

0599

BOX:

216

FOLDER:

2138

DESCRIPTION:

Rabie, Joseph

DATE:

04/29/86



2138

0600

BOX:

216

FOLDER:

2138

DESCRIPTION:

Ponley, Jose

DATE:

04/29/86



2138

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0601

No 245 reduced

Counsel, R.H.R.

Filed 29 day of April 1886
Plead July 30

THE PEOPLE

ASSAULT IN THE FIRST DEGREE, ETC.
(Sections 217 and 218, Penal Code).

vs.
Joseph Rabie
and
Jose Donley

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,

Att. Gen. District Attorney.
April 2nd & 3rd 1886.
Not recd. - to Henry.

A True Bill.

John Brown

Foreman.

John J. L. L. L.
John J. L. L. L.

Witnesses:

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0602

Police Court—5th District.

CITY AND COUNTY
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

of No. 334 Canal St Street,

Hermin Marsh being duly sworn, deposes and says, that

on Saturday the 24th day of April

in the year 1886 at the City of New York, in the County of New York,

he was violently and feloniously ASSAULTED and BEATEN by Joseph Robie

and Joe Pouley (both here present)
who are each of whom did
together violently and feloniously
assault and beat deponent, that
the said Joseph Robie did cut
and stab deponent in the left arm
with a stiletto which he the said
Joseph Robie then & there held in
his hand, and the said Joe Pouley
did cut and stab deponent in
the right arm with a stiletto
which he the said Joe Pouley
then & there held in his hand
that deponent was so violently
and feloniously assaulted and
beaten

with the felonious intent to take the life of deponent, or to do him grievous bodily harm; and without any justification on the part of the said assailants :

Wherefore this deponent prays that the said assailants may be apprehended and bound to answer for the above assault, etc., and be dealt with according to law.

Sworn to before me, this 25th day
of April 1886

His
Hermin Marsh
mark

M. W. Hodge POLICE JUSTICE.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0603

Sec. 198-200.

CITY AND COUNTY
OF NEW YORK, { ss

5th

District Police Court.

Joseph Robie being duly examined before the under-
signed, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is his right to
make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to
enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him
that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used
against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Joseph Robie

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 22 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Italy

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 109th + 1st Ave 3 years

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Laborer

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the
testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your
exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty of the charge
Joseph Robie
mark

Taken before me this

21st

day of

188

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0604

Sec. 198-200.

5th

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY {
OF NEW YORK, } ss

Jose Ponley being duly examined before the under-
signed, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is h^{is} right to
make a statement in relation to the charge against h^{im}; that the statement is designed to
enable h^{im} if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h^{im}
that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h^{is} waiver cannot be used
against h^{im} on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Jose Ponley

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

23 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

Italy

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

113rd & 1st Ave 1 month

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Labman

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the
testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your
exculpation?

Answer.

I am not guilty of the charge

Jose Ponley
mark

Taken before me this

day of

1885

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0605

BAILED,
No. 1, by _____
Residence _____ Street _____
No. 2, by _____
Residence _____ Street _____
No. 3, by _____
Residence _____ Street _____
No. 4, by _____
Residence _____ Street _____

Police Court District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

William Munka
234 E. 12th St.
Joseph Robie
Joe Parley
Offence *Assault*
Felony

Dated *April 25th* 188*6*

Walter Magistrate
Amie Cagney Officer
23rd Precinct.

Witnesses *Augusta Wickman*
No. *132* *Van* *3rd* Street.

No. _____ Street _____
No. _____ Street _____
No. _____ Street _____
\$ *Five* each to answer
Five

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named *Joseph Robie*

Joe Parley
guilty thereof, I order that *he* be held to answer the same and *he* be admitted to bail in the sum of *Five* Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated *April 25th* 188*6* *W. H. Hendon* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named _____
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated _____ 188 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____
guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order *he* to be discharged.

Dated _____ 188 _____ Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0606

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

*Joseph Badie, and
Jose Bander*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Joseph Badie and Jose Bander
of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE FIRST DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

Joseph Badie and Jose Bander
Bander, each -

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the
Xenofon day of *April*, - in the year of our Lord
one thousand eight hundred and eighty-*five*, with force of arms, at the City and
County aforesaid, in and upon the body of one *Stemman Mendha*, -
in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously did make an assault
and *in* the said *Stemman Mendha*, -
with *a certain* *two certain* *directions* -

which the said

Joseph Badie and Jose Bander
in *their* right hand then and there had and held, the same being a deadly and
dangerous weapon, wilfully and feloniously did beat, strike, stab, cut and wound,

with intent

in the said *Stemman Mendha*, -
thereby then and there feloniously and wilfully to kill, against the form of the statute
in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of
New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT:

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

Joseph Badie and Jose Bander
of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

Joseph Badie and Jose Bander
Bander, each -

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the
year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and
upon the body of one *Stemman Mendha*, -
in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously did wilfully and
wrongfully make an assault, and *in* the said

Stemman Mendha, -

with *a certain*

two certain *directions*, -

which

they the said *Joseph Badie and Jose Bander*
in *their* right hand then and there had and held, the same being a

weapon likely to produce grievous bodily harm, then and
there feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully beat, strike, stab, cut and wound,
against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the
peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

Randolph B. Martin,

District Attorney.

0607

BOX:

216

FOLDER:

2138

DESCRIPTION:

Reilly, Charles

DATE:

04/13/86



2138

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0608

No 72. *Atwomb*
Counsel *W. H. Martine*
Filed, *13* day of *April* 1890
Pleas *Indictment*
THE PEOPLE
28
Charles H. Kelly
BRIBERY.
[Section 72 Penal Code, and Section 63 Consolidatory Act of 1892.]
March 10th 1890
ORDER TO THE CLERK OF THE COURT TO TAKE NOTICE OF THE FILING OF THE ABOVE COMPLAINT.

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,
District Attorney.

A True Bill.

Foreman.

March 31, 1890

Indictment dismissed
see memoandum of
Judge Foreman within

Chas B Martine

not taken up by

March

Thomas O Kelly

1091 First Ave

Mayor of New York

113 East 13th St

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

00009

No 72
Counsel
Filed
Pleads
THE PEOPLE
vs
Charles H. Kelly
March 10/90
GRANTED TO ME BY COURT
ORDER AND REASON FOR THAT

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL

Foreman.

March 10/90

Indictment dismissed
see memo grand jury
J. H. Kelly

Chas B. Martine

Oct 14/96 \$4,000.00

Bail
Thomas O'Reilly
1091 First Ave
James A. Mahoney
113 East 55th St

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0610

No 72nd *Atwento*
Counsel *M. H. Martine*
Filed, 13th day of *Decr* 1886.
Pleds *Principally*
THE PEOPLE
vs. *Charles H. Kelly*
March 10th 1890
ORDERED TO BE PAID
Over and to be paid for that

[Section 72 Penal Code, and Sections Consolidation Act
of 1883.]

