

0774

BOX:

307

FOLDER:


2923

DESCRIPTION:

Kirk, John

DATE:

05/18/88



2923

Witnesses;

John Kirk
The Dept. in a
Notarized
Annual rec
has. done
One or more. Secum
in S. P. P. P.

1632

Counsel

Filed

Pleads

18 day of May 1888

Grand Jury

THE PEOPLE

vs.

P

John Kirk

G. D.

Grand Larceny & a Degree.
(From the Person.)
[Sections 528, 531-550 Penal Code].

JOHN R. FELLOWS,

District Attorney.

Part-III May 23. 1888

Pleas guilty

Grand Larceny 2^d deg.

A True Bill.

W. J. P. P.

W. J. P. P.

Witness.

0775

Police Court—

3 District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County } ss.:
of New York, }of *Albert Hall*
Schooner Abbey Berthley *Foot of Stanton*
Street, aged *21* years,
occupation *Seaman* being duly sworndeposes and says, that on the *13* day of *May* 188*8* at the City of New
York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession
of deponent, in the *day* time, the following property viz:*One german silver watch
watch of the value of
Five dollars*the property of *deponent*

and that this deponent

has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen,
and carried away by *John Kirk (now here)**who approached deponent
seized hold of deponent's
watch chain pulled said
watch from it and turned
said watch to a confederate
Albert B Hall*Sworn to before me, this
day of *May* 188*8*
of *Albert B Hall*
Police Justice.

0777

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

John Kirk being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is ~~his~~ right to make a statement in relation to the charge against ~~him~~; that the statement is designed to enable ~~him~~ if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against ~~him~~ that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that ~~his~~ waiver cannot be used against ~~him~~ on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *John Kirk*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *28 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *US*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *354 Madison St. 10 years*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Long Shimmer*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. *I have nothing to say*
John Kirk

Taken before me this

day of

1881

Police Justice.

3 423 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Arthur M. Hall
 Ambassador, Rep. Hall
 1211 17th St. N.W.
 Washington, D.C.

BAILED,

No. 1, by _____
Residence _____ Street _____

No. 2, by _____
Residence _____ Street _____

No. 3, by _____
Residence _____ Street _____

No. 4, by _____
Residence _____ Street _____

2
3
4

Offence

Magistrate. Officer. Precinct.

Dated

[Handwritten signatures and scribbles across the page]

RECEIVED.
MAY 14 1888
DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

No. _____ Street. _____

No. _____ Street. _____

No. _____ Street. _____

No. _____ Street. _____

\$ _____

Witnesses _____

_____ to answer

[Large handwritten signature]

[Large handwritten signature]

[Large handwritten signature]

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named _____

giving thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Three Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of

the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated May 17 1888 W. J. P. P. Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named

որ օգտագործողները չեն կարողացել հասնել իրենց օգտագործողներին:

Dated 188

..... named with the cause of belief

*Պահանջարկն ընդհանուր առմամբ չի փոխվում և համարվում է հաստատված:

Dated 188 *Police Justice*

0779

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

John Kirk

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY in the *second* degree, committed as follows:

The said

John Kirk

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *thirteenth*
day of *May* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and
eighty-*eight*, in the *day* time of the said day, at the City and County
aforesaid, with force and arms,

*One watch of the value of
five dollars*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one *Albert C. Hall* -
on the person of the said *Albert C. Hall* -
then and there being found, from the person of the said *Albert C. Hall* -
then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such
case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their
dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said

— John Kirk —
of the CRIME OF RECEIVING STOLEN GOODS, committed as follows:

The said

John Kirk
late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid,
at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

*One watch of the value of
five dollars*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

Albert C. Hall

by a certain person or persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, then lately before feloniously
stolen, taken and carried away from the said

Albert C. Hall

unlawfully and unjustly, did feloniously receive and have; the said

— John Kirk —

then and there well knowing the said goods, chattels and personal property to have been feloniously
stolen, taken and carried away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided,
and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

JOHN R. FELLOWS,

District Attorney.

0782

BOX:

307

FOLDER:

2923

DESCRIPTION:

Kisel, Herman

DATE:

05/09/88



2923

Witnesses;

Madeline K. K.

Counsel,

Filed

27 day of May 1888

Pleads

Equity

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Herman Kisel

Burglary in the second degree.

Section 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54.

JOHN R. FELLOWS,

District Attorney.

A True Bill.

Wm. H. H.

Foreman.

May 15/88.

Reads May 20/88

S. P. Two yrs & 6 mos

0783

0784

Police Court— District.

City and County } ss.:
of New York,

Madeline Ricker
 of No. 202 Eldridge Street, aged 50 years,
 occupation Housekeeper being duly sworn
 deposes and says, that the premises No. 202 Eldridge Street, 10 Ward
 in the City and County aforesaid the said being a four story dwelling
 House and which was occupied by deponent as a Boarding House
 and in which there was at the time a human being ~~by name~~ this deponent

were BURGLARIOUSLY entered by means of forcibly opening a
 door on the second floor front with
 a false key

on the 4th day of May 1888 in the day time, and the
 following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz:

One Clock of the Value of
 one dollar five and one half cents
 of the Value of five dollars in
 face of the Value of six dollars

the property of deponent Anna Mathias Jacob
 and deponent further says, that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid
 BURGLARY was committed and the aforesaid property taken, stolen and carried away by

Herman Kisel
 (nowhere)

for the reasons following, to wit: From the fact that
 previous to said Burglary the
 said property was on the 2nd floor
 of said premises, and this deponent
 has been informed by Officer
 Herman W. Schlottman that he
 arrested the said Kisel and found
 in his possession a pawn ticket
 representing a clock (here shown) which
 deponent identifies

as her property and the property
that was stolen from her premises.
Therefore deponent charges the said
Richard Willa. Burglariously entering
her room and stealing the within
mentioned property
Sworn before me this 4th
day of May 1888

Magdalen Fisher

Sanctified Police Justice

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been
committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named
guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of
Hundred Dollars and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison
of the City of New York, until he give such bail.
Dated 1888 .
I have admitted the above named
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.
Dated 1888 .
There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named
guilty of the offence mentioned, I order he to be discharged.
Dated 1888 .
Police Justice.

Police Court, District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
on the complaint of

1
2
3
4

Offence—BURGLARY.

Dated 1888

Magistrate.

Officer.

Clerk.

Witnesses.

No. Street.

No. Street.

No. Street.

\$ to answer General Sessions.

0786

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

aged 26 years, occupation Police Officer of No.

11 Bremer Street, being duly sworn deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Madelin Ricker

and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponents' own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this

day of May 1888

Sam'l C. Kelly
Police Justice.

Herman W. Scholten

0787

Sec. 198-200

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

3. District Police Court.

Herman Kiesel being duly examined before the under-
signed according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is *h*is right to
make a statement in relation to the charge against *h*im; that the statement is designed to
enable *h*im if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against *h*im
that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that *h*is waiver cannot be used
against *h*im on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the
testimony against you, and state any facts which you may think will tend to your
exculpation?

Answer.

*I am Guilty. The Complainant
owed me money, & would not
pay me. Herman Kiesel.*

Taken before me this

day of *May*

188

Paul A. Kelly
Police Justice.

0700

Dated 188 Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated 188 Police Justice.

I have admitted the above named to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated May 6 188 Police Justice.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Police Court District 684

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Madeline Pickens
1202 1/2 Bridge
Hennan Road

BAILED,
No. 1, by
Residence
No. 2, by
Residence
No. 3, by
Residence
No. 4, by
Residence

Dated May 6 188
Magistrate
Schlatterman Officer.
Witnesses Herman Mohlman
No 11 Green Street

RECEIVED
MAY 7 1888
DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
\$1000 TO ANSWER
COMMITTED.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Herman Kird

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Herman Kird —

of the CRIME OF BURGLARY IN THE *second* DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said *Herman Kird*.

late of the — *South* — Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York
aforesaid, on the *fourth* day of *May* — , in the year
of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-*eight* , with force and arms, about the
hour of *twelve* o'clock in the *day* — time of the same day, at the Ward,
City and County aforesaid, the dwelling house of one *Magdaline Richter* —

there situate, feloniously and burglariously did break into and enter, there being then and there
some human being, to wit: *the said Magdaline Richter* —

within the said dwelling house, with intent to commit some crime therein, to wit: the goods
chattels and personal property of the said *Magdaline Richter* —

in the said dwelling house then and there being, then and there feloniously and burglariously to
steal, take and carry away ;

against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People
of the State of New York and their dignity,

SECOND COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment further accuse the said

— Herman Kisel —

of the CRIME OF ~~PE~~ LARCENY, —

committed as follows:

The said Herman Kisel:

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid,
at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, in the day (time of the said day, with force and arms,

one book of the value of one
dollar, and one coat of the value
of five dollars,

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Magdaline Richer, —

in the dwelling house of the said Magdaline Richer, —

there situate, then and there being found, from the dwelling house aforesaid, then and there
feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and
provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

John R. Kellum,

District Attorney

0792

BOX:

307

FOLDER:


2923

DESCRIPTION:

Kramer, Adolph

DATE:

05/16/88



2923

Witnesses:

Louis S. ...
W. W. ...

