

0135

BOX:

355

FOLDER:

3343

DESCRIPTION:

Wagner, Charles

DATE:

05/23/89



3343

0136

Joseph Campbell
57th St

Counsel,

Filed *23* day of *May* 188*9*

Pleads, *Not guilty of*

THE PEOPLE

vs.

B

Charles Wagner

Accused, First degree
[Sec. 219, Penal Code]

JOHN R. FELLOWS,

Transferred to the Court of Sessions.
Sessions for trial and final disposition.

Part of the Bill... 7.1.1886.

John R. Fellows

Foreman.

Witnesses:

Rose Leister
Officer Mahoney

0137

Court of General Sessions of the Peace
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

Charles Wagner

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this

Indictment accuse Charles Wagner

of the crime of Assault in the Third Degree,

committed as follows:

The said Charles Wagner,

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York, aforesaid, on the

nineteenth day of January, in the year of our Lord one thousand
eight hundred and eighty-nine, at the City and County aforesaid,

with force and arms, in and upon one
Rose Feider, then and there being,
unlawfully did make an assault, and
a certain wagon, drawn by two horses,
then and there being driven by him the
said Charles Wagner, to, against and
upon her the said Rose Feider, then and
there unlawfully did force and drive, and
her the said Rose Feider, with the said
wagon and the horses aforesaid, forced
and driven as aforesaid, then and there
unlawfully did strike and knock and

0138

throw down into and upon the ground
there, against the form of the Statute
in such case made and provided, and
against the peace of the People of the
State of New York, and their dignity.

John P. Kellogg.

[Signature]

0139

BOX:

355

FOLDER:

3343

DESCRIPTION:

Walsh, Joseph

DATE:

05/09/89



3343

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0140

Witnesses:

Officer Griffin

The complainant is unable to say positively that the defendant committed the assault charged against him herein. The People have no other witnesses to the crime, the officers having de- nied their knowledge of our statements of the complainant. Upon these facts I recom- mend the dismissal of the indictment herein.

Dated May 28, 1889.

Edward Gross
~~Deputy~~

I concur in the above

recommendation.

June 9/89 J. M. Dumb
I certify that the above is a true and correct copy of the original.

43
Counsel,
Filed
Pleadings
day of
May 1889

THE PEOPLE
vs.
Joseph W. ...

Assault in the First Degree, Etc.
(Sections 217 and 218, Penal Code).

JOHN R. FELLOWS,
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL

Edw. Gross

Part III June 20, 1889 Foreman.

On recom. of Dist. Atty.
indict. dtds. P.B.M.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0141

Court of General Sessions

The People

vs.

Joseph Walsh

Peter Peterson, 98 Oliver Street,
Dock builder. On the 28th of
March, 1889, at about eleven
o'clock in the evening, while
on my way home in Oliver
Street, I was assaulted by three
men, and one of them stabbed
me with a sharp instrument,
but I do not know which
of the three men did it. I cannot
swear that the above defendant
did it.

Jeremiah J. Griffin, patrolman,
4th precinct. On the 28th of
March, 1889, at about three o'clock
P. M., officer Hogan and my
self, arrested the defendant herein
upon complainant's com-
plaint. He did not make
any remark which might
be construed as an admission.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0142

of his guilt. We heard the cries of the complainant, when he was being stabbed. This was at about one o'clock P. M. of the 28th of March, 1889. The complainant stated that his assailants had escaped into a building. The defendant was arrested about two hours afterwards.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0143

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS.

THE PEOPLE, &c.

vs,

Joseph Walsh

BRIEF OF FACTS.

For the District Attorney.

Dated *May 23* 1888

Edward Grace

Deputy Assistant.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0144

District Attorney's Office.

PEOPLE

vs.

Mr. Fitzgerald
This is the case
in which you
sent the mother
of this boy to me
Mr. Davis has
recommended his
discharge on the
back -

Yours Respy
Andrew Fay

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0145

New York General Sessions.

