BOX:
293
FOLDER:
2788
DESCRIPTION:
McParlan, James

DATE:
01/19/88

2788

256

Counsel,

Filed, 19 day of Jany 188

Pleads, Not Guilty (20)

THE PEOPLE,

vs.

B

James McParlane

Pal (2) Fine 25 Mts

VIOLATION OF EXCISE LAW.
(Keeping Open on Sunday.)
(III. Rev. Stat. (7th Edition), page 1989, Sec. 5)

JOHN R. FELLOWS.
~~RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,~~

Feby 24/8 District Attorney.

Indictment Dismissed

A True Bill.

J. J. Moore

Foreman.

Feby 24-88

Witnesses:

Officer Kane

Form an examination of the witnesses I do not believe that a conviction can be obtained and therefore recommend that the defendant be discharged on his own recognizance

William Forster
Justice of the Peace District 4

Filed in Criminal Court
Feby 19 1888
John Wrigley
Clerk

Witnesses:
Officer Kane

Sec. 198—200.

5 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

James McParlan being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name.

Answer. James McParlan

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 36 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Ireland

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 1687 Third avenue, and three years

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Saloon Keeper.

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty; Of held, I demand a trial by Jury,

his
James ✗ McParlan
mark

Taken before me this 9 *day of* January 188 8

Thos J Underwood *Police Justice.*

BAILED,

No. 1, by John Hunt

Residence 402 E 102 — Street.

No. 2, by

Residence Street,

No. 3, by

Residence Street,

No. 4, by

Residence Street.

Evidence in this case insufficient

~~for trial~~ to convict

Date January 30th 188 8 William Forster

Ass't Dist. Att'y.

Police Court-- 5 District. 81

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

James H. Kane

vs.

James McParlan

2

3

4

Offence Grand Larceny 2d Degree

Dated January 9 1888

Germain Magistrate.

Kane Officer.

17 Precinct.

Witnesses

No. Street.

No. Street.

No. Street.

$ 100 to answer

RECEIVED. JAN 12 1888 DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

Bailed

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of One *Hundred Dollars,* and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of *the City Prison of New York, until he give such bail.*

John J. Brennan Police Justice.

Dated January 9 188 8

I have admitted the above-named defendant

to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

John J. Brennan Police Justice.

Dated January 9 188 8

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named

guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.

Police Justice.

Dated 188

Ripple
vs
James McParlan

Aug 8th of Sept. '88.
Went through side door, then down
which led into the room — rear
of saloon — the room in the rear
I worked in through there room into
the bar.
Found several persons — the
rear room subject — the bar room
front door was locked as was the
side door. everything was closed.
I asked for drink two refused
I walked off. for Exposure of bar,
2 to 2 pm.

Jeff.
James McParlan
Was off. at 1638 S. Ave.
Plumber was working there in the rear room
we had pipes repaired.
Plumber were working — I out I office
come in plumber had gone out.
Waiter then for business.
Door creek bar as I do not keep open
for business. The working — the bar room

+ the plumber was in the room. Calvin is always clean.

Mr. John Hamilton, Plumber

Came at various times previous to the time named repairing gas-water-closet - closer of bathroom.

I first saw him looking when I went down to the gas - water closet room. He was busy for a hours. I left the room after.

There was nothing in the place during all the time. + as Kelly was done.

Brother of Alfred.

Was present at some time of murder same statement

0116

Excise Violation-Keeping Open on Sunday. — POLICE COURT- 5 DISTRICT.

City and County of New York, } ss.

of the 29th Precinct Police James F. Kane Street,

of the City of New York, being duly sworn, deposes and says, that on SUNDAY the 8 day of January 1888, in the City of New York, in the County of New York, James McParlan (now here) being then and there in lawful charge of the premises No. 1687 Third avenue Street, a place duly licensed for the sale of strong and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, to be drunk upon the premises DID NOT KEEP SAID PLACE CLOSED contrary to and in violation of the statute in such case made and provided.

WHEREFORE, deponent prays that said James McParlan may be ~~arrested and~~ dealt with according to law.

Sworn to before me, this 9 day of January 1888, } James F. Kane

John J. Norman Police Justice.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,
Plaintiffs

against

James McFarlan
Defendant.

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York. by this indictment accuse the above named defendant of the CRIME OF KEEPING OPEN ON SUNDAY a place licensed for the SALE OF STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINES, ALE AND BEER, committed as follows:

The said defendant late of the City of New York, in the County of New York, aforesaid, on the eighth day of January in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-eight, the same being the first day of the week, commonly called and known as Sunday, being then and there in charge of, and having the control of a certain place there situate, which was then duly licensed as a place for the sale of strong and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, with force and arms, at the City and County aforesaid, the said place, so licensed as aforesaid, unlawfully did not close, and keep closed, and on the said day, the said place so licensed as aforesaid, unlawfully did open, and cause and procure, and suffer and permit, to be open, and to remain open; against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

John R. Fellows,
~~RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,~~ **District Attorney.**

BOX:
293
FOLDER:
2788
DESCRIPTION:
McQuade, Thomas

DATE:
01/06/88

2788

0119

BOX:
293
FOLDER:
2788
DESCRIPTION:
Marty, Adophus

DATE:
01/06/88

2788

*59
1 – B & S.
A. p. q. cm

Witnesses:

H. S. Randolph

Counsel,

Filed, 6 day of Jan 1888

Pleads, 1. Not guilty (9)

1 Bail
Sep Cas

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Thomas McQuade

and

Adolphus Martin

Grand Larceny in the ~~2d~~ degree

[Sections 528, 531 ~~and 550~~ Penal Code].

JOHN R. FELLOWS.
~~RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,~~

District Attorney.

Jany 16 1888 Not guilty plead

Plead Guilty P.L.

A True Bill.

Jany 17/88

Jany 9/88.

Foreman.

No. 2

cp. 2 Plead Guilty

State Reformatory Elmira

Jany 17 1888

17

County of General Sessions

The People vs

Thomas McDonald

City and County of New York ss

Richard Shortell

being duly sworn says that he resides at 32 & 34 St this City, that he has known the above Thos McDonald for Eleven years last past and who to his knowledge has always been an exemplary man in all respects, that this is defendants knowledge the first time that defendant was ever in trouble of any kind or under arrest, that defendant is acquainted with many of the neighbors who knew defendant well and the general opinion entertained by them is that he was a law abiding honest & respectable Citizen

Sworn before me this 17 day Jany 1898.

Chas R. Logan
Comr of Deds N.Y. Co.

Richard Shortell

N.Y. General Sessions

The People &c

vs

Thos McQuade

Affidavit of Character

BLAKE & SULLIVAN,
COUNSELLORS AT LAW,
No. 71 CENTRE STREET, N.Y.

0123

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

POLICE COURT, 1st DISTRICT.

William Flynn

of ~~No.~~ The 2nd Precinct Police ~~Street~~, aged 44 years,

occupation Police Officer being duly sworn deposes and says

that on the 22nd day of December 188

at the City of New York, in the County of New York, Deponent arrested

Thomas McQuade and Adolph Martin

both now here on complaint of one

Harry E Raymond for Committing

Grand Larceny in stealing Some

Coats & vests and about thirty pairs

of Pantaloons

Wherefore deponent prays that

the said defendants may be held for

examination in order to enable deponent

to procure sufficient evidence

William Flynn

Sworn to before me, this 23 day of Dec 188

Police Justice.

Police Court, 1st District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

William Flynn

vs.

Thomas McQuade

Adolph Martin,

AFFIDAVIT.

Grand Larceny

16 gro a s 329 C 35th St

17 – U S Ca 122nd St & 3rd Ave

Dated Dec 20 188

Kilbreth Magistrate.

Flynn Officer.

Witness,

N for rec

~~23~~ 23 ab

J. Bro

Disposition,

New York, Jany 16th 1888

Hon. H. A. Gildersleeve,

Dear Sir:

This boy Thos McQuade previous character we believe to have been good, and we do not consider him a bad boy, he was made the tool of older and more scheming boys namely, Joseph Barney and Adolph us Martin.

We would suggest as light a sentence as possible, for even a suspension of sentence might serve the ends of Justice

Yours very respectfully

A. Raymond & Co

0127

Police Court— 1st District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County of New York, } ss.

