
same result. No  
competent can be had  
without his presence  
the defendant  
has been in the  
city prison since May 24th  
'93. James Thompson  
is known for discharge  
when he was upon recognizance  
March 14th '93. (With Thompson)  
Grand Jury



0290

**PART I.**

THE COURT ROOM IS IN THE SECOND STORY AND FRONTING THE PARK.  
 If this Subpoena is disobeyed, an attachment will immediately issue.  
 Bring this Subpoena with you, and give it to the officer at the Court Room door, that your attendance may be known.  
 [SEE OTHER SIDE FOR OTHER DIRECTIONS.]

## SUBPOENA FOR A WITNESS TO ATTEND THE GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE.

In the Name of the People of the State of New York.

To Robert Becker  
 of No. 163 W. 32nd Street,

YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear before the Court of General Sessions of the Peace, in and for the City and County of New York, at the Sessions Building, adjoining the New Court House in the City Hall Park in the City of New York, on the

MARCH 14 1893 at 10.30 o'clock in the forenoon of the same day, as a witness in a criminal action prosecuted by the People of the State of New York, against

Julia H. Thompson  
 Dated at the City of New York, the first Monday of  
 in the year of our Lord, 1893

DE LANCEY NICOLL, *District Attorney.*

0291

1714

# Court of General Sessions.

THE PEOPLE

vs.

*Julia H. Thompson*

City and County of New York, ss:

*John W. Reilly* being duly sworn, deposes and says: I reside at No. *250 1/2 St- 10th* Street, in the City of New York. I am a Subpoena server in the office of the District Attorney of the City and County of New York. On the *8th* day of *March* 189*3* I called at *163 St- 32*

the alleged *residence* of *Albert Reiche* the complainant herein, to serve him with the annexed subpoena, and was informed by *the land lady, that he had gone away the first of the month. that he had a room there, she does not know where he is at present, or where he could be found*

Sworn to before me, this *4th* day of *March* 189*3*

*John W. Reilly*  
Subpoena Server.

*H. W. Murtzger*  
Clerk of deeds  
N. J. C.

If you know of more testimony than was produced before the Magistrate, or if a fact which you think material to the case has been omitted, please state the same to the District Attorney or one of his Assistants.  
If you are not present at the trial, please send timely word to the District Attorney's Office.  
If you are present at the trial, please send timely word to the District Attorney's Office.  
If you are not present at the trial, please send timely word to the District Attorney's Office.  
If you are present at the trial, please send timely word to the District Attorney's Office.

Count of General Sessions.

THE PEOPLE, on the complaint of

Albert Reiche

vs.

Julia H. Thompson

Offense

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

Affidavit of

John H. Kelly  
Subpoena Street.

FAILURE TO FIND WITNESS.

0292

0293

Police Court 2 District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County } ss:  
of New York,Albert Reiche

of No. 163 West 32nd Street, aged 30 years,  
 occupation Draftsman being duly sworn,  
 deposes and says, that on the 29 day of January 1897 at the City of New York,  
 in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in  
 the night time, the following property, viz:

good and lawful money  
of the United States to the amount and value  
of twelve dollars

\$ 12the property of Deponent.

and that this deponent  
 has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen and  
 carried away by Julia Thompson (now Lee) Deponent  
 went with defendant and another woman, who  
 accosted Deponent in 7th Avenue for the  
 purpose of prostitution. Deponent went with  
 Defendant and the other woman, to a house  
 No 174 West 32nd Street, for the purpose  
 of prostitution, and Deponent had the said  
 money in the right side pocket of his trousers  
 when he entered the room with the said  
 Julia, leaving the said other woman in an  
 adjoining room. When Deponent had conversation  
 with the said Julia he <sup>was</sup> ~~had~~ his trousers  
 and there was no person near enough to  
 take the said money except the said  
 Julia. Deponent missed the said money  
 immediately after leaving the room, at

Sworn to before me, this

189

Police Justice

0294

which time the defendant and her  
 companion had gone away, and the  
 defendant was arrested about two hours  
 thereafter. Dependent charge that the  
 defendant and her companion whose  
 name is unknown to Dependent acted together  
 in the larceny of said money from the  
 person of Dependent at said time.

Albert Reichert

Shown to before me this  
 24th day of January 1939

John P. Sullivan  
 Notary Public

0295

Sec. 198—200.

