

0442

BOX:

545

FOLDER:

4957

DESCRIPTION:

Roche, Patrick

DATE:

12/22/93



4957

Bail fixed at one thousand
(000) dollars

YCS

Witnesses:

Henry Hildenbrand
Michael F. Blake
Patrick J. Scully
Henry Washington
William S. Young
William H. Kuhn

Bailed Dec 28

by Peter R. J. Coughlin
139 West 130th

Feb 14 1894

Same recommendation as in
case of People v John A. Hall &
for same reasons therein
given

J. R. Accurs
Dist Atty

COURT OF OYER AND TERMINER.

Counsel,

Schampan

Filed— 22 day of Dec 1893

Pleads,

Not Guilty with leave
to file Jan 3/94 to answer

THE PEOPLE

vs.

B
Patrick Roche

30 Cannon St

26th St & 8th

Dumfries.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

Pls. Dec 28 District Attorney

committed

PERJURY.

[Section 96, Penal Code, and Chapter 680, Laws of 1892, section 104.]

A True Bill.

R. J. Cross

Foreman.

Feb 14. 1894

Indictment dismissed
YCS

Court of Oyer and Terminer

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Patrick Roche

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this Indictment accuse *Patrick Roche*

of the crime of Perjury, committed as follows:

Heretofore, to wit: on Tuesday, the seventh day of November, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-three (the same being the Tuesday next succeeding the first Monday of the said month of November), there was held a general election throughout the State of New York and in and throughout the said City and County of New York;

And on the day and in the year aforesaid, and at the said election, the said *Patrick Roche*, late of the City and County, being a resident of the *Assembly* Election District of the *First*

Assembly District of the said City and County, and a voter entitled to vote at the said election in the said election district, for the purpose of voting at the said election, did personally present himself at the duly designated polling place of the said election in and for the said election district, the polls of the said election in and for the said election district being then open, and to and before *Thomas J. Murphy, John A. Murphy and Charles J. Raquet*,

then and there being the Inspectors of Election of the said election district, at the said election, at a meeting of the said Inspectors of Election then being duly held in the said polling place for the purposes of the said election.

And the said *Patrick Roche* did then and there desire and require of the said Inspectors of Election that he might select a person for the purpose of assisting him to receive and prepare his ballots, and who should be allowed to pass within the guard rail of the said polling place and receive his official ballots, and enter one of the voting booths of the said polling place, with him, and there assist him in preparing his ballot.

And thereupon he, the said *Patrick Roche* was then and there in due form of law sworn, and did take his corporal oath, by and before the said Inspectors of Election, touching his right to select such person to assist him in receiving and preparing his ballots as aforesaid, they, the said Inspectors of Election, then and there having full and competent power and authority to administer the said oath to the said *Patrick Roche* in that behalf.

And the said Robert R. Rode being
so sworn as aforesaid, upon his oath aforesaid, before the said Inspectors of Election, then and there
feloniously, wilfully, knowingly and corruptly, did falsely swear, declare and say, that by reason of

adjective expert
he, the said Robert R. Rode was then
and there unable to receive or prepare his ballots without assistance.

Whereas, in truth and in fact he, the said Robert R. Rode was not
by reason of adjective expert

then and there unable to receive or prepare his ballots without assistance, as he then and there
well knew.

And so the Grand Jury aforesaid do say that the said Robert R. Rode
in manner and form aforesaid, feloniously, wilfully, knowingly, corruptly and falsely, did commit
wilful and corrupt perjury; against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and
against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

0446

BOX:

545

FOLDER:

4957

DESCRIPTION:

Roeth, George A.

DATE:

12/06/93



4957

0447

Witnesses:

officer James Dillon
at the present

Subpoena officer
+ comp for
12th

13

Counsel,

Filed, 6 day of Dec 1893

Pleads, *Guilty*

THE PEOPLE

vs.

George A. Roeth

27
530 West
Philadelphia

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

Part I. 12th Dec. '93.

A TRUE BILL.

12

B. Lockwood
Foreman.

12 Jan 8 1894

Tried and convicted (1st Count)
S.P. 2 years Jan 12/94

INJURY TO PROPERTY.

[Section 654, Penal Code.]

and assault

[Section 217 & 218, Penal Code]

0448

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

Witnesses:

Officer James Dillon
of the precinct

Subpoena official
+ compare for
12th

13

Counsel,

Filed, 6 day of Dec 1893

Pleads, Verdict

THE PEOPLE

vs.

George A. Roeth

27
530 West
photo cutter

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

Part I. 12th Dec. '93.

A TRUE BILL.

12

B Lockwood
Foreman.

~~George A. Roeth~~
~~Verdict~~
~~12th Dec. '93~~

Pr. Jan 8 1894

Tried and convicted (12th Dec. '93)
S.P. 2 yrs. Jan 12/94

INJURY TO PROPERTY.

