

0826

BOX:

499

FOLDER:

4557

DESCRIPTION:

Smith, George

DATE:

10/24/92



4557

0827

BOX:

499

FOLDER:

4557

DESCRIPTION:

Lewis, Albert

DATE:

10/24/92



4557

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0020

Witnesses:

A. J. Dammes

[Signature]

[Signature]

14/10

Counsel,

Filed

day of

189

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

George Smith
and

Albert Lewis

Burglary in the Third Degree
Section 198, 50 C.S. 20

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

B. Woodward

Townman.

[Signature]

Herold Perry

761 S.P. 4

725 P 2 1/2 yrs.

nb

Police Court - 2 District.

City and County of New York, ss.:

of No. 909 Broadway Street, aged 38 years, occupation Comptroller being duly sworn.

deposes and says, that the premises No 909 Broadway Street, in the City and County aforesaid, the said being a building in part

and which was occupied by deponent as a Dry goods store and in which there was at the time a jewelry store

were BURGLARIOUSLY entered by means of forcibly opening the fan light over the front door of said store leading in to the store from Broadway and entering therein

on the 1st day of October 188 in the afternoon time, and the following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz:

Sixty six ladies gold and silver watches and one hundred and fifty gold and silver rings and twenty five gold gold rings together of the value of about one hundred dollars

the property of Deponent

and deponent further says, that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid BURGLARY was committed and the aforesaid property taken, stolen, and carried away by George Smith and Albert Lewis both now here

for the reasons following, to wit: deponent saw the doors and windows in said premises were found locked and fastened at about the hour of eleven o'clock P.M. on the 1st day of October and on the morning of the 3rd day of October about the hour of eight o'clock A.M. deponent discovered said premises had been broken into and said property taken stolen and carried away and of

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0030

deponent is informed by Officer Andrew
Maguire of the Central Office that the
defendant Lewis, admitted and expressed
to said Officer the receipt of Lewis and Smith
committed said burglary and sold said
stolen property, the proceeds of said burglary
to various persons on the street.
Deponent further says he is informed by
Lena Thomas of No 40 Times that the
defendant Smith made her a present
of a watch here known in court and
identified as a portion of the property
taken stolen and carried away as a result

sworn to before me this

17 day of Oct 1892

John Ryan

Police Justice

Police Court District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Burglary Degree.

Dated 188

Magistrate.

Officer.

Clerk.

Witnesses:

Committed in default of \$ Bail.

Bailed by

No. Street.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0031

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

1877.

aged 34 years, occupation Andrew Nugent Police Officer of No. Central Office Street, being duly sworn, deposes and says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Albert James and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own knowledge.

Sworn to before me this

day of

Oct 17 1897 } Andrew Nugent

John Ryan
Police Justice.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

1877

aged 17 years, occupation Lena Strom Keyp Knuseh-fatta of No. 45 James Street, being duly sworn, deposes and says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Albert James and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own knowledge.

Sworn to before me this

day of

Oct 17 1897 } Lena Strom

John Ryan
Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0032

Sec. 198-200.

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.
OF NEW YORK.

2
District Police Court.

George Smith being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. George Smith

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 19 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York City

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. Bowery & Bayard Streets New York

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Crooner

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am guilty

Geo Smith

Taken before me this
day of Jan 1888
John H. [Signature]

Police Justice.

Sec. 198-200.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss.

District Police Court.

Albert Lewis being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Albert Lewis*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *19 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *Brooklyn*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *1 New Stanton*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Waiter*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. *I am quite sure the defendant Smith or Parker and I stated we had two good places to break into and he took me to this place and pushed me over the fan light and we divided the proceeds of the burglary.*

Albert Lewis

Taken before me this *19* day of *April* 188*5*
[Signature]
Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0034

BAILED,
 No. 1, by _____
 Residence _____ Street _____
 No. 2, by _____
 Residence _____ Street _____
 No. 3, by _____
 Residence _____ Street _____
 No. 4, by _____
 Residence _____ Street _____

Police Court--- District---

THE PEOPLE, &c,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Alfred E. ...
George ...
Alfred ...
 Offense *unplanned*

Dated, *Oct 17 189*

Magistrate

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named *John ...*

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *Twenty* Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail

Dated, *Oct 17* 189 *John Ryan* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named _____ to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____ guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

*George Smith
and
Albert Lewis*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

George Smith and Albert Lewis

of the CRIME OF BURGLARY IN THE THIRD DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

George Smith and Albert Lewis, both

late of the *18th* Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the
second day of *October* in the year of our Lord one
thousand eight hundred and ninety-*two* in the *night* - time of the same day, at the
Ward, City and County aforesaid, a certain building there situate, to wit, the *store* of
one *Albert F. Jammes*

there situate, feloniously and burglariously did break into and enter, with intent to commit some
crime therein, to wit: with intent the goods, chattels and personal property of the said *Albert
F. Jammes* in the said *store*
then and there being, then and there feloniously and burglariously to steal, take and carry away,
against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the
People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

George Smith and Albert Lewis

of the CRIME OF *Grand LARCENY in the first degree*, committed as follows:

The said *George Smith and Albert Lewis, both*

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid,
at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, in the *night*-time of said day, with force and arms,

*sixty-six watches of the value of
ten dollars each and two hun-
dred and twenty-five rings of
the value of four dollars
each*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

Albert S. James

in the

store

of the said

Albert S. James

there situate, then and there being found, in the

store

aforesaid, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute
in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York
and their dignity.

*De Lancey Nicoll,
District Attorney.*

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

George Smith and Albert Lewis

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

George Smith and Albert Lewis

of the CRIME OF BURGLARY IN THE THIRD DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

George Smith and Albert Lewis, both

late of the 18th Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the second day of October in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-two in the night - time of the same day, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, a certain building there situate, to wit, the store of one Albert J. Jammes

there situate, feloniously and burglariously did break into and enter, with intent to commit some crime therein, to wit: with intent the goods, chattels and personal property of the said Albert J. Jammes in the said store then and there being, then and there feloniously and burglariously to steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

George Smith and Albert Lewis

of the CRIME OF *Grand* LARCENY in the first degree, committed as follows:

The said *George Smith and Albert Lewis, both*

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid,
at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, in the *night*-time of said day, with force and arms,

*sixty-six watches of the value of
ten dollars each and two hun-
dred and twenty-five rings of
the value of four dollars
each*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one *Albert F. James*

in the *store* of the said *Albert F. James*

there situate, then and there being found, in the *store*
aforesaid, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute
in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York
and their dignity.

*De Lancey Nicoll,
District Attorney.*

0039

BOX:

499

FOLDER:

4557

DESCRIPTION:

Spiro, Louis

DATE:

10/07/92



4557

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0840

Witnesses:

Off Day

Counsel,

Filed,

Pleads,

day of

1892

7 July 10

THE PEOPLE

vs.