1882  
District Police Court.

City and County of New York, ss:

*Julia Thompson* being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is ☒ right to make a statement in relation to the charge against *h*; that the statement is designed to enable *h*, if he see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against *h*; that *he* is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that *h* waiver cannot be used against *h* on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Julia Thompson*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *22 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *U. S.*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *100 East 47 St - New York*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Domestic*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer. *I am not guilty - I never*  
*saw this man before*  
*Julia A. Thompson*Taken before me this *24<sup>th</sup>*  
day of *January* 1898*John M. Wallace*  
Police Justice.

0296

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named.....

*Julia Houston*  
guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *Five* Hundred Dollars,.....and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, *Jun 24* 1893

*John McLaughlin* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named.....  
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated,.....189

.....Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named.....  
guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated,.....189

.....Police Justice.



0297

Police Court---2---109 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Albert Reich  
163 West 3rd  
Julia Thompson

Offense

Magistrate.

Officer.

Precinct.

Dated, Jan 24 1899

Witnesses

No. Street.

No. Street.

No. Street.

\$ 500 to answer

T. Con.

BAILED,

No. 1, by

Residence Street.

No. 2, by

Residence Street.

No. 3, by

Residence Street.

No. 4, by

Residence Street.

0298

**PART I.**

THE COURT ROOM IS IN THE SECOND STORY AND FRONTING THE PARK.  
 If this Subpoena is disobeyed, an attachment will immediately issue.  
 Bring this Subpoena with you, and give it to the officer at the Court Room door, that your attendance may be known.

[SEE OTHER SIDE FOR OTHER DIRECTIONS.]

## SUBPOENA FOR A WITNESS TO ATTEND THE GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE.

In the Name of the People of the State of New York.

To Albert Reicheof No. 163 W-32 Street, \_\_\_\_\_

YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear before the Court of General Sessions of the Peace, in and for the City and County of New York, at the Sessions Building, adjoining the New Court House in the City Hall Park in the City of New York, on the 14 day of MARCH 1893 at 10.30 o'clock in the forenoon of the same day, as a witness in a criminal action prosecuted by the People of the State of New York, against

Julia H. Thompson

Dated at the City of New York, the first Monday of  
 in the year of our Lord, 1893

DE LANCEY NICOLL, *District Attorney.*

If you know of more testimony than was produced before the Magistrate, or if a fact which you think material to the case called on for trial, and no reason was given why the fact should not be brought out, please inquire in the District Attorney's Office. If you know of more testimony than was produced before the Magistrate, or if a fact which you think material to the case called on for trial, and no reason was given why the fact should not be brought out, please inquire in the District Attorney's Office. If you know of more testimony than was produced before the Magistrate, or if a fact which you think material to the case called on for trial, and no reason was given why the fact should not be brought out, please inquire in the District Attorney's Office.

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Julia H. Thompson

City and County of New York, ss.:

James Scully  
being duly  
sworn, deposes and says: I am a Police Officer attached to the 19<sup>th</sup>  
Precinct,  
in the City of New York. On the 8<sup>th</sup> - 10<sup>th</sup> - & 12<sup>th</sup> day of March  
1893,  
I called at 163 W. 32<sup>nd</sup> St.

the alleged residence of Albert Reiche  
the complainant herein, to serve him with the annexed subpoena, and was informed by the  
land lady that he hired a furnished room  
of her, but had left about the first  
of the month, She does not know where  
he has gone, or where he could be found

Sworn to before me, this 14<sup>th</sup> day  
of March, 1893

James Scully.

H. W. Illwitzer  
Com of deeds  
N. J. Co

**Court of General Sessions,**

THE PEOPLE, on the Complaint of

*Albert Rische*

vs.

*Julia H. Thompson*

Offense:

**JOHN B. FELLOWS,**

*Re Lancy Wood* District Attorney.

Affidavit of Police Officer

*James Lavelly*

*19<sup>th</sup>*

Precinct.

**Failure to Find Witness.**

0300

0301

District Attorney's Office.

1690

Part One

Julia H. Thompson

comp. given to Officer

March 8 from

March 10/93

0302

District Attorney's Office.

1000

Part One

Julia H. Thompson

Off Personal Comp & Off

Med 10 pr.