PEOPLE ON MY COMPLAINT,
VERSUS

Joseph Walsh

As complainant in the above case, I beg to recommend the defendant to such leniency and clemency as the Court and District Attorney may see fit to show; but I expressly assert that my reasons for so doing are not controlled by any advantage to myself.

Edward Grose

P. Pedersen

**POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL**

0 146

Court of General Sessions

The People
vs.

Joseph Walsh

Wainwright

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0147

Police Court— / District: 41

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Peter Peterson

of No. *98 Oliver* Street,

Rock builder being duly sworn, deposes and says, that

on *Thursday* the *28th* day of *March*

in the year 188*9* at the City of New York, in the County of New York,

he was violently and feloniously ASSAULTED and BEATEN by *Joseph*

Walsh (now here) who cut and stabbed deponent in the left leg with some sharp instrument which he, defendant held in his hand

with the felonious intent to take the life of deponent, or to do him grievous bodily harm; and without any justification on the part of the said assailant :

Wherefore this deponent prays that the said assailant may be apprehended and bound to answer for the above assault, etc., and be dealt with according to law.

Sworn to before me, this *28th* day of *March* 188*9* } *Peter Peterson*

G. M. ... POLICE JUSTICE.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0148

Sec. 193-200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Joseph Walsh being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him, that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Joseph Walsh*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *22 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *New York*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *72 Oliver Street. 3 years*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Laborer*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. *I am not guilty*
Joseph Walsh

Taken before me this *28*
day of *March* 188*9*
J. J. [Signature]
Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0149

BAILED,

No. 1, by _____
Residence _____ Street _____

No. 2, by _____
Residence _____ Street _____

No. 3, by _____
Residence _____ Street _____

No. 4, by _____
Residence _____ Street _____

Police Court... 485
District

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF
John Peterson
98 Colburn's
Joseph Alford

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10

Offence *Fel. Assault*

Dated *March 28* 1889
Stard Magistrate

Stephen W. Duffin Officer
Precinct *14*

Witnesses _____

No. _____ Street _____

No. _____ Street _____

No. _____ Street _____

No. _____ Street _____

\$ *1000* to answer

AM

RECEIVED
APR 1889
DISTRICT CLERK'S OFFICE.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named.....

defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *ten* Hundred Dollars,..... and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated *March 28* 1889 *John Peterson* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named.....
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated..... 188..... Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named.....
guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order he to be discharged.

Dated..... 188..... Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0150

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

Joseph Walsh

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE FIRST DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said Joseph Walsh

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the twenty-eighth day of March in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-nine, with force and arms, at the City and County aforesaid, in and upon the body of one Peter Peterson in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously did make an assault, and him the said Peter Peterson with a certain sharp instrument to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown which the said Joseph Walsh in his right hand then and there had and held, the same being a deadly and dangerous weapon then and there wilfully and feloniously did strike, beat, cut, stab and wound,

with intent him the said Peter Peterson thereby then and there feloniously and wilfully to kill, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT--

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said Joseph Walsh

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and upon the body of the said Peter Peterson in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully make another assault, and him the said Peter Peterson with a certain sharp instrument to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown which the said Joseph Walsh in his right hand then and there had and held, the same being a weapon and an instrument likely to produce grievous bodily harm, then and there feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully strike, beat, cut, stab and wound, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the Peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0151

THIRD COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

Joseph Walsh
of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

Joseph Walsh

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and upon the said

Peter Peterson in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully make another assault, and

him the said *Peter Peterson*

with a certain

sharp instrument to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown,

which

he the said *Joseph Walsh*

in

his right hand then and there had and held, in and upon the

of *him* the said *Peter Peterson*

then and there feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully strike, beat, stab, cut, bruise and wound, and did then and there and by the means aforesaid, feloniously, wilfully and wrongfully inflict grievous bodily harm upon the said *Peter Peterson*

against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

JOHN R. FELLOWS,

District Attorney.