[Section 654, Penal Code.]

and assault

[Section 217 & 218, Penal Code]

0449

Police Court, 2 District.City and County } ss.
of New York,of No. 212-5th Avenue Street, aged 33 years,
occupation Book-keeper being duly sworn, deposes and says,
that on the 16 day of November 1893 at the City of New

York, in the County of New York,

George A. Roeth (now here) did willfully, unlawfully, and maliciously, break and destroy personal property of another from the following facts to wit: That about the hour of 4.45 o'clock P.M. of said date, while deponent was standing at his desk, on the first or ground floor of the above mentioned address, he suddenly heard the discharge of a pistol, on the sidewalk in front of said premises, and that in a few minutes after hearing the discharge of said pistol he saw said defendant entering said premises by means of the 5th Avenue entrance, with a pistol in his hand, and that deponent is informed by Patrick J. Holton of No 140 West 33rd Street - and who is a Coachman, that about the hour of 4.45 o'clock P.M. of said date, he saw the defendant on the sidewalk in Fifth Avenue, in front of the above mentioned premises, and he then saw the defendant point, aim, and discharge, the contents of three barrels of a pistol loaded with powder and ball, at the show window of the Restaurant, of said premises, and he then discovered the said show window had been broken and damaged by the discharge of said pistol, deponent further says that said property broken and destroyed by said defendant is the property of DeLamunicos, and in his care and custody - and that the damage done to said property by the willful and malicious act of the defendant, was of the amount of sixty-nine dollars - deponent therefore charges said defendant with Violation of Section 654 of the Penal Code, and asks that he may be held to answer -

Simon A. Ries

Simon A. Ries
Deponent before me this
16th day of November 1893
Police Court

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

1877

Patrick J. Hebron
aged *21* years, occupation *Coachman* of No.

140 West 93 Street, being duly sworn, deposes and
says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of *Amin A. Pies*

and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own
knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this *23*
day of *November* 189*3* } *Patrick J. Hebron*

E. J. Hebron
Police Justice.

0451

Sec. 198-200

CITY AND COUNTY
OF NEW YORK, } ss.2
District Police Court.*George A. Roeth*

being duly examined before the under-
signed according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is h right to
make a statement in relation to the charge against h ; that the statement is designed to
enable h if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h
that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiver cannot be used
against h on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

George A. Roeth

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

27 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

New York

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

530 West 46 Street - 4 years

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Granite Cutter

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the
testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your
exculpation?

Answer.

I am not guilty -
George A. Roeth

Taken before me this

day of

23

188

Police Justice,

0452

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendant

Ten ~~guilty thereof~~, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Ten Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he ~~give such bail~~.

Dated November 23 1893 C. S. Brown Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named _____
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated _____ 18 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____
_____ guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order he to be discharged.

Dated _____ 18 _____ Police Justice.

0453

1260

Police Court--- District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Lyman A. Rice
George A. Rock

Malcom
Mochie

2
3
4

Dated

Mr 23 90

Magistrate.

Joe Dillon

Officer.

Park

Precinct.

Charles Heustel

Witnesses

212-5-Avenue

Patrick J. Hebron

140 West 93

Phelix K. Frow

Geo. F. Hamcock

Charles Heustel

Charles DeLuise

Dr. Field and Douglas

Belle Hospital

BAILED.

No. 1, by

Residence

No. 2, by

Residence

No. 3, by

Residence

No. 4, by

Residence

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE,
City & county of New York.

.....

THE PEOPLE,)	BEFORE
)	
VS.)	HON. RUFUS B. COWING,
)	
GEORGE A. ROTH.)	AND A JURY.
)	

.....

TRIED, NEW YORK, JANUARY 8TH, 1894.

INDICTED FOR INJURY TO PROPERTY AND ASSAULT.

INDICTMENT FILED DECEMBER 6TH, 1893.

.....

APPEARANCES:

ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY JAMES W. CSBORNE,

FOR THE PEOPLE.

FREDERICK B. HOUSE, ESQ.,

FOR THE DEFENSE.

.....

(It is conceded that, "Delmonico's," as charged in the indictment in this case, is a corporation, and that it owns the real and personal property, including the plate glass windows in the house, situated at the corner of 5th Avenue and 26th Street, in the City of New York.)

SIMON A. NIESS testified that he is a bookkeeper for Delmonico, at 212 5th Avenue, and he was so employed, in Delmonico's Restaurant, on November 16th, 1893, in the City of New York. The witness testified, further, "On the evening of the 16th of November, at about a quarter before 5, as near as I could tell, at the time, while standing at my desk, engaged in my usual occupation, I heard three or four pistol shots, at least three, and, a moment after, I saw the people rushing from the windows, and almost immediately this prisoner appeared in the vestibule where my desk is situated, with a pistol levelled in his hand, and looked around in the restaurant, and, as he went out, two men sprang upon

him, and one grabbed his hand in which his pistol was held, and the other from the other side, and the Park Policeman came in and arrested him, and while his pistol hand was held, the pistol was discharged, and, as near as I can recollect, he said, 'I want to kill somebody,' or 'I want to shoot somebody.' but he did not mention any one in particular. The plateglass window was on the 5th Avenue side, of the ladies' and gentlemen's dining room. It was the second window from the entrance, going towards 26th Street, from 25th Street,---going uptown. Two windows were broken, a hole being in each window. Both windows were destroyed. It cost \$69.00 to put in new windows."