B

Louis Spiro

July 28/92

Be it the Court's order
that the defendant
be committed to the
custody of the District
Attorney.

VIOLATION OF THE EXCISE LAW.
(Illegal Sales Without License)
[Chap. 401, Laws of 1892, § 31]

DE LANCEY NICOLL

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

B. Lockwood

Foreman.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

Samuel Dixon

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Samuel Dixon

of the CRIME OF SELLING STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINE, ALE AND BEER, IN QUANTITIES LESS THAN FIVE GALLONS AT A TIME, WITHOUT HAVING A LICENSE THEREFOR, committed as follows:

The said

Samuel Dixon,

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the ~~fourteenth~~ day of ~~September~~, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-~~two~~, at the City and County aforesaid, certain strong and spirituous liquors, and certain wine, ale and beer, to wit: one gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whiskey, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain strong and spirituous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did sell, in quantities less than five gallons at a time, to *one Charles A. Gray and to*

certain ~~other~~ persons whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, without having a license granted to him in pursuance of any law of this State permitting him to sell either strong or spirituous liquors, wines, ale or beer, contrary to the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the People of the State of New York.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

0842

BOX:

499

FOLDER:

4557

DESCRIPTION:

Stanley, Robert E.

DATE:

10/18/92



4557

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0043

Wm. L. McDonald
Counsel,
Filed *17* day of *Oct* 189*2*
Pleads, *knowingly*

Grand Larceny, (From the Person), Degree, [Sections 528, 529, Penal Code.]

38 THE PEOPLE
vs.
Robert C. Stanley

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

B. Lockwood

Sub. 2 - Oct. 24, 1892. Foreman.
Trind. and Committed

James B. ...
Nov. 4, 1892

Witnesses:
Off W. Currier
Sept 24 1892
Am

Sept 24 1892
off W. Currier

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0844

(1305)

Police Court _____ District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County }
of New York, } ss.

of No. 4th Precinct Frank M. Carrick Street, aged 30 years,

occupation Police officer being duly sworn,
deposes and says, that on the 11th day of October 1892 at the City of New

York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession
and person of Thomas Reynolds of depot in the a. m. time, the following property, viz:

One Double faced gold watch
and chain, of the value of
Eight Dollars

the property of Thomas Reynolds

and that this deponent
has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen
and carried away by Robert E. Stanley (presumed)

from the fact that said Reynolds
just was a reception at a hotel in the
city here said he had said watch to
which it was attached said chain in the
pocket left hand vest pocket of the
vest said Reynolds then he was
and deponent said said deponent saw
take the said property out of the vest
pocket of said Reynolds and was
about to walk away when deponent
arrested him with the said property
in his possession which said
Reynolds fully identifies as being
his and deponent charges him with
the larceny aforesaid

Frank M. Carrick

Sworn to before me, this
11th day of October 1892

W. M. Justice
Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0846

(1235)

Sec. 198-200.

1st
District Police Court

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Robert E. Stanley being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Robert E. Stanley*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *37 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *Canada*

Question. Where do you live and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *533 South 5th Avenue 3 mos*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Coal W. Porter*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. *I am not guilty.*
Robert E. Stanley

Taken before me this *17th*
day of *March* 189*7*
J. J. [Signature]

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0847

BAILED,
 No. 1, by _____
 Residence _____ Street _____
 No. 2, by _____
 Residence _____ Street _____
 No. 3, by _____
 Residence _____ Street _____
 No. 4, by _____
 Residence _____ Street _____

1286
 1884
 Police Court... District...

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
 ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Frank W. ...
Robert ...

1
 2
 3
 4
 Offense _____

Dated, *October 4* 189 *2*

Mc ...
 Magistrate.

W. ...
 Precinct.

No. *45 W 95th*
 Street

No. *...*
 Street

No. *...*
 Street

Committed to ...

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named _____

_____ guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *Twenty* Hundred Dollars, _____ and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, *Oct 4* 189 *2* _____ Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named _____ to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____ guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h _____ to be discharged.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0848

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE,
City and County of New York.

=====

T H E P E O P L E,
vs.
ROBERT E. STANLEY.

=====

§
§ Before
§ -- HON. FREDERICK SMYTH,
§ and a Jury.
§

TRIED, NEW YORK, OCTOBER 27TH, 1892.

INDICTED FOR GRAND LARCENY IN THE SECOND DEGREE.

INDICTMENT FILED OCTOBER 18th, 1892.

APPEARANCES:

ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY H.W. MACDONA,

For THE PEOPLE.

WILLIAM E. MORRIS,

For THE DEFENSE.

OFFICER FRANK MCCARRICK, testified that he was attached to the 4th Precinct, on the 4th of October last. On that day he was on patrol duty, in Nassau Street and part of Spruce Street, as far as Park Row---to the Times Building. He saw the defendant on Park Row, right at the Times Building. It was about 11 o'clock in the morning--- between 11 and half-past. The witness knows Thomas Reynolds now, but did not know him on the day referred to. He was in company with the defendant, and came up Park Row and crossed over to the park. The officer's attention was first attracted to him by a citizen. He first noticed the difference in the dress of the two men. Reynolds was dressed like a gentleman and the other one was not. Reynolds and Stanley went over to the City Hall Park, and sat on a bench with their faces towards Broadway. He could not say that Reynolds was intoxicated; he walked along right enough. Reynolds sat down with his elbow resting on the bench, and the defendant sat sidewise to him, and had hold of Reynold's overcoat with his lefthand and held him from falling back.

He could not say what the defendant was doing with his³ righthand. The witness waited probably a couple of minutes, then crossed over to the Park, and stood right in front of the defendant before the defendant saw him. The defendant had opened Reynold's coat and vest. The witness had previously noticed that Reynolds coat and vest were closed as he passed him. The chain was hanging down. Stanley had the watch just as the witness grabbed his hand, and put the watch back in his pocket. Another officer accompanying the witness, remaining about 25 feet behind him, and he told him to take Reynolds to the station-house and look for his watch, and the witness took the defendant. At the station-house the defendant denied that he had the watch, but said that Reynolds told him to take the watch and get some money on it. In

C r o s s - E x a m i n a t i o n,

the witness testified that the defendant and Reynolds entered the Park opposite the Franklin Statute, and turned to the left, and sat down on a bench about 20 feet up from the path they entered. The witness did not know that the avenue through there where the benches were located, was frequented by restaurant waiters, every

day in the week, as a sort of headquarters for waiters to get employment, but that there was such a place in Ann and Nassau Street, and along there. The witness had been two years on that post, and knew all about it. A citizen first called the witness's attention to the two men, and remarked that one was dressed like a gentleman, with a watch chain on, and the other like a bum. The witness came over to where the two men were sitting, because the defendant's actions indicated that he was going to steal. Reynolds fell back and the defendant held him up with his left hand. It was a bright morning, and he could see the defendant's actions. The defendant had the watch in his hands, and had removed it from Reynolds' pocket. The witness had seen the defendant before, around Nassau and Ann Streets. The witness did not know the defendant's business at that time, but now knows that he is a waiter and cook.