0152

BOX:

355

FOLDER:

3343

DESCRIPTION:

Walsh, Thomas

DATE:

05/09/89



3343

0153

BOX:

355

FOLDER:

3343

DESCRIPTION:

Houston, Daniel

DATE:

05/09/89



3343

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0154

43/ Bill [unclear] [unclear]

Counsel,
Filed 9 day of May 1889
Pleads, [unclear]

THE PEOPLE vs. [unclear] vs. [unclear]
Thomas Walsh
Daniel Houston
Furglary in the Third degree.
Section 498, 526, 528 & 531 (R.C.)

JOHN R. FELLOWS,

District Attorney.
Pr May 13/89
Both plead attempt Burg 3,
Both P.V. 2 yrs & 6 mo
P.B.M.

A TRUE BILL

[Signature]

Foreman.

Witnesses:

Officer [unclear]

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0155

Police Court 1st District.

City and County }
of New York, } ss.:

of No. 315 Pearl Street, aged 43 years,
occupation Merchant being duly sworn

Henry B. Hoppel

deposes and says, that the premises No 315 Pearl Street,
in the City and County aforesaid, the said being a brick building
in the 4th Ward of said City,
and which was occupied by deponent as a general business
and in which there was at the time My human being, by name,

were **BURGLARIOUSLY** entered by means of forcibly removing
the cover of the Seattle Hole in
the roof of said premises

on the 26th day of April 1889 in the night time, and the
following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz:

A quantity of brushes of
the value of two hundred dollars.

the property of deponents: and of Henry B. Hoppel
and deponent further says, that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid
BURGLARY was committed and the aforesaid property taken, stolen, and carried away by

Thomas Walsh, & Samuel Houston
(both now here)

for the reasons following, to wit: That at or about the hour
of 6 O'clock P.M. on the 25th day of April
1889 deponent secured a general
key of said premises. That deponent is
employed by Gabriel's Millers. That
at or about the hour of 4^{1/2} A.M. on the
26th day of April 1889 he was
with Officers Carr, M. Mann, & Lymban
found the said deponents in said

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0156

premises with the said property in
bags ready for removal from said
premises. Dependent thereupon prays
that the said dependents may be
held to answer.

Done before me
this 27th day of April 1889
at St. Louis
Henry B. Hoppel
Police Justice

Police Court _____ District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.
Burglary
Degree.

Dated _____ 188

Magistrate.

Officer.

Clerk.

Witnesses:

Committed in default of \$ _____ Bail.

Bailed by _____

No. _____ Street.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0157

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

aged 53 years, occupation Jabruski, Muller
Police Officer of the
4th Precinct Police Street, being duly sworn deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Henry B. Hopper
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponents' own
knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 27th
day of April 1889 } Jabruski H. Muller

[Signature]
Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0158

Sec. 198-200.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss.

District Police Court.

Thomas Walsh

being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Thomas Walsh*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *25 Years.*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *New York*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *422 Pearl St. 2 Years*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Laborer*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. *I have nothing to say and waive further examination. Thomas Walsh*

Taken before me this *11th* day of *May* 188*9*
J. J. [Signature]
Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0159

Sec. 198-200.

1 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss.

Daniel Houston

being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Daniel Houston*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *25 Years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *New York*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *40 New Chambers St. 18 Months*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Printer*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. *I have nothing to say and have further examined by*
Daniel Houston

Taken before me this *15th* day of *Sept* 188*1*
W. J. B. [Signature]
Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0160

1570 to Examination
920 N. M. St.
May 3.