Harry G Randolph

of No. 254 & 256 Broadway Street, aged 31 years,

occupation Cashier being duly sworn

deposes and says, that on the 7th day of December 188 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in the day time, the following property viz:

Two Coats and one Vest and about Twenty Pairs of Pantaloons altogether of the value of of about Two Hundred Dollars

the property of Aaron Raymond & Co In the Care and Custody of deponent

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away by Thomas McQuade and Adolph Martin (both now here) from the fact that deponent has been missing goods from time to time and the defendant McQuade was in the employment of said firm of Aaron Raymond & Co and said McQuade admitted and confessed to deponent in the presence of Officer William Flynn of the 2nd Police Precinct that the defendant McQuade had stolen said property and had pawned some of said property and had given the pawnticket to the defendant Martin and that the defendant Martin had pawned some of the said property

Deponent is informed by Officer William Flynn

Sworn to before me, this day of 188

Police Justice

that he found a number of pawntickets in the defendant Martin's possession representing a portion of said property which deponent has since seen and identified as a portion of the property taken stolen and carried away as aforesaid.

Wherefore deponent prays that the said defendants may be dealt with as the law directs.

Sworn to before me this
23rd day of December 1887

Harry F. Randolph

Police Justice

0129

CITY AND COUNTY
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

William Flynn

aged 44 years, occupation Police Officer of ~~No.~~
The 2nd Precinct Police ~~Street,~~ being duly sworn deposes and
says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Harry F Randolph
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponents' own
knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 23rd
day of Dec 188 } William Flynn

Police Justice.

0130

Sec. 198—200.

1st District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss

Thomas McQuade being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Thomas McQuade

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 16 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York City

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 329 East 39th St 10 years

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Errand Boy

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am guilty

Thomas McQuade.

Taken before me this 23d *day of* Mar 188

Wm Divver

Police Justice.

0131

Sec. 198—200.

1st District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss

Adolphus Martin being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him, that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Adolphus Martin

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 17 Years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York City

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 240 Ninth Avenue one year

Question What is your business or profession?

Answer. Helper on an Express Wagon

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am guilty

Adolphus Martin

Taken before me this 28th *day of* Feb 188 } *W. H. Walling*

Police Justice.

Police Court— 1st District 2147

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

1 Harry S. Landon
274 Broadway
2 Thomas McQuade
Archibald Martin
3
4

Offence: Grand Larceny

Dated Dec 23 1887

Kilbreth Magistrate.

William Flynn Officer.

2nd Precinct.

Witnesses Dominick Goodwin
No. 274 & 273 Broadway Street.
Call the Officer
No. Street.
No. Street.
$ 1000 to answer G.S.
Com

RECEIVED
DEC 31 1887
DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

BAILED,
No. 1, by Patrick Ingle
Residence 870 - 2d Ave Street.
No. 2, by
Residence Street.
No. 3, by
Residence Street.
No. 4, by
Residence Street.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendants *guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of* 10 *Hundred Dollars,* Each *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated Dec 23 *188*7 Kilbreth *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named Defendants *to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.*

Dated Dec 27 *188*7 Kilbreth *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named .... *guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.*

Dated .... *188* .... *Police Justice.*

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,
against
Thomas McDonald
and Adolphus Martin

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse Thomas McDonald and Adolphus Martin of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE second DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said Thomas McDonald and Adolphus Martin, both — late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the seventh day of December, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-seven, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, two coats of the value of fifteen dollars each, one vest of the value of four dollars, and thirty pairs of trousers of the value of six dollars each pair,

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Aaron Raymond,

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said

— Adolphus Martin —

of the CRIME OF CRIMINALLY RECEIVING STOLEN PROPERTY, committed as follows:

The said Adolphus Martin,

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

two rocks of the value of fifteen dollars each, one rock of the value of four dollars, and thirty pairs of trousers of the value of six dollars each pair, —

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Aaron Raymond, by one Thomas McDonald, and

by — certain other persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, then lately before feloniously stolen, taken and carried away from the said

Aaron Raymond

unlawfully and unjustly, did feloniously receive and have; the said

Adolphus Martin —

then and there well knowing the said goods, chattels and personal property to have been feloniously stolen, taken and carried away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

JOHN R. FELLOWS.
~~RANDOLPH BARTINE,~~

District Attorney.

0135

BOX:
293
FOLDER:
2788
DESCRIPTION:
Meehan, John

DATE:
01/09/88

2788

Witnesses:

Joseph Dorny
Oppie O'Brien

61. Ordered #61

Counsel,

Filed 9 day of Jany 188

Pleads,

53 Columns
Pens 6/6

THE PEOPLE

vs.

John Meehan

Grand Larceny, 1st Degree.
(From the Person.)
[Sections 528, 53 O, — Penal Code.]

JOHN R. FELLOWS.
~~RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,~~
District Attorney.

A True Bill.

Edmund L. Poor.
Jany 10/88 *Foreman.*

Plead G. L. Lee
S. S. Coozins 1/1 m

0137

Police Court— District. Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County of New York, } ss.

Joseph Dorsey

of No. 95 Forsyth Street, aged 38 years,

occupation Steveder being duly sworn

deposes and says, that on the 26 day of December 188 7 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession and person of deponent, in the Night time, the following property viz:

One double case gold watch and one gold plated Chain together the value of Fifty five Dollars ($55.00)

the property of deponent

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away by John Mehan (now here) from the fact that while deponent was walking along the Bowery near Canal street at about 8 O'clock P.M. aforesaid said defendant came up to deponent and grabbed said property from deponents left side vest pocket and ran away. Deponent pursued him and caused his arrest. Deponent is informed by Officer John J. O'Brien of the 4th Precinct that he arrested defendant on the Bowery near Hester st and at the same time picked up said property which was alongside of defendants foot

Joseph Dorsey

Sworn to before me, this day of 188

Police Justice.

#61. Bill ordered / 2144

Police Court— / **District.**

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Joseph Downey
No 9 Forsyth St

1 John Mehane

2

3

4 W. Jan 6 / 88

Offence Larceny (Felony)

Dated Dec 30 188 7

O'Reilly Magistrate.

O'Brien Officer.

8 Precinct.

Witnesses Clerk Office

No. Street.

No. Street.

No. Street.

$ 1000 to answer G.S.

Committed

BAILED,

No. 1, by

Residence Street.

No. 2, by

Residence Street.

No. 3, by

Residence Street.

No. 4, by

Residence Street.

RECEIVED
DEC 31 1887
DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named defendant *guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of* Ten *Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated Dec 30 *188*7 Saml. O'Reilly *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named .... to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated .... 188 .... Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named .... guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated .... 188 .... Police Justice.

0139

Sec. 198—200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

John Mehan being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. John Mehan

Question. How old are you?

Answer 33 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York City

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer No 230 Avenue A 2½ years

Question What is your business or profession?

Answer. Stone Cutter

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty

John Mehan

Taken before me this _____ *day of* _____ 188_

_____ *Police Justice.*

0140

CITY AND COUNTY
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

aged 29 years, occupation Police Officer of No. 8th Precinct Street, being duly sworn deposes and says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Joseph Dorsey and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponents' own knowledge.

John J. O'Brien

Sworn to before me, this 29
day of Dec 188 }

John J. O'Brien

Police Justice.

0141

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

John Meehan

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse John Meehan —

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY in the first degree, committed as follows:

The said John Meehan,

late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the twenty-sixth day of December, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-seven, in the night time of the said day, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, one watch of the value of fifty dollars, and one chain of the value of ~~fifty~~ five dollars,

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Joseph Downey — on the person of the said Joseph Downey — then and there being found, from the person of the said Joseph Downey — then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

John R. Fellows,
District Attorney

BOX:
293
FOLDER:
2788
DESCRIPTION:
Melville, William
DATE:
01/17/88

2788

0143

BOX:
293

FOLDER:
2788

DESCRIPTION:
Harris, John

DATE:
01/17/88

2788

209

McIlhenny

Witnesses:

Counsel,

Filed 17 day of Jany 1888

Pleads, Not guilty (W)

THE PEOPLE

vs.

William McIlville

John Harris

Burglary in the Second Degree.

