

0636

BOX:

528

FOLDER:

4815

DESCRIPTION:

Samuels, George

DATE:

07/06/93



4815

21 # 696

Counsel,
Filed *6 July 1893*
Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

George Samuda

*Burglary in the 2nd degree
Section 497, v. c. 52 & 533, 1st degree*

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

George Samuda

A TRUE BILL.

James McKeon
Foreman.

July 11/93
Henry Zuley
Pen 6 onto

Witnesses:

Henry Primer
Louisa Armatka
Officer Barry

Police Court— District.

City and County } ss.:
of New York,

of No. 132-Hervey Street, aged 21 years,
occupation Salesman being duly sworn

deposes and says, that the premises No. 132 Hervey Street, 19 Ward

in the City and County aforesaid the said being a four story and

basement building and which was occupied by deponent as a

and in which there was at the time a human being, by name

Christina were BURGLARIOUSLY entered by means of forcibly raising a window leading into the basement of said premises

on the 28 day of June 1885 in the night time, and the following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz:

A quantity of film worth five dollars

the property of Mrs M. J. Grumbacher and in case of deponent and deponent further says, that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid BURGLARY was committed and the aforesaid property taken, stolen and carried away by

George Sommers (name here), for the reasons following, to wit: at the hour of 3.0 o'clock on said date the said window was fastened, the said filmman being in a side beam in said basement. Witness is informed by James W. Barry that he Barry saw the defendant with said window open and having his hand inserted in said basement, the defendant having a stick in his hand and was endeavoring to steal said filmman

Alway Pinner

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0640

Sec. 198-200.

4 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss:

George Samuels being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he sees fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?
Answer. George Samuels

Question. How old are you?
Answer. 34 years

Question. Where were you born?
Answer. Ireland

Question. Where do you live and how long have you resided there?
Answer. Refused

Question. What is your business or profession?
Answer. Porter

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.
Answer. I am not guilty

George Samuels

Taken before me this 2 day of June 1893
W. M. Samuel

Police Justice.

BAILED,
 No. 1, by _____
 Residence _____ Street _____
 No. 2, by _____
 Residence _____ Street _____
 No. 3, by _____
 Residence _____ Street _____
 No. 4, by _____
 Residence _____ Street _____

Police Court... 4
 District... 696

THE PEOPLE, etc.,
 ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Henry James
 2732 2nd Avenue
 George Samuels

1 _____
 2 _____
 3 _____
 4 _____
 Offense: Burglary

Dated, June 26 1893

W. M. Mahan, Magistrate
 433
 Officer

Witnesses

No. _____
 Name: Emma Gramatta
 No. 33 - Avenue C
 Street

No. _____
 Street
 RECEIVED
 DISTRICT CLERK
 JUL 1 1893
 129
 2

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named _____

_____ guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of _____ Hundred Dollars, _____ and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, June 3, 1893 _____ Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named _____ to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____ guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h _____ to be discharged.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

George Samuels

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

George Samuels

of the CRIME OF BURGLARY in the *second* degree, committed as follows:

The said

George Samuels

late of the *19th* Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *twenty-eighth* day of *June* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three*, in the *night*-time of the same day, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, the dwelling house of one *Mary J. Gumbacher*

there situate, feloniously and burglariously did break into and enter, there being then and there a human being within the said dwelling house, with intent to commit some crime therein, to wit: the goods, chattels and personal property of the said *Mary J. Gumbacher*

in the said dwelling house then and there being, then and there feloniously and burglariously to steal, take and carry away,

against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment further accuse the said

George Samuel
of attempting to ~~commit~~ ^{commit} the crime
of the CRIME OF ~~Grand~~ LARCENY in the second degree, committed as follows:

The said *George Samuel*

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, in the ^{night} time of the said day, with force and arms,

divers articles of silverware of a number and description to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, of the value of seventy five dollars

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

Mary F. Grumbacher

in the dwelling house of the said

Mary F. Grumbacher

there situate, then and there being found, from the dwelling house aforesaid, then and there feloniously did ^{attempt to} steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

*Wm Lacey Nicolls
District Attorney*

0644

BOX:

528

FOLDER:

4815

DESCRIPTION:

Saul, Max

DATE:

07/12/93



4815

93
J. J. ...

Counsel,

Filed 14 day of July 1893

Pleads, *Agguly*

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Max Saul

Section 498, 526, 125, 225, 225
Burglary in the Third Degree.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

old copy

of Aug 20th 1893

A TRUE BILL.

Lawrence McKee

Sept 2 - Oct. 9. 1893, Foreman.

trial and acquitted

Witnesses:

Emma Van Ravelen

Carrie Smith

Police Court - 2nd District.

City and County of New York, ss.:

of No. 237 West 18th Street, aged 19 years,

occupation None being duly sworn

deposes and says, that the premises No 237 West 18th Street,

in the City and County aforesaid, the said being a four story brick

Building

and which was occupied by deponent as a Tenement

and in which there was at the time a house being by name

were BURGLARIOUSLY entered by means of forcibly opening a door leading from the hall into a room on the second floor of the above mentioned premises, by means of false keys.

on the 7 day of July 1883 in the day time, and the

following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz:

three gold rings - and good and lawful

money of the United States, of the

amount of about twenty cents. in all

of the amount and value of about

fourteen dollars \$14⁰⁰/₁₀₀

the property of Deponent

and deponent further says, that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid

BURGLARY was committed and the aforesaid property taken, stolen, and carried away by

Max Paul (now here)

for the reasons following, to wit: that about the hour of

9.50 o'clock P.M. of said date deponent

securely closed locked and fastened said

door, and at that time the aforesaid

property was in the said room, and that

deponent is informed by Emma Couraven

of No 237 West 18th Street - that about the

hour of 2.50 o'clock P.M. she saw the

defendant on the third landing in the

aforsaid premises - and on her ordering the said defendant from the aforsaid premises - she saw the defendant enter a private Hall-way connecting with the aforsaid room - Defendant further says that in about five minutes after said Emma Couraven saw the defendant enter the private hallway of her apartments, she returned to the same, and she then saw and discerned the aforsaid door open, and that she then immediately missed the aforsaid property - from said room - Defendant therefore charges the defendant with having committed a Burglary and asks that he may be held and dealt with as the Law may direct -

Sporn to before me } Carrie E. Garitt
 this 14th day of July 1893 }
 Police Justice

Police Court District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Burglary
 vs.

Dated _____ 188

Magistrate.

Officer.

Clerk.

Witnesses:

Committed in default of \$ Bail.

Bailed by

No. Street.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0648

1877

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Emma Bonaren

aged 17 years, occupation None of No.

237 West 18 Street, being duly sworn, deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Carrie Jant

and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this
day of July 1893

Wm E L Van Raren

[Signature]
Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0649

Sec. 198-200.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

District Police Court.

Max Simon being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Max Simon*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *19 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *Massachusetts*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *16 Throgs Neck Street N. Y. City*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Printer*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer.

I am not guilty

Max Simon

Taken before me this

day of

July

188*8*

Police Justice.

[Signature]

24 July 8th 1893

10th St. N.

\$500.00 bail

[Signature]

BAILED

No. 1, by

Residence *[Signature]*
Street

No. 2, by

Residence _____
Street

No. 3, by

Residence _____
Street

No. 4, by

Residence _____
Street

192
Police Court... District: 2

THE PEOPLE, vs.

[Signature]
ON THE COMPLAINT OF
[Signature]
237 W. 11th St.
New York

1
2
3
4
Offense *[Signature]*

Dated, July 7 1893

[Signature]
Magistrate

[Signature]
Officer

[Signature]
Precinct

[Signature]
Address

No. 158 - 8 - Green Street

[Signature]
Greenwich (Per Brown)

No. 237 N 11th Street

[Signature]
1234

No. 277 Street

\$ _____ to answer

[Signature]
[Signature]

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named *[Signature]*

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *[Signature]* Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, July 7 1893 *[Signature]* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named *[Signature]* defendant to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, July 9 1893 *[Signature]* Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____ guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

**POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL**

0651

2 Mr. Ruiz
1 Mr. Smith & Baugh
1 Mr. Jones
1 Brent Fair
1 Post. Carl & Joe
1 George & Don P. B. Co.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0652

2 Receipts
1 Bill of Lading & Receipt
1 Pa. Invoice
1 Receipt for
1 Pa. Invoice & Receipt
1 Receipt from P. B. Co.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Max Saul

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Max Saul

of the CRIME OF BURGLARY IN THE THIRD DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

Max Saul

late of the 16th Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the seventh day of July in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-three, with force and arms, in the day-time of the same day, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, the dwelling house of one

Carrie E. Garrett

there situate, feloniously and burglariously did break into and enter, with intent to commit some crime therein, to wit: with intent the goods, chattels and personal property of the said Carrie E. Garrett in the said dwelling house then and there being, then and there feloniously and burglariously to steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

Max Saul

of the CRIME OF *Petty* LARCENY committed as follows:

The said *Max Saul*

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, in the *day* time of said day, with force and arms,

three finger rings of the value of five dollars each, one chain of the value of three dollars, one bangle of the value of one dollar, one pair of shoes of the value of two dollars, one breast pin of the value of two dollars, one package of playing cards of the value of twenty-five cents, one case of the value of twenty-five cents, two silver coins of the kind called dimes of the value of ten cents each, four nickel coins of the kind called five cent pieces of the value of five cents each and ten coins of the kind called cents of the value of one cent each

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one *Carrie E. Garritt*
Carrie E. Garritt

in the dwelling house of the said

there situate, then and there being found, from the dwelling house aforesaid, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

De Lancey Nicoll
District Attorney

0655

BOX:

528

FOLDER:

4815

DESCRIPTION:

Schlichting, Frederick

DATE:

07/14/93



4815

Witnesses:

Mr. Kaufman

*Subpoena issued
& directed
of George S. for
12/4.*

1893

Counsel,

Filed,

Plends,

14th July 1893

Myself

THE PEOPLE

*34
Julius
Lebner*

Frederick Schlichting.

