1081

BOX:
2
FOLDER:
34
DESCRIPTION:
Springer, Henry
DATE:
12/16/79

34

1082

Counsel,

Filed 13 day of Oct 1879

Pleads

Dr Larceny
Alderman

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Henry Springer

INDICTMENT.
Petit Larceny of Money from the Person.

BENJ. K. PHELPS,
District Attorney.

A True Bill,

M. W. Cooper
Foreman.

Nov. 11. 1879

Wm. Smith

S. P. Two of Day.

1083

Police Court—Second District.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Henry Springer being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that he is at liberty to refuse to answer any question that may be put to him, states as follows, viz:

Question.—What is your name?

Answer.— Henry Springer

Question.—How old are you?

Answer.— 32 years

Question.—Where were you born?

Answer.— N.Y. City

Question.—Where do you live?

Answer.— 264 Bremner St

Question.—What is your occupation?

Answer.— Machinist

Question.—Have you anything to say, and if so, what—relative to the charge here preferred against you?

Answer.— I am guilty; this is my first offence

Harry Springer

Taken before me, this 6 day of March 1871

Police Justice.

1084

District Police Court

Affidavit—Larceny.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

~~of No.~~ State of New Jersey ~~Street,~~ Maria Obert of New Brunswick

being duly sworn, deposes and says, that on the — 5th day of December 1879

at the City of New York,

in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, and from deponents person

the following property, viz.:

One pocket book of the value of one dollar, containing a five dollar bill ~~and~~, a one dollar bill and two dollars in silver money of the United States of America in all of the value of nine dollars ($9)

the property of deponent

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away by Henry Springer (now here) for the reason following: that immediately after deponent missed the said property as deponent approached side Springer, the said Springer ran away and as deponent is informed by officer Edward Riley passed through a cellar in attempting to escape and after whose arrest the pocket book still containing a part of the said money was found in said cellar ~~[illegible]~~ further reason that as deponent is informed by said officer the

Sworn before me this day of 187

Police Justice.

1085

said Springer has since admitted to him that he stole the said property from deponent

Sworn to before me this
6th day of December 1879
[illegible]

Marie Obert

City and County of New York ss:

Edward Riley being duly sworn deposes and says that he is the police officer referred to in the foregoing affidavit and that the information therein stated to be given by deponent, is true

Sworn to before me this
6th day of December 1879
[illegible]

Edward Riley

92.

District Police Court.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF
Marie Obert
Notice and Recognizance of Jury Witness, 260
Larceny, 2nd Degree
Henry Springer

AFFIDAVIT — Larceny, 2nd Degree

Dated December 6 1879.

[illegible] MAGISTRATE.

Riley OFFICER.
15th Pct.

WITNESSES:
Lizzie Ryan (Hardman)
W. 13th St.
Caroline Willis
[illegible] Thursday
N.Y.

DISPOSITION
Nov 18 & Am
[illegible]

1086

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK. } ss.

THE JURORS OF THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, *in and for the body of the City and County of New York upon their Oath, present:*

That Henry Springer

late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York, aforesaid, on the Fifth ——— day of December in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and seventy- nine at the Ward, City, and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

One ~~Divers~~ Promissory Notes for the payment of money, the same being then and there due and unsatisfied, and of the kind known as United States Treasury Notes, of ~~a number and denomination to the Jurors aforesaid unknown, and a more accurate description of which cannot now be given, of the value of~~ the denomination of five dollars and of the value of five dollars

One ~~Divers~~ Promissory Notes for the payment of money, the same being then and there due and unsatisfied, and of the kind known as Bank Notes, of ~~a number and denomination to the Jurors aforesaid unknown, and a more accurate description of which cannot now be given, of the value of~~ the denomination of five dollars and of the value of five dollars

~~Divers Due Bills of the United States of America, the same being then and there due and unsatisfied, and of the kind known as Fractional Currency, of a number and denomination to the Jurors aforesaid unknown, and a more accurate description of which cannot now be given, of the value of~~

Divers Coins, of a number, kind, and denomination to the Jurors aforesaid unknown, and a more accurate description of which cannot now be given, of the value of two dollars.

One promissory note for the payment of money the same being then and there due and unsatisfied and of the kind commonly called a Bank ——— note, of the denomination of five dollars and of the value of five dollars

One promissory note for the payment of money the same being then and there due and unsatisfied and of the kind known as a Bank note, of the denomination of one dollar and of the value of one dollar

One pocket book of the value of one dollar.

of the goods, chattels, and personal property of one Maria Obert on the person of the said Maria Obert ——— then and there being found, from the person of the said Maria Obert then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

BENJ. K. PHELPS, District Attorney.

1087

BOX:
2
FOLDER:
34
DESCRIPTION:
Spery, Nanette
DATE:
12/19/79

34

1088

Day of Trial, 93

Counsel,

Filed 19 day of Dec 1879 848

Pleads Prisoner stands mute. Plea of Not Guilty entered by direction of Court (22)

THE PEOPLE

vs.

P

Nanette Spery

alias Nanette Bolenius

Perjury

Another case of abortion

BENJ. K. PHELPS,

District Attorney.

A True Bill.

M. W. Cooper

Foreman.

1089

Court of General Sessions
of the Peace, City and County
of New York to ——

Marie Obert and Caroline Kleine. Dr.

To Car Fare from New Brunswick N.J. to New York City & return. two trips @ 2.80 ea.	5.60
Meals in New York City & return	1.30
	$6.90

We hereby certify that the above named sums were necessary & used in the payment of the above expenses incurred in prosecuting the case of the Peo. vs. Henry Springer. Larceny from Person ——

New York. Dec. 16th 1879.

Marie Obert
Caroline Kleine.

Examined
Jas Burke
Asst Dist Atty

People
Court
Vanette Spoor Fitzgerald

Defendant's charges will be found
from probably on page 3 of
Supplementary proceedings that
Julia Fitzel lent her $3000
on Chattel Mortgage she gave to
said Fitzel and that she indebted
defendant paid said mortgage without
on said mortgage
See p. 3
Mar near Mum to Dec 21. 1878.

Julia Fitzel says she wasn't
so, that mortgage was a sure
cover and money given by her to
defendant.

1091

Regina

[illegible]

Copy

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] Wetzel

37 Slander [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

1092

Marine Court of the city of New York

G. W. Turney, Stationer and Law Blank Publisher, 77 Chatham St., N. Y.

William Schomberg, Plaintiff

against

Nanette Spery, Defendant

Affidavit to obtain Order for Examination of Judgment Debtor.

City and County of New York ss.