Counsel,

Filed

day of

188

Pleads,

May 16

Chicago

THE PEOPLE

vs.

P

Dolphin Kramer

Grand Larceny Second degree. [Sections 628, 63/572, Penal Code]

JOHN R. FELLOWS,

District Attorney.

A True Bill.

Wm. Jones
Foreman.

May 18/88.

Spied & acquitted

0794

Police Court—3 District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County }
of New York, } ss.:Louis Drescherof No. 617 East 9th Street, aged 49 years,
occupation Cabinetmaker being duly sworndeposes and says, that on the 10th day of May 1888 at the City of New
York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession
of deponent, in the day time, the following property viz:Ten Planes, three Saws, two Braces,
sixteen Bits, three Scrapers, three
Hammers, twelve Chisels, five files,
one Glazier's Diamond and two Pinchers
in all of the value of Forty five⁰⁰ Dollarsthe property of the deponent

and that this deponent

has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen,
and carried away by Adolph Kramer (now here)from the fact that previous to the said lar-
ceny the said property was locked up
in a carpenter's chest which was in
the basement of no 132 Bester Street,
that deponent was informed by Rosa
Wittenman (now here) that she saw
the said defendant come from the
basement of said premises with
the said chest in his possessionLouis Drescher126 West St70 James St where I slept

Sworn to before me, this

12

day

of May, 1888David C. M. Kelly Police Justice.

0795

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Rosa Witterman

aged *30* years, occupation *House Keeper* of No.

145 Heister Street, being duly sworn deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of *Louis Drescher*

and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponents' own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this

12

day of

May

188*8*

Rosa

her

Witterman

man

Sam'l C. Hill

Police Justice.

0796

Sec. 198-200.

3 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.
OF NEW YORK, }

Adolph Kramer being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name.

Answer. Adolph Kramer

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 38 years old

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Germany

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. No 70 James Street, four weeks

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Goldsmith

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty

Adolph Kramer

Taken before me this 12
day of Decr. 1885
Saml McQuitty Police Justice.

0797

Dated 1888 Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.

Dated 1888 Police Justice.

I have admitted the above named to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated May 12 1888 Police Justice.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of \$1000 Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Police Court 3 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Louis Meschan
617 East 9th St
Adolph Kramer

BAILED.

No. 1, by

Residence

Street.

No. 2, by

Residence

Street.

No. 3, by

Residence

Street.

No. 4, by

Residence

Street.

Dated May 12 1888

D. W. Briley Magistrate.

Harper Officer.

11 Precinct.

Witnesses Anna Wittenman

No. 145 Street.

RECEIVED.
MAY 14 1888
DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

No. Street.

No. Street.

\$1000 to answer \$250

COMMITTED. 8² 1/2

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

Adolph Kramer

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Adolph Kramer

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE *second* DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

Adolph Kramer

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *tenth* day of *May* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-*eight*, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

ten planes of the value of one dollar each, three saws of the value of one dollar each, two braces of the value of one dollar each, sixteen bits of the value of fifty cents each, three scrapers of the value of one dollar each, three hammers of the value of one dollar each, twelve chisels of the value of fifty cents each, five files of the value of one dollar each, one glazier's diamond of the value of one dollar, and two pinners of the value of one dollar each

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one *Louis Drescher*

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

10
3
2
8
3
3
6
2
43

0799

SECOND COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said

— Adolph Kramer —

of the CRIME OF CRIMINALLY RECEIVING STOLEN PROPERTY committed as follows:

The said

Adolph Kramer

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

ten planes of the value of one dollar each,
three saws of the value of one dollar each,
two braces of the value of one dollar each,
sixteen bits of the value of fifty cents each,
three scrapers of the value of one dollar each,
three hammers of the value of one dollar each,
twelve chisels of the value of fifty cents each,
five files of the value of one dollar each,
one Blagiers diamond of the value of one dollar, and
two pincers of the value of one dollar each

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

Louis Drescher

by a certain person or persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, then lately before feloniously stolen, taken and carried away from the said

Louis Drescher

unlawfully and unjustly, did feloniously receive and have; the said

— Adolph Kramer —

then and there well knowing the said goods, chattels and personal property to have been feloniously stolen, taken and carried away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

JOHN R. FELLOYS,

District Attorney.

0801

BOX:

307

FOLDER:

2923

DESCRIPTION:

Kreizer, Charles

DATE:

05/22/88



2923

10 Oct 1888
Filed, 22 day of May 1888
Pleas, *Chitquilly 183 peds*
from 1888 to 1891
THE PEOPLE,

289 647 8am 1887
Section, Penet-Cede
illegally practicing
6-2-88
12-1-88
Charles B. Hering

James B. Adams
~~Attorney at Law~~

District Attorney.

10th & June 21/88
A True Bill

W. M. Adams

Off. June Term 1888
13th D
Foreman

Dec 4/87
Part II Jaymery 14/89

Indictment dismissed

The enclosed is what
 Cha. J. Smith wrote
 of him in an answer
 to a circular I sent
 recommending that they
 should be disavowed
 Aug 15th 1849. Sent 3
 11 in mass.
 We feel that

dictated dismissed

First District
Peace Court

The People vs
William Purnington
vs
Charles P. Higgins

Before Hon
Solon D. Smith
March 9th 1888

All parties present.
Examination proceeded.
Defendants Purnell

I desire to make a
motion to this effect that all
parties except the complainant
and defendant and their counsel
and the witnesses under imme-
diate examination be excluded
from the room.

By the Court

So ordered

By Mr Purnington

I ask that papers which
are offered as evidence may be
spread upon the record which

reads as follows.

" Laid in physician, reliable and
skilful treatment administered.

Dr. Keizer 154 West 36th Street

Charles P. Keizer the dependant
being duly sworn deposed and
says

By the Court.

Q What is your name?
A Charles P. Keizer.

Q Where do you reside?
A 229 Lexington Avenue.

Q What is your age?
A 43 years.

Q What is your occupation?
A Physician.

Direct Examination }
By Dependant, Forwell }

By Mr. Pennington

In the purposes of
this examination I will admit
that Charles P. Keizer graduated
at the University of this city

Q I will also admit that he is
duly registered as Charles Kreiger
Licentiate Examination

Q How long have you been a practicing
physician?

A Twenty.

Q In this city?

A Yes.

Q Under what name have you
practiced?

A Charles Kreiger

Q Under any other?

A No.

Q Where?

A Here.

Q Your office is now at 229 Lexington
Avenue?

A Yes.

Q Have you any other office?

A Yes.

Q Where?

A 154 West 36th Street

Q What is that?

A That is a school of midwifery

H

friends which you are connected?
 Answer

Q And how been for how long?
 A About 5 years.

Q You have heard the evidence of
 the witnesses Irvine?

Answer

Q Do you remembered ever having seen
 her?

Answer

Q About what date, about the
 date stated in her affidavit?
 in her evidence?

Answer

Q Where?
 A 1024 West 60th Street

Q About what time of day?
 A About 1 o'clock.

Q State as nearly as you can the
 conversation you had with her
 then and there?

A She asked if Dr. Kriger was in
 and I said I am the doctor but
 my name is Kriger She said

5
she had no appetite ^{and} felt weak
^{and} after asking her several questions
in reference to her symptoms I
put up a bottle of medicine for
her. ^{and} she paid one dollar for it?

