0359

BOX:
214
FOLDER:
2120
DESCRIPTION:
Davis, William R.

DATE:
04/09/86

2120

No. 44

Witnesses:

James Hagan
Dora Hildebrand
Sent for
Mr. Hagan
at Court & Taylor &
for office

Dft. a Captain
Theis. He has
stolen at various
times over $700.
worth of property
from D. & T. Tells
forged a cheque
and signs him Bill
refers to &c. For

Counsel.....

Filed 7 day of April 1886

Pleads.....

THE PEOPLE

vs.

William R. Davis

LARCENY.

[Sections 528, 532, Penal Code].

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,

District Attorney.

A True Bill.

J. P. O'Brien
Clerk

Copy
Recognizance
Brennan.

Read & Filed

4th Pen H 2.50 Fee
April 7/86.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0820

No-44-

Witnesses:

James Hagan
Dora Hildebrand
Sent for
Mr. Hagan
at Court Square &
for officer
Ff
Off. & Burpee
Insp. He has
stolen articles
Value over $200.
Work of Anybody
from D. S. Sales
forges a cheque
authorizing him to
receive &c. for

Counsel,

Filed 7 day of April 1886

Pleads

THE PEOPLE

vs.

William R. Davis

LARCENY.

[Sections 528, 532, Penal Code].

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,

District Attorney.

A True Bill.

Foreman.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Folio 1

THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS
OF THE PEACE IN AND FOR THE
CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

IN THE MATTER
OF
W I L L I A M R. D A V I S.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, SS:--

SARAH DAVIS being duly sworn says that she is the mother of William R. Davis above named. That said William R. Davis is 24 years of age and was sentenced by His Honor Recorder Smyth on April 13th. 1886 for larceny to one year in the penetentiary on Blackwells Island and a fine of two hundred and fifty Dollars.

2 That said William R. Davis pleaded guilty to taking certain goods and property from the store of Lord & Taylor in this City where he was employed as a clerk.

That said William R. Davis was never arrested or charged with any crime prior to the charge and arrest in this case and has been with the exception of this instance a sober and industrious young man and kind to his parents and of a naturally honest and gentle disposition.

That prior to said taking of goods from said Lord & Taylor William R. Davis who prior thereto had been dutiful and obedient to deponent, became acquainted with

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

3 and very much attached to one Kate Carroll who obtained a great control over said William R. Davis and induced him to leave deponent's house and live with her as husband and wife. That said Kate Carroll is a person of dissolute habits and an habitual drunkard and is now leading a dissolute life. That as said Kate Carroll informed deponent that she was married to said William R. Davis. That through the influence over him of said Kate Carroll and her acquaintances said William R. Davis as deponent charges was led into committing the said larceny. That there is one child the issue of said alleged marriage and deponent and her husband Samuel

4 Davis in addition to supporting a family of four other children have had to take charge of and support said child and now have the charge of and are supporting said child. That deponent lives with her husband and family which said family consists of three daughters aged respectively thirteen, fourteen and eighteen years and one son Irving O. Davis aged twenty. That said Irving O. Davis was also in the employ of said Lord & Taylor at the time of said sentence of William R. Davis and is still in the employ of said Lord & Taylor as a clerk.

5 That neither deponent her husband or said son have any means with which to pay the fine imposed upon said W. R. Davis. Deponents husband is employed as a clerk in Abrahams Dry Goods store in Brooklyn at ten Dollars per week salary. Deponents son only earns enough at said Lord & Taylor's to board and clothe him and there is no other source of support for deponents family except

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

the wages of the said members thereof which are barely sufficient for the living expenses.

That a large portion of the goods and property taken by said William R. Davis were recovered and returned to said Lord & Taylor.

6 WHEREFORE deponent prays that the fine of $250 imposed on said son William R. Davis be remitted.

Sworn to before me January 22nd 1887
Clarence Kleinhank
Notary Public
Kings Co. (Certificate in my Co.)

Sarah Davis.

State City & County of New York ss:

Samuel Davis being duly sworn says that he has read & knows the contents of the foregoing affidavit of Sarah Davis that he is the husband of said Sarah Davis & that the statements contained in said affidavit of said Sarah Davis are true

Sworn to before me
January 22nd 1887
Clarence Kleinhank
Notary Public
Kings Co. (Certificate filed in my Co.)

Samuel Davis

I admit due
service of Copies
of within
this January 25th 1887
R Bellamy Pike
Dist Atty

Adjourned for hearing
by Recorder Smyth
Asst Dist Atty Olcott
Monday July 7 1887

James Fitzpatrick
Asst Dist Atty

THE COURT OF GENERAL

SESSIONS OF THE PEACE IN

AND FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY

OF NEW YORK.

IN THE MATTER

OF

WILLIAM R. DAVIS.

Original.

AFFIDAVITS AND NOTICE OF

MOTION FOR REMISSION OF

FINE ETC.

Moses R. Crow,
Attorney for Prisoner
265 Broadway,
New York City.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

5960

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

OF THE PEACE IN AND FOR THE

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

IN THE MATTER

OF

WILLIAM R. DAVIS

SIR:--

YOU WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that upon the annexed affidavits and others papers of Sarah Davis and Samuel Davis, I shall move the Court of General Sessions of the Peace in and for the City and County of New York before the Honorable ~~Frederick Smyth~~ Henry Allen Clacque Recorder of the City and County of New York on the 28th day of January 1887 at the opening of said Court for the remission of the fine imposed upon William R. Davis for larceny by ~~said~~ Hon. Smyth Recorder on April 13th. 1886.

New York Jany. 25th 1887.

Yours &c.,

Moses R. Crow,

Attorney for prisoner

To,

Randolph B. Martine, Esq.,
District Attorney in and for the
City and County of
New York.

265 Broadway,
New York City

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

LORD & TAYLOR,
NEW YORK.

(1)

New York 1886.
Jan 22/87

This is to certify that
William R. Davis was in
the employ of Lord &
Taylor, as a clerk at
the time of his arrest
for taking certain
Goods and property from
said Lord & Taylor.
That up-to said time
he was apparently, a
sober industrious and
honest young man,
and as such was employ-
ed as a salesman
in the retail store
of said Lord & Taylor.

From Broadway and Twentieth Street.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

NEW YORK.

(V.)

1886.

at 20th street & Broadway
New York City in the
Upholstery department.
That a portion of
the goods taken by
said William R. Davis
were recovered and
returned to said Lord
& Taylor

Lord & Taylor

James Hagan
in charge of Upholstery Dept
of Lord & Taylor

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

DEPARTMENT OF
Public Charities and Correction.

New York Penitentiary, B. I.,

LOUIS D. PILSBURY,
Warden.

New York March 14. 1887

I certify that William R Davis sentenced April 13th 1886 to one years imprisonment and pay a fine of $250. has conducted himself well in every respect during the whole term of his imprisonment.

Louis D Pilsbury
Warden.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

OF THE PEACE IN AND FOR THE

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

IN THE MATTER

OF

WILLIAM R. DAVIS

SIR:--

YOU WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that upon the annexed affidavits of Sarah Davis and Samuel Davis and other papers I shall move the Court of General Sessions of the Peace in and for the City and County of New York before the Honorable ~~Frederick~~ Henry ~~Smith~~ Recorder of the City and County of New York on the 28th day of January 1887 at the opening of said Court for the remission of the fine imposed upon William R. Davis for larceny by said Recorder on April 13th. 1886.

N. Y. Jany. 25th ~~1887~~.

Yours &c.,

Moses R. Crow,

Attorney for prisoner

265 Broadway,

New York City.

To,

Randolph B. Martine, Esq.,
District Attorney
in and for the City and
County of New York.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Folio 1

THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

OF THE PEACE IN AND FOR THE

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

IN THE MATTER

OF

W I L L I AM R. D A V I S.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, SS:--

SARAH DAVIS being duly sworn says that she is the mother of William R. Davis above named. That said William R. Davis is 24 years of age and was sentenced by his Honor Recorder Smyth on April 13th. 1886 for larceny to one year in the penetentiary on Blackwells Island and a fine of two hundred and fifty Dollars.

That said William R. Davis pleaded guilty to taking certain goods and property from the store of Lord & Taylor in this City where he was employed as a clerk.

2 That said William R. Davis was never arrested or charged with any crime prior to the charge and arrest in this case and has been with the exception of this instance a sober and industrious young man and kind to his parents and of a naturally honest and gentle disposition.

That prior to said taking of goods from said Lord & Taylor William R. Davis who prior thereto had been dutiful and obedient to deponent, became acquainted with and very

3 much attached to one Kate Carroll who obtained a great control

1.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

over sadd William R. Davis and induced him to leave deponent's house and live with her as husband and wife. That said Kate Carroll is a person of dissolute habits and an habitual drunkard and is now leading a dissolute life. That as said Kate Carroll informed deponent that she was married to sadd William R. Davis. That through the influence over him of said Kate Carroll and her acquaintances said William R. Davis as deponent charges was led into committing the said larceny. That there is one child the issue of said alleged marriage

4 and deponent and her husband Samuel Davis in addition to supporting a family of four other children have had to take charge of and support said child and now have the charge of and are supporting said child. That deponent lives with her husband and family which said family consists of three daughters aged respectively thirteen, fourteen and eighteen years and one son Irving O. Davis aged twenty. That said Irving O. Davis was also in the employ of said Lord & Taylor at the time of said sentence of William R. Davis and is still in the employ of said Lord & Taylor as a clerk.

5 That neither deponent her husband or said son have any means with which to pay the fine imposed upon said W. R. Davis. Deponent's husband is employed as a clerk in Abrahams Dry Goods store in Brooklyn at ten Dollars per week salary. Deponents son only earns enough at said Lord & Taylor's to board and clothehim and there is no other source of support for deponents family except the wages of the said members thereof which are barely sufficient for the living expenses.

That a large portion of the goods and property taken by said

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

William R. Davis were recovered and returned to said Lord & Taylor.

WHEREFORE deponent prays that the fine of $250 imposed on said son William R. Davis be remitted.

Sworn to before me ;
; Sarah Davis,
January 22nd. 1887. ;

Clarence D. Cruikshank,
Notary Public,
Kings Co., (Cer. filed in N.Y. Co.)

STATE, CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, SS:--

SAMUEL DAVIS being duly sworn says that he has read andknows the contents of the foregoing affidavit of Sarah Davis. That he is the husband of said Sarah Davis and that the statements contained in said affidavit of said Sarah Davis are true.

Sworn to before me :
: Samuel Davis,
January 22nd. 1887 :

Clarence D. Cruikshank,
Notary Public,
Kings Co., (Cer. filed in N. Y. Co.)

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

LORD & TAYLOR

New York.

New York Jan. 22nd. 1887.

This is to certify that William R. Davis was in the employ of Lord and Taylor as a clerk at the time of his arrest for taking certain goods and property from said Lord & Taylor.

That up to said time he was apparently a sober industrious and honest young man, and as such was employed as a salesman in the retail store of said Lord & Taylor at 20th. Street & Broadway, New York City, in the upholstery department.

That a portion of the goods taken by said William R. Davis were recovered and returned to said Lord & Taylor

Lord & Taylor,

James Hagan, in charge

of Upholstery Dept.

of Lord & Taylor

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Affidavit & Notice
Motion in Feby for
the Remission
of Fine &
Jan 27 1897
Ass't Dist Atty

THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE IN AND FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

IN THE MATTER

OF

WILLIAM R. DAVIS.

Copy.

AFFIDAVITS AND NOTICE OF MOTION FOR REMISSION OF FINE ETC.,

Moses R. Crow,
Atty. for Prisoner
265 B'way.
New York City.

Rec'd Jan 27/97

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0376

STATE OF NEW YORK,

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss.:

William R. Davis being duly sworn, deposes and says that he was convicted of Petit Larceny at the court of General Sessions of the Peace, and on the 15th day of April, 1886 was sentenced by Hon. Frederick Smyth, Recorder, to confinement in the New York Penitentiary for the term of One year and ——— month and fined Two hundred and fifty dollars, and in default of payment thereof to be held in custody for the further term of Two hundred and fifty days or until the same be paid; and that he was received at the Penitentiary on the 16th of April 1886

And he further deposes and says that he is credibly informed and verily believes that his Excellency the Governor of the said State did—upon the report of the Warden of the said Penitentiary, that he had complied with the requirements of the act passed February ~~20, 1875~~ 23, 1886—direct a deduction of Two months from the term of his sentence, whereby the said term expired on the 13th day of February, 1887

And he still further deposes and says that he is entirely without money, property or means of any kind, and that he is utterly unable to satisfy and pay the said fine of Two hundred and fifty dollars, for the non-payment of which he has been since the said 13th day of February, 1887, and is now held in custody at the Penitentiary aforesaid. ———

Wm. R. Davis

Sworn and subscribed before me this 15 day of March, 1887

Notary Public (46)
New York County

I hereby certify that the facts set forth in the above written affidavit as to the date and term of sentence—as well as to the time of the expiration thereof—of the above affiant William R. Davis and the deduction from the term of said sentence directed by the Governor of the State of New York are true.

Louis D. Pilsbury
Warden of the New York Penitentiary.

Blackwell's Island, New York City, March 15th, 1887.

U. J. General Sessions of the Peace.

THE PEOPLE

Of the State of New York

vs.

William R. Davis

April 13th 1869

PENITENTIARY.

One Year

And to pay a fine of Two hundred and fifty Dollars.

And to stand committed until the same be paid, or be imprisoned for 251 days.

AFFIDAVIT

OF

DEFENDANT

Of Inability to Pay Fine.

March 15 1869

~~The Defendant being~~

not attorney 78

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

STATE OF NEW YORK,
CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

William K. Davis ..... being duly sworn, deposes and says that he was convicted of

Petit Larceny

at the court of General Sessions of the Peace, and on the 15th day of April, 1879 was sentenced by Hon. Frederick Smyth, Recorder, to confinement in the New York Penitentiary for the term of One year ~~and~~ ~~month~~ and fined Two hundred and fifty dollars, and in default of payment thereof to be held in custody for the further term of Two hundred and fifty days or until the same be paid. and that he was received at said Penitentiary on the 16th day of April 1879

And he further deposes and says that he is credibly informed and verily believes that his Excellency the Governor of the said State did—upon the report of the Warden of the said Penitentiary, that he had complied with the requirements of the act passed February ~~20, 1875~~ 27, 1866—direct a deduction of Two months from the term of his sentence, whereby the said term expired on the 15th day of February, 1879.

And he still further deposes and says that he is entirely without money, property or means of any kind, and that he is utterly unable to satisfy and pay the said fine of Two hundred and fifty dollars, for the non-payment of which he has been since the 16th day of February 1879, and is now held in custody at the Penitentiary aforesaid.

W. K. Davis

Sworn and subscribed before me this 15 day of March, 1879

Wm. S. McManus
Notary Public (446)
New York County

I hereby certify that the facts set forth in the above written affidavit as to the date and term of sentence—as well as to the time of the expiration thereof—of the above affiant William K. Davis and the deduction from the term of said sentence directed by the Governor of the State of New York are true.