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL

Foreman.

Witnesses:

Chas. B. Nails

Oct 14/86 \$40000

Rail

Thomas O'Reilly

1091 First Ave

James A. Graham

113 East 53rd St

**POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL**

06 11

*District Attorney's Office,
City & County of
New York.*

People :
vs. : Bribery.
Charles H. Reilly. :

March 21st, 1890.

The witness whose name appears endorsed upon the Indictment has repeatedly stated that he knew of nothing to sustain this charge. He has made this statement as a sworn witness in Court. He can furnish the People no information to aid a trial. The evidence of Fullgraff and Duffy in recent trials, has been utterly worthless to the People, and has only served to demonstrate that they are now willing if not eager, to forget everything they have heretofore professed to know, that may aid the prosecution. The witness Katie Metz whose evidence as to meetings at McLaughlin's house was of the highest importance to the People in establishing a corrupt combination and agreement among and between certain Aldermen of the Board of 1884, has never, upon any occasion where she has appeared as a witness, identified the Defendant herein as being present at such meetings. No money has been traced to him. The People therefore have no evidence to justify a trial herein and do not propose to move the case for trial.

John W. Rodgers
District Attorney.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

06 12

Replied, Berkeley
Chas H. Reilly

Memorandum of

District Attorney

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0613

New York City & Termmer

The People of the State of New York
against
Charles H. Reilly

Take notice that upon the annexed affidavits by Charles H. Reilly and Nelson J. Waterbury, I shall move at a Court of Oyer and Terminer, to be held, in and for the City and County of New York, at The County Court House in the City of New York, on the 11th day of March, 1890, at the opening of the Court on that day, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, that the indictment for bribery against the defendant Charles H. Reilly, filed on the 13th day of April, 1886, be dismissed and that the said defendant and his sureties be ^{exonerated and} discharged from any further liability on account of the bail given by him in the said indictment.

Dated New York March 10th 1890

Yours, &c.,

J. F. McIntyre

Atty for deft

To:

John R. Fellows, Esq.,

District Attorney.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

06 14

New York Oyer and Terminer.

-----X
The People of the State of New York,
:

-----X
against
:

Charles H. Reilly.
-----X

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, SS:

C h a r l e s H. R e i l l y , being duly sworn, deposes and says; that on the 13th day of April, 1886, he was indicted by the Grand Jury of the County of New York for the crime of bribery, alleged to have been committed in the matter of a certain petition and application by the Broadway Surface Railroad Company to the Board of Aldermen of the City of New York; that on the 7th day of May, 1886, deponent pleaded not guilty to the said indictment; that thereafter Mr. Randolph B. Martine, the District Attorney for the said County, announced in open Court that he would try the defendant upon the said indictment on Monday, the 29th day of November, 1886, and Mr. Nelson J. Waterbury, the counsel for deponent, at once said that he would be ready to proceed to trial at that time; that on the last mentioned day deponent appeared in Court with his said counsel, ready to proceed to trial; that the said District Attorney thereupon refused to proceed to trial and notwithstanding the earnest remon-

**POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL**

06 15

stances of deponent's counsel persisted in such refusal, and the trial was postponed indefinitely by the Court; that deponent has never since been able to procure a trial and the District Attorney has not at any time since taken any action towards bringing the case to trial; and that no postponement of the trial of the said indictment has ever been made upon the application of the defendant thereto, nor has any application for the postponement of the trial ever been made by or on behalf of the deponent.

Deponent further says that the said indictment against him and the omission to bring the same to trial have been a great wrong to him; that he has been thereby depreciated in the good opinion of other men; that the happiness of his family has been greatly impaired, that he has been materially affected in his business and suffered great pecuniary injury.

Deponent further says that he was a member of the Board of Aldermen in the year 1884, at the time the franchise for a railroad in Broadway was granted, but that he did not belong to or attend a meeting of any such combination as has been testified to by Michael Duffy and Ludolph A. Falgraff, upon the trial for bribery of some of the Aldermen who voted for that grant; that he never attended any meeting of any kind at the shop of Alderman Fulgraff in Fulton Street or at the residence of Alderman Lelaughlin in West 34th Street, nor was he ever present at any time or place at which anything was said by any person about the pay-

**POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL**

06 16

ment of money for a vote or votes in favor of said Broadway Railroad grant, nor was he ever present at any meeting at any time or place at which the subject of any such grant was considered or discussed except the open sessions of the Board of Aldermen at its regular place of meeting; that the testimony of said Duffy and Fulgraff in relation to the matters aforesaid, so far as it relates to deponent, is absolutely false; that deponent did not vote in the Board of Aldermen in favor of all the railroad grants supported by most of the Aldermen whom Duffy and Fulgraff testified were in a combination to act together upon the subject of railroads, but on the contrary deponent voted against the grant for a railroad in 34th Street for which most of them voted, and which Duffy and Fulgraff supported and for which Duffy strenuously urged deponent to vote, and deponent attributes to that cause the false testimony they have given against him.

Deponent further says that at one of the trials above referred to, when Catharine Metz, who testified that some of the Aldermen who voted for the Broadway Railroad grant were present at a meeting at the residence of Aldermen McLaughlin, was upon the stand as a witness, deponent was requested by the prosecuting officer to stand up and the witness was asked if she had ever seen deponent before and she testified positively that she never had.

Deponent further says that at three of the trials of Aldermen above referred to, deponent was called

**POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL**

06 17

as a witness and examined and cross examined by the respective counsel; he answered frankly and fully every question put to him, without the least hesitation, and testified positively that he had no participation whatever in any corrupt practice in regard to the Broadway Railroad grant; that deponent never had any conversation with any person whatever in relation to the payment of any money to or the receipt of any corrupt consideration whatever by him for his vote in favor of that grant; nor was he ever promised or offered any money or other corrupt consideration to vote for that grant, nor did he ever receive any money or other corrupt consideration for his vote; that deponent believed a railroad in Broadway would be a beneficial public measure; that his constituents were generally in favor of the grant; that friends in whom he had great confidence advised him to vote in favor of it, and that he so voted for these reasons and not from any other motive or influence whatever.

Deponent further says that he never heard of any proof or even a suspicious circumstance of any kind to connect him with any corruption in regard to the said grant, except the said testimony of Duffy and Pulgraff, and that their testimony so far as deponent is concerned, is absolutely false and is without any corroboration of any kind.