Q You asked her for it?

A She said she was willing to pay
I told her show you this (showing
Dependence Ex. 1.

A She did not.

Q She then left?

A Yes. Sir she left then.

Q Is that the only time you saw
her there?

A Yes Sir.

Q When was the next time you
saw her?

A In this Court.

Q You mean in February when she
made a charge against you
of practicing illegally?

A Yes Sir.

Q Did she ask you whether that
was your advertisement?

Q She did not.

Q And she say anything at all about any advertisement?

A No.

Q She did not ask you whether you were Doctor Strieker?

A No.

Q Was the name mentioned Strieker?

Q By whom?

A By her.

Q What did she say?

A She asked if Doctor Strieker was in

Q And you then told her that you were the doctor and your name was Strieker?

A Yes Sir

Q May you remember the witness Levine?

A Yes I do.

Q Did he call upon you there?

A He did

Q Was that prior or subsequent to Mrs. Davis' call?

A Prior

17
Q a few days prior?
A yes sir

Q about the same time of day?
A yes sir

Q what conversation occurred between
you and him? I wish let me
ask you in what language was
the conversation between you and
him?

A German.

Q did he also complain of illness?
A yes sir

Q what did he say when Leprieux
came in?

A he said he had pains in his
joints.

Q did he say anything about Doct^r
Kreger?

A not a word

Q and you treated him, you prescribed
for him for this ailment?

A yes sir

Q did you at the time Levee was
there in his presence make

any entry or memorandum in any
book?

A I did

Q Show me it here?

A I have

Q Show it to me?

By Mr. Parnington

Objection to

By the Court

Objection Sustained.

Counsel

Exception

Q What did you do besides prescribe
for him?

A Give him a cord

Q What kind of a cord?

A A cord like this (showing).

Q Did he take it?

A He did

Counsel I will read this cord
and turn it from German into
English?

" I will for men 154
West 35th Street open daily from
12 to 1 o'clock. Sundays from 10

9

to 10 o'clock, Tuesday and Friday evenings
from 8 to 9 o'clock; No — state —
this card cannot positively be brought
along every time. Dr. Stieger
Now I offer this card as evidence?
Mr. Purvinton

Subject to it, it must bring
the card to give Mr. Sevin.
Q. Doctor did you give Mr. Sevin a card
exactly like this?

A. Yes sir at the same time
I gave Purvinton

Now I offer it
Mr. Purvinton Subject to it a seeming
immaterial and incompetent?
By the Court

Excused
Purvinton Exception.

Q. Did you at any time state or did
you at any time state to any body
that your name was Stieger?

A. Never

Q. Did you at any time or at any
place practice surgery or physic

14

1

under the name of Kruger?
 Answer:

Did you at any time or place practice
 physic or surgery under any
 other name than the name of
 Kruger?

Answer:

When Mrs. Brown called at 154 West
 35th Street were you in or was she
 waiting for you?

It she was waiting for me

Did you have seen this advertisement
 Answer: (referring to Ex. 1.)

Is that is yours?

Answer: That is mine

Did state how that came to be in that
 paper that way?

A In writing the advertisement, in
 the first place spelling any name
 as Kruger as I always do, it appeared
 afterwards as Kruger and when
 I reviewed it I corrected it and
 wrote my name again correctly
 Kruger but it still appeared

that way Kreger, I did that several times,

Q State how long that advertisement was in the paper?

A I should think about 6 or 8 months.

Q Always published this way, the way it is now?

A Well never I saw it I don't see the word every day.

Q Did they correct it?

A And I lately I got it up & let it be the way it was I thought it was near enough, I wish there been no handwriting my name has been misspelled and misprinted quite as much as it has been

correctly spelled and correctly printed and pronounced

people who have named me for 104 years

have called me Kreger Kreger and

Kreger and that having been going

on so long made me indifferent

as long as it was near enough

I had no intention of palming

myself off as anything else.

but Kruger, its a very rare name
 I find no other name like it
 in the directory except my own
 If you use printed matter for that
 place 104 West 35th Street?
 A.D.

Of course?
 Mr. Purrington?

Subject to the kind of
 examination there is no dispute
 but that to call himself Kruger
 and subject to any matter signed
 under the name of Kruger.

By the court

Excluded.

Have examined
 By Mr. Purrington

What excuse did the people make
 in the world for persistently printing
 it Kruger when you asked them
 to change it?

As I got no satisfaction from the clerk
 if they persisted in calling you
 Kruger?

A I changed it 'twas said he wanted and
I do not that way.

Q You have not got that clear yet?
A Yes Sir.

Q He clear that you asked to change
it no longer to change it?

A No Sir I put the manuscript the
way I wanted it.

Q And did you write?

A Upon the printed advertisement
we crossed out Kriger 'twas wrote my
name Kruger 'twas spelled it out
By the Court.

Q How often did you do that in the
last months?

A 3 or 4 times.

By Mr. Purvinton

Q And they never changed it?
A Yes Sir.

Q And you finally concluded to
let it go that way?

A Yes Sir.

Q You thought it was near enough
A Yes Sir.

410

Q Do you know the name of the clerk
A There were 2 or 3 different clerks.

Q Now when Mr. Lewis asked you
if you were Doctor Kriger it would
have been perfectly natural for
you to say yes that you receive
letters all sorts of ways as he
testified to, Now you did say to
Lewis it made no difference
to you?

Answer

Q You say you are in the Columbia
School of Medicine?

Answer

Q Under what law is that incorporated
abstracted to

By the Court

Question answered.

Q And you know that that is incorpo-
-ated under the law of 1848 for the
incorporation of Benevolent societies
Charitable & Benevolent - I don't
you know that the Court of appeals
has held that no medical school

15
can be incorporated under that law.
Depts. General

Objection to
By the Court.

Objection Sustained.

Q You swear positively that you were
not shown this advertisement
(referring to Ex. 1) by Mrs. Love in?
A She did not.

Q So that your signature please (showing
witness paper)?

A I want to see the body of the letter
to see if its in my handwriting?

Q Do you know whether its your
signature or not?

A It looks like it.

Q You don't know whether its your
signature?

A It may be forged.

Q You don't know whether it is or is
not do you?

A It looks like it.

Q (Remind showing witness paper.)
Did you write that letter?

Q Oh yes I remember it now I wrote it, it looks like it.

Ans Purnington

I offer the letter for identification.

By the Court admitted & marked Ex 1 for identification.

Q Did you write that in reply to a letter addressed to Doctor Kugler?

A I don't remember I got a great number of letters, I will admit that I got a letter addressed to Doctor Kugler.

Q If its addressed to Kugler you will open it?

Ans Yes

Q Did you receive a letter signed Mrs McCormack asking you for medical advice?

A Its possible I don't remember. It may be possible I may have received such a letter.

Q And you remember this letter showing witness Ex 1 for identification.

you said you did?

A I have no distinct recollection

Q you said you knew that letter?

A yes sir

Q And you do know it?

A yes sir

Q And you read the circum stances about this letter?

A ~~yes~~ I don't remember any particular circum stances,

Q What did you mean then by saying you knew it, you smiled and said that you knew that letter? Did you mean that you knew it?

A yes sir

Q What do you know about it?

A I know it upon the regular note head that I use.

Q So that all you know about it?

A Some inquiry about treatment.

Q Then you knew that you wrote that letter in reply to some letter about treatment?

A yes sir

By Mr Perrington

Now I ask that it be
admitted as evidence?

Depts Council

Subject to it on the
ground that it has no bearing
whatever on this case.

Mr Perrington

I will connect it ^{and}
prove that it was an answer to
a letter addressed to Dr Kruger ^{and}
if I do not then I ask that it
be stricken out

By the Court

with that understanding
I admit it.

Letter reads as follows ^{as} marked
Plff Ex 2.

C P Kruger M D.

229 Lexington Avenue

Box 33 ^{or} 34 to Street

office hours

8 to 10 am 6 to 7 P M.

Sundays 1 to 3 P M.

19

New York Jan 21 1888
 Mrs M. C. Corcoran

Yours of the 20th
 just to hand. If you will call on
 me during my office hours at
 office as above I shall be pleased
 to give you the information con-
 cerning treatment and terms that
 you desire.

Yours Truly
 C. P. Krueger M.D.