BAILED,
No. 1, by _____
Residence Street
No. 2, by _____
Residence Street
No. 3, by _____
Residence Street
No. 4, by _____
Residence Street

Police Court

District

656

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Henry B. Hobbs
3157 64th St
William Waller
Small Thomas

Offence

Burglary

Dated

April 27th 1889
Dawson, Magistrate

Mullen Henry Officer

Witnesses
Rubin Mullen
No. 111 11th St

Witnesses
No. 111 11th St

Witnesses
No. 111 11th St

Witnesses
No. 111 11th St

\$ 1500

to answer

to answer



committed

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named defendants

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of fifteen Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated April 27 1889 Dawson Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named _____ to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated _____ 188 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____ guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated _____ 188 _____ Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0161

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

*Thomas Walsh and
Daniel Houston* }
against

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Thomas Walsh and Daniel Houston

of the CRIME OF BURGLARY IN THE THIRD DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said *Thomas Walsh and Daniel
Houston, both*

late of the *Fourth* Ward of the City of New York, in the County of
New York, aforesaid, on the *twenty-sixth* day of *April* in the year of
our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty *nine*, with force and arms, at the Ward,
City and County aforesaid, a certain building there situate, to wit: the *building* of one

Henry B. Stoppel

feloniously and burglariously did break into and enter, with intent to commit some crime therein,
to wit: with intent, the goods, chattels and personal property of the said

Henry B. Stoppel

in the said *building* then and there being, then and there feloniously and burglariously
to steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and
against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0162

SECOND COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment further accuse the said

Thomas Walsh and Daniel Houston
of the CRIME OF *Grand* LARCENY in the second degree committed as follows:

The said *Thomas Walsh and Daniel Houston, both*

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, in the *night*-time of the said day, with force and arms,

one thousand brushes of the value of twenty cents each

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one *Henry B. Stoppel*

in the *building* of the said *Henry B. Stoppel—*

there situate, then and there being found, in the *building* aforesaid, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

John R. Fellows
District Attorney.

0163

BOX:

355

FOLDER:

3343

DESCRIPTION:

Ward, Mary

DATE:

05/07/89



3343

0164

BOX:

355

FOLDER:

3343

DESCRIPTION:

Ward, Mary

DATE:

05/07/89



3343

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0165

Witnesses:

[Faint witness lines and signatures]

Counsel,
Filed
pleads,
1889

Grand Larceny
[Sections 528, 530 - Pennl Code]

THE PEOPLE
vs.

Mary Ward

vs.
John R. Fellows,
District Attorney.

May 14/89
A TRUE BILL.

Ernest W. Mearns

Foreman,
May 14/89
Spick & Conroy
District Attorney

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0155

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss.

POLICE COURT, First DISTRICT.

David A. Montgomery

of the 6th Precinct Police Street, aged _____ years,

occupation Police Officer being duly sworn deposes and says

that on the 28th day of April 1889

at the City of New York, in the County of New York, he arrested one

Mary Ward on complaint of William Miller who charged the said Ward with having illegionally taken, stolen and carried away from his possession and person, property of the value of fifty dollars. Deponent believes that the said Miller will not appear to prosecute the said Ward at the court of General Sessions, he being a non-resident of the City, deponent therefore prays that the said Miller may be required to furnish surety for his appearance to testify. David A. Montgomery

Sworn to before me, this 29th day of April 1889

J. S. Bond
Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0167

Police Court, _____ District.

THE PEOPLE, & c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.

AFFIDAVIT.

Dated _____ 188

_____ Magistrate.

_____ Officer.

Witness, _____

Disposition, _____

*In the House of
Detention*

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0168

Police Court 1st District. Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County of New York, ss.: William Miller
of No. Delphi New York State Street, aged 27 years,
occupation Farmer being duly sworn
deposes and says, that on the 28th day of April 1887 at the City of New

York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession and

possession of deponent, in the night time, the following property, viz:
Good and lawful money of the United States issued to the amount and value of fifty dollars.