Deponent further says that he is under bail to the amount of forty thousand dollars; that the mere fact

**POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL**

05 18

that he is under such bail is a continuing injury to him
and that he respectfully asks that the said indictment may
be dismissed and that deponent and his sureties may be dis-
charged from any further liability.

Sworn to before me this)
10th day of March, 1890,)

Charles H. Reilly

Chas. C. Sanders
Commissioner of Deeds
N. Y. C.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

05 19

NEW YORK OYER AND TERMINER.

-----X
The People of the State of New
York,

vs.

Charles H. Reilly.
-----X

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss:

Nelson J. Waterbury, being duly sworn, deposes and says; that he is a counsellor-at-law and is counsel for the defendant, Charles H. Reilly, upon the indictment for bribery filed against him on the 13th day of April, 1886; that deponent was present in Court and heard the District Attorney announce to the Court that he would try Reilly on the said indictment on Monday, the 29th day of November, 1886; that deponent replied that he would be ready for the defence on that day, and the case was set down for trial accordingly; that deponent afterwards heard that the District Attorney had said that he would not proceed with the trial at that time; and deponent thereupon sent to the District Attorney a letter of which the following is a copy:

32 Nassau St., New York,

November 26th, 1886.

Hon. Randolph B. Martine,
District Attorney.

Dear Sir:

To my very great surprise Mr. McIntyre informed

(1)

**POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL**

0620

me on Wednesday, too late for me to communicate with you on that day, that you had a short time before said to him that you would not try Charles H. Reilly on Monday next. You named that day for the trial, and it was fixed by the Court upon your motion, I gladly consenting. I decline to submit to your attempt, without a motion to the Court upon notice to me to set aside its order deliberately made and accepted by both sides. Upon the faith of that order I have given up all my other business for next week and arranged it for subsequent times. The delay you seek would not only disarrange that, but would involve to me a considerable pecuniary loss. I therefore notify you that I shall be present with Mr. Reilly, at the opening of the Court on Monday next, ready to try his case, and will insist that the trial shall commence.

The injury to me of its postponement, however, would not compare with the wrong to my client. It is very severe to an honest man to be unjustly accused of a flagrant crime, and exceedingly unpleasant, when nothing could induce him to leave the jurisdiction of the Court until he has refuted the charge against him, to be followed day and night by detectives. As you declared by the motion you made that you were ready to try him, there can be no reason that you should not, upon the day you designated.

I will add that I have had no communication upon the subject of this note with Mr. McQuade, or any person on his behalf. I merely fulfil my duty to protect the

**POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL**

0621

rights of my client.

Yours truly,

Nelson J. Waterbury.

That no answer was returned to the said letter, and deponent appeared in Court with the defendant on the said 29th day of November, 1886, and stated to the Court that the defendant was ready for trial, and asked that his trial should proceed; that the District Attorney said that he would not try the case at that time; that deponent strenuously insisted that the trial should proceed according to the agreement which had been made and entered in the minutes of the Court; that the District Attorney positively refused to proceed with the trial, and it was adjourned by the Court indefinitely; and that deponent has not heard of any action by the District Attorney since that time to bring the case to trial, and charges that no such action has been taken; nor has any action ever been taken by the defendant to postpone or prevent a trial.

Deponent further says that he was present in Court at the trial of one of the aldermen indicted for bribery in the matter of the Broadway Surface Railroad grant, when Catharine Metz was examined as a witness, and the prosecuting officer requested Reilly to stand up and asked Catharine Metz, a witness for the people, if she could identify him, and she said that she had never seen him before.

Deponent further says that he heard Reilly examined

**POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL**

0622

as a witness on two of the trials of aldermen charged with corrupt complicity in the passage of the Broadway Railroad grant, and that he answered the questions put to him at once with manifest candor and in a manner which carried with it a conviction of his innocence.

Deponent further says that in his conduct of the defence in this case, he has never yet heard of even a suspicious circumstance against the defendant, except what is said by Duffy and Fulgraff whose testimony he believes to be worse than false and utterly worthless.

Sworn to before me this)
10th day of March, 1890.)

John Vincent

*Notary Public
N.Y. Co*

William J. Watling

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0623

Compt. Gen. & Finance

The People

7

Chas. H. Kelly

—

Upon the affidavits
and papers presented
and upon the District
Attorney's statement
filed herewith, I see
no way to avoid the
disposal of the
indictment. The

Applicant is
accordingly granted

J. C. Kelly

filed March 31 1890

**POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL**

0624

City and County of New York SS:-

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE, being duly sworn, deposes and says: I am the District Attorney of the County of New York. On information and belief, I accuse *Charles H. Venable* of the crime of Bribery committed as follows :- In the month of July 1884 the said *Charles H. Venable* was a member of the Board of Aldermen in the City of New York, and, as such, a member of the Common Council in said City.

In said month, there was pending before said board of Aldermen a petition or application by a corporation known as the Broadway Surface Railway Company, for permission to construct and operate a railroad on the street known as Broadway in the City of New York. In or about the said month of July 1884, the said *Charles H. Venable*, being then and there a person executing the function of a public office, to wit, as aforesaid, a member of the Board of Aldermen, and, as such, a member of the Common Council of said City, did then ask, receive and agree to receive a bribe and sum of money amounting to several thousand dollars upon the agreement and understanding that his vote, action and decision as a member of the said board of Aldermen should be influenced by said bribe and sum of money in the matter of the petition and application of said Broadway Surface Railway Company as hereinabove set forth.

The grounds of my information and belief as to all matters not herein stated on my own knowledge, are the

**POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL**

0625

proceedings of the said Board of Aldermen in reference to said application and petition of the said Broadway Surface Railroad Company as the same are reported in the records of said proceedings; the facts and circumstances which surrounded the incorporation of said railway, its excessive issue of bonds far beyond the cost and expense of constructing the same, the lavish use of funds in various ways, and the secreting of large sums of money during the period when the aforesaid application was pending before said board, and certain confessions of the said *Charles K. G. G. G.* to *"* *now within the City and County of* New York, but whose name I do not now state, because I believe that the ends of public justice will be better served by withholding *"*; and other facts and circumstances, which upon the trial of indictment for the crime herein charged, I shall prove on behalf of the People.

I therefore pray that a warrant for the arrest of said *Charles K. G. G. G.* may be forthwith issued, to the end that he may be dealt with according to law.

Sworn to this *12th* day
of April 1896, before me.

Randolph B. Martine
F. H. R. R.

**POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL**

0626

The People

*vs
Charles H. Reilly*

Affidavit

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0627

Law Office of
N. J. Waterbury Jr.
Mutual Life Building,
32. Nassau Street,

Nelson J. Waterbury.
Nelson J. Waterbury Jr.

New York, November 26th 1886.