From telephone call
 this day of March 1888 } C. P. Krueger.
 Below Blank

Please Justice

Thirain Higgins a witness called
on the part of the people being
duly sworn & sworn & says

Q By the Court
where do you reside?
A 449 Lexington Avenue
Q What is your age?
A 54 years

Q What is your business?

A Gentleman's Servant

Direct Examination

Q You are in the doctor's employ?
A Yes

Q How long have you been so?

A 3 months

Q Does any duty or any part of your duty
consist of being at 154 West 35th Street?

A Every day.

Q About what time?

A From about 1/2 past 10 or 11 o'clock

Q How remained there how long?

A Until the doctor comes.

Q How long was that about?

A About 12 hours past 12 to one o'clock

Q What did you do there?

A Answered any inquiring ^{and} dust and sweep the rooms.

Q Do any people come there and ask for the doctor?

A Some days a good many ^{and} other days not so many.

Q Did the doctor give you any instructions about your duties there?

A The duties I mentioned, that is to keep them there until he came.

Q What is the doctor's name?

A Krueger.

Q Did you ever hear of Doctor Krueger?

A Yes once.

Q When?

A On one occasion it maybe five weeks ago a little elderly woman came in and asked me about Doctor Krueger. ^{and} I directed her ^{and} said Krueger ^{and} pulled out a little advertisement printed Doctor Krueger.

Q And showed it to you?

A Yes Sir.

6

What did you tell her?

A I told her it was a mistake, I told her

he would be in in a short time and

she would not wait.

When did you know this little old woman

if you saw her again?

A I don't know.

By Mr. Cunningham

Is this the woman? (producing a

woman)

A well sir it is indeed for me to say

that I would recognize her without

doubt sir

Q After you told her that the doctor would

be in in a little while did she remain

and did she go?

A She went and saying that she would

call again.

Q Did she call again?

A No sir I feel satisfied she did not

call again if she had called within

a short time after that I would have

recognized her

Q Did the doctor come in a short time

afterwards?

Aye. Sir

If you want me, Sir

Aye. Sir

Have I named By

The Purmington

If you have been how long at that place

A. Three months

If that was the first time that you
heard anybody call for a Doctor Kruger

Aye. Sir

If others come there for Doctor Kruger
didn't they?

A. Pardon me I cannot say I didn't see
any

If you cannot recognize that
woman out there?

A. No Sir I have not much of a faculty
of remembering faces. If I only see
them once

If you would not have recognized
her again because there are so many
people who come there?

Aye. Sir

If there are a great number of people

Aye e si

If you want me?

Aye e si

Have I named By
Dr. Pennington

If you have been how long at that place
A. B. Smith

If that was the first time that you
heard anybody call for a Doctor Knizer

Aye e si

If there come there for Doctor Knizer
didn't they?

A. B. Smith I cannot say I didn't see
any

If you cannot recognize that
woman out there?

A. B. Smith I have not much of a faculty
of remembering faces. If I only see
them once

If you would not have recognized
her again because there are so many
people who come there?

Aye e si

If there are a great number of people

5

coming in there are those who?
 A. A number of people were there?
 I find it ^{not} unusual for you to remember
 every face very well?
 A. I cannot not remember them unless
 I get well acquainted with them,
 I think though if she had come back
 again I should have remembered her
 the next day.

I come to before me
 this 9th day of March 1858

Polio J. J. J.

6

Reuben Smith caused on the part
of the people having only one deposit
the day.

By the Court.

Where do you reside?

At 305 East 18th Street

What is your age?

A 48 years.

What is your occupation?

A Physician

Direct Examination

Have you heard the defendant Kreiger?

Yes Sir

Do you know his general reputation
for veracity?

A His general reputation for veracity
is not good.

Would you believe him under oath?

A I would not.

Would you now?

A I can only say what I heard

Would you or would you not believe
him under oath?

A I don't think I should

Cross Examined

Q How long have you known him?

A About 10 years or a little over

Q And were you at any time friendly with him?

Answer

Q How long ago is it?

A In '93. when I got acquainted with him. In November '93. I gave him my room office

Q And you were then friendly with him?

Answer

Q And remained so for how long?

A Until he left.

Q When was it?

A In '94 I guess.

Q And since then your relations have not been friendly?

A We talked a while - we met as a matter of course my opinion of him was not the same as it was of him when he was in my office, when I gave him my room office

Q And you talked with him when you meet him?

for the doctor?

Ayes sir

And what did he say?

Adam the doctor asked him several times & each time he said he was the doctor. I asked him 3 times if he was the doctor & each time he said I am the doctor.

And did he say anything subsequently that his name was Krigen or Krigen?

Some he said call me Krigen & some Krigen it makes no difference I receive letters that way.

Sworn before me 1858
the 28th day of February

Henry Jones

John Smith

Police Justice

Dependants cannot move for
the discharge of the prisoner.

By the Court Motion denied

First District
Police Court.

The People ^{and}
Wm A Purrington
agst.
Chas Krueger

Charged with
Illegal practice
of Medicine
Before Hon
John O Smith
Police Justice
Feb 28th 1888

Ellen Durin a witness called
on the part of the people being
duly sworn deposed and says?

Examined by }
Mr Purrington }

Q Do you know the defendant?
A Yes Sir

Q Did you see him about the 12th
of January?
A Yes Sir

Q Where?

A 154 West 35th Street

Q And did he treat you as a medical
man? A Yes Sir

2

Q Will you please state if you remember
 what happened that day?
 A When I went into 154 West 30th
 Street he was not in. "A" man
 told me to wait, "W" the gentleman
 (referring to the defendant) came
 in. "W" asked him if he was
 Doctor Kruger. "W" he said yes and
 I showed him this advertisement
 (referring to an advertisement). "W"
 I said I want to be sure that I come
 to the right place, "W" he said that
 is my advertisement. "W" he treated
 me and asked me how I felt.
 "W" I told him I didn't feel very
 well since my husband's death.
 "W" I told him all about it and
 he gave me a bottle of medicine.
 "W" he charged me a dollar. "W" I paid
 it. (Advertisement offered in
 evidence. "W" answered Off Ex 1.

Q Do you remember the circumstances
 of the writing on the advertisement?
 A When I went back. ^{Mr. Parryton} He wrote this

31

that is on it in my presence and
I signed my name to it.

Q You showed it to him?
A Yes sir.

Q And you had previously asked
him, if he was Doctor Kruger ^{dependant} and
he said yes?

A Yes sir.

Q And when you showed him, ^{dependant} this
he said ^{you (yes)} he made no mistake
that that was his address and

A Yes sir.

Q And after that he treated you and
took his pay?

A Yes sir, but I told him I was not
feeling well.

Q And this is the person who answered
as Dr Kruger?

A Yes sir.

Case Examined

Q What is your business?
A I have not got any business I am
familiar with of fire flats?

Q Where?

4

A 12th Street ^{Ans} 10th to Avenue
 of what are the numbers?
 A 54.56 ^{Ans} -

Q You are a widow?
 A Yes sir

Q Do that your only occupation?
 A I am paying back Mr Purright
 who was very kind to me in
 paying the expenses of my hus-
 bands funeral, - I have no other
 occupation. except Janitress
^{Ans} Mr Purright sends me
 sometimes after Doctors.

Q Trying to get evidence against
 Doctors?

A No sir Mr Purright told me
 to be very particular about that.

Q And you get paid for that by
 Mr Purright?

A No sir I am paying Mr Purright
 back the bill for burying
 my husband.

Q So you don't get any pay for what
 you do?

Ayesii

And you are in the habit of going
to Doctors in that way?

Ayesii sometimes

If when you went there saying
you were sick you only pretended
that you were sick?

A I didn't feel well that day.

If it's only when you don't feel well
that you go to see a doctor?

A I don't feel very well since my
husband's death.

If you were sent there by Mr. Purnington
Ayesii

And you said this gentleman (re-
ferring to the defendant) at
154 West 1st St.)

A when I first went there I didn't
see him.

And did you see him?

After he came in I did

If you had been sent there by
Mr. Purnington?

Ayesii

Q Do you know what this gentleman's name is?

A I cannot tell what his name is I believe his name is - I cannot pronounce it.

Q In all your mind his name may be Kruger?

A No sir his name is not Kruger because Mr. Purrighton -

Q Never mind what somebody else told you? for all you know his name may be Kruger.