the property of deponent

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away by Mary Ward (now here)

from the fact that at or about the hour of 10³⁴ P.M. on said date deponent was accosted by the said Ward on Park Row for the purpose of prostitution, that deponent went with the said Ward to a house unknown to deponent, that while lying on a bed with the said Ward having sexual intercourse with her deponent felt the name of the said Ward in the right hand pocket of the pantaloons then on deponent's person and where deponent had placed said money, that deponent immediately after having sexual intercourse with

Sworn to before me, this 28th day of April 1887
Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0169

with the said Ward. Missed said
Money from said pocket. That ^{deposited}
then accused the said Ward with ^{the} recovery
of said Money, and while deposit was
making to the said Ward. she put hand
into deposit pocket, and put ^{the} ^{same}
into deposit pocket. The purse which
contained said Money.

Deposited. Through says that the said
Ward may be held to answer the same

James H. Lewis M. W. William Miller
This 29th day of April 1889

City of Chicago
Police Justice

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0170

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss.

Mary Ward being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Mary Ward*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *23 Years.*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *Ireland*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *40. Shoytie St. 2 Months*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Prostitute*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. *I am not guilty of the charge*

Mary Ward
Ward

I went with ^{the} woman into a house, I had connection with her - before it was after entering the room I took out my ~~purse~~ ^{purse} and unrolled a one dollar note and gave it to her, while in the act of copulation she took the purse out of my pocket and removed the bills and returned the purse afterwards to my pocket. I charged her ~~with~~ ^{with} the larceny

Taken before me this *19* day of *March* 188*7*
J. B. Casey
Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0171

I was hustled by her & some
others and followed them
through the flat & had
her arrested by the officer

William Miller

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0172

BAILED,

No. 1, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

No. 2, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

No. 3, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

No. 4, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

Police Court---

District

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

William Miller

Mary Howard

1
2
3
4

Offence

Larceny

Dated

April 29 1889

Residence

S. A. Montgomery
Magistrate.

Residence

Precinct

Witnesses

Declarations

No. _____

Committed to the Street

House of _____

House of New York

No. _____

in default of appearance

to _____

to Court

No. _____

1600



It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named *Defendants*

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *ten* Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated *April 29 1889* *and to* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named _____ to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated _____ 188 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____ guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated _____ 188 _____ Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0173

The People
vs. Mary Ward
Indictment for grand larceny in first degree.
I Court of General Sessions Part I
Before Recorder Smythe. May 14 1889.
William Miller, sworn and examined.
I live in Delhi, Delaware Co. and work on a
farm; on the 28th of April I was in this city. I
met the defendant on Park Row about half
past ten o'clock at night; she spoke first, I was
going down to the hotel where I was stopping;
she halted me and asked me if I did not
want something? I said, not, that I had been
after having something; she says to me, Come
back and I will get it up for you. I was
stopping at the Hamilton house in Chamber
street. I went with her to the house 103 Park
Row. I went up stairs into a room and she
went with me; she closed the door and sat
down on the bed. Then I had connection with
her. Then I went into the room I had between
fifty and seventy five dollars in money
on my person in a little purse; it was in
my right hand trousers pocket. The defendant
slipped her hand down into my pocket
while I had connection with her and took
the purse containing the money from my
pocket. Then I got through I buttoned my
pants; I put my hand down, the pocket
book was pretty big with the money in it.
I felt my pocket, and I says, "you have

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0174

got my money." She said, "No, I aint, I have only got the dollar you paid me for having connection." I began to speak a little loud and there came another lady up and the man who rents the house. She came in and searched her with her drawers and shirt on. She did not undress before we went to bed; she had her clothes on. I was standing at the bed side when I charged her with taking my money and she was there also; she had only been about three feet away from the bed. There was not over three minutes conversation between me and her about the loss of the money before anybody else came in the room. The man came up stairs when I was speaking loud and made some sort of a threat if I did not stop he would do so and so. He was standing at the entrance of the door, he opened the door and said not to make any noise, that he would have her searched right away, that he was not going to have the house disgraced. He hallowed down for some lady, and she came up and she made the defendant strip, and she searched her under her shirt and drawers. The process of searching her I suppose took five minutes. I stayed in the room all the time; she said she could not find any

