

0546

**BOX:**

508

**FOLDER:**

4633

**DESCRIPTION:**

Dages, Christian

**DATE:**

01/11/93



4633

0547

POOR QUALITY  
ORIGINAL

Witnesses:

Officer Long

I have investigated the within accus-  
ation. The defendant owned <sup>one of</sup> the horses  
which he is charged with having stolen.  
It was purchased by the complainant  
from an expressman to whom the defend-  
ant paid it. The other was owned by  
Patrick Hughes who was arrested at  
the same time as this defendant, but  
whose ownership was established in the  
Police Court and he was thereupon dis-  
charged. This defendant had nothing to do  
with its taking. The expressman Hahn  
who sold the two horses swears to the com-  
plainant, according to Officer Long, has fled.  
The indictment should be dismissed  
March 14/93 - Stephen J. O'Hara

I concur in the  
above recommendation -  
Mar. 16. '93

Vernon M. Dyer  
Assistant

98 *Stettin*  
Counsel,  
Filed *May* day of *May* 1893  
Pleads, *Inguilty*  
THE PEOPLE

vs.

*B*  
*Christian Wager*

Grand Larceny, 3rd and 4th Degree.  
[Sections 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100]

DE LANCEY NICOLI,  
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

*J. Cathin*

Foreman.

Part 2.  
March 10 1893  
Indictment Dismissed



0548

14<sup>th</sup> District  
Police Court

Joseph Locadoto	} Before Hon. Chas. W. Winter, Justice
- vs -	
Christian Dagee and Patrick Hughes	

N.Y. Dec 19/92.

Marcus Otterbough, Esq.  
appears for ~~defendants~~ <sup>People</sup>  
Louis Beckler, Esq. for defendants.  
Joseph Locadoto, the  
compliment, being duly  
sworn, testified as follows:

By the Court:

I reside at 344 East  
63<sup>d</sup> Street.

Q Did you own any houses  
on December 14<sup>th</sup>?

A Yes sir, two bay houses.  
I bought them in 12<sup>th</sup> St  
First & Second Avenue. I  
lost them in 60<sup>th</sup> Street  
and First Avenue. I

2

paid a man named Hahn  
for the horses.

Q What did you do with  
the horses?

A I took them home to  
60<sup>th</sup> St and put them  
in Fitzgerald's stable.  
I put them there at  
seven o'clock in the evening  
and in the morning they  
were gone.

Q Have you found them since?  
A Yes, sir, in East 12<sup>th</sup> St;  
the defendants had them.

Q What did you pay for  
the horses?

A Eighty dollars. and  
twelve dollars for the  
wagon. I went to  
the Station House and  
the officer went down to  
12<sup>th</sup> Street with me and I  
saw the horses. They said  
the horses belonged to them.

Q How did you come to buy them in 12<sup>th</sup> Street.  
 A. There were a lot of Italian there and some friend of mine said if I wanted to buy nice horses there was going to be a sale.

Q Who was this man you bought the horses of?

A I know the man, but I don't know who he is.

~~Cross Examination~~

By Mr Otterbourg:

Q You spoke to this man <sup>Days</sup> when you were down in the stable there?

A Yes, sir.

Q What did he say?

A He said the horses belonged to my boss Michael.

Q When you bought that horse it was in the

H

street?

A Yes, sir.

Q Many people there?

A Yes, sir.

Q How far away from Mr Michael's stable was the sale of those horses?

A Half a block. It was between nine and ten o'clock, in the morning.

By Mr. Stegler: Q Were either one of the defendants there when you bought the horses?

A No, Sir.

Q Didn't Dyer say to you after you or the officer asked him who came down with the horse & wagon that it was the horse's horse?

A The first time he said the horse belonged to him and then he said the horse belonged to the boss.

Q Didn't he tell you the first time you came there that the horse belonged to him?  
 A Yes.

Thomas Robinson, called  
 for the People, sworn,  
 testified as follows;  
 By the Court.

I reside at 202  
 First Avenue  
 By Mr Otterbourg.

Q Do you know any thing  
 about these horses?  
 A Only that Mr Hahn  
 stabled with me.  
 Q What kind of horses were  
 they?

A. There was a mare in  
 foal and a mustang  
 with the brand 'D' on  
 the left side of the

6

shoulder.  
Q Do you know anything  
about the sale of those  
horses?

A No, sir, not until the  
complainant told me  
about it.

Q Did he tell you to  
deliver those horses?

A I didn't deliver them  
to anybody. I know  
nothing about the sale  
of the horse.

Q Who took the horses  
out of the stable?

A Mr Hahn's driver —  
Eddie Dighe.

Q Was he the man that  
took care of the horses  
while they were in  
the stable?

A Yes, sir.

Q Who took the horses  
away from the stable?

A Dighe. Mr Hahn paid



7

me.

Q How long have those horses been stabled with you?

A I charged him \$3.<sup>50</sup> for each horse.

Q Did you see that man, the complainant, there?

A Yes, sir, before the sale I had that sale advertised in the neighborhood?

A Not to my knowledge.

Q Did you have a conversation with the complainant?

A Yes, sir. He told me he was buying those horses, a truck and trap wagon. He told me he was going to pay \$200. for the whole business. I said 'If you buy at that price, you are getting it cheap, for the man told me he paid \$1.50. for the horse and

8

you can judge what  
the truck and wagon is

By <sup>yourself</sup> ~~Left Counsel~~

Q Who took those two  
horses out of the stable?  
A Eddie Dyer, Hahn's  
driver.

Q Did you ever see either  
one of these defendants?  
A I seen them, but not  
to know them.

By the Court.

Q It is a month since  
those men were in the  
stable?

A Yes, sir.

Q Did you have any conver-  
sation with them?

A No, Sir. They came to  
see a white horse.

Q Did they look at the  
white horse?

A Yes, Sir.

Q Did either one claim to  
be the owner?

9

A I couldn't tell. Hahn paid me for rent.

Q Did they look at the white horse when they were in the stable?

A I couldn't say.

By Mr. Stekler

Q Does not the white horse belong to Mr. Michael's?

A I couldn't say, but I told Hahn to take the horse out of the stable

Q And he took it out?

A I couldn't say, because I was not there when the horse was taken out

By Mr. Otterbourg

Q Did either of these men ever claim that some of the horses that you stabled for Hahn belonged to them?

A No, sir. I understood Mr. Hahn to be the owner. He said he paid

10.

\$45. for the horse.

John Long, called for the  
People, sworn, testified  
as follows:

By the Court:

I am an officer of the  
25<sup>th</sup> Precinct. Thursday  
morning the complainant  
came to the Station  
House. I went with  
him to 12<sup>th</sup> St., between  
1<sup>st</sup> & Ave. A. to Mr  
Michael's stable. He  
said the horses he  
bought the day before  
were in the possession  
of the defendants.  
I saw Dages and he  
said Mr Michael's owned  
one horse and Mr  
Hughes the other. I saw  
Mr Hughes and he didn't  
know whether the horse

11

had been out of his possession a week or three months. I said 'How long has Mr Hahn had your horse? I asked him if it was a week. He said he guessed it was a week. I said 'Was it two weeks'? He said he didn't know. I said 'Was it a month?' He said 'Yes'. I said 'Was it three months?' He said he guessed it was three months - that is all Mr Hughes told me. Mr Dager told me Mr Michaels owned the other horse. He said 'My boss owns that horse "Mr Hahn" he said "loaned that horse from my boss"'

By the Court

Q Did the complainant identify the horse in his presence as his property?

A Yes, sir.

Q Did you ask them how they obtained the horse?

A They didn't know how they came there

By Dfts Counsel:

Q Who was there when this talk was going on - was Mr. Michaels there?

A No, sir

Q Was the boy there?

A Yes, sir.

Q Who else was there?

A There were two or three there I didn't know.

Q You have taken a great deal of interest in this case?

A Yes, sir.

Q Did you offer to settle and compromise this case



downstairs today?

A No, sir.

Q Do you know Mr Clements?

A I do; I saw him today.

Q Did you talk with him?

A In the presence of other witnesses? Yes, sir.

Q Didn't you say if he would see that he got the horses back you would see that the case was dropped?

A No, sir.

Q Is it not true that you asked down at the stable who brought that horse and truck there?

A No, sir.

Q Is it not a fact that Dages asked you 'That is my horse's' horse?

A No, sir.

Q Is it not a fact  
that the man had  
three horses?

A I don't know.

Q Did the boy tell you  
that the horse that came  
with the wagon belonged  
to him?

A I don't remember what  
the boy said.

Q Didn't the boy tell you  
that the horse belonged  
to this man (Dager)?

A I didn't ask any  
questions.

Q What did Hughes tell  
you?

A He said he didn't  
know how the horse got  
in the stable.

13)

Edward Michaels, Jr.  
called for defence, sworn  
testified as follows.

By Mr. Stickler:

I live at 433 East  
12<sup>th</sup> St. I keep a  
boarding stable.

Q How long have you  
been in that business?

A The last ten years.

Q How many horses do  
you keep in that stable?

A Fifty to sixty horses  
and I keep horses in  
24<sup>th</sup> St stable - from  
thirty to forty.

Q How many men do you  
employ?

A I employ six in 12<sup>th</sup>  
and three in 24<sup>th</sup> St.

Q Have you in your  
employ the defendant  
Dages?

A Yes, Sir.

16

Q Do you know where  
Hughes is employed?  
A Next store. He breaks  
up old iron and wagons.

Q How long has Dages been  
employed by you?  
A Four years.

Q During the time he  
has been employed by  
you, has he been  
honest and truthful?

A Yes, sir. I would trust  
him with anything

Q Do you know a man  
by the name of Nahn?

A Yes, sir

Q Did you hire out horse  
to him?

A Yes, sir. Nahn's driver  
hired this horse and as  
I understood brought  
it back.

Q Do you know of your  
own knowledge whether  
Dages and Hughes own any horses?

17

A Yes, sir.

Q Did they each own a horse?

A Yes sir.

Q Was Dages' horse stalled with you?

A Yes, sir.

Q Do you know when he sold him?

A I heard he sold him. He bought him from Mr Clements. I heard he saw it a few weeks ago.

By the Court,

Q How long did he keep him in your stable?

A He only kept him in there a week and then sold him.

Q Do you know anything about the mare?

A No sir. I seen her the other day in my stable Thursday or Friday.

18

Q Who brought her there?  
 A I couldn't tell you.  
 Q Did you ask anybody  
 where that horse came  
 from?

A No sir.

Q Who had charge of her?  
 A Dages.

Q This was last Thursday?  
 A Yes, sir.

Q When was she in there  
 before?

A This was months ago;  
 she was bought at the  
 sale up in 155 St.

Mr Dages sold her to  
 Mr Clements afterwards  
 about a month after.

Mr Clements worked her  
 for a while and I  
 believe he sold her  
 back — so Dages  
 told me — about five  
 weeks ago. I saw  
 Hahn drive her four



19

weeks ago. I do  
~~not~~ not own the horse.

By Mr. Steckler:

I Besides this horse that  
 Doyle owned did  
 Hahn have one of  
 your horses?

A Yes, sir; he hired a  
 horse from me.

I Was this horse of  
 Hughes in your  
 stable?

A No, sir, he kept  
 his himself

I Did you ever see  
 Hughes have the horse?

A Yes, sir

I Do you know of your  
 own knowledge whether  
 Hahn drove Hughes  
 horse?

A Yes, sir

I Do you know how  
 Hahn came in possession

24

of Dages horse?  
answer.

Joseph Ebbett, called  
for defence, sworn,  
Testified as follows:  
By Mr. Slecker:

I am an undertaker  
at 429 East 12 St  
I am nineteen years of  
age.

Q Do you know anything  
about this horse?

A Yes, sir. I know them  
both men owned the horse  
because I worked with them  
at the sale 153 St &  
8th Ave and I led the  
horse to the stable for  
Mr Dages. Mr Sennick  
bought Mr Hughes

27

horse, Lemick sold  
him to the bookkeeper,  
he sold him to Mr  
Dager and Mr Dager  
sold him to Mr Hughes.  
It is about a month  
ago that I drove this  
horse and I had him  
in a coffin wagon.

Q Where you present when  
the officer and complain-  
ant came down there?

A Yes, sir. The officer  
said "Who does that  
horse and truck belong  
to?" - a brown horse  
that was in Mr  
Michael's stable which  
was left there by  
Mr Hahn's driver. He  
said "Where is that  
horse and truck?" I  
said "Here is a horse  
and truck down stairs".  
He said "Where

72

owns the horse? I  
 said "Mr Michael's  
 owns the horse". He  
 said "This gentleman  
 bought the horse at a  
 sale". He says he  
 bought him of Mr Hahn.  
 I said Mr Hahn didn't  
 own him, he had the  
 horse on condition that  
 he would feed and  
 stable him. The  
 officer said "No,  
 Mr Hahn owned every-  
 thing". Mr Hahn  
 didn't own anything;  
 he owed me money  
 for helping him move.  
 I believe Hahn has  
 gone to Germany.

By Mr Otterbourg:

I saw the gentlemen  
 buy that horse.

23

I saw Mr Hahn most  
every day; also Mr Hager  
or Mr Dages.

Christian Dages, one  
of the defendants, sworn,  
testified as follows;  
By Mr Stickler:

I live at 404 East  
11<sup>th</sup> St. I know a man  
by the name of Hahn.  
I owned a horse; I  
bought him up at 155<sup>th</sup>  
& 8<sup>th</sup> Ave. about five  
months ago. Joseph Abbott  
was with me. I paid  
\$42.<sup>50</sup> for the horse;  
it was a bay mare. I  
sold it four months  
afterwards to Mr  
Clements. He used  
the horse. He  
paid me \$75. for it.  
He had it a month

0571

74

and I bought it back  
for \$50. and Mr Hahn  
used it nearly every day.  
Mr Hahn wanted to  
buy it before Clements  
had it. Mr Clements  
was not satisfied  
with it - It was too  
wild and Hahn said  
if I could get that  
mare he would like to  
have it. I bought it  
back from Clements  
and told Hahn he could  
have her for \$65. if  
he could pay me  
cash. He paid me  
\$27 in installments;  
he paid me three dol-  
lars at a time and  
he used the horse.  
He was not to own  
the horse until he  
paid me \$65. I  
did not hear ~~him~~



0572

23

he sold the horse.  
Dyke, his driver, came  
for the horse. He  
used to drive for Mr.  
Dyke, said to me when  
I asked when the horse  
was that he believed  
Hahn skipped. I  
asked him what he  
did with the horse;  
he said he knew  
where they were up  
in 63<sup>rd</sup> St. He said  
he could get them  
for me in less than  
an hour. I said to  
get them <sup>He said</sup> that Mr.  
Hahn owed him three  
days pay and if he  
got that money he  
would get them.  
I said if he got  
them I would pay  
him that. He said  
all right. I went

76

up with him and we  
took the two horses  
out of the stable in  
Co. Sr.

By the Coast

I did not see the  
owner of the stable.  
Jighe told me he  
put them in there  
himself. I took the  
horses out and put one  
~~them~~ in my horse  
stable, and the other  
next store in Mrs

Coyle's stable. I did  
not tell the policeman  
the horse belonged to my  
boss; he asked me  
about a horse in a  
truck - that was not  
mine - that was the  
boss's. The mare  
was mine. I  
was never arrested.  
I have been in there

0574

27

Country six years. I  
have worked for Mr  
Michaela fine game

By Mr Otterborg!!

I have after did have  
pay you three dollars  
a nearly every week.  
I received \$27. in all.  
I did Hughes know  
anythg about your going  
with Dighe and taking  
his horse out of the  
stable?  
a No sir.

I you took Hughes horse  
out of the stable?  
a Dighe and me. We  
rode the horses down

27

Country six years. I  
have worked for Mr  
Michael five years.

By Mr Otterbourg:—

Q Have after did Nahn  
pay you three dollars?  
A Nearly every week.  
I received \$27. in all.  
Q Did Nahn know  
anythg about your going  
with Dighe and taking  
his horse out of the  
stable?  
A No sir.

Q You took Nahn's horse  
out of the stable?  
A Dighe and me. We  
rode the horses down

28

Patrick Hughes, one of  
defendants, sworn, testi-  
fied as follows:

By Mr. Stickler:

I live at 435 East  
12 St. I work for  
Mr. Knoll.

Q Were you ever owner of  
a horse?

A Yes, sir. I bought him  
through Mr. Dager of Mr  
Lerrick. I did not  
sell the horse to any  
body. I used the  
horse. I hired him to  
a man named Stahn.  
I said he could use  
him if he cared for  
and fed him.

By Mr. Otterbourg:

I have had the  
horse ~~two~~ months. I  
knew Stahn very well.  
He lived around there

0577

29

I don't know how the  
horse got in the stable.  
Dages told me after  
murder.

By the Court:

I didn't sell  
the horse to Hahn.  
He hired the wagon  
of me. I did not  
know the horse was  
going to be sold.  
I didn't know anything  
about the sale. I  
didn't hear of it  
until Thursday.

Defendant Hughes  
discharged

Defendant Dages held  
to answer.



0578

Sec. 192.

District Police Court.

Undertaking to appear during the Examination.