Hon. Randolph B. Martine,
District Attorney,

Dear Sir:

To my very great surprise Mr. M. J. Intyre informed me on Wednesday, too late for me to communicate with you on that day, that you had, a short time before, said to him that you would not try Charles H. Reilly, on Monday next. You named that day for the trial and it was fixed by the Court upon your motion, I gladly consenting. I decline to submit to your attempt, without a motion to the Court upon notice to me, to set aside its order, deliberately made and accepted by both sides. Upon the faith of that order I have given up all my other business for next week and arranged it for subsequent times. The delay you seek would not only disarrange that, but would involve to me a considerable pecuniary loss. I therefore notify you that I shall be present with Mr. Reilly, at the opening of the Court on Monday next, ready to try his case, and will insist that the trial shall commence.

The injury to me of its postponement, however, would not ~~compare~~ compare with the wrong to my client. It is very severe to an honest man, to be unjustly accused of a flagrant crime, and exceedingly unpleasant, when nothing could induce him to leave the jurisdiction of the Court until he has refuted the charge against him, to be followed day and night by detectives. As you declared, by the motion you made, that you were ready to try him, there can be no reason that you should not, upon the day you designated.

I will add that I have had no communication upon the subject of this note with Mr. M. J. Swade or any person on his behalf. I merely fulfil my duty to protect the rights of my client.

Yours truly,
Nelson J. Waterbury

**POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL**

0628

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

IN AND FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Charles H. Reilly.

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment
accuse Charles H. Reilly
of the CRIME OF **Bribery**, committed as follows:

Herebefore, to wit, on the twenty-ninth day of August, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-four, at the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, a certain petition and application of the Broadway Surface Railroad Company, a corporation duly organized and incorporated under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York, before then duly presented to the Common Council of the City of New York, praying and making application to the said Common Council for its consent and permission to construct, maintain, operate and use a street surface railroad for public use in the conveyance of persons and property in cars upon and along the surface of certain streets, avenues and highways in the said city, together with the necessary connections, switches, turnouts, turntables, sidings and suitable stands for the convenient working of the said road, was duly pending before and under the consideration of the said Common Council.

And the said petition and application having been so as aforesaid made and presented to the said Common Council, and being so pending and under its consideration as aforesaid, the said Charles H. Reilly, late of the City and County of New York aforesaid, being then and there a public officer, and a person executing the functions of a public office, to wit, an alderman and a member of the board of aldermen of the City of New York, and as such, being then and there a member of the Common Council aforesaid, afterwards, to wit, on the said twenty-ninth day of August, in the year aforesaid, and whilst the said petition and application was yet pending before and under the consideration of the said Common Council, contriving and intending the duties of his said office, and the trust and confidence thereby reposed in him, to prostitute and

**POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL**

0629

betray, at the city and county aforesaid, with force and arms, unlawfully, wickedly and corruptly, did feloniously ask and agree to receive the sum of Twenty thousand Dollars in money, and a promise and agreement therefor, from a certain person whose name is to the Grand Jury aforesaid as yet unknown,

upon an agreement and understanding that the vote, opinion, judgment, action and official proceeding of him, the said Charles H. Reilly as such member of the Common Council aforesaid, upon and concerning the said petition and application of the said Broadway Surface Railroad Company, so pending before and under the consideration of the said Common Council as aforesaid, should be thereby influenced, and that his vote, opinion, judgment, action and official proceeding as such member of the Common Council aforesaid upon and concerning the said petition and application, should be in favor of the granting and giving by the said Common Council of the consent and permission so as aforesaid in and by the said petition and application prayed and applied for; against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT.

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said Charles H. Reilly of the CRIME OF Bribery, committed as follows:

Heretofore, to wit, on the twenty-ninth day of August, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-four, at the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, a certain petition and application of the Broadway Surface Railroad Company, a corporation duly organized and incorporated under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York, before then duly presented to the Common Council of the City of New York, praying and making application to the said Common Council for its consent and permission to construct, maintain, operate and use a street surface railroad for public use in the conveyance of persons and property in cars upon and along the surface of certain streets, avenues and highways in the said city, together with the necessary connections, switches, sidings, turnouts, turntables and suitable stands for the convenient working of the said road, was duly pending before and under the consideration of the said Common Council.

**POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL**

0630

And the said petition and application having been so as aforesaid made and presented to the said Common Council, and being so pending and under its consideration as aforesaid, the said — *Charles H. Reilly* —

late of the city and county aforesaid, being then and there an alderman and a member of the board of aldermen of the City of New York, and as such, being then and there a member of the said Common Council, afterwards, to wit: on the said twenty-ninth day of August, in the year aforesaid, and whilst the said petition and application was yet pending before and under the consideration of the said Common Council, contriving and intending the duties of his said office, and the trust and confidence thereby reposed in him, to prostitute and betray, at the city and county aforesaid, with force and arms, unlawfully, wickedly and corruptly, did feloniously accept from *a certain person whose name is to the Grand Jury aforesaid as yet unknown,* a promise and agreement to give and furnish to him, the said *Charles H. Reilly*

the sum of *Twenty thousand* dollars in money, and an undertaking to give and furnish the said sum of money to the said *Charles H. Reilly* under an agreement and understanding that the vote, opinion, judgment and action of him, the said *Charles H. Reilly*

as such member of the said Common Council, upon and concerning the said petition and application of the said Broadway Surface Railroad Company, so pending before and under the consideration of the said Common Council as aforesaid, should be influenced thereby, and that his said vote, opinion, judgment and action should be given in the cause, matter and proceeding of, upon and concerning the said petition and application, in favor of the granting and giving by said Common Council of the consent and permission so as aforesaid in and by the said petition and application prayed and applied for; against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,

District Attorney.

0631

BOX:

216

FOLDER:

2138

DESCRIPTION:

Reilly, John

DATE:

04/16/86



2138

Witnesses:

John Korman
Alvin Grandon

N. 122

Counsel,

Filed

16 day of April 1886

Pleads

Chitquash

THE PEOPLE

vs.

John Reilly

Burglary in the 1st Degree.