Louis D. Silkman
Warden of the New York Penitentiary.

Blackwell's Island, New York City, March 15th, 1879.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

6160

N. Y. General Sessions of the Peace.

THE PEOPLE

Of the State of New York

vs.

William K. Davis

April 13th 1877.

PENITENTIARY.

One year

And to pay a fine of Two hundred and fifty Dollars.

And to stand committed until the same be paid, or be imprisoned for 250 days.

AFFIDAVIT

OF

DEFENDANT

Of Inability to Pay Fine.

March 16. 1877.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Police Court— 1 District. Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County of New York, } ss.

James Hagan

of Broadway and 20th St (Lord + Taylors) Street, aged 45 years, occupation Superintendent being duly sworn deposes and says, that on the 7 day of April 1886 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in the day time, the following property viz:

Two yards of silk Plush of the value of Nine dollars

the property of George W. J Lord Henry Samuel Lord and Edward P. Hatch copartners doing business under the firm name of Lord and Taylor in the care and charge of dep't and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away by William R. Davis (now here) that said defendant acknowledged and confessed in the presence and hearing of Officer James McGuire, a Detective Sergeant that he took stole and carried away said property and took the same in room No 67 of the St Charles Hotel, 648 Broadway and kept the same occupied by Norah Hildebrand and that said property was found thereafter by said Officer

Deponent further says that said defendant at divers times feloniously took stole and carried away silk plush and embroideries of the value of Seven Hundred dollars the property of said firm which said

Sworn to before me, this 7 day of April 1886

Police Justice

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

said defendant sold to Noah Hildebrand as aforesaid as deponent is informed

Sworn to before me
this 8 day of April 1886

James Hogan

Saml C Reed Police Justice

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0382

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

James McGuire

aged ..... years, occupation Detective Sergeant of No.

Central Office Street, being duly sworn deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of James Hagan

and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponents' own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 8 *day of* April 188 6

James McGuire

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Sec. 198—200.

[redacted] District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss

William R Davis being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is h — right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h — ; that the statement is designed to enable h — if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h — that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h — waiver cannot be used against h — on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer William R Davis

Question. How old are you?

Answer 24 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 422 E 115th St one month

Question What is your business or profession?

Answer Clerk

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am guilty and demand a trial by Jury

W R Davis

Taken before me this [redacted] *day of* [redacted] 188[redacted]

[redacted]

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0384

BAILED,

No. 1, by .....

Residence ..... *Street.*

No. 2, by .....

Residence ..... *Street.*

No. 3, by .....

Residence ..... *Street.*

No. 4, by .....

Residence ..... *Street.*

Police Court— 1 —— 47th District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

James Logan
End of 65th Lane
20 off 4th Broadway

William R Davis

Offence Larceny

1 2 3 4

POLICE BUREAU
APR 9
1886

Dated April 8th 188 6

Police Justice.

Witnesses Mara Rutherford
No. 648 73rd Roadway *Street.*

S/- Charles Bottle
No. 648 73rd Roadway *Street.*

Sworn to before me this 8th day of April 1886
S/- Charles O'Reilly
Police Justice.

Officer.

C Q *Precinct.*

Magistrate.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Dyer Lant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Two Hundred Dollars *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated April 8th 188 6 Louis C. O'Reilly *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named ..... *to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.*

Dated ..... 188 . ..... *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named ..... *guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.*

Dated ..... 188 . ..... *Police Justice.*

No. ..... *Street.*

$ 2000 *to answer* C S

C

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

William R. Davis

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

— William R. Davis —

of the CRIME OF PETIT LARCENY, committed as follows:

The said William R. Davis,

late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the twenty-fourth day of April, — in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-nine, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

two gowns of the value of fifteen dollars and

value of four dollars and

fifty cents each gown,

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Eleanor D.

S. Sard, —

then and there being found, then and there unlawfully did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

Randolph B. Martine,

District Attorney.

0386

BOX:
214
FOLDER:
2120
DESCRIPTION:
Deakin, Emma

DATE:
04/21/86

2120

Witnesses:

Frank Haggerty

No-172

Counsel,

Filed 21 day of April 1886

Pleads, Not guilty

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Emma Deakin

Grand Larceny, First Degree.
(DWELLING HOUSE.)
[Sections 528, 530, ——— Penal Code].

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,
District Attorney.

A True Bill.

W. H. Brown
April 28/86. *Foreman.*

Tried & acquitted.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

1869

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0388

Police Court— 10th District. Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County of New York, } ss.

Frank Haggerty

of No. 148 Mulberry Street, aged 12 years,

occupation Shoemaker being duly sworn

deposes and says, that on the 11 day of April 1886 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in the night time, the following property viz:

A gold watch valued at Thirty-seven Dollars, silver coins of the value of Two Dollars and a knife, the whole being of the amount and value of Thirty-nine Dollars and Thirty-five Cents

$39 25/100

the property of Deponent

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away by Emma Deakin (Continued)

for the reason following, to wit: at the hour of 11 P.M. on said date, deponent met the said defendant on ground floor, and brought her to his room in said premises and paid her $5 dollars for the purpose of having sexual intercourse with her.

Deponent placed his vest, in the pockets of which was the said gold watch and said money, on a chair in said room; the knife being on

Sworn to before me this 12 day of April 1886

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

a watch stand in said room
Deponent left the said room
to buy a pint of beer, leaving
the said defendant in said room
upon his returning he found
the said defendant there left
and the said property was
missing.

Whereupon deponent
charges the said defendant
with feloniously taking stealing
and carrying away the afore-
said property.

Sworn to before me
this 15th day of April
1886

Frank Hagarty

Henry Hannay

Police Justice

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0390

Sec. 198—200.

15th District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss

Emma Dakin being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is h er right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h er; that the statement is designed to enable h er if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h er that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h er waiver cannot be used against h er on the trial.

Question What is your name?

Answer Emma Dakin

Question. How old are you?

Answer 37 Years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York City

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 18 Allen Street 4 Months

Question What is your business or profession?

Answer Domestic

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty

her
Emma x Dakin
mark

Taken before me this 15th *day of* March 1880

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0391

BAILED,

No. 1, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

No. 2, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

No. 3, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

No. 4, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

Police Court — 1 — District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF
Frank Kagan
14 Hudson
vs.
Abram Kahn
Larceny

Offence Grand

1 Abram Kahn
2
3
4

APR 19 1886
DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

Dated April 19 1886

Witnesses Frank Kagan
14 Hudson
Officer.
1 Precinct.

No. ..... Street.

No. ..... Street.

No. ..... Street.

$ 1000 — to answer G.S.

April 15th 1886

Committed

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Abram Kahn guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of One Hundred Dollars and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he ~~give such~~ bail.

Dated April 19 1886. J. M. Patten Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named ..... to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated ..... 188 . ..... Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named ..... guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated ..... 188 . ..... Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0392

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Emma Rosalie

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

— Emma Rosalie —

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY in the FIRST degree, committed as follows,

The said Emma Rosalie,

late of the Fourteenth Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York, aforesaid, on the seventh day of April, — in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-five, —— in the night time of the same day, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, one gold watch of the value of fifty dollars, one gold watch chain of the value of twenty dollars, one pair of gold sleeve buttons of the value of two dollars, and one pipe of the value of twenty cents,

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Frank Hoagland,

in the dwelling-house of the said Frank Hoagland

there situate, then and there being found, from the dwelling-house aforesaid, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity,

Rudolph Ramatsie,
District Attorney

0393

BOX:
214
FOLDER:
2120
DESCRIPTION:
DeLacy, Robert E.

DATE:
04/30/86

2120

Witnesses:

~~Chas. B. Martine~~

Chas B Martine

Ordered to the COURT of
Oyer and Terminer
the COUNTY of NEW YORK
for trial (Entered in the Minutes)
Pl. Jan 15th 91

No. 83

Counsel,

Filed, 30 day of April 1886.

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Robert E. De Lacy

BRIBERY.

[Section 72 Penal Code, and Section 68 Consolidation Act of 1882.]

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,

District Attorney.

A True Bill.

J. H. Brown

Foreman.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

4600

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

IN AND FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
against
Robert E. DeSantis

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment accuse Robert E. DeSantis ——— of the CRIME OF **Bribery,** committed as follows:

Heretofore, to wit, on the twenty-ninth day of August, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-four, at the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, a certain petition and application of the Broadway Surface Railroad Company, a corporation duly organized and incorporated under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York, before then duly presented to the Common Council of the City of New York, praying and making application to the said Common Council for its consent and permission to construct, maintain, operate and use a street surface railroad for public use in the conveyance of persons and property in cars upon and along the surface of certain streets, avenues and highways in the said city, together with the necessary connections, switches, turnouts, turntables, sidings and suitable stands for the convenient working of the said road, was duly pending before and under the consideration of the said Common Council.

And the said petition and application having been so as aforesaid made and presented to the said Common Council, and being so pending and under its consideration as aforesaid, the said Robert E. DeSantis ———, late of the City and County of New York aforesaid, being then and there a public officer, and a person executing the functions of a public office, to wit, an alderman and a member of the board of aldermen of the City of New York, and as such, being then and there a member of the Common Council aforesaid, afterwards, to wit, on the said twenty-ninth day of August, in the year aforesaid, and whilst the said petition and application was yet pending before and under the consideration of the said Common Council, contriving and intending the duties of his said office, and the trust and confidence thereby reposed in him, to prostitute and

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

betray, at the city and county aforesaid, with force and arms, unlawfully, wickedly and corruptly, did feloniously ask and agree to receive the sum of Twenty thousand _____ Dollars in money, and a promise and agreement therefor, from a certain person whose name is to the Jurors aforesaid as yet unknown, upon an agreement and understanding that the vote, opinion, judgment, action and official proceeding of him, the said Robert E. De Lacy as such member of the Common Council aforesaid, upon and concerning the said petition and application of the said Broadway Surface Railroad Company, so pending before and under the consideration of the said Common Council as aforesaid, should be thereby influenced, and that his vote, opinion, judgment, action and official proceeding as such member of the Common Council aforesaid upon and concerning the said petition and application, should be in favor of the granting and giving by the said Common Council of the consent and permission so as aforesaid in and by the said petition and application prayed and applied for; against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT.

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said _____ Robert E. De Lacy _____ of the CRIME OF **Bribery,** committed as follows:

Heretofore, to wit, on the twenty-ninth day of August, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-four, at the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, a certain petition and application of the Broadway Surface Railroad Company, a corporation duly organized and incorporated under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York, before then duly presented to the Common Council of the City of New York, praying and making application to the said Common Council for its consent and permission to construct, maintain, operate and use a street surface railroad for public use in the conveyance of persons and property in cars upon and along the surface of certain streets, avenues and highways in the said city, together with the necessary connections, switches, sidings, turnouts, turntables and suitable stands for the convenient working of the said road, was duly pending before and under the consideration of the said Common Council.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

And the said petition and application having been so as aforesaid made and presented to the said Common Council, and being so pending and under its consideration as aforesaid, the said Robert E. De Lacy

late of the city and county aforesaid, being then and there an alderman and a member of the board of aldermen of the City of New York, and as such, being then and there a member of the said Common Council, afterwards, to wit: on the said twenty-ninth day of August, in the year aforesaid, and whilst the said petition and application was yet pending before and under the consideration of the said Common Council, contriving and intending the duties of his said office, and the trust and confidence thereby reposed in him, to prostitute and betray, at the city and county aforesaid, with force and arms, unlawfully, wickedly and corruptly, did feloniously accept from a certain person whose name is to the Grand Jury aforesaid as yet unknown,
a promise and agreement to give and furnish to him, the said
Robert E. De Lacy,
the sum of Twenty Thousand
dollars in money, and an undertaking to give and furnish the said sum of money to the said
Robert E. De Lacy
under an agreement and understanding that the vote, opinion, judgment and action of him, the said Robert E. De Lacy,

as such member of the said Common Council, upon and concerning the said petition and application of the said Broadway Surface Railroad Company, so pending before and under the consideration of the said Common Council as aforesaid, should be influenced thereby, and that his said vote, opinion, judgment and action should be given in the cause, matter and proceeding of, upon and concerning the said petition and application, in favor of the granting and giving by said Common Council of the consent and permission so as aforesaid in and by the said petition and application prayed and applied for; against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,
District Attorney.

0398

BOX:
214
FOLDER:
2120
DESCRIPTION:
Delaney, John

DATE:
04/26/86



Witnesses:

Carl Koch

Deft. has been in Penn Mon
He is only 19
yr. of age. Has
only been living
here in Monessen
with Laney

JC-210

Counsel,

Filed 26 day of April 1886

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

John Delaney

Grand Larceny, 1st Degree.
(From the Person.)
[Sections 528, 53[illegible] O, — Penal Code].

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,
District Attorney.

A True Bill.

J. W. H. Brown
April 27/86 *Foreman.*

Plead G. L. 2nd deg
2 yrs Comm. P.P.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0820

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0400

Police Court— 2 District. Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County of New York, } ss.:

Carl Koch

of No. 186 Greenwich Street, aged 29 years,

occupation Cable-Splicer being duly sworn

deposes and says, that on the 20th day of April 1884 at the City of New

York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession

of deponent, in the daytime, the following property viz:

1 person One gold plated Chain of the Value of Two dollars

the property of Deponent

and that this deponent

has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen,

and carried away by John Delaney (now here) from the fact-

that about the hour of 1 P.M. on the above date as

deponent was walking along Washington Square

near MacDougal Street, he was accosted by the defendant

who requested the way to Canton Place, and before

deponent had time to answer, the defendant snatched

the above described Chain from end of which was attached

to a silver watch in the left hand pocket of the vest-

then and there worn by deponent, as part of his wearing

clothing and the other end of which was fastened into

said vest, and ran away with the same. Whereupon

deponent pursued the defendant and after a short

time overtook he was captured and placed under arrest

by Officer Samuel Doherty of the 15th Precinct Police

Charles Koch

Sworn to before me this 11 day of May 1884

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Sec. 198—200.

2 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss

John Delaney being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer John Delaney

Question. How old are you?

Answer Fourteen years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Ireland

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 85 Franklin St. About two years

Question What is your business or profession?

Answer Laborer

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I have nothing to say

John Delaney.

Taken before me this 21 *day of* July 1887

Police Justice.

0402

578

Police Court 2 **District.**

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

1 Jno Welsman

Offence Assault & Battery

Dated June 21 1886

Magistrate

Officer.

165 Precinct.

Witnesses

No. 15th Second-Ave Street.

No. Street,

No. Street,

$ 1000 to answer G.S

BAILED,

No. 1, *by*

Residence Street.

No. 2, *by*

Residence Street.

No. 3, *by*

Residence Street.