Sigismund B. Workmann being duly sworn, says, that he is the assignee by mesne assignments of the above named *plaintiff* that judgment was recovered in this action against the above named *defendant* Nanette Spery (now Nanette Bolenius) in the Marine Court of the City of New York on the 19th day of December 1874 for Eight hundred & thirty dollars 23/100 dollars damages and costs: that said judgment, exclusive of costs, was for more than twenty-five dollars: that the Judgment Roll was filed in the office of the Clerk of said Marine Court, and a transcript of said judgment filed in the office of the Clerk of the City and County of New York on September 22, 1877

and that an execution upon said judgment against the property of the *defendant* Nanette Spery was, on the 22d day of September 1877 duly issued to the Sheriff of the City and County of New York where said *defendant* then resided and still resides; that the said Sheriff has returned said execution wholly unsatisfied, and that said judgment remains wholly unpaid except that $[illegible] paid on year's interest on said judgment. No previous application has been made for this order.

Sworn to before me, this 17th day of December 1878.

Thomas Hough, Notary Public N. Y. Co.

S. B. Workmann

Marine Court of the City of New York

William Schomberg, Plaintiff

against

Nanette Spery, Defendant

Order for Examination of Judgment Debtor.

It appearing to my satisfaction, by the above affidavit of Sigismund B. Workmann assignee by mesne assignments of the *plaintiff* that judgment has been recovered in this action against the above named *defendant* Nanette Spery (now Nanette Bolenius) in the Marine Court of the City of New York on the 19th day of December 1874 for Eight hundred & thirty dollars 23/100 dollars damages and costs; that an execution upon said judgment against the property of the said *defendant* Nanette Spery issued on September 22, 1877 has been duly issued to the Sheriff of the City and County, and that such execution has been returned wholly unsatisfied; and that said judgment, exclusive of costs, was for more than twenty-five dollars, and that said judgment still remains partially unpaid: **I do hereby order and require** the said *defendant* Nanette Spery (now Nanette Bolenius)

to appear before me, at Chambers of Marine Court at No. 27 Chambers Street in the City of New York on the 21st day of December 1878 at ten o'clock in the forenoon and on such further days as the Court or Referee duly appointed shall name, to make discovery on oath concerning her property. **And** the said *defendant* Nanette Spery (now Nanette Bolenius) is hereby forbidden to transfer or make any other disposition of the property belonging to her not exempt by law from execution, or in any manner to interfere therewith, until further order in the premises.

Dated at New York City the seventeenth day of December 1878

Geo. Spencer

1093

N. Y. Marine Court

William Schomberg
Plaintiff
against
Nanette Sperry
Defendant

Affidavit of Service.

City of County of New York ss.

Robert Watson being duly sworn, says that at No 24 Stanton Street in City of New York on the 18th day of December in the year one thousand eight hundred and sixty eight he served upon Nanette Sperry the defendant personally copies of the within Affidavit and Order, by leaving the same with the said Nanette Sperry and at the same time and place exhibiting to her the within originals. And that he knew the said Nanette Sperry (now Annette Bolenus) to be the individual mentioned and described in said Affidavit and Order.

Sworn to before me this 18th day of December 1868

Thomas Hough
Notary Public N. Y. Co

Robert Watson

N.Y. Marine Court

William Schomberg
against
Nanette Sperry

Affd. Order for examination of Defendant after Judgment

Louis Schomberg
Atty for Assignee Plff.
10 Chatham St. N.Y.

Filed Dec. 19th 1868

A. She told my mother that she (Mrs Bolemius) was being examined on a Judgment of Mr Wortmann and she (Mrs Bolemius) asked my mother to come to court in case she was wanted to swear for her that my mother loaned her $3000 on that mortgage on her furniture. My mother told her that she would not take a false oath for any body under any consideration. Mrs Bolemius then said to my mother You are a fool for not swearing for me. I will swear for a sausage as an oath in this Country amounts to nothing. After this Mrs Bolemius called me to her house and told me to tell my mother Julia Witzel that she (Mrs Bolemius) would give her (my mother) $250.00 and me a new suit of clothes if my mother would swear that she loaned her (Mrs Bolemius) the sum of $3000.00 on this mortgage. I told her it was no use of her offering her any money as she would not swear false and ten times the amount could not get her to take the oath. I told my mother of what Mrs Bolemius said and my mother of course declined, saying that she would not take a

false oath for the word.

Sworn to before me after having been read over to the witness this 7th day of October 1879

Chas Witzel Jr

The proceedings are further adjourned for examination of witness to October 9. 1879 at 10 o'clock AM at same place

The proceedings are further adjourned for examination of witness to October 11. 1879 at 10 o'clock AM. at same place

The further proceedings herein are hereby closed

Dated Oct 11. 1879

dollars? A. No Sir. The largest amount of money I ever loaned to her was two hundred dollars which was twelve years ago, which sum she repaid to me -

2. Did she Mrs. Bolenius ever pay you any interest on that mortgage? A. No. Sir. She never owed me anything on the mortgage I never gave her anything on or for it.

2. At the time Mrs Bolenius formerly Perry made this Chattel Mortgage to you did you loan her any sum of money?

A. No Sir I did not.

2. At the time Mrs Bolenius formerly Perry made this Chattel Mortgage to you was she indebted to you in any amount?

A. No Sir She was not.

2. What did she give you this Chattel Mortgage for $3000 for, what purpose was there in executing it, did she say?

A. She told me she wanted to make this mortgage to me so that no person could take the furniture away from her.

2. At the time Mrs Bolenius formerly Perry made this Chattel mortgage to you! you did not loan her any money she was not indebted to you and you did not give her anything for it?

A. I at that time did not loan her any

money. She was not indebted to me and I did not give her anything for it.

Q. Was that Chattel Mortgage made and executed by Nannette Bolemius formerly Spery in anticipation of any loan of money to be made by you to her or for any debts that had been incurred if any?

A. It for that mortgage was made for no other purpose than to protect her so that the property therein contained could not be taken away from her—

Q. Did you at the time Mrs Bolemius formerly Spery made & delivered that Chattel Mortgage to you & sometime thereafter execute a satisfaction piece of that mortgage?

A. I executed signed & delivered to Mrs Bolemius formerly Spery a satisfaction piece of that mortgage immediately when she executed the mortgage. and then sometime thereafter at her request I executed another satisfaction piece of that mortgage. I never had possession of the mortgage.

Q. Did you ever have any interest in that Chattel mortgage for $3000 made by Nannette Bolemius formerly Spery to you?

A. I never did.

Q. When did you deliver the respective satisfaction pieces of that chattel mortgage to Mrs Bolemius? A. Immediately upon signing the same.