CITY AND COUNTY }  
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

An information having been laid before Charles N. Taintor a Police Justice  
of the City of New York, charging Patrick Hughes Defendant with  
the offence of Grand Larceny

and he having been brought before said Justice for an examination of said charge, and it having been made  
to appear to the satisfaction of said Justice that said examination should be adjourned to some other day, and  
the hearing thereof having been adjourned

We, Patrick Hughes Defendant of No. 435  
East 12<sup>th</sup> Street; by occupation a Labourer  
and Eliza Boyle of No. 435 East 12<sup>th</sup>  
Street, by occupation a housewife Surety, hereby jointly and severally undertake  
that the above named Hughes Defendant  
shall personally appear before the said Justice, at the 4 District Police Court in the City of New York,  
during the said examination, or that we will pay to the People of the State of New York the sum of Five  
Hundred Dollars.

Taken and acknowledged before me, this 18 Patrick Hughes  
day of December 1891 } Eliza Boyle  
Charles N. Taintor POLICE JUSTICE.

0579

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.  
OF NEW YORK,

*Charles W. Smith*  
District Police Justice.

day of *Dec*  
18*81*  
Sworn to before me, this *18*

*Eliza Coyle*

the within named Bail and Surety being duly sworn, says that he is a resident and holder within the said County and State, and is worth *Ten* *Hundred Dollars*, exclusive of property exempt from execution, and over and above the amount of all his debts and liabilities, and that his property consists of *House and lot, No. 435*

*Car 12<sup>c</sup> worth in this city - worth*  
*Three Thousand Dollars, over all circumstances*  
*Eliza Coyle*

District Police Court.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Undertaking to appear  
during the Examination.

vs.

Taken the ..... day of ..... 18

Justice.

0580

Sec. 192.

14 District Police Court.

Undertaking to appear during the Examination.

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.  
OF NEW YORK, }

An information having been laid before Charles M. Taintor a Police Justice  
of the City of New York, charging Christian Daper Defendant with  
the offence of Grand Larceny

and he having been brought before said Justice for an examination of said charge, and it having been made  
to appear to the satisfaction of said Justice that said examination should be adjourned to some other day, and  
the hearing thereof having been adjourned,

We Christian Daper Defendant of No. 404  
East 11<sup>th</sup> Street; by occupation a Laborer  
and Edward Michelfs of No. 1433 East 12<sup>th</sup>  
Street, by occupation a stable keeper Surety, hereby jointly and severally undertake  
that the above named Daper Defendant  
shall personally appear before the said Justice, at the 4 District Police Court in the City of New York,  
during the said examination, or that we will pay to the People of the State of New York the sum of Five  
Hundred Dollars.

Taken and acknowledged before me, this 18

day of December 1891

Charles M. Taintor POLICE JUSTICE.

Christian Daper

Edward Michelfs

0581

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.  
NEW YORK, }

*Charles W. Daniels*  
Police Justice

Sworn to before me, this

18

*Edward Michel Jr.*

the within named Bail and Surety being duly sworn, says, that he is a resident and holder within the said County and State, and is worth *Ten* Hundred Dollars, exclusive of property exempt from execution, and over and above the amount of all his debts and liabilities, and that his property consists of

*Steen and Goodrich of Livery Co*  
*and Boarding Stable located at No. 433 East*  
*12<sup>th</sup> Street, in this city, north Five Hundred*  
*Dollars, per annum*

*Edward Michel Jr.*

District Police Court.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Undertaking to appear  
during the Examination.

vs.

Taken the ..... day of ..... 18

Justice.

0582

Police Court—14 District.

(1365)  
Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County } ss.  
of New York,

of No. 344 East 63 rd Street, aged 34 years,  
occupation liquor dealer being duly sworn,  
deposes and says, that on the 14 day of December 1892 at the City of New  
York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession  
of deponent, in the night time, the following property, viz:

Two Horses, of the value of  
Eighty Dollars, and One wagon of the  
value of Fifty Dollars, the whole of the value of  
thirteen Dollars  
\$92.<sup>00</sup>/<sub>100</sub>

the property of Deponent

and that this deponent  
has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen  
and carried away by Patrick Hughes and Christian

Dages (both now here) acting in concert,  
from the fact, that on the aforesaid  
date deponent placed said horses in  
his stable located at No. 379 East 63<sup>rd</sup>  
Street, at about 7 P.M.: that on the following  
day at 6 A.M. deponent went to said stable  
and found said property the missing and  
was informed by a person in said stable  
whose name is unknown to this deponent  
that he saw two men enter said stable  
and take away said horses. That on December  
15, 1892 deponent found his missing horses in  
stables at Nos 433 and 435 East 12<sup>th</sup> Street  
both defendants having said horses in their charge

Subscribed to before me this 14th day of December 1892

Police Justice







0584

Sec. 198-200.

CITY AND COUNTY }  
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

District Police Court.

*Patrick Hughes* being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

*Patrick Hughes*

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

*26 yrs.*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

*Ireland*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

*435 E 12th - 5 yrs.*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

*Labourer*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer.

*I am not guilty*  
*Patrick Hughes*

Taken before me this

*day of Dec 1891*  
*Charles J. Darrin*

Police Justice.

0585

Sec. 198-200.

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.  
OF NEW YORK,

District Police Court

*Christian Dages* being duly examined before the under-  
signed according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to  
make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to  
enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him  
that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used  
against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Christian Dages*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *27 yrs*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *Germany*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *404 E 11th - 1 yr.*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *laborer*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the  
testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your  
exculpation?

Answer. *I am not guilty*  
*Christian Dages*

Taken before me this

day of

*Dec 17 1887*  
*Charles H. Smith*

Police Justice.

0586

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

*defendant Christian Dages*  
guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *Five* Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated *Dec 30* 1892 *Charles N. Linton* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named *Christian Dages*  
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, *Dec 30* 1892 *Charles N. Linton* Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named *defendant Patrick*  
*Hughes* guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order him to be discharged.

Dated *Dec. 19* 1892 *Charles N. Linton* Police Justice.

058

\$500 for 8x.  
Dec. 19-1892 2.30 PM  
Dec. 22-1892 9.00 AM  
Dec. 27-1892 9 AM  
Nos. 1 & 2 Bailed for 8x.  
Dec. 18. 1892  
Dec 30- 9 AM

FILED,

No. 1, by L. Schwoerer  
Residence 206 Ave A. Street.

No. 2, by \_\_\_\_\_  
Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

No. 3, by \_\_\_\_\_  
Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

No. 4, by \_\_\_\_\_  
Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

Police Court---

1334 District

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Joseph Scudato  
Christian Dages  
Patrick Hughes

Dated Dec 17 189 2  
Jaime Magistrate.

Long Officer.  
75 Precinct.

Witnesses A. Robinson

No. 202. 1 Ave Street.

No. \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

No. 1500 Street.

\$ 500 to answer

W. H. Discharge

Paul

0588

505

Court of General Sessions of the Peace  
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

*Christian Dages*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

*Christian Dages*  
of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE *second* DEGREE, committed  
as follows:

The said *Christian Dages*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *14<sup>th</sup>*  
day of *December* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and  
ninety-*two* at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

*two horses of the value of forty dollars  
each, and one wagon of the value of  
twelve dollars*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

*Giuseppe Succodato*

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against  
the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People  
of the State of New York and their dignity.

0589

SECOND COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said

*Christian Wages*

of the CRIME OF CRIMINALLY RECEIVING STOLEN PROPERTY, committed as follows:

The said

*Christian Wages*

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

*two horses of the value of forty dollars each, and one wagon of the value of twelve dollars*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

*Giuseppe Succedato*

by a certain person or persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, then lately before feloniously stolen, taken and carried away from the said

*Giuseppe Succedato*

unlawfully and unjustly did feloniously receive and have; the said

then and there well knowing the said goods, chattels and personal property to have been feloniously stolen, taken and carried away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

*District Attorney.*



0590

**BOX:**

508

**FOLDER:**

4633

**DESCRIPTION:**

Dagnelie, Harry

**DATE:**

01/23/93



4633

0591

Witnesses:

J. Sherman

Officer Bay

The Complainant is  
The within Case cannot  
be found, although Illinois  
State has been made to  
ascertain his whereabouts.  
It will be absolutely impossible  
to obtain a commitment  
against him. The Plaintiff  
has been in England  
at least from since  
May 23<sup>rd</sup> in my opinion  
he should be discharged  
upon his own recognizance.

Wm. J. Campbell  
April 1893.  
Undersigned

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Mary Dagnelie

Dec. 11, 1893

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

J. L. Gattin  
Feb. 2 - April 1893. Foreman.  
On motion of the District  
Attorney defendant discharged  
upon his verbal recognizance.

RECEIVING STOLEN GOODS  
(Section 550, Penal Code.)

Counsel,

Filed,

Pleads,

189

0592

## Court of General Sessions.

THE PEOPLE

vs.

*Harry Dagnelie*

City and County of New York, ss:

*Allan Hay.*

being duly

sworn, deposes and says: I am a Police Officer attached to the  
in the City of New York. On the *17<sup>th</sup>* day of *April*

Precinct,

189*3*.I called at *470 - 7<sup>th</sup> Ave.*the alleged *residence*

of

*Jacob Grossman.*

the complainant herein, to serve him with the annexed subpoena, and was informed by the

*people residing at the above address that the said Jacob Grossman had moved to another address on 7<sup>th</sup> Ave. bet. 23<sup>rd</sup> & 24<sup>th</sup> St. I inquired at the latter address and the people residing there told me had broken his business and had gone peddling through the country.*

Sworn to before me, this

*18<sup>th</sup>* day

of

*April*189*3**John J. Buckley**Com of Dist n Y Co**Allan Hay.*

Court of General Sessions.

THE PEOPLE, on the Complaint of

vs.

*Samy Naguib*

*William R. Fellows*

JOHN R. FELLOWS,

District Attorney.

Affidavit of Police Officer

*Allan May*

*24<sup>th</sup>*

Precinct.

Failure to find Witness

0593

0594

Police Court, 2 District.

1901

City and County of New York, ss.

of No. 470 Seventh Avenue Street, aged 24 years,  
 occupation Shoemaker being duly sworn, deposes and says,  
 that on the 13 day of January 1893 at the City of New  
 York, in the County of New York, Harry Dagnall (now deceased)

did feloniously and knowingly receive stolen property which had been unlawfully appropriated in such a manner as to constitute a larceny in violation of Section 850 of the Penal Code of the State of New York he knowing the same to have been stolen

For the reasons following to wit: That on said date defendants place of business No 470 Seventh Avenue was broken into and a quantity of shoes and a coat was feloniously taken and carried away and appears further that he is informed by Officer William J. Kelly of the 20th Precinct Police that said Officer arrested one Richard Hughes on complaint of one Max Schwartz who charged charged said Hughes with breaking into said Schwartz place No 304 Seventh Avenue and said Hughes admitted he had sold said coat to the defendant for fifty cents and said Officer found said coat in the defendant's possession which defendant identified as a portion of the property stolen from defendant and a portion of the proceeds of said burglary

Sworn to before me this 19th day of January 1893

John H. Woodie

J. Grossman

Police Justice

0595

CITY AND COUNTY }  
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

1921

aged 30 years, occupation Officer of No. 20th Street

Street, being duly sworn, deposes and says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Jacob Grossman and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 17 day  
of January 1899

Allan Hay

John P. Vouchis Police Justice.



0596

Sec. 198—200.

District Police Court.

1882

City and County of New York, ss:

*Harry Dagneli* being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him, if he see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

*Harry Dagneli*

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

*26 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

*Holland*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

*342 West 23rd St - 4 weeks*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

*Watchman*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer.

*I am not guilty**Harry Dagneli.*

Taken before me this

day of

1892

Police Justice.

0597

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

Harry Dagneli

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, Jan 189 3 John D. Thompson Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named \_\_\_\_\_  
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, \_\_\_\_\_ 189 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.

Dated, \_\_\_\_\_ 189 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.

0598

Police Court---

2

86 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Jacob Grossman  
476 7 Ave  
Harry Dagnoli

2

3

4

Receiving  
Officer  
Helen Gordo

Dated,

January 17  
Voorhis  
Hay & Curry

189

Magistrate.

Officer.

Precinct.

Witnesses

20  
Chief of Police

No.

Street.

No.

Street.

No.

Street.

\$

200 to answer

Wm R S

BAILED,

No. 1, by

Residence

Street.

No. 2, by

Residence

Street.

No. 3, by

Residence

Street.

No. 4, by

Residence

Street.

0599

Court of General Sessions of the Peace  
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

*Harry Dagnelie*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment accuse  
*Harry Dagnelie*  
of the CRIME OF RECEIVING STOLEN GOODS, committed as follows:

The said

*Harry Dagnelie*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *fifteenth*  
day of *January* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and  
ninety-*three*, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

*one coat of the value of ten  
dollars*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one *Jacob Grossman*  
*by one Michael Steghe, and*  
by a certain other person or persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, then lately  
before feloniously stolen, taken and carried away from the said *Jacob Grossman*

unlawfully and unjustly did feloniously receive and have; the said

*Harry Dagnelie*

then and there well knowing the said goods, chattels and personal property to have been felon-  
iously stolen, taken and carried away; against the form of the statute in such case made and  
provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,  
District Attorney.

0600

**BOX:**

508

**FOLDER:**

4633

**DESCRIPTION:**

Davidson, David

**DATE:**

01/05/93



4633

*Witnesses:*

Ed. M. Schreyer

H. Weisbaker

More My Examination  
of the Circumstances  
Surrounding the Arthur  
Case - I am convinced  
there. The interests of the  
People - moved the Court  
subverted by a discharge  
of the Defendant upon  
his own Recognition -  
The accompanying Statements  
of the Complainant, the  
Experiences of persons of  
Character. And the Character  
of his family - What are  
the results I shall state,  
thus concluding -

Week 7-93 Polish Museum  
Arch. Inst.

Counsel.

Filed

## Pleads,

# THE PEOPLE

572

David Davidson

DR LANCEY NICOLL,

*District Attorney.*

# A TRUE BILL

James

✓! (attached)

A Foreman.

~~For Life - Family~~ 1/6/20

900 Lady Franklin

✓

22

Forgery in the Second Degree,  
[Sections 511 and 521, Penal Code.]

Circled

DR LANCEY NICOLI,  
District Attorney.

Wm. L. Dargatzis, Director, Bureau

1 (Recognized)

A TRUE BILL.

James

10

*(Signature)*

~~For this Party~~

*[Handwritten signature]*

John  
1941

1

1





0602

Court of General Sessions.

-----X  
 The People etc. )  
                   : :  
           -agst- : :  
 David Davidson. )  
 -----X

City and County of New York, SS:-

EDWARD M. SCHEIDER, being duly sworn, says that he is engaged in business as a wholesale tobacco dealer, at No. 142 Water Street, in the City of New York.

That he has been well acquainted with David Davidson, the defendant, for upwards of ten years, and that he has always regarded him as respectable and industrious, and deponent knows this to have been the general reputation of said defendant.

That deponent has knowledge of the circumstances of the defendant and his family at the time when the offence with which he is charged was committed and that he believes that the defendant was driven to commit such offence by poverty and the suffering of his wife and family on account thereof.

Deponent respectfully asks that this Honorable Court extend to the defendant its extreme clemency, believing that the defendant will, if an opportunity is afforded him, prove himself worthy of the mercy of the Court, and become a useful member of the community.

Deponent if permitted by this Honorable Court would, for the sake of the wife and children of defendant and his belief in the <sup>positive</sup> presence of defendant ask permission

0603

for the withdrawal of the complaint herein, or that the  
judgment of the Court be suspended. Deponent has been  
the only sufferer in this matter and earnestly and sincere  
ly begs the Courts clemency on behalf of defendant.

Sworn to before me this )

16 day of January, 1893. )

*Edward M. Schneider*  
*Assec. Justice*  
*Nat'l. Sup'l.*  
*Bury Co. Cal. to filed in N.Y.*



0605

were without fuel and almost without food. Privation joined to anxiety as to the future of his family so preyed on his mind that he was incapable of work had he then secured employment, I do not think he realized anything other than that we must die of starvation unless food was obtained in some manner.

The <sup>Charity</sup> ~~County~~ of friends and relatives, who had been as generous as their means would permit, had long since been exhausted, and my husband did not stoop to crime he was driven to it, I knew that crime was repulsive to his very feeling, I believe that he would have died himself sooner than have incurred dishonor, and that the love he bore for his family was alone the cause of his fall.

I ask the Court to extend its clemency, not so much in the hope that my husband may escape punishment; not so much that I and my family may escape being thrown on the charity of the world without support or a supporter, but rather that my husband may have an opportunity to shew to the world that he is not criminal. Knowing him as I do I am confident that his every effort will be bent to live down the consequences of his one evil act; that he will in time regain the respect, esteem and trust which all of his acquaintances have heretofore reposed in him, and that he will finish his life as he commenced it, an honest man in the eyes of all.

Sworn to before me this

16 day of January, 1893. )

) Frederick M. Davidson.

NOTARY PUBLIC KINGS COUNTY,  
CERTIFICATE FILED IN NEW  
YORK COUNTY.

0606

GOODS FROM AUCTION DAILY.

*Ferdinand Schulich,*

JOBBER IN

**Hosiery, Fancy Goods, Trimmings,**

GLOVES, LACES, RIBBONS,

EMBROIDERIES, BUTTONS, ETC.

**311 CHURCH STREET,**

Bet. Walker and Lispenard Sts.

NEW YORK.

CLOAKS A SPECIALTY.