Assault in the First Degree, etc. (Sections 217 and 218, Penal Code.)

*committed
George S.*

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A-TRUE BILL.

Lawrence McKee

Foreman.

*Part 2 - Dec. 4th 1893.
Jury and Foreman of
Court 3rd Reg. with
recommendations & money
to Mrs. Peck
Dec. 12/93.*

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0657

Police Court— 6th District.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

James Weber

of No. 1046 Tiffany Street,

(aged 57 years) Occupation Painter being duly sworn, deposes and says, that

on Tuesday the 5th day of July

in the year 1893 at the City of New York, in the County of New York,

he was violently and feloniously ASSAULTED and BEATEN by Paul Schlichting

who cut and stabbed deponent upon the right side of the head with the blade of a knife, which knife he the said deponent then and there held in his hand, thereby cutting deponent's head, that deponent was so violently and feloniously assaulted and beaten

with the felonious intent to take the life of deponent, & to do him grievous bodily harm; and without any justification on the part of the said assailant :

Wherefore this deponent prays that the said assailant may be apprehended and bound to answer for the above assault, etc., and be dealt with according to law.

Sworn to before me, this 5th day of July 1893 } Paul Weber

C. E. Dunnington POLICE JUSTICE.

Sec. 198-200.

6 District Police Court. 1883

City and County of New York, ss:

Fred Schlichting being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him, if he see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Fred Schlichting*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *36 years -*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *Germany -*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *Intervale au ^{North} 109th St. 1 Month*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Laborer*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer. *I am only guilty of the charge
Fred Schlichting*

Taken before me this *6th* day of *July*, 1893
E. J. Mumford
Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0659

Sec. 151.

POLICE COURT, 6th DISTRICT.

CITY AND COUNTY } ss. *In the name of the People of the State of New York; To the Sheriff of the County*
OF NEW YORK, } *of New York, or any Marshal or Policeman of the City of New York, GREETING:*

Whereas, Complaint in writing, and upon oath, has been made before the undersigned one of the *Police*
Justices for the City of New York, by *Kenneth Weber*
of No. *1046 Tiffany* Street, that on the *5* day of *July*
18*93* at the City of New York, in the County of New York,

he was violently *and feloniously* **Assaulted** and **Beaten** by *Freu Lichtl*

Wherefore, the said Complainant has prayed that the said Defendant may be apprehended and bound to answer the said complaint.

These are Therefore, in the name of the PEOPLE of the State of New York, to command you, the said *Sheriff, Marshals and Policemen*, and each and every of you, to apprehend the said Defendant and bring *him* forthwith before me, at the *6th* DISTRICT POLICE COURT, in the said city, or in case of my absence or inability to act, before the nearest or most accessible Police Justice in this City, to answer the said charge, and to be dealt with according to law.

Dated at the City of New York, this *5th* day of *July* 18*93*

H. E. ... POLICE JUSTICE.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0660

Police Court.....District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.

Warrant-A. & B.

Dated.....188

Magistrate.

Officer

The Defendant *Frederick L. Litti*

taken, and brought before the Magistrate to answer the within charge, pursuant to the command contained in this Warrant.

John W. Foff Officer.

Dated *July 6th* 1883

This Warrant may be executed on Sunday or at night.

Police Justice.

Her. W-36 Lab. M. eyes. Intervall Ave near 169th

having been brought before me under this Warrant, is committed for examination to the WARDEN and KEEPER of the City Prison of the City of New York.

Dated.....188

Police Justice

The within named

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0661

BAILED,
 No. 1, by *Michael Squard*
 Residence *1051 9th Street*
 No. 2, by *Robert Sanders City Hall*
 Residence _____ Street _____
 No. 3, by _____ Street _____
 Residence _____ Street _____
 No. 4, by _____ Street _____
 Residence _____ Street _____

(W) 715
 Police Court... 6th District.

THE PEOPLE, Acc.
 ON THE COMPLAINT OF
James Tappan
1046 10th Street N.Y.
Shedden Livingston
 Offence *Assault*

Dated *July 6th* 1893
Brown Magistrate
John Smith Officer
65th Court Precinct

Witnesses
 No. *626 E 152* Street
Mr. Stuyvesant
 No. *80 E 165th* Street
Mr. Munroe

No. *1000* Street
10th Street
80th
Wick

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named *defendant*

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *Five* Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated *July 6th* 1893 *W. C. Cunningham* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named _____ to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated _____ 18 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____ guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order he to be discharged.

Dated _____ 18 _____ Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0662

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE, Part 11.

City and County of New York.

T h e P e o p l e,
vs.
F R E D E R I C K S C H L I C T I N G .

"
" Before
" HON. FREDERICK SMYTH,
" and a Jury.
"

Tried, December 6th, 1893.

Indicted for ASSAULT, in the FIRST DEGREE.

Indictment filed JULY 14TH, 1893.

APPEARANCES:

ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY THOMAS J. BRADLEY,
For THE PEOPLE.

MARK ALTER, ESQUIRE,
For THE DEFENSE.

ERNEST WEBER, THE COMPLAINANT, being duly sworn, and examined through the Official Interpreter, Doctor Dollin, testified that he lived at 1,046 Tiffany street, in the City of New York. He was a painter. He knew the defendant, and had known him about two years. On the day in question he met the defendant in Fox street, between 7 and 8 o'clock in the morning. The defendant spoke to him, but he told the defendant to go away, that he did not want to have anything to do with him. The defendant had a bricklayer's hod board on his shoulder, and commenced to beat him, the complainant, with it. The defendant struck him on the left arm, the chest and the shoulder with the board. He then ran away from the defendant, and the defendant ran after him for about three blocks. The defendant struck him on the head with the board, and then stabbed him with a knife in the head. The defendant then ran away. He, the complainant, went to a doctor and had his wounds dressed. He was stabbed when he was lying on the ground. He saw a knife in the defendant's hand just before

he was stabbed.

In cross-examination the complainant testified that, about two years before the assault, the defendant had worked for him. He had paid the defendant for all the work he had done. . . On the morning in question he, the complainant, did not have a pistol. Two weeks before that, the defendant had gone to his house and demanded wages which he had not earned, and the defendant assaulted him at that time; he told the defendant at that time, "just to scare him, " "If you return to my room, I will shoot you." He had a 38 calibre revolver in his house, but he never carried it in his pocket. He, the complainant, was not intoxicated on the morning in question. He knew a man named Norman for whom the defendant had worked. He did not go to Mr. Norman and have the defendant discharged.

CHARLES H. MESEROLE, being duly sworn, testified that he was a regularly graduated and practising physician in the City of New York. He treated the complainant for

injuries which he had received. The complainant's head was bruised, and there were two cuts on his head. None of the wounds which he found on the complainant's head were likely to cause death. The wounds, in his opinion, were made with some blunt instrument. The complainant was sober when he examined him.

MICHAEL KAUFFMAN, being duly sworn, testified that he resided at 626 East 152nd street, in the city of New York, and was a painter. He corroborated the complainant's story of the assault. He separated the complainant and defendant. The defendant said to the complainant, "You son of a bitch, I will kill you."

In cross-examination the witness testified that the defendant said something to the complainant about wages. After the complainant was hit in the head with the hod, he picked up a cobble stone from the street, but he did not hit the defendant with it.

In re-direct examination the witness tes-

tified that the complainant had the stone in his hand at the time of the first assault; the complainant had nothing in his hand at the time he was cut.

OFFICER JOHN W. FOLK, being duly sworn, testified that he arrested the defendant. At the time of the arrest, he asked the defendant why he had assaulted the complainant, and the defendant said he did it because the complainant owed him wages.

FOR THE DEFENSE, FREDERICK SCHLACTING, THE DEFENDANT, being duly sworn, testified, in his own behalf, that he was a painter and laborer. He was a married man. About two years previous to the trial, he had worked for the complainant. He remembered the 5th day of July, 1893, the day of the assault. The complainant had not paid him for the time he had worked for him. He had called on the complainant several times for the money, and, on one occasion, in June, 1893, the complainant assaulted him with a hammer and threatened him with a revolver. The complainant told

him that, if he went there for his wages again, he, the complainant, would shoot him. On the morning in question, he, the defendant, was on his way to work, when he was met by his boss and the complainant. His employer said to him, "You need not go to work this morning; I have got another man in your place." He then thought that the complainant had caused his discharge. The complainant then took hold of him, the defendant, and said, "I don't want to do anything with you, you loafer. Take this damn loafer away from me." He, the defendant, then got angry. The man who was with the complainant then caught hold of him and held him, while the complainant hit him in the face with his fist. The man interfered, and no harm was done at that time. The complainant and he then separated. A little while later, the complainant walked past his house, and his, the defendant's, wife got into a fight with the complainant. His wife was a small woman, and he thought the complainant might hurt her; so he took his hod and hit the complainant over the head with

it. The complainant had a cobble stone in his hand at that time, and was trying to hit him with it. He did not intend to kill the complainant. He had never been arrested in his life. His wife had deserted him and his two children. He had been out on bail, but his wife had induced the bondsman to surrender him.