THOMAS REYNOLDS, testified that the watch and chain shown to him in court belonged to him. He lived at 45 West 92nd Street. He was not in any active business at present. He speculated once in a while in real estate. He never

saw the defendant until he saw him in the 4th Precinct Station House and the Police Court. He saw him in the station-house about 12 o'clock in the day, on the 4th of October. In

C r o s s - E x a m i n a t i o n,

the witness testified that he never worked in the Herald office, and never met the defendant before. He, the witness, had been drinking that day. He had been taken with vertigo, and had been sick all night. He got up and had a shave in a barber's in Columbus Avenue, and had taken a train down to the office of Mr. Weber, the lawyer for the New York Bank, at 7 Temple Court, and got an abstract. From there he went to the Lawyers' Title Guarantee and Trust Co., in Liberty Street, and then to the office of Kennelly, real estate broker, then to the office of Mr. Deyo, a lawyer, at 115 Broadway, and then he was taken sick, and went in and had a glass of whiskey, at Gerken's, corner of Liberty Street and Broadway. Then he came up Broadway, and became dizzy, and went into Hudnut's drug store and bought a remedy for dyspepsia, and went to take an 8th Avenue car home, so that he could get out,

saw the defendant until he saw him in the 4th Precinct Station House and the Police Court. He saw him in the station-house about 12 o'clock in the day, on the 4th of October. In

C r o s s - E x a m i n a t i o n,

the witness testified that he never worked in the Herald office, and never met the defendant before. He, the witness, had been drinking that day. He had been taken with vertigo, and had been sick all night. He got up and had a shave in a barber's in Columbus Avenue, and had taken a train down to the office of Mr. Weber, the lawyer for the New York Bank, at 7 Temple Court, and got an abstract. From there he went to the Lawyers' Title Guarantee and Trust Co., in Liberty Street, and then to the office of Kennelly, real estate broker, then to the office of Mr. Deyo, a lawyer, at 115 Broadway, and then he was taken sick, and went in and had a glass of whiskey, at Gerken's, corner of Liberty Street and Broadway. Then he came up Broadway, and became dizzy, and went into Hudnut's drug store and bought a remedy for dyspepsia, and went to take an 8th Avenue car home, so that he could get out,

if he became sick on the way home. He had no recollection of being in a place kept by O'Halloran, in Ann Street, nor did he remember being in a place kept by a man named Finlay, corner of Theatre Alley and Beekman Street. He, the witness, was a retired policeman, on the pension list. He had but one drink of whiskey that morning. He had no recollection of meeting the defendant. The value of the watch was \$30., and that of the chain \$45. or so.

THE DEFENSE.

ROBERT E. STANLEY, the defendant, testified that he resided at 233 South 5th Avenue, and was a married man, with two children. He remembered October 4th of this year, and saw the complainant on that day. He met him on the corner of Ann Street and Theatre Alley, in a liquor store there. The complainant had been drinking. There were six or seven people in the place, including four printers that the defendant knew, which was kept by Finlayson. The defendant, Mr. Reynolds and a man whose nickname was

**POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL**

0855

7

"Harry", drank together. In O'Halloran's place they had another drink, but the bartender told them to go out, that they were drunk, or something to that effect. Then they came through Beekman Street, and at Park Row they started across for the Park, and both sat down on a bench, near Mail Street, right where the officer said. Mr. Reynolds commenced to fall over, and the defendant pulled him back again. This was done two or three times and he, the defendant, who was drunk himself, sat there. The defendant was sitting there. While sitting there, the officer ran over and grabbed hold of him, the defendant. He, the defendant, asked the officer "What is the matter? What are you grabbing a-hold of me for?" and the officer said, "I will show you. Come on." The defendant protested being arrested. The officer said "That is all right. I will fix you for that." The defendant told the Sergeant just what he has told here. He did not say that Mr. Reynolds was a printer. He said that they had been drinking and were printers. He, the witness, did not take hold of the man's watch or chain. He had no thought of the man's watch or chain or anything belonging to him. He had been working in

the Merchants' Dining Room, on Duane Street. He did not tell the officer in the station-house or in the police court or anywhere else, that he thought he took the man's watch. He told him the same story as he told here in court. The defendant had been in the City of New York about 23 years. During that time his business has been that of a cook and carver and before that, a waiter. He was never arrested and charged with any crime. He was once, however, arrested for being drunk, a long time ago.

OFFICER FRANK MCCARRICK, being recalled, testified that, at the time he, the witness, took Mr. Reynolds' watch out of the defendant's hand, the chain was not fastened to his vest. The chain was hanging down and fastened to his watch. The watch and chain were detached entirely from the vest.

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE
IN AND FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

.....
The People, etc., :
-vs- :
Robert E. Stanley, :
.....:

City and County of New York SS:

Lawrence Murley being duly sworn deposes and says, I am 52 years of age, a Restaurant Keeper by occupation, my place of business is Nos. 334 & 335 Washington Market, New York City. The above named defendant was in my employ for three years, ending in 1891, and was honest, ~~and~~ and industrious during that period, and I trusted him implicitly, and am surprised to learn that he is in trouble. He has a wife and two small children dependent upon him for their support, and I therefore ask the Court to extend to him its utmost clemency.

Sworn to before me this :

3rd day of November, 1892. :

Lawrence Murley

*J. P. Sullivan
Commissioner of the Peace
City and County of New York*

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0858

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE
IN AND FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

.....
THE PEOPLE ETC. :
vs. :
ROBERT E. STANLEY. :
.....

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK: SS:

Henry Buckley, being duly sworn says, I am 34 years of age, by occupation I am the Manager of the Home Made Hotel No. 284 Greenwich St., New York City. I have known the above named defendant intimately for the past 10 years. He has served under my supervision for several month at a time during said period, and I have always found him honest and industrious, he has never been arrested or charged with any crime to my knowledge.

He has a wife and two small children dependent upon him for their suppoert.

Sworn to before me this

1st day of Nov. 1892.

H. Buckley

*J. Steiner
Commissioner
City and County of New York*

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE
IN AND FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

.....
THE PEOPLE ETC. :
VS. :
ROBERT E. STANLEY. :
.....