0607

Court of General Sessions.

-----X

The People etc.

-agst-

David Davidson

-----X

City and County of New York, SS:-

*Ferdinand Ehrlich* being duly sworn, deposes and says; that he is engaged in the *Dry Goods* business, at No. 311 *Church St* in the City of New York,. That he has been acquainted with the above named defendant about 15 years, and that he has always regarded him as thoroughly conscientious, honest and industrious, and deponent knows this to have been the general reputation of said defendant.

*extend* Deponent respectfully asks that this Honorable Court, toward the defendant its extreme clemency, believing that if the opportunity is afforded the defendant will prove himself worthy of the courts mercy, and become a useful member of the community.

Sworn to before me this )  
11 day of January, 1893. )

*Henry Kettman*

*Notary Public*

*Kings Co N.Y.*  
*Certificate filed in my city*

*Ferdinand Ehrlich*



0608

ADLER & CAHN,

IMPORTERS OF

WOLENS,.

No. 297 CHURCH STREET,  
NEW YORK.

0609

Court of General Sessions.

-----X  
The People etc.

-agst-

David Davidson  
-----X

City and County of New York, SS:-

*W. A. Allen* being duly sworn, deposes and says;  
that he is engaged in the *cloth* business, at No. *297 Church*  
in the City of New York,. That he has been ac-  
quainted with the above named defendant about *5* years, and  
that he has always regarded him as thoroughly conscientious  
honest and industrious, and deponent knows this to have been  
the general reputation of said defendant.

that  
Deponent respectfully asks, this Honorable  
Court extend toward the defendant its extreme clemency,  
believing that if the opportunity is afforded the defendant  
will prove himself worthy of the Courts mercy, and become a  
useful member of the community.

Sworn to before me this  
11 day of January, 1893.

*Henry Fulton*  
*Natary Public*  
*Kings Co N Y*  
*Certificate filed N Y City*

*M. Allen*

06 10



Established  
1872.

*Gans Bros. & Gutwillig,*  
**RED TO**

Manufacturers of  
**LADIES' WRAPPERS**  
AND  
**TEEN-BOYS' CLOAKS.**

INFANTS',  
CHILDREN'S AND MISSES'

**CLOAKS,**

**1016 & 318 CHURCH STREET,**

*Between Lispenard and Walker.*

RALPH GANS,  
ROBERT GANS,  
HENRY GUTWILLIG

*Represented by.....*

NEW YORK.



06 12

LEVENE BROS.,  
REMNANTS AND SECONDS OF  
COTTON AND WOOLEN GOODS,  
JOBS IN DRY GOODS.  
86 WALKER STREET,  
Near Broadway,  
NEW YORK.

06 13

--Court of General Sessions.

-----X

The People etc.

-agst-

David Davidson

-----X

City and County of New York, SS:-

*Joseph L. ...* being duly sworn, deposes  
and says; that he is engaged in the *Dry Goods* business, at  
No. *86 Walker St* in the City of New York. That  
he has been acquainted with the above named defendant about  
*10* years, and that he has always regarded him as thorough-  
ly conscientious honest and industrious, and deponent knows  
this to have been the general reputation of said defendant.  
*and said* Deponent respectfully asks that theis Honorable  
Court extend toward the defendant its extreme clemency, be-  
lieving that if the opportunity is afforded the defendant  
will prove himself worthy of the Courts mercy, and become  
a useful member of the community.

Sworn to before me this

day of January, 1893.

NOTARY PUBLIC KINGS COUNTY,  
CERTIFICATE FILED IN NEW  
YORK COUNTY.



06 14

**E. GOTTSCHO,**

JOBBER IN

*Fancy \* Goods, \* Motions,*

GLOVES, LACES, ETC.

310 & 312 CHURCH ST.

Near Walker Street.

NEW YORK.

06 15

Court of General Sessions.

The People etc.

-agst-

David Davidson

City and County of New York, SS:-

being duly sworn, deposes and says; that he is engaged in the *fancy goods* business, at No. *310 Church St.* in the City of New York,. That he has been acquainted with the above named defendant about *10* years, and that he has always regarded him as thoroughly conscientious honest and industrious, and deponent knows this to have been the general reputation of said defendant.

Deponent respectfully ask s that this Honorable Court extend toward the defendant its extreme clemency, believing that if the opportunity is afforded the defendant will prove himself worthy of the Courts mercy, and become a useful member of the community.

Sworn to before me this )  
day of January, 1893. )

NOTARY PUBLIC KINGS COUNTY,  
CERTIFICATE FILED IN NEW  
YORK COUNTY,

06 16

Court of General Sessions.

-----X

The People etc.

-agst-

David Davidson

-----X

City and County of New York, SS:-

*Joseph Hurts* being duly sworn, deposes  
and says; that he is engaged in the *as Bookkeeper* business, at  
No. *311 Church* in the City of New York,. That  
he has been acquainted with the above named defendant  
about *10* years, and that he has always regarded him as  
thoroughly conscientious, honest and industrious, and  
deponent knows this to have been the general reputation  
of said defendant.

Deponent respectfully asks that this Honorable  
*extend* Court, toward the defendant its extreme clemency, believing  
that if the opportunity is afforded the defendant will  
prove himself worthy of the courts mercy, and become a  
useful member of the community.

Sworn to before me this )

11 day of January, 1893. )

*Henry Hutton* *Joseph Hurts*  
*Notary Public* *Henry Hutton*  
*Certificate filed in City*

06 17

Court of General Sessions.

-----X  
The People etc.

-agst-

David Davidson  
-----X

City and County of New York, SS:-

*Emanuel Neil* being duly sworn, deposes and says;  
that he is engaged in the *dry* business, at No. *311*  
*Church* in the City of New York,. That he has been ac-  
quainted with the above named defendant about *10* years, and  
that he has always regarded him as thoroughly conscientious  
honest and industrious, and deponent knows this to have been  
the general reputation of said defendant.

Deponent respectfully asks <sup>that</sup> this Honorable  
Court extend toward the defendant its extreme clemency,  
believing that if the opportunity is afforded the defendant  
will prove himself worthy of the Courts mercy, and become a  
useful memmber of the community.

Sworn to before me this

*Emanuel Neil*  
11 day of January, 1893.

*Henry Hartman*  
*Notary Public*  
*Kings Co New York*  
*Certificate filed New York*  
*City*

06 18

--Court of General Sessions.

-----X

The People etc.

-agst-

David Davidson

-----X

City and County of New York, SS:-

*Samuel Weinberg* being duly sworn, deposes and says; that he is engaged in the *real estate* business, at No. *172 East 90<sup>th</sup> St.* in the City of New York. That he has been acquainted with the above named defendant about *15* years, and that he has always regarded him as thoroughly conscientious honest and industrious, and deponent knows this to have been the general reputation of said defendant. *and said* Deponent respectfully asks that ~~the~~ Honorable Court extend toward the defendant its extreme clemency, believing that if the opportunity is afforded the defendant will prove himself worthy of the Courts mercy, and become a useful member of the community.

Sworn to before me this )  
11 day of January, 1893. )

*Samuel Weinberg*

*[Signature]*  
Notary Public  
N.Y. & C.

06 19

Court of General Sessions.

-----X  
The People etc.

-agst-

David Davidson  
-----X

City and County of New York, SS:-

*Jos. Newberger* being duly sworn, deposes and says; that he is engaged in the *fine of scale* business, at No. *311 Church St* in the City of New York,. That he has been acquainted with the above named defendant about *12*-years, and that he has always regarded him as thoroughly conscientious, honest and industrious, and deponent knows this to have been the general reputation of said defendant.

Deponent respectfully asks that this Honorable Court <sup>*extend*</sup> toward the defendant its extreme clemency, believing that if the opportunity is afforded the defendant will prove himself worthy of the courts mercy, and become a useful member of the community.

Sworn to before me this )  
11 day of January, 1893. )

*Jos. Newberger*  
*Henry Fullman*  
*Natary Public*

*Kings Co N.Y.*  
*Certificate filed n/y city*



0620

---Court of General Sessions.

-----X

The People etc.

-agst-

David Davidson

-----X

City and County of New York, SS:-

*M. Rosenfeld*

being duly sworn, deposes

and says; that he is engaged in the *liquor* business, at  
No. *114 Centre St.* in the City of New York. That

he has been acquainted with the above named defendant about  
*20* years, and that he has always regarded him as thorough-  
ly conscientious honest and industrious, and deponent knows  
this to have been the general reputation of said defendant.

*and said* Deponent respectfully asks that their Honorable  
Court extend toward the defendant its extreme clemency, be-  
lieving that if the opportunity is afforded the defendant  
will prove himself worthy of the Courts mercy, and become  
a useful member of the community.

Sworn to before me this )  
day of January, 1893. )

NOTARY PUBLIC IN KINGS COUNTY,  
CERTIFICATE FILED IN NEW  
YORK COUNTY.

*M. Rosenfeld*

0621

Sir,

Please take notice, that the within  
a true copy of a  
in the within entitled action, this day duly  
entered and filed in the office of the Clerk  
of this Court.

Dated, N. Y.

189

Yours &c.,

ABRAHAM LEVY,

Att'y for

To

Esq.,

Attorney for

N. Y.

General Sessions Court.

The People  
vs.

against

David Davidson

Applicant

ABRAHAM LEVY,

Attorney for *deponent*

61-65 PARK ROW, (World Building)

NEW YORK CITY.

Due and timely service of a copy of the within

is hereby admitted.

this day of 189

Attorney for

0622

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
STATE OF NEW YORK.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, SS.

In the Name of the People of the State of New York, To any peace officer in  
the State of New York:

Information upon oath having been this day laid before me, that  
the crime of *Forgery in the second degree* has been committed and  
accusing *David Davidson* — thereof:

You are therefore Commanded forthwith to arrest the above-named *David*  
*Davidson* and bring him before me at *the Second*  
*District Police Court*, — in the City of New York,  
or in case of my absence or inability to act, before the nearest or most accessible Magistrate  
in this County.

Dated at the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, this *5th* day of  
*December*, 189*2*.

*John Ryan*  
Police Justice

0623

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
State of New York.

THE PEOPLE  
OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

David Davidson

WARRANT OF ARREST.

Issued December 5, 1892

John J. Ryan, Magistrate.

Chief Armstrong, Officer.

To John J. Ryan Esquire.  
Police Justice, &c

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss.

The return of Edward J. Armstrong  
a Detective Sergeant of the Municipal Police of the City of New  
York respectfully shows that the within named David  
Davidson can not with due diligence be found within  
the State of New York.

Dated at the City of New York, in the County of New York  
aforesaid, this 27th day of December, 1892.

Edward J. Armstrong  
Detective Sergeant.

0624



\$57 50

New York, Feb. 11/92

Three months after date I promise to pay to the order of J. Davidson

Fifty Seven 50 Dollars  
at Harlem River Bank

Value received

Pay May 11/92 107 J. Wisbecker



0625



57 50

New York, Feb. 11<sup>th</sup> 1892

Three months after date I promise to pay to the order of J. Davidson

Fifty Seven 50 Dollars  
of Harlem River Bank

Value received

No

107

J. Wisbecker

0626

164  
F. Davids  
50 E. 104<sup>th</sup> St  
for collection  
Crescent  
[Signature]



0627

THOMAS W. ROE, Stationer and Printer, 63 Wall St., N. Y.

United States of America, }  
State of New York, } ss.  
City and County of New York, }

On the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 1892  
at the request of One Plaza Bank  
J. H. Hessedau a Notary Public of the State  
of New York, duly commissioned and sworn, did present the original note  
hereunto annexed, to William H. H. H.

and demanded payment who refused to pay the same.

Whereupon, I, the said Notary, at the request aforesaid, did PROTEST, and  
by these presents, do publicly and solemnly PROTEST, as well against the Drawer and  
Endorser of the said note as against all others whom it doth or may  
concern, for exchange, re-exchange, and all costs, damages, and interest already incurred,  
and to be hereafter incurred for want of payment of the same.

Thus done and Protested in the City of New York, aforesaid, in the presence  
of John Doe and Richard Roe, witnesses.

IN TESTIMONIUM VERITATIS.

United States of America, }  
State of New York, }  
CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

I, J. H. Hessedau a Notary Public of  
the State of New York, duly commissioned and sworn, do hereby  
Certify, that on the 16 day of May 1892  
Notice of the Protest of the before mentioned note  
was served upon William H. H. H.

J. H. Hessedau  
One Plaza Bank  
One Plaza Bank

J. H. Hessedau  
Notary Public

E. M. Schindler  
175

0628

Protest, \$ 57<sup>50</sup>

Wiestricher  
FOR

The Plaza Bk.  
New York, May 16 1892

W. H. H. H. H.  
Notary.

Protest, Notices, Postage, &c., \$ 1<sup>25</sup>

0629

Police Court, 2 District.

1901

City and County of New York, ss. Edward M. Scherder  
 of No. 142 Water Street, aged 43 years,  
 occupation leaf tobacco dealer being duly sworn, deposes and says,  
 that on the 11<sup>th</sup> day of February 1892, at the City of New  
 York, in the County of New York, one David Davidson

did make utter and forge, and pass  
 upon deponent, a certain false and  
 forged instrument of writing hereto  
 annexed, purporting to be a note for  
 fifty seven dollars <sup>57/100</sup> drawn by S.  
 Weisbaker in favor of Dr. Davidson  
 for payment three months from date,  
 and the date was Feb 11 1892, and  
 the defendant then and there represented  
 to deponent, falsely, that the signature of  
 the said note was Solomon Weisbaker  
 now here, and deponent believed  
 the said false representations of the  
 defendant, and relied upon them  
 and accepted the same in part  
 payment of a debt due from the  
 defendant to deponent, and also,  
 deponent gave the defendant a  
 check for twenty six dollars and  
 sixty eight cents which sum the  
 defendant then and there obtained  
 from deponent in part payment  
 for said forged note, and deponent  
 charges that defendant intended to be  
 fraud deponent by means of said forged note

Sworn to before me this  
 5<sup>th</sup> day of December  
 1892

John Ryan  
 Notary Public

Edward M. Scherder

0630

CITY AND COUNTY }  
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Solomon Weisbucker  
aged 47 years, occupation Broker of No.  
1702 Lexington Avenue Street, being duly sworn, deposes and  
says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Edward M. Schuch  
and that the facts stated therein ~~on information of deponent~~ are true of deponent's own  
knowledge as relates to the injury of deponent's  
signature to said note

Sworn to before me, this 5 day of December 1899, Salomon Weisbucker

John H. Ryan  
Police Justice.

0631

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of \_\_\_\_\_ Hundred Dollars, \_\_\_\_\_ and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, \_\_\_\_\_ 189 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named \_\_\_\_\_ to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, \_\_\_\_\_ 189 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named \_\_\_\_\_ guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.

Dated, \_\_\_\_\_ 189 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.

0632

Police Court---

1604  
District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Edward M. Schuler  
vs. Walter D.  
Dand Dandon

Offense

BAILED,

No. 1, by \_\_\_\_\_  
Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

No. 2, by \_\_\_\_\_  
Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

No. 3, by \_\_\_\_\_  
Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

No. 4, by \_\_\_\_\_  
Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

Dated, Sept 5 189 2  
Ryan Magistrate.

Armstrong Officer.  
CO Precinct.

Witnesses A. Wurben  
No. 1702 Lehigh Av Street.

No. \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

No. \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

\$ \_\_\_\_\_ to answer



0633

Boston 25<sup>th</sup> Jan 93

My dear Mrs Levy!

Thank you very much for the  
 money that you have sent me  
 and for the trouble that you  
 have taken in sending it.  
 I am very much obliged to you  
 and hope that you will be  
 able to send me some more  
 when you have a chance.  
 I am very much obliged to you  
 and hope that you will be  
 able to send me some more  
 when you have a chance.  
 I am very much obliged to you  
 and hope that you will be  
 able to send me some more  
 when you have a chance.



0634

O, how long is there not  
 the best of friends mainst' of  
 to you, father, in me, that  
 we must forever be  
 as before!

It is perfectly unequal in  
 my mind, but, however  
 I am in the same  
 as before!

How many thousands of  
 is just as before!

It is now, and I am  
 as before, I am now  
 the first of the people to  
 be as before.

27 Armstrong St.  
 Jamaica Plain,  
 Boston, Mass.

With Love and Affection  
 Your obedient servant  
 Friederike Davidson.

0635

For F. Davidson 27 Cornhill at  
Jamaica Plain, Boston, Mass.

A. Levy. Esq.  
Counsellor at Law.

Room 181. World Building  
Park Row.  
New-York.  
City.



0636

Court of General Sessions.

-----X

The People etc.

Synopsis of affidavits.

-agst-

Daniel Davidson

-----X

1. First offence.
2. Excellent character as shown by all affidavits.
3. Will return to his family in Boston.
4. ~~Exoner~~ The defendant by reason of misfortune and poverty was driven to the necessity.
5. The complainant joins earnestly in request.
6. Whatever loss sustained by complainant, he being the only sufferer he foregoes but he will be reëmbursed although he does not ask it.

Please read annexed letter.