[Sections 408, 409, 410, 411, 412, 413, 414, 415, 416, 417, 418, 419, 420, 421, 422, 423, 424, 425, 426, 427, 428, 429, 430, 431, 432, 433, 434, 435, 436, 437, 438, 439, 440, 441, 442, 443, 444, 445, 446, 447, 448, 449, 450, 451, 452, 453, 454, 455, 456, 457, 458, 459, 460, 461, 462, 463, 464, 465, 466, 467, 468, 469, 470, 471, 472, 473, 474, 475, 476, 477, 478, 479, 480, 481, 482, 483, 484, 485, 486, 487, 488, 489, 490, 491, 492, 493, 494, 495, 496, 497, 498, 499, 500, 501, 502, 503, 504, 505, 506, 507, 508, 509, 510, 511, 512, 513, 514, 515, 516, 517, 518, 519, 520, 521, 522, 523, 524, 525, 526, 527, 528, 529, 530, 531, 532, 533, 534, 535, 536, 537, 538, 539, 540, 541, 542, 543, 544, 545, 546, 547, 548, 549, 550, 551, 552, 553, 554, 555, 556, 557, 558, 559, 560, 561, 562, 563, 564, 565, 566, 567, 568, 569, 570, 571, 572, 573, 574, 575, 576, 577, 578, 579, 580, 581, 582, 583, 584, 585, 586, 587, 588, 589, 590, 591, 592, 593, 594, 595, 596, 597, 598, 599, 600, 601, 602, 603, 604, 605, 606, 607, 608, 609, 610, 611, 612, 613, 614, 615, 616, 617, 618, 619, 620, 621, 622, 623, 624, 625, 626, 627, 628, 629, 630, 631, 632, 633, 634, 635, 636, 637, 638, 639, 640, 641, 642, 643, 644, 645, 646, 647, 648, 649, 650, 651, 652, 653, 654, 655, 656, 657, 658, 659, 660, 661, 662, 663, 664, 665, 666, 667, 668, 669, 670, 671, 672, 673, 674, 675, 676, 677, 678, 679, 680, 681, 682, 683, 684, 685, 686, 687, 688, 689, 690, 691, 692, 693, 694, 695, 696, 697, 698, 699, 700, 701, 702, 703, 704, 705, 706, 707, 708, 709, 710, 711, 712, 713, 714, 715, 716, 717, 718, 719, 720, 721, 722, 723, 724, 725, 726, 727, 728, 729, 730, 731, 732, 733, 734, 735, 736, 737, 738, 739, 740, 741, 742, 743, 744, 745, 746, 747, 748, 749, 750, 751, 752, 753, 754, 755, 756, 757, 758, 759, 760, 761, 762, 763, 764, 765, 766, 767, 768, 769, 770, 771, 772, 773, 774, 775, 776, 777, 778, 779, 780, 781, 782, 783, 784, 785, 786, 787, 788, 789, 790, 791, 792, 793, 794, 795, 796, 797, 798, 799, 800, 801, 802, 803, 804, 805, 806, 807, 808, 809, 810, 811, 812, 813, 814, 815, 816, 817, 818, 819, 820, 821, 822, 823, 824, 825, 826, 827, 828, 829, 830, 831, 832, 833, 834, 835, 836, 837, 838, 839, 840, 841, 842, 843, 844, 845, 846, 847, 848, 849, 850, 851, 852, 853, 854, 855, 856, 857, 858, 859, 860, 861, 862, 863, 864, 865, 866, 867, 868, 869, 870, 871, 872, 873, 874, 875, 876, 877, 878, 879, 880, 881, 882, 883, 884, 885, 886, 887, 888, 889, 890, 891, 892, 893, 894, 895, 896, 897, 898, 899, 900, 901, 902, 903, 904, 905, 906, 907, 908, 909, 910, 911, 912, 913, 914, 915, 916, 917, 918, 919, 920, 921, 922, 923, 924, 925, 926, 927, 928, 929, 930, 931, 932, 933, 934, 935, 936, 937, 938, 939, 940, 941, 942, 943, 944, 945, 946, 947, 948, 949, 950, 951, 952, 953, 954, 955, 956, 957, 958, 959, 960, 961, 962, 963, 964, 965, 966, 967, 968, 969, 970, 971, 972, 973, 974, 975, 976, 977, 978, 979, 980, 981, 982, 983, 984, 985, 986, 987, 988, 989, 990, 991, 992, 993, 994, 995, 996, 997, 998, 999, 1000]

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,

Dr. A. W. Hoff District Attorney.
pleads May 3.

A True Bill.

J. H. Brown

Foreman

S. I. Two years.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0632

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0633

Police Court—10th District.

City and County } ss.:
of New York, }

of No. 5 Water Street, aged 2 years,
occupation Housekeeper being duly sworn.

deposes and says, that the premises No 5 Water Street,
in the City and County aforesaid, the said being a four story brick
dwelling house the fourth floor of
and which was occupied by deponent as a dwelling apartments
and in which there was at the time a human being, by name Annie
Grandam

were BURGLARIOUSLY entered by means of forcibly opening a
door in one of the rooms of said
apartments and entering therein

on the 9th day of April 1886 in the day time, and the
following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz:

One black silk dress value of Fifty Dollars
One diagonal coat and vest "Twenty-
" Turkish Rug value "Five "
" silk Umbrella "Three "
the whole being of the amount
and value of Eighty Eight
Dollars
\$88.00
100

the property of David Grandam & Annie Grandam
and deponent further says, that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid
BURGLARY was committed and the aforesaid property taken, stolen, and carried away by

John Reilly and another not
arrested

for the reasons following, to wit: at about the hour of

8.15 on the above described date deponent
saw David Grandam pass through
above the said door and securely
locked and fastened the other door
of said apartments; at the hour
8.35 on said date deponent who
was sleeping in said room was
awakened by hearing a noise

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0634

in said room and saw the said John Kelly and the said unknown man in the said room. Said Kelly had at the time the said Umbrella in his hand and said Unknown had the said dress and upon deponent perceiving the said John Kelly and said unknown man ran down stairs.

Deponent is further informed by John Kommer her present that he Kommer hearing a cry of "Stop thief." came out of a room on the 2nd floor of said premises and saw the said John Kelly and said unknown man running down the stairs and immediately seized hold of them the unknown ^{man} breaking away and escaping and informant held said John Kelly until the Officer Ryan came and arrested him.

Sworn to before me
this 9th day of April 1886. Annie Graddock.

Daniel C. Kelly
Police Justice

Police Court District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Degree.

vs.

Burglary

Dated

188

Magistrate.

Officer.

Clerk.

Witnesses:

Committed in default of \$

Bail.

Bailed by

No.

Street.

**POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL**

0635

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

aged 40 years, occupation Restaurant Keeper of No.

5 Water

Street, being duly sworn deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Annie Brandon
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponents' own
knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 9
day of April 1886

John Kommer

Sam'l O'Reilly
Police Justice.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

aged 28 years, occupation Fruit Dealer of No.

5 Water

Street, being duly sworn deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Annie Brandon
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponents' own
knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 9
day of April 1886

David Brandon

Sam'l O'Reilly
Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0636

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY { ss
OF NEW YORK.