In cross-examination the defendant testified that the man Nordtman had seen the complainant catch him by the throat. Nordtman was a mason, and lived at 167th street and Fox street. He had no idea where his wife could be found. On the morning of the assault he had a knife in his pocket, but he did not use it. He had not the knife at the time of the trial. He had never produced the knife. His wife did not appear in the Police Court.

KATE STUMPF, being duly sworn, testified that she knew the defendant, as a neighbor. She did not see any part of the quarrel between the complainant and the defendant.

C O P Y

REPORT OF N.Y.S.P.C.C. OFFICER MAKING INVESTIGATION IN
REGARD TO FAMILY OF
FRED. SCHLICHTING.

1893,

Dec. 8.

Officer Agnew at 3032 Third Avenue learned from Gus Greenwald, a butcher, that woman had letters addressed to her in his care, but that she had never lived there and he had not seen her for several days. Knows the man (Schlichting) and family for about two years. Man has worked for him at different times and can have work again at any time. He is a hardworking fellow, ready to work at anything. His wife is solely to blame for his trouble. They did not get along well of late on account of a boarder, whom Schlichting caught in bed with his wife.

Mr. Greenwald further stated that there was certainly an improper intimacy existing between Mrs. Schlichting and this boarder, whose name was Gilhausier; and he understands they are living together at the present time.

At Intervale Avenue, near Home Street, Officer learned that woman and children had moved away, but no one knew where she moved to. Woman was well spoken of.

At Martin's beer saloon, on Stebbins Avenue, Officer learned that woman worked there washing and cleaning from time to time, and that her last address was 474 Willis Avenue. Mrs. Martin knows nothing of Mrs. Schlichting's family affairs, always found her a hard working woman and believed her to be perfectly "straight".

At 474 Willis Avenue, Officer learned from Mrs. Baumister on the top floor that Mrs. Schlichting had been staying with her until yesterday when she moved away, leaving no address. The man Gilhausier also had a room in the flat; and Mr. Baumister did not like the way the woman was carrying on with this man and ordered her out. She had been living there since early in October. Mrs. Baumister said she did not like her name introduced and would rather not say anything.

When urged, however, Mrs. B. said Mrs. Schlichting and Gilhausier acted improperly, and that their rooms were connecting ones. Gilhausier is still living at 474 Willis Avenue.

At office of Supt. Blake of C. & C., officer learned that the child Frederick Schlichting, 6 years old, brought there by the mother on Oct. 9, 1893, was sent to the Randall's Island Hospital, child being feeble-minded. Woman then gave address of 474 Willis Avenue.

Dec. 9.

Officer again called on Mrs. Baumister, with a view to obtaining the whereabouts of Mrs. Schlichting, but Mrs. B. said she had not yet ascertained it, although she expected woman to call tomorrow (Sunday) and word was left for Mrs. Schlichting to call at Society's office on Monday at 8 A.M. Mrs. B. said Mrs. Schlichting was to blame for her husband's getting into trouble, as she called him a coward and encouraged him to strike the man.

Other child, William, aged 5 years, is with woman, wherever that may be.

**POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL**

0670

Dec. 11.

At 113 East 105th Street, Officer found Mrs. Schlichting living with Mrs. Callahan, the janitress of the building.

Woman said she never wants to see her husband again, and, if he will keep away from her, she will work for herself and children. Also said she would not live with him under any conditions. Had with her a five year old child, who appeared to be well cared for. Said her husband had always been jealous of her without any cause.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Frederick Schlichting

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Frederick Schlichting

of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE FIRST DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

Frederick Schlichting

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the day of July in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-three, with force and arms, at the City and County aforesaid, in and upon the body of one Emil Weber in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously did make an assault, and the said Emil Weber with a certain knife

which the said

Frederick Schlichting

in his right hand then and there had and held, the same being a deadly and dangerous weapon, then and there wilfully and feloniously did strike, beat, cut, stab and wound,

with intent

him the said Emil Weber

thereby then and there feloniously and wilfully to kill, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said

Frederick Schlichting

of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

Frederick Schlichting

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: On the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and upon the body of the said Emil Weber in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully make another assault, and him the said Emil Weber

with a certain

knife

which the said

Frederick Schlichting

in his right hand then and there had and held, the same being a weapon and an instrument likely to produce grievous bodily harm, then and there feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully strike, beat, cut, stab and wound, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

THIRD COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said

Frederick Schlichting

of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said *Frederick Schlichting*

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: On the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and upon the said *Emil Weber* in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully make another assault, and *him* the said

with a certain *knife* *Emil Weber*

which *he* the said *Frederick Schlichting* in *his* right hand then and there had and held, in and upon the *head and face* of *him* the said *Emil Weber*

then and there feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully strike, beat, stab, cut, bruise and wound, and did then and there and by the means aforesaid, feloniously, wilfully and wrongfully inflict grievous bodily harm upon the said

Emil Weber

against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

0673

BOX:

528

FOLDER:

4815

DESCRIPTION:

Schranky, Samuel

DATE:

07/14/93



4815

1709
D. H. Alexander
Counsel,

Filed *at* day of July 189

Pleas, *at* July 17

THE PEOPLE
vs.
South Western
Samuel Schenley
Grand Larceny, [Sections 622, 624, Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

Henry [Signature]

Foreman.
Paid 2 July 26/93
P leads liability.
2 Apr 6 Ms of PA
per [Signature]

Witnesses:

W. [Signature]
Murphy
Office

Police Court— / District. Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County of New York, ss.

Pauline Jamin Berlin

of No. 55 Mott Street, aged 44 years, occupation House Keeper

deposes and says, that on the 19 day of June 1893 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in the day time, the following property, viz:

To wit: One hundred and fifty one dollars good and lawful money of the United States

the property of Depoent

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away by Sam Schranky for the reason that on said date deponent gave the defendant (who was in her employ) the said sum of money to deposit for her account in the Bowery Bank. Deponent has been to said Bank and was there informed that the defendant had never deposited the said money. Defendant further has never returned to deponent

Wherefore she charges the said Schranky with Grand Larceny and prays that he be apprehended and dealt with as the law may direct.

Pauline Jamin

Sworn to before me, this 19 day of June 1893

Handwritten signature of the Justice of the Peace

Sec. 198-200.

1882
District Police Court.

City and County of New York, ss:

Sam Schranky being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Sam Schranky

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

17 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

Russia

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

132 Graham Avenue Williamsburgh 2 years

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Grand Boy

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer.

I am not guilty

S. Schranky

Taken before me this 17th day of July 1892
[Signature]
Police Justice

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0677

Police Court 1 District.

Sec. 151.

CITY AND COUNTY } ss. *In the name of the People of the State of New York; To the Sheriff of the County*
OF NEW YORK, } *of New York, or any Marshal or Policeman of the City of New York:*

Whereas, Complaint in writing, and upon oath, has been made before the undersigned, one of the Police Justices for the City of New York, by Pauline - Kim on of No. 55 Mott Street, that on the 19 day of June 1893 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, the following article to wit:

Five Hundred and fifty One Dollars
good and lawful money of the United States
of the value of Five hundred and fifty one Dollars,
the property of Defendant
was taken, stolen and carried away and as the said complainant has cause to suspect, and does suspect and believe, by Sam Schramky

Wherefore, the said Complainant has prayed that the said Defendant may be apprehended and bound to answer the said complaint.

These are Therefore, in the name of the PEOPLE of the State of New York, to command you the said Sheriff, Marshals and Policemen, and every of you, to apprehend the body of the said Defendant and forthwith bring him before me, at the 1 DISTRICT POLICE COURT, in the said City, or in case of my absence or inability to act, before the nearest or most accessible Police Justice in this City, to answer the said charge, and to be dealt with according to law.

Dated at the City of New York, this 7 day of July 1893
Robert [Signature] POLICE JUSTICE.

Police Court District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.

Warrant-Larceny.

Dated 188

Magistrate

Trick & Murphy Officers.

The Defendant
taken, and brought before the Magistrate, to answer
the within charge, pursuant to the command con-
tained in this Warrant.

Officer.

Dated *July 7* 188*8*

This Warrant may be executed on Sunday or at
night.

Comrad
Police Justice.

having been brought before me under this Warrant, is committed for examination to the
WARDEN and KEEPER of the City Prison of the City of New York.

Dated 188

Police Justice

The within named

BAILIED,

No. 1, by

Residence

No. 2, by

Residence

No. 3, by

Residence

No. 4, by

Residence

1250x
Police Court...