A In all that I know yes sir.

Q What time of day was it that you went there?

A About 12 o'clock I went there ^{and} I had to wait until 1 o'clock I went there before but I was too late.

Q What was the first thing you said to him?

A I was in his back office ^{and} I asked him if he was Dr. Kruger ^{and} he said yes.

✓

Q Didnt he say that his name was not Kriger but Kruger?

Answer

Q Didnt you testify on a former examination before his honor Justice Smith that he did not say his name was Kriger then?

A I made a mistake there.

Q Didnt you on a former examination testify that he did not say that his name was Kriger?

Answer

Q What did you say?

A I kept it this way "I am Dr. Kriger" ^{and} ~~that~~ I asked him if he was doctor Kriger ^{and} he said yes.

Q When you asked him if he was Doctor Kriger he said yes?

Answer

Q Now a minute ago you said you made a mistake?

Answer

Q Now where was the mistake?

8

A The mistake was if I said yes
 I am Doctor Kriger I should ^{say} "no!"
 Q If he said are you doctor Kriger
 I should say yes

Q Then you did make a mistake on
 your former examination?
 A Yes sir

Q Did you speak to anybody about
 this mistake?

A Yes sir to Mr Cunningham

Q Did you call Mr Cunningham's
 attention to it or did he call your
 attention to it?

A I called his attention to it?
 Q Where?

A At his office

Q And what did you say to him
 in calling his attention to it?

A I said I felt sorry how I made
 the mistake

Q Had Mr Cunningham been up-
 braiding you about the mistake
 And sir

Q Did he pick a fight with you?

8

Answer

Q If you say you showed the doctor the advertisement? Ex 1.

Answer

Q Is this condition the little slip?

Answer It was pasted on there.

Q Was cut from a paper?

Answer

Q Did you have it in your hand when you went in there?

A I had it in my pocket book ^Q

took it out when I saw the doctor.

Q And you showed it to him?

Answer

Q And asked him if he was doctor Krige?

A Yes sir I said I wanted to be sure I was in the right place ^Q he said I made no mistake.

Q You answer positively that when you asked the doctor are you doctor Krige? he said yes. He didn't say that he was Doctor Krige?

A I said are you doctor Krige? ^Q

he said yes.

Q He didn't say he was doctor Kruger
A He didn't say anything but yes.
Q Did you speak then as distinctly
as you do now?

A Certainly perhaps more distinctly
Q You say you told the doctor that
you didn't feel well?

Answer

Q And he gave you some medicine
and you paid him for it?

Answer

Q And you reported the matter to
Mr. Pennington?

Answer

Q And you didn't get any pay for
it?

A I got no pay for it

Q And you expect no pay? A No.
Q And you ask him if that was his
advertisement?

Answer certainly

Q What needed you say?

A I said nothing except that

I asked him if he was doctor Kruger
when he came in. He said yes.

Q. Did you say anything else?
A. Nothing except my health.

Q. You remember a previous examination
before Justice Smith?

A. Yes.

Q. Do you remember the doctor asking
you before the justice whether
he said said that he was Doctor
Kruger?

A. Yes I remember that.

Q. And don't you remember that
you said yes?

A. Yes.

Revised Examination

Q. Now Dr. you said he asked you
did he say I was Doctor Kruger?

A. And I said yes.

Q. That is your testimony?

A. Yes.

Q. Why did you say no?

A. In putting it that way I said yes.

Q. That is you meant to say that

13

Henry Lewis witnesses called on
the part of the people being duly
sworn depose and say:

By the Court

Q Where do you reside?

A 183 Rutledge Street, Brooklyn

Q What is your age?

A 58 years.

Q What is your occupation?

A I am employed by the Henry
County Medical Society

Direct Examination

Q Do you know the defendant?

A Yes

Q When you ever call on him and
ask him for any medical
advice?

A I went there on the 10th day of January

Q And what took place did you
go in consequence of an ad-
vertisement?

A I was sent by Dr. Cunningham

Q To find out if Dr. Kugei was
practicing medicine

Ayeeee. And I went there and asked
 if Doctor Kruger was in and he said
 I am the doctor. He called me in
 and I asked him 3 times are you
 doctor Kruger and he said I am the
 doctor ~~Kruger~~ and he said it makes
 no difference I get letters sent
 to me by the name of Kruger and
 Kruger either way it makes no
 difference. And he treated me and
 gave me a bottle of medicine and
 a box of pills. And I paid him a
 dollar.

Q Did he treat you before or after
 he said yes?

A He treated me after.

Q He said he remembered to enter
 name Kruger or Kruger?

Ayeeee.

Case Examined.

Q You are in the pay of the county
 medical society?

Ayeeee.

Q Do you get evidence? Ayeeee.

155

Q How do you get paid?

A By the week.

Q If you get a salary?

A Yes sir.

Q If you don't get paid by the case?

A Yes sir.

Q Do you get anything after a person is convicted against whom you got evidence?

A No sir. I never did.

Q If you say you saw the doctor on the 9th of January?

A Yes sir.

Q Where?

A In his office 154 W 35th Street.

Q What time of the day was it?

A About 12 o'clock or noon time.

I don't mean exactly the hour.

Q About noon?

A Yes sir. I think so.

Q Where did you tell Mr. Cunningham about this?

A After I gave him my report every day.

if I tell him right off?
 A The same day I think.

Q Don't you know
 Ayco Snow?

Y And you say the doctor didn't
 say his name was Kriger?

A He said I saw the doctor.

Q And he say his name was Kriger
 A He said I saw the doctor.

Q And he say his name was Kriger
 A He didn't say anything.

Q Now answer me or no, did he
 at any time so say that his name
 was Doctor Kriger?

A He didn't say either way how^{ever}
 I say it I asked him if he was
 the doctor. And he said I saw the
 doctor.

Q Did he tell you any time in words
 or in substance I saw doctor Kriger
 or Kriger?

A No!

Re direct Examination

Q And you went there you asked

Q Now the last time I saw him I did
Q How long ago was it?

A Maybe 2 or 3 years ago when I was
him on the Elevated railroad.

Q And you have not seen him since?
A No sir.

Q Have you and the doctor ever had any
difficulty?

A None whatever excepting I gave him a
good scolding in keeping me up from
my sleep at 12 or 1 o'clock at night
and I thought the least he could do was
to pay me anything for
the use of my office was to give
me a chance to sleep. But I forgave
him for that.

Q Have you not had your statement
that you would not believe him
under oath on that day?

A I do.

Q You don't have your statement that
you would not believe him under
oath because he disturbed you of
your sleep?

7.

Amos & I not all together

Q Did the doctor ^{ask} you have any difficulty about any business matters.

A Was nothing more than I have stated.

Q Did you ever have any difficulty with the doctor family?

A None except that ^{one of} his family cheated me out of six hundred dollars.

Q Did ^{you} ~~that~~ ^{that} Amos ^{be} a factor in the opinion of the doctor?

A No sir

Q Tell me who had done better as a factor than by you, would not his be his business with?

A I let him have charge of my office, he had an office opposite mine, ^{and} I let him have charge of the office and I went to Boston ^{and} he took in \$100 dollars ^{and} he thought 10 dollars belonged to me. he mentioned several ladies whom he suspected that came from me ^{and} whom I knew were to be confined ^{and} a man who would do that would do anything.

Q That was in 1874?

10

Ayer & Co

If you could stand up this year has not
 been him. You several occasions
 spoken to him the doctor in a friendly
 way?

Ayer & Co

If you could his general reputation among
 that neighborhood is as not good?
 If yes, about that time I don't
 know anything about it since then.

Arrive before me
 the 9th day of March }
 1888

John Justice

John H Allen asked on the part of
the people being duly sworn depose
and says

By the Court.

What is your business?

A Druggist 215 East 17th Street

What is your age?

A 40 years.

What Examination

Q Do you know Doctor Snodgrass?

A Yes Sir

Q Do you know his general reputation
for veracity?

A Not good.

Q Do you ever become him under oath
ever Sir

By the Court

Q How long have you known him?

A 15 years.

Have Examined

Q How did you happen to come here as
a witness?

A I was subpoenaed

Q By whom?

A By Judge Smith I think the name was

12

2

Q And again about what led to this
preparation?