John Reilly being duly examined before the under-
signed, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is h^{is} right to
make a statement in relation to the charge against h^{im}; that the statement is designed to
enable h^{im} if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h^{im}
that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h^{is} waiver cannot be used
against h^{im} on the trial.

Question What is your name?

Answer

Question. How old are you?

Answer

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

Question What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the
testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your
exculpation?

Answer.

I am not guilty-
John Reilly
March

Taken before me this

day of

March 1886

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0637

BAILED,

No. 1, by

Residence

Street.

No. 2, by

Residence

Street.

No. 3, by

Residence

Street.

No. 4, by

Residence

Street.

Police Court

District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
THE COMPLAINANT OF

THE COMPLAINANT OF

James H. H. H. H.

John H. H. H.

APR 12 1886

Dated

1886

Magistrate

John H. H. H.

Magistrate

Witnesses

No. 1

John H. H. H.

John H. H. H.

John H. H. H.

John H. H. H.

John H. H. H.

John H. H. H.

John H. H. H.

John H. H. H.

John H. H. H.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of 200 Hundred Dollars of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated 1886 Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated 1886 Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated 1886 Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0638

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

John A. Riddell

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

John A. Riddell

of the CRIME OF BURGLARY IN THE ~~second~~ DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

John A. Riddell

late of the *First* — Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York
aforesaid, on the *ninth* — day of *April* —, in the year
of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-*five*, with force and arms, about the
hour of *eight* o'clock in the *day* time of the same day, at the Ward,
City and County aforesaid, the dwelling house of one

David Agardan —

there situate, feloniously and burglariously did break into and enter, there being then and there some
human being, to wit: *one Annie Agardan*,

within the said dwelling house, with intent to commit some crime therein, to wit: the goods, chattels
and personal property of the said *David Agardan*,

in the said dwelling house then and there being, then and there feloniously and burglariously to steal,
take and carry away.

against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People
of the State of New York and their dignity,

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0639

SECOND COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said

John Bailey—

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE *second* DEGREE, committed as follows :

The said *John Bailey*—

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid,
at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, in the *day* time of the said day, with force and arms,

one dress of the value of fifty
dollars, of the goods, chattels and
personal property of one David
Agandon, and —

one coat of the value of twenty
five dollars, one vest of the
value of five dollars, one rug
of the value of five dollars, and
one umbrella of the value of
three dollars, and one dress of
the value of twenty dollars, —
of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

David Agandon, —

in the dwelling house of the said

David Agandon, —

there situate, then and there being found, from the dwelling house aforesaid, then and there feloniously
did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and
against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

David J. Martin,

District Attorney

0640

BOX:

216

FOLDER:

2138

DESCRIPTION:

Reilly, Peter

DATE:

04/21/86



2138

Mary Doling

James P. McKee & Company

Sept 24th 1880
Dear Sir
Lancaster, Pa.
1880

1912

Filed

Pleads,

Filed 21 day of April 1886
Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

25.

Peter Reilly

Grand Larceny, 2^d Degree.
(From the Person.)
[Sections 528, 531, — Penal Code].

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,

District Attorney.

A True Bill.

James H. Brown

Apr 21/86.
Foreman.

Headsbury

Living with

Apr 26 / 08. 75, 26

0641

0642

Police Court—First District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County } ss.
of New York,of No. 46 Lynch St Brooklyn Street, aged 26 years,
occupation Housekeeper being duly sworndeposes and says, that on the 15th day of April 1886 at the City of New
York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession andperson of deponent, in the day time, the following property viz:

Good and Lawful Money of the United
States consisting of One five dollar bill
of the value of Five Dollars and a
Pocket book

the property of

Deponent

and that this deponent
has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen,
and carried away by Peter Reilly (now here)

from the fact that deponent was walking
down Broadway and at the corner of
Thomas Street deponent felt some thing
strike deponent's left arm and deponent
immediately put her deponent's hand into
the left hand pocket of deponent's coat
worn by deponent as a portion of deponent's
bodily clothing and deponent missed
the aforesaid Pocket book containing
said money and deponent immediately
turned around and saw the said defendant
alongside of deponent and defendant started
to run and deponent caught hold of defendant
and asked him the defendant what he had

Signed to before me, this

Notary

Notary Justice

0643

in his defendant's hand, and the defendant
handed deponent the pocket book con-
taining said money, and deponent
positively identifies said pocket book
handed to deponent by said defendant as the
pocket book containing the aforesaid
money which was feloniously taken stolen
and carried away from possession and
person of deponent.

Sworn to before me this
17 day of April 1886 } Mary Doling
J. W. Patterson Police Justice

0644

Sec. 198—200.

182

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY
OF NEW YORK

Peter Reilly being duly examined before the under-
signed, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is h is right to
make a statement in relation to the charge against h him; that the statement is designed to
enable h him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h him
that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h is waiver cannot be used
against h him on the trial.

Question What is your name?

Answer

Question. How old are you?

Answer

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

Question What is your business or profession?

Answer

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the
testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your
exculpation?

Answer.

I Am Not guilty of the charge
that is all I have to say

/ Peter Reilly

Taken before me this

day of

1881

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0645

BAILED,
No. 1, by _____
Residence _____
Street _____
No. 2, by _____
Residence _____
Street _____
No. 3, by _____
Residence _____
Street _____
No. 4, by _____
Residence _____
Street _____

Police Court

1st District

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Henry William
vs.
John Henry
Larson

RECEIVED
APR 19 1886
OFFICE

Date

April 17 1886

Residence _____
Street _____

No. 3, by _____

Residence _____

John Henry
Larson
Magistrate

Precinct

No. 4, by _____

Residence _____

Street _____

Witnesses

No. _____

Street _____

No. _____

Street _____

No. _____

Street _____

\$ 1000.00

to answer

G. J.

Conrad

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Hundred Dollars and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated April 17 1886 Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated _____ 1886 Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated _____ 1886 Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0646

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Peter Reddy

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

- Peter Reddy -
of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY in the *second* degree, committed as follows:

The said

Peter Reddy

late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the
seventeenth day of *April*, in the year of our Lord one thousand
eight hundred and eighty-*five*, in the *day* time of the said day, at the Ward, City and
County aforesaid, with force and arms, *he did steal and take away*

the value of one dollar.

One Promissory Note — for the payment of money, the same being then and there
due and unsatisfied, and of the kind known as *United States Treasury Notes*, of the
denomination of *Five* dollars, and of the value of *Five* dollars,

and one Promissory Note — for the payment of money, the same being then and there
due and unsatisfied, and of the kind known as *Bank Notes*, of the denomination of
Five dollars, and of the value of *Five* dollars.