1384
District.

THE PEOPLE, etc.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Richard James

55 West

1 Ann St. N.Y.C.

2
3
4

Offense

Grand Larceny

Dated

July 9th 1893

Magistrate

Ward

Officer

Murphy & Smith

Precinct

C.O.

Witnesses

No.

Street

No.

Street

No.

Street

\$ 1000

to answer

Ed

No.

Street

R
July 10, 1893

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

Defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of 1000 Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, July 10, 1893
Police Justice

I have admitted the above-named
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, 189 Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named
guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, 189 Police Justice.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Samuel Schranzky

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Samuel Schranzky

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE *first* DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said *Samuel Schranzky*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *nineteenth* day of *June* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three*, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

the sum of five hundred and fifty-one dollars in money, lawful money of the United States of America, and of the value of five hundred and fifty-one dollars

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one *Pauline Simon*

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

De Lancy McCall
District Attorney

0681

BOX:

528

FOLDER:

4815

DESCRIPTION:

Seaman, Charles G

DATE:

07/14/94



4815

Witnesses:

John J. Clendenen

~~John J. Clendenen~~

James Deery

Counsel,

189

Filed 14 day of July

Plends, *Myself* 17

THE PEOPLE

vs. *Charles S. Seaman*

Charles S. Seaman

Grand Larceny, *Second* Degree, [Sections 528, 531, Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

William McKeane

Park 2, July 27, 1931 foreman.

Indicted and convicted

off in 1928 date

24/12/4 Mrs S.S.

2 Nov 17/93

Wm

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE STATE OF TEXAS,

SHIRLEY ANN BARNETT, Plaintiff,

VERSUS

YOUNG BARNETT, Defendant,
et al.
CHARLES H. SWANAN.

vs.
HON. FREDERICK SMITH,
County Judge.

WARRANT, JULY 20TH, 1938.

1. I HEREBY ORDER GRANT BARNETT, 1st DISTRICT DECADE.
1. I HEREBY ORDER JULY 19TH, 1938.

APPEARANCES:

ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY BARLOW S. WENKS,
FOR THE PEOPLE.

JACOB BRALINGER, FROQUE,
FOR THE DEFENSE.

On June 12, 1954, at St. Louis, Missouri, the witness was interviewed by Special Agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, St. Louis, Missouri, and advised that he had no knowledge of the person named [redacted] in the above captioned case.

The witness further advised that he had never seen [redacted] in St. Louis, Missouri, and that he had never seen [redacted] in the vicinity of St. Louis, Missouri, during the time that he was employed by the [redacted] Company, St. Louis, Missouri, from [redacted] to [redacted]. The witness further advised that he had never seen [redacted] in the vicinity of St. Louis, Missouri, during the time that he was employed by the [redacted] Company, St. Louis, Missouri, from [redacted] to [redacted].

GEORGE M. LEWIS, a law enforcement officer, St. Louis, Missouri, testified that he had never seen [redacted] in St. Louis, Missouri, and that he had never seen [redacted] in the vicinity of St. Louis, Missouri, during the time that he was employed by the [redacted] Company, St. Louis, Missouri, from [redacted] to [redacted].

Sec. 198-200.

2 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss.

Charles G. Seaman being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Charles G. Seaman*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *57 Years.*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *N.Y.*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *233 Spring Street 4 Years*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Printer*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. *I am not guilty*
Charles G. Seaman

Taken before me this *10* day of *July* 1897
Charles G. Seaman

Police Justice.

BAILED,
 No. 1, by
 Residence Street
 No. 2, by
 Residence Street
 No. 3, by
 Residence Street
 No. 4, by
 Residence Street

Police Court... 2
 District. 744

THE PEOPLE, Ac.,
 ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Mary Robinson
 105 St. 2nd Flr
 Charles E. Stannard

1
 2
 3
 4
 Offense Carrying
Firearm

Held July 10. 1893
 No. 1
 Street

Magistrate
 Charles G. Brown
 Officer

Witnesses
 No. 1
 Street
 No. 2
 Street

No. 1
 Street
 No. 2
 Street

No. 1
 Street
 \$ 1000 to answer
 J.S.P.

No. 1
 Street
 No. 2
 Street

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of ten Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, July 10 1893 [Signature] Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named _____ to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____ guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0701

1877.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

John T. Barker
aged 2 years, occupation Police Officer of No. 5th Avenue Palmer Street, being duly sworn, deposes and says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Samuel Coombes and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 10 day of July 1893
John T. Barker
Samuel Coombes
Police Justice.

Police Court 2 District. Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County }
of New York, } ss:

Mary Lamborn
of No. 538 2nd Avenue Street, aged 28 years.

occupation Wash House being duly sworn,

deposes and says, that on the 9 day of July 1893 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in the day time, the following property, viz:

One Diamond Ring of the value of One hundred and fifty dollars

\$150.00

the property of Deponent

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away by Charles E. Seaman (number) from the fact that on said date at about the hour of 10 A. M. said defendant was in the above premises with deponent and deponent was showing said defendant said Ring when the said defendant seized said Ring and ran away with it. Deponent caused the arrest of said defendant by Officer Clarke and Brown of the 1st Precinct Police who found in the possession of said defendant a Diamond Ring. Deponent has since seen the Ring in the possession of said defendant and fully and positively identifies it as the property taken, stolen and carried away from deponent's possession Mary Lamborn

Sworn to before me, this 10 day of July 1893 at New York Police Justice.

Court of General Sessions

The People

vs
Charles G. Beaman

City and County of New York:

Charles G. Beaman being duly sworn, says he is the defendant above named, that on or about the 9th day of July 1843 he was arrested on complaint of one Mary Cronheim and taken to the Spring Street Station, that the officer who arrested him took ^{from} him the property mentioned in the wrapped schedule marked "A" which is all the money and property, this defendant owns and which defendant is informed by his Counsel A. J. Moore is necessary to procure the minutes of his said trial and conviction for Grand Larceny and to properly prepare his motion for a new trial herein; and defendant prays that an order be granted herein causing and requiring said property to be transferred to A. J. Moore his Counsel

Sworn to before me
September 6. 1843

J. Amest W. Binck
Commissioner of Beeds

Chas. G. Beaman

General Sessions Court

The People of the
State of New York

vs

Charles G. Seaman

City and County of New York for

Andrew J. Mason

being duly sworn says he is an attorney and Counsel
of the Supreme Court with an office at 25
Chambers St; that on or about the 26th
day of August last he was retained by
defendant [who was on July 26th last
convicted of Grand Larceny before his Hon
Recorder Smythe and a jury in part 2 of this
Court] to move for a new trial on newly
discovered evidence.

That when defendant was arrested on
July 9th last he had in his possession certain
property mentioned in schedule "A" hereto
annexed as defendant is informed and that
when defendant was arrested the officers who
made the arrest took from his possession
and person all of said property and the same
is now in possession of the property clerk at
Police Head Quarters, that on behalf of
defendant and with his order the for this

deponent made application for said property
to said property clerk which was refused.

That the stenographers fees for a copy of
the minutes of said trial are about the
sum of \$ That defendant informs
deponent that he is poor and has no other
property and deponent advises defendant that
the same is necessary in order to obtain a
copy of said minutes and also to properly
prepare said motion for a new trial.

Deponent is also further informed
by defendant that there is a card among
said property and effects in the handwriting
of the Complainant Mary Cronheim which
materially contradicts the testimony given
by her upon the trial hereof and which is very
material to the trial motion for
a new trial herein

Sworn to before me
September 6th 1893

A. J. Moore,

Joseph J. Mulvaney

Commissioner of Deeds
for the City of New York.

Bank Book.

\$22.50 Gold.

\$15.00 Bills.

Pair Earrings.

Card, in complainant's handwriting,
Memorandum Book.

Letters, Papers, etc

Court of General Sessions

At a special term of this Court held at the
Court House in the Chambers of said Court
in the City of New York on the Day of
September 1893.

Present Hon. D. Smythe, Recorder

The People
— vs —
Charles G. Seaman

On reading ^{and} filing the affidavits of Charles G.
Seaman the defendant above named and Andrew
J. Moore his Counsel, dated ^{and} verified September
6th 1893. And on motion of A. J. Moore Counsel
for said defendant;

Ordered; that the property clerk
at Police Head Quarters in the City of
New York or any other person holding the
money or property of said defendant Charles
G. Seaman deliver the same to said defendant
or his representative ^{and} Counsel A. J. Moore on
production of a certified copy of this order.

Count of General Sessions

The People of the State of New York

— aged —

Charles S. Seaman
Applicant and Order
for property of Dept

C. J. Moore
copy for each of
25 chambers
Chambers, N.Y.
July

[Handwritten signature]
New York
July

Court of General Sessions

People
vs
Charles J. Seaman

City and County of New York ss

Peter De Baum
being duly sworn deposes and says that he
resides at 330 W 11 St in said City
That he has known Charles J. Seaman
the defendant herein for 30 years, and
that his general reputation for honesty
has always been good.