No. 4, *by*

Residence Street.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of One Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated June 21 188

Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named

to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed

Dated 188

Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.

guilty of the offence within named

Dated 188

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

John Delaney

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse John Delaney

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY in the first degree, committed as follows:

The said John Delaney

late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the twenty-sixth day of August, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-five, in the night time of the said day, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

one chain of the value of three dollars.

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Charles Koch, —
on the person of the said Charles Koch, —
then and there being found, from the person of the said Charles Koch, —
then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

Randolph Carmatic,
District Attorney.

0404

BOX:
214

FOLDER:
2120

DESCRIPTION:
Dempsey, Charles

DATE:
04/30/86

Witnesses:

~~Chas. B. Waite~~

Chas B Waite

Bailed in $5,000

by Geo W. Plenkitt

442. N. 51 St

July. 15/1890

Ordered to the COURT of
Oyer and Terminer
of the COURT of NEW YORK
for trial (Entered in the Minutes)
Jan 18th 91

No. 86

Counsel,

Filed, 30 day of April 1886.

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Charles Dempsey

BRIBERY.

[Section 72 Penal Code, and Section 58 Consolidation Act of 1882.]

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,

District Attorney.

A True Bill.

J. H. Brown

Foreman.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

5805

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

At a Court of Oyer and Terminer, hol den in and for the City and County of New York, at the City Hall of the said City, on Tuesday, the 19th day of May, in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred and ninety-one.

P r e s e n t :

THE HONORABLE CHARLES H. VAN BRUNT,

One of the Justices of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Justice of the Oyer and Terminer.

The People of the State of New York,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Charles Dempsey

Defendant.

On reading and filing affidavits, notice of motion herein in behalf of Charles Dempsey for an order setting the case down for trial, or in default thereof, dismissing the several indictments pending against the defendant, and on reading and filing the statement of the District Attorney herein, after hearing Durnin & Hendrick of counsel for the defendant, and the statement of De Lancey Nicoll, Esq., District Attorney;

N O W on motion of Durnin & Hendrick, attorneys for the defendant, it is

O R D E R E D that the indictments pending in this

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

Court against the said defendant Charles Dempsey solely or with others, he dismissed ~~and nolprossed~~, and that the bail and recognizance heretofore given by the defendant Dempsey be cancelled and discharged of record.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0408

City and County of New York } ss.

being duly sworn says that he

is

and that he

did on the *day of* *189 at*

serve on

the within

by delivering

to and leaving with

a copy of

said

Sworn to before me this

of *189*

Supreme Court

The People of the State of New York

vs.

Charles Dumpey

Order dismissing Action

Durnin & Hendrick,

Attorneys,

20 Nassau Street,

New York.

Due service of a copy of

within

is hereby admitted this

day of *18*

Take notice that the within

is a copy of *entered*

in the office of the Clerk of

at his office

in the

on the *day of*

189 in the

above entitled action.

Dated

Yours, &c.,

DURNIN & HENDRICK,

Attorneys.

20 NASSAU STREET,

NEW YORK CITY.

To

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

Court of Oyer & Terminer
for the City and County of New York
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - x

The People of the State of New York

against

C h a r l e s D e m p s e y

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - x

Please to take notice that upon the order entered herein on the 7th day of January, 1891, of which a copy is herewith served upon you, and upon the papers served with such order, which we are informed by the Clerk of the Court of General Sessions are now in your possession, we shall mote at a term of this Court to be held at the County Court House in the City of New York on the 16th day of April, 1891, at 10.30 O'Clock in the forenoon, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard:

First: That the indictments against the defendant herein be dismissed and the bail bonds thereon discharged.

Second: That a day be fixed for the trial of the indictments herein.

Yours &c.

Durnin & Hendrick,
Attys. for Defandant
20 Nassau St.
N.Y.City.

To DeLancey Nichols, Esq.,
District Attorney.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

Court of Oyer & Terminer
for the City and County of New York
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - x

The People of the State of New York

against

C h a r l e s D e m p s e y

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - x

City and County of New York, ss:

Eugene Durnin, being duly sworn, says that prior to the third Monday in January, 1891, the District Attorney, without notice to the defendant or his attorneys exercised his right to remove these cases into the Court of Oyer & Terminer, which was not in session on the Third Monday of January, so that no application could there be made.

Sworn to before me this
15th day of April, 1891.

Eugene Durnin

Benjamin Yates
Notary Public
New York County

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Court of General Sessions of the Peace in and for the City and County of New York, at said term of the Court of General Sessions of the Peace, held in and for the City of New York at the Court House in the City of New York on the 23rd day of December, 1890.

Present

Rufus B. Cowing.

The People of the State of New York against Charles Dempsey	INDICTMENTS AGAINST DEFENDANT.

This cause coming on to be heard on an affidavit and notice of motion, filed herewith, on reading and filing said notice of motion and the affidavit filed herewith; also the memorandum of the District Attorney, endorsed on the papers and after hearing Peter A. Hendrick, Esq. for the motion and Vernon M. Davies, Esq., on part of the People, it is

O R D E R E D, that the triel of the defendant on the indictments herein be set down for trial on the Third Monday of January, 1891, at 11 O'Clock in the forenoon on that day in this Court in such part as the District Attorney may elect, and that unless the trial of the defendant is then proceeded with, the defendant may then and there renew his motion for dismissal of the indictments herein.

Take notice that the within

*is a copy of*_____

_____*entered*

*in the office of the Clerk of*_____

_____*at his office*

*in the*_____

*on the*_____*day of*

_____*189*____*in the*

above entitled action.

*Dated*_____

Yours, &c.,

DURNIN & HENDRICK,

Attorneys.

20 NASSAU STREET,

NEW YORK CITY.

*To*_____

Off Gen Sessions

The People of the State of New York

vs.

Charles Dempsey

original

Affidavit and Notice of Motion

Durnin & Hendrick,

Dfts Attorneys,

20 Nassau Street,

New York.

Due service of a copy of

within affdt & notice

is hereby admitted this 15

day of April 1891

D. Lawrence Nicoll

District Attorney

City and County of New York } ss.

is

did on the day of

the within

to and leaving with

said

Sworn to before me this

of

189

being duly sworn says that he

and that he

189 at

serve on

by delivering

a copy of

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

2189

0413

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

IN AND FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
against
Charles Dempsey

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment accuse Charles Dempsey of the CRIME OF **Bribery,** committed as follows:

Heretofore, to wit, on the twenty-ninth day of August, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-four, at the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, a certain petition and application of the Broadway Surface Railroad Company, a corporation duly organized and incorporated under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York, before then duly presented to the Common Council of the City of New York, praying and making application to the said Common Council for its consent and permission to construct, maintain, operate and use a street surface railroad for public use in the conveyance of persons and property in cars upon and along the surface of certain streets, avenues and highways in the said city, together with the necessary connections, switches, turnouts, turntables, sidings and suitable stands for the convenient working of the said road, was duly pending before and under the consideration of the said Common Council.

And the said petition and application having been so as aforesaid made and presented to the said Common Council, and being so pending and under its consideration as aforesaid, the said Charles Dempsey, late of the City and County of New York aforesaid, being then and there a public officer, and a person executing the functions of a public office, to wit, an alderman and a member of the board of aldermen of the City of New York, and as such, being then and there a member of the Common Council aforesaid, afterwards, to wit, on the said twenty-ninth day of August, in the year aforesaid, and whilst the said petition and application was yet pending before and under the consideration of the said Common Council, contriving and intending the duties of his said office, and the trust and confidence thereby reposed in him, to prostitute and

betray, at the city and county aforesaid, with force and arms, unlawfully, wickedly and corruptly, did feloniously ask and agree to receive the sum of twenty thousand ——— Dollars in money, and a promise and agreement therefor, from a certain person whose name is to the Grand Jury aforesaid as yet unknown, upon an agreement and understanding that the vote, opinion, judgment, action and official proceeding of him, the said Charles Dempsey, ——— as such member of the Common Council aforesaid, upon and concerning the said petition and application of the said Broadway Surface Railroad Company, so pending before and under the consideration of the said Common Council as aforesaid, should be thereby influenced, and that his vote, opinion, judgment, action and official proceeding as such member of the Common Council aforesaid upon and concerning the said petition and application, should be in favor of the granting and giving by the said Common Council of the consent and permission so as aforesaid in and by the said petition and application prayed and applied for; against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT.

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said ——— Charles Dempsey ———

of the CRIME OF **Bribery,** committed as follows:

Heretofore, to wit, on the twenty-ninth day of August, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-four, at the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, a certain petition and application of the Broadway Surface Railroad Company, a corporation duly organized and incorporated under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York, before then duly presented to the Common Council of the City of New York, praying and making application to the said Common Council for its consent and permission to construct, maintain, operate and use a street surface railroad for public use in the conveyance of persons and property in cars upon and along the surface of certain streets, avenues and highways in the said city, together with the necessary connections, switchs, sidings, turnouts, turntables and suitable stands for the convenient working of the said road, was duly pending before and under the consideration of the said Common Council.

And the said petition and application having been so as aforesaid made and presented to the said Common Council, and being so pending and under its consideration as aforesaid, the said Charles Dempsey,

late of the city and county aforesaid, being then and there an alderman and a member of the board of aldermen of the City of New York, and as such, being then and there a member of the said Common Council, afterwards, to wit: on the said twenty-ninth day of August, in the year aforesaid, and whilst the said petition and application was yet pending before and under the consideration of the said Common Council, contriving and intending the duties of his said office, and the trust and confidence thereby reposed in him, to prostitute and betray, at the city and county aforesaid, with force and arms, unlawfully, wickedly and corruptly, did feloniously accept from a certain person whose name is to the Grand Jury aforesaid as yet unknown, a promise and agreement to give and furnish to him, the said Charles Dempsey the sum of twenty thousand dollars in money, and an undertaking to give and furnish the said sum of money to the said Charles Dempsey, under an agreement and understanding that the vote, opinion, judgment and action of him, the said Charles Dempsey as such member of the said Common Council, upon and concerning the said petition and application of the said Broadway Surface Railroad Company, so pending before and under the consideration of the said Common Council as aforesaid, should be influenced thereby, and that his said vote, opinion, judgment and action should be given in the cause, matter and proceeding of, upon and concerning the said petition and application, in favor of the granting and giving by said Common Council of the consent and permission so as aforesaid in and by the said petition and application prayed and applied for; against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,

District Attorney.

0416

BOX:
214

FOLDER:
2120

DESCRIPTION:
Dempsey, William

DATE:
04/03/86

2120

Edward Carey
Henry Barclay

346 H.C.

Day of Trial,

Counsel,

Filed 3 day of April 1886

Pleads Not guilty

THE PEOPLE

vs.

William Dempsey

10

INJURY TO PROPERTY. *Sec. 654, Penal Code.*

Randolph B. Martine

~~JOHN MANION~~

District Attorney.

A True Bill.

Chas. B. Polhemus

Foreman.

Part III Mch 10/87

Tried & Acquitted

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0418

STATE OF NEW YORK, CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss:

POLICE COURT, 3 DISTRICT.

Edward Carey aged 32 years, liquor dealer, of No 196 First Avenue Street, being duly sworn, deposes and says, that on the 29th day of March 188 1, at the City of New York, in the County of New York, William Dempsey (now here) did wilfully and maliciously break and destroy one pane of plate glass in a window facing First Avenue in the liquor store of said premises, damaging said window to the amount of one hundred dollars, said window being the property of Elizabeth Wetteran - That deponent is informed by Henry Barclay of No 3 or 4 East 23rd Street, that on said date about the hour of 12.30 oclock am the said Barclay saw the said defendant deliberately throw a stone through the glass of said window breaking, destroying and damaging the same as before stated -

Edward Carey

Sworn to before me this 29th day of March 1881

Police Justice

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.
OF NEW YORK, }

Henry Barclay

aged 36 years, occupation Steam fitter of No.

307 East 23rd Street, being duly sworn deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Edward Carey

and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponents' own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 29
day of March, 188 } Henry Barclay

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0420

Sec. 198—200.

3rd District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss.

William Dempsey being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is h right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h ; that the statement is designed to enable h if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiver cannot be used against h on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. William Dempsey

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 24 Years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York City

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 223 First Avenue And two months

Question What is your business or profession?

Answer. Edge gilder

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty.

William Dempsey

Taken before me this 29

day of [illegible] 1884

[illegible]

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0421

BAILED,

No. 1, by Robert Jones

Residence 89 Eighth Street.

No. 2, by

Residence Street.

No. 3, by

Residence Street.

No. 4, by

Residence Street.

Police Court— 3 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Edward Carey

No. 196 E. 17th Street.

William Quinlan

1

2

3

4

Offence Malicious Mischief

Dated March 29 1888

Magistrate

Officer.

14 Precinct.

Witnesses Henry Schneider

No. 239 East 79th Street.

Norman Nauendorf

No. 40 East 112th Street.

No. Street.

$ to answer

Om

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Five *Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated March 29 188 *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named _____

to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated _____ 188 _____ *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____

_____ *guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.*

Dated _____ 188 _____ *Police Justice.*

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0422

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
against
William Dempsey

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

William Dempsey

of the CRIME OF UNLAWFULLY AND WILFULLY destroying PERSONAL PROPERTY, OF ANOTHER, committed as follows:

The said William Dempsey

late of the 17th Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the 29th day of March, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-five, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, a certain rope de yarn,

of the value of one hundred dollars, of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Edward Ramsey then and there being, then and there feloniously did unlawfully and wilfully break and destroy;

against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT.—And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said William Dempsey

of the CRIME OF UNLAWFULLY AND WILFULLY destroying REAL PROPERTY OF ANOTHER, committed as follows:

The said William Dempsey

late of the 17th Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, a certain rope de yarn,

of the value of one hundred dollars, in the building of one Elizabeth Dickerson, there situate, then and there being, of the real property of the said Elizabeth Dickerson, then and there feloniously did unlawfully and wilfully break and destroy;

against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

Randolph B. Martine,
JOHN R. FELLOWS,
District Attorney.

0423

BOX:
214
FOLDER:
2120
DESCRIPTION:
Denison, Samuel

DATE:
04/20/86

2120

Witnesses:

Margaret Maher

Stephen Connack Officer

No 152

Counsel, [illegible]

Filed 20 day of Feb 1886

Pleads, [illegible]

THE PEOPLE

vs.

B

Samuel Denison

Grand Larceny, 2d Degree.
(From the Person.)
[Sections 528, 53[illegible] — Penal Code].

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,
District Attorney.

A True Bill.

[illegible]

Foreman.

Feby 17/86

Tried & convicted

Petit Larceny

Mo Susp. Sent'ce

Rescinded this day

Feby 23/87

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

A2608

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

1864

The People
vs.
Samuel Denison

Court of General Sessions. Part I
Before Recorder Smyth. Feb. 17. 1887.

Indictment for grand larceny in first degree.