In

CROSS-EXAMINATION

the witness testified that there was no disturbance at the restaurant, at the time of the shooting, that he the witness, had been employed, as bookkeeper, in that place, for ten years, and had never before seen the defendant. He, the witness, thought the defendant was a mad man or had designs on the cash, at the time of

shooting.

PATRICK J. HEHCN testified that he is a coachman, and had a coach in front of Delmonico's, on the 16th of November, 1893. He, the witness, saw the defendant fire twice and hit the plateglass windows. The defendant was coming from 26th Street, on 5th Avenue, and was advancing towards the door of Delmonico's, along the sidewalk, and he fired his pistol at the plateglass windows, as he went along. Three shots were fired above the level of the people, but one would have struck a man standing up in Delmonico's. He fired three times, the first shot attracting his, the witness's, attention. That shot struck on the lower window, near 26th Street. The first shot was higher than any man could stand, and the second one was near the sash. Neither of them could have hit anybody. The third shot might have hit a man standing up. It was the third one that went through the middle of the window. The defendant

muttered something to himself that he, the witness, could not understand. He, the witness, was then standing in front of the door, on 26th Street, on the 5th Avenue side. After firing the third shot, the defendant walked right into Delmonico's, through the vestibule doors. He, the witness, did not follow the defendant into the restaurant.

In

CROSS-EXAMINATION

the witness testified that, when the defendant fired the three shots, he did not appear to be firing at anybody in particular; but it was apparently only a reckless firing of the pistol. The defendant appeared to him, the witness, at that time, to be crazy.

STEWART DOUGLAS testified that he saw the defendant on the morning of November 17th, 1893, the morning after the shooting, at the Pavilion for the Insane, at Bellevue Hospital. He, the witness, is in

charge of the Insane Pavilion, having been so engaged for six years. The witness testified: 'On the morning of the 17th, I examined the defendant, and found him suffering from acute alcoholism. He was very tremulous and emotional. When I questioned him in regard to the cause of his having been sent to the Pavilion, he said that he did not know what he had done, but he knew that he had made a fool of himself, and I didn't think it was justifiable to reach any conclusion then. On the 20th he was in a normal condition.' He, the witness, believed that, on the 17th, he, the defendant, was in a condition to know right from wrong. Later on, the witness testified that, in the light of the testimony already adduced, he, the witness, thought the defendant was not mentally responsible on the 16th. In his, the witness's, opinion, the defendant, at the time of firing the shots, had very little appreciation of his act. In his, the witness's, opinion, the defendant knew that he was firing a pistol at the house, but he, the witness, had no opinion to express as to whether the

defendant knew that the act was wrongful or not. The defendant's condition, in his, the witness's, opinion, was caused by drinking liquor---a debauch extending over several days---and that one day would not have brought the defendant in such a condition. On the 17th the defendant did not have delirium tremens, but was on the verge of delirium tremens, and, had the debauch been continued, delirium tremens would have resulted. Many cases of alcoholism are brought to the Pavilion, the victims having symptoms of insanity.

In

CROSS-EXAMINATION

the witness testified that the defendant was brought to the Pavilion on the morning of the 17th, and he, the witness, saw him at the time he was received there. At that time the defendant's hands were tremulous, and he was weeping and walking up and down in a great state of excitement. In his, the witness's, opinion, from the defendant's condition on the morning of the 17th, the defendant knew the nature of his act. He believed that a

man in the defendant's condition, would be able to do a sudden act, but not acts requiring complicated thought. He believed that it was simply an insane, drunken impulse to draw out the pistol and fire it off; but his mental condition was caused by drinking liquor, the defendant, at that time, being, in his opinion, drunk. On the morning of the 17th the defendant was not drunk, but was suffering from the effects of drink.

(It is conceded that the pistol was loaded with powder and ball.)

He, the witness, was of opinion that the defendant's condition was produced by several days debauch.

In

RE-DIRECT-EXAMINATION

The witness testified that "acute alcoholism" means the effect of several days' hard drinking, and that in "delirium tremens" there are delusions and hallucinations, and, finally, there is mania-a-potu. The defendant was in a state of acute alcoholism.

JAMES DILLON testified that, on November 16th, 1893, he was a member of the Park Police, of this City. On that day he saw the defendant and arrested him. The defendant had a revolver in his hand, and was in the act of firing it, when a man knocked it out of his hand, and he, the witness, arrested him. He, the witness, found on the defendant's person the revolver and a lot of 32-calibre cartridges and \$9.70. He, the witness, had a conversation with him, on the way to the station-house, in which he said "It was the poor against the rich," and that he worked in the Dock Department but resigned on Friday. He was intoxicated.

In

CROSS-EXAMINATION

the witness testified that the defendant, at the time of the arrest, walked as steadily as any sober man could walk. He, the witness, did not see the defendant before the shots were fired, but he heard the report of the pistol---that attracted his attention. In his, the witness's, opinion,

10

the defendant was sober, but he, the witness, could not say whather or not he was insane.

In

RE-DIRECT-EXAMINATION

the witness testified that, at the station-house, the defendant at first refused to give his name, but, when urged to do so, he said that his name was George Roth, his business, a granite-cutter, in the Dock Department, and his residence, 500 and something West 46th Street.