Q. Where was the property situated that was mortgaged by that Chattel Mortgage made by Mrs Bolemnis to you?
A. It was her furniture that was in her house No 34 Hamilton street. City of New York
Q. Did Mrs Nannette Bolemnis since these proceedings were commenced she was examined call on you in relation thereto?
A. Yes Sir. She called on me in December 1878 before Christmas day. Told me she was being examined on a Judgment one Wotmann had against her.
Q. What did she Mrs. Bolemnis say to you?
A. She requested me to come to Court in case I was wanted and swear that I loaned her the sum of three thousand dollars. Other furniture which I declined to do. Thereafter she called on me and told me that she was in court and swore herself that I loaned her $3000. and that she (Mrs Bolemnis) had nothing. She said to me "You are fool for not swearing for me" I will swear for a sausage as an oath in this country amounts to nothing. After this conversation my son told me that Mrs. Bolemnis had requested him to influence me to swear

that I loaned her $3000 she would give me $250 00/100 and my son a new suit of clothes - I told him to tell her I would not swear false for the world -

Sworn to before me after having been read over to the witness this 7th day of October 1879

Julia Wetzel

City & County of New Yorkes

Charles Wetzel Jr. being duly sworn examined, testifies as follows - I am the son of Julia Wetzel the preceding witness and reside at No 37 Stanton street N.Y. City with my parents I am thirty one years of age. I know Mrs. Nannette Bolennis formerly Perry who resides at No 34 Stanton street in said City & have known her for a long time past. I was present at a conversation between Mrs. Bolennis & my mother in the month of December 1878 before Christmas day - It took place at our house 37 Stanton street -

2. At that time what did Mrs. Nannette Bolennis say & what did your mother say

in the City of New York

2. How long have you resided there?

A. For three years last past. Moved to that house August 1. 1876. Prior to that time I resided at 265 Broome street N.Y. City

2. Do you know Nannette Bolenius formerly Spery? A. Yes Sir. I have known her for the past twelve years. Mrs Nannette Bolenius now resides at No 34 Stanton street and has resided there about ten years-

2. Did Mrs Nannette Bolenius formerly Spery ever execute and deliver to you any Chattel Mortgage upon her furniture in her house?

A. Yes Sir.

2. When did she execute & deliver the mortgage to you?

A. About the month of September 1877 I think I am not positive about the time. That mortgage was for $300.

2. Did you ever loan to Mrs Nannette Bolenius formerly Spery any money?

A. I sometimes loaned her ten or fifteen Dollars which she always repaid me.

2. Did you ever loan to Nannette Bolenius formerly Spery the sum of three thousand Dollars? A. No Sir I never did -

2. Was Nannette Bolenius formerly Spery ever indebted to you in the sum of three thousand

Adjourned to the 18th day of January 1879 at 10 o'clock A.M. for the examination of witnesses.
Dated N.Y. Jan. 11, 1879.

The above entitled proceedings are hereby further adjourned to January 25th, 1879, at 10 o'clock A.M. for the examination of witnesses. Dated N.Y. Jany 18, 1879.

The above entitled proceedings are hereby further adjourned to Feby 1st 1879 at 10 o'clock A.M. for the examination of witnesses.
Dated N.Y. Jany 25, 1879.

The above entitled proceedings are hereby further adjourned to Feb 8, 1879, at 10 o'clock A.M. for the examination of witnesses.
Dated N.Y. Feb 1, 1879

The above entitled proceedings are further adjourned to October 7 1879 at 10 o'clock A.M. for examination of witnesses.

New York October 7 1879. City & County of New York ss:
Julia Wetzel a witness on part of the plaintiff being duly sworn & examined testified as follows.
Q Where do you reside? A at No 37 Stanton St

2

Marine Court of the City of Newark

William Schmeling agst. Nanette Opery	December 21st 1898.

Examination of the defendant under subpoena adverse Chandler, Referee. George Shea, Justice, this 21st day of December 1898.

Nanette Opery being duly sworn says; My name is Nanette Bolemius formerly Nanette Opery. I reside at No. 34 Stanton St. in the City of Newark. I am the wife of Mr. Ernest Bolemius—

2. In December, 1894, what property was you possessed of?

A. I had furniture in the 34 Stanton St house the whole house was furnished and was worth about $13,000. In 1895, on July 19th, Mr. Charles Kreppel of Chestnut Street took away the furniture under a chattel Mortgage made by Marietta Thoma to Charles Kreppel. I made the mortgage in 1893 I think to Marietta Thoma for $3,000 I believe— There was no consideration for the mortgage to Thoma— I was sick and was afraid I might die for I had no other relatives in this country but her, I made this mortgage to her, and at the same time I took back from her a satisfaction piece of the same mortgage signed and acknowledged by her, the said Marietta Thoma which was handed to John A. Driskel, then my lawyer, and retained by him.

3

Kruppe took the assignment of that Mortgage from Hanna, the mortgagee, without my knowledge. I gave Kruppe no certificate about the mortgage. Kruppe did not apply to me about the mortgage before he bought it; Kruppe took the things away under the Hanna Mortgage in 1875. John Doerschel, 40 Ave lot 65 & 66 b. Lake Palmbupe had a Mortgage of $3000 made by me in 1875 to him for $3,000 borrowed from him by me in 1875 and I Mortgaged the furniture to him for that amount. He gave me the whole money $1200 at one time & $1200 at another — & I gave the Mortgage of $3000 to him & afterwards Kruppe took the things away under the Mortgage held by him. All the chattels mentioned in the Hanna Mortgage are included in the Doerschel Mortgage and no other. Doerschel assigned his Mortgage to Hermann Stein & they and Charles Kruppe for taking the property. I have no interest in the suit against Kruppe. The case has been tried once in the Court of Common Pleas and is now on appeal. The furniture was appraised by Doerschell & the defendant Kruppe gave bonds and took it back. He sold without advertising but once in the paper. I have fully two floors furnished now — I do not let any part of the house furnished. I have no lodgers or boarders. There is a mortgage on the furniture now there. A lady named Julia Seitzel of No 37 Stanton St. loaned me $500 in 1876 and I gave her a chattel Mortgage on the furniture in my house, no time set for payment; I

unpaid interest. I own no diamonds, or jewelry of any kind. My jewelry is pawned to Kreppel for $2000, it was worth much more, a very handsome set in a large box. I had my jewelry in John Katz's safe at 200 Broadway. I had borrowed $2000 on it & I authorized Kreppel to take the jewelry out & pay the $2000. He has never foreclosed his lien on the jewelry. I bought the jewelry in Vienna & it cost me all of $2000. In the trial of the suit Herman & Stein vs. Kreppel & Sternbacher in the County Common Pleas Kreppel testified that the jewelry was worth less than the amount loaned on it but I proved to the contrary. I have a claim for damages against Kreppel for the excess of value of the property taken by him on the amount of the mortgage held by Drucke & assigned to Hermann & Stein. There are no suits pending in which I am plaintiff. I had a bank account in Kansas Farmers Bank. Account was closed in 1893. I have now an account there in the name of Annette Perry but my balance is $5 about. I have no other money. I follow the business of physician. I make barely my living for the last two years. There are no other judgments against me except one of $400 under which I was examined last year. There was no receiver appointed. John E. Davis owned that judgment. He is dead. I don't know the name of his attorney. I have no debts due to me. I hold no securities of any kind, I have no bonds or stocks of any kind nor does any one hold any in trust for me. I have no interest in the estate of any deceased person

I have no income except from my business as a physician. I make sometimes $20 or $25 a week – I admit I have enough that way. I have to pay rent. I am in debt $500 or $600 besides. I had no money when this order was served on me.