money on the defendant; she (the defendant) said
"I will go to the station house with you."
I could not tell what became of the woman
who searched the defendant. I followed her.
The man went down stairs after the lady who
searched the defendant. I do not believe there
was a light in the hall, but there was a
light in the room. The defendant went down
into the bar room and I followed her; she
went out and ran across the street and
when she got to the corner she said some-
thing to a young man who was standing
there. I called for police, and she wheeled
around and came back the same way
and then she ran three or four blocks. The
officer arrested her. I told him she robbed me
the money I had was in five and ten dollar
bills. During the time of the search she said to
me, "Try your pockets again and see if you
have got your money." I tried them, and there
was no money or pocket book there. This was
in the room. Then she came back and
said, "I will try your pockets." So she put
her hands right down in my pockets again
and I cannot say whether she had the
pocket book, but she had something
black in her hand going right into my
right pants pocket. When I put my
hand in my pocket. I did not get any

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0176

Cross Examined. This happened on a Sunday evening in April but I could not tell what date it was. I came to the city the Saturday night before. I got in here about seven o'clock and went to a hotel, the Hammiton house in Chamber street. I stayed there till Sunday morning. I took a ride around in the street cars to get up an appetite for breakfast. I could not tell where I did go. I came back and had my breakfast and remained in the hotel half an hour after and then went out again for another ride with an old gentleman who was stopping at the hotel. I don't know his name, I got acquainted with him on the train. I never saw him before. I stayed with him until probably two o'clock. I parted with him and went over to Brooklyn to see my cousin who is living there, No 123 St. Marks Avenue. I believe I took two glasses of beer during the forenoon. I am sure I did not take any. I drank no whiskey or brandy. I did not go back to the hotel but had my dinner in some restaurant. I had coffee and nothing else at dinner. It was before I went to Brooklyn. I had dinner. I stayed in Brooklyn about two hours. The old gentleman was not with me. I had nothing to drink.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0177

over in Brooklyn; she is strictly against drink and I did not want it. To the best of my opinion I left somewhere around four o'clock. Where did you go? I went around the street in New York. You came back to New York? Yes. Did you go back to the hotel? No. You say after four o'clock you came from Brooklyn to New York and you walked around the streets? I went to see another friend of mine, a New York lady friend I met on the train. I went to the number of her house but did not find her in; she did not live in the city; she came down to the Centennial. I came to the Centennial. I don't remember the name and number of the house and street. I guess I put it in a book. I got the address from her going down. While looking around the city I did not drink anything. I did not take any supper. I did not eat anything more at all that day. I took a drink with the defendant at night. I did not drink anything after two o'clock in the afternoon till I met the defendant. I was walking around all the evening looking at the city. All that time I had my purse and money in my pocket, in my right hand pants pocket. I was around Park Row. A gentleman

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0178

who stopped in the hotel where I was staying took me there. I don't know him. I never saw him only in the hotel. He invited me to go to this house. I did not ask for any liquor. I bought two bottles of beer for the lady I was with, but I did not drink any of it. Then I went into the house. I met a lady. I did not know who she was; there were three ladies there I guess. I went up stairs into a room with her. I could not tell you what time it was. It was two or three hours after that I met the defendant. I had connection with the other woman I stayed in the room with her about ten minutes. I paid her a dollar. I took out my purse and put it right back into my pocket. She came down with me and I went outside. I don't know what time I got in the street. I met the defendant probably two or two hours and a half after. The man who was with me had left and gone home. I kept walking around. I did not go into any bar room to drink. I met another young lady before I met the defendant; she asked me on Park Row if I would not go with her and I did. I went with her into the same house where I got robbed. I went into the same room that I was in with the defendant. There

were no other women in the room. I had connection with her on the bed and gave her a dollar. I took out my purse to pay her and put it back again. I came down and she came out with me. After I left her I met the defendant and we went in and had a glass of beer. I only drank half of it. I met her in Park Row and went with her as I stated before. I gave her a dollar bill out of my purse and put it in my pantaloons. I did not see her doing anything after I accused her of taking the pocketbook.