Sworn to before me this } Peter De Baum
17 day of November 1893 }
Hugh J. Williams
J. H. Williams

Court of General Sessions

People
vs
Charles J. Seaman

City and County of New York Res:

Lizzie Smith
being duly sworn deposes and says that she resides at No 340 East 11th Street in the City of New York and is engaged in the confectionery business. That she knows the defendant above named, also the complainant. That in a conversation with the complainant and in the presence of deponent's husband, the said complainant said to deponent that she gave the ring, which is the subject of the criminal action, to the defendant. That when said complainant swore on the witness stand that she was a widow or a single woman, she swore to that which was not true, because said complainant then had and has now a husband living somewhere on Coney Island from last accounts and the said complainant said to deponent that her husband was still living

Sworn to before me this } Lizzie Schmitt.
9. day of November 1893 }

Henry C. ...
Notary Public

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

07111

City & County of New York ss:

Being duly sworn deposes & says that he is the husband of deponent in the foregoing affidavit, that he has read said foregoing affidavit and that the same is true

Smith

Sworn to before me this } at New York
7th day of November 1843 }

Wm. H. ...
Notary Public

Court of General Sessions

The People
vs
Charles G. Steaman

Please take notice that I appear for
the above named defendant for the
purpose of moving the Court for a new
trial upon newly discovered evidence
and require that I be notified of the time
of the proposed sentence of said
defendant. All subsequent proceedings.
Sept 6th 1893.

A. J. Moor
Depts atty
25 Chambers st
N.Y.

To
De Lacey K. Roll Esq
Dist Atty

Court of General Sessions

The People of the
State of New York

vs

Charles G. Seamon

Alice Pelamier

A. J. Moore
Deputy Attorney
25 Chambers St
N.Y. City

New York, Oct. 19, 1893.
Solomon Nichols, Esq.

Dist. Atty. of the City, N.Y.
Dear Sir:

I am informed that you
are a just man, and the
public position which you
hold warrants me in writing
to you without the necessity of
a personal acquaintance.

I am now a prisoner
for 96 days, wrongfully
convicted - but not sentenced
of a crime of which I
am not guilty, - principally
upon the perjured evidence
of Mary Cronheim, but
partly by trickery of an

assistant in the Dept. of Public
Justice, of which you are
the head.

This wrong should
be made right.

Will you grant an
interview, that I may
explain myself more
fully?

With all due respect
to your honored position
and a lover of peace
and justice, I remain,

Very Respectfully,
Chas. G. Seaman

New York.

Sept. 16. 1873

John Smith
of the City of N.Y.

Dear Sir:

I was tried and
convicted of grand larceny
in the second degree in
the month of July.

I am 51 years of age;
served in the Navy (U.S.)
during the war; elected
Justice of the Peace ^(Democratic) in
Bergen Co. N.J.; for several
years a School Trustee
and District Clerk;
appointed a Notary Public
for the State of N.J. in 1837
by Gov. Green, and served
on Jury in Special Sessions
in May last.

I have always lived
a reputable life, and
never been convicted
of any crime whatever,
and I declare upon
honour that I am not
guilty of this.

I ask you to give
me justice and to save
me from any further
trouble. I firmly believe
you will do so for
investigation of my case.

I am fully vindicated
my name and reputation.

Very respectfully

Charles A. Seaman

Court of General Sessions of the Peace
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Charles G. Seaman

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Charles G. Seaman
of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE *second* DEGREE, committed
as follows:

The said

Charles G. Seaman

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *ninth*
day of *July* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and
ninety-*three* at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

*one finger ring of the value
of one hundred and fifty dollars*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

Mary Cronheim

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against
the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People
of the State of New York and their dignity.

*De Laurence Nicoll
District Attorney.*

0719

BOX:

528

FOLDER:

4815

DESCRIPTION:

Seymour, John

DATE:

07/10/93



4815

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0720

13434X

Witnesses:

John Seymour

Counsel,

Filed day of *July* 189*3*

Plends. *Allegedly*

THE PEOPLE

64 vs.

John Seymour

Grand Larceny, (From the Person), Degree. [Sections 828, 829, Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

James McKeon

Foreman.

Aug 8, 1893
Pleaded, struck, & n.p.
2 yrs + 6 mos
Aug 16, 1893

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0721

Police Court— District. Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County of New York, ss. Joseph Cottrell of No. 204 Willis Avenue Street, aged 56 years, occupation Electrician being duly sworn, deposes and says, that on the 2nd day of July 1899 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in the night time, the following property, viz:

One gold cased watch of the value of Fifty Dollars

the property of deponent

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away by John Seymour (now here) from the fact that while deponent was standing near entrance to the Manhattan Elevated Rail Road Ferry he was approached by the defendant who seized the said property from deponent's pocket and was in the act of getting away when deponent seized him and in his possession found the property.

Joseph Cottrell

Sworn to before me, this 18th day of July 1899
[Signature]
Police Justice

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court.

City and County of New York, ss:

John Seymour being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him, that the statement is designed to enable him, if he see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him, that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

John Seymour

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

Out year

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

MS

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

Refused.

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Laborer

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer.

*I am not guilty -
John Seymour
mark*

Taken before me this
day of July 189 B
[Signature]
Police Justice

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0723

BAILED,

No. 1, by

Residence

No. 2, by

Residence

No. 3, by

Residence

No. 4, by

Residence

Police Court... District

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT

Joseph Campbell
207 West 10th Ave
New York

1
2
3
4

Offense

Dated July 4 1893

Magistrate

Officer

Prisoner

Witnesses

No. Street

No. Street

No. Street

\$ 1000 to answer



It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of 1000 Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, July 4 1893 Thomas J. [Signature] Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named _____ to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____ guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

John Seymour

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

John Seymour of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY in the first degree, committed as follows:

The said

John Seymour

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the second day of July in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-three, in the night-time of the said day, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

one watch of the value of fifty dollars

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Joseph Cottrell on the person of the said Joseph Cottrell then and there being found, from the person of the said Joseph Cottrell then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

De Lancey Nicoll District Attorney

0725

BOX:

528

FOLDER:

4815

DESCRIPTION:

Simon, Edward

DATE:

07/12/93



4815

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0726

Bail fixed at \$500
July 14/93

Witnesses:

Michael Coffey

Edward
Simon

~~George H. ...~~
Counsel,

Filed 14th day of July 1893

Pleads, Myself

THE PEOPLE,

vs.
Edward Simon

H. D.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

James McKee

Part of July 19th Foreman.

James McKee
Jury and Committee
L. M. ...

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0727

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS.

-----x
 In the Matter of the People :
 :
 --against-- :
 Edward Simon. :
 -----x

SIR:-

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on the annexed petition I shall make a motion before the Hon. Frederick Smyth, Recorder, at Part II of the Court of General Sessions of this City and County, on the 18th day of May, 1894, at the opening of Court on that day, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, for an order remitting the fine imposed upon the defendant in the above entitled proceeding, and for such other and further relief as to the Court may seem just.

Dated, May 17th, 1894.

Yours, &c.,

*Truffa & Keenan
 atty in petition*

To Hon. John R. Bellows,

To The District Attorney,
 County of New York.

To

HON. FREDERICK SMYTH, Recorder

of the City and County of New York.

The petition of the undersigned respectfully shows:-

That your petitioner is the father of Edward Simon, convicted in this Court on the 19th day of July, 1893, of assault in the third degree and at that time sentenced to the penitentiary for one year and to pay a fine of Fifty Dollars (\$50); that your petitioner is a very poor man, hardly able to support his family out of his earnings and that to his knowledge the defendant is not possessed of any means whatever; that the offence referred to was the first one the defendant was ever convicted of and was the first time that he was ever arrested; that he had always previously borne a good character, and that your petitioner believes the assault was committed under great provocation; that the defendant has about completed his term of imprisonment and your petitioner prays that the fine imposed upon him be remitted and that he be allowed to return to his home.

That he has always assisted your petitioner in the support of his family and that his imprisonment has worked great hardship on your petitioner and his wife and children.
Dated, New York, May 1st, 1894.

*By (presumably)
Henry Simon*

Henry Simon

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss.

HENRY SIMON, being duly sworn, says that he is the petitioner named in the foregoing petition; that he has heard the said petition read and knows the contents thereof

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0729

to be true to his own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this :

1 day of May, 1894. :

David Ruffin
John Ruffin
John Ruffin

John Ruffin
John Ruffin
John Ruffin

We, the undersigned, respectfully petition that the fine imposed upon Edward Simon in addition to imprisonment for one year in the penitentiary be remitted, as we firmly believe that the ends of justice have been fully served by the imprisonment of the defendant.

Herbert K. ...

Thomas Hunter
Philip (Duggan)
Andrew Williamson
Ed. Armstrong

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0730

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS.

Sir: Please take notice that the within is

a true copy of

in above entitled action, this day duly filed and entered in the office of the Clerk of the

In the Matter of the People

above named Court in the

--against--

in the City of New York.

Edward Simon.

Dated, 18

Yours &c.,

DUFFEY & KIERNAN,

Attorneys for

99 Nassau Street,
New York.

Notice of Motion and Petition.

To Esq.,

DUFFEY & KIERNAN,

Attorneys for Petitioner,
99 NASSAU STREET,
NEW YORK.

Attorney for

*Mr. John A. Albery Esq.
in Office of Clerk
-v-
Rayner &
Belcher & Co.
May 18 / 1899*

To Esq.,

Attorney for

Due and timely service of a copy of the within

is hereby admitted.