Chas. Lee

0637

518

**Court of General Sessions of the Peace**  
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

*David Davidson*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

*David Davidson*  
of the CRIME OF FORGERY IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

*David Davidson*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *eleventh*  
day of *February* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and  
ninety-*two*, at the City and County aforesaid, with intent to defraud; feloniously did  
forge a certain instrument and writing, which said forged instrument and writing is as follows, that  
is to say:

*\$57.50 New York, Feb. 11 1892*

*Three months after date I promise  
to pay to the order of F.*

*Fifty seven — 50 Dollars  
at Harlem River Bank*

*Value received*

*S. Weisbecker*

against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People  
of the State of New York and their dignity.

0638

SECOND COUNT.

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

*David Davidson*

of the CRIME OF FORGERY IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

*David Davidson*

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with intent to defraud, did feloniously utter, dispose of and put off as true, a certain forged instrument and writing, which said forged instrument and writing is as follows, that is to say:

*\$57.50 New York, Feb 11 1892*

*Three months after date I promise to  
pay to the order of F.*

*Fifty Seven ——— 50/ Dollars  
at Harlem River Bank*

*Value received*

*S. Weesbucker*

the said

*David Davidson*

then and there well knowing the same to be forged, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

*District Attorney.*

0639

**BOX:**

508

**FOLDER:**

4633

**DESCRIPTION:**

Dean, Henry J.

**DATE:**

01/27/93



4633

0640

Witnesses:

Bernard Brady

Officer Nash

Counsel *[Signature]*  
Filed day of *May* 189*3*  
Pleas, *Guilty*

THE PEOPLE

vs.

*Henry J. Han*

Assault in the Second Degree.  
(Section 218, Penal Code.)

DE LANCEY NICOLL,  
District Attorney.

*July 9/93*  
*Chief & Counselor of*  
*Armed & Dangerous*

A TRUE BILL.

*J. Cathin*

*Sept 2 - 1893* Foreman.  
*trial and jury disagree*  
*Pen one yr. 7m*  
*1893*



0641

THE PEOPLE,

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS, PART 1.

vs.

BEFORE JUDGE COWING.

HENRY J. DEAN.

Wednesday, February 8th, 1893.

Indictment for ASSAULT, in the second degree.

A Jury was empanelled and sworn.

BERNARD BRADY, sworn and examined, testified:

Where do you live? No. 70 East 129th street. What is your business? Night watchman for Boes Brothers, 205 East 129th street. Do you know this defendant? I never spoke to the man. Do you know where he lives? He lives next door to the shop. Your business is to keep an eye on the place of Mr. Boes? Yes. What is their business? Boiler makers. On the night of the 22nd of January what time did you get to Boes's place? Six o'clock. You remained there how long? About a quarter to nine, and then I took a walk to the corner of Third avenue. I bought five cents worth of peanuts, and started down to the shop again. A train happened to come in at the time, it is right under the elevated station, I thought I would wait and see if I would know anybody; I waited there two or three minutes. Did you see this defendant? No. What did you do after the people came down off the station? I saw this defendant's wife run past me towards Third avenue. Did you say anything to her? No; I looked at her running, she was running quite fast. I heard footsteps coming behind me quite loudly, and I just turned around and I saw the defendant in the act of assaulting me; he was right on top of me, he was too close for me to avoid it. Did he have anything in his hand? He had that slung-shot. Was it in this broken condition? No, that was attached to it

0642

(pointing to the slung-shot). You turned around, and he had the thing raised? He had it over my head. Before you could get up your arm it descended on your head? Yes, it went down. You lost your senses? Yes. It knocked you senseless? Yes. Where did he hit you? On the top of my head; the next thing the officer had him alongside of me. Were you still on the ground? Yes, getting up. The police officer was standing over you with Mr. Dean, and a crowd around there? Yes, quite a crowd. What did you say, and what did the officer say? He asked me if this was the man assaulted me, and I said yes. Then you went to the station house, did you? Yes. After you were taken to the station house did you go to the hospital? Yes. Did you have your head sewed up? Yes. How many stitches were put in it? I believe five, but I am not sure. Is the cut still open, have the stitches been taken out? The stitches were taken out last Thursday.

CROSS EXAMINATION:

You say you never met the defendant, Dean, until this night? I met him several times, but never spoke to him. You never had any conversation whatever? No. Do you know his wife? Yes, I know his wife. How long had you known her? I guess four months, I knew where she lived. You had been in her apartments? Yes. Have you had trouble with her? Slightly. More than once? No. You were sworn as a witness on the previous trial of this case, weren't you? Yes. Didn't you testify upon that trial that you assaulted her? No, I did not --- did I what? Did you testify upon that trial that you assaulted the defendant's wife, in her own rooms? No. What did you testify to? I testified she assaulted me, her and her boarder. How many times have you been in her house,

0643

in the absence of the defendant? I couldn't tell that, quite often. On this night, previous to this assault, did you see Mrs. Dean, the defendant's wife? Only while she was running by me, that is the only time. Didn't you see her as she came in her home, and didn't you follow her into the alley? No. And had you this altercation in the alleyway with Dean, the defendant? No. You mean to have this Court and jury understand that, without ever having exchanged a word with Dean, that without any words whatever he came up and assaulted you with this weapon? Yes, that is what I would have them believe; and that is the truth. What is your business? Night watchman for Boes Brothers. Did you work at the plumbing business? I have, years ago. For how long? I served my time at it, I worked eight years at it. Did the defendant say anything when he struck you? No, he never spoke but ran up the street. Was he in his shirt sleeves, did he have any coat on? That is the way he was, in his shirt sleeves, bare-headed. Was this on the stairs, going up on the elevated station? About fifteen or twenty feet from the corner. How far away from his home? I should judge forty or fifty feet. You know his premises well? Yes. You had occasion to break in the glass front of those premises, down stairs? No, his wife broke it, but I paid for it, to save trouble. Have you ever been convicted of any crime? No. How long have you been a watchman? Since the cold weather started in, just after Christmas. What did you do before that? I worked in a boiler shop, for Boes Brothers. How long have you been working there? Since they have been in business, just five years. Were you working continuously five years? On and off; some weeks I made thirty-five dollars, and some weeks I wouldn't make a cent. Did you work

0644

two years out of the five? I guess I did, I am not positive. Have you ever been in trouble with this defendant's wife other than what you related upon the former trial? No.--- I would like to hear the question again. Have you ever had any trouble with the defendant's wife, other than what you have related here upon the witness-stand now? No. Isn't it a fact that you have been constantly following her up? No. Isn't it a fact that you abused her and grabbed her in her own hallway -- and that her husband ran down and found you have hold of her? No. Do you wish to be understood that you were simply a bystander upon that street and this husband assaulted you without ever any words with her? Yes. You never exchanged a word with him? Not to this day.

MORRIS NASH, sworn and examined, testified:

What precinct are you attached to? The 29th, East 126th street. Where was your post on the 22nd of January, and what tour of duty did you have, six to twelve? Six to twelve P. M. Where was your post? From 126th street and Third avenue to 130th street and Third avenue. Did you see that lady on your post that night (pointing to Mrs. Dean)? Yes. When and where did you see her? At 129th street and Third avenue, about twenty minutes to nine. You had a conversation with her, and in consequence of that conversation did you send her home, you told her to go home? Yes. You know where she lives? Yes. She went in the direction of her home, and she came back to you again, and you had another conversation with her? Yes. Did she run back or walk back? She ran back. Did you go on down towards her house after her, the second time? Yes, but not immediately, a very short time after. You had her in sight? Yes, I had her in sight

0645

as she went towards Second avenue, and I followed her in that direction. Did you, on your way down, meet this defendant when you followed her down the second time, did you see this man Dean? Yes. Where did you see him? I saw him about twenty feet East of Third avenue, on the avenue. Had the woman disappeared in the meantime? No. Where was she? She was ahead of him, coming towards me, and she passed me. You got in between her and him? Yes. Did he have anything in his hand when you saw him? Yes, he had that slung-shot. Was that slung-shot broken or intact when you saw it with him? Intact; he carried it like this in his hand, in an uplifted position over his shoulder. Was he running or walking? He was running. Was the woman running too? The woman was running ahead of him. I grabbed him around the neck, and took hold of his right hand; we were tussling until I got possession of this, we fought for some time, I threw him down and got possession of it; as I was taking him to the station house some citizen halloed. You gave him the foot, threw him down and took this thing away from him? Yes. While it was in your possession the head came off? Yes. Then you turned with this man; which way did you turn to bring him towards Third avenue or across the street? I started for the station house, towards Third avenue. Some citizen then called your attention to something? Yes; he says, "He is after laying out a man on the corner, officer, he is after assaulting a man on the corner." Where did you go then? I turned around with the prisoner, and went towards the corner. Was that from the direction in which this man ran with thing thing uplifted in his hand? Yes. Did you find out afterwards that the man who was assaulted was Brady, the complainant? Yes. You found Brady, the complainant, behind

0646

this man, then, and you went to the station house with Brady and the defendant? Yes. Did the wife of Brady go along? Yes. Was the wife in the station house when they were all arraigned before the sergeant? Yes. What was the name of the sergeant who was in charge? Sergeant Sullivan. You arraigned this prisoner before the sergeant? Yes. What did he say? He had nothing to say when accused. You locked him up? Yes. Did he make any charge against the complainant, Brady? No. Did his wife make any charge against the complainant, Brady? No.

CROSS EXAMINATION:

How long have you known this defendant? I never remember seeing him until the night I locked him up. How long have you known the complainant, Brady? By sight for about fifteen years; I never spoke much to the man until I came down; I simply saluted him, I know that his name was Brady and that he was a watchman. Did your beat extend over the premises that he watched? No. Did you meet him almost daily? Yes, I met him almost daily. You don't feel unfriendly towards him, do you? No. On the former trial of this accused, did you say that the defendant assaulted you too? He attempted to. Is it not a fact that some young boy came up to you with this weapon and handed it to you? No; it was hanging down when it was in his hand, I mean the weight, the billy. Who detached it? It got detached between me and him, I was about the first one to detach it, in trying to wrench the weight from his hand, I grabbed hold of the billy end of it and knocked him down. Did you use it on him? No. Haven't you sworn that you used the weapon on the defendant? No. Did you use any weapon on him? Yes. You had quite a scuffle there? Yes. You don't know what occurred between Brady



0647

and this defendant No; and I don't know where it occurred.  
What weapon did you use on him? I used the butt end of that,  
(pointing to the slung-shot), and the night stick.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY: That is the case for The People.

The Case for The Defence.

HENRY J. DEAN, THE DEFENDANT, sworn and examined testified:  
Where do you reside? 205 East 129th street. What is your  
business? Brown stone cutter. How long have you been engaged  
in that business? I served my time until I was twenty-one  
years old, I am thirteen years a journeyman. Do you  
recollect the night of the 22nd of January? Yes, I do.  
Where were you about half-past eight or a quarter to nine  
o'clock? I was up in my kitchen, reading the Sunday paper.  
How many rooms have you? I have four rooms. Had you been  
drinking anything? No, I am not a drinking man. State what  
occurred about twenty minutes of nine? Between twenty minutes  
of nine and nine o'clock I was sitting by the stove  
reading when I heard a noise, a sound of scuffling and loud  
talk in the hallway. Did you recognize any voices? Yes, I  
recognized my wife's voice. I ran to the head of the stairs  
and heard some one say, "Let go of me, you dirty loafer, get  
out of this hallway, I don't want you here, let go." I  
looked down and found my wife in the clutch of this man  
Brady, struggling to get away from him. I said to my wife,  
"What is this man doing in the hallway?" My wife says, "The  
dirty loafer followed me up from the corner into the hallway  
and took hold of me and tried to throw me down." I says to  
him, "What are you interfering with my wife for? Go out of



0648

the hall, you have no business here at all, get away from the premises. He said, "You son of a bitch, who the hell are you, anyhow?" I says, "I am this woman's husband, get out of the hall, get out of the hallway." He says, "God damn you," some words to that effect, "I was here before ever you was here, and I will be here after you." I says, "That may be, but I want you to get out now, I pay rent here, it is my premises, I have a right here and you have no right." He says, "You are some God damn pimp." I says, "No; you get out of here now, as quock as you can." I started to shove him out, when he drew something from his pocket and made a blow at me; the first blow hit me on the top of the head here, he struck me again, I put up my hand and got a blow on the arm here. I got him out of the hallway by this time, out on the landing. As he was coming at me again I struck him on the chin, I grabbed his hand and brought it down on his head. I then went towards Third avenue to see if I could see a policeman, I met this officer across the avenue, there was a crowd collecting there, I was bare-headed, I had no coat. Had you and your wife ny trouble that evening? No. Where had she been? She was visiting a neighbor, she went out in the afternoon, about six o'clock I judge. She had not returned to the house until you heard her voice down stairs, crying? She had not returned after going out to visit. You had no trouble with her, no words? No. Have you ever been arrested or convicted of any crime before? Never in my life, this is the first time I have been charged with crime. You know from communications made to you that this Brady had threatened your wife, and had attempted to assault her, didn't you? She wrote me a letter to Baltimore saying she was afraid to stop there alone; she had been an-

0649

noyed by a loafer, it was a pretty hard place. Have you related everything that occurred that night? Yes, everything up to the time I was arrested. This man was in your own hallway? In the hallway of my house.

CROSS EXAMINATION:

You are sure you have told everything, haven't you, with the assistance of your counsel and the aid of your own memory? Yes, up to the time of my arrest. Don't you remember this complainant, Brady, hitting you twice on the head with this thing (the slung-shot)? No, he only struck me once on the head and once in the arm. You remember that, don't you? Yes, I have cause to remember it. Hit you two blows in the hall, before you got that weapon out of his hand? Yes; both blows were done with one weapon; the entry was not wide enough for him to get a good blow at me. He did the best he could under the circumstances. I did not hit him in the entry, he was on the landing when I hit him, he was in the open air, on a wooden landing or platform when I hit him. My wife dressed herself to go out to visit that afternoon, but she did not tell me where she was going, this was Sunday afternoon, after we had dinner. Do you remember testifying at the last trial that yourself and wife were in the room, that you had a paper in your hand, and that your wife got up to go out to get some things to eat for the supper, to go to market, this Sunday afternoon, immediately before that? Yes, that was long before; I remember saying that. I think I met the officer about twenty feet from the curbstone, on the avenue. Do you remember telling him anything about the fact that Brady had assaulted you? No, I did not speak to the officer until he had hold of me, when Brady came up. Officer Nash didn't bring you over to Brady? No, he didn't; I

0650

am positive he did not bring me to Brady. I did not have a chance to say anything to the officer. I was very much excited, and the excitement never ceased until I was landed in the cell. You remember being in the station house? Yes, I do. You remember your wife being there? I remember her being dragged up by another officer. You remember seeing Sergeant Sullivan? I couldn't remember who was behind the desk. Did you ever see that officer before (pointing to Officer Dixon, standing up)? No, I am not acquainted in that neighborhood. You are a peaceable and good man? Yes, I am. You never assaulted your wife? I have had a wordy quarrel, I never struck my wife. You never were arrested on her complaint for an assault on her? Objected to. Objection sustained. What kind of a house is it, a tenement or private house, you live in? It is a private house, there is no tenant, only me; the ground floor is occupied by offices, and stores, and I am the only tenant up stairs.

ANNIE DEAN, sworn and examined, testified:

You are the wife of the defendant? Yes. How long have you been married? I have been married five years the 18th of last January. You recollect the evening of the 22nd of January? yes. Where had you been that evening? I had been to Mrs. Duncan's. What time did you leave your home? I left I think it was about half-past two or three, somewhere about that, after I had dinner I went over to see her. Is she in court? No, she did not come to court; I didn't need her. What time did you return? I should think it was half-past eight, as near as I can remember. Who did you meet on your return? I met Barney Brady, that loafer that loafer that has annoyed me for months. Whereabouts did you

0651

meet him? Right by the corner, and he followed me up to the hallway door, he caught hold of me. Did you have any talk with him, did he say anything to you while he followed you? No, just followed me, as he has always followed me for months, breaking my windows and climbing in on them. I can prove by the sergeant here that I went five different times in two months for protection. One morning I went when I couldn't get an examination. When your husband was away in Baltimore? Yes. Confine yourself to this night, in this hallway? He caught hold of me in my own hallway. Did you make any alarm? I did, I screamed, I pushed him off my stairs, I went right up the stairs and when I went to go up he pulled me down I screamed and I ran out and he went away. What did you run out for? I ran to get away from him I couldn't go up, if I would he would pull me down into the street. Did you see your husband? He came down behind me and I ran, when I saw he had a scuffle I hallooed "Murder!" because I thought it would be morder, I ran straight across the street to the 129th street station, across where the peanut stand is, across on the right hand side going down, Mullen's liquor store. Your husband and this man were clinched? Yes. You ran up from your premises? Yes, I shouted "Police," "Murder." This man Brady had assaulted you before, in your own premises? Yes. How many times? One time he came in and hit me twice, and upset the stove and the table, and hit me, the scar is on my face, and I was all black and blue where he kicked me; that was the time I went to that sergeant there.