Margaret Maher sworn. I am married and live 350 West Thirty Seventh St. I have known the defendant two years; he was at my house on April 5th. I gave him his supper, and after supper I was sitting in my rocking chair; he asked me for 30 cents to take his travel out of pawn, that he was going to work next morning. I went and took a dollar out of the twenty dollars I had and loaned him 30 cents. I said, "you are going to drink it; he said, no. He went down stairs and got the dollar changed. I put the rest of the money back in my stocking. I am a wash woman, it was Monday. I was tired and I threw myself on the bed. The defendant came and said, "you are the best woman in the country," and he stooped down and wanted to kiss me, and he ran his hand down my stocking. I did not put on my garter and it was very handy for him to run down his hand; he did and he took the money out and went away. I followed him one pair of stairs. I was near fainting and I had to have the doctor the next day. I had him arrested four days after. I went down to the Thirty Seventh St. station house the next

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

day and gave a description of him. I had not
a cent left, I had to borrow some money to get
my breakfast; the last time the defendant
boarded with me he boarded a week and four
days; it seems he was out of work and be-
sides he owes me about sixteen dollars.
Cross Examined. The morning the money was
stolen I got up about seven oclock and went out
to gather my clothes, it took me a good while, I
had to go to 21st and 22nd sts. and Sixth ave.
and returned home about one oclock. I did
not work that day. I drank no whiskey, wine
or beer that day. I got the prisoner his supper.
My cousin, Mr. Mulligan, was not in my house
when this occured, he did not come in
till eleven oclock. The defendant and my cousin
Mulligan came in about seven oclock. I had
a cup of tea from one till 9 oclock and
some bread and butter. I went into Burnham's
saloon and saw the defendant, my cousin
and another man there; they took hold of
me and wanted to treat them and I
said it was not very dignified for a lady
to treat a gentleman and Mr. Burnham
said, "you will not treat them". I went into
the bed room to take the money out of the
stocking so that the defendant would not see
me.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

Micheal J. Mulligan sworn. I remember the day that this larceny is said to have taken place. I am a bricklayer and live at 350 West thirty Seventh st. I was working with the defendant on a job in Thirty Sixth st. between 8th and 9th. ave the day was wet and we did not work, we went into Mr. Burnham's and there was another gentleman with us; we had a few drinks. In the mean time Mrs. Maher came in for some bitters with a glass. I says, "What do you want, are you drunk?" She says, No, I want some bitters for my stomach. I thought it was liquor and I wanted to spill it. The man who keeps the store said, "You must not do that in my store. I asked her to treat and she said it was not right for a lady to treat gentlemen, and she swore. Denison boarded with her for a week or so and previous to that he boarded with her We went next door and had a glass of beer. Mr. Denison had an accordeon and we were playing. Mrs. Maher wanted to dance ~~Prior~~ I seen she was under the influence of drink from her dancing. I went in next door to have something to eat. Mrs. Maher came in and she commenced to abuse me for eating in anybody elses house. I took my coat and got mad and left the

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

house. I left Denison in the house. I dont know what he did while I was down stairs. Mr. Maher drank several times in the house. When I left Mr. Higgins was gone, but I left Mr. Mulady there the gentleman who lives next door I told the defendant what the officer who arrested him told me, that if he did not pony up this money he would be arrested.

Samuel Denison sworn. I live in 234 Eighth ave. Now. I did not take the money from the complainant, did not know she had any, and did not borrow 50 cents from her to get my travel out of pawn. I was not working on the day she said she lost the money. I was living with Mrs. Maher about a week, Mr. Mulligan asked me to go there. We went in to this saloon and she came in there for bitters and Mr. Mulligan took the bottle out of her hand and was going to break it. She was so much under the influence of liquor she could not stand. Mr. Higgins and Mr. Mulligan took her off the stove, she was lying on it. Mr. Mulady was sleeping in a chair in my aunts room when I went away. I first boarded with her last summer and paid her five dollars a week. I only owed Mrs. Maher $6.50. I earn $4.50 a day as a bricklayer

The jury rendered a verdict of Guilty of petty larceny.

0420

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

Testimony in the
case of
Samuel Bevier
Appt.

1882.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

Received New York, February 26/89 from
Edward J. Hall. Deputy Clerk - the sum of
Twenty dollars - due Margaret Maher on the
Case of Samuel Denison - Convicted of Petit
Larceny - Feby 17/89 William J. Hall Margaret X Maher
her mark

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

043

Police Court— 9 District. Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County of New York, } ss.:

Margaret Maher

of No. 350 West 37th Street, aged 65 years,

occupation Washwoman being duly sworn

deposes and says, that on the 5th day of April 188 6 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in the Night time, the following property viz: and

Good and lawful money of the United States, Consisting of four bills or notes of the denomination and Value of five dollars each; altogether of the Value of twenty dollars ($20 cw)

the property of Deponent

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away by Samuel Vernon (now here) from the Jack that on said date about the hour of 9 o'clock pm deponent saw said defendant take steal and carry away the aforesaid property from deponent's Stocking which was then and there worn on the person of deponent as a portion of her bodily Clothing —

Wherefore deponent charges said defendant with the larceny of said property from her possession and person —

Margaret Maher
mark

Sworn to before me, this 14 day of April 1886

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0432

Sec. 198—200.

2nd District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss

Samuel Denison being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question What is your name?

Answer Samuel Denison

Question. How old are you?

Answer 21 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. England

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 838 — 8th Avenue, but about one week

Question What is your business or profession?

Answer Bricklayer

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty

Samuel Denison

Taken before me this 17 *day of* Dec 1880

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

BAILED, No. 1, by Patrick Kelly Street.

Residence 161 Sixth Avenue Street.

No. 2, by _____ Street.

Residence _____ Street.

No. 3, by _____ Street.

Residence _____ Street.

No. 4, by _____ Street.

Residence _____ Street.

Police Court— 2 District. 323

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Emanuel Cohen

2 _____

3 _____

4 _____

Offence Grand Larceny

Dated October 14 188 6

Magistrate

Emanuel Cohen Officer.

Witnesses _____

No. _____ Street.

No. _____ Street,

No. _____ Street

$ 500 to answer Gen'l Sess'n

Com Board

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Five *Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated October 14 188 6. _____ *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named _____

to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated _____ 188 _____ *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____

_____ *guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.*

Dated _____ 188 _____ *Police Justice.*

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Samuel Denison

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse Samuel Denison of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY in the first degree, committed as follows:

The said Samuel Denison,

late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the 16th day of August, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-five, in the night time of the said day, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

Four Promissory Notes for the payment of money, the same being then and there due and unsatisfied, and of the kind known as ——— United States Treasury Notes, of the denomination of five dollars, and of the value of five dollars each,

and four Promissory Notes for the payment of money, the same being then and there due and unsatisfied, and of the kind known as ——— Bank Notes, of the denomination of five dollars, and of the value of five dollars each,

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Margaret Mahen,
on the person of the said Margaret Mahen,
then and there being found, from the person of the said Margaret Mahen,
then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

Randolph Sherwood
District Attorney

0435

BOX:
214
FOLDER:
2120
DESCRIPTION:
Devine, William

DATE:
04/16/86

2120

0436

BOX:
214

FOLDER:
2120

DESCRIPTION:
Smith, James

DATE:
04/16/86

2120

Witnesses:

Margaret Hinn
Dr W Batts - officer

No 116

Counsel, H. Mayer

Filed 16 day of April 1886

Pleads, Not guilty

23. 109 E 119 transferred to 141 Hester

THE PEOPLE

vs.

William Dennie and James Smith

*Burglary in the Third Degree and Petit Larceny, [2d Assault, &c.,] [Sections 498, 499, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41,

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Police Court 8 District.

City and County of New York, } ss.:

Margaret Hein

of No. 256 Broome Street, aged 48 years,

occupation housekeeper a room deponent being duly sworn

deposes and says, that the premises No aforesaid 1st floor Street,

in the City and County aforesaid, the said being a dwelling where

deponent resides with her family

and which was occupied by deponent as such

and in which there was at the time no human being, ~~by name~~

were BURGLARIOUSLY entered by means of forcibly breaking open

a door leading from the hallway into said room with intent to

commit a larceny therein

on the ~~2d~~ attempted to be day of April 188 6 in the day time, and the

following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz:

two gold watches two gold lockets

gold chains & other jewelry together

with men and womens wearing apparel

and other property collectively of the

value of about five hundred dollars

the property of deponent & her husband John Hein

and deponent further says, that he has great cause to believe, ~~and does believe~~ that the aforesaid

BURGLARY was ~~committed~~ attempted to be and the aforesaid property taken, stolen, and carried away by

William Devine and James Smith

acting in collusion and both now present

for the reasons following, to wit: that deponent was in a

room directly underneath the one above

referred to and hearing a noise overhead

went upstairs and found the door leading

to the room which had been previously locked

and fastened and saw the defendants in the

room. That deponent stood at the door for the

purpose of keeping the defendants inside when

the defendant Smith struck deponent and

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

Knocked her down when they both
Ran away. That they were subsequently
arrested by Officers Bath of the 10th
Precinct and Officer English of the 13th
Precinct as they the defendants were
running away. That deponent
now in Court positively identifies
the defendants as the persons she
saw in the aforesaid Room and
also identifies the defendant
Smith as the one who struck and
knocked deponent down as above
set forth.

Mary Jane Miller her Mark

Sworn to before me this
9th day of April 1884

Police Justice

Police Court ——— District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.

Burglary ——— Degree.

Dated ——— 188

Magistrate.

Officer.

Clerk.

Witnesses:

Committed in default of $ ——— Bail.

Bailed by ———

No. ——— Street.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Sec. 198—200.

9 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss

William Devine being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is h right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h ; that the statement is designed to enable h if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiver cannot be used against h on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. William Devine

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 23 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York City

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 109 East 119th Street

Question What is your business or profession?

Answer. Brass Finisher

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty of the charge

W Devine

Taken before me this 9th *day of* August 1889

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Sec. 198—200.

3 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss

James Smith being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is h right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h ; that the statement is designed to enable h if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiver cannot be used against h on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. James Smith

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 24 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York City

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 141 Hester Street

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Umbrella Maker

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty of the charge

his
James X Smith
mark

Taken before me this 9 *day of* July 188 9

Eug. O'Neill

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

BAILED,

No. 1, by ..... *Residence* ..... *Street.*

No. 2, by ..... *Residence* ..... *Street.*

No. 3, by ..... *Residence* ..... *Street*

No 4, by ..... *Residence* ..... *Street.*

Police Court— 3 —District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF
Morgan O'Brien
No. 256 Monroe St.
vs.
William Devine
No. 2 Cherry St.
William Devine
No. 2 Cherry St.
James Smith
No. 5 Cherry St.

Offence Burglary

Dated April 9th 1886

Magistrate.

Officer.

Precinct.

Witnesses
Patrick Costello
No. 113 Oliver St.
Samuel Cook
No. 176 7th Ave.

No. ..... Street

$ 2000 Each to answer

Gen'l Sess.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named William Devine and James Smith *guilty thereof, I order that* they *be held to answer the same and* they *be admitted to bail in the sum of* Two *Hundred Dollars and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until* they *give such bail.*

Dated April 9th 1886 ..... *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named ..... *to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.*

Dated ..... 188 . ..... *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named ..... *guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.*

Dated ..... 188 . ..... *Police Justice.*

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

William Davis and James Smith

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

William Davis and James Smith

of the CRIME OF BURGLARY IN THE THIRD DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said William Davis and James Smith, each

late of the South Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York, aforesaid, on the ninth day of April, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-nine, with force and arms, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, a certain building there situate, to wit: the dwelling house of one

John Stein,

feloniously and burglariously did break into and enter, with intent to commit some crime therein, to wit: with intent, the goods, chattels and personal property of the said

John Stein,

in the said dwelling house, then and there being, then and there feloniously and burglariously to steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

SECOND COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said

William Devine and James Smith

of the crime of grand LARCENY in the first degree, committed as follows:

The said William Devine and James Smith, late

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, in the day time of the said day, with force and arms,

two watches of the value of one hundred dollars each, two

rockets of the value of twenty five dollars each, two drains of the value of twenty five dollars each, twenty yards of the value of twenty five dollars each, twenty yards of the value of twenty five dollars each, twenty yards

0445

BOX:
214
FOLDER:
2120
DESCRIPTION:
Dezell, William

DATE:
04/20/86

2120

0446

BOX:
214
FOLDER:
2120
DESCRIPTION:
Liken, David

DATE:
04/20/86

2120

Witnesses

John Shady

John Flanagan Officer

No. 151 2. Jolls.

Counsel,

Filed 20 day of April 1886

Pleads Not guilty (21)

THE PEOPLE

vs.

William Dezell

David Liken

Burglary in the Third Degree.

Sections 498, 506, 528 & 533

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,

District Attorney.

30.

A True Bill.

Sixty Days in Penitentiary each

Foreman

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

General Sessions Court.

The People
vs.
David Liken

REPORT OF THE NEW YORK SOCIETY FOR
THE PREVENTION OF CRUELTY
TO CHILDREN.

100 EAST 23D STREET.

New York, April 9th 1886

CASE NO. 22849 OFFICER Flanagan & Freeman.
DATE OF ARREST April 8th/86.
CHARGE Burglary.

AGE OF CHILD 15 years.
RELIGION Protestant.
FATHER Williams

MOTHER Dead.

RESIDENCE 62 Leroy Street.

AN INVESTIGATION BY THE SOCIETY SHOWS THAT David Liken lives with his brother Thomas at 62 Leroy Street. Has been working for the Mutual District Telegraph Company. Has never been arrested before. His brother has a comfortable home, and is a respectable man.

David has never been arrested before.

All which is respectfully submitted,

Wm. H. Kemp
President

To

Annual Sessions
Court.

The People
vs
David Likens

Burglary,
PENAL CODE, §

Report of The New York Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children.

ELBRIDGE T. GERRY,
President, &c.,
100 East 23d Street,
NEW YORK CITY.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

6660

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0450

General Sessions Court.

The People
vs.
William Degnell.

REPORT OF THE NEW YORK SOCIETY FOR THE PREVENTION OF CRUELTY TO CHILDREN.

100 EAST 23D STREET.

New York, April 9th 1886

CASE NO. 22813 OFFICER Flanagan.
DATE OF ARREST April 9th 1886.
CHARGE Burglary.

AGE OF CHILD 16 years.
RELIGION Catholic
FATHER James
MOTHER Laura
RESIDENCE 215 West 13th Street.

AN INVESTIGATION BY THE SOCIETY SHOWS THAT William Degnell had until his arrest. Has never been arrested before. Parents are respectable people and have a comfortable home.

All which is respectfully submitted,

William T. Jerry
Gen. Agt.

Annual Sessions
Court

The People
vs.
William Degelle.

Burglary.
PENAL CODE, §

Report of The New York Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children.

ELBRIDGE T. GERRY,
President, &c.,
100 East 23d Street,
NEW YORK CITY.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

1540

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

New York April 26/86

To Whom it may concern
I Have Known David
Lyklit for the past six
Years Always Known him
to be an Honest Upright
Boy

Margaret E. Higgins
No 175 Varick St
New York City

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

New York. April 26th 86

From

John McIntern
171 Varick St. Grocer.