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one *Mary D. Smith*
on the person of the said *Mary D. Smith*
then and there being found, from the person of the said *Mary D. Smith*
then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made
and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature

0647

BOX:

216

FOLDER:

2138

DESCRIPTION:

Richmond, James

DATE:

04/09/86



2138

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0648

No. 47- Geo. P. Lee 493

Counsel,

Filed 9 day of April 1886

Plends *Equity with heart*

with heart

THE PEOPLE

vs.

B

James A. Richmond

Richmond

Section 172

Section 58, Code of Criminal Procedure, act of 1882

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,

District Attorney.

A True Bill.

Geo. P. Lee

Foreman

Witnesses:

Chas. B. Martin

Bailed in \$20,000
by address furnished
to Stark & Co.
Birmingham, Ala.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0649

N. Y. GENERAL SESSIONS.

-----X
T H E P E O P L E

vs

JAMES A. RICHMOND indicted :
with JACOB SHARP, JAMES W. :
POSHAY, THOMAS B. KERR, JOHN :
KEENAN, ROBERT H. DELACEY :
& WILLIAM M. MALONEY. :
-----X

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss:

James A. Richmond being duly sworn doth
depose and say, in addition to the facts stated in a for-
mer affidavit, as follows:

I was not arrested in this case on any preliminary
warrant nor was there any preliminary examination had be-
fore a Magistrate whatever, by which I could learn the
nature of the charge against me, or the evidence by which
it could be substantiated.

It is true that the names of certain witnesses are
endorsed on the indictment in this case, but they do not
even suggest to me, nor can I conjecture therefrom, what
may possibly have been the evidence given before the Grand
Jury, which I now seek to obtain, and I therefore derived
no advantage from the fact that such names are given.

I further state that I am informed and verily be-
lieve that the endorsement on the back of the indictment,
purporting to give the names of the witnesses who appeared

**POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL**

0650

before the Grand Jury and gave the evidence of which the indictment against me is predicated, are not all the witnesses who thus appeared before such Grand Jury.

Although John Keenan, Robert H. DeLacy and William M. Maloney, three of the above named defendants, are jointly indicted with the other defendants herein, and have not yet been arrested, yet the fact that the said last named persons are indicted is well and publicly known. Copies of the said indictments, with the names of said defendants named therein, have been furnished the other defendants by the District Attorney, and the fact that such indictments have been found, including the names of said Keenan, DeLacey, and Maloney, has been published in the newspapers in this City.

I therefore ask for an additional order requiring the District Attorney to furnish me with all the names of such other witnesses.

Sworn to before me this :
17th day of November 1886. :

J. H. Sullivan
Notary Public
N.Y. Co

James A. Sullivan

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0651

N. Y. GENERAL SESSIONS.

THE PEOPLE

VS.

JAMES A. RICHMOND indicted with
others.

Copy.

AFFIDAVIT.

R. B. Marline Esq
Dist atty in

Please take notice that
the within is a copy of
the supplementary affidavit
in the above case

Yours in

William Fullerton

City for aff
Return

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0652

Court of General Sessions of the
Peace, in and for the City
and County of New York.

The People of the State
of New York,
against
James A. Richmond

The Grand Jury of the
City and County of New York, for
this indictment accuse James
A. Richmond of the crime of
Perjury, committed as follows:

Wherefore to wit: on the
thirtieth day of June, in the
year of our Lord, one thousand
eight hundred and eighty four,
at the City of New York, in the
County of New York, aforesaid,
a certain application and petition

of the Broadway and Nassau Railroad
a corporation duly organized and incorporated under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York,
Company for the consent and
permission of the Common Council
of the City of New York to construct,
maintain, operate and use a street
and car railroad for public use
in the convenience of persons and
property in cars, upon and along

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0653

The surface of certain streets, avenues,
and viaducts in the said city,
together with the necessary con-
nections, sidewalks, bridges, tunnels,
tunnels and viaducts, and
for the convenient working of
the said road, was duly made
and presented to the said Common
Council.

And the said James A. Richmond,
late of the City and County of New York,
before the making and presenting of
the said petition and application
to the said Common Council, in
manner aforesaid, to wit: on the
twentieth day of June, in the
year aforesaid, well knowing that
the same was then about to be,
and would be so presented and
made to the said Common Council,
at the City and County of New York,
with force and arms, unlawfully
intending and corruptly did
procure and cause to
be offered to one Charles B. White,
who was then, and at all the
times herein mentioned, a public
officer, and a person exercising
the functions of a public officer,

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0654

to wit: an alderman, and a member
of the Board of Aldermen of the
City of New York, and as such,
being then and there a member of
the Common Council aforesaid, a
large sum of money of great value,
and did feloniously give and deliver
to the said Charles C. White as
promise and agreement for the
said sum of money, as a bribe,
with intent thereby to influence
him the said Charles C. White,
as being such member of the said
common council, in respect to his
acts, vote and proceedings in the
exercise of his powers and functions
as such member of the common
council aforesaid, upon and con-
cerning the said petition and
application, when the same should
have been so made and presented
to the said Common Council, and
to induce and procure him the
said Charles C. White, as being
such member of the said common
council as aforesaid, to act, vote,
proceed, and exercise his powers and
functions as such member of
the said common council, upon and

concerning the said petition and
application, when the same should
have been made and presented, in
favor of the applicant and against
the said Common Council, of
the consent and permission of the
Sovereign, in and by the said
petition and application, granted
and applied for, against the form
of the Statute in such case made
and provided, and against the laws
of the People of the State of New
York, and their signatures

Second Count:

And the Grand Jury
Sovereign, by this indictment
further accuse the said James O.
Richmond of the same crime of
Bribery, committed as follows:

Wherefore, to wit: on the
said thirtieth day of June, in the
year of our Lord one thousand
eight hundred and eighty four,
at the City of New York, in the

County of New York, I present, a
certain petition and application
of the Grand Central Terminal
a corporation duly organized and incorporated under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York,
Company for the consent and
permission of the Common Council
of the City of New York, to con-
struct, maintain, operate and
use a street surface road
for public use in the convergence
of persons and property in cars,
upon and along the surface of
certain streets, avenues and
highways in the said city,
together with the necessary
connections, switches, sidings,
turnouts, turntables and related
plants for the convenient working
of the said road, now duly made
and presented to the said Common
Council.