A Because I was wanted

Q Did you offer yourself as a witness?
Correct?

Q Did you have any conversation with
anybody before you were subpoenaed
Correct?

Q With whom?

A With a gentleman I don't see him here
present

Q The one who is sitting outside?
Correct?

Q The gentleman gentleman, Mr. Levine
Correct? I don't know his name.

Q How did you become acquainted with
him?

A He came in my store one day and
asked me if I saw Doctor Krueger &
I said I did.

Q And you were curious enough to know
how he came to come to you?

A I was ^{and} he said he received a notice
by letter that I saw him.

Q Did you answer any advertisements?

Admired

Did you cause any to be written?

Admired

When you didn't know how to write about
until he told you that you were writing
Admired

When did you last see doctor Kruger
about 4 years ago?

When before that?

Did you pass a year or two previous?

When have many times within the
15 years that you know him have you
seen him?

When we got the slightest idea it may
be a number of times.

And it may be only ten years?

Admired

Had you formerly had friendly relations
with the doctor?

Admired partially

When was it?

At the first part of the 15 years I suppose
for a year or 18 months

When your friendly relations ceased
with the doctor 13 years ago

Answer

Q If you and he had some law suit together
 A I never went to law with him

Q Where you ever served with a summons?
 A Yes ^{it was} settled.

Q And you did not claim suit with him?
 Answer

Q And about that occasion some ill feeling
 on your part?

A Nothing more than there was before
 the law suit?

Q What was the ill feeling occasioned
 by by business transactions?

A In business ⁱⁿ personally

Q You say his general reputation for re-
 putation is not good?

Answer

Q Have you spoken to any person about
 his character for reputation ⁱⁿ veracity?

Answer

Q How long since?

A About 15 years I should judge 15
 years.

Q You will say 10 years?

A Say 12 years

15

Q And how many persons did speak
to you about his reputation for morality
A I don't know perhaps two or three
or four

Q Who are they?

A Adam Warner Grand Dictator of
the Knights of Honor

Q What did he say?

A I don't remember.

Q Who are the others?

A Mr Lynch the deputy Grand dictator
of the same order

Q Where does he live?

A I don't know

Q Do you know his first name?

A Leigh Lynch

Q And his business?

A He was a dramatic agent

Q And at that time he was associated
of New York?

A Yes sir

Q Who else?

A I don't remember anybody else. I
think there was a member there

at the time that spoke desparingly of
the gentleman.

Q What did they say?

A They said he was insurrectionist to
the society to which he belonged.

Q Was he desparingly of him
Deft. Counsel

Ordered to phrase out the word
desparingly?

By the Court

Ordered to be stricter out
If you said you would not believe the
doctor under oath, is your state-
ment based upon what has
occurred in the last 10 years?
Counsel

Subscribed before me }
this 9th day of March 1888 }

Peace Justice

17

Steven Shapping called by the
people being duly sworn deposed
and says.

By the Court

Where do you reside?

At 545 East 3^d Street

What is your occupation?

A Housekeeper

How old are you?

A 54 years

Direct Examination

Do you know the defendant?

Yes Sir

Do you know his reputation for truth
and veracity?

Yes I do

Would you believe him under oath?

I would not believe him under
oath

Cross Examined

How long have you known him?

Since the 1st of March last year

Musthapping you were a pupil at
the College of midwifery were you not?

Yes Sir

Q And you sued the college of midwifery
in the 11th District court of this city
for breach of contract?

A Yes sir the school I did not sue, I
only sued Doctor Kreiger

Q You say you would not believe the
doctor under oath?

A No.

Q And you base your opinion upon the
trouble you had with him in a
law suit?

A No but because he doesn't do things
in the right way.

Q How many business transactions
had you with the doctor?

A Just when I went to the college

Q How many business transactions
had you with the doctor outside of
the college attorney?

A Only when I went to the college and
asked his medical advice when
I was sick

Sworn to before me }
the 9th day of March 1898 }

Paul Brothers

Robert Johnson a witness called on
the part of the people being duly
sworn deposes and says

By the Court

Where do you reside?

A 344 4th Avenue

What is your age?

A 6 years

What is your occupation?

A Waiter

Direct Examination

Do you know the defendant?

A Very well sir

Do you know his reputation for
veracity?

A I think very little about it I would
not believe him under oath?

Depts. Poursell

I move to strike it out

By the Court. Strike out I think
very little about it

Do you have known him for some
time?

A Yes sir

Q Recently?
A Yes Sir

Q Within 10 years?

A No but within 2 years.

Q And do you know his general reputation in the neighborhood?

A I never inquired about it, but I know it personally.

Q You worked for him?
A Yes Sir

Q And from what you know of him would you believe him under oath?

A No I would not believe him under oath.

Cross Examined

Q You were in the employ of the doctor at one time were you not?

A Yes Sir

Q And he discharged you?

A Yes Sir

Q And you owed him for wages?

A Yes Sir

Q In the district Court before Judge Gedney in the case of the Arrears & 22d Street

4

11

7

Answer: you ought to know something about it you were his lawyer.

Q Did you lose the suit?

A I don't lose the suit.

Q Was it decided against you or for you?

A It was not decided either way because I brought it in two courts this court and the city court both after we were sure to the same thing.

Q Mr Johnson did you not swear before Judge Geaney in that court that the doctor discharged you because you failed to send a telegram dispatched and you kept the money first?

A That is correct, I neglected to take the telegram.

Q You also brought two suits in the city court, one for your trunk and one for damages for assault?

Answer:

Q Through Colonel Hennes who is since dead?

0862

Ayer's

Sum before me
this 9 day of March¹⁸⁸⁸

Pollock Justice

The further bearing was adjourned
to March 15th 3 PM.

First District
Police Court.

The People on
Complaint of
William A. Purrington
vs
Charles P. Kreizer

Charged with
Illegal practice of
Physic's Profession
Colon B. Smith
Police Justice
March 15th 1888

All parties present the
examination proceeded
By Mr Purrington

I offered to examine
the bag to identify the letter
offered in evidence at the last
hearing and didn't do it because
I thought there was sufficient
evidence connecting it

Ephraim Scott called by the
deputy and being duly sworn
deposes that says

By the Court

Q Where do you reside?

A 104 West 100th Street

Q What is your age?

A 48 years

Q What is your occupation?

A Printer

Direct Examination

By Mr. Eller.

Q Mr. Scott where is your place of
business?

A 463 Hudson Street

Q You are the owner of a printing
establishment there?

A Yes sir

Q How long have you been in that
business?

A Since 1869

Q Do you know Doctor Charles O.
Kreger?

A Yes

Q How long have you known him
A about 10 years.

Q You have had business dealings
with him?

A I have.

Q What is your opinion of the Doctor's
reputation for truth & veracity
as far as I know, or as far as
my personal dealings go?
Good.

Q Would you believe him under
oath?

A I would believe him without oath.

Q Would you believe him under oath?
A I would say certainly.

Q You have had numbers of dealings
with him during the past ten
years?

A A great many.

Q And how has he acted?

A I have always found him in his
business dealings with me a
man of his word.

Cross Examined

104

Q What has been the nature of your business dealings with him?

A Printing filling orders for printing for him in business.

Q What sort of printing have you done for him?

A Note books letter heads & circulars and different things of that nature.

Q Has it been a very large business?

A That I have done for the doctor?

Yes.

Q Not so very large during the course I done business for him probably together it would amount to something like five hundred or a thousand dollars.

Q That is to say for the entire period?

A Yes sir I don't print for him when I first became acquainted with him but latterly.

Q Then your ordinary dealings with him has been printing such notes but heads or circulars as he would ask you to print for him.

4

8

What has been the nature of your
business dealings with him?

A Printing filling orders for printing
for him in business

What sort of printing have you done
for him?

A Note books letter books & circulars
and different things of that nature

Q Has it been a very large business?

A That I have done for the doctor?

Yes.

Q Not so very large during the course
I have business for him probably
together it would amount to
something like five hundred or
a thousand dollars.

Q That is to say for the entire period?

A Yes sir I do not print for him
when I first became acquainted
with him but latterly.

Q Then your ordinary dealings with
him has been printing such notes
but books or circulars as he
would ask you to print for him

Q And how receiving pay for them?
 A Yes sir but that was not during
 the entire period that I knew him.
 I was acquainted with the doctor
 before we did any printing for
 him for sometime.