## CROSS EXAMINATION:

When was your husband in Baltimore? He went the latter part of July, I don't really remember the date, last July. When

0652

did you move into 129th street? The 1st of August. You lived in 118th street before that time, didn't you? No, I didn't, I didn't live in 118th street. Did you ever see that officer there (pointing to Officer Dixon)? I might have seen him, but I don't remember. Where did you live before you were in 129th street? I moved from Park avenue, corner of 108th street, I lived there about three months; and then I moved to 205 East 129th street. That is where you were living when this assault occurred? Yes. You are now dispossessed from 205 East 129th street? No, I am not, I can prove it by my landlord that I am not, Mr. Teddy McGuire; it suits me to move. When you went across Third avenue that night did you see Officer Nash? No, I don't remember seeing him. I didn't see him that night, I saw a tall policeman standing five feet six or seven inches, with a black mustache. You didn't go out about nine o'clock and see that man and have a talk with him? No, I saw two other policemen but not him. He has had a dream? I can prove by policemen. Did you see him at all that night? Yes, I saw him going to the station house with my husband. The first time you went out you saw two big officers, but you did not see Nash; the second time Nash was the man you met; is that right? I did not meet him at all, I saw him with my husband. You had not seen him before that night and had no conversation with him, and did not tell him your husband assaulted you? No, I did not. When you went into the police station did you see Sergeant Sullivan? Yes. What occurred when you got in the station house? When they took my husband down these two policemen caught hold of me, this tall fellow held on to my hand tight --- two policemen were alongside of my husband, that was Nash, I suppose, and the other policeman; the tall

0653

policeman that was behind me he caught hold of my husband, he yanked him and he hit him twice, and the other two police officers they yanked him and had hold of me, and one of them a dirty -- excuse me for using the word -- that he was not my husband. Had you been arrested for street walking? I was never arrested in my life. What names did they give you? That is uncalled for, Judge; I will tell you, when I went to the station house Barney Brady made a charge ---the officers took Barney Brady and said this man (meaning her husband) assaulted him. What the policeman took me to the station house for I don't know, but he held tight on to me; after he made a charge against my husband these other policemen then said something; so the sergeant behind the desk said to me, "Is this your husband?" I said, "Yes." I thought Barney Brady said he was so and so; they was going to prove it was my husband; I didn't know I was getting in such trouble, I didn't know what it meant, I went out and went right straight home, for my house was all open. Then of course I seen Billy Boes, he came after me and he followed me down and he asked what happened. Did you see this altercation between your husband and the complainant in your hallway? Yes; it is a narrow hallway, he hauled off and gave my husband a clip, I couldn't say what it was, the blood came out of my husband's face. I saw holes in his head in the station house? He struck me once, and it was a good blow. Did you ever see the slung-shot in your husband's possession? No; the bartender picked it up and gave it to the policeman, Billy Boes said the bartender gave it to the policeman. Do you know whether this is your husband's slung-shot? My husband has no weapon, we have no call for it. Was that ever in your house? No. Are you quite positive you saw the



0654

complainant strike your husband? Yes, they had a scuffle; he yanked something out of Brady's hands and let him have it; I shouted "Police, Murder," I thought they were going to kill one another. Blood was on Barney Brady's head and was on my husband's head, I was near fainting in the street, I screamed, and the people said, "It will be all right, the policeman is coming." The policeman yanked me. Are you positive Brady struck your husband? Yes, he did strike him. Brady says he was standing out by the elevated station and you came running by him, he stood between you and your husband, and your husband struck him on the head? No; I am afraid of my life of that man Brady.

#### REBUTTING EVIDENCE.

WILLIAM W. SULLIVAN, sworn and examined, testified: What precinct are you attached to? The 29th. Were you on duty in the 29th precinct on the 22nd of January last? Yes. Were you on duty when Officer Nash brought this defendant, Dean, and the complainant, Brady, and Mrs. Dean into the station house? Yes; Nash brought the prisoner and the complainant in. Did this defendant have any holes in his head as his wife testified to? No marks of assault at all, he did not complain of any. Was he kicked and pushed and pulled and hauled? No, he was not; the officer had hold of him by the left arm. Was there any kicking or cuffing or pushing or slashing in the station house? No. Was she held by the wrist in the station house by a big strong officer? Nobody had hold of her at all in the station house.

ANNIE DEAN, recalled by District Attorney: Did you, when you were in the station house in front of the

0655

sergeant, whom, you say, you do not remember, make a complaint against your husband, the defendant at the bar, of assaulting you? No, I told you before, when I was taken in I was taken by that policeman, that the sergeant then asked me "is this your husband?" and I said, "Yes;" that was the only question the sergeant asked me. Did you understand whilst you were at the station house that you were making a complaint against your husband? No, I made no complaint against my husband; I went over that night to Mrs. Duncan's, to go to church. He did not assault you? No, he never laid his hands on me.

WILLIAM W. SULLIVAN, recalled by District Attorney:  
Did you see the witness who has just left the stand, in your station house? Yes. What did she say to you when she came into the station house? After the officer had stated why he arrested Dean, and while Brady made his statement of the assault with the slungshot, she said repeatedly she wanted to make a complaint against her husband of assault. I asked her why; she pointed to her left eye, which was discolored, where she said he assaulted her, where he struck her with his fist. I am positive she had a black eye.

CROSS EXAMINATION:

Was there any complaint made, any action taken upon that statement? None at all. No warrant issued? I don't know about the warrant, I told her to go to the court; there was no need of a warrant, he was already under arrest for a felony; that is why I didn't take the complaint. Who brought her there? I don't know, she came there voluntarily. I saw nobody bring her there at all; there was quite a crowd

0656

of people there. How many officers were in the station house at that particular time? Three. How many had hold of Dean? One, Officer Nash. Did somebody assist Nash to bring him there? Yes, two others. Dean was bleeding when he was brought into the station house? No, Brady was bleeding. No marks upon him? No. How long have you known Brady? I never saw him until that night. How long have you been connected with that precinct? Nearly fourteen years. You never saw Brady? Never to my knowledge, I might have seen him before, I don't remember. You know nothing about this assault? No, only the facts stated to me at the time of the arrest. You were not sworn here upon the previous trial, were you? No; I was subpoenaed yesterday, I was not subpoenaed heretofore, on the former trial. How long has Officer Nash been under your control? I think about a year and a half; the subpoena for me was left at the station house last night. After the other trial you had a talk with Nash? No, not specially.. I don't know when it was decided that I was to be a witness; I cannot tell who sent my name to the District Attorney's office. The defendant was brought into the station house, before you, charged with assaulting Brady, was he? Yes. Did you have any conversation with the defendant at that time about the occurrence? No. Didn't you ask him at this time? No, the man Brady was so badly injured. Didn't you ask the defendant what he had to say about it? Yes. What did he say? He said nothing, he shook his head. I said to him, "What have you got to say?" after I held the slung-shot in my hand, and he shook his head, he said nothing. You never heard him give any explanation of this occurrence? No. Were you in court the next morning? No. You don't know what Dean stat-

ed there, do you? No.

ELMER DIXON, sworn and examined, testified:

I am an officer connected with the 29th precinct, and during the Spring of 1892 my post was 118th street and Parkavenue. I know Mrs. Dean, the witness who is here, and the defendant at the bar. Do you know his character for peace and quiet? Yes. Were you frequently, during your patrolling of the post in East 118th street, called into the house by Mrs. Dean to arrest this man for assault? (Objected to. Objection sustained.)

CLARENCE G. STEVENS, sworn and examined, testified:

Where do you live? #2,401 Third avenue, near 130th street, on the corner. Do you know this defendant, Dean? I saw him on Sunday evening, the 22nd of January, at his house, between the hours of half-past seven and pretty nearly to nine o'clock. What was he doing? He was sitting there, with Mrs. Dean and a friend of theirs, and a friend of mine named Newton. Mrs. Dean was in the house at half-past seven o'clock? yes, when I was there and Dean was there. She introduced me and my friend, Mr. Newton; this was in 205 East 129th street. How long did you stay there? I stayed there until five or ten minutes to nine, probably it might have been nine o'clock; I wouldn't say exactly to a minute. Mrs. Dean went out, to the best of my knowledge, about half-past eight o'clock, and she was not back when I went away. Did any difficulty occur in those rooms between yourself and Dean or anybody else? No. Do you know a man named Thomas Butler? No. Was he there that day? Not while I was there.

0658

CROSS EXAMINATION:

Mr. Newton is a man who lives in Harlem, corner of 128th street and Third avenue, he is an engineer in the steamboat business, I have known him about a year. How long have you lived in New York? I have lived in New York all my lifetime. Have you ever been convicted of any crime? No. Where are you employed? Just at present I am not employed; I was employed last week, I work off and on at steamboating, on the Harlem River, for Mr. McConnell, on tug boats. How long have you been acquainted with Dean? I never met him until that night, I went around making a call on Mrs. Dean, I met Mrs. Dean a couple of months previous, I went this night with Mr. Newton; Mr. Newton is not in court. Who did you first tell this story to about being in Dean's that night? I didn't tell it to anybody. How came you here to-day? Because I was summoned here. Didn't you tell anybody you were in Dean's that night before you were summoned? No; only when I started to go down there there was a couple of parties up in Horton's saloon heard us saying where we were going; that's all. Do you know Brady? Yes. How long have you known him? I have known him quite sometime, say four years. Was it not in the morning you were at Dean's house, if at all that day? No, it was in the evening; I got up there about seven o'clock, it was Sunday, the 22nd of January. I slept the night before in Mr. Welford's, who keeps a little restaurant, I have been lodging there for the last two months, I have been working on and off, I have had about twenty five days the last two months. I do some work beside steamboating when I get a change; sometimes I do collecting for different people; I have collected wharfage bills for Mr. Welford; I have also collected for Captain Longstreet.

0659

Did you see any of this altercation between Dean and Brady, did you see any fight between them? No. Do you know anything about this slung-shot? No, I never seen it. You were left alone in Dean's house? Yes; Mr. and Mrs. Dean went down stairs, I don't know whether they went together or not; Mr. Newton had gone out, too, and left me all alone. All the time you were there there was no quarrel? No, all very friendly and drank a lot of beer together. While I was there I fell off in a doze, I drank a little too much? Mr. and Mrs. Dean and Mr. Newton and I were drinking ale in that house that night; Mr. Newton paid for it, and Dean went out and got it. I don't remember when this case was tried before. I saw Newton on the following Monday morning; I said, "Where did you go so soon last night?" He says, "I went down stairs; there is enough to show for it," and he showed me a lump on his head; he said he was hit on the head by Dean, and he ran right home.

BERNARD BRADY, recalled:

Brady, the night that you say this defendant struck you with this slung-shot, had you ever seen him before that night? Yes. Have you had any personal quarrel with him? No. No words with him whatever? Never spoke a word to the man. How far away from the defendant's home was it that you say you were struck? May be forty or fifty feet away, towards Third avenue. Had you been in his doorway that night, assaulting his wife? No. You didn't touch her at all? No. This slung-shot was yours, wasn't it? No. You say that your attention was first called -- there was something going on, you saw the wife run past you? Yes, ran by me. You say you looked around, and he struck you? I heard footsteps,



0660

I looked around and I distinctly caught him on the act of striking me. You had not been in his doorway that night at all? No, I just left my shop. You had not been in front of his house at all? No, I walked by it. You don't know why he struck you? I don't, he struck another man before me, he was mad, I guess.

By Counsel: I understood you yesterday to say that you had never been confined in prison, never been in prison? No. Never been in the Tombs? I have been in the Tombs twice.

By the Court: As an inmate, as a visitor or as a prisoner? I was not as a prisoner, you are not a prisoner until you are convicted.

By Counsel: Oh, yes, you are? I don't think you are.

By the Court: Have you ever been convicted of any offence at all, of any name or description? No.

By Counsel: How many times have you been in prisoner under a charge of crime? (Objected to. Objection sustained.)

HENRY J. DEAN, recalled by Counsel:

I heard the testimony of Mr. Steven's yesterday, I don't know the man, I never saw him before to my knowledge. Was he in your home on this night in question, the 22nd of January? No. Did you drink any beer with him? No, I haven't touched anything since New Year's day. Did you go out and get some beer? No., there was not a soul in my apartment except my wife; I am married; I was married by the Rev. Mr. Hartell, of the Laight street Baptist church, on the 15th of January before the "blizzard." Why did you use the slung-shot on the head of the complainant, what did you strike him on the head for? He used it on me first, and I grasped it away from him, I used it to protect myself. I was no match

physically for that man. When you succeeded in grabbing this weapon from him what was Brady doing? He was coming for me for the third time, making a blow at me, I struck him on the chin and grasped this out of his hand, this lead pipe or whatever it is. Did you ever have that lead pipe, or slung-shot, or whatever you call it, in your possession? No, never carried a weapon in my life. I defy anybody to bring a charge that I was arrested.

By the Court: You are the person that did strike and wound this man? I don't know where I struck him, I struck blindly, I didn't care at the time.

By Counsel: You believed at the time you drew that weapon this man would inflict greivous bodily injury upon you? I did. You didn't believe yourself able to cope with him? I did not, not physically. How much do you weigh? I weight, as I stand now, about one hundred and thirty-four pounds, I should think. What does Brady weigh, do you know? I don't know what the man weighs, he acknowledged he was one hundred and ninety; you can deduct one hundred and thirty-four from one hundred and ninety, I am a light-weight and he a heavy-weight.

The Jury rendered a verdict of GUILTY.

0662

Testimony in the case of  
Henry J. Deane

Filed Jan 1893

760

0663

Police Court—5<sup>th</sup> District.

City and County } ss.:  
of New York, }

Bernard Brady  
of No. 70 East 129<sup>th</sup> Street, aged 41 years,  
occupation Labourer being duly sworn  
deposes and says, that on the 22 day of January 1893 at the City of New  
York, in the County of New York,

he was violently and feloniously ASSAULTED and BEATEN by Henry J. Dean  
(now here) who willfully and maliciously  
struck deponent on the head with  
a certain unlawfull weapon  
commonly known as a slung  
shot; deponent further says that  
this assault was committed

with the felonious intent ~~to take the life of deponent, or~~ to do him grievous bodily harm; and without  
any justification on the part of the said assailant

Wherefore this deponent prays that the said assailant may be apprehended and bound to answer  
for the above assault, etc., and be dealt with according to law.

Sworn to before me, this 23<sup>rd</sup> day  
of January 1893

Bernard Brady

Chas. J. Burke Police Justice.

0664

Sec. 198-200.

5

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY } ss:  
OF NEW YORK,*Henry J. Dean*

being duly examined before the under-  
signed according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is h right to  
make a statement in relation to the charge against h ; that the statement is designed to  
enable h if he sees fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h ;  
that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiver cannot be used  
against h on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

*Henry J. Dean*

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

*33 years old*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer

*Ireland*

Question. Where do you live and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

*205 East 129th Five weeks*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

*Stone Cutter*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony  
against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer.

*I Am Not Guilty*  
*Henry J Dean*

Taken before me this

day of

23

Police Justice.

0665

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named.....

*Defendant*

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *Twenty* Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, *January 1* 189

*John C. Burke* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named.....  
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated,..... 189

Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named.....  
guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.

Dated,..... 189

Police Justice.



0666

6266 5 105  
Police Court--- District.  
1884

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Bernard Brady  
70 East 129th  
Henry J Dean

Offense: Assault

BAILED,

No. 1, by.....

Residence..... Street.

No. 2, by.....

Residence..... Street.

No. 3, by.....

Residence..... Street.

No. 4, by.....

Residence..... Street.

Dated, January 23 1893

Brink Magistrate.

Wash Officer.

29th Precinct.

Witnesses: John Butler  
422 E 124th St top floor Personally

No. .... Street.

Sgt Sullivan  
29th Precinct to bring clothes  
No. of Jan 22 Street.

Officer Dixon  
29th Precinct Street.

\$ 2000 to answer I.S.

Ch. Jan. 23 7 PM.

0667

430

**Court of General Sessions of the Peace**

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

*against*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

*Henry J. Reed*

late of the City and County of New York, on the *fourth* day of *January* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*eight*, at the City and County aforesaid, in and upon one

*Bernard B. Reed*  
in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully did make an assault; and the said

*Henry J. Reed*

with a certain *revolver* which the said

*Henry J. Reed*  
in *his* right hand then and there had and held, the same being then and there a weapon and an instrument likely to produce grievous bodily harm, the said *Bernard B. Reed* then and there feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully strike, beat, bruise and wound, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

0668

SECOND COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said

*Henry J. Keene*  
of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

*Henry J. Keene*

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: On the day and in the year aforesaid,  
at the City and County aforesaid, in and upon the said

*Bernard Brady*

in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously  
did wilfully and wrongfully make another assault; and the said *Henry J. Keene*  
the said *Bernard Brady*  
with a certain

which

the said

in *his* right hand then and there had and held, in and upon the  
*head* of *him* the said *Bernard Brady*  
then and there feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully strike, beat,  
bruise and wound, and did then and there and by the means aforesaid, feloniously, wilfully  
and wrongfully inflict grievous bodily harm upon the said *Bernard Brady*  
to the great damage of the said *Bernard Brady*  
against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the  
People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL, *District Attorney.*

0669

**BOX:**

508

**FOLDER:**

4633

**DESCRIPTION:**

Dillon, Thomas

**DATE:**

01/09/93



4633

0670

Witnesses:

Chas. Zelenky

Officer Dorell

(23)

Comsol,

Filed

Pleads,

day of

189

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Thomas Dillon

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

Robbery, second Degree.  
(Sections 224 and 229, Penal Code.)

A TRUE BILL.

J. Cathin

Foreman.

Aug 13/93

Copy of records of

W. H. Day  
Sec. R. P. S.

0671

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE,  
City and County of New York.

THE PEOPLE,  
-against-  
THOMAS DILLON.