Dated, 1899

Attorney for

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0731

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE,
CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

T H E P E O P L E

Before

-against-

HON. FREDERICK SMYTH

EDWARD SIMON.

and a Jury.

TRIED, NEW YORK, JULY 18TH, 1893.

INDICTED FOR MAIMING.

INDICTMENT FILED JULY 12TH, 1893.

APPEARANCES:

BARTOW S. WEEKS, ESQ.,

For THE PEOPLE.

GERHARDT PHILLIPS, ESQ.,

For THE DEFENSE.

MICHAEL COFFEY, the complainant, testified that he is a bricklayer, and lives in Boston, Massachusetts. He came to New York on the night of July 8th, arriving in New York about 7.30 or 8 o'clock, at the Grand Central Depot. The complainant first saw the defendant in Fordham, where the electric cars run. The complainant rode to Fordham on the electric cars. He, the complainant, and another young man were together and had just had a drink and intended to return on the next car. The young man's name was William Hickey. The complainant met Hickey in a barroom in Harlem. He met the defendant out on the sidewalk in Fordham, after he had had his drink. The defendant said, "Yous fellows will get fanned with a club." The complainant said, "Go on, and mind your own business". The defendant then followed the complainant to the car and called him a son-of-a-bitch and other vile names, "and he caught me by the foot and tried to pull me off the car , and he twisted my foot up against the stanchion, and I had to get my foot clear; and I got down and we had a couple of

punches; and he clinched, and we fell down, and he bit the top of my nose nearly off. He struck first. When he bit my nose I was lying in the mud in the street, and the defendant was on top of me, and I hollloed for the police, and they did not come, and I had to let him go; and he called for another man on the sidewalk to help him punch me, and he ran away, and I found the fellows hat, near the car, and his initials, "N.S." were in the hat. And I saw two policemen, and I said I would like to have that fellow locked up; and the policemen looked at the initials in the hat, and said that he knew the fellow." The complainant had his nose dressed and then went to the station-house, where he found the defendant locked up. (At this point in the proceeding the bandage is removed from the complainant's nose, and the wound is examined by the Jury) The complainant testified that he was also wounded in the back of the head, and showed the wound to the Jury. In

C r o s s - E x a m i n a t i o n

the witness testified that he has been a bricklayer

**POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL**

0734

4

for 10 years, and worked for Gilchrist Brothers and Hussey & Co. He went to Fordham for a ride on the electric road. The complainant walked from the Grand Central Depot to Harlem and there took an electric car. The complainant met Hickey in a barroom in Harlem, and he, the complainant, had six glasses of beer that day. He, the complainant, first met the defendant about 2 o'clock in the morning. He, the complainant, never before saw the defendant, and did nothing to him. He, the complainant, did not sit on the stoop of the defendant's parents and make a noise, and the defendant did not ask him, the complainant, to go away, and the complainant did not call the defendant a sheeney son-of-a-bitch, and did not say that he would kill him. He did not put his arm around the defendant's throat. He, the complainant, admitted that he was once arrested for being drunk, and was fined \$5., which he paid, in Boston, three years last November, but was never convicted of any other crime, and he was never engaged in any fights or assaults. Hickey was on the car, and saw the defendant catch him, the complainant by the

foot and try to pull him off the electric car.

DENIS McMAHON testified that he is an officer of the Municipal Police, attached to the 34th precinct. He first saw the complainant at about 10 minutes to 2, in Fordham Square, about 200 feet from the terminus of the electric line. The defendant lives close near there. The complainant's face and head and clothing were covered with blood and mud, and he spoke to the witness, who took him to the Fordham Hospital, but first they found the defendant's hat where the assault was committed, near the terminus of the electric road, and about 50 feet from the defendant's house. The witness took the hat and accompanied the complainant to the hospital, where his wounds were treated by Dr. McCabe, and from there the witness took the complainant to the defendant's house. The defendant was asleep. He was partially undressed. The witness put the defendant under arrest, and asked him whether he had had any trouble

with the complainant, and he said yes, that the complainant was on top of him, and that he, the defendant, had to do something to get away. The complainant's nose, at that time, was bitten. The roundsman asked the defendant if he, the defendant, bit the complainant's nose, and the complainant said, "What was I going to do to get away".

JOHN J. McCABE testified that he is a physician, a graduate of the University of the City of New York. On June 9th he was attached to the Fordham Hospital and attended the complainant. He found him suffering with an injured nose that could have been done by a bite; also a scalp wound. He treated the wound temporarily, and his examination of the wound would not enable him to say whether or not it was a serious wound.

WILLIAM C. GILLEY testified that he is a practising physi-

**POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL**

0737

7

cian, a graduate from the College of Physicians and Surgeons of New York City, of 1885. He treated the complainant and found lacerated wounds on the nose and cheek and head. The wounds of the nose were of such a character as could have been inflicted by a bite. In his, the witness's opinion the complainant has so far recovered from the wound that he is not necessarily disfigured in personal appearance, or disabled in any member or organ of his body. In

C r o s s - E x a m i n a t i o n

the witness testified that, in his opinion, the wound did not seriously disfigure him.

THE DEFENSE.

--

EDWARD SIMON, the defendant, testified that he lived in Fordham, near Pelham Avenue, with his parents who keep a glass store. The defendant further testified "On the morning of July 9th, about 2 o'clock, these two men was at the door. They had opened the door and slammed it a couple of times, and was making a terrible noise, and I came out and I said, that they had no business there and people wanted some rest. One of them turned around and said, 'You are a God damned sheeney son-of-a-bitch,' and he knocked me down and kicked me, and I holloaed 'Police! Murder!' and both of them kicked me and I could not get my breath, and then they ran away, and I went into the house and laid down on the lounge, and fell asleep, and the officer came and found me in my night-clothes and arrested me." The defendant had never before been in trouble. In answer to the question, "Well you were in Court here this morning when your

counsel pointed out a red mark on your forehead and told me that that was where you were injured, and the red mark was where your hat fitted tight; is not that so?" The witness said "Yes." The defendant received only a few slight scratches, that went right away, and he did not require a physician and did not ask to have one called in to attend him.

SIMON SILVER, a witness for the defense, testified that he is in the clothing business at 81 Bayard Street. He had known the defendant 15 or 16 years. The defendant's reputation was good.

C r o s s - E x a m i n a t i o n

(None.)

MOSESPRICE, a witness for the defense, testified that he is a real estate broker. He had known the defendant about 16 or 17 years, and his general reputation

was good.

C r o s s - E x a m i n a t i o n .

(None.)

SIMON JACOBSON, a witness for the defense, testified that he is in the Crockery and Hardware business. The defendant's general reputation was good.

C r o s s - E x a m i n a t i o n .

(None.)

LOUIS BLOCK, a witness for the defense, testified that he is in the crockery and house furnishing goods business. The defendant's general reputation was good.

C r o s s - E x a m i n a t i o n .

(None.)

(The Jury rendered a verdict of assault in the 3rd degree)

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0741

Police Court— 6th District.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Michael Coffey -

of House of Detention Street

aged 37 years - occupation Brick Layer being duly sworn, deposes and says, that

on Sunday the 9th day of July

in the year 1883 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, at about the hour of ten o'clock a.m. he was violently and feloniously ASSAULTED and BEATEN by Edward Simon

(now here) who struck deponent several violent blows upon the body with his fist thereby knocking deponent down, and while deponent was prostrate upon the ground, the said deponent did unlawfully seize hold of deponent's nose between his teeth, and did bite off a portion of deponent's nose that deponent was so violently assaulted and beaten and received a wound

with the felonious intent to ~~take the life of deponent,~~ or to do him grievous bodily harm; and without any justification on the part of the said assailant :

Wherefore this deponent prays that the said assailant may be ~~apprehended and~~ bound to answer for the above assault, etc., and be dealt with according to law.

Sworn to before me, this 9th day of July, 1883 } Michael Coffey

C. E. Simmons Jr. POLICE JUSTICE.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0742

Sec. 198-200.

60th

1882
District Police Court.

City and County of New York, ss:

Edwam Simon being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him, if he see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Edwam Simon*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *20 years -*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *New York City*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *Fordham*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Blazier*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer. *I am not guilty of the charge*

[Large handwritten flourish]

Ed. Simon

Taken before me this *9th* day of *July*, 1893 }
Edwam Simon
Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0743

BAILED.

No. 1, by _____
Residence _____ Street _____

No. 2, by _____
Residence _____ Street _____

No. 3, by _____
Residence _____ Street _____

No. 4, by _____
Residence _____ Street _____

Police Court --- *10th* District, *1893*

THE PEOPLE, Acc.
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Michael Coffey
Robert D. O'Connell
Richard Shannon

Office *Annandale*
Stony

Dated *July 9th* 18*93*

James - Magistrate

Benjamin McMichael Office

34th Precinct

HOUSE OF DETENTION CASE.

Witnesses

White Street _____

Michael Coffey complainant

Committee to Stop Street _____

Retention in default of Street _____

100-Block Street _____

1111 Treasurer *1893*

Case

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named *Defendants*

Ben guilty thereof. I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *Ben* Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated *July 9th* 18*93* *BE* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named _____ to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated _____ 18 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____ guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order he to be discharged.