Q Business relations with him?
 A Not so much business, a social
 acquaintance.

Q About what is the largest bill
 that you did for the doctor at one
 time?

A Between one and two hundred dollars.
 Q So that the utmost stress of tempta-
 tion and great stress you put
 upon making this statement
 is that you have done printing
 for him ^{and} have paid you a
 bill of two hundred dollars?
 Defendants Counsel

Objected to
 By Mr. Partridge.

He has testified that he
 believes Doctor Kreiger to have

a good reputation because he had
had business with him.

Q Now is it not partly the reason
A No Sir I do not testify because
I had business dealings with
him.

Q I mean that your knowledge is
based upon your business
dealings with him?

A No Sir I did not intend to testify
to that effect.

Q What do you intend to testify
to?

A I mean that I have known the
doctor about 10 years or about
it may be 9 and it may be 11
years, that during that time
as a personal acquaintance I
have met him in various
organizations & also at my home
and during all my acquaintance
with him & I have met him
numbers of times out of my
business & hundreds of times

a good reputation because he had
had business with him.

Q Was it not partly the reason
A No Sir I did not testify because
I had business dealings with
him.

Q I mean that your knowledge is
based upon your business
dealings with him?

A No Sir I did not intend to testify
to that effect.

Q What did you intend to testify
to?

A I mean that I have known the
doctor about 10 years or about
it may be 9 and it may be 11
years, that during that time
as a personal acquaintance I
have met him in various
organizations & also at my home
and during all my acquaintance
with him & I have met him
numbers of times out of my
business & hundreds of times

before I had any business with him and during all this time I found him to be a man of his word.

Q What social organizations did you belong to with him?

A I believe the first met him was in the Knights of Honor.

Q Is it a Roman Catholic Society?

A No it is composed mostly of Americans.

Q What other associations did you belong to with him?

A I can tell you how I became acquainted with him.

Q And you answer my question? What other organizations did you belong to?

A I have met him in the American Legion of Honor.

Q What sort of an organization is that?

A Similar to the Knights of Honor fraternal insurance association.

8

organization that is my way of
describing it

4. Is that the society that was brought
up in the special sessions some
time ago in connection with Dr.
Kreiger?

A. I don't know anything about that, I
have met him in the Royal
Academy.

What kind of an organization is this
A. Similar organization.

of Masonic?
Kreiger

What does mean by Fraternal
A. Its features are the insurance
and pay out insurance on the
assessment plan, say that the
order is composed of twenty
thousand, and an assessment
of \$100 is levied for every death
and that would bring in twenty
thousand dollars so that each
death pays \$2000 dollars and
that would stand for 10 deaths

And when that money is gone we
 have another arrangement

Q Do you belong to any other organiza-
 tions of that kind

A Belong to one other

What is it?

A The Knights of Columbia I have

taken an insurance after four

and dollars just mixed up

in that way. in the various ^{orders}

it was the cheapest way of insurance

that I know of

Q Do he generally an officer of those
 organizations?

A Not always but in some of them

Q He was an active promoter?

A He was

Q Do you remember about a year
 ago the arrest of Doctor Kreiger
 on a charge of larceny in connection
 with one of those organizations?

A I never heard of his being arrested
 by one of these orders. And I don't
 know his name.

Q If you have been acquainted with him during the years?

A Yes Sir

Q And during that time you never knew that he was arrested for larceny in connection with one of the orders?

A No not in connection with one of the orders.

Q In connection with what?

A I know that he was arrested and discharged once but not in connection with the numerous orders.

Q What was it in connection with?

A I cannot tell you the name of it I know it was some charge that was dismissed that is about all that I remember.

Q If you don't remember whether it was in connection with some benevolent society or not?

A I know that it was in connection with no order such as you described?

If you know that it was not in
connection with any of the
orders to which you belong
so far as you know.

(So far as I know)

If you don't know that it was not?
It may be something outside of
my knowledge

If you are still having business
relations with him?

Alex. Sui

If still working for him and
receiving pay?

Alex. Sui

If and you have a natural bias
as a man of business would
have under those circumstances

Alex. Sui I don't think my bias was
sufficient if he didn't treat
me right and was not straight
forward in his dealings with
me I would let him know it
but he has always treated me
honestly and fairly his bias

12

Q Have you ever been connected in
any way with the doctor in the
Columbia school of medicine
A No in any way. shape or
form I remembered printing for
it.

Q What was the nature of the circulars
that you printed for Doctor Knicker
A Printed to
By Dr. Ellen } as incompetent
invaluable and important.
By the town.

A by action sustained
Dr. E. Examination

Q Do you recollect any gentleman by the
name of Adam Warner?
A I do not.

Q How long have you known him?
A 15 or 20 years.

Q Do you know if he knows the
doctor?

A He does undoubtedly.

Q Have you heard others speak of
the doctor?

A. O. Hye.

Q. Speak well of him?

A. Well I never heard his character particularly discussed in any way or the other he was never present under the discussion of the Doctors character some up?

Q. The doctors name was mentioned in conversation during the years that you knew him?

A. Yes Sir

Q. During those conversations during that time did you ever hear anybody say anything against his character?

A. I have on one occasion but it didn't amount to anything. I want to be correct that many have been actors. but I remember one.

Q. State what that was?

A. I remember that he was spoken of as being told by parties who knew I was doing business with him that he would stick me & that I should never get my money

14

I have been told that by this at least
 of how long ago was it?
 A probably 6 years ago. It may be
 five.

It was within 10 years?
 Ayer's

Did he stop you?
 Ayer's

By Mr. Cunningham


Objected to "not more to
 strike it out on the ground that
 it is incompetent and immaterial
 Defendants Counsel

I consent to strike it out

From before me
 this 15th day of March 1888

Edgar Justice

Case Closed



District Police Court.

 The People,
 On the Complaint of the Medical
 Society of the County of New York,
 against
 Kriger.

Violation of
 Medical Act,
 Chapter 647 of
 Laws of 1887.

THE MEDICAL SOCIETY OF THE COUNTY OF NEW YORK, a duly incorporated Medical Society of the State and County of New York, entitled to representation in the Medical Society of the State of New York, complain by their counsel

W. A. Purrington of No. 63 Wall Street, N. Y. City that Kriger residing at No. 154 W. 35th Street, in said County is practicing medicine in violation of the provisions of chapter 647 of the Laws of 1887: In support of their complaint they submit the following affidavits, charging that said "Kriger" is ^{practicing under} a false or assumed name, and that the defendant's true name is Kriger.

W. A. Purrington
 Counsel Med. Soc. Co. New York.

City and County of New York, ss.

Ellen Irwin being duly sworn deposes and says that on or about the 12th day of January 1888, and between the 1st and the 15th days of January 1888,

Kriger practiced medicine in said County upon deponent, and prescribed for, examined physically, and treated medically this deponent at No. 154 West 35th Street in said City and County, and the said

Kriger received from this deponent as compensation for medical services rendered to deponent by said Kriger, acting as physician, at the times and places aforesaid, the sum of One dollar.

Deponent further says that she believes the person who practiced upon her to be actually named Kriger, but that his practice upon her was done under the name of Kriger which she believes is an alias or assumed name.

Sworn to before me this:
 14 day of February 1888,:

Edmond Smith
 Police Justice.

City and County of New York, ss.

Henry Lyeven

Being duly sworn deposes and says that he has carefully examined the Register of Physicians kept according to law in the office of the Clerk of this County; that he has been unable to find the name of Kriger

0000

registered therein, and verily believes that the said
Kriger is not entitled to practice medi-
cine in said County under the provisions of the law.

And deponent further says, that he believes the person
practicing upon the above deponent as
Kriger is in fact named Kruger that Kriger is a false
or assumed name, and deponent says that
said Kriger or Kruger admitted to deponent
that he uses both names

Sworn to before me this
14 day of February 1888.

Solomon B. Smith *Harry L. Green*
Police Justice.

0881

POLICE COURT, / DISTRICT.

State of New York,
City and County of New York, } ss.

of No. 374 West 125th Street, being duly sworn, deposes and says,

that Charles P. Krizer (now present) is the person of ^{the} ~~that~~ name of Krizer mentioned in deponent's affidavit of the 14th day of February 188 8

hereunto annexed.