Mich 12/93

0303

504

**Court of General Sessions of the Peace**  
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

*Julia H. Thompson*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

*Julia H. Thompson*  
of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY in the *first* degree, committed as follows:

The said

*Julia H. Thompson*,  
late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *twenty-third*  
day of *January* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and  
ninety-*three*, in the *night* time of the said day, at the City and County aforesaid,  
with force and arms,

*the sum of twelve dollars*  
*in money, lawful money of the*  
*United States of America, and of*  
*the value of twelve dollars*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one *Albert Reiche*  
on the person of the said *Albert Reiche*  
then and there being found, from the person of the said *Albert Reiche*  
then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in  
such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York  
and their dignity.

*De Lancey Nicoll*  
*District Attorney*



0304

**BOX:**

514

**FOLDER:**

4681

**DESCRIPTION:**

Tierney, Maggie

**DATE:**

02/09/93



4681

0305

Witnesses:

*Wm Geo Tamm* 18th

*Joe H. John*

*Subpoena of 1894*  
*Temper 1894*  
*24th*

Counsel,

Filed

day of

1893

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Grand Larceny, Second Degree.  
[Sections 528, 529, 530, Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

*Mar 10. 1893. V.M.D*

*Mar 14. 1893. V.M.D*

A TRUE BILL.

*Geo. A. Edger*

Foreman.

*Part of Mc arch 14. 1893*

*Fried and convicted*

*Grand Larceny & degree*

*with recommendation of*

*money*

*City Prison 2 months*

0306

1852

CITY AND COUNTY }  
OF NEW YORK, } ss.POLICE COURT, 2 DISTRICT.

*James Timoney*  
of No. 18 Reeview Police Street, aged 38 years,  
occupation Patrolman being duly sworn, deposes and says  
that on the 23 day of December 1892  
at the City of New York, in the County of New York, he arrested

Maggie Tierney, now present, for  
stealing a diamond ring of the value  
of two hundred and thirty dollars, from  
Joseph Corbin.

Deponent asks that she may be  
held to give him an opportunity to  
recover said ring which she admitted  
stealing.

*James Timoney*

Sworn to before me, this

23 day of December 189223 day*John M. Mc*  
Police Justice.

030

257  
Police Court, 4 District.

THE PEOPLE, Etc.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.

Maggie Tierney

AFFIDAVIT.

Larson

Dated 24 Dec 1892

Kibesh Magistrate.

Finney Officer.

Witness, 18

Disposition, Y for Dec

26 at 10.

0308

(1365)

Police Court—

14

District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County } ss.  
of New York, }

of No. 311 East 14 Street, aged 35 years,  
 occupation Clothing Cutter being duly sworn,  
 deposes and says, that on the 7 day of April 1897 at the City of New  
 York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession  
 of deponent, in the day time, the following property, viz:

One Diamond ring of the value of  
two hundred and twenty dollars  
\$220.00

the property of deponent

and that this deponent  
 has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen  
 and carried away by Maggie Berner from here,

for the following reasons, that on said  
 date the defendant was in deponent's  
 employ as servant that the said prop-  
 erty was in the trunk of the machine  
 that the defendant had access to the  
 property, and after defendant left  
 deponent's employ, and on the 10 day  
 of December deponent caused the arrest  
 of the defendant and the defendant  
 admitted and confessed having  
 taken the said property. Wherefore  
 deponent charges the defendant with  
 the larceny of the property and prays  
 that she be held with according to law

Joseph H. Tobin

Sworn to before me this 16 day  
 of April 1897

Michael J. Sullivan  
 Police Justice.

0309

Sec. 198-200.

CITY AND COUNTY  
OF NEW YORK, ss.

*4* District Police Court.

*Maggi Fierman* being duly examined before the under-  
signed according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is *h* right to  
make a statement in relation to the charge against *h*; that the statement is designed to  
enable *h* if *h* see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against *h*  
that *h* is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that *h* waiver cannot be used  
against *h* on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the  
testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your  
exculpation?

Answer.

*I am not guilty.*

*Maggi Fierman*

Taken before me this *18*  
day of *Sept* 19*42*

Police Justice.

*Michael*



03 10

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ *Alfred* \_\_\_\_\_  
guilty thereof, I order that *She* be held to answer the same, and *She* be admitted to bail in the sum of \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ Hundred Dollars, \_\_\_\_\_ and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of  
the City Prison of the City of New York, until *She* give such bail.

Dated, *Dec 10* 189 \_\_\_\_\_ *J. H. Williams* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named \_\_\_\_\_  
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, \_\_\_\_\_ 189 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order *h* to be discharged.