[Sections 497, 506, 528 & 532.]

JOHN R. FELLOWS,
~~RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,~~
District Attorney.

Pr Jury 30. 1888.
Both tried & convicted Burg 3.
Each 5 P 3 yrs.

A True Bill.

J J Hocum

Foreman

Protem

The People
vs
William Melville
and
John Harris

Indicted for Burglary in
the Second Degree

Indictment filed Jany/88

Tried Jany 30/1888.

Before Hon Rufus B Cowing
and a jury

11

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE:

City and County of New York.

The People

vs.

William Melville & John Harris

Indocted for Burglary in the Second Degree

Indoctment filed, January, 1887.

Before, Hon. Rufus B.Cowing, and a Jury.

Tried, January 20th., 1888.

APPEARANCES:

Assistant District Attorney Bedford, for the People;

Mr. John Heinzleman, for the Defence.

ROSE GILMORE, the Complainant, testified that she lived at 51½ Thompson Street, and was a married

woman. On the night of the 12th of January, 1888, she left her rooms. She locked the door behind her. She went down to the oyster saloon to get some oysters, and then returned to her room. She was away about 15 minutes. When she returned to her room her door was pried open with a chisel. She went into the room and saw the defendants there. They were behind the door. When she went out she locked her trunk. When she returned it was pried open. There was $7 in the corner of the trunk, and six dollars in a toy safe. The $7 was gone. She locked the door, opened the window and halloaed for help. She got help in about fifteen minutes, when a police officer came along. He came up into the room and arrested the defendants.

UNDER CROSS--EXAMINATION, she testified that she was commonly known as Rosa. She lived at

Thompson street, between Broom and Spring in a furnished room house. Several women lived in the house. She had known one of the defendants for some time--Melville. She didn't know the other defendant, but she had seen him before. He had never been in her room. She had no one in the room on the night that she was robbed. She left the room at a quarter to 12 o'clock, and returned to it about 12, and found the defendants in her room. The defendant, Melville, said that they would knock her brains out if she didn't let them out. When she returned there was no beer on the table, and there were no glasses. She had never been convicted of any offence in her life time. There were several families in the house. She knew an Italian lady by the name of Genoak. She was not in her room when she returned. She came in about ten minutes after she returned, and was there when the police officer

came in. She lived next door. The complainat's occupation was that of a seamstress. She had not been drinking anything at all on the night in question.

OFFICER JAMES RYAN testified that he was attached to the Eighth Police Precinct. He was on patrol duty on the night of the 12th of January, 1888, and his tour of duty was about up when he heard of the burglary. It was then about 8 or 10 minutes past 12. He arrested the two men in the complainant's rooms. The door was ~~approxxxxxx~~ apparently pried open with a chisel or some instrument of that kind, and the trunk was broken open and lying on the floor, withing 4 or 5 feet of the door. A little Italian girl was in the room when he entered besides the two defendants and the complainant. The defendants said that a lot of men ran down the stairs. He, the officer,

met no men on the block or in the hallway of the house or on the stairs. The defendants said that they found the door open and they walked in. They said that they were looking for some persons in the house, and seeing the door open they walked in. They said that the men ran down stairs as if they were alarmed, and those persons must have committed the burglary.

UNDER CROSS--EXAMINATION witness testified that he had known the complainant for about five or six years, and he knew the premises 51-1/2 Thompson street. A number of women lived there both colored and white. He didn't have any trouble in the house, but he had frequently had trouble in the house next door. He didn't know Harris, but he knew Melville by sight. The little Italian girl found 50¢ on the floor--two quarters, and he, the officer, gave the money to

the complainant. The complainant saw an old chisel on the shelf, and she said that it didn't belong there, and he, the officer, looked around and found a rasp on the bed. The complainant examined the trunk in his absence. He searched the defendants. They had a few pennies in their pockets when he searched them. He, the officer, tried the rasp in the marks on the door and it fitted exactly. The complainant said the rasp was not hers. The complainant was known as a prostitute, but he had never arrested her. She had never been arrested for prostitution to his knowledge. She was a prostitute by reputation.

F O R T H E D E F E N C E.

WILLIAM MELVILLE testified that he knew the complainant, and had known her for about six or seven years. He visited her house on the night

in question. He had visitedthe house about half a dozen times. He heard that they were having quite a time there, and he came out of the saloon and was on his way home, and he thought he would take a trip up there, and as he got up stairs there were two white men and two colored men sitting around a table drinking beer, and they invited him to join them, and he had a glass of beer and sat by the wall, and finally Harris, the other defendant came up, and they asked him to take some beer and he accepted, and wished to return the compliments, and sent out for some more beer, and as they were getting the can ready, some one said, "here's Rosa, she will raise the deuce, if she finds us here." And she came in as they ran out and said, "my God, somebody must have broken into my trunk, but they couldn't open it." She was explaining the clasps on the trunk to another fast girl that was with her, and she opened the trunk and took the money out, and put it in her pocket, and while she

was explaining to the fast girl, the defendant said, "I hope you will not think that we had anything to do with it," and she said, "yes, you had no business in my room, and I am going to have you arrested." He, the defendant, didn't break open the door. It was open when he went up stairs. The woman was searced, and he and Harris were searched. The Italian girl was about seventeen years of age, and she was a fast girl. She didn't join in the search. She was under the influence of liquor. She looked upon a shelf ~~and xxwx~~ or made believe to look, but she was not tall enough, and she said, "what's this?" Then she jumped upon the trunk and took down the chisel, and then the officer said to her, "how did you know it was up there?" and she said, "I seen it," and it would seem so strange that she would know it was there, she being so small. He, the defendant, knew Harris by sight. He didn't

enter the house with him that night.

UNDER CROSS--EXAMINATION he testified that the complainant was drunk. She could stand a good deal of drink and was an old timer round there. He, the defendant had been in trouble before-- about eight years before. He and another man were charged with stealing a watch. He was sent to the Reformatory, and stayed there four years and eight months, and then he was pardoned. He pleaded guilty. He didn't notice whether the door had been broken open when he entered the complainant's room. He didn't notice any rasp or chisel there before they were found by the complainant and the Italian girl.

JOHN HARRIS, CO--DEFENDANT, testified that he had never before been arrested in his life.

He had known the complainant for a year. He had intercourse with her frequently. He went to see her on the night in question. She was not there and a lot of fellows were drinking beer at the table, and he joined in and began to drink, the same as they did. He didn't know Melville, and he didn't go into the house with him. He didn't break open the door or the trunk, and he didn't see any one do so.

UNDER CROSS--EXAMINATION he testified that Rose was drunk and staggering when she came in. He, the defendant, was not drunk at all. He didnt notice the condition of the door when he entered. He didn't know any one of the men who were in the room.

IN REBUTTAL,

OFFICER RYAN testified that the complainant

complainant was perfectly sober. There was no beer or glasses on the table in the room, and there was no beer to be seen any where in the room. He was positive about this because he searched the room thoroughly.

0157

Police Court—2 District.

City and County of New York, } ss.:

Rose Gillmore

of No. 51 1/2 Thompson Street, aged 22 years, occupation Seamstress being duly sworn deposes and says, that the premises No 51 1/2 Thompson Street, in the City and County aforesaid, the said being a five story brick dwelling

and which was occupied by deponent as a dwelling on the second floor and in which there was at the time a human being, by name

were BURGLARIOUSLY entered by means of forcibly prying open the door of deponents room on the second floor with a chisel.

on about on the 12 day of January 1888 in the night time, and the following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz:

Seven dollars in gold money ($7)

the property of deponent

and deponent further says, that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid BURGLARY was committed and the aforesaid property taken, stolen, and carried away by William Melville, and John Harris, now here

for the reasons following, to wit: The said property was left by deponent in her room on the second floor of said house in a trunk. Deponent securely locked and closed the said room about quarter to 12 P m on the night of Jan 11. 1888. When deponent returned about midnight she found the defendants in her room and her trunk broken open. Deponent closed

and locked the door and locked the defendants in and called from a window for an Officer. The call was answered by Officer James Ryan of the 5th Precinct, who arrested defendants in defendants room. Part of defendants money was found on the floor of the room and the said seven dollars was missing from defendants trunk.