I wish to state in regards to the character of. David Lykit that he has been a honest & upright Boy. as long as I know him.

Yours Respt—
John McIntern
171 Varick St.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0454

J. MEHRTENS,
DEALER IN CHOICE
GROCERIES,
Teas, Coffees, Spices, &c.,
No. 171 Varick Street,
COR. OF CHARLTON ST., NEW YORK.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

New York
April 26th 1864

This is to certify that Harriet Lightfoot who has been in my employ for sometime is an honest obliging girl—affords me great pleasure to commend her as such

M. Clarke
179 Varick St.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

New York January 2 1880.

The bearer David Silen
has been with us for several
weeks. He has been faithful
and leaves only because
he was obliged to have a
man with a man on hand
no use for a boy.

Respectfully
Acme Card Manufacturing Co
Geo Culver

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL



CHARLES P. ROGERS & CO.,
246 & 248 Sixth Ave., cor. 16th St.,
MANUFACTURERS OF
Fine Bedding, Spring Beds, Etc.
Brass and Iron Bedsteads,
Down Quilts, Cushions, Etc.

New York, ... 188

To Whom it may Concern

The bearer David Liken has been employed by us and we han found him to be honest and faithful in the discharge of his duties.

Chas. P. Rogers & Co

April 23/86

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0458

Form 24.

Mutual District Messenger Co.

(LIMITED.)

MESSENGER DEPARTMENT,

29 Murray ~~62 Liberty~~ Street, N. Y.

Oct 20. '85

To whom it may concern:

The bearer, David Liken

Messenger No. 1616 *has been in the employ of this Company since* April 8. '85

during which time we have always found him honest & willing

and presume he will prove the same to any future employer.

Resigned

L. W. Rider

Genl Mgr ~~Supt. Mess. Dept.~~

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0459

MUTUAL DISTRICT MESSENGER COMPANY,

[LIMITED.]

EXECUTIVE OFFICES: { 29 MURRAY STREET, AND 33 WARREN STREET, } CORNER OF CHURCH ST.

THOMAS M. FOOTE, President.

CLARK B. HOTCHKISS, Vice-Pres't. and Treasurer.

W. W. RIDER, Sec'y and Gen'l Manager

New York, Dec 23 1886

To whom it may concern

Mr H W Blumer. brother in law of David Liken formerly a messenger in this Comp'y's service, has stated to me that the boy, under influences of corrupt companions has confessed to serious offense agst the law and now stands under probable sentence to a long term in a reformatory institution. He also states that while with him he was kept strictly and with such restrictions would not probably commit any further misdemeanors. As the boy, while in our service, some six months, then living with Mr Blumer, has a clean record, and upon the representations of the said Mr Blumer that he will if the Court so pleases assume charge of the boy, this company will again employ him as a messenger

W W Rider
Gen'l Mgr &

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

Court of General Sessions of the Peace
in and for the City and County of New York.

The People
vs
William Dezell.

City and County of New York
Joseph W. O'Brien
being duly sworn deposes and says that
he resides at Number 54 Charlton
Street in said City and by occupation a
Plumber.

Deponent further says that he
has known Dezell the defendant since
Childhood and always knew him to
bear an excellent character for honesty
and integrity, and never knew him
him to be arrested before.

Sworn to before me this
29 day of April 1888
William J. Tynan
Notary Public.
N.Y. Co.

Joseph W O'Brien

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

Court of General Sessions of the Peace
in and for the City and County of New York.

The Peo &c
vs.
William Dezelle

City & County of New York ss:

Samuel Harvey being
duly sworn deposes and says that he re-
sides at Number 53 York Street in the
City of Brooklyn and that he is a
Grocer.

Deponent further says that he
has known Dezell the defendant here-
in for about 14 years last past
and that he always knew him to bear
an excellent character for honesty
integrity and never knew him to be
arrested before.

Samuel Harvey

Sworn to before me this
29 day of April 1886.
William J. Young
Notary Public
N. Y. County.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

Court of General Sessions of the Peace in
and for the City and County of New York.

The People etc.
vs.
William Dezell.

City & County of New York, ss:

John Grace, being
duly sworn deposes and says. That he
resides at Number 58 West Houston
Street. by occupation a Tailor.

Deponent further says that he
has known the Defendant (Dezell)
for Twelve years last past. and always
knew him to bear an excellent
character for honesty and integrity
and never knew him to be arrested befor

Sworn to before me this
29. day of April, 1886
[illegible]
Notary Public
N. Y. Co.

John Grace

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

Court of General Sessions of the peace in
and for the City and County of New York

The People
vs
William Degell

City and County of New York ss
George O Brien
Number 58 West Houston Street being
duly sworn deposes and says that he
is a dry goods porter

Deponent further says that
he has known the defendant (Degell) for
~~the~~ Ten years last past, and always knew
him to be an honest, industrious young
man, and never knew him to be arrested
before

George O Brien

Sworn to before me
this 27 day of April 1886

Notary Public
N.Y. County

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

Court of General Sessions of the peace
in and for the City and County of New York.

The people
vs
William Degell

City and County of New York ss.

John Enright of Number 102 Mott Street being duly sworn says. that he is a Dry goods porter.

Deponent further says that he has known the defendant (Degell) for ten years last past. and always known him to be an honest. industrious young man. and never known him to be arrested before

Sworn to before me
this 9th day of April 1886

John Enright

Notary Public
N.Y. County

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

Court of General Sessions of the Peace
in and for the City and County of New York.

The People etc
vs.
William Degell.

City & County of New York. William P. Murphy of Number 20 North Moore Street being duly sworn, deposes and says, that he is a shipping clerk.

Deponent further says that he has known the defendant (Degell) for Ten years last past, and always knew him to be an honest, industrious young man, and never knew him to be arrested before.

Sworn to before me this
29 day of April 1886
Edmund E. Price,
Notary Public
N. Y. County

William P. Murphy

General Sessions

People &c

agst.

William Sezell

affidavits as
to Character

Edmund E Price
Asst. Counsel
90 Center Str
N Y C

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0956

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0467

Police Court—2 District.

City and County of New York, } ss.:

of No. 99 Little Second Street, aged 46 years,

occupation Sugar-manufacturer being duly sworn

deposes and says, that the premises No 99 Little Second Street,

in the City and County aforesaid, the said being a four story brick building

the second floor

and which was occupied by deponent as a Sugar-manufactory

and in which there was at the time no human being, by name

were BURGLARIOUSLY entered by means of forcibly raising the door

of the hallway in the front portion of said second

floor, after having climbed up an iron guard or

fender which is attached against the said building on

the first or ground floor, and passing through the second

on the 6th day of April 1886 in the night time, and the

following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz:

forty four boxes containing fifty pounds each

of the value of One hundred dollars

the property of Deponent

and deponent further says, that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid

BURGLARY was committed and the aforesaid property taken, stolen, and carried away by

William De Fell (now here) and Daniel Libby

who has been arrested

for the reasons following, to wit: that deponent is informed by Joseph

Otto, who is in deponents employ, that about the hour

of 6 P.M. on the above date, he observed said Libby

step above described sugar manufactory; that about the

hour of 7 A.M. on the following morning, he found that

the door had been forcibly and unlawfully entered as above

described and on further examining of the premises

discovered that the above described property had been

feloniously taken and carried away.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0468

Police Court—2 District.

City and County of New York, } ss.:

John Lindy

of No. 99 Little Street, aged 46 years,

occupation Sugar-manufacturer being duly sworn

deposes and says, that the premises No 99 Little Street, ~~Street~~,

in the City and County aforesaid, the said being a four story brick building

the second floor

~~and~~ which was occupied by deponent as a Sugar-manufacturing

and in which there was at the time ~~a~~ no human being, ~~by name~~

were BURGLARIOUSLY entered by means of forcibly prying the door of the hallway in the front portion of said second floor, after having climbed up an iron guards or fender which is attached against a place forming on the first or ground floor, and prying through the same so made on the 6th day of April 1886 in the night time, and the following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz:

Forty four boxes containing fifty pounds each

of the value of One hundred dollars

the property of Defendant

and deponent further says, that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid BURGLARY was committed and the aforesaid property taken, stolen, and carried away by

William De Felt (now here) and Daniel Likens

who has also been arrested

for the reasons following, to wit: that defendant is informed by Joseph De Felt, who is in defendants employ, that about the hour of 6 P.M. on the above date, he closed and securely fastened the above described iron fender; that, about the hour of 7 A.M. on the following morning, he found that the fastening had been surreptitiously entered by above described and on further examining of the premises discovered that the above described property had been feloniously taken and carried away.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Deponent further says that the said William DeZell defendant, who formerly worked for deponent, Admitted and Confessed to him in the presence of Edward Pierce Detective Officer of the 4th Precinct Police, that at the above mentioned time in Company with the said David Sutton who has since been arrested he went to the above described premises that they climbed up the iron guard or fender attached to the premises on the first floor that they lifted the hatch door on the second floor and through the opening so made entered the said building that they placed the above described property in two sacks, twenty two boxes in each sack and carried the same to the store of Norma Norden in the basement of No 71 King Street, where they sold the same to the said Norma Norden for the sum of Fifteen Dollars.

Deponent further says that he has been informed by Edward Pierce Detective Officer of the 4th Precinct Police that he examined the premises of the said Norma Norden at No 71 King Street and there found concealed, One bag of the property described formerly under the hatch of said premises and the other bag empty concealed in a room occupied by the said Norma Norden.

William Black

Sworn to before me
this 4 day of April 1886

John Judge

Police Justice.

District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.

Burglary ——— Degree.

Dated ——— 188

Magistrate.

Officer.

Clerk.

Witnesses:

Committed in default of $ ——— Bail.

Bailed by

No. ——— Street.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

POLICE COURT, 4 DISTRICT.

of No. 99 Cedar Street, aged 46 years,

occupation Liquor - Wholesale dealer being duly sworn deposes and says,

that ~~on the~~ day of 188

~~at the City of New York, in the County of New York,~~ David Nilsen (Complainant)

is the person who is charged in the annexed Complaint with having feloniously entered the premises No 99 Cedar Street in Company with William De Gelt at the time and in the manner therein described - as deponent has reason to believe from the fact that he has been so informed by the said William De Gelt and that the said David Nilsen has admitted and confessed to deponent in the presence of Officer John Flanagan that he committed in Company with the said William De Gelt therein mentioned, the burglary & larceny at the time and in the manner therein described

John Flanagan

Sworn to before me this 4 day of Dec 188

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Edward Lucas

aged 44 years, occupation Fireman of No. 9 Second Alley Street, being duly sworn deposes and says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of John Shady and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponents' own knowledge.

Sworn to before me this 9 *day of* April 188 4 Edward Lucas

Wm Brooks

Police Justice.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Joseph Scott

aged 19 years, occupation Cigar-maker of No. 199 Sixth Avenue ~~Street~~, being duly sworn deposes and says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of John Shady and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponents' own knowledge.

Sworn to before me this 9 *day of* April 188 4 Josph Scott

Wm Brooks

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0472

Sec. 198—200.

6 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK } ss

William De Gell being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question What is your name?

Answer William De Gell

Question How old are you?

Answer Fifteen years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York City. No 71 King Street

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. No 215 West 18th St. About three months

Question What is your business or profession?

Answer Tobacco Stripper

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I have nothing to say

Willie Degell

Taken before me this day of 188

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0473

Sec. 198—200.

2 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss

David Liken being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer David Liken

Question. How old are you?

Answer Fifteen years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York City

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. No 62 Henry Street. Since last Tuesday April 6/76

Question What is your business or profession?

Answer Telegraph - Messenger

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I have nothing to say

D. Liken

Taken before me this 11 *day of* April 1876

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0474

BAILED

No. 1, by Patrick Quinn Street.
Residence 62 June

No. 2, by ..... Street.
Residence .....

No. 3, by ..... Street.
Residence .....

No. 4, by ..... Street.
Residence .....

Police Court— 4 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF
Officer Reed
vs.
1 William DeZell
2 David Lilien
3 .....
4 .....

Offence Burglary

Dated April 11 1886

Witnesses Officer Reed

No. 8 Spring Street.

Magistrate.

Officer.

Precinct.

No. Spring Street.

No. Broome Street.

$ 500 to answer

No 1. Bail

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named William DeZell guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated April 11 1886 Wm. Wood Police Justice.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named David Lilien guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated April 11 1886 Wm. Wood Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named William DeZell to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated April 11th 1886 Wm. Wood Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

William Dargle
and David Sloan

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

William Dargle and David Sloan —

of the CRIME OF BURGLARY IN THE THIRD DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said William Dargle and David Sloan, each —

late of the Ninth — Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York, aforesaid, on the ninth — day of April, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-nine, with force and arms, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, a certain building there situate, to wit: the basement of one

John Shadey —

feloniously and burglariously did break into and enter, with intent to commit some crime therein, to wit: with intent, the goods, chattels and personal property of the said

John Shadey —

in the said basement then and there being, then and there feloniously and burglariously to steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

SECOND COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said William Dargle and David Sidem

of the CRIME OF Grand LARCENY in the second degree, committed as follows:

The said William Dargle and David Sidem, each —

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, in the night time of the said day, with force and arms,

a portmanteau of the value of three dollars each

and

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one [illegible]

in the portmanteau of the said [illegible]

there situate, then and there being found, from the portmanteau aforesaid, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

[illegible]

[illegible]

0477

BOX:
214
FOLDER:
2120
DESCRIPTION:
Donnelly, Peter

DATE:
04/16/86



POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Witnesses:

Minnie Maas
Michael Crowley - officer

Dft Leaves Dem.
a Plea in
S.P. for Friday

No. 119

Counsel,

Filed 16 day of April 1886

Pleads Not guilty

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Peter Donnelly

Burg. Assault in 2d
Since 2d Degr —
[Section 496, Sub 4, Penal Code].

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,

District Attorney.

A True Bill.

J. N. Brown
April 20/86.

Foreman

Pleads Guilty 2d deg
10 yrs S.P.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0479

Police Court— 4 District.

City and County of New York, } ss.:

Minnie Mass

of No. 627 W 52nd Street, aged 16 years,

occupation Bender being duly sworn

deposes and says, that the premises No. 627 W 52 Street, 22 Ward

in the City and County aforesaid the said being a dwelling house

and which was occupied by deponent as a dwelling

and in which there was at the time a human being, by name Minnie Mass

were BURGLARIOUSLY entered by means of forcibly opening a rear window of the second floor of said premises by thrusting the hand inside through a broken pane of glass and removing the fastenings on the 5th day of April 188[illegible] in the night time, and the following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz:

Five Cloaks two pairs of Trousers Ladies Childrens Clothes all together of the value of ten dollars

the property of deponent and her father

and deponent further says, that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid BURGLARY was committed and the aforesaid property taken, stolen and carried away by

Peter Donnelly (worker)

for the reasons following, to wit: that at about the hour of 3.30 AM on said date deponent has awakened and found said Donnelly in her room that said Donnelly choked her to prevent an outcry that deponent screamed and that said Donnelly jumped through a window and escaped that deponent then missed said property

Minnie Mass

Sworn to before me this 6th day of April 1886

[illegible]

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0480

Sec. 198—200.