Dated, \_\_\_\_\_ 189 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.



031

*554* *1612*  
Police Court--- District

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

*John N. Griffin*  
*311 E 16th St*  
*Mayor Seeman*

*James L. Carson*

2  
3  
4

BAILED,

No. 1, by \_\_\_\_\_

Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

No. 2, by \_\_\_\_\_

Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

No. 3, by \_\_\_\_\_

Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

No. 4, by \_\_\_\_\_

Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

Dated, *Dec 96* 189

*Kellner* Magistrate.

*Timony* Officer.

Precinct.

Witnesses *Call the officer*

No. *Call Douglas* Street.

*Wm J. Gray*

No. *311 E 16th* Street.

No. \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

\$ *1000* to answer *G.S.*

*(Or)* *gt*

0312

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE,  
City and County of New York.

\*\*\*\*\*

The People,

vs.

MAGGIE TIERNEY.

\*\*\*\*\*

"  
"  
"  
"  
"

Before,

HON. RUFUS B. COWING,

and a Jury.

Tried MARCH 14TH, 1893.

Indicted for GRAND LARCENY, in the SECOND DEGREE.

Indictment filed FEBRUARY 9TH, 1893.

-----  
APPEARANCES:

ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY VERNON M. DAVIS,

For THE PEOPLE.

HUGH COLEMAN, ESQUIRE,

For THE DEFENCE.  
-----

0313

JOSEPH H. TOBIN, THE COMPLAINANT, being duly sworn, testified that he lived at 311 East 14th street. He was a clothing cutter. He was living at 311 East 14th street on the 7th of April, 1892; it was a four story, stone house. His wife at that time kept a boarding house, and they had three servants. The defendant was one of the three servants. He did not know how many boarders they had at that time. The defendant entered his employ on the 4th of April, 1892, as a kitchen girl. At that time his wife owned a diamond ring. On the 8th of April, 1892, his wife missed the diamond ring, between eight and nine o'clock in the morning, when she was preparing to go to market. He, the complainant, was not there at the time. The value of the ring was \$230.00. He, the complainant, first heard of the loss of the ring about two hours after his wife missed it. His wife told him. He had seen the ring two nights before that, on his wife's hand. He, the complainant, did not cause the arrest of anybody at that time, because he had no evidence. The defendant was arrested in December, 1892, on his complaint, after he got evidence. He, the complainant, received certain

information from a person other than the defendant. He then notified Detective Timony, and gave him the information which he had received. The information included a description of the defendant. The defendant was in his, the complainant's, employ when he got the information. The detective went to the house on the 23rd of December, 1892. Timony and the complainant were in the parlor, and the defendant was sent for. When the defendant entered the room, Timony said to her, "I don't suppose you know who I am?" The defendant said, "No." Timony showed the defendant his badge, and said, "Now, Miss Tierney, there has been a diamond ring lost in this house, in April, and some money since; and you are the one that took it; and all I want is the ticket for the ring, and you can go down stairs and get your clothes and go out, these people don't want you." The defendant denied the charge at first, and then she said that she knew Mrs. Tobin had lost a diamond ring. Timony then said to her, "Yes, you do know something about it." The defendant admitted that she had taken the ring; she said she had taken it because she wanted to show it to a friend, and that the

03 15

4

party took it away from her and wouldn't give it back to her; and when Mrs. Tobin found the ring was lost, she, the defendant, was afraid to say anything to Mrs. Tobin about it. Then the defendant said again that she did not steal the ring -- that she found it, and that she had given it to her brother-in-law, and could not get it back from him. The defendant said her brother-in-law's name was Kennedy. The defendant said that she found the ring on the mat, outside of the basement door. The detective asked her where the pawn-ticket was, and she said that the ring was sold. The detective then arrested the defendant, and he, the complainant, accompanied them to the station house. The sergeant at the desk in the station house said to the defendant, "You needn't answer any of the questions that I am going to ask you; you needn't say anything that will incriminate you, suit yourself about answering the questions." The sergeant then asked, "Did you take this?" The defendant said, "Yes, I did." He, the complainant, never got the ring back. On the way to the station house the defendant said that she would do all that she could to get the ring back, if they would

03 16

5

not arrest her; and she wanted to go with the officer down-town, to find her brother-in-law and a party by the name of Bosky.