The foregoing deposition was read over to the deponent & the same was then subscribed & sworn to by him before me this 21st day of December 1878

Nathan Lehman

Adjourned to the 28th day of December, 1878, at 10 a.m. for the examination of witnesses at Chambers of this Court at No 27 Chambers St. in the City of Brooklyn.
Dated Dec 21st, 1878

Adjourned to the 4th day of January, 1879, at 10 a.m. for the examination of witnesses at Chambers of this Court at 27 Chambers St. in the City of Brooklyn.
Dated Dec 28, 1878

Adjourned to the 11th day of January 1879 at 10 a.m. for examination of witnesses at Chambers of this Court at 27 Chambers St. City of Brooklyn.
Dated January 4th, 1879.

1106

N.Y. Marine Court

William Schmeling.

agst.

Annette Perry.

"

Aff't, order &c.
supplementary proceedings

"

Lewis Johnston
Att'y for Aff't, appellant
810 Centre St.

Marine Court of the City of New York

William Schomberg agst Nanette Shery	December 21, 1878.

Examination of the defendant under the annexed order at Chambers before Hon George Shea Justice this 21st day of December 1878.

Nanette Shery being duly sworn says, my name is Nanette Bolenius formerly Nanette Shery, I reside at No 34 Stanton St in the city of New York, I am the wife of Mr Ewald Bolenius

Q In December, 1874, what property were you possessed of?

A I had furniture in the 34 Stanton St house the whole house was furnished and was worth about $13,000, In 1875, on July 19, Mr Charles Kreppel of Chrystie street took away the furniture under a Chattel Mortgage made by me over by Maria Thoma to Charles Kreppel I made the mortgage in 1873. I think to ~~Maria~~ Thoma for $3,000 I believe – There was no consideration for the mortgage to Thoma I was sick and was afraid I might die & as I had no other relation in this Country but her I made this mortgage to her, and at the same time I took back from her a satisfaction piece of the same mortgage signed and acknowledged by her the said Maria Thoma which was handed to John A. Dinkel then my Lawyer and retained by him

Krippel took the assignment of that mortgage from Maria Thoma, the mortgage, without my knowledge I gave Krippel no certificate about the mortgage Krippel did not apply to me about the mortgage before he bought it, Krippel took the things away under the Thoma Mortgage in 1875; John Doerschel 10th abt bet 65 & 66th st. lager saloon keeper had a mortgage of $3,000 made by me in 1875 - and I mortgaged the furniture to him for that amount; He gave me the whole money $1200 at one time & $1200 at another & I gave the mortgage of $3,000 to him & afterwards Krippel took the things away under the mortgage held by him; all the chattels mentioned in the Thoma mortgage were included in the Doerschel mortgage and he other Doerschel assigned his mortgage to Shrunant & Stein & they sued Charles Krippel for taking the property, I have no interest in the suit against Krippel. The case has been tried once in the Court of Common Pleas and is now on appeal the furniture was replevined by Doerschel & the defendant Krippel gave bonds and took it back He sold without advertising but once in the paper I have only two floors furnished now - I do not let any part of the house furnished I have no lodgers or boarders there is a mortgage on the furniture now there, a lady named Julia Witzel of No 37 Stanton st. loaned me $3,000 in 1876, and I gave her a chattel mortgage mortgage on the furniture in my house no time set for the payment, I have paid interest, I own no diamonds or jewelry of any kind

My Jewelry is pawned to Krepfl for $2,000 it was worth
much more a very handsome set in a large Box
I had my ~~jewelry~~ in John Sattig safe at 200 Broadway
I had borrowed $2000 on it & I authorized Krepfl to
take the Jewelry out & pay the $2,000, He has never
foreclosed his lien on the jewelry, I bought the jewelry
in Vienna & it cost me all of $2,000. On the trial of the
suit Herman & Stein vs Krepfl & Lembuschu in the Court of
Common Pleas, Krepfl testified that the Jewelry was
worth less than the amount loaned on it but I proved
to the contrary. I have a claim for damages against
Krepfl for the excess of value of the property taken by him
over the amount of the mortgage held by Doeschel & assigned
to Herman & Stein. I have no suits pending in which I am
plaintiff. I had a bank account in Seamans Savings Bank
account was closed in 1873 — I have now an account there
in the name of Nanette Ofery but my balance is $5, that
I have no other money, I follow the business of Physician
I make hardly my living for the last two years, There are
no other judgments against me except one of $400
under which I was examined last year There was no
receiver appointed — John E Davis recorded that judgment
He is dead I dont know the name of his attorney. I have
no debts due to me. I hold no securities of any kind
I have no bonds or stocks of any kind. Nor does any one
hold any in trust for me, I have no interest in the Estate
of any deceased persons. I have no income except
from my business as a Physician I make sometimes

1110

$20 or $25 a week I advertise & have expense that way
I have to pay said Sam in debt $500 or $600 besides
I had no money when this order was served on me

The foregoing deposition was read over
to the defendant & the same was there
subscribed & sworn to by her before me
this 21st day of December 1878
(Copy) Geo Shea
J

(Copy) Nanette Bolmius

N. Y. Marine Court

William Schoubury

agst

Nanette Shery

Testimony of Def't in
Supplementary proceedings

Sworn & filed
atty for Plff's assignee

3,500

See if this case
needs testim 3-
1969- Dec 3.
6th Dist Period
Details,-

For Expired

SATISFACTION OF MORTGAGE.

G. W. Turney, Stationer and Law Blank Publisher, 77 Chatham St., N. Y.

State of New York,
City and County of New York } ss.

I Julia Witzel of the City, County and State of New York

Do hereby Certify, That a certain Indenture of Mortgage, bearing date the twenty seventh day of September one thousand eight hundred and seventy seven made and executed by Nannette Palmius of the same place party of the first part to me, the said Julia Witzel, party of the second part

and ~~recorded~~ filed in the Office of the Register of the City and County of New York ~~in Liber~~ No 9539 ~~of Mortgages, page~~ on the twenty seventh day of September in the year one thousand eight hundred and seventy seven

o'clock, in the ..... is **Paid.**

And I do hereby consent that the same be discharged of Record.