4th District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.:

Peter Donnelly being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is h right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h ; that the statement is designed to enable h if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiver cannot be used against h on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Peter Donnelly

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 27 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Ireland

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 516 West 58th St. 6 mos

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Mechanic

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty

Peter x Donnelly
mark

Taken before me this ____ *day of* June *188*9

Edward Ahearn *Police Justice.*

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0481

BAILED,

No. 1, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 2, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 3, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 4, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

Police Court— 4 —— District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Thomas Hayes
No. 223 West A 52nd
Police Station

1 Peter Donnelly
2 _____
3 _____
4 _____

Offence Burglary

Dated April 6 188 6

Paul Gerhardt Magistrate

Beasley Officer.

22 Precinct.

Witnesses _____

No. _____ Street.

No. _____ Street,

No. _____ Street,

$ 1000 to answer G.J.

Ed Ellis 8th Ave Saloon

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Peter Donnelly

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and be be admitted to bail in the sum of One *Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated April 6 188 6 *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named _____

to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated _____ 188 _____ *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____

_____ *guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.*

Dated _____ 188 _____ *Police Justice.*

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0482

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Peter Donnelly

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Peter Donnelly

of the CRIME OF Burglary in the first degree,

committed as follows:

The said Peter Donnelly

late of the 22nd Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the fifth day of April, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-nine, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, broke the house of Thomas Hyde, in the night time of the same day, the said house of one William Haas, there situate, feloniously and burglariously did break into and enter, there being then and there some human being, to wit: one William Haas, within the said dwelling house, with intent to commit some crime therein, to wit: with intent the goods, chattels and personal property of the said William Haas, in the said dwelling house then and there being, then and there feloniously and burglariously

to steal, take and carry away and two dollars of the value of two dollars each, two pairs of trousers of the value of two dollars each pair, and twelve dresses of the value of fifty cents each, of the goods, chattels and personal property of the said William Moore, in the said dwelling house then and there being found, in the dwelling house aforesaid then and there feloniously and burglariously did steal, take and carry away:

And the said Peter Donnelly: having not as aforesaid feloniously and burglariously broken into and entered the said dwelling house, and whilst engaged, in the night time as aforesaid, in committing the said crime and larceny in manner and form aforesaid, in the said dwelling house, in and upon the said Minnie Moore, not as aforesaid then and there being in the said dwelling house, feloniously did make an assault, and her the said Minnie Moore did then and there feloniously strike, wound, bruise and otherwise ill-treat: against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against

the peace of the People of the State
of New Mexico, and their prosecuting
Rudolph S. Martinez,
District Attorney;

0485

BOX:
214
FOLDER:
2120
DESCRIPTION:
Dreyer, Benjamin

DATE:
04/03/86

2120

Witnesses:

Leah Goldberg
Anna Cohen
Off Leary

333

Counsel, [illegible] W Meyer

Filed 3 day of April 1886

Pleads Not Guilty 6

THE PEOPLE

Ltd. 4 grand pl. vs.

Benjamin Dreyer

Dick.

Grand Larceny 2 ~~3~~ degree
[Sections 528, 53 1 ~~2~~ Penal Code].

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,

Dr Apr 13/86 *District Attorney.*
Mcada DL

A True Bill.

Recommend.
Chas B. Roberts

Foreman.

1

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

9860

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

District Police Court. **Affidavit—Larceny.**

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Anna Cohen

of No. 39 East Broadway Street, 57 Years old Housekeeper

being duly sworn, deposes and says, that on the 5 day of January 188 9

at the City of New York,

in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession

of deponent Ida Ray Anna

the following property, viz :

A Gold Bracelet

of the Value of Twenty Seven

Dollars

the property of Deponent

and that this deponent

has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken,

stolen, and carried away by Benyamin Meyer Chow

Deponent From the fact that the defendant

was in deponents employment as dress

ing as a teacher, and had access to

where the Join was kept. That the

Join was afterwards found in the possession

of Leah Goldberg who informs de-

ponent that she bought for fifty Cents

the ticket representing said property from

the defendant who told her that he got

the Join from Europe. That said Leah

further informs deponent that she paid to

Redeem the Join the Sum of six dollars and fifty Cents

And deponent believes the same to be true. Anna Cohen

mark

Sworn before me this 5 day of January 188 9

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0488

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Leah Goldberg

aged 81 years, occupation House Keeper of No.

223 East Broadway Street, being duly sworn deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Anna Cohen

and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponents' own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 31 day of March 188 8

her
Leah X Goldberg
mark

J. H. Duffy
Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0489

Sec. 198—200.

B District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.:

Benjamin Meyer being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is h right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h ; that the statement is designed to enable h if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiver cannot be used against h on the trial.

Question What is your name?

Answer Benjamin Meyer

Question How old are you?

Answer

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

Question What is your business or profession?

Answer

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer.

The defendant refuses to answer any questions —

Taken before me this day of

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0490

BAILED,

No. 1, by ..... Residence ..... Street.

No. 2, by ..... Residence ..... Street.

No. 3, by ..... Residence ..... Street

No. 4, by ..... Residence ..... Street.

Police Court— 3 District. 424

THE PEOPLE, &c.
ON THE COMPLAINT OF
Anna Offen
vs.
Johann Braun
Johann Meyer

1 Johann Meyer
2
3
4

Off'ence Grand Larceny

Dated March 31 188 6

Anna Offen Complainant.

Magistrate.

Officer.

Precinct.

Witnesses Henry Gruber
No. 203 E 88th Street.

No. Street.

No. Street.

$ 1000 to answer.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Johann Meyer guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of One Hundred Dollars and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated March 31 188 6 ..... *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named ..... to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated ..... 188 . ..... *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named ..... guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated ..... 188 . ..... *Police Justice.*

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0491

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

Benjamin Dreyer

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Benjamin Dreyer

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE second DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said Benjamin Dreyer,

late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid on the fifth day of January, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-six —, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

one breast-pin of the value of twenty seven dollars.

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

Anna Rehm,

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

Randolph B. Martine
District Attorney

0492

BOX:
214
FOLDER:
2120
DESCRIPTION:
Duffy, Bernard

DATE:
04/21/86

2120

Witnesses:

Bridget Brady
802 Second Ave
Bail $1000

Katie Carroll 730 E. 38 St.

John H. Kershaw 20 Nassau St.

The complainant having this day married the Prisoner, and as it may be doubtful whether Prisoner could be convicted on the Evidence - I ask that the prisoner be discharged on his own recognizance April 29th 1886

G. L. B.
A. D. A.

No. 455 Doc. 1

[illegible] Byrne & [illegible]

Counsel,

Filed, 21 day of April 1886

Pleads Guilty (26)

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Bernard Duffy

RAPE.
(Sections 278 and 218, Penal Code.)

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,
District Attorney.

Dr Apl 29/86
Discharged by this Court on his own recog.

A True Bill.

Deft. married to complainant by Judge Gildersleeve.

J. H. Brown
Foreman

J. Sup

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

6860

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Memorandum -

Re Plaintiff's 2nd Bridget Brady vs Bernard Duffy

It appears from the statements of the complaining witness that she was in the house of Defendant at 2W136 E 38 St. employer on the evening of June 29 or 30 1865; that her employer John H. Henshaw, Lawyer, at No 20 Nassau St and his family were absent in the country and that she was at said house visited by Bernard Duffy who had been in the habit of calling on her for some time; that she was violently assaulted and the crime of rape committed on her person by said Duffy; that he promised when he had completely accomplished his purpose that "if anything came of it he would marry her." that in consequence of that promise she was persuaded not to make immediate complaint; that she has become pregnant in consequence of the act committed by said Duffy. That she never before or afterwards had sexual intercourse with Duffy or any one else; that Duffy continued to visit her until the fold time of her confinement and has since refused to see her or to support or to marry her. That Duffy is employed by Borden's Condensed Milk company at No 2278229 East Forty-fourth St and in Brooklyn

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

that he is apparently making preparations to leave the city if proceedings are begun against him and that he is absent much the time either in Brooklyn or absconding from his place of business; and the complaining witness fears that he will escape justice if the case is carried before a police court and the warrant issued for service to an Officer attached to one of the courts, as Duffy is and & he familiarly acquainted with police officers and others who might obtain early information if the application issuing a warrant.

She refers to John H. Henshaw Esq
20 Vanderbilt
and Frank S Beardsley.
for testimony as to her good character reputation and good character

Respectfully Submitted
New York July 19. 1886
James P Davenport
21 Park Row
Attorney for complaining witness

To the Hon
Randolph B. Martine
Dist Atty

Replevin & Ex Rel
Bridget Brady
vs
Bernard Duffy

Memorandum

James P Dunnigan
2, Park Row

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

James P. Dawes, Esq.
2 Park Row

Memorandum of additional evidence in re

Duffy

Katie Carroll - servant - No 130 East 38th St remembers that Bridget Duffy was sitting in the basement of No 130 on a Monday evening about latter part of June. Bridget or "Libbie" as she was called ~~was~~ spoke of someone passing, and said something about Duffy. Katie went up stairs. Afterward Libbie said "Is that Duffy?" and something similar and Libbie and Katie went up the steps from the basement to the street, ~~and Libbie~~ ~~went~~ ~~back~~ she met Jane as Duffy was coming ~~to~~ ~~her~~ ~~house~~ ~~and~~ ~~Katie~~ ~~saw~~ ~~Duffy~~ ~~sitting~~ sitting in the basement and at No 136 (where Bridget Duffy lived). He was in his shirt sleeves & ~~was~~ ~~and~~ ~~that~~ ~~that~~ is same evening. She remembers hearing of the time of the incidents of the ~~case~~ case and hear as stated below. The witness is unwilling and does not wish to appear as a witness but would testify on trial. I have conversed with her and her statements ~~were~~ substantially as above.

— —

Bridget Brady the complainant says that in the ~~early evening~~ afternoon of Monday June 29 she was visiting Katie Carroll at No 130 East 38th St She was in the basement at about 6:30 p/m

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

James P. Dawes for the Pros. Atty

Memorandum of additional evidence in re

Duffy

Katie Carroll - servant - No 130 East 38th St remembers that Bridget Duffy was sitting in the basement of No 130 on a Monday evening about latter part of June. Bridget or "Libbie" as she was called ~~was~~ spoke of someone passing, and said something about Duffy. Katie went up stairs. Afterward Libbie said "Is that Duffy?" and something similar and Libbie and Katie went up the steps from the basement to the street, Libbie ~~looked~~ saw the man Katie saw Duffy ~~coming~~ sitting in the basement area at No 136 (where Bridget Duffy lived). He was in his shirt sleeves. Is not sure that that is same evening. She remembers hearing of the incident of the ~~fire~~ case and hear as stated below. The witness is unwilling and does not wish to appear as a witness but would testify on trial. I have conversed with her and her statements ~~were~~ substantially as above.

— —

Bridget Brady the complainant says that in the ~~early evening~~ afternoon of Monday June 29 she was visiting Katie Carroll at No 130 East 38th St She was in the basement at about 6:30 p/m.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

she saw a man turn when she thought she might be Duffy though the time was much earlier than his usual time. He went towards a stable. Afterwards she saw him again and she and Katie Carroll went out to look. Bridget says that it was Duffy and ~~he thought that~~ it was that Katie saw him also. Bridget said "There is Duffy." & much so and let him in". She went to No 136 (Next door off) and at Duffys it was about 9 o'clock (before the assault) Katie came to see if Bridget was going to take dinner with her. Thinks that Bridget saw Duffy then. Evening could be remembered by Katie because on that evening Bridget, on Duffys complaining of thirst, took a pail belonging to Lavitts into the house and called a boy who was passing and gave him 25 cents to get some beer. The boy did not return with the beer the pail or the 25 cents. This incident she had often talked over with Katie.

John H. Henshaw - lawyer - at No 20 Vernon-st, who gives Bridget a good reputation says that he was not in the house at the time as workmen were employed there and he

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

slept downstairs. ~~The~~ Workmen were at the home who might have had pails, ~~of~~ ~~the story~~. "He knew of ~~the~~ "Lubbie's" re[illegible] coming calls from a man who she said worked at Borden's (where Dunphy is employed.)

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

State of New York }
City and County of New York } s.s.

Bridget Brady, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is 26 years old and unmarried and resides at 803 Second-ave in the City of New York; that on or about the first day of July 1885 at No 136 East 38th st in the said city, she was violently assaulted by one Bernard Duffy who against the will of deponent, and having forcibly overcome the deponents resistance, which was exerted to the extent of her ability, had sexual intercourse with the deponent.

The deponent therefore charges that the said Bernard Duffy did commit the crime of rape in the form of this deponent

Sworn to before me
this 15th day of February 1886

Augustus Strangeville
N.P.C.

her
Bridget + Brady
mark

Charge against

Bernard Duffy

Affidavits of

Bridget Brady.

James P. Dawson
atty for
2 Park Row

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

2050

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

----------X

THE PEOPLE

Agst.

D U F F Y

----------X

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT .

Corroborative evidence.

Katie Carroll will testify as follows :

She is a servant at No.I30 East 38th Street. Remembers that the complainant was sitting with her in the basement of No.I30 on a Monday evening in the latter part of June;that they were talking about Duffy;that after they had been sitting there some time both went up the steps from the basement to the street. That she,Katie saw Duffy sitting in the basement area at No.I36,where the complainant lived; that he was in his shirt sleeves. That she can fix the day by the can and beer incident,of which the complainant told her subsequently. The testimony of this witness thus will show that the defendant was calling upon the complainant about the time when it is alleged the offense was committed.

The complainant will testify that, in the early evening of Monday June 29th,she was visiting Katie at I30 East 38th Street; that they were in the basement at 6:30 P.M.;that she saw a man pass whom she thought might be Duffy; that she saw him again, and then she and Katie went out from I30 to look;that she saw it was Duffy,and

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

went to let him in at No. I36. That about nine o'clock that evening, and before the assault, Katie came to I36 to see if Bridget was going to take dinner with her. That on this evening she sent a boy with a can to get some beer for Duffy, who had complained of thirst. The boy did not come back, but ran away with the money. This incident she related to Katie.

John H. Henshaw, lawyer, No. 20 Nassau Street, was the complainant's employer; was not at home at the time the offense was committed. He knows that complainant received calls from a man, who she said worked at Borden's. - Duffy was employed at Borden's.

The above facts are given as corroborative evidence showing the defendant's opportunity to commit the offense.

It can be established that the complainant is pregnant..

There is thus some corroborative evidence of the kind required by the Statute, in seduction cases.

I am told that there is another servant in the house where the complainant was employed - This servant will testify as to the frequency of defendants calls upon Complainant.