Sworn to before me this
12th day of January 1881
[illegible]

Oliver Perkins

Miss Rosie Gillmore

Police Court ——— District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.

Burglary ——— Degree

188

Dated

Magistrate.

Officer.

Clerk.

Witnesses:

Committed in default of $ ——— Bail.

Bailed by ——— Street.

No.

Sec. 198—200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK } ss.

John Harris being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is h right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h ; that the statement is designed to enable h if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiver cannot be used against h on the trial.

Question. What is yonr name?

Answer. John Harris

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 21 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. U S.

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 14 Grand St

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Laborer.

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you may think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty

Taken before me this 1 *day of* June 1888

[illegible]

Police Justice.

0160

Sec. 198—200.

2 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

William Melville being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is h right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h; that the statement is designed to enable h if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiver cannot be used against h on the trial.

Question. What is yonr name?

Answer. William Melville

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 29 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. U. S.

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 117 Varick,

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Sculptor,

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you may think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty.

William Melville

Taken before me this 1 *day of* March 188[illegible]

Police Justice.

Police Court— 2 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Rose Gillmore 51½ Thompson St
Wm Melville
2 John Harris
3
4

Offence Burglary

Dated Jan 12 188 8

Witnesses Capt Wm Price
No. 1 Prec't. Street.

BAILED,-
No. 1, by
Residence Street.
No. 2, by
Residence Street,
No. 3, by
Residence Street,
No 4, by
Residence Street.

Magistrate.
Officer.
Precinct.

No. Street.
N Street.
$ 1500 to answer

RECEIVED JAN 16 1888 DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

Cons

Il appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendants

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Fifteen *Hundred Dollars,* ..... *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated Jan 12 188 8 ..... *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named .....
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated ..... 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named .....
..... *guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.*

Dated ..... 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

William Melville
and John Harris

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse William Melville and John Harris

of the CRIME OF BURGLARY IN THE First DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said William Melville and John Harris both —

late of the Eighth Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the twelfth day of January, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-eight, with force and arms, about the hour of twelve o'clock in the night time of the same day, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, the dwelling house of one Rose Fitzsimons —

there situate, feloniously and burglariously did break into and enter, there being then and there some human being, ~~to wit:~~

within the said dwelling house, with intent to commit some crime therein, to wit: the goods, chattels and personal property of the said Rose Fitzsimons —

in the said dwelling house then and there being, then and there feloniously and burglariously to steal, take and carry away.

against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity,

SECOND COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said William Melville and John Harris

of the CRIME OF ~~GRAND~~ Petit LARCENY, ~~IN THE~~ ~~DEGREE~~, committed as follows:

The said William Melville and John Harris, both —

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, in the night time of the said day, with force and arms,

the sum of seven dollars in money lawful money of the United States, and of the value of seven dollars.

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Rose Gillmore.—

in the dwelling house of the said Rose Gillmore.—

there situate, then and there being found, from the dwelling house aforesaid, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

John R. Williams,
District Attorney

0164

BOX:
293
FOLDER:
2788
DESCRIPTION:
Meyer, Herman

DATE:
01/11/88

2788

Witnesses:

Emil Schroeder

~~Geo~~ A. Siefert

#120-

~~Before~~

Counsel, Barlogger

Filed, 11 day of Jany 1888

Pleads, Not Guilty (12)

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Herman Meyer

Grand Larceny 2nd degree

[Sections 528, 531, Penal Code].

~~JOHN R. FELLOWS,~~

RANDOLPH ~~B. MARTINE~~,

District Attorney.

P.r. Jany 23/88

Deed & acquitted

A True Bill.

Edward E. Orr

Foreman.

23-R

Jany 23/88

G.S.B.

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS.

THE PEOPLE, &c.
vs.
Herman Meyer

BRIEF OF FACTS.

For the District Attorney.

Dated January 16th 1888.

Andrew H. Dawson

Deputy Assistant.

The People

vs

Herman Meyer

Carl Schroder states that he is the complainant against defendant. That on the night of Jany 1st 1888 he went to bed in the same room with August Seifert at 72 Beach street New York City, having his vest on a chair by his bed side. That in the pockets of his vest when he went to bed there was a gold watch of the value of $60 & $13 50/100 in money and that when he arose in the morning Jany 2d to dress himself he found his vest on the floor & his watch & money gone. He further states that Edward Bearely of 67 Sullivan Street has since informed him that defendant told him that he had given one dollar to a colored woman about 5 o'clock on the morning of Jany 2d 1888 & that she had stolen from him two dollars & left him with only ten dollars. Witness states that defendant had admitted to him only the day before that $2 50/100 was all the money he had as all the boss had paid him the previous Saturday evening. This Bearely is a friend of defendant & ought not to be summoned & examined until the case is on the calendar

as it is more than probable if he knew he was to be a witness he would either fail to respond to a subpoena or invent some exculpatory evasion of truthful answers to such questions as would indicate a purpose to convict defendant.

August Seifert

is also an occupant of the same room at 72 Beach street this city occupied hitherto by complainant & defendant & that about 4 or 4½ o clock A M on the 2d inst the defendant entered the bed room in which he & complainant were sleeping and that he saw him go to the chair on which complainants vest was hanging & take out of the pocket of the vest ~~the~~ watch & some money. but inasmuch as he had a right to be in the room & he supposed he was only playing a trick on complainant he did not give any alarm that he immediately left the room but instead of going the usual way he went out of the rear door which leads to the water closet. where he supposed he was going until he failed to return and when complainant woke up & said he had been robbed, he told him exactly what he had seen

defendant do. That about 12 o clock noon defendant returned to the house 72 Beach St & was arrested & that when this witness told him that he was awake when he entered his bed room that morning & saw him when he took complainants watch & money he denied having been in that room that morning at all.

The attention of the Counsel representing this office on this trial of this indictment is respectfully called to defendants statement to Beasly that he had given the colored woman ONE dollar — that she had stolen from him TWO & that he had left but TEN, all of which when associated with his entry of Complainants bed room at 11½ that same morning (which he afterwards denied) from which $13.50 was stolen, seems to furnish a clinching corroboration of complainants evidence that he lost that amount of money with his watch & the evidence of August Seifert that he saw him enter that room & take the watch & some money from Complainants vest.

Police Court— 1 **District.** **Affidavit—Larceny.**

City and County of New York, } ss.

Carl Schroeder

of No. 72 Beach Street, aged 24 years, occupation Shoemaker being duly sworn deposes and says, that on the 2d day of January 188[illegible] at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in the Night time, the following property viz:

One Gold Watch of the Value of Fifty dollars

the property of Deponent.

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away by Herman Meyer

from the fact that deponent missed said Watch from a room in premises No. 72 Beach Street. Deponent is informed by August Steffen that he saw the said Meyer take said and carry away said Watch from said premises

Carl Schröter

Sworn to before me, this 2d day of January 188[illegible]

[illegible]

Police Justice.

0771

CITY AND COUNTY
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

August Seifert

aged 23 years, occupation Shoemaker of No.

72 Bench Street, being duly sworn deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Carl Schneider

and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponents' own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 30

day of January 1888. August Seifert

Solon B Smith

Police Justice.

Sec. 198—200.

1 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss

Herman Meyer being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Herman Meyer.

Question. How old are you?

Answer 23 Years.

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Germany.

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. ~~[illegible]~~ 72 Beach St. 3 months

Question What is your business or profession?

Answer. Waiter

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty of the charge

Herman Meyer.

Taken before me this 7 *day of* [illegible] *1885*

[illegible]

Police Justice.

0173

Sec. 151.

Police Court 1 **District.**

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss. *In the name of the People of the State of New York; To the Sheriff of the County of New York, or any Marshal or Policeman of the City of New York:*

Whereas, Complaint in writing, and upon oath, has been made before the undersigned, one of the *Police Justices* for the City of New York, by Carl Schneider

of No. 127 Bleecker Street, that on the 2 day of January

188 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, the following article to wit:

One Gold Watch

Gift

of the value of ..... Dollars,

the property of Complainant

was taken, stolen and carried away, and as the said complainant has cause to suspect, and does suspect and believe, by Herman Meyer

Wherefore, the said Complainant has prayed that the said Defendant may be apprehended and bound to answer the said complaint.