Dated the seventh day of February 1878

In presence of

(Cpy) William Stockmayer (Cpy) Julia Witzel (LS)

State of New York,
City and County of New York } ss.

On the seventh day of February in the year one thousand eight hundred and ~~seventy eight~~ before me personally came

Julia Witzel known to me

to be the individual described in, and who executed the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged that he executed the same.

(Cpy) William Stockmayer
Notary Public (54)
City and County of New York

(Seal)

1112

N. 9539 of 1877
Bolenius
to
Hitzel
Recorded 6 July 1879
Lib 119. p 253.

Hannette Bolenius

TO

Julia Hitzel

Satisfaction of Mortgage.
of Personal Property

Dated September 27th 1877

1113

State of New York
City & County of New York } ss:

Julia Witzel being duly sworn says she resides at No. 37 Stanton street in city of New York - Deponent further says that she is well acquainted with Nanetta Eckhardt who resides at No 34 Stanton street in said city. Deponent further says that on or about 1876 said Eckhardt gave her a chattel mortgage for the amount of three thousand dollars on her furniture - chattels and personal property and at the same time told deponent that she Eckhardt gave or made said mortgage to her - so that her creditors could not collect any judgments against her and to make the public at large believe that she (Eckhardt) was irresponsible and insolvent and could not pay her debts - That said Eckhardt at the same time and date requested deponent to sign a satisfaction of said mortgage - which deponent then and there signed and handed to said Eckhardt.

Deponent further says that she did not owe said Eckhardt any amount whatever at the time the said Mortgage was executed ~~and~~ nor when she signed the said Satisfaction of said Mortgage. Deponent further says that at the time of the execution of said Mortgage said Eckhardt was not indebted to deponent in any sum or amount whatever - nor did deponent at that time or prior thereto or at any subsequent time pay said Eckhardt any money whatever on said mortgage and deponent further says that when she questioned said Eckhardt as to said Mortgage and told her that she could not understand why she gave her such a Mortgage - she Eckhardt replied that it was all right - as the Mortgage was only a matter of form and that she only desired to use deponent's name - and she (deponent) need not bother herself about it - as it was only a sham Mortgage

and (deponent) had in reality nothing to do with it - Deponent further says that on or about the " 187 said Eckhardt requested deponent to go to court with her and to testify that at the time the aforesaid mortgage was made. she (deponent) owed her three thousand dollars - which deponent refused to do - remarking to said Eckhardt that she would not swear to a lie - whereupon said Eckhardt told deponent that it was shameful that she wanted to leave her in the lurch - as she (Eckhardt) would swear to anything - for a smoked ~~bologna~~ sausage and that said Eckhardt was then indignant at deponent and abused her for refusing to swear or testify to what said Eckhardt desired her to swear to as aforesaid -

Sworn to before me this 15th day of August 1879 Ferdinand Levy Notary Public N.Y. Co

Julie Mitzel

City and County
of New York } ss

The Jurors of the People of the State of New York in and for the body of the City and County of New York upon their oath present.

That on the nineteenth day of December in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and seventy four at the City of New York in the County of New York aforesaid there was a suit and action pending in the Marine Court of the City of New York, a court then and there having jurisdiction thereof in which one William Schamberg was the plaintiff and Nanette Spery who is otherwise known by the name of Nanette Golenius was the defendant and wherein on the said nineteenth day of December in the year aforesaid judgment was duly and regularly recovered and obtained by the said William Schamberg in his favor as such plaintiff against the said Nanette Spery who is otherwise known by the name of Nanette Golenius as such defendant for the sum of eight hundred and thirty six dollars and twenty three cents, and that the judgment

roll of said Judgment was duly filed in the office of the Clerk of the said Marine Court, and a transcript of said Judgment was on the twenty second day of September in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and seventy seven filed in the office of the Clerk of the City and County of New York and an execution in due form of law was thereafter and on the said twenty-second day of September in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and seventy seven duly and regularly issued by such Marine Court aforesaid to Bernard Reilly as Sheriff of the City and County of New York aforesaid and he the said Bernard Reilly being then and there the Sheriff of the said City and County of New York and she the said Nanette Sperry otherwise known by the name of Nanette Bolenius being then and there a resident of said City and County and said execution was thereafter by the said Bernard Reilly as such sheriff as aforesaid duly returned wholly unsatisfied except as to the sum of thirty six dollars and twenty three cents and one years interest on said judgment as by

reference to the said execution now on file with the Clerk of the said Marine Court of the City of New York more fully and at large appears.

And the jurors aforesaid upon their oath aforesaid do further present

That one Sigismund B. Wortman who became and at and during all the times herein after mentioned, was the assignee and owner of said judgment on the sixteenth day of December in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and seventy eight at the said City of New York in the County of New York aforesaid commenced proceedings supplementary to said execution upon said judgment before the Honorable Charles Knapp who then and there was a Judge and Justice of the said Marine Court of the City of New York and had full power and competent jurisdiction thereof and then and there exhibited produced and presented to and before the said "The Honorable Charles Knapp" as such Judge and Justice as aforesaid an affidavit to obtain an examination of the said

September 22, 1877 and that an execution upon said judgment against the

Nanette Spery otherwise known by the name of Nanette Bollenius concerning her property and which affidavit is in substance and to the effect following, that is to say:

Marine Court of the City of New York

William Schomberg, Plaintiff, against Nanette Spery, Defendant — Affidavit to obtain Order for Examination of Judgment Debtor

City and County of New York ss

Sigismund B. Wortmann being duly sworn, says that he is the assignee by several mesne assignments of the above plaintiff that judgment was recovered in this action against the above named defendant Nanette Spery (now Nanette Bollenius) in the Marine Court of the City of New York on the 19th day of December 1874 for eighthundred and thirty six 23/100 Dollars damages and costs: that said judgment, exclusive of cost, was for more than twenty five dollars: that the judgment Roll was filed in the Office of the Clerk of the City and County of New York on September 22, 1877 and that an execution upon said judgment against the

defendant Nanette Sperry was on the 22d day of September 1878 duly issued to the Sheriff of the City and County of New York where said defendant then resided and still resides; that the said Sheriff has returned said execution wholly unsatisfied and that said judgment remains wholly unpaid except as to the sum of $36 23/100 and that deft paid one year's interest on said judgment; that no previous application has been made for this order