City and County of New York SS:

Charles Barrett being duly sworn says, I am *39* years of age, by occupation I am the Head Waiter at the International Hotel No. ~~17~~ *17* Park Row, in the City of New York, I have known the above named defendant intimately for the past *18* years. He has served under my supervision for several months at a time during said period, and I have always found him honest and industrious, and that he has never been arrested or charged with any crime to my knowledge.

He has a wife and two small children dependent upon him for their support.

Sworn to before me this :

Charles Barrett

1st day of Nov. 1892. :

J. P. Hellman
Commissioner of Beers
City and County of New York

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0060

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE
IN AND FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

.....
The People, Etc., :
-vs- :
Robert E. Stanley, :
.....:

City and County of New York SS:

George Gillott, being duly sworn says, I am 30 years of age, by occupation I am a letter carrier at Station "A" New York City, I have known the above named defendant intimately for the past 8 years. He has never been arrested or charged with any crime to my knowledge prior to the present instance, and has been employed as a cook and carver during the period aforesaid.

His general reputation in this community for honesty has been good during said period.

Sworn to before me this :
3rd day of November, 1892. :

J. J. Sullivan
Commissioner of Bees
City and County of New York *G. J. Gaccal*

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0861

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss.:
being duly sworn, deposes and says: That he is _____ years of age and upwards
That on the _____ day of _____ 189__ at No. _____
in the City of New York, he served the annexed _____
upon _____
the _____ therein by
delivering to and leaving with _____
_____ a true copy thereof _____

Deponent further says that he knew the persons so served to be _____
Sworn to before me this
day of _____ 189__

| |
|--|
| N.Y. General Sessions Court. |
| The People, etc., <i>Plaintiff,</i> |
| AGAINST |
| Robert E. Stanley, <i>Defendant.</i> |
| Affidavit as to previous Character. |
| WILLIAM E. MORRIS, <i>Defendant's Attorney,</i> |
| 23 Chambers Street, N. Y. City. |
| Due and timely service of a copy within _____ _____ is hereby admitted. |
| Dated N. Y. _____ 189__ _____ Atty. |
| To _____ Esq. _____ Atty. |

Please take notice that the within is a true
copy of an _____
is day duly _____ in the office of the
Clerk of this Court in this action.
dated N. Y. _____ 189__
Yours, &c.,
WILLIAM E. MORRIS,
Attorney for _____
_____ Esq.
Atty for _____

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0862

Court of General Sessions of the Peace
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
against
Robert E. Stanley

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse
Robert E. Stanley
of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY in the *second* degree, committed as follows:
The said *Robert E. Stanley*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *4th*
day of *October* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and
ninety-*two*, in the *day*-time of the said day, at the City and County aforesaid,
with force and arms,

*one watch of the
value of sixty dollars and
one chain of the value of
twenty-five dollars*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one *Thomas Reynolds*
on the person of the said *Thomas Reynolds*
then and there being found, from the person of the said *Thomas Reynolds*
then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in
such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York
and their dignity.

De Laurey Nicoll,
District Attorney

0863

BOX:

499

FOLDER:

4557

DESCRIPTION:

Stein, Frieda

DATE:

10/26/92



4557

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0064

Witnesses:

Counsel,

Filed

day of

1892

Plsads,

W. H. Gaulty in

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Frieda Stein

Grand Larceny, (Sections 528, 529, Penn) Degree.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

B. J. Woodward

Apr 15/92 Foreman.

J. W. Gaulty

2 yrs to hard Pen

W. H. Gaulty

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0065

Police Court / District. Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County of New York, ss:

of No. 48 South 6th Street Brooklyn, aged 35 years, occupation Housekeeper, being duly sworn,

deposes and says, that on the 19 day of August 1891 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in the day time, the following property, viz:

One gold watch of the value of One hundred and fifty dollars

the property of Deponent

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away by

for the reason that on the said date the defendant was in deponent's employ at premises at 85 Cherry Street as a boarder. Deponent missed the said property and accused the defendant of having taken, stolen and carrying away the same. Defendant admitted to deponent that she did take and carry away the same.

Johanna Werniger

Sworn to before me, this 20 day of August 1891, Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0867

(1335)

Sec. 198—200.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

District Police Court.

Freda Stein being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is ~~his~~ right to make a statement in relation to the charge against ~~her~~; that the statement is designed to enable ~~her~~ if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against ~~her~~ that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that ~~her~~ waiver cannot be used against ~~her~~ on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Freda Stein

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

27 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

Germany

Question. Where do you live and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

4 Avenue A, Manhattan

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Nothing

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer.

*I am not guilty
Freda Stein*

Taken before me this *23*
day of *October* 189*2*

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0058

BAILED,
 No. 1, by _____
 Residence _____ Street _____
 No. 2, by _____
 Residence _____ Street _____
 No. 3, by _____
 Residence _____ Street _____
 No. 4, by _____
 Residence _____ Street _____

Police Court, _____ District

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Thomas Morris
vs. A. S. ...
with kin

Offense, *Larceny*
Felony

Dated *Oct 22* 1892

W. M. ...
Magistrate.
W. J. ...
Officer.
Precinct _____

Witnesses
 No. _____ Street _____
 No. _____ Street _____

No. *1570* Street _____
 to answer *G. J.*
\$2000
of Oct. 23 92

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named _____

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *fifteen* Hundred Dollars, _____ and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, *Oct 22* 1892 _____ Police Justice.

I have have admitted the above-named _____ to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____ guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

4-7/12
The People
vs
Frieda Stein

Court of General Sessions. Part I
Before Judge Fitzgerald November 15 1892
Indictment for grand larceny second degree
Johanna ^{if} ~~the~~ reiger, sworn and examined
testified. You now live in Brooklyn do you? ^{Yes}
in 48 South Sixth street. On the 19th of August
1891 you lived at No. 85 Cherry street in this
city, did you, and while you were living there
did you see the defendant at the bar
Frieda Stein? Yes. Did she come to your
house? On Monday night the 16th of August
at six o'clock in the evening she came to
my house. What did she tell you her
name was then? Mrs. Wolff. What did she
say about where she came from? She
said she came early in the summer
from Germany and she wanted to go
to Milwaukee to her mother in law; she
said her baby was four months old and
her husband was dead. She begged for
lodging, she could not travel further, for
her baby was sick. Did you take her
in? Yes. I told her I had no room for
her, but if she was willing to go into
the ~~bed~~ room with my little child I
would let her. I took her in. She said she
only wanted to stay a few days there.
She was in the room with my ~~little~~
little ones. Then on Wednesday I thought

she was through the children's bureau. I could not lock the bureau. State if you saw her go away with anything in her hand? She went away on Thursday morning having a satchel about eight o'clock and she came back without it; she was not gone five or ten minutes. I asked her what she done with a satchel. She made out that she was going to buy a little baby's dress. Her baby was up stairs; it was her satchel; that was all she had when she came in the house. I thought she was green; I believed that she came from Germany. I said, what did you do with the satchel. She says, "O, I left it in the store." I said, "That a foolish woman! you can not find maybe the store any more." She said, "I guess I can find it, the little girl can go along with me. She went up stairs; she said the man told her in the store she must bring the baby to fit the dress. She went with the baby first off; she said the man in the store told her to bring the baby. That was the excuse she did not bring the satchel. Did she ever bring the satchel back? No, she never did."