These are Therefore, in the name of the PEOPLE of the State of New York, to command you the said *Sheriff, Marshals and Policemen,* and every of you, to apprehend the bod..... of the said Defendant and forthwith bring him before me, at the 1st DISTRICT POLICE COURT, in the said City, or in case of my absence or inability to act, before the nearest or most accessible Police Justice in this City, to answer the said charge, and to be dealt with according to law.

Dated at the City of New York, this 3 *day of* January 188

Robert B. Roosevelt

POLICE JUSTICE.

Police Court 1st District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF
Carl Schroeder
vs.
Herman Meyer

Warrant—Larceny.

Dated Jany 25 188 8

Smith *Magistrate*

Walsh *Officer.*

The Defendant Herman Meyer taken, and brought before the Magistrate, to answer the within charge, pursuant to the command contained in this Warrant.

Robt Walsh *Officer.*

Dated Jany 4 188 8

This Warrant may be executed on Sunday or at night.

Police Justice.

The within named

having been brought before me under this Warrant, is committed for examination to the WARDEN and KEEPER of the City Prison of the City of New York.

Dated 188

Police Justice.

23 rd
w
Ber
Shoemaker
S
Yes
none

BAILED,

No. 1, by

Residence Street.

No. 2, by

Residence Street.

No. 3, by

Residence Street.

No. 4, by

Residence Street.

Police Court 1 District

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.

1 2 3 4

Offence

(Dated 188 Magistrate.

Officer.

Precinct.

Witnesses

No. Street.

No. Street.

No. Street.

answer

RECEIVED. JAN 6 1888 DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Herman Meyer *guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of* five *Hundred Dollars,* *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated June 8 188 8 *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named *to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.*

Dated 188 *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named *guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.*

Dated 188 *Police Justice.*

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,
against

Herman Mayer

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

— Herman Mayer —

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE second DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said Herman Mayer,

late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the second day of January, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-eight, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

one watch of the value of sixty dollars,

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Paul Schröder, —

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

John R. Fellows,
District Attorney

0177

BOX:
293
FOLDER:
2788
DESCRIPTION:
Meyers, George

DATE:
01/18/88

2788

BOX:
293
FOLDER:
2788
DESCRIPTION:
Clute, Jacob

DATE:
01/18/88

2788

219

Witnesses:

Anthony Comstock

J. Luckmanich

Day of Trial,

Counsel,

Filed 18 day of Jany 1888

Pleads Guilty (20)

THE PEOPLE

vs.

George Meyers

and

Jacob Clute

(3 cases)

Selling Lottery Policies, etc.
[Section 344, Penal Code].

JOHN R. FELLOWS,
~~PETER B. OLNEY~~,

District Attorney.

A True Bill.

J. J. Slocum

Foreman.

Part III April 13. 1888

Both plead guilty

Both sentence suspended

RBM.

0180

City and County of New York, ss:

In the name of the People of the State of New York:

To any Peace Officer in the City and County of New York:

Proof by affidavit having been this day made before me, by Anthony Bonitocto and Joseph Lukaintsch of 351 Broome Street, New York City, that there is probable cause for believing that Jacob Clute and George Meyer now

has in their possession, at, in and upon certain premises occupied by them and situated and known number 29 Park Row street, (second floor) in said City of New York — certain and divers device, establishment, apparatus and articles suitable for gambling purposes, lottery policies, lottery tickets, circulars, writings, papers and documents in the nature of a bet, wager or insurance upon the drawing or drawn numbers of a lottery, books and other documents for the purpose of enabling others to sell lottery policies and other writings, papers and documents, black-boards and gaming tables, with intent to use the same as a means to commit a public offense.

YOU ARE THEREFORE COMMANDED, at any time of the day or night time to make immediate search on the person of the said Jacob Clute and George Meyer

and in the building situate and known as number 29 (29) Park Row street, aforesaid, for the following property, to wit: all Faro layouts, all Roulette Wheels and layouts, all Rouge et Noir, or Red and Black layouts, all gaming tables, all chips, all packs of cards, — dice, — deal boxes, — lottery policies, — lottery tickets, — circulars, — writings, — papers, — documents in the nature of bets and wagers, or insurance upon the drawings, or drawn numbers of a lottery, — books — documents for the purpose of enabling others to gamble or sell lottery policies, all — black-boards, and slips or drawn numbers of a lottery, and all money to gamble with, and all device, establishment, apparatus and articles suitable for gambling purposes.

And if you find the same, or any part thereof, to bring it forthwith before me at the First District Police Court at the Tombs in Centre — in the City of New York.

Dated at the City of New York, the 29th day of December 1887

Sam'l Allison

POLICE JUSTICE.

Inventory of property taken by John J. Herrick the Peace Officer by whom this warrant was executed:

~~Faro layouts,~~ ~~Roulette Wheels,~~ ~~Roulette layouts,~~ ~~Rouge et Noir layouts,~~ ~~gaming tables,~~ ~~chips,~~ ~~packs of cards,~~ ~~dice~~ ~~deal boxes,~~ ~~deal trays for holding chips,~~ ~~cue boxes,~~ ~~markers, or tally cards,~~ ~~ivory balls,~~ 1 box of lottery lottery policies, 480 lottery tickets, 392 circulars, 8 packages writings, ~~papers,~~ ~~black boards,~~ ~~slips, or drawn numbers in policy,~~ ~~money,~~

106 manifold books, for recording lottery policies

1 Roll Carbon - 3 Drawing books & Memoranda do

1 & Packages Drawings - 1 Keno Cap & Numbers

City of New York and County of New York ss:

I. John J. Herrick the Officer by whom this warrant was executed, do swear that the above Inventory contains a true and detailed account of all the property taken by me in this warrant.

Sworn to before me, this 31st day of December 1887.

John J. Herrick

Police Justice.

Police Court--- District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Anthony Comstock, and Joseph Lukanison

vs.

Jacob Ulter, alias George Meyers

Search Warrant.

Dated December 29 1887

O'Reilly Justice.

Herrick Officer.

0182

Sec. 192.

1st District Police Court.

Undertaking to appear during the Examination.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

An information having been laid before Daniel O'Reilly a Police Justice of the City of New York, charging Jacob Schulte Defendant with the offence of Violation of Lottery Law

and he having been brought before said Justice for an examination of said charge, and it having been made to appear to the satisfaction of said Justice that said examination should be adjourned to some other day, and the hearing thereof having been adjourned.

We, Jacob Schulte Defendant of No. 258 Pitt Street; by occupation a Clerk and Joshua Van Brimmer of No. 138 Greenwich & 19 Pom Rox Street, by occupation a Hotel Keeper Surety, hereby jointly and severally undertake that the above named Jacob Schulte Defendant shall personally appear before the said Justice at the 1st District Police Court in the City of New York, during the said examination, or that we will pay to the People of the State of New York, the sum of Five Hundred Dollars.

Jacob Schulte

Taken and acknowledged before me, this 29 day of December 188 }

Joshua Van Brimmer

Daniel O'Reilly Police Justice.

0183

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss,

Joshua Van Brunner

the within named Bail and Surety being duly sworn, says, that he is a resident and free holder within the said County and State, and is worth Ten Hundred Dollars, exclusive of property exempt from execution, and over and above the amount of all his debts and liabilities, and that his property consists of Home and lot of land situated at 138 Greene St. valued at Eight Thousand Dollars free and clear

Joshua Van Brunner

Sworn to before me, this day of December 188

Police Justice.

District Police Court.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs,

Undertaking to appear during the Examination.

Taken the day of 188

Justice.

0184

City of New York County of New York
and State of New York.

Anthony Comstock of 150 Nassau
that he has just cause to believe and does believe

did, on or about the 27th day of December, 1887, at number 29 Park Row street, in the City of New York and County of New York unlawfully and knowingly sell, furnish, vend and procure, and cause to be furnished and procured, a certain paper or instrument, purporting to be a ticket or part of a ticket in a lottery, which said ticket or part of a ticket is hereto annexed, and which said paper or instrument hereto annexed is what is commonly known as, or are called lottery policy, and further that the said,

Jacob Clute and George Meyer

has in their possession, within and upon certain premises, occupied by them and situated and known as ~~number~~ the second floor of Number 29 Park Row street, in the City of New York and County of New York aforesaid, certain others, what are commonly known as, or are called lottery policies or lottery tickets, and also certain writings, cards, books, documents, personal property, tables, devices, and apparatus, for the purpose of enabling others to sell or vend lottery policies or lottery tickets, and at, within and upon said premies, sells, vends, furnishes and procures, and has in their possession, the aforesaid articles in violation of the laws of the State of New York, in such case made and provided, and with intent to use the same as a means to commit a public offense.