In

RE-CROSS-EXAMINATION

the witness testified that he took the defendant to the station-house at about 5 o'clock in the afternoon of the 16th, and, on the way to the station-house, he did not give the defendant any whiskey. The next morning, at about 7 or 8 o'clock, he the witness, took the defendant to the Jefferson Market Police-Court, and there his, the witness's, connection with the case ended. Judge Hogan said that the defendants act, as charged, and his con-

duct in the Jefferson Market Police-Court, were such as to make it necessary, in his, Judge Hogan's, opinion, to have the defendant examined. He, the witness, examined the holes made by the shots fired by the defendant, and they appeared to be random shots.

THE DEFENSE.

JCSEPH J. LARKINS testified that he resided at 503 West 42nd Street, and is a stone-cutter. He, the witness had known the defendant for five years. They had been room-mates together. He, also, knew hundreds of other people who knew the defendant. His, the defendant's, reputation is first class. except that he is in the habit of going on periodical sprees. His, the defendant's, reputation for peace and quietness has been excellent, except when on one of his periodical sprees.

In

CROSS-EXAMINATION

the witness, testified, "I only know that he went on four good drunks, and then he hadn't his head." In his, the witness's, opinion, he, the defendant, is not accountable for his acts when on a good spree.

JAMES MORNE testified that he lived at 2131 Third Avenue, and is a granite-cutter. He has been engaged in that trade for 22 years, and has known the defendant for 7 or 8 years. He, the witness, knows others who are acquainted with the defendant, whose general reputation is that of a good hard-working man, peaceable and quiet. He, the witness, knew that the defendant went on a spree, about two years ago.

In

CROSS-EXAMINATION

the witness testified that, on one occasion, when the defendant was on a spree, he looked at a glass, and thought there was another man looking at him, and making fun of him, and he was going "to go for the man." But he, the witness, in-

terfered.

ANDREW WELSH testified that he lived at 536 West 53rd Street, and is a brown-stone cutter. He, the witness, knows the defendant, and had worked with him, the defendant, two years ago, on the Captitol, at Albany, about seven months. His, the defendant's general character for peace and quiet is good. He, the witness, never knew that the defendant did anything wrong, until he heard of the present charge against the defendant.

In

CROSS-EXAMINATION

the witness testified that he, the witness, had never, during his acquaintance with the defendant, seen him drunk. He, the witness, knew the defendant only by working with him. He, the witness, never heard, from any source, that the defendant went on spreess.

ANDREW J. WALSH, Sr. testified that he resided at 204 West 64th Street, and is a stone-cutter by trade. He, the witness, had known the defendant for the last two years and a half, and had worked with him about

two years and a half, and had worked with him about eight months, on the Capitol, at Albany. His, the defendant's, character as to peace and quiet is excellent. He, the witness, was greatly surprised when he read of the shooting. He, the witness, had been on several little sprees with the defendant, but had never seen him, the defendant, under the influence of liquor, at any other time.

In

CROSS-EXAMINATION

the witness testified that he had never known the defendant to go on one of the sprees described by other witnesses in this case.

GEORGE A. ROTH, the defendant, testified, in his own behalf, that he is 27 years of age, and was born in the City of New York. He, the defendant, had never before been arrested or charged with the commission of any crime. He, the defendant, is a granite-cutter, by trade. At the time of his arrest he, the defendant, had not been working, having resigned, about four days previous to that,

0468

15

from the Department of Docks. He, the defendant, worked for the Department of Docks about a year, and then went to work at the Capitol, at Albany, but was laid off, with a number of other men, on account of the appropriation having become reduced. Then he, the defendant, returned to work in the Docks Department. When asked why he resigned about four days before his arrest, the defendant testified, "Well, I had been drinking pretty heavy, and I was kind of disgusted with my self, and I thought the best thing to get sober was to go down East, and work in the quarries there, because I thought if I stayed around the City, where I knew some many people, I would go on drinking. Sometimes for six months I keep away from liquor, and sometimes I can drink nice and quiet for a week or so, and, when I think I have mastered the appetite for drink, it gets the better of me again, and then I knock off for a year or two, again." he, the defendant, when on a spree, drank most everything. He, the defendant, got "mixed up" with absinthe. He, the defendant,

did not recollect firing the shots, and did not know where or when he got the revolver. For five days before the shooting, he, the Defendant, drank heavily and steadily day and night. The last place he the defendant, recollected having been in, was a liquor store, at the corner of 13 Street and 8th Avenue, in the neighborhood of half-past two, but, as to the exact time, ~~he~~ the defendant, had no distinct recollection, and did not know whether or not, at that time, he had a pistol in his possession. The next distinct recollection that he, the defendant, had about himself, was when he was in Bellevue Hospital. He, the defendant, remembered seeing Dr. Stokes there. The doctor sat down by the bed, and asked him, the defendant, where he was., and he, the defendant, did not know where he was and then the doctor told him, and he, the defendant, thought the doctor was joking with him, until he, the defendant, asked the nurse. He, the defendant, had never been in Delmonico's before the day of the occurrence, and he knew no person at that

place, and had had no trouble with anybody connected with Delmonico's restaurant, and had had no trouble whatever with anybody in that part of the city, and there was no earthly reason why he, on that day, should fire the shots as testified to, and, the shooting was a mystery to him, the defendant, and he had absolutely no recollection of firing the shots. He, the defendant, did not need the pistol for anything, and did not have it with him when he left home, and did not recollect loading it. He, the defendant, went to work at his trade in September, 1882, and finished learning in September 1885. He worked, for three years, for John Williams, and then went to Roxbury, Connecticut, and worked in the quarries there, until the cold weather came on, and it was impossible to work in cold weather, because it was a limestone quarry, and then, he went to work, as a conductor on the Fifth Avenue Transfer Company, and, after that, he worked for John Nolan, on a building, and then he went to Millstown, Connecticut, and then a friend sent for him, and he went