she was through the children's bureau. I could not lock the bureau. State if you saw her go away with anything in her hand? She went away on Thursday morning having a satchel about eight o'clock and she came back without it; she was not gone five or ten minutes, I asked her what she done with a satchel? She made out that she was going to buy a little baby's dress. Her baby was up stairs; it was her satchel; that was all she had when she came in the house. I thought she was green; I believed that she came from Germany. I said, what did you do with the satchel? She says, "O, I left it in the store." I said, "That a foolish woman! you can not find maybe the store any more." She said, "I guess I can find it, the little girl can go along with me. She went up stairs; she said the man told her in the store she must bring the baby to fit the dress. She went with the baby first off; she said the man in the store told her to bring the baby. That was the excuse she did not bring the satchel. Did she ever bring the satchel back? No, she never did."

Did you miss any property from your house?
 Yes. I missed my watch and chain.
 What day did you miss your watch and
 chain? She came on the 16th and I
 missed it on the 19th. Did you miss
 the property before she left or after? After
 she left. How long had she been gone
 when you missed the property? About three
 quarters of an hour. Where had you your
 watch and chain before that? In my room
 up stairs on the first floor. I had it
 in a little satchel under the bed; we
 were just after moving. In your private
 room? Yes. When did you see the satchel
 last? I could not tell you. The way I
 found it out I went towards my bureau
 and I seen a piece of goods that
 did not belong in that chamber. When
 did you find the satchel there? I put
 it there on a Tuesday the 17th of August
 1841 and I missed it on the 19th Monday
 the day that she left and the day
 saw her go out with the satchel. She
 never returned after she left. I went
 right away to the station house and
 nobody could find the woman. I never
 saw her from that time until I saw
 her on Blackwell's Island in the
 penitentiary as a prisoner. Had a

conversation with her. That was in the fore part of June of this year. I was hunting for her all the time. I asked her what she done with the watch and chain. She said she sold it. I says, "to whom?" he said, "to a girl." I said, "Where is the girl?" She said, "In Eighty fourth street. I asked her for the name. She said she did not know. I asked her, "Where is my diamond ring?" She said she sold it. Then I could not get any more out of her and the detective took me away. Then I went over the second time and I begged her to tell me where the goods were. She told me she sold it to a jewelry store in Eighty fourth st. I asked her what she done with my silver and underwear. She took an awful lot silver, my underwear and the children's things; she stripped me. She said my underwear and every-thing was kept in 82nd street, she could not pay her board, and the diamond ring and the watch she sold in a jewelry store in 82nd street. I told her there was no jewelry store there. She said, there was; she could not tell the number, if I would walk two

a three more blocks it was easy to find it. Did you walk through Eighty Fourth Street? Yes sir. I did. I could not find nothing. I told her I would let her go if she told me where my things were. Did you walk through Eighty Fourth Street to find the jewelry store? Yes sir. Did you ^{over} find it? No sir, I could not find nothing. You first made your report of this case at the Oak Street station house? Yes sir, and then I went to Headquarters. How many days was she with you altogether? Only from Monday at six o'clock till Thursday about two o'clock in the afternoon, that is all. Did you have any conversation with her while you were at Blackwell's Island as to whether she really did live in Germany or not? No, I did not ask her that - yes. I asked her; she said she did come over. I asked her "what time did you come over?" she said, "O, yes, I came over that time," but I did not think she did.

By the Court: What was the value of that watch and chain? One hundred and fifty dollars and a diamond ring. How much was that worth? Ninety dollars. How about the clothes? She had little children's rings, breast pins of the little ones.

Cross

my breast pin, and all my under wear
What was all that worth, about how much?
Six or seven hundred dollars she
robbed me. A watch and chain worth
one hundred and fifty dollars and a
diamond ring worth ninety dollars? Yes in
the clothes and things belonging to the
children how much were they worth?
About twenty five dollars, and she robbed
the Savings bank; she got \$150 in money
out of me, she stole my money too
Examined. When did you see your watch
and chain last before you missed it?
On Tuesday when I took the ratched up
stairs everything was in it. What part
of Tuesday, morning, afternoon or evening.
It was in the morning when we took it
out. You say you had previously moved
to your place in Cherry street? Yes
How long before that Tuesday had you
moved in those premises? Only Thursday
before. On Tuesday you saw the watch
and chain? Yes in. What was the occasion
of your seeing the watch and chain on
Tuesday? Because it was behind the
bar. I thought my husband had not
locked it in his safe. I thought I
would take it up stairs - somebody

might come in. Somebody might come in and steal it? Yes sir, and I took it up stairs. Do you talk German? This defendant speaks some English. I spoke German to her. I spoke to her about my watch and chain in the presence of the detective. How many families live in this house in Cherry Street? Only we alone. There is a store and two floors above, our living apartments. On the first floor above is where you had the satchel with the watch and chain in it? Yes sir.

Joseph J. Dowling sworn and examined I am an officer connected with the Police Department of this city. I had charge of this case ~~was~~ June in consequence of the reports made to me by the complainant. I found the defendant in the Penitentiary at Blackwell's Island. I was present when the complainant had a conversation with the defendant. That is all I know about it. Did you ever find any of the property? No sir. The defendant cannot speak English and therefore I could not get anything out of her. She never spoke English to me. I cannot speak German.

Frieda Stein, sworn and examined through the interpreter Do you know what you did when you missed the book now in answer to the oath that was administered to you? Yes.

You swore to tell the truth & this is it. Did you steal that watch and chain from Mrs. Herriger? No. I never seen it.

Did you steal anything at all from Mrs. Herriger? No. Where did you come from? I came from Lichtenfelde near Berlin.

How long before you went to Mrs. Herriger's house did you come from that place you have just mentioned? I landed in Philadelphia eight days before I came to her house. Were you a married lady? Yes.

Your husband where is he? My husband died four months before I arrived here.

Examinined by District Attorney
Q. And what were you sent to the Island for 2 1/2 months you objected to.

You were convicted and sent to Blackwell's Island & objected to objection overruled.
Yes I was.

By the Court & that crime were you convicted of? Yes larceny.