Subscribed and sworn to before me,
this 29th day of December 1887

Anthony Comstock.

Police Justice.

City of New York } County of New York } ss.

Joseph Lukanitsch of 351 Broome st being duly sworn ~~further~~ deposes and says, that on the 27th day of December 1887, aforesaid, he called at the place of business of the said Jacob Clute and George Meyers aforesaid, at the said premises 29 Park Row and there purchased the said paper, ticket and instrument, purporting to be what is commonly called a lottery policy as annexed to foregoing affidavit, under the following circumstances to wit: Deponent there saw the said Jacob Clute George Meyer and had conversation with them in substance as follows. Deponent said, said to George Meyers, "Give me 12- 40 - 51- gigg for One Hundred and Fifty dollars; 72 -49 - Capital saddle for One Hundred dollars, in class 711. Also 4 - 11 - 44 - for One Hundred dollars in Kentuckey, and 6 - 14 - 66 - for One Hundred dollars in Georgia lottery," for which deponent paid the said George Meyers and Jacob Clute the sum of $2. the said Jacob Clute being present on the day in question.

Deponent further says that for several weeks he has frequently visited the office thus kept and occupied by the said Jacob Clute and George Meyers, and knows of his own knowledge that they have for many weeks kept this place with paraphernalia, apparatus, books, tables and device for the purpose of selling and vending what is commonly called lottery-policy, and lottery tickets. And from personal knowledge this day, deponent says that they now have at, in and upon said premises divers and sundry books, papers, cards, documents, tables, personal property, device and apparatus for the purpose of selling what is commonly called lottery-policy: in violation of the

0185

City of New York County of New York } ss.
and State of New York.

Anthony Comstock of 150 Nassau Street, New York, being duly sw
that he has just cause to believe and does believe that Jacob Clute an

did, on or about the 27th day of December, 1887, at number 29 Park Row street, in the City of New York and County of New York unlawfully and knowingly sell, furnish, vend and procure, and cause to be furnished and procured, a certain paper or instrument, purporting to be a ticket or part of a ticket in a lottery, which said ticket or part of a ticket is hereto annexed, and which said paper or instrument hereto annexed is what is commonly known as, or are called lottery policy, and further that the said,

Jacob Clute and George Meyer

has in their possession, within and upon certain premises, occupied by them and situated and known as ~~number~~ the second floor of Number 29 Park Row street, in the City of New York and County of New York aforesaid, certain others, what are commonly known as, or are called lottery policies or lottery tickets, and also certain writings, cards, books, documents, personal property, tables, devices, and apparatus, for the purpose of enabling others to sell or vend lottery policies or lottery tickets, and at, within and upon said premies, sells, vends, furnishes and procures, and has in their possession, the aforesaid articles in violation of the laws of the State of New York, in such case made and provided, and with intent to use the same as a means to commit a public offense.

Subscribed and sworn to before me,
this 29th day of December 1887
Jas. W. Beckett
Police Justice.

Anthony Comstock.

City of New York County of New York } ss.

Joseph Lukanitsch of 351 Broome st being duly sworn ~~further~~ deposes and says, that on the 27th day of December 1887, aforesaid, he called at the place of business of the said Jacob Clute and George Meyers aforesaid, at the said premises 29 Park Row and there purchased the said paper, ticket and instrument, purporting to be what is commonly called a lottery policy as annexed to foregoing affidavit, under the following circumstances to wit: Deponent there saw the said Jacob Clute George Meyer and had conversation with them in substance as follows. Deponent said, said to George Meyers, "Give me 12- 40 - 51- gigg for One Hundred and Fifty dollars; 72 -49 - Capital saddle for One Hundred dollars, in class 711. Also 4 - 11 - 44 - for One Hundred dollars in Kentuckey, and 6 - 14 - 66 - for One Hundred dollars in Georgia lottery," for which deponent paid the said George Meyers and Jacob Clute the sum of $2. the said Jacob Clute being present on the day in question.

Deponent further says that for several weeks he has frequently visited the office thus kept and occupied by the said Jacob Clute and George Meyers, and knows of his own knowledge that they have for many weeks kept this place with paraphernalia, apparatus, books, tables and device for the purpose of selling and vending what is commonly called lottery-policy, and lottery tickets. And from personal knowledge this day, deponent says that they now have at, in and upon said premises divers and sundry books, papers, cards, documents, tables, personal property, device and apparatus for the purpose of selling what is commonly called lottery-policy: in violation of the

laws of the State of New York and particularly section 344 of the Penal Code.

Subscribed and sworn to before me
this 29th day of December, 1887

Joseph Lustanitch

Police Justice.

POLICE COURT— DISTRICT.

THE PEOPLE, ETC.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

VS.

LOTTERY AND POLICY.

Dated 188

..... Magistrate.

..... Clerk.

..... Officer.

WITNESSES:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Bailed, $.....

to answer ..... Sessions.

By .....

..... Street.

Sec. 198—200.

1 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss

Jacob Blute being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Jacob Blute

Question. How old are you?

Answer 57 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 258 Willis Avenue. 3 years

Question What is your business or profession?

Answer. Clerk

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty and I demand an examination

Jacob Blute

Taken before me this 29 *day of* December 188 }

Police Justice.

0188

Sec. 198—200.

1st ..... District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss

George Myers ..... being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. George Myers

Question. How old are you?

Answer 56 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Germany

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 257 Second Street 11 years

Question What is your business or profession?

Answer. Clerk

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty
George Myers

Taken before me this 1st *day of* Dec. 188 .

Police Justice.

0189

Sec. 151.

Police Court First **District.**

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss. *In the name of the People of the State of New York; To the Sheriff of the County of New York, or to any Marshal or Policeman of the City of New York,* GREETING:

Whereas, Complaint in writing, and upon oath, has been made before the undersigned, one of the *Police Justices* for the City of New York, by Anthony Courtoets of 150 Nassau St and Joseph Lukavitsch of No. 351 Broome Street, that on the 27th day of December 1887 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, the crime of selling what are commonly called lottery policies, has been committed, and accusing Jacob Clute and George Meyers thereof

Wherefore, the said Complainant has prayed that the said Defendants may be apprehended and bound to answer the said complaint.

These are, Therefore, in the name of the PEOPLE of the State of New York, to command you the said *Sheriff, Marshals and Policemen,* and each and every of you, to apprehend the said Defendant, and bring them forthwith before me, at the First *District Police Court,* in the said City, or in case of my absence or inability to act, before the nearest or most accessible Police Justice in this City, to answer the said charge, and to be dealt with according to law.

Dated at the City of New York, this 29th *day of* December 1887

Sam'l C. O'Reilly POLICE JUSTICE.

Police Court _____ **District.**

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Anthony Courtoets et al

vs

Jacob Clute,
George Meyers,

Dated Dec 29 1887

O'Reilly *Magistrate.*

Spencer *Officer.*

Warrant—General.

The Defendant Jacob Clute & George Meyers taken, and brought before the Magistrate, to answer the within charge, pursuant to the command contained in this Warrant.

John Henson *Officer.*

Dated Dec 29 188

This Warrant may be executed on Sunday or at night.

_____ *Police Justice.*

REMARKS.

Time of Arrest, Dec 29-87
Jacob Clute, 258 Willis Ave
George Meyers, 29 Park Ave

Native of U S
Age, 1. 2 Gen
57 yrs
2 56
Sex, M
Complexion, Dark
Color, W
Profession, Clerks
Married, _____
Single, _____
Read, Yes
Write, Yes

Police Court— 1 **District.** 22

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF
Anthony Comstock
150 Nassau St
vs.
1 Jacob Clute
2 George Meyer
3
4

Offence Vio Lottery Law

Dated Dec 29 1887

P Reilly Magistrate.