David A. Montgomery sworn. I am an officer of the Sixth precinct and arrested the defendant on the 28th of April about 11 o'clock at night corner of City Hall Place and Pearl St. The defendant and the complainant were going down City Hall Place and three or four people were following. Miller came up to me and said, "Officer, I want you to lock this woman up, she robbed me." I asked him how much and he said, "eighty dollars." I took her and brought her to the station house. She said it was not so, that he was with another woman before he met her, and it was the other woman who robbed him. He went to the station house with me and the defendant was searched there. Nothing was found on her.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0180

except a dollar bill and some other small change. She was walking pretty fast and Miller was right behind her.

Mary Ward, sworn and examined in her own behalf testified. I am 23 years old and recollect the Sunday night I met the complainant on Park Row. I did not rob him of any money. When I met him he was coming out of the house with another woman, he went up half a block and he came back and asked me if I would go up stairs? I said, yes. We went into the basement and he called for whiskey and we had a drink of whiskey. We then went into a room, he wanted me to strip off my clothes, he said, "Here is a dollar," he did not pull out a pocket book, I saw nothing but a dollar bill. When he got out of bed he put on his clothes and said, "I had some money in my pocket, where is it?" I said, "I don't know, I have got a dollar, and that is all I have got." He was hallooing, he took a revolver out, and the chamber maid came up and stayed till he got through searching. I said, "I can stay here, for I have nothing but a dollar." The man came up and said, "I will get an officer and have you arrested," he sent his wife up. The man stood there with the revolver. I had

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0181

nothing but \$1.75. He went out on the street
and when the officer was on the corner
he told him to arrest me. I was taken
to the station house and searched and
only \$1.75 was found on me.

The jury rendered a verdict
of guilty of petty larceny with a recom-
mendation to mercy.

**POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL**

0182

Testimony in the
case of
Mary Ward

filed May
1884

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0183

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE, OF THE CITY AND COUNTY
OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

Mary Ward

THE GRAND JURY OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, by

this indictment, accuse

Mary Ward

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE FIRST DEGREE,

committed as follows:

The said

Mary Ward

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *twenty-eight*
day of *April* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and
eighty-*nine*, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in the
night-time of the same day, divers promissory notes for the payment of money, being
then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury
Notes), of a number and denomination to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, for the
payment of and of the value of *fifty*

dollars; divers other promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due
and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as Bank Notes), of a number and denomination
to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, for the payment of and of the value of
fifty

dollars; divers United States Silver Certificates of a number and denomination to the Grand
Jury aforesaid unknown, of the value of *fifty*

dollars; divers United States Gold Certificates of a number and denomination to the
Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, of the value of *fifty*

dollars; divers coins of a number, kind and denomination to the Grand Jury aforesaid
unknown, of the value of *ten dollars*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one *William Miller*, on the
person of the said William Miller then and there being found,
from the person of the said William Miller
then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the
statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State
of New York, and their dignity.

JOHN R. FELLOWS, District Attorney.

0184

BOX:

355

FOLDER:

3343

DESCRIPTION:

Werling, Henry

DATE:

05/10/89



3343

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0185

WITNESSES:

Officer Collins

Counsel,

Filed

Pleads

1889

day of

THE PEOPLE,

vs.

VIOLATION OF EXCISE LAW

(Selling on Sunday, Etc.)
[III Rev. Stat. (7th Edition), page 1938, Sec. 21 and page 1989, Sec. 5.]

Henry Twining

vs. J. H. Brown

JOHN R. FELLOWS,

District Attorney.