In cross-examination the complainant testified that the defendant was in his employ from the 4th of April until the third week in May. She then left. She afterwards returned to his employ, in October, 1892. He, the complainant, left the house about seven o'clock in the morning, and did not know how many boarders there were in the house; that was his wife's business. He, the complainant, had been married three years, and his wife had been keeping the boarding house all that time. It was a theatrical boarding house, and the people only remained two or three weeks at a time.

ELIZABETH TOBIN, being duly sworn, testified that she was the wife of the complainant. In April, 1892, she kept a boarding house, at 311 East 14th street. The defendant was in her employ on or about the 7th of April, 1892. At that time she, the witness, had a diamond ring. On



0317

6

the 7th of April, 1892, between eight and nine o'clock in the morning, she missed the ring. She had seen the ring on the morning previous to that. On the previous morning she, the witness, had the ring on her finger, when she was out to the market. Upon her return she took the ring off, and put it in the machine drawer, in the room off the kitchen. She, the witness, did not know whether any one saw her put the ring in the machine drawer or not. The defendant was in the next room, the kitchen, at the time. After putting the ring in the machine drawer she, the witness, went up stairs, leaving the defendant in the kitchen. She, the witness, discovered the loss of the ring the next morning, when she was going to the market. She, the witness, talked to the three servant girls about the loss of the ring. The defendant said less about the ring than the other girls. About a week after, the defendant asked her, the witness, if the person who found the ring would be able to sell it for as much as she, the witness, had paid for it. She, the witness, said she did not know what they would get, but she knew what she paid for it. That was all the defendant said at that



03 18

7

time. She, the witness, talked a good deal about the ring. The defendant did not say anything about the ring after that. The defendant left her, the witness's, employ in May, 1892, to go to live with her sister and brother-in-law. At the end of the Summer she, the witness, advertised for a girl, and the defendant answered the advertisement. She, the witness, employed the defendant again. She asked the defendant where she had been, and the defendant said that she had been to Sharon Springs. The defendant said that she had a rich aunt, who paid four dollars a day for her, the defendant, at the Springs. The defendant left her, the witness's, employ a week before her arrest. They left word with the defendant's cousin and aunt that they wanted to pay the defendant some money which they owed her. The defendant went to her, the witness's, house, and was arrested. She, the witness, did not talk to the defendant about the ring just previous to the defendant's arrest. The defendant was in the room in which the ring was after she, the witness, left it. The defendant admitted to her, the witness, that she had taken the ring, and said that she would

work until she had paid for it. She, the witness, said to the defendant, "I won't do anything to you if you will get me my ring, I will not make any other charge against you, although I lost so many things." The defendant said that she would work for it, that she would work and pay her, the witness, for the ring. The defendant said, "Don't have me arrested." She, the witness, said to the defendant, "Find me my ring, that is all I want; if you find it I will not have you arrested."

In cross-examination the witness testified that the ring belonged to her husband. She had made her husband a present of the ring about a year previous to the time it was lost. Two or three days previous to the loss of the ring her husband had taken it off, and she, the witness, had been wearing it. She did not remember how many boarders she had in the house at the time of the loss of the ring. She usually kept from twenty to twenty-four; probably at that time she had seventeen or eighteen, gentlemen and ladies.

In re-direct examination the witness testified that she had seen the defendant write. She was

not acquainted with the defendant's hand-writing. She recognized a letter and envelope shown to her by the District Attorney as an envelope and letter which she had received through the mails. The letter was written from the Tombs.

JAMES TIMONY, being duly sworn, testified that he was attached to the 18th Police Precinct. On the 23rd of December, 1892, he arrested the defendant at the house of the complainant. He, the witness, had charge of the case. He saw the defendant in the front room of the complainant's house. He, the witness, told Mrs. Tobin to send the defendant to him, in the parlor. He put the complainant behind a folding bed in the parlor, so that the defendant could not see him, but he could hear the conversation. He told the defendant who he was, and accused her of stealing the ring. He told the defendant that she would not be arrested if she would give them a chance to get the ring back, if she would tell them where it was pawned. He told the defendant that he knew about her taking it, that he had

heard about it. The defendant said that she took the ring to show it to a friend, a young man, and that he took it from her and never returned it. He, the witness asked the defendant who the young man was. The defendant said that his name was Bosky, and that he lived in East Broadway, but she did not tell him the number. He, the witness, found Bosky, and Bosky denied that he knew the defendant at all. Bosky was taken to the Police Court, but was discharged. The defendant said in the Police Court that Bosky and her brother-in-law knew where the ring was. He, the witness, could not find the defendant's brother-in-law; he had gone to Philadelphia. He, the witness, did not remember what the defendant said when she was arraigned before the sergeant in the station house; the defendant was crying at the time.