The jury rendered a verdict of guilty of grand larceny in the second degree. The defendant was sent to the penitentiary for two years and four months.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0078

Testimony in the
case of
Freida Stein

filed

Oct 14, 2

200

~~Am~~ Johanna Kerniger.

On the 19th August 1891, I was living at 85 Cherry St New York City. Frieda Stern representing herself as Mrs Wolf came to my house with a baby in my arms. She said ^{she} had just come from Germany and wanted to go to her mother-in-law in Milwaukee that she was too sick to travel and wanted a few days rest. She was to pay me one dollar a day. She came on Monday about 6 PM and remained until Thursday at 2 PM.

The first floor was a saloon kept by my husband, and the kitchen was right behind. I spent most of my time down stairs. I went up on Tuesday afternoon the children had left the door open, and I saw the defendant in the room alone. She said it was a nice room. I locked the door. I went upstairs on Wednesday afternoon, she was in the ~~of~~ room alone and she came out. I locked the door. On Wednesday ^{night} I said to my husband, I don't think that woman is very good, the children's bureau

has been upset; about £5 worth of goods were missing from that Bureau. On Thursday morning I moved the Bureau out with my husband. After it was moved she said "Why did you do that?". As soon as she had breakfast she went out had a small satchel with her. After a few minutes she came back without the satchel. She said she kept it in a store in Catherine Street. She took the baby and a little girl went with her, she said she would try to find the store where she left the satchel. Sarah Walf. Foreyth Street. She put all the dresses on the baby. She paid me \$4 on Thursday. About 2 o'clock she said she was going to take a walk with the baby. She went and never came back.

My I went upstairs a short time after she went away. Saw someone had been at my bureau. Some of the children's foods that had been in the bureau were thrown upon the shelf.

I reported at the Oak St Station House. The Supt said how to come.

2

about 5³⁰ PM I said I would go to Headquarters. I came home & got ready & went to Headquarters. Detective Foley ^{John} was on the case now at 11th Precincts, doing patrol duty.

Detective Joseph J. Dowling.

In May the case came to my hands. I located defendant at the Island where she was serving six months. I went to the Island with Mrs Werniger to identify her. I gave a description of her and she was brought down. Mrs Werniger spoke to her in German and the defendant commenced to cry. I secured a warrant, left it and took her when her term expired.

Mrs Werniger.

When I asked her on the Island she said she sold the watch.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0882

Statement of
Werniger
48 South 6th Brooklyn

People
to
Friedman Stein

John

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Frieda Stein

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Frieda Stein

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE second DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said Frieda Stein

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the 19th day of August in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-one, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

one watch of the value of one hundred and fifty dollars

[Handwritten flourish]

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Johanna Coerniger

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

De Laurey McCall District Attorney

0884

BOX:

499

FOLDER:

4557

DESCRIPTION:

Stelzle, Leonard

DATE:

10/26/92



4557

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0005

224
18 W O S 17/92
Counsel, J. C. K. H. H. 210 1407
Filed 26 day of Dec 1892
Pleads, Agency Court

Right to property
[Sec. 654 Code]

THE PEOPLE

vs.

B #1

Leonard Stetzke

De LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL

B. Lockwood

Essex Co.

Part 3 - Nov 23, 92
Filed and Deposited

Witnesses:

Filed Nov 2/92
by Frederick Berke
No. 87 Middleton St. Weymouth
Mass. 79 E. Hanover - 4.9.

**POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL**

00006

EDWARD WHELAN

VS

Leonard Stetzle.

City and County of New York, ss:

EDWARD WHELAN being duly sworn, says he resides at Number 2104 5th Avenue, New York City; that he is the lessor of the premises Number 20 East Houston Street in said City and was lessor of such premises during all the times hereinafter mentioned.

Deponent further deposes and says on or about the 1st day of June, 1892, Leonard Stetzle became the tenant and occupant of rooms in the basement on said premises 20 East Houston Street with the right to use one room in the cellar under the basement; that he, Stetzle, continued to use and occupy said premises until the 17th day of September, 1892, when he was dispossessed for non-payment of rent at the suit of this deponent, and the keys were given to deponent with the possession of the premises by City Marshal

McLaughlin on or about the 15th day of September, 1892. That afterwards, and on or about the 17th day of September, 1892, said Stetzle broke all the locks and entered the said premises and damaged and destroyed the property of this deponent to the amount of \$250.00.

Deponent further says that said Stetzle smashed and

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0007

broke the sewer pipe so as to cause the stench and offensive gases to escape into the building; that he tore down and broke the gas pipes, chopped the wood work, and broke the ceiling and wall; that he broke doors off their hinges and broke and took out and carried away windows and doors.

Deponent further says that he spoke to said Stetzle and told him not to destroy his, deponent's property, but that Stetzle turned on him with violence and threatened to shoot him. Deponent says that he appealed to an officer to protect his property and was told by the officer, that is, deponent, to go to court for redress.

Deponent further says that he complained to the police court, and said Stetzle was required to appear before the court, but without the examination of any witness for the prosecution the case was dismissed by Police Judge Solomon E. Smith.

Deponent further deposes and says at the request of this deponent, the Janitor of the building, Leopold Sittern, tried to prevent the damage and destruction of the property but was assaulted and resisted by said Stetzle who threatened to kill him if he interfered.

Sworn to before me, this 16 : *Emanuel Heinert*
day of October, 1904. :

Samuel King
Nathan J. Public
W.H.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0000

:

EDMUND WHITMORE :

- vs - :

Leonard Stetzle :

:

City and County of New York, ss:

LEOPOLD RITZBERG being first duly sworn, says he lives at 23 East Houston street and is Janitor of the building so numbered and was Janitor at all the times hereinafter mentioned at said premises.

Deponent further says he knows Leonard Stetzle and was present when he, Stetzle broke and destroyed on the premises above named the property of EDMUND WHITMORE.

Deponent further says that after said Stetzle had been dispossessed and put off the premises, and on or about the 17th day of September, 1902, he broke the locks and forcibly and unlawfully entered said premises and when there broke the sewer pipe, broke and threw down the gas pipes, chopped the wood work in the rooms, tore off doors and took out windows causing damage to the property of at least \$250.00.

Deponent further says that he tried to prevent the damage aforesaid, but that said Stetzle turned on this deponent, assaulted him, and threatened his life if he interfered.

Deponent further says that he, deponent, was present

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

00009

when the landlord, FRIEDRICH, told Stetzle not to destroy his property and that Stetzle turned on FRIEDRICH and threatened his life.

Deponent further says that said Stetzle was very violent when on the premises, and cursed and threatened this deponent and the landlord.

Sworn to before me, this
day of October, 1904.

No. Leopoldo Litzner.