J Trainor Officer.

Court Precinct.

Witnesses Joseph Kutsmutsch

No. 354 Broome Street.

No. Street.

No. Street.

$ 500 to answer G S

500 Ex Dec 31 10 a.m.

No 1 and 2 Bailed

RECEIVED
JAN 4 1888
DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

BAILED,

No. 1, by Joshua Van Brunner

Residence 19 Park Row Street.

No. 2, by Jacob Schlaefer

Residence 638 Fifth Street.

No. 3, by

Residence Street.

No. 4, by

Residence Street.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Jacob Clute and George Meyer *guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of* Five *Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated Dec 29 *188*7 Sam'l C Reilly *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named defendants *to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.*

Dated Dec 31 *188*7 Sam'l C Reilly *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named .... guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated .... 188 .... *Police Justice.*

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
against
Egonog Mengus and Jacob Duke

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse Egonog Mengus and Jacob Duke of the crime of "Selling to another what is commonly known as a Lottery Policy," committed as follows:

The said Egonog Mengus and Jacob Duke, both —

late of the First Ward, in the City and County aforesaid, on the twenty-ninth day of December, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-seven at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, feloniously did sell to one Joseph Schmaitsch,

a certain paper, instrument and writing, commonly called a lottery policy, which said paper, instrument and writing, called a lottery policy, is as follows, that is to say:

Fly
23 62 70 40

(a more particular description of which said instrument and writing so commonly called a lottery policy is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, and cannot now be given), against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the Peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

~~SECOND COUNT~~

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

of the crime of "Selling to others what are commonly called Lottery Policies," committed as follows:

The said

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: On the day and in year aforesaid, and on divers other days and times between that day and the day of the taking of this inquisition, was and yet is a common gambler; and on the day and in the year aforesaid, and on said other days and times, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, feloniously did sell to divers persons (whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown and cannot now be given), certain instruments and writings, commonly called lottery policies (a more particular description of which is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown and cannot now be given), against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against Peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

Second
~~THIRD~~ COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said George Meyers and Jacob Rhuke

of the crime of "Selling a paper and writing, in the nature of a bet and wager upon the drawn numbers of a Lottery," committed as follows:

The said George Meyers and Jacob Rhuke, both —

late of the First Ward, in the City and County aforesaid, on the twenty-ninth day of December, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-seven at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, feloniously did sell to one

Joseph Schwartzkopf —

a certain paper and writing, in the nature of a bet and wager upon the drawn numbers of a certain lottery, the same being a scheme for the distribution of property by chance among persons who had paid or agreed to pay a valuable consideration for such chance (a more particular description of which said lottery is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, and cannot now be given), which said paper and writing is as follows, that is to say:

Th

23 62 70 G 40

(a more particular description of which said paper and writing is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, and cannot now be given), against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the Peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

Third
~~FOURTH~~ COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said George Meyers and Jacob Rhuke

of the crime of "Selling a writing, paper and document in the nature of an insurance upon the drawing of a Lottery," committed as follows:

The said George Meyers and Jacob Rhuke, both —

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: On the day and in year aforesaid, and on divers other days and times between that day and the day of the taking of this inquisition, was and yet is a common gambler; and on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and

County aforesaid, with force and arms, feloniously did sell to one

Joseph Lukaintsch

a certain paper, writing and document in the nature of an insurance upon the drawing of a certain lottery, the same being a scheme for the distribution of property by chance among certain persons who had paid or agreed to pay a valuable consideration for such chance (a more particular description of which said lottery is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, and cannot now be given), which said paper, writing and document is as follows. that is to say :

Ky
23 62 70
Ry 40

(a more particular description of which said paper, writing and document is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, and cannot now be given), against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the Peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

JOHN R. FELLOWS.
~~PETER R. OLNEY~~,
District Attorney.

Witnesses:

Day of Trial, 2-9. E. C. P for Chute
A. Rosenstein for Meyer
Counsel, 335 Bury

Filed 19 day of Jany 1888

Pleads Not guilty (20)

THE PEOPLE

vs.

George Meyers B
and B
Jacob Chute
(3 cases)

Selling Lottery Policies, etc.
[Section 344, Penal Code].

JOHN R. FELLOWS.
~~PETER B. OLNEY~~,

District Attorney.

A True Bill.

J. J. Slocum
Foreman.

Part III April 13/88
Sentenced on another indictment.

0195

CITY OF New York COUNTY
AND STATE OF NEW YORK

Anthony Comstock being duly sworn, deposes and says that he has just cause to believe and Jacob Clute and George Meyer did, on or about the 29th day of December, 1887, at number 29 Park Row street, in the City of New York and County of New York unlawfully and knowingly sell, furnish, vend and procure, and cause to be furnished and procured, a certain paper or instrument, purporting to be a ticket or part of a ticket in a lottery, which said ticket or part of a ticket is hereto annexed, and which said paper or instrument hereto annexed is what is commonly known as, or are called lottery policies and further that the said, Jacob Clute and George Meyers has in their possession, within and upon certain premises, occupied by them and situated and known as number 29 Park Row (second floor) street, in the City of New York and County of New York aforesaid, certain others, what are commonly known as, or are called lottery policies or lottery tickets, and also certain writings, cards, books, documents, personal property, tables, devices, and apparatus, for the purpose of enabling others to sell or vend lottery policies or lottery tickets, and at, within and upon said premies, sells, vends, furnishes and procures, and has in their possession, the aforesaid articles in violation of the laws of the State of New York, in such case made and provided.

Anthony Comstock

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 29th day of December 1887
Daniel O'Reilly
Police Justice.

CITY OF New York COUNTY OF New York } ss.

Joseph Lukanitsch of 351 Bowery being duly sworn further deposes and says, that on the 29th day of December 1887, aforesaid, he called at the place of business of the said Jacob Clute and George Meyers aforesaid, at the said premises 29 Park Row and there purchased the said paper, ticket and instrument, purporting to be what is commonly called a lottery policy as annexed to foregoing affidavit, under the following circumstances to wit: Deponent there saw the said Jacob Clute and George Meyer and had conversation with them in substance as follows. Deponent said, to the said George Meyer give me 25 - -32 - 57 - both lotteries for Fifteen dollars. The said George Meyers recorded the said numbers on a piece of paper, handed the same to deponent and deponent paid the said Meyers the sum of Fifteen cents. Deponent then went into another room where Jacob Clute was, and said to the said Jacob Clute, Give me 23- 62 - 70 - gigg Kentuckey lottery for Forty dollars. The said Jacob Clute recorded the said numbers upon a piece of paper, handed the said paper to deponent, and deponent paid the said Clute the sum of Twenty cents for the same. Each of the said parties made a duplicate record which they kept.

Deponent further says that he has frequently visited said premises and purchased what is called lottery-policies of the said George Meyers and Jacob Clute, and knows from personal knowledge and information that they have for weeks kept the said premises for the purpose of selling said lottery-policies: in violation of the laws of the state of New York in such case made and provided.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 29th day of December, 1887
Joseph Lukanitsch
Daniel O'Reilly Police Justice

0196

Jacob Clute
29 Park Row
Policy contract

CITY OF New York COUNTY OF New York } ss.
AND STATE OF NEW YORK.

Anthony Comstock of 150 Nassau Street, New York, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he has just cause to believe and does believe that, Jacob Clute and George Meyer did, on or about the 29th day of December, 1887, at number 29 Park Row street, in the City of New York and County of New York unlawfully and knowingly sell, furnish, vend and procure, and cause to be furnished and procured, a certain paper or instrument, purporting to be a ticket or part of a ticket in a lottery, which said ticket or part of a ticket is hereto annexed, and which said paper or instrument hereto annexed is what is commonly known as, or are called lottery policies and further that the said, Jacob Clute and George Meyers has in their possession, within and upon certain premises, occupied by them and situated and known as number 29 Park Row (second floor) street, in the City of New York and County of New York aforesaid, certain others, what are commonly known as, or are called lottery policies or lottery tickets, and also certain writings, cards, books, documents, personal property, tables, devices, and apparatus, for the purpose of enabling others to sell or vend lottery policies or lottery tickets, and at, within and upon said premies, sells, vends, furnishes and procures, and has in their possession, the aforesaid articles in violation of the laws of the State of New York, in such case made and provided.