Before  
and a Jury.

TRIED, NEW YORK, JANUARY 13TH, 1893.

INDICTED FOR ROBBERY IN THE SECOND DEGREE.  
INDICTMENT FILED JANUARY 9TH, 1893.

APPEARANCES:

ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY JOHN F. MCINTYRE,

For THE PEOPLE.

MR. HYMAN,

For THE DEFENSE



0672

2

CHARLES ZALENSKY, testified that he kept a restaurant, at 441 West 16th Street, on the 19th day of November, of last year, and was in the restaurant on that day and in the night time. The defendant came in the restaurant and asked for change for a 25 cent piece, after 7 o'clock in the evening. The complainant was behind the counter, and took his pocketbook out of his pocket, and was about to open it, when the defendant seized it---tore it out of his hand, and struck the witness in the face and ran away with the pocketbook, containing \$9. Nothing was ever recovered by the complainant. The complainant saw the defendant on the following evening, between 6 and 7 o'clock, and tried to catch him, but he ran away again. About 3 or 4 days later he saw him again, in Gansevoort Market, but he again failed to catch him. 14 days later the complainant again saw the defendant, who said, "Catch me you son-of-a-bitch, and ran away. The complainant saw the defendant always in that neighborhood. A detective notified him, the complainant, that the defendant had been arrested, and he saw him in the station-

0673

3

house. The complainant identified the defendant as the one who assaulted him and robbed him of the \$9. on the night in question. The defendant took the pocketbook first and then struck the defendant. In

C r o s s - E x a m i n a t i o n,

the witness testified that when he tried to get hold of the defendant, after the defendant had snatched the pocketbook, he struck him, the complainant. He reported the robbery on the Tuesday after it was committed. He told three policemen about the robbery. He was also twice in Jefferson Market about it. He reported it to the police 14 days after the crime was committed.

\*\*\*\*\*

OFFICER JOHN TERRILL, testified that he was attached to the 16th precinct on the 19th day of November, and during the month of December. 441 West 16th Street is in the 16th Ward. He arrested the defendant, but not on the complainant's complaint but on another charge. There was a complaint made against him about the 29th or 30th of November. The complainant identified the boy and he

0674

4

was taken to court. In

C R O S S - E X A M I N A T I O N

he testified that he was not a regular officer on the beat, but Officer Martin was. He, the witness, was the special officer.

\*\*\*\*\*

0675

5

THE DEFENSE.

\*\*\*\*\*

THOMAS DILLON, the defendant, testified in his own behalf, that he was sixteen years of age on the 11th of July. He lived at 13th Street and 10th Avenue before he was arrested. He knew the complainant. He didn't go into the complainant's place on the night of the 19th of November. He didn't on that night, ask the defendant for change of a quarter, and when he offered to give the change, strike him or take a wallet of money from him. He did not effect to strike him, and he never stole any money belonging to the complainant. In

C r o s s - E x a m i n a t i o n

the witness testified that he had never been convicted, and had never been sent to the Protectory, or to the House of Refuge or to any other place. He peddled fruit. He lived at the hotel "Nina" for about six months before he was arrested, and, prior to that, he had a furnished

06 76

6

room. He was born in England and had been in this country about 7 years. His father lives in Williamsburgh. He didn't go home, because he didn't know his father's address. He last saw his father about a year and a half ago. He knew the complainant and had eaten in his place. On the 19th of November, about 7 o'clock in the evening, the defendant testified that he might have been around that block. He didn't go in to the complainant's place to get 25 cents changed. He never ran away from the complainant. He saw the complainant almost every day up to the time of the arrest and the complainant didn't run after him.

\*\*\*\*\*

0677

Police Court-- District.

CITY AND COUNTY }  
OF NEW YORK, } ss

Charles Zeleny  
of No. 441 West 16 Street, Aged 40 Years  
Occupation Restaurant Cafe being duly sworn, deposes and says, that on the  
19<sup>th</sup> day of November 1882, at the 16<sup>th</sup> Ward of the City of New York,  
in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away from the person of de-  
ponent by force and violence, without his consent and against his will, the following property, viz:

gold  
and lawful money of the  
United States

of the value of about Nine DOLLARS,  
the property of Deponent

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was  
feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, by force and violence as aforesaid by

Thomas Dillon (now dead) the  
defendant came into deponent's restaurant  
on said date and asked for change  
for a twenty five cent piece and when  
deponent took out his purse the  
defendant struck deponent a  
violent blow in the face and then  
the defendant seized said property  
out of deponent's hands and to  
ran off with it

Charles Zeleny

Sworn to before me this 29  
day of December 1882  
J. J. [Signature]  
Police Justice.



0678

Sec. 198—200.

1882  
District Police Court.

City and County of New York, ss.:

*Thomas Dillon*

being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to a charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him, if he see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

*Thomas Dillon*

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

*16 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

*W. Sh. England*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

*Williamburg.*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

*Peeler.*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer.

*I am not guilty*  
*Thomas Dillon*

Taken before me this

day of

1882

21

Police Justice.

0679

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned, has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

Thomas Deller

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of \$100 Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, Dec. 23. 1892 J. H. Smith Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, \_\_\_\_\_ 189 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.

Dated, \_\_\_\_\_ 189 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.

0680

Police Court---

1613  
District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Chas. Zelenky  
441 W 16th  
Thoma Dillon

Offense  
R. M. H.

BAILED.

No. 1, by.....

Residence ..... Street.

No. 2, by.....

Residence ..... Street.

No. 3, by.....

Residence ..... Street.

No. 4, by.....

Residence ..... Street.

Dated, Dec 23 189

White

Magistrate.

Byrell

Officer.

16

Precinct.

Witnesses .....

No. .... Street.

No. .... Street.

No. .... Street.

\$ to answer

G. S.

0681

483

# Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

*Thomas Dillon*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

*Thomas Dillon*

of the CRIME OF ROBBERY in the *second* degree, committed as follows:

The said *Thomas Dillon*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *19th* day of *November* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*two*, in the ~~time of the said day~~, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and upon one *Charles Galensky* in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously did make an assault; and

*the sum of nine dollars in money, lawful money of the United States of America, and of the value of nine dollars, and one purse of the value of twenty-five cents*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of the said *Charles Galensky* from the person of the said *Charles Galensky* against the will and by violence to the person of the said *Charles Galensky* then and there violently and feloniously did rob, steal, take and carry away,

against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

*De Lancey Nicoll,*  
*District Attorney*

0682

Court of General Sessions of the Peace  
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

*Thomas Dillon*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

*Thomas Dillon*

of the CRIME OF ROBBERY in the *second* degree, committed as follows:

The said *Thomas Dillon*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *19<sup>th</sup>* day of *November* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*two*, in the ~~time of the said day~~, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms. in and upon one *Charles Galensky* in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously did make an assault; and

*the sum of nine dollars in money, lawful money of the United States of America, and of the value of nine dollars, and one purse of the value of twenty-five cents*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of the said *Charles Galensky* from the person of the said *Charles Galensky* against the will and by violence to the person of the said *Charles Galensky* then and there violently and feloniously did rob, steal, take and carry away.

against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

*De Lancey Nicoll,*  
*District Attorney*

0683

**BOX:**

508

**FOLDER:**

4633

**DESCRIPTION:**

Dobbelaar, Marenus J.

**DATE:**

01/19/93



4633



Witnesses:

Officer Mulholland  
Francis Canal  
Henry Smith  
Henry O. Neil  
James O'Brien

Counsel.

Filed, day of *Aug* 1893

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

*Marcus J. Dobbela*

(2 cases)

*LANCEY, District Attorney*  
(MISAPPROPRIATION.)  
(Sections 528 and 53 of the Penal Code.)

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

Part III. Jan. 31<sup>st</sup> 1913 -

A TRUE BILL.

*J. Catlin*

Part 3. January 31<sup>st</sup> 1913  
Pleads guilty July 10  
2 yrs pen G.  
Feb. 1913

0684

0685

Police Court

5<sup>th</sup>

District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County } ss:  
of New York,

Alexander List

of No. 261 West 113<sup>rd</sup> Street, aged 39 years,  
 occupation Mason being duly sworn,  
 deposes and says, that on the 15<sup>th</sup> day of August 1893 at the City of New  
 York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the pos-  
 session of deponent, in the day time, the following property, viz:

one Gold watch and Chain,  
 together of the value of one-  
 hundred and twenty dollars

the property of Deponent.

Sworn to before me, this 11 day

1893

Police Justice.

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away by

Marcus Dobbelaar now here, from the fact that deponent left said property at deponent's place to be repaired; when deponent called for said property, this defendant's place was closed, and defendant was gone. Wherefore deponent charges this defendant with taking, stealing, and carrying away said watch and chain, and prays that he may be dealt with according as the law directs.

Alexander List

0686

Sec. 198-200.

CITY AND COUNTY } ss:  
OF NEW YORK,

District Police Court.

*Marenius Dobbelaar* being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he sees fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

*Marenius Dobbelaar*

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

*42 years old*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

*New York City*

Question. Where do you live and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

*Lena N.J. Three months*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

*Jeweler*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer.

*I Am Not Guilty*  
*Marenius Dobbelaar*

Taken before me this

day of

1893

Police Justice.

*It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named.....*

committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendant  
guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Twenty Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

sufficient cause to believe the within named  
Defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Twenty Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

*Cherry*

*Hundred Dollars,*

and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of

the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, March 11 189

*Police Justice.*

*I have admitted the above-named.....*  
*to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.*

to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

*Dated,.....189.....* *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named.....  
.....guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h      to be discharged.

*guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.*

*Dated,* ..... 189..... *Police Justice.*

0688

Police Court---

5<sup>th</sup> 68<sup>th</sup> District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Alexander List  
20 vs.  
Marens Dohlaar

Grand  
arrest  
Office

1  
2  
3  
4

Dated, January 11 1893

Woolhis  
Mulholland & Carey  
Central Office

Witnesses  
Mr. R. R. Richard  
77 East 116<sup>th</sup> Street.

No. 533 Manhattan Ave  
Robin Tracy

No. 170 West 15<sup>th</sup> Street.

\$ 3000 to answer

E. A. Garner  
468 W 146

BAILED,

No. 1, by  
Residence Street.

No. 2, by  
Residence Street.

No. 3, by  
Residence Street.

No. 4, by  
Residence Street.

**Court of General Sessions of the Peace**  
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

*Marenius J. Dobbelaar*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

of the CRIME OF  
as follows:

*Marenius J. Dobbelaar*  
*Petit* LARCENY,

committed

The said

*Marenius J. Dobbelaar*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *15<sup>th</sup>*  
day of *August*, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and  
ninety-*three*, at the City and County aforesaid, being then and there the  
*bailee* of *one, Alexander List* -

and as such

*bailee*

then and there having in his

possession, custody and control certain goods, chattels and personal property of the said

*Alexander List*

the true owner thereof, to wit:

*one watch of the value of  
seventy-five dollars, and one  
chain of the value of thirty  
seven dollars:*

the said *Marenius J. Dobbelaar* afterwards, to wit:

on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

did feloniously appropriate the said *goods, chattels*

*and personal property*

to his own use, with intent to deprive and defraud the said *Alexander List*

of the same, and of the use and benefit thereof; and the same goods, chattels and personal  
property of the said *Alexander List*

did then and there and thereby feloniously steal, against the form of the statute in such case  
made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their  
dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,  
District Attorney.



0690

Witnesses:

Alexander Sisti

Counsel.

Filed,

day of May

1893

Pleads,

to be ready

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Marcus J. Dobbela

C. C. C. C.

LANCEY, 2nd degree  
(MISAPPROPRIATION)  
(Sections 528 and 587 of the Penal Code.)

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

J. C. C. C.

Foreman.

Court of General Sessions.  
County of New York.

The People  
vs  
\_\_\_\_ Against. \_\_\_\_  
Marens J. Dobbelaar.

City and County of New York, ss:

I have lived in New York City my entire life, at sixteen years of age I entered the jewelry trade with the firm of Messrs Saffer, Stiles & Co. No. Maiden Lane. City and after my apprenticeship became a practical jeweler and worked for different firms, the last of which I may name as Messrs Hart Brothers, of Brooklyn and Tiffany & Company. New York, the above period of time being about four years - from 1870 to 1874. and for all of whom I worked faithfully and honestly. About the year 1874 upon the strength of my recommendation from Messrs Tiffany & Co during the year 1874 I obtained employment with the firm of Ch. Bernhard & Co. at that time located 169 Broadway. also engaged in the

jewelry trade, the position being their City Salesman. My service with this latter firm was of but short duration, when with their aid and assistance I began business on my account as a retail jeweler, (this being in the end of 1874) at No 811 - 8<sup>th</sup> Avenue this City, where I continued doing business for ten years - from 1874 to 1884 - when I removed to No 110 West 125<sup>th</sup> Street, where I remained up to the time of my collapse - a period of eight years - 1884 to 1892 -

During these 18 years I have had jewelry of every description intrusted to me for repairs to the value of many thousands of dollars by my customers and have always dealt with them most honorably and this is the first time I have in my life that I have been called to answer to a criminal charge.

I attribute my unfortunate position to force of circumstances brought on by competition and loss

of capital in legitimate business. I became behind in my rent, having at the time exhausted every possible means at my command, I unfortunately and with no dishonest intent, took goods and pawned them at such times when I was pushed the hardest to keep a roof over my head and to try and save my name and position in the world.

About September 1892, the blow finally came, and I was dispossessed just as I was working the hardest to make restitution to my customers - and I did make such restitution to several after I was dispossessed as aforesaid from assets I obtained from sales of my store fixtures, all of which went towards the redemption of property and was turned over <sup>by</sup> me, and thereafter I went <sup>to the</sup> home of my aged mother, penniless.

If I had been given an opportunity I could and would have paid every one, and I now declare that it shall be my



General Services

The People &  
— apt —  
Marens J. Dobbelaar.

City and County of New York, SS.

Richard J. Murray  
being duly sworn says. That for the past  
32 years I have been engaged at  
No 346 - 4<sup>th</sup> Ave N.Y. City engaged  
in the jewelry business -  
I have known the defendant  
for the past 25 years and  
have at all times regarded  
him as an honest and respected  
citizen of this community.  
Others who have known him  
have looked upon in the same  
light.

sworn to before me  
this 1<sup>st</sup> day of Feb'y 1893

Richard J. Murray

Phil Vradecine

Notary Public  
in & for the State of New York



General Sessions

The People

vs

aptn  
Marens J. Dobbelaar.

City & County of New York ss:

Reminded Kaumlen  
being duly sworn says. I reside at  
No 2439 - 8th Ave N.Y. City and am engaged  
at present by Fred Baypr. dofer 4th - 4th  
Ave also in mid city.

I am a particular watch maker  
and have been employed at my trade  
for about twenty eight years.

I know the defendant and about a  
year or so after he (the defendant) opened  
up business at No 871 - 8th Ave  
I began my employment with him  
and continued with him up to  
the time of his collapse - a  
period of fully 16 years -

During all this latter period the  
defendant conducted a straight  
and honorable career - To my  
knowledge the defendant has never  
before been charged with the  
commission of any crime.

I have read the dependant's affidavit  
 verified February 8<sup>th</sup> 1893 and  
 the same is a correct and true  
 statement of his acts, so far  
 as I became acquainted with  
 him and his doings, during the  
 long and continued period of  
 my service.

sworn to before me the  
 10<sup>th</sup> day of February 1893  
 that was heard by  
 Wm. J. Public  
 my B  
 C

a. Reinhold - Treasurer

General Sessions.

The People,  
vs  
appt -  
Marengo & Dobbelaar.

City and County of New York SS:

August, Eickelberg  
being duly sworn do depose and say  
for the past 15 years I have been  
engaged as an undertaker at No. 93rd  
St. Avenue in said City -  
During the time the defendant was  
engaged in business at No. 111 - 1<sup>st</sup> Ave.  
I became acquainted with him  
and for fully five years of the period  
came in contact with him very  
frequently and was well regarded  
by myself and his neighbors as an  
honest and respected citizen. I  
never heard or knew of the defendant  
being ever charged with the  
commission of any crime,  
previous to the present charge,  
brought against the defendant.

Witness my hand and seal  
this 9<sup>th</sup> day of Feb'y 1893  
That I, A. Eickelberg,  
Notary Public in & for the City and County of New York.

General Sessions.

The People &  
- apt -  
Marcus J. Dolbelaar.

City & County of New York ss:

William Goodnow.

being duly sworn says I am engaged  
in the Real Estate business  
and have an office at No. 111  
Broadway this City.

I have known the defendant  
for about eight or nine years  
last past and up to the present  
trouble have never heard or knew  
of the defendant being charged  
with the commission of any <sup>crime</sup>  
To my mind he has always  
been a good character, and  
others whom I know and have  
spoken of the defendant have  
also regarded him in a  
favorable light.

Sworn to before me  
this 2nd day of Feb'y 1873.

Philadelphus

Notary Public in &c.

William Goodnow  
Sgt.

General Session

The People

vs

vs. apt vs  
Marcus J. Dobbelaar.

City & County of New York ss:

Annie Spencer  
being duly sworn says I reside at  
No. 95 Greenwich Avenue in said  
City and am the sister of the  
defendant above named.

The defendant for the past 18 years  
has been engaged in the jewelry  
business in this City and to my  
knowledge has previous to the  
present charge always conducted  
his business in a straight-  
forward and honest way.