Sworn to before me this 14th day of December 1878

S. B. Wortmann

Thomas Keogh
Notary Public N.Y.Co

And in which such proceeding supplementary to execution as aforesaid and upon which said affidavit so exhibited produced and presented to and before him the said the Honorable Charles Koepp as such Judge and Justice as aforesaid, he the said the Honorable Charles Koepp as such Judge and Justice as aforesaid then and there on the said sixteenth day of December in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and seventy eight

at the said City of New York in the County of New York aforesaid issued and made another requiring in substance and effect the said Nanette Spery otherwise known by the name of Nanette Bolenino to appear before him the said the Honorable Charles Knapp as such Judge and Justice as aforesaid on the twenty first day of December in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and seventy eight at ten o'clock in the forenoon of that day at Chambers of the said Marine Court of the City of New York to make discovery on oath concerning the property of her the said Nanette Spery otherwise known by the name of Nanette Bolenino and which order is in substance and to the effect following that is to say:

Marine Court of the City of New York

William Schomberg	Plaintiff	Order for Examination of Judgment Debtor
against		
Nanette Spery	Defendant	

It appearing to my satisfaction by the above affidavit of Sigismund B Wortmann assignee by several mesne

7

assignments of the plaintiff that judgment has been recovered in this action against the above named defendant Nanette Speny (now Nanette Bolenino) in the Marine Court of the City of New York on the 19th day of December 1874 for eight hundred and thirty six 23/100 dollars damages and costs and a transcript of said judgment was duly filed in the Office of the Clerk of the City and County of New York on Sept 22. 1877, that an execution upon said judgment against the property of the said defendant Nanette Speny has been duly issued to the City and County New York on September 22. 1877 and that such execution has been returned wholly unsatisfied. and that said judgment exclusive of costs was for more than twenty five dollars, and that said judgment still remains partially unpaid: I do hereby order and require the said defendant Nanette Speny (now Nanette Bolenino) to appear before me, at Chambers of Marine Court at No 27 Chambers Street in the City of New York on the 21st day of December 1878 at ten o'clock in the forenoon and

on such further days as the Court or Referee duly appointed shall name to make discovery on oath concerning her property. And the said defendant Nanette Sperry (now Nanette Bolenius) is hereby forbidden to transfer or make any other disposition of the property belonging to her not exempt by law from execution, or in any manner to interfere therewith until further order in the premises. Dated at New York City the sixteenth day of December 1878 C. Knapp. Justice.

And the jurors aforesaid upon their oath aforesaid do further present:

That thereafter and on the said twenty first day of December in the year last aforesaid she the said Nanette Sperry otherwise known by the name of Nanette Bolenius personally appeared and attended in pursuance of the said affidavit and order the same having theretofore been duly served upon her, before the said the Honorable Charles Knapp as such judge and justice as aforesaid at the said Chambers of the said Marine Court at the said City of New York in the

County of New York aforesaid to be examined on oath concerning her property and was then and there duly sworn and took her corporal oath before the said The Honorable Charles Koepp as such Judge and Justice as aforesaid to speak the truth the whole truth and nothing but the truth touching her property and make true answers to all such questions as should be put to her concerning the same; he the said the Honorable Charles Koepp as such Judge and Justice as aforesaid having then and there true full and competent power and authority to administer the oath to her the said Nanette Spery otherwise known by the name of Nanette Bolenius in that behalf and the following amongst others then and there became and were material matters in substance and to the effect as follows that is to say:

Whether a certain chattel mortgage for three thousand dollars made by her the said Nanette Spery otherwise known by the name of Nanette Bolenius to one Julia Witzel was given to secure the payment of an actual loan of three

thousand dollars made by her the said Julia Witzel to her the said Nanette Speery otherwise known by the name of Nanette Bolenino in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and seventy six.

Whether she the said Julia Witzel ever lent three thousand dollars to her the said Nanette Speery otherwise known by the name of Nanette Bolenino in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and seventy six.

Whether she the said Nanette Speery otherwise known by the name of Nanette Bolenino ever paid to the said Julia Witzel money as and for interest on the said three thousand dollars.

And the said Nanette Speery otherwise known by the name of Nanette Bolenino being so duly sworn as aforesaid and being then and there carefully required to depose the truth in the said proceedings supplementary to said execution as aforesaid, at and upon her examination on oath as aforesaid at the said City of New York in the County of New York

aforesaid, then and there falsely wickedly, wilfully feloniously and corruptly upon her oath aforesaid, did say, swear, make oath testify depose and answer amongst other things in substance and to the effect following that is to say -

That Julia Witzel loaned me three thousand dollars in eighteen hundred and seventy six and I gave her a chattel Mortgage on the furniture in my house (that a certain chattel mortgage for three thousand dollars made by her the said Nanette Spery otherwise known by the name of Nanette Bolenius to the said Julia Witzel was given to secure the payment of an actual loan of three thousand dollars made by her the said Julia Witzel to her the said Nanette Spery otherwise known by the name of Nanette Bolenius in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and seventy six meaning thereby)

That Julia Witzel (the said Julia Witzel meaning thereby) loaned me (her the said Nanette Spery otherwise known by the name of Nanette Bolenius

meaning thereby) three thousand dollars in eighteen hundred and seventy six (in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and seventy six meaning thereby)
(the said interest (that she the said Nanette Spery otherwise known by the name of Nanette Bolenius has paid to the said Julia Witzel money as and for interest in the said sum of three thousand dollars meaning thereby)
Whereas in truth and in fact the said certain chattel mortgage for three thousand dollars made by her the said Nanette Spery otherwise known by the name of Nanette Bolenius to the said Julia Witzel was not given to secure the payment of an actual loan of three thousand dollars made by her the said Julia Witzel to her the said Nanette Spery otherwise known by the name of Nanette Bolenius in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and seventy six or at any other time whatever but said mortgage was fictitious false and fraudulent and was given to secure the payment of no loan of money whatever by her

meaning thereby) three thousand dollars in eighteen hundred and seventy six (in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and seventy six meaning thereby)
(the said interest) that she the said Nanette Spery otherwise known by the name of Nanette Bolenius has paid to the said Julia Witzel money as and for interest in the said sum of three thousand dollars meaning thereby)
Whereas in truth and in fact the said certain chattel mortgage for three thousand dollars made by her the said Nanette Spery otherwise known by the name of Nanette Bolenius to the said Julia Witzel was not given to secure the payment of an actual loan of three thousand dollars made by her the said Julia Witzel to her the said Nanette Spery otherwise known by the name of Nanette Bolenius in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and seventy six or at any other time whatever but said mortgage was fictitious false and fraudulent and was given to secure the payment of no loan of money whatever by her

the said Julia Witzel to her the said Nanette Spery otherwise known by the name of Nanette Bolenius -

Whereas in truth and in fact she the said Julia Witzel did not loan three thousand dollars to her the said Nanette Spery otherwise known by the name of Nanette Bolenius in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and seventy six nor at any time whatever.