I think that a case is made against the defendant, but that the chances of conviction for rape are close.

N.Y. Mar 13/86

Respectfully

W.M. Davis,

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF
NEW YORK

against

Impey.

Supplementary
Report No 2.

To

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,

District Attorney,

No. 32 CHAMBERS STREET,

NEW YORK CITY.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

THE PEOPLE &c.

Agst.

BERNARD DUFFY

QUESTION:- Is there a case of Rape against the de - fendant ?

Bridget Brady, the complainant, is a servant 26 years of age, unmarried and residing at 803 Second Avenue, this City.

She is now pregnant, and in the ordinary c-ourse of nature will be delivered of a child in about two months. Her pregnancy was caused by the defendant under the following circumstances :

In the latter part of June or in the early part of July I885, the complainant was a servant in the employ of John H. Henshaw, at No. I36 East 38th Street, this City. At this time she was in sole charge of her employer's house - he being absent with his family in the country. At this time defendant was her steady company, and had been such for about three years prior thereto. He had several times told her that if he married at all, he would marry her.

On or about the first day of July I885, Duffy called upon the complainant, in the evening, at 38th Street. They had been sitting together in the front basement, he upon one chair and she upon another, ~~for some time~~, when he

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

made certain advances to her, which surprised her very much, as he had never gone so far before during her acquaint-ance with him. He placed his hand around her waist and drew her toward him. She immediately resisted and attempted to rise from the chair; he held her fast, and in her attempt to free herself from his grasp, she fell upon the floor, with him near her. Being, as she claims, and there is no reason to doubt her claim, a proper and virtuous woman, she became so frightened at his unusual conduct that she immediately lost consciousness; while in this condition he violated her person, and as a result of this, her present condition of pregnancy followed.

When she regained her consciousness he was sitting upon a chair - she bagan to cry, and declared that she would kill herself; he took her upon his lap, and tried to pacify her, telling her at the time that if anything came of it he would marry her. In consequence of this promise she was persuaded not to make any complaint against him.

She told no person of her condition until about the first of February, 1886, when she communicated all the facts to her sister.

The defendant has failed to keep his promise to marry her, and, therefore, she now makes this complaint, and asks that the case be presented to the Grand Jury.

I am told that the good character of the complainant can be established through her employer, Mr. Henshaw, who is a lawyer, having an office at No. 20 Nassau Street, in this City.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

There are two weak points in this case :- 1st. The complainant's omission to make an outcry at the time of the assault; and 2nd. The fact that she made no complaint of the assault to any person until about seven months after the deed had been committed.

As to the first point, she says that she made no outcry because, before she lost consciousness, she did not appreciate what the defendant intended to do, and that it would have been useless inasmuch as none of the houses in the neighborhood ~~were~~ was inhabited, their occupants being away in the country.

The authorities are quite explicit upon the effect of failing to make a reasonably speedy complaint after such an assault has been committed. In Hale's Pleas of the Crown, Vol. I, at page 632, we find this language : " Long " delay of prosecution in such a case of rape always carries " a presumption of malicious prosecution."

In the case of Higgins vs. The People, decided September 29th, 1874, 58 N.Y., page 379, the Court say : " Any " considerable delay on the part of a prosecutrix to make " complaint of the outrage constituting the crime of rape, " is a circumstance of more or less weight, depending upon " the other surrounding circumstances. There may be many " reasons why failure to make immediate or instant outcry " should not discredit the witness. A want of suitable " opportunity, or fear may sometimes excuse or justify a " delay. There can be no iron rule on the subject. The

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

" law expects and requires that it should be prompt, but
" there can be no particular time specified. The rule is
" founded upon the laws of human nature, which induce a fe-
" male thus outraged to complain at the first opportunity.
" Such is the natural impulse of an honest female; but, if
" instead of doing this she conceal the injury for any con-
" siderable time, it naturally excites suspicion of fraud,
" and tends to discredit her."

In the case of The People vs. Jackson, decided in May 1857, Kings County General Term Supreme Court, 3 Parker, 397, the Court say : " It is, therefore, deemed essential
" that the charge should be supported by attending circum-
" srances or considerations such as that the witness is of
" good fame; that she presently disclosed the offence, and
" made exertions for the detection and prosecution of the
" offender; that she exhibited marks and signs of the in-
"jury, and that the alleged outrage was perpetrated in a pri-
" vate or secluded place. It is absolutely necessary to
" the constitution of the offense that the outraged female
" should have resisted to the extent of her power until the
" crime was consummated, unless such resistance was preven-
" ted by threats and intimidation."

The complainant tells her story in a straightforward manner which induces the belief that she is telling the truth.

It is a question whether the defendant's promise to marry complainant would be sufficient to excuse her delay in making the complaint. If the petit jury can be made to believe

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

her story notwithstanding this delay, I think conviction will follow. The defendant is in our jurisdiction.

New York, February 26th 1886.

Respectfully
Vernon M Davis

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

05 12

Supplemental

Corroboration:

The facts as presented in this case do not disclose any corroborative evidence.
The fact that the complainant is in the family way is not sufficient. (Boyce vs People, 55 N.Y. 645.)
There should be other evidence showing that defendant had opportunity to commit the act. (People vs Kenyon) 5 Park. 254.)

Mar. 1/86

Respectfully,
Vernon M. Davis.

No. 155

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF
NEW YORK

against

Bernard Duffy.

Report & Supplement,

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,

District Attorney,

No. 32 CHAMBERS STREET,

NEW YORK CITY.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0514

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

[redacted]

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

[redacted]

of the CRIME OF RAPE, committed as follows:

The said [redacted]

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the [redacted] day of [redacted], in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-[redacted], at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and upon one [redacted] then and there being, willfully and feloniously did make an assault, and her the said [redacted], then and there, by force and with violence to her the said [redacted], against her will and without her consent, did willfully and feloniously ravish and carnally know, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT:

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

[redacted]

of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said [redacted]

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit, on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and upon her the said [redacted], willfully and feloniously did make an assault, with intent her the said [redacted] against her will, and without her consent, by force and violence, to then and there willfully and feloniously ravish and carnally know, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,

District Attorney.

05 15

BOX:
214
FOLDER:
2120
DESCRIPTION:
Duffy, Michael

DATE:
04/13/86

2120

Witnesses:

Chas. B. Waite

Septbr 4th 1890

~~For the reasons stated in the~~
Motion papers herein and because
~~the People claim that the District~~
~~Attorney pledged to the Defendant~~
~~herein immunity from trial~~
upon this charge I consent
that this Indictment be
dismissed J. R. Fellows
District Attorney

Bailed in $15.000
July 18th 1889 by
~~Bail~~
~~Robert Brand~~
~~350 E 72 St~~
Thomas Hagan
337 East 123d St
George A. Haggerty
801 - Third Ave
Thomas Haggerty
203 East 10th St

No. 80

Counsel,

Filed, 13 day of April 1886.

Pleads, Not guilty. May 1

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Michael Duffy

BRIBERY.

[Section 72 Penal Code, and Section 58 Consolidation Act of 1882.]

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,
District Attorney.

A True Bill.

Sept. 4/90
For the reasons stated in the
Motion papers herein and
the Consent on
Duffy's part of the Dist
Attorney endorsed hereon
an order be entered dismissing
this Indictment & discha
the defendant

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

050915

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Fol.1

At a regular Term of the Court of General Sessions for the County of New York, held at Part I said Court, at the Court Room, City Hall, New York City, this 8th day of September, 1890.

Present,

Honorable Frederick Smythe,

Recorder.

The People of the State of New York

against

Michael Duffy

A motion having heretofore been made for an order dismissing the indictment found against the Defendant on the 13th day of April, 1836, and for a discharge of the bail and an exoneration of the sureties, and said motion coming on to be heard before the Honorable Frederick Smythe, Recorder for the City and County of New York, on the 4th day of September, 1890.

2

AND after reading and filing the affidavit of Michael Duffy, verified on the 24thd ay of June, 1890, and the notice of motion dated June 25th, 1890, the additional affidavit of Michael Duffy, verified August 13th, 1890, the affidavit of Henry Seldner, verified August 14th, 1890,

AND after hearing Henry Seldner, attorney for Defendant, on behalf of the dismissal of said indictment, the

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

District Attorney consenting thereto and appending said consent to the motion papers,

NOW on motion of Henry Seldner IT IS ORDERED that the indictment heretofore found against the Defendant, by the Grand Jury of the County of New York, on the 13th day of April, 1886, on a charge of receiving and accepting a bribe while acting as one of the Aldermen of the City and County of New York, be, and the same hereby is, dismissed, vacated and set aside.

AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the bond given by the Defendant, in the matter of said indictment, be and the same hereby is, discharged, and the sureties George A. Haggerty, Thomas Hagan and Thomas Maguire, be, and they hereby are, exonerated from all liabilities on such bail bond or undertaken given.

SIR:

Please take notice that a

of which the within is a copy, was, on the

day , 188 duly entered

herein, and filed in the Office of the Clerk of

Dated New York,

Yours, &c.,

HENRY SELDNER,

Attorney for

To

M

Attorney for

GENERAL SESSIONS **Court.**

The People of the State of New York

AGAINST

Michael Duffy

Original

ORDER

HENRY SELDNER,

Attorney for Defts.,

150 ~~45 & 147~~ NASSAU ST.,

New York City.

Due and timely service hereby admitted this day of

188 of a copy of the within

---

agst.

City and County of New York, ss. :

being duly sworn, says: That he is

years of age, and resides in the City of New York; that on the day of

, 188 , at in the City of New York, he served

the within upon

who the attorney for the herein, by delivering a true copy

of the same to

at M. on that day.

Sworn to before me, this day of 188

Notary Pubiic,

N. Y. Co.

S. BENEDICKS & SON, STATIONERS, 490 BROADWAY, N. Y.

61 50

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

City & County of New York, ss.

Randolph B. Martine being duly sworn deposes and says as follows: I am the District Attorney of the County of New York. On information and belief I accuse Michael Duffy of the crime of bribery, in that he agreed to receive and did receive a bribe upon an understanding that his vote as a member of the Common Council of this city should be influenced, & committed as follows:

In the month of December, 1884, & for a long time prior thereto the said Michael Duffy was a member of the Board of Aldermen in the City of New York, and, as such, a member of the Common Council in said City.

In said month, and for a long time prior thereto there was pending before said Board of Aldermen a petition or application by a corporation known as the 34th Street Railroad Company to build & construct & operate a railroad on certain streets and avenues in New York City.

In or about the said month of December, 1884, the said Michael Duffy, being then and there a person executing the function of a public office, to wit, as aforesaid, a member of the Board of Aldermen, and, as such, a member of the Common Council of said City, did then ask,

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

receive and agree to receive a bribe and sum of money amounting to several thousand dollars upon the agreement and understanding that his vote, action and decision as a member of said Board of Aldermen should be influenced by said bribe and sum of money in the matter of the petition and application of said 34th St. Crosstown Railroad Company as hereinabove set forth.

The grounds of my information and belief as to all matters not herein stated on my own knowledge, are the proceedings of the said Board of Aldermen, in reference to said petition of the said 34th St. Crosstown Railroad Company as the same are reported in the records of said proceedings, the facts and circumstances which surrounded the incorporation of said railroad, and statements made to me by a witness for the prosecution, and other facts known to me and not deemed best for public interests now to disclose.

Sworn to this 26th day of May, 1886. Before me

J. Randolph B. Martine

J. Fred. H. Reed

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Fol.1 COURT of GENERAL SESSIONS

The People of the State of New York
against
Michael Duffy

State of New York
ss:
City and County of New York

Michael Duffy being duly sworn says, that he is the defendant in the above entitled proceeding. That heretofore a motion was made to dismiss the indictment found against him, as set forth in the affidavit attached to the notice of motion heretofore served on the District Attorney of the City of New York.

That he herwith submits this additional affidavit, which is to be used as a part and parcel of the original moving papers, in conformity with an agreement referred to in the affidavit of Mr. Seldner.

2

That in the year 1886 an indictment was found against the deponent for offending against the provisions of the statute relating to bribery.

That he was used as a witness against other persons so offending, and was compelled to attend and testify on numerous trials, hearings, proceedings and investigations.

That his testimony was used in the same manner as that of any other person.

That the records in the office of the District At-

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

torney shows that deponent gave such testimony as he possess
ed, to the District Attorney, at his request, at all times
required.

That as deponent is informed and believes the only persons still remaining untried, on the indictments found against them for bribery, within the jurisdiction of this Court, are William Moloney, Robert E. DeLacy and Charles Dempsey.

~~But as, [illegible] found, is not now and has not been for any [illegible] jurisdiction of [illegible] Territory, where extradition laws can reach him.~~

That the said Moloney, DeLacy and Dempsey, have been in this country for two terms last past and the District Attorney, as yet, has not moved their cases for trial.

That Deponent has been in business many years last past, in the City of New York, and has, at no time, departed from the jurisdiction of this Court and has at no time refused to give any testimony whatsoever in his possession, that might be required by the District Attorney.

~~That deponent, within a short time last past, voluntarily called at the house of the assistant District~~ Attorney, ~~for the purpose of imparting such information as was in his possession relative to the indictment of two certain p[illegible]scharged, and although the engagement was positively made, nevertheless it was not kept~~.

That deponent, on the different trials, had testified to the giving of a bribe which had been accepted. And as

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

deponent verily believes and alleges there is no testimony connecting him with the giving or taking of any bribe, other than the testimony he voluntarily gave as against himself. Deponent has been informed that such testimony cannot be

6 used in any prosecution or proceeding, civil or criminal, against him, and that he might not be held liable to indictment, prosecution or punishment, for such offence, and he therefore pleads and submits the records of the office of the District Attorney in the same manner as if they were heretø annexed and made part and parcel of this affidavit in bar of the indictment or any further prosecution.

That deponent has complied with all the requirements of section 79 of the Penal Code and the laws and statutes of the State.

7 That it is a great hardship for deponent to remain under indictment, which stands as a cloud on his name, fame and reputation.

That for many years he has received the reproaches of many people for doing that which he was urged to do in common honesty and as a reparation for a wrong committed.

That deponent asks that his indictment be dismissed.

Sworn to before me, this
13 day of August, 1890. Michael Dunfy

Henry Leedner
Comm of Deeds
Dutchess Co

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Fol.1 COURT of GENERAL SESSIONS

The People of the State of New York	:
against	:
Michael Duffy	:

State of New York
City and County of New York ss:

Henry Seldner being duly sworn says, that he is the attorney for the defendant herein. That motion in this matter originally made returnable June 27th,1890, by a series of adjournments has been set down for a hearing, for August 19th,1890. That a condition to the granting of the last adjournment, made at the request of the District Attorney, was a consent by Mr. Semple granting the defendant leave to submit an additional affidavit, and also to move in section 79 of the Penal Code.

That this additional affidavit is so submitted in pursuance of said agreement.

Sworn to before me, this
14th day of August, 1890.