In cross-examination the witness testified that he told the defendant, before the defendant said anything to him, that he knew she had taken the ring, and that if she gave it back nothing would be done to her; and that all that he wanted was the ring.

FOR THE DEFENCE, MARY LAFAYETTE, being duly sworn, testified that she lived at the corner of Tenth avenue and 55th street. She kept a grocery and candy store. She knew the defendant, and had known her twenty-three years. She knew the defendant's father. She knew other people who knew the defendant. The defendant was a good hard-working girl. The defendant had lived out since she was able to do a day's work, and helped her father support herself and her sisters. The defendant's mother was dead. The defendant's reputation for honesty was good.

MICHEAL COSTELLO, being duly sworn, testified that he lived at 157 Mott street. He was a married man. He knew the defendant, and had known her about eighteen years. He knew other people who knew the defendant. The defendant's reputation for honesty was good.

EMMA LAFAYETTE, being duly sworn, testified that she lived at 51 East 55th street. She knew the defendant and also knew others who knew the defendant. The defendant's reputation for honesty was good.

MAGGIE TIERNEY, THE DEFENDANT, being duly sworn, testified, in her own behalf, that she was twenty-three years of age. She was born in Brooklyn. Her father was in the hospital at the time of the trial. Her mother was dead. She had always worked for a living. She did not steal the complainant's ring from the machine drawer, nor did she steal it from any portion of the house. She, the defendant, never took the ring with the intention of converting it to her own use, and never did convert it to her own use.

In cross-examination the defendant testified that she found the ring, and didn't know whether it was the complainant's or anybody else's. It was a kind of a plain ring, she did not know whether it was gold or not. The ring had a white stone in it; she did not know whether or not it was a diamond. She did not know what a diamond looked like. She found the ring on the mat, when she was sweeping the basement stairs, outside in the area-way. She, the defendant, had been in the house about a month when she found the ring. She told the complainant and the officer that she had found the ring. She gave the ring to her



0324

13

brother-in-law, whose name was Kenney. Kenney lived in Philadelphia. Kenney was very sick at the time of the trial, or he would have been present. She had written to Kenney, telling him of her arrest, and that she was charged with grand larceny, and Kenney had promised to be present at the trial, but he had been very sick. She, the defendant, did not ask Kenney to send her a note saying that she had given the ring to him, or anything of that kind. She did not tell the officer that she gave the ring to a man of the name of Bosky. She told the officer that perhaps Bosky knew something about it, if he was with her brother-in-law. She was not very well acquainted with Bosky, but she had seen him several times. She, the defendant, did not say anything to anybody in the house about finding the ring. The ring did not belong to her, and it might have been valuable, but she did not say anything to anybody about it. Notwithstanding the testimony of the complainant, she did not say in the Police Court that she took the ring. After leaving the complainant's house, in May, she went to live with a Mrs. Rosenberg, who kept an hotel in Sharon Springs. Mrs.



Rosenberg lived in New York, but she, the defendant, did not know what part of New York. She had not made any effort to find Mrs. Rosenberg. She, the defendant, did not tell Mrs. Tobin that she had been living with a rich aunt, who had been paying four dollars a day for her, the defendant's, board. She told Mrs. Tobin that the boarders in the hotel where she had been working paid four dollars a day. After her return to New York she was passing the complainant's house one day, and she went in. Mrs. Tobin asked her, the defendant, to go back and work there. She had not read Mrs. Tobin's advertisement. She, the defendant, knew where the sewing machine was; it was in the room next to the kitchen. She had often been in that room. She knew that there were drawers in the machine. She had never seen Mrs. Tobin wearing the ring. She, the defendant, had never been in prison except on this charge.. She, the defendant, wrote the letter show to her by the District Attorney, to Mrs. Tobin, and mailed it. She, the defendant, did not know what her brother-in-law had done with the ring; she supposed he might have lost it. She had told her brother-in-law that she

had been charged with stealing the ring, about five weeks before the trial. Her brother-in-law had not sent the ring on to her. Her brother-in-law lived in Vine street, Philadelphia, but she did not know what number. When she wrote to her brother-in-law she directed the letters simply to the Post Office.