Whereas in truth and in fact she the said Nanette Spery otherwise known by the name of Nanette Bolenius never paid any money whatever to the said Julia Witzel as or for interest on the said sum of three thousand dollars.

And so the jurors aforesaid upon their oath aforesaid do say

That she the said Nanette Spery otherwise known by the name of Nanette Bolenius on the said twenty first day of December in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and seventy eight at the City and County aforesaid before the Honorable Charles Doepp sole judge and justice of the Marine Court of the City of New York as aforesaid, he the said the Honorable

Charles Leeper to have and such competent power and authority to administer such oath as aforesaid at to take such affidavit in writing as aforesaid in manner and form aforesaid wilfully unlawfully feloniously maliciously did commit wilfull and corrupt perjury against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided and against the peace of the people of the State of New York and their dignity

and corruptly

Benj. K Phelps
District Attorney

1131

BOX:
2
FOLDER:
34
DESCRIPTION:
Suffrage, Robert
DATE:
12/22/79

34

1132

167

Counsel,

Filed 22 day of Dec. 1879

Pleads

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Robert Suffrage

Chf. has been before

Dmt bail

INDICTMENT.

Larceny

BENJ. K. PHELPS,

District Attorney.

A True Bill.

M. W. Cosby

Foreman.

Jany 13. 1880.

S. P. Two years & 6 mos

Plead G. L.

1133

Form 112.

STATE OF NEW YORK,
CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss:

Police Court—First District.

Dennis McGinness

of No. 273 Hudson Street, being duly sworn, deposes and says, that on the 4th day of October 1879, at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away from the possession of deponent, and from deponents person.

the following property, viz: One gold watch.

of the value of Fifty Dollars, the property of deponent.

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away by Robert Suffrage (now present) from the person of deponent and the aforesaid property in the watch pocket on the right side of the pantaloons then and there on the person of deponent as a portion of deponents wearing clothing. Said Robert Suffrage came up to deponent in Greenwich Corner of Morton St and called deponent by name and conversed with deponent along Morton St for the space of about ten minutes.

Sworn to before me this 4th day of October 1879

Police Justice

at the corner of Murray Street and College Place. Said Robert Suffrage then and there deponent stole which he deponent had in his hand from his deponents hand into the street near the curb stone at the time deponent felt said Robert Suffrage at the pocket of the pantaloons which contained the property aforesaid, said Robert Suffrage then ran away deponent at once missed the property aforesaid, deponent had stolen said property out while in the company of said Robert and only the same and no other person was near deponent. Therefore, deponent charges said Robert Suffrage purposely with the larceny of the property aforesaid and fully identifies him as the person who did take steal and carry away the property aforesaid.

Denis McGlinness

Sworn to before me this 16th day of December 1874

B. L. Morgan
Police Justice

1135

Police Court—First District.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK. } ss.

Robert Suffragee being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that he was at liberty to refuse to answer any question that may be put to him, states as follows, viz:

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Robert Suffragee

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 19 years of age

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York

Question. Where do you live?

Answer 244 E. Eighth St

Question. What is your occupation?

Answer. Carpenter

Question. Have you anything to say, and if so, what—relative to the charge here preferred against you?

Answer. I am not guilty of the charge.

Robert Suffragee

Taken before me, this 16th *day of* December *18*76

W. L. Ottinger *Police Justice.*

16

Police Court—First District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Dennis McGuinness
713 Hudson St

vs.

1 Robert Suffragel
2 been here before
3 Stable Gang
4
5
6

Affidavit—Larceny.

Dated December 16th 1879

Morgan Magistrate.

Mealey Officer.

27 Precinct Clerk.

Witnesses: Officer Mealey
27th Precinct

$1500. to answer

at General Sessions

Received at Dist. Atty's office

BAILED:

No. 1, by

Residence,

No. 2, by

Residence,

No. 3, by

Residence,

No. 4, by

Residence,

No. 5, by

Residence,

No. 6, by

Residence,

COUNSEL FOR COMPLAINANT.

Name,

Address,

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT.

Name,

Address,

9611

1137

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.:

THE JURORS OF THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, *in and for the body of the City and County of New York, upon their Oath, present:*

That Robert Suffrage

late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid,

on the Fourth day of October in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and seventy-nine at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms

One watch of the value of fifty dollars

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Dennis McGunnefo on the person of the said Dennis McGunnefo, then and there being found, from the person of the said Dennis McGunnefo then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

BENJ. K. PHELPS, District Attorney.

1138

BOX:
2
FOLDER:
34
DESCRIPTION:
Stanton, William
DATE:
12/18/79

34

1139

129

Filed 16 day of Dec 1879

Pleads

THE PEOPLE

10
16 Washington

vs.

P

William Stanton

Felonious Assault and Battery.

BENJ. K. PHELPS,

District Attorney.

A True Bill.

W. N. Cooper

Foreman.

Part Iro Dec 19. 1879.
Catholic Protectory.

1140

Form

STATE OF NEW YORK,
CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss. :

POLICE COURT—FIRST DISTRICT.

Luke Murphy

of No. 27 Washington Street, being duly sworn, deposes and says, that on the Friday 5 day of December 1879 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, he was violently and feloniously assaulted and beaten by William Stanton

now present.

who stabbed and cut deponent twice in the back and once in the side with a knife or some sharp instrument inflicting severe wounds from the effects of said wounds deponent has been confined in the New York Hospital until this day

Deponent believes that said injury, as above set forth, was inflicted by said William

with the felonious intent to take the life of deponent, or to do him bodily harm, and without any justification on the part of the said assailant :

Wherefore this deponent prays that the said assailant may be apprehended, and dealt with according to law.

Luke Murphy

Sworn to, before me, this 14 day of December 1879.

[signature] Police Justice.

1141

Police Court—First District.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.:

William Stanton being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that he was at liberty to refuse to answer any question that may be put to h , states as follows, viz:

Question. What is your name?

Answer. William Stanton

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 10 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Ireland

Question. Where do you live?

Answer. 16. Washington Street

Question. What is your occupation?

Answer. go to School

Question. Have you anything to say, and if so, what—relative to the charge here preferred against you?

Answer. I did cut the boy - hitter, and another boy, and his brother were beating me. I defended myself.

William Stanton

Taken before me, this 14 day of December 1879

McKeon Allerton

POLICE JUSTICE.

129.

Police Court—First District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Luke Murphy
27 Washington st

vs.

William Stanton

2

3

4

5

6

AFFIDAVIT—Felonious Assault & Battery

Dated, December 14 1879

Otterburg Magistrate.