Dated _____ 18 _____ Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0744

AFFIDAVIT FOR COMMITMENT OF WITNESS.

4771

POLICE COURT 6th DISTRICT.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Sworn to before me, this 9th
day of July 1893

Dennis McMahon

of the 34th Precinct Police, being duly sworn, deposes
and says that Michael Coffey -
(now here) is a material witness for the people against
Edw. Simon - charged
with Felony Assault. As deponent has
cause to fear that the said Michael Coffey -
will not appear in court to testify when wanted, deponent prays
that the said Michael Coffey - be
committed to the House of Detention in default of bail for his
appearance.

Dennis McMahon

Ed. Simon
Police Justice.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

Edward Simon

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this
Indictment accuse *Edward Simon* —

of the crime of *Maiming* —

committed as follows:

The said *Edward Simon*, —

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York, aforesaid, on the

ninth day of *July* in the year of our Lord one thousand
eight hundred and ~~eighty~~ *ninety-three*, at the City and County aforesaid,

with intent to injure and disfigure one
Michael Coffey, in and upon the said
Michael Coffey, willfully and feloniously
did make an assault, and with the
intent aforesaid did then and there
willfully and feloniously bite off a
portion of the nose of the said Michael
Coffey, thereby inflicting upon the
person of the said Michael Coffey an
injury which seriously disfigured his
person by such mutilation thereof as
aforesaid: against the form of the

0746

BOX:

528

FOLDER:

4815

DESCRIPTION:

Simon, Samuel

DATE:

07/13/93



4815

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0747

107

Witnesses:

Geary
Loeb

Counsel,

Filed

day of

1898

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Samuel Simon

Forgery in the Second Degree.
[Sections 511 and 521, Penal Code.]
(Endorsement, etc.)

DE LANCEY NICOLI,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

Lancey Nicoli

Foreman.

Geary

Loeb

Simon

Police Court, 1 District.

(1352)

City and County }
of New York, } ss.

of No. 9 Broadway
occupation Ticket agent

Goetz Loeb

Street, aged 49 years,
being duly sworn, deposes and says,

that on the 1 day of July 1893, at the City of New York, in the County of New York,

Samuel Simon (now here) did willfully and unlawfully - and with intent to defraud make forge and utter - and endorsement on a check (hereto annexed) of the name Adolf Stern - thereby defrauding deponent out of one hundred and eighty nine ^{57/100} dollars -

On said date the defendant went to deponent and represented to him that he was Adolf Stern and that the annexed check was his property and that it was good defendant further said to deponent that he wanted to buy a passenger ticket to Hamburg - Germany - deponent believing the representations of the defendant to be true sold to him (defendant) a passenger ticket to Hamburg Germany - and took said check in payment thereof and gave to defendant the sum of ~~one~~ one hundred and sixty three ^{57/100} dollars in good and lawful money of the United States together with said passenger ticket, for said check - Deponent further says that the defendant in the presence of deponent wrote the endorsement - "Adolf Stern" on said check - Deponent deposited said check and it was returned to him as payment thereof had been stopped deponent is informed by Adolf Stern of 55 Cannon Street that he is the true and rightful owner of said check and the endorsement "Adolf Stern" on said check is a forgery - that he did not sign said endorsement to said check or authorize any other person to do so.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0749

deponent therefore charges the defendant
with Forgery and prays he be dealt
with according to law

G. H. [Signature]
Sworn to before me
this 6th day of July 1893

[Signature]
Police Justice

**POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL**

0750

Return to No 61
Payment Stopped
~~NOT GOOD.~~

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0751

LADENBURG, THAYMANN & CO.

No. 26186

New York July 1st 1893

1893

The Merchants' National Bank

Pay to the order of *Wm. C. Allen*
One hundred eighty nine

\$189.57

P.D. LADENBURG, THAYMANN & CO.

Wm. C. Allen
Wm. C. Allen

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0752

Adolf Stern

[Handwritten signature]

100

For Deposit
TO THE CREDIT OF
ZIMMERMAN & FORSHAY,
PCP

#

Cut in front

Laguerre

[Handwritten signature]
Jellen

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0753

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Adolph Stern

aged 28 years, occupation Baker of No.

55 Cannon Street, being duly sworn, deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Goetz Loeb

and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own knowledge.

Sworn to before me this, 6
day of July 1893

Adolph Stern

[Signature]
Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0754

Sec. 198-200.

1882
District Police Court.

City and County of New York, ss:

Samuel Simon being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to a charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him, if he see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Samuel Simon*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *23 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *Germany*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *No home*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Book Keeper*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer.

I am guilty
Samuel Simon

Taken before me this
day of *July* 1893
[Signature]
Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0755

2
1
5
8
3

BAILED,
 No. 1, by
 Residence Street
 No. 2, by
 Residence Street
 No. 3, by
 Residence Street
 No. 4, by
 Residence Street

Police Court... District...

THE PEOPLE, Ec.,
 ON THE COMPLAINT OF
Yochy Deek
Samuel Simon

Dated, *July 6* 189*3*
 Magistrate
Meade

1
 2
 3
 4
 Offense *Forgery*

Witnesses
 No. *55* *Carroll Stern*
 Street
 No. *55* *Carroll Stern*
 Street

No. Street
 No. Street
 No. *1000* to answer *Henry B...*
 Street

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

Defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *Two* Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, *July 6* 189*3* *William J. ...* Police Justice

I have admitted the above-named to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, 189 Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, 189 Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0756

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
against
Samuel Simon

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse
Samuel Simon
of the CRIME OF FORGERY IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said *Samuel Simon*
late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *first* day of *July* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three*, at the City and County aforesaid, having in *his* custody a certain instrument and writing, in the words and figures following, that is to say:

No. 26186 New York July 1st 1893
The Merchants National Bank
Pay to the order of Adolph Stern
One hundred eighty nine⁵⁷ Dollars
\$189⁵⁷
J. P. Landberg, Treasurer
Whitbrock W. Ray

The said *Samuel Simon* afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, with intent to defraud, at the City and County aforesaid, feloniously did forge, on the *back* of the said *instrument and writing* a certain instrument and writing commonly called an *endorsement* which said forged instrument and writing commonly called an *endorsement* is as follows, that is to say:

Adolf Stern

against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT.

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment further accuse the said *Samuel Simon* of the CRIME OF FORGERY IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said *Samuel Simon* late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, having in *his* possession a certain instrument and writing, in the words and figures following, that is to say:

No. 26186 New York July 1st 1873
The Merchants' National Bank
Pay to the order of Adolf Stern
One hundred eighty nine 57 Dollars
\$189⁵⁷
J. P. Gadenburg, Treasurer
W. Liebock, Cashier

on the *back* of which said *instrument and writing* there was then and there written a certain forged instrument and writing commonly called an *Endorsement* which said forged instrument and writing, commonly called an *Endorsement* is as follows, that is to say:

Adolf Stern

with force and arms, the said forged instrument and writing then and there feloniously did utter, dispose of and put off as true, with intent to defraud, *he* the said *Samuel Simon* then and there well knowing the same to be forged, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

0758

BOX:

528

FOLDER:

4815

DESCRIPTION:

Slader, William

DATE:

07/12/93



4815

Witnesses:

Mr. H. H. Mason

.....
.....
.....
.....

Counsel,

Filed

day of

189

Plends,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

William Sluder

Attorney at Law
Grand Larceny, second Degree.
[Sections 525, 527, Penal Code.]

De LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

James McKeown
Dec 13 1903
Foreman.

Theda Parley

In one month

#78
[Handwritten scribbles and signatures]

Police Court - 1 - District.

Affidavit - Larceny.

City and County }
of New York, } ss.

David Geizler
of No. 181 Greenwich Street, aged 39 years.
occupation Shoe dealer being duly sworn,

deposes and says, that on the 5 day of July 1893 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in day time, the following property, viz:

Thirty pair of shoes of the value of seventy dollars

the property of Deponent

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away by William Slater (now here)

for the following reasons - on said date deponent saw the defendant attempting to open a show case which contained said property and which show case was in front of deponents place of business deponent caused the defendants arrest and he had in his possession a screw driver with which he (defendant) attempted to open said show case

D. Geizler

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 5 day of July 1893

Wm. C. ...

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court.

City and County of New York, ss:

William Slates being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him, if he see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. William Slates

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 21 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New Jersey

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 9 Duane St 3 weeks

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Farmer

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer. I am not guilty

William Slates

Taken before me this 6 day of Oct 1893
Police Justice

BAILED,
 No. 1, by
 Residence Street
 No. 2, by
 Residence Street
 No. 3, by
 Residence Street
 No. 4, by
 Residence Street

Police Court... District... 735-1

THE PEOPLE, etc.,
 ON THE COMPLAINT OF
 David Spangler
 181 Broadway
 William Slater
 4
 3
 2
 1
 Office Attempted Larceny

Dated, July 6 1893
 Justice

Officer Dalton
 Precinct 2

Witnesses
 No. Street

No. Street

No. Street
 \$ 1000 to answer

Com. City

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

Defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *Two* Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, July 6 1893 Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, 189 Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, 189 Police Justice.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

William Slader

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

William Slader of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

William Slader

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the fifth day of July in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-three, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

Sixty shoes of the value of one dollar and twenty-five cents each

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

David Geizer

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did attempt to steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

De Lancy Nicoll District Attorney

0764

BOX:

528

FOLDER:

4815

DESCRIPTION:

Smith, John

DATE:

07/12/93



4815

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0765

92

Counsel,

Filed

189

day of July

Pleas,

THE PEOPLE

vs. P

John Smith.