And the said James C. Richmond,
late of the City and County of New
York, before the making and
presenting of the said petition
and application to the said Common
Council, in manner aforesaid, to wit:
on the said twentieth day of June,
in the year aforesaid, well knowing
that the same were then about to

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0657

✓
he, and would be so made and
presented to the said Common
Council, at the City and County
and County of Nevada, with force
and arms, unlawfully, indecently
and corruptly, did feloniously
promise and offer, and cause to
be promised and offered to one
Charles C. White, who was then
and there an Alderman, and a
member of the Board of Aldermen
of the City of Nevada, and as such,
a member of the said Common Council,
having been before then duly elected
as such member, and having duly
qualified, and taken this oath, and
entered upon his duty as such
member, a large sum of money
of great value, with intent thereby
to influence the vote, opinion, judgment
and action of him the said
Charles C. White, as such member
of the said Common Council, on
the matter now and proceeding
upon, and concerning the said
petition and application, when the
same should have been so made
and presented to the said Common
Council, the same being then and

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0658

There is matter, cause and ground of
which might have been at some time
the transfer before the said Common
Council, and before him the said
Charles C. White, in his official capacity
as such member thereof, and to
induce and procure him the said
Charles C. White, to bring such
matter to the said Common Council
as aforesaid, to act, vote, ^{and} proceed as such
member of the said common Council,
upon and concerning the said petition
and application, when the same
should have been so made and
presented in favor of the granting
and giving of the said Common
Council, to the consent and remission
so as aforesaid in and by the said
petition and application, granted
and applied for; against the form
of the Statute in such case made
and provided, and against the
peace of the People of the State
of New York, and their dignity.

Randolph Martin,

District Attorney.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0659

there a matter, cause and proceeding
which might have been at some time
the subject of the said Common
Council, and before him the said
Charles C. White in his official capacity
as such member thereof, and to
induce and procure him the said
Charles C. White, to become such
member of the said Common Council
as aforesaid, to act, vote, ^{and} proceed as such
member of the said Common Council,
upon and concerning the said petition
and application, when the same
should have been so made and
presented in favor of the granting
and giving of the said Common
Council, to the consent and permission
so as aforesaid in and by the said
petition and application, made
and applied for; against the form
of the Statute in such case made
and provided, and against the
peace of the People of the State
of New York, and their dignity.

Randolph Martin,

District Attorney

0660

BOX:

216

FOLDER:

2138

DESCRIPTION:

Ritter, George

DATE:

04/15/86



2138

0661

BOX:

216

FOLDER:

2138

DESCRIPTION:

Donnelly, Bella

DATE:

04/15/86



2138

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0662

No 90

Amicus

Counsel,

Filed

day of

1886

Pleads

in Reply

THE PEOPLE

vs.

George Ritter

and

Bella Tonnally

ASSAULT IN THE FIRST DEGREE, ETC.
(Sections 217 and 218, Penal Code).

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,

District Attorney.

A True Bill.

J. P. Brown
Notary Public
Notary Public
Notary Public

Witnesses:

Christina Mann
Robert Roberts - Officer

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0663

Police Court—2^d District.

City and County } ss.:
of New York,

of No. 121 West 25th Street, aged 26 years,

occupation Family being duly sworn

deposes and says, that on the 10th day of April 1888 at the City of New

York, in the County of New York, in the Town of San Juan

She was violently and feloniously ASSAULTED and BEATEN by George Ritter & Pellu Donnelly (both known).
That the said Ritter struck deponent on the breast with his clenched hand. Seized hold of deponent's arms and held deponent while the said Pellu Donnelly, maliciously and purposely, cut and stabbed deponent in the fore part with a table knife. Then came there held in hands of the said Pellu Donnelly, cutting deponent's head.

with the felonious intent to take the life of deponent, or to do him grievous bodily harm; and without any justification on the part of the said assailant :

Wherefore this deponent prays that the said assailant may be apprehended and bound to answer for the above assault, etc., and be dealt with according to law.

Sworn to before me, this 11th day

of April 1888

Christina Mann
John A. Hinde Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0664

Sec. 198-200.

CITY AND COUNTY { SS
OF NEW YORK,

2 District Police Court.

George Ritter being duly examined before the under-
signed, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is h *no* right to
make a statement in relation to the charge against h *no*; that the statement is designed to
enable h *no* if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h *no*
that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h *no* waiver cannot be used
against h *no* on the trial.

Question What is your name?

Answer

Question How old are you?

Answer

Question Where were you born?

Answer

Question Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer

Question What is your business or profession?

Answer

Question Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the
testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your
exculpation?

Answer

George Ritter

Taken before me this

day of

188

Police Justice.

**POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL**

0665

Sec. 198-200.

2

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY
OF NEW YORK, ss

Bella Donnelly - being duly examined before the under-
signed, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is *h^{er}* right to
make a statement in relation to the charge against *h^{er}*; that the statement is designed to
enable *h^{er}* if *h^{er}* see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against *h^{er}*
that *h^{er}* is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that *h^{er}* waiver cannot be used
against *h^{er}* on the trial.

Question What is your name?

Answer

Bella Donnelly -

Question How old are you?

Answer

24 Years -

Question Where were you born?

Answer

New York -

Question Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer

121 West 20th St 18 days -

Question What is your business or profession?

Answer

Domestic

Question Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the
testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your
exculpation?

Answer

I am not guilty

Bella Donnelly

Taken before me this

day of

1888

Police Justice.

0666

Residence.

Dated _____ 188 _____ *Police Justice*

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0667

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

*George Ritter and
Bella Donnelly*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

George Ritter and Bella Donnelly
of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE FIRST DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said *George and Bella, each* -

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the
- *ten* day of *April*, - in the year of our Lord
one thousand eight hundred and eighty-*five*, with force of arms, at the City and
County aforesaid, in and upon the body of one *Christina Main*,
in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously did make an assault
and *then* the said *Christina*, -
with a certain *knife* -

which the said *George and Bella* -
in *their* right hands then and there had and held, the same being a deadly and
dangerous weapon, wilfully and feloniously did beat, strike, stab, cut and wound,

with intent *then* the said *Christina* -
thereby then and there feloniously and wilfully to kill, against the form of the statute
in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of
New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT:

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said
George Ritter and Bella Donnelly
of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said *George and Bella, each* -

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the
year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and
upon the body of one *Christina Main* -
in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously did wilfully and
wrongfully make an assault, and *then* the said *Christina* -

with a certain *knife* -

which *they* the said *George and Bella* -
in *their* right hands then and there had and held, the same being an
instrument likely to produce grievous bodily harm, then and
there feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully beat, strike, stab, cut and wound,
against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the
peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

**POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL**

0668

THIRD COUNT---

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said *George Ritter and Bella Donnelly* of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said *George and Bella, each* --

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and upon the body of one *Christina Martin* --

in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully make an assault, and *then* the said *Christina* --

in and upon the *head and breast* of *her* the said *Christina* did then and there feloniously, wilfully and wrongfully strike, beat, *and* *bruise* and wound, and did thereby then and there feloniously, wilfully and wrongfully inflict upon *her* the said *Christina* grievous bodily harm, to the great damage of the said *Christina* against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,
District Attorney.