Simon Steinigut
Notary Public
W.H.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0090

EDWARD HEINSCHE :

- vs - :

Leonard Stetzle :

City and County of New York, ss:

VINCENT INPO being duly sworn, says that he resides at 4 East Houston Street New York city, and that he has read and knows the affidavits of EDWARD HEINSCHE and Leonard Stetzle hereto annexed and knows that the statements made in said affidavits about the breaking of doors, windows, gas and sewer pipes, chipping and injuring wood work and ceiling at Number 29 East Houston street on the 17th day of September, 1932, are true. That he was present and saw the damage done and saw Mr. HEINSCHE and the Janitor try and stop Stetzle and heard Stetzle threaten their lives if they interfered with him.

Sworn to before me, this 17 : *Vincent Inpo*
 day of October, 1932. :

Simon Steinmet
Notary Public
NYC.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0891

W. General Sessions Court

*The People ex rel
Edmund Hunsicker*

-25-

Leonard Stolze

Applicants

*Sum to \$2.
By _____
_____*

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0892

Wm B. G.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

City and County of New York.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Edmund Henrich

2164 5th Ave

vs.

Leonard Steghe

Offence forbidden to papers by

Dated *October 24*, 1892

Witness *Joseph Sitter*

No. *29 East Houston* Street,

Vincent Lane

No. *29 East Houston* Street,

N.Y.C.

No. _____ Street,

_____ Street,

_____ Street,

_____ Street,

_____ Street,

**POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL**

0893

ESTABLISHED 1866.
EDMUND HEINECKE,
PRACTICAL
WATCHMAKER JEWELER & ADJUSTER,
No. 29 East Houston St., Near Broadway.
BRANCH 30 EAST 125th STREET.
DIAMONDS, WATCHES & JEWELRY,
Agent for H. H. HEINRICH'S
MARINE CHRONOMETERS,
S. SITTNER, Manager.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0894

People

Leonard
~~Leonard~~ Stetson

dismissed by Judge Smith
November 1883

Chas. J. ...
29 E Houston St
+ ...
29 E Houston St
...

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0895

District Attorney's Office,
City & County of
New York.

October 7th 1892

Colonel Smith Esq
Police Justice
Tombs Police Court

Dear Sir,

Edmund Heinecke he of 29 East Houston
Street, has made application for permission
to lay before the Grand Jury a complaint
against Leonard Stetler a former tenant of
his at the above address, whom he accuses
of having and being in possession of the premises
of which he was the tenant, and which charge
Heinecke informs me was dismissed at an
informal hearing before you on the 3rd
instant upon which Stetler appeared pursuant
to a "summons", and without any formal
complaint having been taken.

We will thank you if you will inform
us of your view of the case and the reason
why you declined to entertain the charge, in
order that we may be aided in passing
upon Heinecke's application.

Very truly yours

Just Windsor
I have no recollection of the case
S.B.S.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0896

(522)

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY
OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

Leonard H. Hefze

THE GRAND JURY OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, by this

indictment, accuse *Leonard H. Hefze*

of the crime of *intentionally and unlawfully*
injuring real property of another,

committed as follows:

The said *Leonard H. Hefze,*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the
Seventeenth day of *December*, in the year of our Lord one thousand
eight hundred and ninety-*two*, at the City and County aforesaid,

in certain buildings, there situate, of the real
property of one Edmund Steinbock, did unlawfully
and intentionally injure to the
amount of the value of two hundred and

0898

BOX:

499

FOLDER:

4557

DESCRIPTION:

Strauss, Adolph

DATE:

10/25/92



4557

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0899

~~W. L. ...~~

Counsel,

Filed 25th day of Dec 1892
Pleas. W. M. ...

THE PEOPLE

vs.
30 2 8 2 1
for ...

Adolph Strauss

Grand Larceny, Degree, [Sections 628, 587, Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

B. Lockwood

Foreman.

Part 3. Nov 15 '92

read and Comitted

EM as. Pen Nov 15 '92

[Signature]

Witnesses:

.....
.....
.....
.....

Police Court 2 District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County } ss:
of New York,

Morris Mayer

of No. 109 Orms Street, aged 30 years,
occupation furrier

deposes and says, that on the 21st day of October 1897 at the City of New York,
in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in
the day time, the following property, viz:

Furs of the value
of forty five dollars \$ 45-

the property of Albat Herzog and in deponent came as a member
of the firm

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away by Adolph Strauss (now he) The deponent was employed by said firm as a fur cutter and he had access to said property, and he was caught with a part of said stolen property in his possession on the 18th day of October 1897 by Policemen Saneval and Clarke of the 8th Precinct (now 2nd) and deponent is informed by said policeman, and deponent is also informed by said policeman that deponent had in his possession when arrested two checks, in all for thirty four dollars and twenty cents, which the deponent admitted were the proceeds of the sale of ^{part of} said stolen property.

Morris Mayer

Sworn to before me, this
1897
Police Justice

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0901

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

1877

John J. Clarke

aged _____ years, occupation *Bohemian* of No.

7th Street Street, being duly sworn, deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of *Miriam Mayer*

and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this *20* day of *Oct* 189*2* } *John J. Clarke*

John Ryan
Police Justice.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

1877

John O. Savercool

aged _____ years, occupation *Bohemian* of No.

7th Street Street, being duly sworn, deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of *Miriam Mayer*

and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this *20* day of *Oct* 189*2* } *John O. Savercool*

John Ryan
Police Justice.

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Adolph Strauss being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Adolph Strauss*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *30 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *Germany*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *529 E Second St - 6 months*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *None*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. *I am guilty*

Adolph Strauss.

Taken before me this
day of *Sept* 189*2*
John H. [Signature]
Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0903

BAILED,
 No. 1, by _____
 Residence _____ Street _____
 No. 2, by _____
 Residence _____ Street _____
 No. 3, by _____
 Residence _____ Street _____
 No. 4, by _____
 Residence _____ Street _____

Police Court... 2
 District... 1216

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
 ON THE COMPLAINT OF

William M. Ryan
Joseph A. Stewart

1
 2
 3
 4
 Offense... *Quincy Felony*

Dated, *Oct 20* 189 *2*

Ryan Magistrate.
Samuel S. Clarke Officer.

Witnesses *Mr. Holden Stewart*
 No. *Mr. Karpis* Street _____

No. *The Bail* Street _____

No. *1000* Street _____
 to answer *W. J. Ryan*

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named _____

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of _____ Hundred Dollars, _____ and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, *Oct 20* 189 _____ Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named _____ to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____ guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0904

**Court of General Sessions of the Peace
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.**

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
against

Adolph Strauss

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse
Adolph Strauss
of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE *second* DEGREE, committed
as follows:

The said *Adolph Strauss*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *20th*
day of *October* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and
ninety-*two*, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

*two pieces of fur of the value
of twenty-five dollars each
piece.*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one *Morris Meyers*

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against
the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People
of the State of New York and their dignity.