Assault in the Second Degree. (Section 218, Penal Code.)

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

7/13/93

A TRUE BILL.

Lawrence McKeever
Foreman.

Theodore Kennedy Selig

10m one year

Witnesses:

Michael H. Farrell

.....
.....
.....
.....

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0766

Counsel,

Filed

Pleads,

12 day of July 1893

92

THE PEOPLE

vs. P

John Smith.

Assault in the Second Degree.
(Section 218, Penal Code.)

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

7/13/93

A TRUE BILL.

Lawrence McKeever
12 July 1893
Foreman.

Theodore Henry Selig
Pen one year

Witnesses:

Michael H. Farrell

.....
.....
.....
.....

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0767

Police Court _____ District. -

1931

City and County }
of New York, } ss.:

of No. 6th Precinct Police Michael H. Carroll
occupation Police man Street, aged 35 years,
being duly sworn,

deposes and says, that on the 8 day of July 1893 at the City of New
York, in the County of New York, in Mulberry Street

he was violently and feloniously ASSAULTED and BEATEN by John Smith
(now here) who while deponent was in
uniform and about to arrest deponent
deponent struck deponent in the eye
with an umbrella then and there held
in his hand -

with the felonious intent to take the life of deponent, or to do him grievous bodily harm; and without
any justification on the part of the said assailant.

Wherefore this deponent prays that the said assailant may be apprehended and bound to answer
for the above assault, etc., and be dealt with according to law.

Sworn to before me, this July day }
of 1893 } Michael H. Carroll

[Signature]
Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0768

Sec. 198—200.

1882
District Police Court.

City and County of New York, ss:

John Smith being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. John Smith

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 29 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. N. D.

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 424 East 66th Street. 10 years

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Sign Maker

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer. I was intoxicated and if I did it, I did so ~~unintentionally~~ by accident.

John Smith

Taken before me this 17th day of July 1899
Charles J. [Signature]
Police Justice

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0769

BAILIED,

No. 1, by

Residence

No. 2, by

Residence

No. 3, by

Residence

No. 4, by

Residence

1973
Police Court...
District...
1894

THE PEOPLE, et al.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Michael F. Conwell
16 Ave.

John Smith

Offense... Felonious Assault

Dated, July 9 1893

Magistrate

Officer

Precinct

Witnesses

No.

Street

No.

Street

No.

Street

No.

Street

to answer

500
J. J. V.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

Defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, July 9 1893
Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, 189 Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, 189 Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0770

Court of General Sessions of the Peace
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,
against
John Smith

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

John Smith

of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said *John Smith*

late of the City and County of New York, on the *eight* day of *July* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three*, at the City and County aforesaid, in and upon one

Michael H. Carroll

in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully did make an assault; and the said

John Smith

with a certain *umbrella* which *he* the said

in *his* right hand then and there had and held, the same being then and there

a weapon and an instrument likely to produce grievous bodily harm, *him*, the said *Michael H. Carroll* then and there feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully strike, beat, *cut*, bruise and wound, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said

_____ *John Smith* _____
of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said _____ *John Smith*

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: On the day and in the year aforesaid,
at the City and County aforesaid, in and upon the said

Michael H. Carroll

_____ in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously
did wilfully and wrongfully make another assault; and the said *John Smith*
the said _____ *Michael H. Carroll* _____
with a certain *umbrella* _____

which *he* the said *John Smith*

in *his* right hand then and there had and held, in and upon the
_____ *face* _____ of *him* the said *Michael H. Carroll*
then and there feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully strike, beat, *cut,*
bruise and wound, and did then and there and by the means aforesaid, feloniously, wilfully
and wrongfully inflict grievous bodily harm upon the said *Michael H. Carroll*;
_____ to the great damage of the said *Michael H. Carroll*
against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the
People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL, *District Attorney.*

0772

BOX:

528

FOLDER:

4815

DESCRIPTION:

Stritti, Frank

DATE:

07/12/93



4815

76

~~McNeill~~

Counsel,

Filed

189

W. J. Kelly
City of *July*

Pleads, *Inguilty*

THE PEOPLE

vs.

P

Frank S. Strati.

Assault in the First Degree, etc.
(Sections 217 and 218, Penal Code).

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

James McKeever

Foreman.

Case 2. July 24 93

Part 2. July 2 93

Pleads Assault 2 1/2 days

H. M. J. M. D. Leg.
July 2 93

Witnesses:

Marcia Strande

76

~~189~~

Counsel,

Filed

189

W. J. Kelly
City of *Delaware*
Pleads *Guilty*

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Frank S. Butler

Assault in the First Degree, etc.
(Sections 217 and 218, Penal Code).

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

James McKeever

Foreman.

Part 2, July 24, 1933

Part 2, July 24, 1933

Pleads Assault & Battery

H. M. J. M. Kelly
July 24, 1933

Witnesses:

Marcia Strande

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0775

Police Court 5 District.

City and County }
of New York, } ss.:

Maria Deverde

of No. 1703 1/2 First Ave Street, aged 38 years,

occupation Keep house being duly sworn

deposes and says, that on the 4 day of July 1892 at the City of New York, in the County of New York,

She was violently and feloniously ASSAULTED and BEATEN by Frank Stritti (now here) who wilfully and maliciously cut and stabbed deponent in the left arm with a knife he then and there held in his hand. Deponent further says that such assault was committed

[Large handwritten scribble crossing out the remaining lines of the deposition]

with the felonious intent to take the life of deponent, or to do ~~him~~ ^{her} grievous bodily harm; and without any justification on the part of the said assailant

Wherefore this deponent prays that the said assailant may be ~~apprehended and~~ bound to answer for the above assault, etc., and be dealt with according to law.

Sworn to before me, this 5 day of July 1892 } *Maria Deverde*
of 1703 1/2 First Ave } *mark*
Chas. J. Fisher Police Justice.

Sec. 198-200.

5

1892
District Police Court.

City and County of New York, ss:

Frank Stritti

being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to a charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him, if he see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Frank Stritti

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

39 years old

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

Italy

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

394 1/2 Water st Two years

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Shoemaker

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer.

I Am Not Guilty

Frank Stritti

Taken before me this

day of

189

[Signature]
Police Justice.

*See list of 1/20/93 at [unclear] 11000 State, N.Y. City
[unclear] 9 Office*

BAILED,
No. 1, by
Residence: Street.
No. 2, by
Residence: Street.
No. 3, by
Residence: Street.
No. 4, by
Residence: Street.

914
Police Court, 5
District 72C

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

*Maria S. Spindel
1703 1/2 Ave
Frank Smith*

Offense: *Assault Felony*

Dated, *July 3* 189

Sumner
Magistrate
Precinct 29

Witnesses

No. Street.
No. Street.

No. *1000* to Justice *[Signature]*
Street.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

De Leonard
guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *100* Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, *July 3* 189 *Thos. Fitch* Police Justice.

I have have admitted the above-named
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, 189 Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named
guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, 189 Police Justice.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
against
Frank Strilli

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse
Frank Strilli
of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE FIRST DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said Frank Strilli
late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the fourth
day of July in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and
ninety-three, with force and arms, at the City and County aforesaid, in and upon
the body of one Maria Weendi in the peace of the said People
then and there being, feloniously did make an assault, and he the said
Maria Weendi with a certain knife
which the said Frank Strilli
in his right hand then and there had and held, the same being a deadly and
dangerous weapon, then and there wilfully and feloniously did strike, beat, cut, stab and
wound,
with intent he the said Maria Weendi
thereby then and there feloniously and wilfully to kill, against the form of the statute in
such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and
their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said
Frank Strilli
of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:
The said Frank Strilli
late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: On the day and in the year aforesaid,
at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and upon the body of the said
Maria Weendi in the peace of the said
People then and there being, feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully make another assault,
and he the said Maria Weendi
with a certain knife
which the said Frank Strilli
in his right hand then and there had and held, the same being a weapon and
an instrument likely to produce grievous bodily harm, then and there feloniously did wilfully
and wrongfully strike, beat, cut, stab and wound, against the form of the statute in such case
made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their
dignity.

THIRD COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said

Frank Strilli

of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said *Frank Strilli*

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: On the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and upon the said *Maia Nerudi* in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully make another assault, and ~~her~~ the said

with a certain *knife* *Maia Nerudi*

which ~~he~~ the said *Frank Strilli*

in ~~his~~ right hand then and there had and held, in and upon the *arm* of ~~her~~ the said *Maia Nerudi*

then and there feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully strike, beat, stab, cut, ~~bruise~~ and wound, and did then and there and by the means aforesaid, feloniously, wilfully and wrongfully inflict grievous bodily harm upon the said

Maia Nerudi

against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.