Anthony Comstock

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 29th day of December 1887
Daniel C. O'Reilly
Police Justice.

CITY OF New York COUNTY OF New York } ss.

Joseph Lukanitsch of 351 Bowery being duly sworn further deposes and says, that on the 29th day of December 1887, aforesaid, he called at the place of business of the said Jacob Clute and George Meyers aforesaid, at the said premises 29 Park Row and there purchased the said paper, ticket and instrument, purporting to be what is commonly called a lottery policy as annexed to foregoing affidavit, under the following circumstances to wit: Deponent there saw the said Jacob Clute and George Meyer and had conversation with them in substance as follows. Deponent said, to the said George Meyer give me 25 - -32 - 57 - both lotteries for Fifteen dollars. The said George Meyers recorded the said numbers on a piece of paper, handed the same to deponent and deponent paid the said Meyers the sum of Fifteen cents. Deponent then went into another room where Jacob Clute was, and said to the said Jacob Clute, Give me 23- 62 - 70 - gigg Kentuckey lottery for Forty dollars. The said Jacob Clute recorded the said numbers upon a piece of paper, handed the said paper to deponent, and deponent paid the said Clute the sum of Twenty cents for the same. Each of the said parties made a duplicate record which they kept.

Deponent further says that he has frequently visited said premises and purchased what is called lottery-policies of the said George Meyers and Jacob Clute, and knows from personal knowledge and information that they have for weeks kept the said premises for the purpose of selling said lottery-policies: in violation of the laws of the state of New York in such case made and provided.

Subscribed and sworn to before me
this 29th day of December, 1887
Joseph Lukanitsch
Daniel C. O'Reilly Police Justice

POLICE COURT— DISTRICT.

THE PEOPLE, ETC.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Anthony Comstock, and
Joseph Lukantsch

VS.

Jacob Clute, and
George Meyers.

LOTTERY AND POLICY.

Dated 188

..... *Magistrate.*

..... *Clerk.*

..... *Officer.*

WITNESSES:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Bailed, $.....

to answer ..... *Sessions.*

By .....

..... *Street.*

Sec. 192.

1st District Police Court.

Undertaking to appear during the Examination.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

An information having been laid before Daniel O'Reilly a Police Justice of the City of New York, charging George Meyers Defendant with the offence of Violation Lottery law

and he having been brought before said Justice for an examination of said charge, and it having been made to appear to the satisfaction of said Justice that said examination should be adjourned to some other day, and the hearing thereof having been adjourned,

We, George Meyers Defendant of No. [redacted] Street, by occupation a Clerk and Joshua Van Bortkamer of No. 19 Park Row Street, by occupation a Hotel Keeper Surety, hereby jointly and severally undertake that the above named George Meyers Defendant shall personally appear before the said Justice, at the 1st District Police Court in the City of New York, during the said examination, or that we will pay to the People of the State of New York the sum of Five Hundred Dollars.

Taken and acknowledged before me, this 29th *day of* December 188 } George Meyers / Joshua Van Bortkamer

Dan'l O'Reilly Police Justice.

0199

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Jackson Van Brimmer the within named Bail and Surety being duly sworn, says, that he is a resident and Free holder within the said County and State, and is worth Ten Hundred Dollars, exclusive of property exempt from execution, and over and above the amount of all his debts and liabilities, and that his property consists of a house and lot of land situated at 138 Gerrick Street in said city valued at Eight Thousand Dollars Clear

Jackson Van Brimmer

Sworn to before me this 29 day of Feb 188

L. H. Mellen Police Justice

District Police Court.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.

Angus Moore

Undertaking to appear during the Examination.

Taken the day of 188

Justice.

Sec. 198—200.

1 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Jacob Blatt being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Jacob Blatt

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 57 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York City

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. No 258 Willis Avenue 3 years

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Clerk

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty
Jacob Blatt

Taken before me this 3d *day of* Dec 188

Police Justice.

BAILED,

No. 1, by Alfred Schlayer

Residence 638 Eight Street.

No. 2, by Joshua Van Riemer

Residence 19 Paul Row Street.

No. 3, by

Residence Street.

No. 4, by

Residence Street.

Police Court 1 — 22 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Anthony Bonnotto

vs.

Alfred Schlayer

Joshua Van Riemer

Paul Row

3

4

Offence Violation Lottery Law

Dated Dec 29 188 7

Frederick Kirchhoff

Magistrate.

Officer.

Precinct.

Witnesses Joseph Schwartzwald

No. 157 Bowery Street.

No. Street.

No. Street.

$500.00 to answer

Gen Sess Dec 31-10 AM

Bailed

RECEIVED. DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE. JAN 2 1888

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named.....

.....

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Five *Hundred Dollars,*..... *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated Dec 29 188 7 ..... *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named Defendants

to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated Dec 31 188 7 ..... *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named.....

.....*guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.*

Dated ..... 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

District Attorney's Office.

PEOPLE

vs.

Meyers & Cole

This indictment is based upon the sale of a policy slip to the agent on Dec 29th. There were two slips sold & an indictment is drawn for each sale. The foreman will therefore please sign this bill if these facts were in evidence

Jno B Lindsay
Deputy

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
against
Sigmund Mayers and Jacob Duke

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse Sigmund Mayers and Jacob Duke

of the crime of "Selling to another what is commonly known as a Lottery Policy," committed as follows:

The said Sigmund Mayers and Jacob Duke, both

late of the First Ward, in the City and County aforesaid, on the twenty-ninth day of December, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-seven at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, feloniously did sell to one

Joseph Swaniskoski,

a certain paper, instrument and writing, commonly called a lottery policy, which said paper, instrument and writing, called a lottery policy, is as follows, that is to say:

25
32
57
15

(a more particular description of which said instrument and writing so commonly called a lottery policy is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, and cannot now be given), against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the Peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

~~SECOND COUNT~~

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

of the crime of "Selling to others what are commonly called Lottery Policies," committed as follows:

The said

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: On the day and in year aforesaid, and on divers other days and times between that day and the day of the taking of this inquisition, was and yet is a common gambler; and on the day and in the year aforesaid, and on said other days and times, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, feloniously did sell to divers persons (whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown and cannot now be given), certain instruments and writings, commonly called lottery policies (a more particular description of which is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown and cannot now be given), against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against Peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

Second
~~THIRD~~ COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said Sigmund Mengers and Jacob Blute

of the crime of "Selling a paper and writing, in the nature of a bet and wager upon the drawn numbers of a Lottery," committed as follows:

The said Sigmund Mengers and Jacob Blute, both —

late of the First Ward, in the City and County aforesaid, on the twenty-ninth day of December, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-seven, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, feloniously did sell to one

Joseph Lubrainski, —

a certain paper and writing, in the nature of a bet and wager upon the drawn numbers of a certain lottery, the same being a scheme for the distribution of property by chance among persons who had paid or agreed to pay a valuable consideration for such chance (a more particular description of which said lottery is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, and cannot now be given), which said paper and writing is as follows, that is to say:

25
32
57
15

(a more particular description of which said paper and writing is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, and cannot now be given), against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the Peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

Third
~~FOURTH~~ COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said Sigmund Mengers and Jacob Blute

of the crime of "Selling a writing, paper and document in the nature of an insurance upon the drawing of a Lottery," committed as follows:

The said Sigmund Mengers and Jacob Blute, both

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: On the day and in year aforesaid, and on divers other days and times between that day and the day of the taking of this inquisition, was and yet is a common gambler; and on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and

County aforesaid, with force and arms, feloniously did sell to one

Joseph Lubaquin Koch

a certain paper, writing and document in the nature of an insurance upon the drawing of a certain lottery, the same being a scheme for the distribution of property by chance among certain persons who had paid or agreed to pay a valuable consideration for such chance (a more particular description of which said lottery is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, and cannot now be given), which said paper, writing and document is as follows, that is to say:

28
32
57
15

(a more particular description of which said paper, writing and document is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, and cannot now be given), against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the Peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

JOHN R. FELLOWS.
~~PETER B. OLNEY,~~
District Attorney.