Clark Officer.

27 Clerk.

Witnesses,

$500 to answer

at General Sessions

Received at Dist. Atty's Office,

BAILED:

No. 1, by

Residence,

No. 2, by

Residence,

No. 3, by

Residence,

No. 4, by

Residence,

No. 5, by

Residence,

No. 6, by

Residence,

COUNSEL FOR COMPLAINANT.

Name,

Address,

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT.

Name,

Address,

2411

1143

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

THE JURORS OF THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,
in and for the body of the City and County of New York, upon their Oath, present:

That William Stanton

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York, aforesaid, on the fifth day of December in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty nine with force and arms, at the City and County aforesaid, in and upon the body of Luke Murphy in the peace of the said people then and there being, feloniously did make an assault and him the said Luke Murphy with a certain Knife which the said William Stanton in his right hand then and there had and held, the same being a deadly and dangerous weapon, wilfully and feloniously did beat, strike, stab, cut, and wound with intent him the said Luke Murphy then and there, feloniously and wilfully to kill, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT.

And the Jurors aforesaid, upon their Oath aforesaid, do further present: That afterwards, to wit, on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, the said William Stanton with force and arms, in and upon the body of the said Luke Murphy then and there being, wilfully and feloniously did make an assault and him the said Luke Murphy with a certain Knife which the said William Stanton in his right hand, then and there had and held, the same being then and there a sharp, dangerous weapon, wilfully and feloniously, and without justifiable and excusable cause, did then and there beat, strike, stab, cut, and wound, with intent to then and there wilfully and feloniously do bodily harm unto him the said Luke Murphy against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

THIRD COUNT.

And the Jurors aforesaid, upon their Oath aforesaid, do further present: That afterwards, to wit, on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, the said William Stanton with force and arms, in and upon the body of Luke Murphy in the peace of the said people then and there being, feloniously, did make another assault and him the said Luke Murphy with a certain Knife which the said William Stanton in his right hand then and there had and held, wilfully and feloniously did beat, strike, stab, cut, and wound, the same being such means and force as was likely to produce the death of him the said Luke Murphy with intent him the

1144

said Luke Murphy then and there feloniously and wilfully to kill, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

FOURTH COUNT.

And the Jurors aforesaid, upon their Oath aforesaid, do further present: That afterwards, to wit, on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, the said William Stanton with force and arms, in and upon the body of the said Luke Murphy then and there being, wilfully and feloniously, did make another assault and the said Luke Murphy with a certain Knife which the said William Stanton in his right hand then and there had and held, the same being then and there a deadly weapon, wilfully and feloniously did then and there beat, strike, stab, cut and wound, with intent to then and there wilfully and feloniously maim his the said Luke Murphy against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

BENJ. K. PHELPS, District Attorney.

Filed 11 day of Dec 1870
Pleads

THE PEOPLE
vs.
William Stanton
Felonious Assault and Battery.

BENJ. K. PHELPS,
District Attorney.

A True Bill.
M. W. Cosgrove
Foreman.

Plea Not Guilty Dec 19. 1870.
Bartholie Rotsteny.

1145

END OF BOX

1147

2.5 mm

2.0 mm ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz1234567890

1.5 mm ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz1234567890

IMAGE EVALUATION TEST TARGET (QA–2)

METRIC

200 mm

150 mm

100 mm

A3

A4

A5

1.0

1.1

1.25

1.4

1.6

1.8

2.0

2.2

2.5

2.8

3.2

3.6

4.0

4.5

5.0

1.0 mm

1.5 mm

2.0 mm

2.5 mm

APPLIED IMAGE, Inc
1653 East Main Street
Rochester, NY 14609 USA
Phone: 716/482-0300
Fax: 716/288-5989

© 1993, Applied Image, Inc., All Rights Reserved

4.5 mm ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz 1234567890

3.5 mm ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz1234567890

3.0 mm ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz1234567890

1148

2.5 mm

2.0 mm ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz1234567890

1.5 mm ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz1234567890

IMAGE EVALUATION TEST TARGET (QA–2)

METRIC

200 mm

150 mm

100 mm

A3

A4

A5

1.0

1.1

1.25

1.4

1.6

1.8

2.0

2.2

2.5

2.8

3.2

3.6

4.0

4.5

1.0 mm

1.5 mm

2.0 mm

2.5 mm

APPLIED IMAGE, Inc
1653 East Main Street
Rochester, NY 14609 USA
Phone: 716/482-0300
Fax: 716/288-5989

© 1993, Applied Image, Inc., All Rights Reserved

4.5 mm ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz 1234567890

3.5 mm ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz1234567890

3.0 mm ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz1234567890

1149

MUNICIPAL ARCHIVES

DEPARTMENT OF RECORDS AND INFORMATION SERVICES
31 CHAMBERS STREET
NEW YORK, NY 10007

ROLL:
2

MASTER NEG #:
16610

OPERATOR:
Charles Morio

DATE FILMED:
02/24/2010

FILM UNIT #:
MCD-2 15407

REDUCTION:
14X

EMULSION:
69360105

1150

RECORD GROUP:

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

SUBGROUP:

NEW YORK COUNTY

SERIES:

GRAND JURY INDICTMENTS

DATES:

1879 - 1893

ACCN NO 2010-23

2010-23

1151

CERTIFICATE OF FINDINGS

(X) THE METHYLENE BLUE ( ) SILVER DENSITOMETRIC[a] TEST WAS PERFORMED ACCORDING TO ISO STANDARD 18917:1999E

RESIDUAL THIOSULFATE CONTENT OR EQUIVALENT[a] WAS MEASURED TO BE Less than 0.001 GRAMS OF THIOSULFATE PER SQUARE METER (gm/m²) OF FILM.[b]

a. According to ISO standard 18917:1999E the Silver Densitometric test measures all residual chemicals, not just thiosulfate. This test will not detect quantities below 0.005 gm/m².
b. According to ANSI standard IT9.1-1992 Polyester Base Film, silver thiosulfate content (or equivalent) does not exceed 0.014 gm/m² is rated LE500 (Life Expectancy of at least 500 years).

FILM IDENTIFICATION: N.Y.C. DEPT. OF RECORDS- MUNICIPAL ARCHIVES 35mm Microfilm identified as CITY HALL DEPT. OF BUILDINGS ROLL #12 M.N. #00062 processed on 2/01/2010.

Date Certified: 6 February 2010 **By:**

MicroD International, 14901 Judicial Road, Burnsville, MN. 55306, USA Tel 612-414-27[illegible] email [illegible]@centurytel.net
Copyright: Neptune Arts, LLC. 2[illegible]

LITHO. IN U.S.A.