In re-direct examination the defendant testified that at the time she gave the ring to her brother-in-law he was in New York. When she wrote the letter in evidence to Mrs. Tobin she did not mean to say that she had stolen the ring. She, the defendant, said to Mrs. Tobin that, if the ring was hers and she claimed the ring, she, the defendant, was willing to work and pay her back the cost of the ring. But she did not know the ring belonged to Mrs. Tobin when she found it.

MARY CARROLL, being duly sworn, testified that she lived at 369 Eighth avenue. She kept a house furnishing store. She had been in business about two years and a half. She was a married woman, and had a family. She knew the defendant, and had known her about ten years. She

0327

16

knew others who knew the defendant. As far as she knew, the defendant's general reputation for honesty was good.

Court of General Sessions  
 The People of the State of New York }  
 against  
 Maggie Tierney

City and County of New York ss:

Michael Castelli  
 of 8 Prince Street New York City, being  
 duly sworn says:

That he is 45 years of age, a native  
 That he has known Maggie Tierney, the  
 defendant above named for the last 18  
 years and knows other people who know her;  
 that her general reputation for honesty and  
 morality has been most excellent; that she  
 has never been arrested before; and that as  
 far as he can learn, was never before accused  
 of crime.

Sworn to before me this } his  
 18 day of March 1843 } Michael Castelli  
 Joseph Coleman mark  
 Stephen Pratt  
 W. L.

Court of General Sessions  
 The People of the State of New York }  
 against  
 Maggie Tierney }

City and County of New York ss.  
 Patrick Withers

of 233 Mulberry Street New York City being  
 duly sworn says:

That he is 59 years of age, a laborer  
 That he has known Maggie Tierney the  
 defendant above named ~~for~~ <sup>for</sup> the last ~~thirteen~~ <sup>thirteen</sup>  
 years and knows other people who know her;  
 that her general reputation for honesty and  
 morality has been most excellent; that she  
 has never been arrested before; and that as  
 far as he can learn, was never before accused  
 of crime

Sworn to before me this } Patrick Withers  
 20 day of March 1893 }

Hugh Coleman

Notary Public  
 J. J. J.

Court of General Sessions  
 The People of the State of New York  
 against  
 Maggie Tierney

City and County of New York ss:

John Mary Learner  
 of 369 - 8 ave Street New York City, being  
 duly sworn says:

That she is a married woman, is engaged  
 in the <sup>369 8th</sup> ~~business~~ <sup>business</sup>  
 That she has known Maggie Tierney the  
 defendant above named for the last ~~27~~ <sup>27</sup> years  
 and knows other people who know her;  
 that her general reputation for honesty and  
 morality has been most excellent; that she  
 has never been arrested before; and that as  
 far as she can learn, was never before accused  
 of crime.

Sworn to before me this  
 22 day of March 1893

Wm. H. Coleman  
 Notary Public  
 N.Y.C.

John Mary Learner  
 Mary



Court of General Sessions  
 The People of the State of New York  
 against  
 Maggie Tierney

City and County of New York ss:

Wm. L. Safary

2516 W 55 Street New York City, being  
 duly sworn says:

That he is engaged in business as the store  
 That he has known Maggie Tierney the  
 defendant above named for the last <sup>spring</sup> ~~last~~ <sup>year</sup> ~~year~~ <sup>years</sup>  
 and knows other people who know her;  
 that her general reputation for honesty and  
 morality has been most excellent; that she  
 has never been arrested before; and that as  
 far as he can learn, was never before accused  
 of crime.

Sworn to before me this }  
 22 day of March 1893,

Wm. L. Safary

Hugh Coleman

Notary Public

Wm. L. Safary



Court of General Sessions  
 The People of the State of New York  
 against  
 Maggie Tierney

City and County of New York ss:

Mary Musgrave

2369-8 Ave Street New York City, being  
 duly sworn says:

That she is married

That she has known Maggie Tierney the  
 defendant above named for the last 4  
 years and knows other people who know her;  
 that her general reputation for honesty and  
 morality has been most excellent; that she  
 has never been arrested before; and that as  
 far as he can learn, was never before accused  
 of crime

Sworn to before me this } Mary Musgrave  
 24 day of March 1893 }

Hugh Lohman

Wm J. Miller  
 (MSE)

0333

Court of General Sessions  
The People of the State of New York }  
against  
Maggie Tierney }

City and County of New York ss  
John W. Musgrave  
2369 8th Street New York City being  
duly sworn says:

That she is a married woman  
That he has known Maggie Tierney the  
defendant above named for the last 27  
years and knows other people who know her,  
That her general reputation for honesty and  
morality has been most excellent; that she  
has never been arrested before; and that as  
far as he can learn, was never before accused  
of crime.