Sworn to before me this 15th

day of February 188 8

Ellen Irwin

Solomon B. Smith
POLICE JUSTICE.

00002

Sec. 151.

Police Court..... District.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

*In the name of the People of the State of New York; To the Sheriff of the County
of New York, or to any Marshal or Policeman of the City of New York, GREETING:*

Whereas, Complaint in writing, and upon oath, has been made before the undersigned, one of the Police
Justices for the City of New York, by *William A. Cunningham*

of No. *7* Street, that on the *12th* day of *January*

188*8* at the City of New York in the County of New York,

*Prize - civil unlawfully -
Practice Physic - at premises no:
154 West 31st St. in violation of the Laws
of the State of New York*

Wherefore, the said Complainant has prayed that the said Defendant may be apprehended and bound to
answer the said complaint.

These are Therefore, in the name of the PEOPLE of the State of New York, to command you the said
Sheriff, Marshals and Policemen, and each and every of you, to apprehend the said Defendant and bring *him*
forthwith before me, at the DISTRICT POLICE COURT, in the said City, or in case of my absence
or inability to act, before the nearest or most accessible Police Justice in this City, to answer the said charge, and to
be dealt with according to law.

Dated at the City of New York, this *12th* day of *January* 188*8*

Solomon B. Smith
POLICE JUSTICE.

0003

POLICE COURT 1st DISTRICT.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Wm A Purrington
vs.

Kruger

Warrant-General.

Dated Feb'y 15 - 188 8

Smith Magistrate.

Walsh Officer.

The Defendant Charles R Kruger
taken, and brought before the Magistrate, to answer
the within charge, pursuant to the command con-
tained in this Warrant.

Robt Walsh Officer.

Dated Feb'y 15 - 188 8

This Warrant may be executed on Sunday or at
night.

Police Justice.

Dated 188

H3 W3
w
MS
Doctor

m
yes

229 2nd Ave

Police Justice.

having been brought before me under this Warrant, is committed for examination to the
WARDEN and KEEPER of the City Prison of the City of New York

The within named

0004

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, { ss

Charles P. Kreizer being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question What is your name?

Answer

Charles P. Kreizer

Question. How old are you?

Answer

43 years.

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

229 Lexington Avenue, New York.

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

229 Lexington Avenue. 1 year.

Question What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Physician

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer.

I am not guilty and demand an acquittal

Charles P. Kreizer.

Taken before me this

Day of March 1884
John J. Smith
Police Justice

0885

DISTRICT POLICE COURT.

THE PEOPLE,
ON COMPLAINT OF

William A. Purser

agst.

Charles P. Kreiger

Examination had

March 9th 188*8*

Before

Samuel B. Smith Police Justice.

David C. Seltman

Stenographer of the *1st* District Police

Court, do hereby certify that the within testimony in the above case is a true and correct copy of
the original Stenographer's notes of the testimony of

Alvin Higgins *Reuben*

Smith John Hallen Helen Hastings Robert Johnson

Ephraim Scott
as taken by me on the above examination before said Justice.

Dated

March 19th 188*8*.

Samuel B. Smith

Police Justice.

David C. Seltman

Stenographer.

0006

Sec. 102.

District Police Court.

Undertaking to appear during the Examination.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

An information having been laid before Solomon B. Smith a Police Justice
of the City of New York, charging Charles P. Kreizer Defendant with
the offence of Illegal Practice of Physic

and he having been brought before said Justice for an examination of said charge, and it having been made to
appear to the satisfaction of said Justice that said examination should be adjourned to some other day, and the hear-
ing thereof having been adjourned,

We Charles P. Kreizer Defendant of No. 229
Livingston Street; by occupation a Physician
and Martin Weiss of No. 187 Broadway
Street, by occupation Merchants Tailor Surety, hereby jointly and severally undertake that
the above named Charles P. Kreizer Defendant
shall personally appear before the said Justice, at the 1 District Police Court in the City of New York,
during the said examination, or that we will pay to the People of the State of New York the sum of four
Hundred Dollars,

Taken and acknowledged before me, this

day of

1888

POLICE JUSTICE.

15 Charles P. Kreizer.
February Martin Weiss
Solomon B. Smith

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.
OF NEW YORK,

Sworn to before me, this 15th day of February 1888
John B. Smith
Justice

Sworn to before me, this 15th day of February 1888

Martin Weiss

the within named Bail and Surety being duly sworn, says, that he is a resident and *house*
holder within the said County and State, and is worth *ten* Hundred Dollars,
exclusive of property exempt from execution, and over and above the amount of all his debts and
liabilities, and that his property consists of *stock and fixtures*
of tailoring establishment
situated at 187 Broadway
and valued at two thousand
dollars free. *Martin Weiss*

District Police Court.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Undertaking to appear
during the Examination.

ss.

Taken the day of 188

Justice.

Dated _____ 188 _____ *Police Justice*

Dated 188 *Police Justice.*

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named.....

the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated March 20 1888 Solomon B. Syzick Police Justice.

Justice Power is hereby authorized to accept bail in the within case Solomon B. Syzick Police Justice

Dated, March 21st 1888 W. J. Conway Police Justice.

Dated 188 *Police Justice.*

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE
of the City and County of New York.

-----X
The People of the State of New York

against

Charles P. Kreizer.

-----X

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York by this Indictment accuse Charles P. Kreizer of a felony, committed as follows: The said Charles P. Kreizer, late of the Twentieth Ward of the City of New York in the County of New York aforesaid, on the twelfth day of January in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-eight, at the ward, city and county aforesaid, did feloniously practice physic under a false and assumed name, to wit, the name of "Doctor Kriger," the same being a name not his own true and proper name, and under such false and assumed name did then and there feloniously examine, treat and prescribe for one Ellen Irwin as a physician: against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT. And the Grand Jury aforesaid by this Indictment further accuse the said Charles P. Kreizer of a Misdemeanor, committed as follows: The said Charles P. Kreizer, late of the ward, city and county aforesaid, not being and not having been on the first day of October in the year of our Lord one thousand eight

hundred and eighty-seven lawfully authorized to practice physic in this State and registered according to law, afterwards, to wit, on the said twelfth day of January in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-eight, at the ward, city and county aforesaid, did unlawfully practice physic without the license and registration provided for in the act of the Legislature of this State entitled "An act to regulate the licensing and registration of physicians and surgeons, and to codify the medical laws of the State of New York," passed on the twenty-third day of June in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-seven, and then and there, to wit, at the time of the commission of the Misdemeanor herein alleged, in full force, operation and effect throughout the said State; and the said Charles P. Kreizer did then and there, to wit, on the said twelfth day of January in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty eight, at the ward, city and county aforesaid, without such license and registration as aforesaid, unlawfully examine, treat and prescribe for one Ellen Irwin as a physician: against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

JOHN R. FELLOWS,

District Attorney.

0894

BOX:

307

FOLDER:


2923

DESCRIPTION:

Kurrns, Theodore

DATE:

05/23/88



2923

271

Witnesses:

Off Charles H. Hay
13th Precinct

Counsel,

Filed *23rd* day of *May* 188*8*
Pleads, *City of New York*

THE PEOPLE,

vs.

VIOLATION OF EXCISE LAW
(Keeping Open on Sunday.)
[III Rev. Stat. (7th Edition), Page 1089, Sec. 5.]

B

Theodore Burns

122

JOHN R. FELLOWS.

District Attorney.

A True Bill.

Wm. H. H. H.

Foreman.

Part IV June 4, 1888

Complaints sent to Special Sessions

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

Theodore Kurrns

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse *Theodore Kurrns* —
of the CRIME OF KEEPING OPEN ON SUNDAY a place licensed for the SALE OF STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINES ALE AND BEER, committed as follows:

The said *Theodore Kurrns* —
late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *eighteenth* day of *March* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-*eight*, the same being the first day of the week, commonly called and known as Sunday, being then and there in charge of, and having the control of a certain place there situate, which was then duly licensed as a place for the sale of strong and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, with force and arms, at the City and County aforesaid, the said place so licensed as aforesaid, unlawfully did not close and keep closed, and on the said day the said place so licensed as aforesaid unlawfully did open and cause and procure, and suffer and permit to be open, and to remain open, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

JOHN R. FELLOWS,

District Attorney.