Sworn to before me

This 9th day of Feb 1893

Subscribed and sworn to before me  
Notary Public  
[Signature]

Miss Annie Spencer

General Sessions.

Mr. Pope

— 10 —

Mrs. J. W. Weller

Affidavits.

John Berlingham  
Daniel J. Dyer  
23 Chambers St.  
N.Y.

0701



District Attorneys Office.  
City & County of  
New York.

188

Complainants against

Marinus J. Wattleaar

Nenny. O'Neil. 102 W. 126<sup>th</sup>  
one gold watch at property Clerk

James. O'Brien 976 E 168<sup>th</sup> St  
one gold watch at property Clerk

Francis. Narral. 533 Manhattan av  
one gold watch at property Clerk

M. R. Richards. Mrs. 97 E 116<sup>th</sup> St  
one gold watch at property Clerk

Miss <sup>James</sup> Keller Smith. 116 W 122 St  
one gold watch at Simpsons  
91 Park Row. James Keller Smith

Charles S. Warner. 132 Bway  
one gold watch. at Narlaun  
Journ. Office. James Keller Smith

0703

Police Court

5<sup>th</sup> District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County } ss:  
of New York,

Henry Smith  
 of No. 415 Lenox Avenue Street, aged 60 years,  
 occupation *none* being duly sworn,  
 deposes and says, that on the *20<sup>th</sup>* day of *July* 189*2* at the City of New  
 York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the pos-  
 session of deponent, in the *day* time, the following property, viz:

*One gold watch, of the value  
 of one hundred and fifty dollars*

the property of

*deponent*

Sworn to before me, this

28

day

1892

of  
*William H. Justice*  
 Police Justice.

and that this deponent  
 has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken,  
 stolen and carried away by *Maximus Robbelen*

from the fact, that deponent left said  
 property with said defendant to  
 be repaired. Deponent went after said  
 property and found that the defendant  
 had closed his store, and can not  
 be found, and previous to the defendant's  
 running away, deponent went to said  
 place and demanded his property.

*Henry Smith*

0704

Sec. 198-200.

5

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY }  
OF NEW YORK, } ss:

*Maremus Dobbelaar*

being duly examined before the under-  
signed according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is h (right to  
make a statement in relation to the charge against h ; that the statement is designed to  
enable h if he sees fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h ;  
that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h (waiver cannot be used  
against h on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

*Maremus Dobbelaar*

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

*4 1/2 years old*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer

*New York City*

Question. Where do you live and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

*Leonia N.Y.*

*Three months*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

*Jeweler*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony  
against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer.

*I Am Not Guilty  
Maremus J. Dobbelaar*

Taken before me this

day of

1893

*John W. Dobbelaar*

Police Justice.

0705

Sec. 151.

1947

Police Court 5 District.

CITY AND COUNTY } ss. In the name of the People of the State of New York; To the Sheriff of the  
OF NEW YORK, } County of New York, or any Marshal or Policeman of the City of New York:

Whereas, Complaint in writing, and upon oath, has been made before the undersigned, one of the Police Justices for the City of New York, by Henry Smith of No. 415 Lenox Avenue street, that on the 20 day of July 1892, at the City of New York, in the County of New York, the following article, to wit:

One Gold Watch

of the value of One hundred and fifty Dollars, the property of Henry Smith was taken, stolen and carried away, and as the said Complainant has cause to suspect, and does suspect and believe, by Marcus Robelaar

Wherefore, the said Complainant has prayed that the said Defendant may be apprehended and bound to answer the said complaint.

These are Therefore, in the name of the PEOPLE of the State of New York, to command you the said Sheriff, Marshals and Policemen, and every of you, to apprehend the body of the said Defendant and forthwith bring him before me, at the 5th DISTRICT POLICE COURT, in the said City, or in case of my absence or inability to act, before the nearest or most accessible Police Justice in this City, to answer the said charge, and to be dealt with according to law.

Dated at the City of New York, this 28 day of Sept 1892

W. W. Wood  
POLICE JUSTICE.

0706

Police Court.....District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

*Henry Smith*  
vs.

Warrant-Larceny.

*Wobbe Raar*

Dated *Sept 20th* 1891

*Meade* Magistrate.

*Melkolland & Cary* Officers

The Defendant.....  
taken, and brought before the Magistrate, to  
answer the within charge, pursuant to the  
command contained in this Warrant.

..... Officer.

Dated..... 189

This Warrant may be executed on Sunday  
or at night.

*W. Meade*  
Police Justice.

0707

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

*Leiper and*

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *Twenty* Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, *July* 189

*John C. Morris* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, 189 Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.

Dated, 189 Police Justice.



0702

W  
Police Court--- 5<sup>th</sup> 68<sup>th</sup> District. 1394

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Henry Smith  
Mareen D. Abelaar

Offense Grand Jury

BAILED,

No. 1, by.....

Residence..... Street.

No. 2, by.....

Residence..... Street.

No. 3, by.....

Residence..... Street.

No. 4, by.....

Residence..... Street.

Dated, Jan 11 189 3

Worship M. E. H. Magistrate.

Mulholland & Co. Officer.

Central Office Court Precinct.

Witnesses Henry W. Neil

No. 102 N 126<sup>th</sup> Street.

Fannie W. Brown

No. 926 E 168<sup>th</sup> Street.

Mrs. Lilah Sanderson

No. The Boulevard Street.

\$ 2000 to answer

Levin

0709

518

**Court of General Sessions of the Peace**  
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

*Maremus J. Dobbelaar*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse  
of the CRIME OF *Maremus J. Dobbelaar* *Grand LARCENY in the second degree*, committed  
as follows:

The said

*Maremus J. Dobbelaar*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *twentieth*  
day of *July* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and  
ninety-*two*, at the City and County aforesaid, being then and there the  
*bailee* of *one, Henry Smith*

and as such *bailee* then and there having in his  
possession, custody and control certain goods, chattels and personal property of the said

*Henry Smith*  
the true owner thereof, to wit:

*one watch of the*  
*value of one hundred*  
*and fifty dollars;*

the said *Maremus J. Dobbelaar* afterwards, to wit:  
on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,  
did feloniously appropriate the said *watch*

to his own use, with intent to deprive and defraud the said *Henry Smith*  
of the same, and of the use and benefit thereof; and the same goods, chattels and personal  
property of the said *Henry Smith*

did then and there and thereby feloniously steal, against the form of the statute in such case  
made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their  
dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,  
District Attorney.

0710

**BOX:**

508

**FOLDER:**

4633

**DESCRIPTION:**

Duff, Patrick

**DATE:**

01/06/93



4633

Witnesses:

Barrie Solberg

W Banderman

Officer Howard

True a Capital  
Examination made  
in this case I have  
seen notes of being  
able to make out  
a case against the  
Defendant and from  
the Amused Officer  
of good character  
of the Defendant I am  
wondering how  
many he committed his  
may upon the testimony  
of some comes I must  
be very certain his  
evidence upon his the  
evidence of the  
evidence of the

Filed  
Counsel,  
Pleads,  
day of January 1893  
Parker  
McMahon

THE PEOPLE

vs.

P

Patrick Duffy

Assault in the First Degree, etc.  
(Sections 217 and 218 Penal Code.)

DE LANCEY NICOLL,  
District Attorney.

Page 16 in Part III

A TRUE BILL.

L. Carter  
Foreman.

Part 3. January 23/93  
Sole released on his  
rehabilitation.

0711

0712

COURT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE.

THE PEOPLE

VS.

PATRICK HENRY.

City of New York, ss. I, the Clerk of the Court, do hereby certify that

PATRICK HENRY is a person who, that I am at present under indictment for an attempt to kill, and an confined in the City Prison of New York. That I was born in Ireland twenty-one years ago, and came to the City of New York in May 1892. I have been living here ever since. When I was at home I went to school in my boyhood days, and ~~was~~ <sup>and</sup> ~~continued to do so until I came to this country, since which time I have resided with my sister and her husband at No. 134 Mullock Street, in the City of New York. I was engaged for sometime after my arrival in this country with the National Ice Company where I remained until my sister's husband was taken sick and went back to Ireland. I then took his place, and assisted my sister in the care of several buildings in~~ <sup>for about six years</sup> ~~Suffolk~~ <sup>100th Street, New York City.</sup> I remained at work there until the return of her

0713

(2)

husband and friends. I then went to work at a livery stable in East 19th Street between Avenue A, and 1st Avenue, and remained there until the time of my arrest. I do not know the person who accuses me of assaulting her and never saw her until the time of my arrest when I was brought before her in the stage in Essex Street in this City. I never assaulted her with a knife or in any way, and never saw her to my knowledge until the time she was cited.

The facts of my case are as follows: On the evening of December 26, 1892, I was invited by Robert ~~xxxx~~ Higgins, a friend of mine, who came to this country from Ireland about the same time I did, to go home with him and take supper. We went together to his boarding place, 127 Monroe Street, New York, where he was living with his sister and her husband. We took supper there, and I remained with him until about eight o'clock in the evening and then started for home. He said he would go with me and we walked out and went to Essex Street, no longer taking my horse which was at that time as above stated, the Suffolk Street. We went between Essex Street toward Grand. This is the way I always went when I went home after coming from him. There were a good many people on the street, and we stopped at a soda water fountain at the corner of Hester and Essex Street and called for a glass of soda water, and I heard Higgins make some remonstrance against the soda water, it being, as I understood it, put into a dirty glass, and the soda water man demanded two cents, and was going to hit Mr. Higgins with



0714

(3)

a person. I told him and he did not. The next winter we then came outside, the XXXXXXXXXX took hold of Higgins and called so for a policeman. Then I took hold of Higgins, and told the man who was to get him to go with us. He asked him if Higgins was going to stay. He said yes. We went up (and passed the door) and saw the policeman come to the door. He called me and told me to go down. I told him to get away. He would not, but I went down and said he was holding a policeman. Then I pulled away from him and he struck me and knocked me down. Then I got up and I struck him. Then a crowd of people gathered around and yelled, "Put them out of the block." "Lick them. They are loafers." I was not crying now, but Higgins was. "Come on. They will murder <sup>us</sup>" So I ran away and yelled for a policeman. I went up Broadway Street to Grand Street and I went into a hallway on Grand Street. Several of the crowd followed in after me and struck me on my back. Then I came out through the street which crosses the alleyway and I held a policeman, and then I ran up the street and a policeman shot at me and hit me in the center, and talked with Higgins to see if there was anything wrong. When water was, the first one that Higgins cut her and that she said that it was me. This is the first time that I ever saw the woman or knew that Fry had been injured. I declare and say that I did not injure her, and that I do not know who did injure her. That I did not have any knife with

0715

(4)

me and had not been for some time previous. That during  
the disturbance of the water fountain I had all I could  
do to take care of myself and to get away from the furious  
crowd which had gathered there. If there was any one injured  
at this place as alleged in the indictment against me, it  
was by some other person than myself. I did not see the  
water there and I know that I did not injure any. I had  
nothing to do with it and I did not injure myself  
against the crowd which was assembled as before stated.

*I swear to before me  
this 17th day of June 1893 } Path Deffe  
James W. Merriam  
Commissioner of Deeds  
H. H. Keen*

0716

Court of General Session.

The People  
 vs.  
 Patrick Duff }

City and County of New York.

Howard L. Pinckney  
 being duly sworn says, I reside in the City  
 of Brooklyn, I am in the real estate business  
 and have an office at No. 71 Broadway in  
 the City of New York. I have known the  
 above named defendant Patrick Duff for  
 about 8 months; I have always found  
 him to be honest, sober and faithful, &  
 otherwise a man of good character; for several  
 months after his arrival in this country, he  
 worked for his sister, who with her husband have  
 charge of some buildings for which I am  
 agent and during such time I frequently saw  
 him, and found him to be as above stated.

Sworn to before me this

1<sup>st</sup> day of January 1853

E. P. Payne

Notary Public

Queens County.

Cert. filed in N.Y. Co.

Howard L. Pinckney

0717

Court of General Session

The People  
vs  
Patrick Duff.

City and County of New York, ss. James B. Brady being  
duly sworn, says, I am in the Livery Stable  
business at No. 441 East 19th Street in  
the City of New York. I have known Patrick  
Duff the above named defendant about  
one month, that he was employed  
at said Livery Stable at the time of his  
arrest. He is a man of good character, and has  
promised himself to be honest, sober and faithful  
and I cheerfully make this affidavit in his  
behalf.

Subscribed  
and sworn to before me

this 19<sup>th</sup> day of January 1893 } James B. Brady

Oliver Post  
Notary Public  
No 31

0718

Caund of General Sessions  
The People  
vs  
Patrick Duff.

City and County of New York p. Patrick Gill

being duly sworn says I have known  
the above named defendant Patrick  
Duff, ever since he has been in this  
country, and know him to be sober, honest,  
and industrious and otherwise a man  
of good moral character, and I highly  
recommend him as such.

I soem to sign me  
this 18 day of January 1893 }

Patrick H. Hargrave } to Patrick Gill  
Notary Public  
(194) New York Co }

0719

Board of General Sessions

The People  
 agt.  
 Patrick Duff. }

City & County of New York, ss.  
 E. Garman being  
 duly sworn says, I am for many years past  
 of the National Fire Company of the City of New  
 York; I have known Patrick Duff, the above  
 named defendant for more than four months  
 that he has worked for the above named  
 Company for about four months, and  
 has always been found to be sober, honest and  
 faithful; he has proved himself to be a man  
 of good character.  
 Shown to before me this  
 18<sup>th</sup> day of January 1893. } E. Garman

Henry Green  
 Attorney at Law  
 City & County,



0720

Police Court—3 District.

1931

City and County }  
of New York, } ss.:of No. 50 Essex Street, aged 23 years,  
occupation Expressman being duly sworn,deposes and says, that on the 26 day of December 1897 at the City of New  
York, in the County of New York, he saw Betsy Goldbergbe violently and feloniously ASSAULTED and BEATEN by Patrick  
Duff (now here) who cut and stabbed  
said Betsy in the back with the  
blade of a knife then held in  
his, Duff's, hand  
Said assault was committed

with the felonious intent to take the life of deponent, or to do him grievous bodily harm; and without any justification on the part of the said assailant.

Wherefore this deponent prays that the said assailant may be apprehended and bound to answer for the above assault, etc., and be dealt with according to law.

Sworn to before me, this 27 day }  
of December 1897 }Harris Goldberg  
mark

Police Justice. }

0721

Sec. 198—200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.  
OF NEW YORK.

*Patrick Duff* being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is *his* right to make a statement in relation to the charge against *him*; that the statement is designed to enable *him* if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against *him* that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that *his* waiver cannot be used against *him* on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Patrick Duff*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *21 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *Ireland*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *134 Suffolk St. 7 months*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Laborer*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. *I am not guilty*  
*Patrick Duff*

Taken before me this  
day of *December*  
*1893*

Police Justice.

0722

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

defendant  
guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of 100 Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, Dec 27 1892 [Signature] Police Justice.

I have have admitted the above-named  
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, ..... 189

..... Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named  
guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, ..... 189

..... Police Justice.

0723

Police Court,

3

1623

District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Harris Goldberg  
vs  
Patrick Duff

Officer J. A. V.  
Hector Goldberg

BAILED,

No. 1, by

Residence

Street.

No. 2, by

Residence

Street.

No. 3, by

Residence

Street.

No. 4, by

Residence

Street.

Dated,

Dec 27

1892

Hogan

Magistrate.

Howard

Officer.

Precinct

Witnesses

No

Street.

No.

Harris Brauberman

Street.

No.

169 E Broadway  
Wolf Brauberman

Street.

%

141 E Broadway  
Hogan

to answer Goldberg vs Duff

Dec 27 1892

0724

1723

# Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

*Patrick Wuff*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

*Patrick Wuff*  
of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE FIRST DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

*Patrick Wuff*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *twenty-sixth* day of *December* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*two*, with force and arms, at the City and County aforesaid, in and upon the body of one *Betsy Goldberg* in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously did make an assault, and *her* the said

*Betsy Goldberg* with a certain *knife*

which the said

in *his* right hand then and there had and held, the same being a deadly and dangerous weapon, then and there wilfully and feloniously did strike, beat, cut, stab and wound,

with intent *her* the said *Betsy Goldberg* thereby then and there feloniously and wilfully to kill, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

## SECOND COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said

*Patrick Wuff*  
of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

*Patrick Wuff*

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: On the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and upon the body of the said *Betsy Goldberg* in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully make another assault, and *her* the said *Betsy Goldberg*

with a certain *knife*

which the said

in *his* right hand then and there had and held, the same being a weapon and an instrument likely to produce grievous bodily harm, then and there feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully strike, beat, cut, stab and wound, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

0725

THIRD COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said

*Patrick Duff*

of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

*Patrick Duff*

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: On the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and upon the said *Betty* *Goldberg* in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully make another assault, and *her* the said with a certain *knife* *Betty Goldberg*

which *her* the said

*Patrick Duff*

in *his* right hand then and there had and held, in and upon the *back* of *her* the said

*Betty Goldberg*

then and there feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully strike, beat, stab, cut, ~~bruise~~ and wound, and did then and there and by the means aforesaid, feloniously, wilfully and wrongfully inflict grievous bodily harm upon the said

*Betty Goldberg*

against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

*District Attorney.*



0726

**BOX:**

508

**FOLDER:**

4633

**DESCRIPTION:**

Dunbar, Amelia

**DATE:**

01/03/93



4633

0727

Witnesses:

William C. Shook

(10)

Counsel,

Filed

Plends

day of Jan 1893

THE PEOPLE

22  
2374 4th St  
D

Amelia Dunbar

(2 cases)

Grand Larceny, [Sections 528, 537, Penal Code.]  
Degree.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

J. C. Catlin

Jan 2 - Jan. 6, 1893  
Foreman.