18

to work on the Washington Bridge, at 181st Street and Harlem River, for two years and a half, from the beginning to the completion of that structure; then he went to Hoboken, and worked on the Steven's Estate, and on the bank near the ferry, and then he went to work on the Fordham College, being employed by the same man, and then he was out of employment for awhile, and then a friend obtained employment for him with J. H. Lane & Co., in Worth Street, as a porter, and he worked there for a year and a half, and then went to work for the Department of Docks, and also worked for several other people for a month or so. He, worked in the Dock Department for a year, and on the Capitol for eight months, and then he was laid off, and went back to the Department of Docks. He, the defendant, had never, in all his life been arrested or charged with the commission of any crime or of any act or acts of violence. He, the defendant, had been drinking, more or less, for five weeks before the shooting, and, had been drinking very heavily day and night, for five days preceding

the occurrence in question. He, the defendant, could not believe it, when told what he had done, and he had no design upon the life of anyone, and would not harm a child, and, did not intend to kill anybody or injure anybody or any property, and had no memory whatever of the acts charged.

In

CROSS-EXAMINATION

the defendant, testified that the last time that he was on a spree was a year ago, and then it lasted only a couple of days. He, the defendant, thought that he had delirium tremens on his last spree, but had never been confined in a hospital for delirium tremens. He, the defendant, was paid off on the day on which he got into this trouble receiving \$20.00. He, the defendant, when paid off, was very much under the influence of liquor. It was then half-past one o'clock. Paid day occurred once a week, and he, the defendant, had been paid off previous week. He, the defendant, had never been sent to "The Island", for being drunk; and he did not recollect going to the

station-house, and giving his pedigree, and conversing with the officer, on the day of the arrest; and he did not remember a thing from the time that he was at 8th Avenue and 13th Street, until he woke up in Bellevue Hospital.

In

RE-DIRECT-EXAMINATION

the defendant, testified that, when working full time, he earned \$24.00 a week, and, when sober, he could do as much work, and earn as large wages as any other workman in his trade of granite-cutter.

PHILIP H. BRADY testified that he lived at 343 West 49th Street, and is a bartender for Patrick Barry. He knew the defendant, and, had know him for 13 months, and saw him the last time, two days before the shooting---on the 14th ---about two o'clock in the afternoon, in his, the witness's, saloon, and he drank some whiskey there. On the day before, the 13th, the defendant came into his, the witness's saloon, and ordered and drank

absinthe and whiskey. To the best of his, the witness's knowledge, the defendant had been on a spree about five weeks, next preceding the day of the shooting. The defendant, during that time, would come in and out every day, of his, the witness's saloon, and drink absinthe and whiskey; and he was very shaky, and had the appearance and manner of a man that had been drinking very hard continuously for quite some time. He, the witness, had not seen the defendant since the 14th, until he saw him in court on the day of the trial.

In

CROSS-EXAMINATION

the witness testified that he sold absinthe and whiskey to the defendant as late as the 14th, but did not sell him any more on the next day.

(The Jury found the defendant Guilty of
Assault in the Second Degree.)

////////////////////

0475

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.
OF NEW YORK,

POLICE COURT—

(1866) DISTRICT.

of No. 217 5th Avenue Street, aged 31 years,

occupation Brook Keeper being duly sworn, deposes and says

that on the 16th day of November 1893

at the City of New York, in the County of New York, George A. Roth

(now here) was in Said Street acting in a strange manner, to wit:

defendant did discharge a loaded
revolver pistol through the plate glass
windows of said premises

deponent verily believes that the said George A. Roth
is disordered in his senses and unfit to be at large, and prays that he may be committed to the
care and charge of the Commissioners of Charities and Correction for examination as to his
sanity.

Simon A. Mes

Sworn to before me, this

of November 1893

day

Police Justice.

0476

Police Court, District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Simon A. Nies
vs.

Geo. A. Routh

AFFIDAVIT—INSANITY.

Dated Nov 17th 1893

Hogan Magistrate.

Kellom Officer.

Witness, Park

Disposition:—Committed to the care and charge of the Commissioners of Charities and Correction for examination as to his sanity, for a period not to exceed five days.

Police Justice.

Further Examination
in Case of defendant
Nov 23rd 2³⁰ P.M.

age 28
res. 530 W 46th
U.S.

047

Department of Public Charities and Correction.

BELLEVUE HOSPITAL,
WARDEN'S OFFICE,WM. B. O'ROURKE,
Warden.

New York, Nov. 21 1893.

James W. Ledwith Esq.
Warden, 2^d District Prison.