Sworn to before me this  
24 day of March 1893 } John W. Musgrave  
High Commissioner  
Notary Public  
WJL

Court of General Sessions  
 The People of the State of New York  
 Against  
 Maggie Turner

City and County of New York ss:

Thomas Gervais

of 2404 E 17 Street New York City, being  
 duly sworn says:

That he is in the butcher business as is  
 that he has known Maggie Turner the  
 defendant above named for the last eight years  
 and knows other people who know her;  
 That her general reputation for honesty and  
 morality has been most excellent; that she  
 has never been arrested before, and that as far  
 as he can learn, was never before accused of  
 crime

Sworn to before me this Thomas Gervais

25 day of March 1893

Wm. Coleman

Notary Public  
 Wm. Coleman

Court of General Sessions  
 The People of the State of New York  
 against  
 Maggie Tierney

City and County of New York ss  
 Charles J. Lafayette

of 516 W 55 Street New York City, being  
 duly sworn, says:

That he is sworn at 516 W 55 St  
 That he has known Maggie Tierney, the  
 defendant above named for the <sup>past</sup> last ~~last~~ <sup>years</sup> ~~years~~  
 years and knows other people who know her;  
 that her general reputation for honesty and  
 morality has been most excellent; that she  
 has never been arrested before; and that as far  
 as he can learn, was never before accused  
 of crime. Charles J. Lafayette  
 Sworn before me this

2 day of March 1893

Engt. Culman

Notary Public  
 City of New York

Court of General Sessions  
 The People of the State of New York  
 Against  
 Maggie Tierney

City and County of New York ss:

James <sup>James</sup> ~~James~~  
 of 55 11 55 5 Street New York City, being  
 duly sworn says

That he is

That he has known Maggie Tierney the  
 defendant above named for <sup>many</sup> ~~the last~~ childhood  
 years and knows other people who know her;  
 that her general reputation for honesty and  
 morality has been most excellent; that she  
 has never been arrested before; and that as far  
 as he can learn, was never before accused  
 of crime

Sworn to before me this  
 day of March 1893

James H. Dufayotte

Court of General Sessions  
 of the People of the State of New York  
 Against  
 Maggie Tierney

City and County of New York ss:

Emma Lafayette  
 of 54 W 5th Street New York City, being  
 duly sworn says:

That she is residing with her mother  
 That she has known Maggie Tierney the  
 defendant above named for the last <sup>year</sup> ~~several~~  
 years and knows other people who know her;  
 that her general reputation for honesty and  
 morality has been most excellent; that she  
 has never been arrested before; that as far  
 as he can learn, was never before accused  
 of crime

Sworn to before me this }  
 24 day of March 1893 } Emma Lafayette

Subt. Coleman

Notary Public

W.E.

0338

STATE OF NEW YORK,  
CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

..... being duly sworn, says that he resides at No. .... Street in the City of New York, that he is ..... years of age, that on the ..... day of ..... 18 , at Number ..... in the City of New York, he served the within ..... on ..... the ..... by delivering to and leaving with said ..... a true copy of the within ..... and at the same time and place exhibiting to ..... the within originals, and that he knew the person thus served to be the person mentioned and described in the ..... as ..... therein.

Sworn to, before me,

this ..... day of ..... 18 . }

*N. D. General Sear*

*People*

Plaintiff,

against

*Maggie Turner*

Defendant

*Appointed as  
Ex-Chancellor*

HUGH COLEMAN,

Attorney for *Dep*

~~CHANCERY BUILDING~~

No. 287 BROADWAY,

NEW YORK CITY.

Entrance to Elevator cor. Reade St.

Due and timely service of cop of the within

hereby admitted

18

day of

this

Attorney.

To



0339

505

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Maggie Turney

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE  
as follows:

The said

Maggie Turney  
late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the 7th  
day of April in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and  
ninety-two, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

one finger ring of the value  
of two hundred and twenty  
dollars

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

Joseph W. Tobin

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against  
the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People  
of the State of New York and their dignity.

De Lancey Nicoll,  
District Attorney