Henry Seldner

Henry Seldner
Notary Public
N. Y. Co

General Sessions Court.

The People of the State of New York

AGAINST

Michael Duffy

Affidavit

HENRY SELDNER,
Attorney for Deft.,
150 ~~115 & 117~~ NASSAU ST.,
New York City.

Due and timely service hereby admitted this day of
188 of a copy of the within

S. BENEDICKS & SON, STATIONERS, 490 BROADWAY, N. Y.

SIR:

Please take notice that a
of which the within is a copy, was, on the
day , 188 duly entered
herein, and filed in the Office of the Clerk of

Dated New York, 188

Yours, &c.,
HENRY SELDNER,
Attorney for

To

M

Attorney for

agst.

City and County of New York, ss.:

being duly sworn, says: That he is
years of age, and resides in the City of New York; that on the day of
, 188 , at in the City of New York, he served
the within upon
who the attorney for the herein, by delivering a true copy
of the same to

Sworn to before me, this
day of 188

Notary Public,
N. Y. Co.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

City and County of New York

People of the State of New York

against

Michael Duffy

Sir:-

Please to take notice, that on the affidavit annexed and on all the pleadings and proceedings herein had, I shall move, before the Honorable Randolph D. Martine, one of the Judges of the Court of General Sessions, City and County of New York, at part I of said Court, at the Court Room, City Hall, New York City, on the 27th day of June, 1890, at 11:00 A.M., for an Order quashing the indictment found against the defendant on the 13th day of April, 1886, and for a further Order
2 that the bail bond given herein be discharged and the sureties exonorated.

And for such further and other relief as may be just in the premises.

Dated, New York, June 25, 1890.

Yours &c,

Henry Seldner

Attorney for defendant,

150 Nassau St.,

New York City.

To,

Honorable John R. Fellows,

District Attorney,

New York City.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

For the County of New York

The People of the State of New York	
against	
Michael Duffy	

City and County of N.Y.
State of New York } ss.

Michael Duffy being duly sworn says: That he resides at Number 156 East 102d Street, New York City. That on the 13th day of April, 1886, he was indicted by the Grand Jury for the County of New York, then duly impaneled for the April Term on the charge of receiving and accepting a bribe, while acting as one of the Aldermen of the City and County of New York.

That such indictment alleged that such bribe was
2 paid to him and received and accepted by him, for the purpose of purchasing and influencing his vote in favor of granting to some person or persons a franchise permitting them to operate a line of cars, to wit, surface cars, over the highway known as Broadway in the City of New York.

That thereafter the said Duffy was arrested, on a warrant issued by one of the Judges of this Court, taken into the custody of the law and subsequently released on the furnishing bail which was fixed at the sum of Fifteen thousand Dollars.

That thereafter said bail bonds were superseded and
3 a new bond furnished in the sum of Fifteen thousand Dollars,

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

George A. Haggerty qualifying in the sum of Twenty-five hundred Dollars, Thomas Hagan qualifying in the sum of Twenty-five hundred Dollars and Thomas Maguire qualifying in the sum of Ten Thousand Dollars.

That more than two Terms have elapsed since the finding of such indictment and the arresting of deponent, and during all of the said time he has been within the jurisdiction of this Court, and a resident of the City and County of New York, doing business at said place.

That at all times he was accessable to all parties,
4 and ready to stand trial. That although more than two terms have expired since such indictment he has never been brought up for trial, although he has repeatedly demanded that the indictment be disposed of.

That deponent during the year 1886, and at other times dating from said period, was a witness for the People in the different actions in which certain members of the Board of Aldermen of 1884, and persons claiming to be interested in this Broadway franchise, were brought to trial.

That he was used as States evidence, by the People,
5 and furnished such testimony as was in his power and that the then prosecuting District Attorney promised him immunity on his offering to give such testimony. That a contract for immunity was duly made by the authorities, at that time, with deponent, and he now claims such immunity as a constitutional privilege.

That deponent asks that the indictment so found against him on the 30th day of April, 1886, be quashed, and that the bail bond be discharged, and the sureties aforementioned exonorated in the undertaking given herein.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0530

Sworn to before me, this
24th day of June 1890.

Notary Public Kings Co. N. Y.
CERTIFICATE FILED IN
NEW YORK COUNTY.

Sir:

Please take notice that a

of which the within is a copy, was, on the

day , 188 duly entered herein, and filed in the Office of the Clerk of

Dated New York, 188

Yours, &c.,

HENRY SELDNER,

Attorney for

GENERAL SESSIONS Court.

People of the State of New York

AGAINST

Michael Duffy

Affidavit & Notice of Motion

HENRY SELDNER,

Attorney for defendant,

150 ~~115 & 117~~ NASSAU ST.,

New York City.

Due and timely service hereby admitted this day of

188 of a copy of the within

S. BENEDICKS & SON, STATIONERS, 499 BROADWAY, N. Y.

agst.

City and County of New York, ss.:

being duly sworn, says: That he is

years of age, and resides in the City of New York; that on the day of

, 188 , at in the City of New York, he served

the within upon

who the attorney for the herein, by delivering a true copy

of the same to on that day.

at M.

Sworn to before me, this day of 188

Notary Public,

N. Y. Co.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

1650

0532

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

IN AND FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Michael Dalton

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment accuse Michael Dalton of the CRIME OF **Bribery,** committed as follows:

Heretofore, to wit, on the twenty-ninth day of August, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-four, at the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, a certain petition and application of the Broadway Surface Railroad Company, a corporation duly organized and incorporated under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York, before then duly presented to the Common Council of the City of New York, praying and making application to the said Common Council for its consent and permission to construct, maintain, operate and use a street surface railroad for public use in the conveyance of persons and property in cars upon and along the surface of certain streets, avenues and highways in the said city, together with the necessary connections, switches, turnouts, turntables, sidings and suitable stands for the convenient working of the said road, was duly pending before and under the consideration of the said Common Council.

And the said petition and application having been so as aforesaid made and presented to the said Common Council, and being so pending and under its consideration as aforesaid, the said Michael Dalton, late of the City and County of New York aforesaid, being then and there a public officer, and a person executing the functions of a public office, to wit, an alderman and a member of the board of aldermen of the City of New York, and as such, being then and there a member of the Common Council aforesaid, afterwards, to wit, on the said twenty-ninth day of August, in the year aforesaid, and whilst the said petition and application was yet pending before and under the consideration of the said Common Council, contriving and intending the duties of his said office, and the trust and confidence thereby reposed in him, to prostitute and

betray, at the city and county aforesaid, with force and arms, unlawfully, wickedly and corruptly, did feloniously ask and agree to receive the sum of [illegible] Dollars in money, and a promise and agreement therefor, from [illegible] upon an agreement and understanding that the vote, opinion, judgment, action and official proceeding of him, the said [illegible] as such member of the Common Council aforesaid, upon and concerning the said petition and application of the said Broadway Surface Railroad Company, so pending before and under the consideration of the said Common Council as aforesaid, should be thereby influenced, and that his vote, opinion, judgment, action and official proceeding as such member of the Common Council aforesaid upon and concerning the said petition and application, should be in favor of the granting and giving by the said Common Council of the consent and permission so as aforesaid in and by the said petition and application prayed and applied for; against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT.

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said [illegible] of the CRIME OF **Bribery,** committed as follows:

Heretofore, to wit, on the twenty-ninth day of August, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-four, at the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, a certain petition and application of the Broadway Surface Railroad Company, a corporation duly organized and incorporated under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York, before then duly presented to the Common Council of the City of New York, praying and making application to the said Common Council for its consent and permission to construct, maintain, operate and use a street surface railroad for public use in the conveyance of persons and property in cars upon and along the surface of certain streets, avenues and highways in the said city, together with the necessary connections, switchs, sidings, turnouts, turntables and suitable stands for the convenient working of the said road, was duly pending before and under the consideration of the said Common Council.

And the said petition and application having been so as aforesaid made and presented to the said Common Council, and being so pending and under its consideration as aforesaid, the said Michael Dalton

late of the city and county aforesaid, being then and there an alderman and a member of the board of aldermen of the City of New York, and as such, being then and there a member of the said Common Council, afterwards, to wit: on the said twenty-ninth day of August, in the year aforesaid, and whilst the said petition and application was yet pending before and under the consideration of the said Common Council, contriving and intending the duties of his said office, and the trust and confidence thereby reposed in him, to prostitute and betray, at the city and county aforesaid, with force and arms, unlawfully, wickedly and corruptly, did feloniously accept from a certain person whose name is to the said Jurors aforesaid as yet unknown,

a promise and agreement to give and furnish to him, the said Michael Dalton

the sum of Twenty Thousand

dollars in money, and an undertaking to give and furnish the said sum of money to the said Michael Dalton

under an agreement and understanding that the vote, opinion, judgment and action of him, the said Michael Dalton

as such member of the said Common Council, upon and concerning the said petition and application of the said Broadway Surface Railroad Company, so pending before and under the consideration of the said Common Council as aforesaid, should be influenced thereby, and that his said vote, opinion, judgment and action should be given in the cause, matter and proceeding of, upon and concerning the said petition and application, in favor of the granting and giving by said Common Council of the consent and permission so as aforesaid in and by the said petition and application prayed and applied for; against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,

District Attorney.

0535

BOX:
214

FOLDER:
2120

DESCRIPTION:
Dunn, Patrick

DATE:
04/28/86

2120

0536

BOX:
214
FOLDER:
2120
DESCRIPTION:
Leonard, Joseph

DATE:
04/28/86

Witnesses:

Ellen Simoney

Property Recovered

Defendant Pleads Guilty

No. 226

Counsel,

Filed 28 day of Oct 1886

Pleads

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Patrick Dunn

and

Joseph Leonard

Burglary in the Third Degree.

[Sections 498, 506, 528 & 531.]

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,

District Attorney.

A True Bill.

Foreman

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

J 650

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

Police Court—2nd District.

City and County of New York, } ss.:

Ellen Simoney

of No. 9 Great Jones Street, aged 38 years,

occupation Laundress being duly sworn

deposes and says, that the premises No 9 Great Jones Street,

in the City and County aforesaid, the said being a a four Story brick building basement and first floor and basement and the Cellar of which was occupied by deponent as a Laundry

and in which there was at the time no human being, by

were BURGLARIOUSLY entered by means of forcibly forcing open the trap door leading from the Area-way into the Cellar of said premises

on the 19th day of April 1886 in the night time, and the following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz:

a quantity of Gents Shirts' Collars Cuffs, Ladies and Gents' underwear table cloths and Table Napkins; altogether of the Value of Seventy dollars.

the property of Which property was in the Care & Custody of deponent

and deponent further says, that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid

BURGLARY was committed and the aforesaid property taken, stolen and carried away by

Patrick Dunne & Joseph Leonard

(both now here)

for the reasons following, to wit: On said date about the hour of 6 o'clock P.M. the trap door leading into said Cellar from the area-way was shut down and securely bolted. About the hour of 11 o'clock P.M. on said date, deponent was informed by Officer James McKenny of the 15th Precinct Police, that said premises had been burglarized as already described and that he arrested said defendants to on

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

Suspicion and found the afore described property in said defendants' possession. That deponent has since been informed that said property so found in the possession of said defendants, and deponent fully identifies the same as the property stolen from her possession. Wherefore deponent charges said defendants with Burglary and Larceny as aforesaid

Sworn to before me this 20th day of April 1886 } Ellen Simons

Police Justice

Police Court ——— District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.

Burglary ——— Degree.

Dated ——— 188

Magistrate.

Officer.

Clerk.

Witnesses: ———

Committed in default of $ ——— Bail.

Bailed by ———

No. ——— Street.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

James Kilkenny aged 39 years, occupation Police Officer of No. 15th Precinct Police Street, being duly sworn deposes and says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Ellen Tunney and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponents' own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 20 *day of* April 188[illegible] James Kilkenny

[illegible] *Police Justice.*

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Sec. 198—200.

2 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss

Joseph Leonard being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is h~~e~~s right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h~~im~~; that the statement is designed to enable h~~im~~ if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h~~im~~ that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h~~e~~s waiver cannot be used against h~~im~~ on the trial.

Question What is your name?

Answer Joseph Leonard

Question. How old are you?

Answer 28 years.

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. United States.

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 59 East Houston street Guilty 3 weeks

Question What is your business or profession?

Answer Laundry business

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. Am guilty —

Joseph Leonard

Taken before me this 21 *day of* December 1887

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

Sec. 198—200.

2nd District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK } ss

Patrick Dunne being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question What is your name?

Answer Patrick Dunne

Question. How old are you?

Answer 23 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Ireland

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 59 East Houston and about 5 weeks

Question What is your business or profession?

Answer Laundry business

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am guilty

Patrick Dunne

Taken before me this 2nd *day of* December *1884*

Police Justice.

0543

BAILED,

No. 1, by _____

Residence _____ *Street.*

No. 2, by _____

Residence _____ *Street.*

No. 3, by _____

Residence _____ *Street.*

No. 4, by _____

Residence _____ *Street.*

Police Court— 2 —District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Callen Sweeney
99 Great Jones
Patrick Quinn
Same
Joseph Feeney
Same

Offence Burg Assy

Dated April 26 188 6

Magistrate

Officer,

Precinct.

Witnesses Callen Sweeney
Same
Patrick Quinn
Same
Joseph Feeney
Same

No. _____ Street,

No. _____ Street,

No. _____ Street,

$ 1000 each to answer C.S.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendants

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of One Thousand *Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated April 26 188 6 *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named _____

to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated _____ 188 _____ *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____

_____ *guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.*

Dated _____ 188 _____ *Police Justice.*

0544

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Patrick Quinn and Joseph Leonard

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Patrick Quinn and Joseph Leonard

of the CRIME OF BURGLARY IN THE THIRD DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said Patrick Quinn and Joseph Leonard, each —

late of the Eighteenth Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York, aforesaid, on the nineteenth day of April, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-nine, with force and arms, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, a certain building there situate, to wit: the laundry of one

— Ellen Sinnamon —

feloniously and burglariously did break into and enter, with intent to commit some crime therein, to wit: with intent, the goods, chattels and personal property of the said

Ellen Sinnamon —

in the said laundry, then and there being, then and there feloniously and burglariously to steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

0545

SECOND COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said

Patrick Dunn and Joseph Leonard

of the CRIME OF Grand LARCENY in the Second degree, committed as follows:

The said Patrick Dunn and Joseph Leonard, each

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, in the night time of the said day, with force and arms,

thirty shirts of the value of one dollar each, one hundred collars of the value of fifteen cents each, two hundred cuffs of the value of fifteen cents each, twelve undershirts and underdrawers, of no number and description to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, of the value of thirty dollars, one hundred towels of the value of twenty cents each, and one hundred napkins of the value of twenty cents each.

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

Ellen Sweeney

in the laundry of the said

Ellen Sweeney

there situate, then and there being found, in the laundry aforesaid, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

Randolph Guggenheimer,
District Attorney