Dear Sir,

George Roeth, prisoner charged with Disorderly Conduct, who was sent to this Institution on the 17th inst. for examination as to his mental condition, having been pronounced "not insane" by Drs. Field and Douglas, is accordingly returned to your care. The diagnosis in his case was "Delirium Tremens".

Very respectfully

W. B. O'Rourke
Warden.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

517

IN AND FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

AGAINST

George A. Roeth

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment accuse

George A. Roeth

of the CRIME OF UNLAWFULLY AND WILFULLY *infringing* PERSONAL PROPERTY OF ANOTHER, committed as follows:

The said *George A. Roeth*,

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *sixteenth* day of *November*, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three*, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, *a certain pane of glass,*

of the value of *seventy five dollars,*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of *one a certain corporation called Delmonico's,* then and there being, then and there feloniously did unlawfully and wilfully *injure* to the amount of the value of *sixty nine dollars,* by then and there *shooting off* and *discharging* a certain *pistol,* charged and loaded with *gunpowder* and one *lead* bullet, to, at and against the said *pane of glass,* by means whereof the said *lead* bullet was then and there *by force of the gunpowder aforesaid shot out, set forth and discharged* from the said *pistol,* and did then and there *pass, penetrate and go through* the said *pane of glass,* against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT.

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment further accuse the said

George A. Roeth —

of the CRIME OF UNLAWFULLY AND WILFULLY *injuring* REAL PROPERTY OF ANOTHER, committed as follows:

The said *George A. Roeth*, —

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, *a certain pane*

of glass,

of the value of *seventy five dollars,* —

in, and forming part and parcel of the realty of a certain building of ~~one~~ *a certain cor =*
poration called Admonico, there situate, of the real property of the said

corporation, —

then and there feloniously did unlawfully and wilfully *injure* to the amount
of the value of sixty nine dollars, by then
and there shooting off and discharging a
certain pistol charged and loaded with
gunpowder and one leaden bullet to, at
and against the said pane of glass, by means
whereby the said leaden bullet was then and
there, by force of the gunpowder aforesaid, shot
out, sent forth and discharged from the said pistol,
and did then and there pass, penetrate and go
through the said pane of glass;
against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People
of the State of New York and their dignity.

~~DE LANCEY NICOLL,~~

~~District Attorney.~~

~~Court of General Sessions of the Peace~~

~~OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.~~

~~THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK~~

~~against~~

~~Wm. R. Smith.~~

And The Grand Jury ~~of the City and County of New York~~, by this indictment accuse
~~further accuse the said George A. Barth~~
 of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE FIRST DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said ~~George A. Barth~~, —

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the ~~sixteenth~~
 day of ~~November~~, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and
 ninety-~~three~~, with force and arms, at the City and County aforesaid, in and upon the body of
 a ~~certain person~~ ~~one~~ ~~undesignated~~, ~~to the Grand Jury aforesaid~~ in the peace of the said
 People then and there being, feloniously did make an assault and to, at and against
 the said ~~person~~, — a certain pistol then and there
 loaded and charged with gunpowder and one leaden bullet, which the said ~~George~~
~~A. Barth~~ in ~~his~~ right hand then and there had and
 held, the same being a deadly and dangerous weapon, wilfully and feloniously did then and there
 shoot off and discharge with intent — the said ~~person~~, —
 thereby then and there feloniously and wilfully to kill, against the form of the statute in such case
 made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.
 SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment further accuse the said
~~George A. Barth~~
 of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said ~~George A. Barth~~, —

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at
 the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and upon the body of the said ~~person~~, —
 in the peace of the said People then and there being,
 feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully make another assault, and to, at and against
 the said ~~person~~, —

a certain pistol then and there charged and loaded with gunpowder and one leaden bullet, which the
 said ~~George A. Barth~~ —

in ~~his~~ right hand then and there had and held, the same being a weapon and an instrument
 likely to produce grievous bodily harm, then and there feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully shoot
 off and discharge, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the
 peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
 District Attorney.

0481

BOX:

545

FOLDER:

4957

DESCRIPTION:

Rohn, Conrad

DATE:

12/06/93



4957

0482

Witnesses:

Counsel,

Filed

day of

1893

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Conrad Rohm

Part 2 - Dec. 12, 1893.

Tried and acquitted

Attempted
Grand Larceny, second Degree.
[Sections 525, 531, — Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

R. Lockwood

Foreman.

Police Court— District.

City and County } ss.:
of New York,

of No. 1832 Third Ave. Street, aged 33 years,

occupation Butcher being duly sworn

deposes and says, that he is the owner of 1832 3rd

in the City and County aforesaid the said being a 3rd Ward

~~and which was occupied by deponent as a~~~~and in which there was at the time a human being, by name~~

attempted to be

were BURGLARIOUSLY entered by means of forcibly

breaking open
the door of said ice house with a
latchet or an iron bar.on the 21 day of November 1893 in the night time, and the
following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz:~~the property of~~and deponent further says, that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid
BURGLARY was committed ~~and the aforesaid property taken, stolen and carried away by~~

Conrad Pohn. (Now here)

for the reasons following, to wit:

that deponent is informed
by Peter Oscar Anderson. that at about the
hour of 4 o'clock A.M. said date he found
this deponent in said cellar. and heard
him trying to break open said ice house
whereupon deponent charged the said deponent
with attempting to burglariously enter said
ice house.Sworn to before me
this 21st day of Nov. 1893

Ludwig Scholten

W. J. Thompson, Justice

0484

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

1877.

aged 44 years, occupation Janitor of No. 1832 3rd Ave

Street, being duly sworn, deposes and
says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Le Ding Scholm
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own
knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 21
day of Nov 1893

P. O. Anderson

Wm. J. F. [Signature]

Police Justice.