Transferred to the Court of Special Sessions for trial and final disposition.

Part of

A TRUE BILL

1889

John R. Fellows
District Attorney

May 13/89

May 14

**POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL**

0186

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against
Henry Werling

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse
Henry Werling
of the CRIME OF SELLING INTOXICATING LIQUORS AND WINES AS A BEVERAGE ON SUNDAY, committed as follows:

The said

Henry Werling
late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the
third day of *March* in the year of our Lord one
thousand eight hundred and eighty-*nine*, at the City and County aforesaid,
the same being the first day of the week, commonly called and known as Sunday, with
force and arms, certain intoxicating liquors and certain wines, to wit: One gill of wine,
one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whiskey, one gill of cordial,
one gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer,
and one gill of a certain intoxicating liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown,
unlawfully did sell as a beverage to one

Eugene D. Collins
and to certain other persons whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown,
against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of
the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid by this indictment further accuse the said

Henry Werling
of the CRIME OF KEEPING OPEN ON SUNDAY a place licensed for the SALE OF STRONG
AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINES, ALE AND BEER, committed as follows:

The said

Henry Werling
late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year
aforesaid, the same being the first day of the week, commonly called and known as
Sunday, being then and there in charge of and having the control of a certain place
there situate, which was then duly licensed as a place for the sale of strong and
spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, with force and arms, at the City and County
aforesaid, the said place so licensed as aforesaid unlawfully did not close and keep
closed, and on the said day the said place so licensed as aforesaid unlawfully did then
and there open, and cause and procure, and suffer and permit, to be open, and to remain
open, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the
peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

JOHN R. FELLOWS,
District Attorney.

0187

BOX:

355

FOLDER:

3343

DESCRIPTION:

Winch, Louis

DATE:

05/23/89



3343

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0188

WITNESSES:

Officer Martin

Counsel,

Filed

Pleads

260
John R. Fellows
23 day of *May* 188*9*

THE PEOPLE,

vs.

B
Louis Winch

charged
Such to the Court of Special Sessions for trial, by request of the Defendant.

VIOLATION OF EXCISE LAW
(Selling on Sunday, Etc.)
[III Rev. Stat. (7th Edition), page 1889, Sec. 21 and page 1980, Sec. 5.]

JOHN R. FELLOWS,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

Edward W. M... ..
Deputy

**POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL**

0189

Court of General Sessions of the Peace
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

Louis Winch

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse
Louis Winch
of the CRIME OF SELLING INTOXICATING LIQUORS AND WINES AS A BEVER-
AGE ON SUNDAY, committed as follows:

The said

Louis Winch

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the
tenth day of *February* in the year of our Lord one
thousand eight hundred and eighty-*nine*, at the City and County aforesaid,
the same being the first day of the week, commonly called and known as Sunday, with
force and arms, certain intoxicating liquors and certain wines, to wit: One gill of wine,
one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whiskey, one gill of cordial,
one gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer,
and one gill of a certain intoxicating liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown,
unlawfully did sell as a beverage to one

Hugh Martin

and to certain other persons whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown,
against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of
the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid by this indictment further accuse the said

Louis Winch
of the CRIME OF KEEPING OPEN ON SUNDAY a place licensed for the SALE OF STRONG
AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINES, ALE AND BEER, committed as follows:

The said

Louis Winch

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year
aforesaid, the same being the first day of the week, commonly called and known as
Sunday, being then and there in charge of and having the control of a certain place
there situate, which was then duly licensed as a place for the sale of strong and
spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, with force and arms, at the City and County
aforesaid, the said place so licensed as aforesaid unlawfully did not close and keep
closed, and on the said day the said place so licensed as aforesaid unlawfully did then
and there open, and cause and procure, and suffer and permit, to be open, and to remain
open, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the
peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

JOHN R. FELLOWS,
District Attorney.