*De Lancy Nicoll
District Attorney*

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Adolph Strauss

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Adolph Strauss

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE second DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said Adolph Strauss

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the 20th day of October in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-two, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

two pieces of fur of the value of twenty-five dollars each piece.

[Handwritten flourish]

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

Morris Meyers

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

De Lancey Nicoll District Attorney

0906

BOX:

499

FOLDER:

4557

DESCRIPTION:

Suffern, John

DATE:

10/18/92



4557

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0907

Witnesses:

John D. Lynch

Counsel,

Filed

day of

1892

11th Oct

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

I

John Saffern

*Ex tor tion
Section 532, Penal Code*

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

*(Imp
my office)*

A TRUE BILL.

B. Goodwood

Foreman.

De V. W. W.

Head of City

27073 recd of G.

Police Court 4 District.

City and County of New York } ss.

of No. 709 Third Street, aged 35 years, occupation bartender, being duly sworn, deposes and says, that on the 10 day of October 1892, at the City of New York, in the County of New York,

John Sufferon (now Re.) did wilfully and unlawfully violate the provisions of Section 552 of the Penal Code of the State of New York in the manner following to-wit: That at about 1:30 A.M. on said date, as deponent was entering the saloon located at No. 849 Second Avenue in this city - by a private door he was followed into said saloon by defendant and another person unknown to deponent. That defendant representing himself to be a police officer and showing a shield on his neck, threatened deponent for having his saloon open after hours in case he did not settle with defendant by the payment of defendant of the sum of five dollars. That deponent paid to defendant the sum of three dollars, one two dollar bill and four twenty-five cent pieces and followed defendant and procured his arrest. That when defendant was searched said money was found in his right hand vest pocket and the said shield was on his vest. Wherefore deponent accuses defendant of extortion and prays that he may be dealt with according to law.

Sworn before me this } John D. Lynch
10th day of October 1892

M. W. Smith
Police Justice

Sec. 198-200.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss:

 District Police Court.

John Sufferon being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is his right to enable him if he sees fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. John Sufferon

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 32 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Germany

Question. Where do you live and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 227 Choyotei Street - 30 years

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Car driver

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer. I am not guilty.

John Sufferon

Taken before me this

day of

Dec 10
1892

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0910

BAILED,

No. 1, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

No. 2, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

No. 3, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

No. 4, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

Police Court... X
District... 1992

THE PEOPLE, Ac.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

John A. ...
John ...
John ...

Offence ...

Dated Oct 10 1892
M. ... Magistrate.

Witnesses All the officers
No. ... Street.
No. ... Street.

No. ... Street.
\$... answer
No. ... Street.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Twenty Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated Oct 10 1892
Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named _____
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated _____ 188 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____
guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order h to be discharged.

Dated _____ 188 _____ Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0911

(522)

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY
OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

John Duggan

THE GRAND JURY OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, by this

indictment, accuse *John Duggan*

of the crime of *Extortion*,

committed as follows:

The said *John Duggan*,

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the
— *fourth* — day of *October*, in the year of our Lord one thousand
eight hundred and ninety-*two*, — at the City and County aforesaid,

*deliberately did obtain from one John
Duggan, with his consent, certain property
to wit: the sum of three dollars in money,
lawful money of the United States of*

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0912

America, and of the value of these dollars,
of the proper money and personal property
of the said John T. Suffer, under consent of
the said John T. Suffer, and then
by the said John Suffer, induced by a
wrongful use of fear, to wit: fear on the part
of the said John T. Suffer and then
induced by a threat then and there made
by the said John Suffer, to accuse him
the said John T. Suffer of the crime of
robbery, and offering and exposing for sale
between the hours of one and five o'clock
in the morning of the said day, strong
and virtuous prayers, music, etc and then,
without having a special license therefor
as required by law: - a grant the form
of the statute in such case made and provided,
and against the peace of the People of the
State of New York, and their dignity.

sd Lancelotti,
District Attorney

09 13

BOX:

499

FOLDER:

4557

DESCRIPTION:

Sullivan, Patrick

DATE:

10/20/92



4557

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0914

Witnesses:

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

1792
Counsel
Filed
Pleads,
1892

Grand Larceny,
(From the Person),
Degree,
[Sections 828, 829,
Penal Code.]

THE PEOPLE
vs.
William J. Sullivan
259
Sullivan
Sullivan

Patrick Sullivan

DR LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

B. Greenwood
Sgt-2 - Nov. 10, 1892 Foreman.
Derek G. Gundy
E. Emira Ref.

Police Court 2 District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County } ss:
of New York, }

Eugene J. Deady

of No. 10 Oak Street, aged 40 years,
occupation Printer being duly sworn,

deposes and says, that on the 9 day of October 1892 at the City of New York,
in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in
the night time, the following property, viz:

A pocket book
containing three dollars and six
cent.

\$ 3.06

the property of Deponent

and that this deponent
has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen and
carried away by Patrick Sullivan (nowhere)

Deponent was counting his money while
he was standing at the corner of Division
Street and the Bowery about the hour
of midnight on Oct 9, and deponent
watched the said property from deponent's
hand and ran off and deponent was
immediately arrested by Detective Andrew
Nepfert of the Central Office Police who
found said property in deponent's
possession.

Eugene J. Deady

Sworn to before me this

of

October 1892

at

Police Justice

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

09 16

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Patrick Sullivan

being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him (if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him) that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Patrick Sullivan*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *17 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *U.S.*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *26 Catherine St - 6 months*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Idiot*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. *I am not guilty*

Patrick Sullivan

Taken before me this

day of

188

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0917

BAILED,

No. 1, by

Residence

No. 2, by

Residence

No. 3, by

Residence

No. 4, by

Residence

Police Court... District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Supers & Seely
10 East
Patrick Sullivan

Offense Larceny
in person

Date

Oct 9

1892

White
Magistrate
Noyes & Co. P.
Officer

Witnesses

No.

Street

No.

Street

No.

Street

No.

Street

to answer
G. J.
Harrison

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

Patrick Sullivan

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Twenty Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, Oct 9 1892 White Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, Oct 9 1892 White Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, Oct 9 1892 White Police Justice.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Patrick Sullivan

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Patrick Sullivan

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY in the first degree, committed as follows:

The said Patrick Sullivan

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the eighth day of October in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-two, in the night-time of the said day, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

the sum of three dollars and six cents in money, lawful money of the United States of America, and of the value of three dollars and six cents and one pocketbook of the value of fifty cents,

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Eugene F. Deedy on the person of the said Eugene F. Deedy, then and there being found, from the person of the said Eugene F. Deedy then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

Eugene F. Deedy
De Lacey Neoll,
District Attorney