0485

Sec. 198-200.

1882

District Police Court.

City and County of New York, ss:

Conrad Rohm

being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him, if he see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Conrad Rohm

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

24 years old

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

Germany

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

401 E. 64 St 20 yrs

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Butcher

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer.

I am not guilty
Conrad Rohm

Taken before me this

day of *March* 189*3*

John J. Sullivan
Police Justice.

0486

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

Alfred Davis
guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of One Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, Nov 21 189 3

John J. Quinn Police Justice.

I have have admitted the above-named
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, _____ 189 _____

Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named
guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, _____ 189 _____

Police Justice.

0487

W. B. O.

1246

Police Court,

District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Inding Schofield
1832 vs. 3rd St.
Conrad Wm

Offense: Patterning
Wm. G. Lang

BAILED,

No. 1, by

Residence

Street.

No. 2, by

Residence

Street.

No. 3, by

Residence

Street.

No. 4, by

Residence

Street.

Dated,

Nov 21

1893

Feitner

Magistrate.

Wm. C. Nolan

Officer.

28

Precinct.

Witnesses

Pierre Anderson

No.

1832 - 3rd St.

Street.

Simone

No.

1832 - 3rd St.

Street.

No.

\$ *1000*


to answer

9.5

Street.

Clay

amk
p.2



Court of General Sessions of the Peace
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Conrad Rohn

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse
Conrad Rohn of attempting to commit
of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE *second* DEGREE, committed
as follows:

The said

Conrad Rohn

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *21st*
day of *November* in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred and
ninety-~~three~~, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

two thousand pounds of meat
of the value of twenty-five
cents each pound

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

Ludwig Scholten

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did ^{attempt to} steal, take and carry away, against
the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People
of the State of New York and their dignity.

Dehancey McCall
District Attorney

0489

BOX:

545

FOLDER:

4957

DESCRIPTION:

Rohr, August

DATE:

12/06/93



4957

0490

Witnesses:

47
COURT OF OYER AND TERMINER.

Counsel,

Filed, 6th day of Dec 1893

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE Court of Special
Sessions for trial and final disposition.

Part 2. 7. 1. 1893

August Rohn

General Sessions

Dec 8th 93.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

R. S. Cross

Foreman.

VIOLATION OF THE EXCISE
selling, etc., on Sunday.
[Chap. 401, Laws of 1892, § 32.]

Court of Oyer and Terminer

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
against

August Roh

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

August Roh

of the CRIME OF SELLING STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINES, ALE AND BEER ON SUNDAY, committed as follows:

The said

August Roh

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the day of *August* ²⁷ in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three*, at the City and County aforesaid, the same being Sunday, certain strong and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, to wit: One gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whiskey, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain strong and spirituous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did sell, ~~to one~~

and to certain other persons whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

August Roh

of the CRIME OF OFFERING AND EXPOSING FOR SALE ON SUNDAY STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINES, ALE AND BEER, committed as follows:

The said

August Roh

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, the same being Sunday, certain strong and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, to wit: One gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whiskey, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain strong and spirituous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did offer and expose for sale to one

George Smith

and to certain other persons whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

0492

BOX:

545

FOLDER:

4957

DESCRIPTION:

Rohrs, Frederick

DATE:

12/06/93



4957

0493

Witnesses:

54
COURT OF OYER AND TERMINER.

Counsel,

Filed, 6th day of Dec 1893

Pleads, *Verdict 17*

THE PEOPLE

Transferred to the Court of Special Sessions for trial and final disposition.

Part 2. d. c. 9. 1893

Frederick Rohrs.

General Sessions

Dec 8 & 93.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

R. J. Cross Foreman.

VIOLETION OF THE EXCISE LAW.
Selling, etc., on Sunday.
[Chap. 401, Laws of 1892, § 8.]

Court of Oyer and Terminer

6131

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Frederick Rohrs

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Frederick Rohrs

of the CRIME OF SELLING STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINES, ALE AND BEER ON SUNDAY, committed as follows:

The said

Frederick Rohrs

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the — *13th* — day of *August* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three*, at the City and County aforesaid, the same being Sunday, certain strong and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, to wit: One gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whiskey, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain strong and spirituous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did sell, ~~to one~~

and to certain ~~other~~ persons whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

Frederick Rohrs

of the CRIME OF OFFERING AND EXPOSING FOR SALE ON SUNDAY STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINES, ALE AND BEER, committed as follows:

The said

Frederick Rohrs

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, the same being Sunday, certain strong and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, to wit: One gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whiskey, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain strong and spirituous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did offer and expose for sale to one

Charles Grabe

and to certain other persons whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

0495

BOX:

545

FOLDER:

4957

DESCRIPTION:

Romer, Anton

DATE:

12/06/93



4957

0496

Witnesses:

106
COURT OF OYER AND TERMINER.

Counsel,

Filed,

6th day of Dec 1892

Transferred to the Court of Special
Sessions for trial and final disposition.