Guilty

Pen \$400.00

Jan 18/93

R. M. L.

0728

Police Court—14 District.

(1305)

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County } ss.  
of New York, }

of No. 37 East 63rd Street, aged 15 years,  
 occupation Housekeeper being duly sworn,  
 deposes and says, that on the 6 day of Dec 1899 at the City of New  
 York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession  
 of deponent, in the day time, the following property, viz:

One seal skin Cape  
 of the value of Fifty  
 dollars

(950<sup>00</sup>/<sub>100</sub>)

the property of

deponent

and that this deponent  
 has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen  
 and carried away by Amelia Durrer (now Lee)

from the fact that on  
 said date deponent employed  
 said Amelia Durrer as a  
 servant. That she was di-  
 rected by deponent to make  
 the bed. That said prop-  
 erty was hanging on a chair  
 in deponent's bedroom. That  
 shortly afterwards said def-  
 endant came downstairs and  
 said she did not like the  
 room in which she was to  
 sleep and left the house.  
 That shortly after said def-

of  
 sworn to before me, this  
 1899 day

Police Justice.

0729

defendant left the house, de-  
ponent misgued said prop-  
erty from her room.  
Therefore she charges said  
defendant with the larceny  
of said property and  
swears that she may be dealt  
with as the law directs  
Sworn to before me  
this 14<sup>th</sup> day of } Aurora Eng  
December, 1892 }  
J. H. Smith  
Plaintiff

0730

Sec. 198-200.

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.  
OF NEW YORK.

44 District Police Court.

Amelia Dunbar being duly examined before the under-  
signed according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is h right to  
make a statement in relation to the charge against h; that the statement is designed to  
enable h if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h  
that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiver cannot be used  
against h on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Amelia Dunbar

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

22 yrs.

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

Virginia

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

737 W 48 St - 3rd fl

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Domestic

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the  
testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your  
exculpation?

Answer.

I am not guilty

Amelia Dunbar

Taken before me this 14  
day of Dec 1897  
Police Justice, W. H. M. M. M.

0731

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

Defendant  
guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of 10 Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, Dec 14 189 W. H. M. Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named  
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, \_\_\_\_\_ 189 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named  
guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, \_\_\_\_\_ 189 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.



0732

1577  
1334  
Police Court--- District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Angelica Levy  
376 W. 62 St.  
Amelia Dunbar

Diase  
Mad  
Lavelle

2  
3  
4

Dated Dec. 14 - 189 2

Kelborth Magistrate.

Officer.

Precinct.

Witnesses Regina Kirschner

No. Street.

Annie Smith

No. Street.

Mr. Sigalst St. Spring

No. Street.

\$ to answer

4 for sec 15

Mr. A. Jacobson

265

BAILED,

No. 1, by

Residence Street.

No. 2, by

Residence Street.

No. 3, by

Residence Street.

No. 4, by

Residence Street.

0733

1892

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.  
OF NEW YORK,POLICE COURT, 4<sup>th</sup> DISTRICT.

of No. 37 West 62<sup>nd</sup> Street, aged \_\_\_\_\_ years,  
 occupation House-keeper being duly sworn, deposes and says  
 that on the 13<sup>th</sup> day of December 1892  
 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, deponent

caused the arrest of Amelia Lombard  
 (now here) on the suspicion of having  
 committed a larceny. Deponent  
 notes that said Amelia may be  
 committed for examination in order  
 that deponent may procure  
 proper evidence.

Amelia Lombard

Sworn to before me, this

of Dec 13<sup>th</sup> 1892

(day)

Abraham  
 Police Justice.

0734

Police Court, 4 District.

226

THE PEOPLE, Etc.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Amelia <sup>vs.</sup> Humber

AFFIDAVIT.

Carson

237 Pr 48, 22, Blk. 10

Dated Dec 13 189 2

Hilbruth

Magistrate.

Mohr

Officer.

Witness,

Disposition, 4 for Dec 14

at 10

0735

Court of General Sessions.

-----o  
The People :  
vs ::  
Amelia Dunbar :  
-----o

City and County of New York,ss:-

HIRAM LEWIS being duly sworn deposes and says,  
that he resides at No.475 Seventh Avenue,in the City of  
New York. That the above named defendant is deponent's  
wife. That he has known her about three years and married  
her on February 25th.1892,in the City of New York. That  
the defendant has always borne a good character for honesty  
among those who know her. It was not necessary for her to  
go out to work and deponent did not desire her so to do,  
as deponent was well able to take care of her at his home.

Sworn to before me this :  
5th.day of January 1893.:  
*[Handwritten signature]*

0736

Court of General Sessions.

-----o  
The People :

vs :

Amelia Dunbar :  
-----o

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, SS:-

Miss Mollie Lewis being duly sworn deposes and says, that she resides at No. 475 Seventh Avenue, in the City of New York. That she has known the above named defendant for about three years and knows other people who know her and her character has always been very good. That her husband always had a good home for her and it was not necessary for her to go out to steal. That Mr. Lewis told her repeatedly not to go out to work, but to remain home and attend to household work.

Sworn to before me this :

5th. day of January 1892 :

*Mollie Lewis*

*James A. [illegible]*  
*[illegible]*

0737

*U. S. Court of Gen. Sess*

*The People*

*Plaintiff*

*against*

*Amelia Ambar*

*Defendant*

*Affidavits*

*PURDY & McLAUGHLIN,*

*Attorneys for* *Leff*

*No. 280 BROADWAY, New York City*

Due and timely service of cop of the  
within hereby admitted  
this day of 18  
*Attorney.*

To \_\_\_\_\_



0738

505

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

*Amelia Dunbar*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

*Amelia Dunbar*

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE *second* DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said *Amelia Dunbar*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *6th* day of *December*, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*two*, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

*one seal-skin cape of the value of fifty dollars*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

*Austin Levy*

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

*Re Lancey McCall,  
District Attorney*

Witnesses:

*Annelia Henry*

Counsel,

Filed

day of

*20 Dec*

1895

Pleads,

*March 21*

THE PEOPLE

vs.

*Annelia Dunbar*

*(2 cases)*

Grand Degree, Second Degree, Grand Larceny, [Sections 688, 687, Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,  
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

*Wm. DeLoe*

*Read guilty Foreman.*  
*on another indictment*  
*Feb 2 - Jan 6/95*

0739

0740

*deponent*  
*over*

Nellie Shock, of No. 218 West 25th Street, New York City, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

That on the 21st day of April, 1892, at the City of New York, one Amelia Dunbar did feloniously take, steal and carry away one finger ring of the value of \$200.00, belonging to deponent, under the following circumstances:

On the said day, deponent employed the said Dunbar as a domestic and at that time, the said finger ring was contained in a jewel box in a room on the second floor of the above premises; that about 2 o'clock in the afternoon of the said day, the said Amelia Dunbar left deponent's premises and deponent did not see her thereafter, until on or about the 19th of December, 1892.

Shortly after her disappearance, deponent missed the said finger ring. That on the 19th of December, 1892, deponent having learned that the said Amelia Dunbar had been arrested upon another charge of larceny, visited her at the said prison, where she acknowledged to deponent that she had stolen the said finger ring and, at the same time, she stated to deponent that she had pawned the same in a pawn-shop on the east side of Sixth Avenue, between 26th and 27th Streets. Deponent thereafter, visited the said ~~xxx~~ pawn-shop in the company of a police officer, but she was informed by the person in charge of the said pawn-shop that the books did not show that any such ring had been pawned there.

Sworn to before me  
 December 27th, 1892.

*Justina*  
*four o'clock*  
*at the*

*Nellie Shock*

DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

City and County of New York

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Nellie E. Shock

218 W 25<sup>th</sup> St.

Agnes Dunbar

(L. Carro)

Offence

Dated December 27 1892

Witnesses, Officer Miller

No. 25<sup>th</sup> Precinct

Street;

No. Street,

No. Street,

0741

0742

505

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

*Amelia Dunbar*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

*— Amelia Dunbar —*

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE *second* DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said *Amelia Dunbar,*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *twenty first* day of *April*, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*two*, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

*one finger ring of the value of*  
*two hundred dollars,*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one *Nellie E. Stoddard,*

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

*Edmund Smith,*  
*District Attorney.*

0743

**BOX:**

508

**FOLDER:**

4633

**DESCRIPTION:**

Dunn, Charles

**DATE:**

01/19/93



4633



0744

Witnesses :

Officer Hoggard  
Thomas Loman  
Edward M. Day

146. Charles R. Davis  
Counsel,  
Filed, day of May 1893  
Pleads, Wisely

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Charles Dunn

Account, 2nd degree  
See 218, 2nd degree

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

~~Charles R. Davis~~  
J. J. Davis

A TRUE BILL.

J. Catlin

Foreman.  
Jury 2 March 8/93  
Jury 7 acquitted

0745

Sec. 198-200.

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.  
OF NEW YORK, }

*Charles Dunn* being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is *his* right to make a statement in relation to the charge against *h* \_\_\_\_\_; that the statement is designed to enable *h* \_\_\_\_\_ if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against *h* \_\_\_\_\_ that *he* is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that *h* \_\_\_\_\_ waiver cannot be used against *h* \_\_\_\_\_ on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Charles Dunn*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *44 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *United States*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *No 462 Third Avenue, - 7 mos.*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Laborer*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. *I am not guilty -*

*Charles Dunn*

Taken before me this

day of

1893

*Charles J. Deuster* Police Justice.

0746

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, Jan 3 1893

Charles H. Martin Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named Defendant to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, Jan 3 1893

Charles H. Martin Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named \_\_\_\_\_ guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.

Dated, \_\_\_\_\_ 189

\_\_\_\_\_  
Police Justice.

0747

\$500 for ex  
July 5/93 2 PM  
July 7/98 9 AM  
July 19-1890-3 PM

BAILED,

No. 1, by

Fred. P. Foster

Residence

49 Marshall St.

No. 2, by

Residence

Street.

No. 3, by

Residence

Street.

No. 4, by

Residence

Street.

(146) Ordina

Police Court---

45 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Peter Weigand  
vs.  
Charles Dunn

2

3

4

Dated,

July 5 1893

Talbot Magistrate.

Weigand Officer.

21st Precinct.

Witnesses

Call Officer Weigand

No.

Thomas Lyman

Street.

161 East 32nd St

Edmond McGoey

147 East 31st

No.

David Marmion

Street.

140 East 31st St.

No.

Mr. Kenney 448 32nd Ave.

Street.

\$

500

to answer

Charles Dunn

0748

FREDERICK FORSTER  
FRANCIS SPEIR, JR.  
HENRY A. FORSTER.

FORSTER & SPEIR,  
COUNSELLORS AT LAW,  
58 WALL STREET.

New York

Jan. 11, 1893

Hon. Delancy Nicoll,  
District Attorney,  
32 Chambers Street.

Dear Sir:

I desire that Charles Dunn may be permitted to go before the grand jury when the matter of his indictment comes up. The facts in the matter are these. Dunn has been in my employ for upwards of two years, this being the third year. He has been charged with assault of some kind, and has been held by Judge Taintor, though I thought the testimony justified his discharge, in view of the fact that the person attacked did not desire to press the complaint. The testimony on the examination, which I trust will be forwarded to you, as it was taken down by a stenographer, shows

0749

FORSTER & SPEIR,  
COUNSELLORS AT LAW,  
58 WALL STREET.

FREDERICK P. FORSTER  
FRANCIS SPEIR, JR.  
HENRY A. FORSTER.

NEW YORK..... 18

that there were two altercations; the first one the complainant says that he does not know whether he struck the first blow or not. Dunn says he did. The complainant concedes that he struck him hard enough to black Dunn's eye. The only independent witness testified that he struck hard enough to knock Dunn down. As to the second altercation, the complainant concedes that he struck the first blow; that he struck hard; that there was a scuffle in which he says he fell underneath, and in which Dunn says the complainant fell underneath, but turned him over, and in trying to get away, Dunn bit his lip. The complainant was all through the aggressor, and concededly so. He admits that he, though a short man, weighs 175 pounds, while Dunn only weighs 155, the complainant



0750

3

FORSTER & SPEIR,  
COUNSELLORS AT LAW,  
58 WALL STREET.

FREDERICK P. FORSTER  
FRANCIS SPEIR, JR.  
HENRY A. FORSTER.

NEW YORK.

18

being much the more powerful man.

May I therefore trouble you, if you deem  
it proper to do so, to permit the accused to be  
present before the grand jury when the matter of  
the indictment is considered.

Very respectfully yours,

*Frederick P. Forster*

0751

Sec. 192.

4 District Police Court.

Undertaking to appear during the Examination.

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.  
OF NEW YORK,

An information having been laid before Charles J. Hunter a Police Justice  
of the City of New York, charging Charles Dunn Defendant with  
the offence of Receiving

and he having been brought before said Justice for an examination of said charge, and it having been made  
to appear to the satisfaction of said Justice that said examination should be adjourned to some other day, and  
the hearing thereof having been adjourned

We Charles Dunn Defendant of No. 463  
Marion Street; by occupation a Labourer  
and Frederick Horst of No. 49 West 19  
Street, by occupation a Receptionist Surety, hereby jointly and severally undertake  
that the above named Charles Dunn Defendant  
shall personally appear before the said Justice, at the 4 District Police Court in the City of New York,  
during the said examination, or that we will pay to the People of the State of New York the sum of Five  
Hundred Dollars.

Taken and acknowledged before me, this

day of

18

Charles J. Hunter

POLICE JUSTICE.

0752

CITY AND COUNTY }  
NEW YORK, } ss.

*Sworn to before me this*  
*day of*  
*October*  
*18*  
*1895*  
*Charles J. Connelley*  
Police Justice.

the within named Bail and Surety being duly sworn, says, that he is a resident and holder within the said County and State, and is worth Five Hundred Dollars, exclusive of property exempt from execution, and over and above the amount of all his debts and liabilities, and that his property consists of House and lot 174

situate at 1049 West 19th Street  
of the value of Five Thousand  
Dollars  
*John J. McManus*

District Police Court.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.

Underlying to appear  
during the Examination.

Taken the day of 18

Justice.

0753

This certifies that I attended David Mammou, that he sustained a severe injury of the hip, and is unable to attend Court.

Belleme Hospital  
Jan. 5-93.

John J. Hagerty

0754

1852

CITY AND COUNTY }  
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

POLICE COURT, 4 DISTRICT.

Peter Wiegand

of No. The 21<sup>st</sup> Precinct Police, aged years,  
occupation: Police Officer, being duly sworn, deposes and says  
that on the 11<sup>th</sup> day of January 1893  
at the City of New York, in the County of New York, deponent was

informed by one David Marmion of no.  
140 East 31<sup>st</sup> Street, that Charles Dunn  
(now here) did wilfully and feloniously,  
with intent to injure, disfigure and disable  
said Marmion, mutilate the person of  
said Marmion by biting off a portion  
of said Marmion's upper lip on the  
aforesaid date. That deponent saw said  
mutilation of said Marmion's person  
and was also informed by several witnesses

Sworn to before me, this

of

1893

day

Police Justice



0755

to wit: one Thomas Lyman of no. 161 East 3<sup>rd</sup> Street and ~~Edison~~ W. Gorry, of the Southwest corner of 31<sup>st</sup> Street & Third Avenue, that said assault was committed by the defendant. That the said Marston is now confined in his home as the result of said injury and deponent prays that the defendant may be dealt with according to law.

Known to before me this } Peter Hiegand  
5<sup>th</sup> day of January, 1893

ARREDA VIT.

189  
Charles N. Lantier  
Police Justice

Police Court, District.

THE PEOPLE, Etc.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.

Date

Witness

Disposition



0756

1877

CITY AND COUNTY }  
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

*Thomas Lyman*  
aged 28 years, occupation Carpenter of No. 161 East 32 Street, being duly sworn, deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of *Peter Weyand*  
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own  
knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 5  
day of May 1893

*Sho*

*Lyman*

*Charles N. Luntz*  
Police Justice.

0757

CITY AND COUNTY }  
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

1877.

*Edward M. Gorry*  
aged 30 years, occupation bar tender of No. 147 East 31 Street, being duly sworn, deposes and  
says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of *Peter Wergaul*  
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own  
knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this

day of

May 1893

*Edward M. Gorry*

*Charles N. Linton*  
Police Justice.

0758

(455)

# Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

*Rhodes Dunn*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment accuse *Rhodes Dunn*

of the crime of *Assault in the second degree,*

committed as follows:

The said *Rhodes Dunn*,

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the

*fourth* day of *January* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety- *three*, — at the City and County aforesaid,

in and upon one *David Morrison*, feloniously did wilfully and unlawfully make an assault, and then and there feloniously did wilfully and unlawfully inflict grievous bodily harm upon the said *David Morrison*, by then and there striking and mutilating his upper lip; against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

*Deaneys Hall, District Attorney.*