Part 2. THE PEOPLE. 1893

vs.

B
Anton Rome

General Sessions

Dec 8 93.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

R. J. Cross

Foreman.

VIOLATION OF THE EXCISE LAW.
selling, etc., on Sunday.
[Chap. 401, Laws of 1892, § 32.]

Court of Oyer and Terminer

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Anton Rumer

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Anton Rumer

of the CRIME OF SELLING STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINES, ALE AND BEER ON SUNDAY, committed as follows:

The said

Anton Rumer

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *8th* day of *October* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three*, at the City and County aforesaid, the same being Sunday, certain strong and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, to wit: One gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whiskey, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain strong and spirituous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did sell, to one

and to certain other persons whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

Anton Rumer

of the CRIME OF OFFERING AND EXPOSING FOR SALE ON SUNDAY STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINES, ALE AND BEER, committed as follows:

The said

Anton Rumer

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, the same being Sunday, certain strong and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, to wit: One gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whiskey, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain strong and spirituous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did offer and expose for sale to one

Joseph Sullivan

and to certain other persons whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

0498

BOX:

545

FOLDER:

4957

DESCRIPTION:

Rose, Gustav T.

DATE:

12/15/93



4957

Witnesses :

Witnesses:

Helia Canning
Mrs Meyer

Counsel,

Filed

day of

189

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Gustav T. Rose

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

B. Lockwood

Dec 18/93 Foreman

Ren 6 months

The 12th March I
 am informed
 also in the same
 House with the
 Complaint. There
 was no breaking down
 of the value of the
 property is but 20⁰⁰

I shall file piece
of P^{ost} Percy - I must
be perfidious.
Robert Jameson.
N.C. 18th - 93. D. assessing

0500

Police Court 2nd District.

City and County }
of New York, } ss.:

of No. 307. 9. Avenue Street, aged 26 years,
occupation Bartender being duly sworn

deposes and says, that the premises No 307. 9. Avenue Street,
in the City and County aforesaid, the said being a four story brick
Building

and which was occupied by deponent as a tenement
~~and in which there were at the time a house being by name~~

we **BURGLARIOUSLY** entered by means of forcibly opening a door
leading from a back hall bed room. into
a kitchen, on the second floor of the
above mentioned premises -

on the 6th day of December 1883 in the night time, and the
following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz:

An Overcoat of the amount and
value of about Twenty dollars

the property of _____
and deponent further says, that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid
BURGLARY was committed and the aforesaid property taken, stolen, and carried away by
Gustav J. Rose (now here)

for the reasons following, to wit: that about the hour of 10 o'clock
P.M., of said date, deponent placed the aforesaid
property on a bed, in a room on the 2nd floor
of the above mentioned premises, and after locking
and securing the doors of his apartments went away.
and that about the hour of 11.45 o'clock P.M.,
deponent returned to said premises and then
missed the aforesaid property, from said room
where he had placed the same, and that

Deponent is informed by Delia Canning, (Deponent's wife) that between the hours of 11 and 12 o'clock mid-night, while she was lying in bed, she saw the defendant, in said kitchen, who in short time went into and returned to the said back Hall bed room, and which room said defendant occupied and hid from deponent, and that Deponent is further informed by William Meyer of No 439 West 2nd Street - that about the hour of 11.45 o'clock P.M. of said date, said defendant came into his place of business, and left an Overcoat in said place, to be kept for him - and that Deponent has seen the said Overcoat left in said Meyer's place by said defendant, and fully recognizes the same as his property, and as the aforesaid property stolen from him on said date. Deponent therefore asks that said defendant may be held to answer. John Cannon

Sworn to before me this
17th day of December 1893
Cliff Dwyer

Police Justice

Police Court	District.
THE PEOPLE, &c., ON THE COMPLAINT OF	
vs.	Burglary
Dated	188
Magistrate.	Officer.
	Clerk.
Witnesses:	
Committed in default of \$	Bail.
Bailed by	No.
	Street.

0502

1877.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

William Meyer
aged *26* years, occupation *Sign Business* of No. *439 West 27th* Street, being duly sworn, deposes and
says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of *John Conway*
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own
knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this

day of *December* 189*7*

William M. Meyer

[Signature]

Police Justice.

0503

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

1877.

Delia Canning
aged *28* years, occupation *Housekeeper* of No. *307-9-Avenue* Street, being duly sworn, deposes and
says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of *John Canning*
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own
knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this *7th* day of *December* 189*3* } *Delia Canning*
Thos. L. Lee
Police Justice.

0504

Sec. 193-200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Gustav J. Rose being duly examined before the under-
signed according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is h right to
make a statement in relation to the charge against h ; that the statement is designed to
enable h if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h
that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiver cannot be used
against h on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Gustav J. Rose*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *43 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *Sweden*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *314 9th Avenue New York*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Engineer*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the
testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your
exculpation?

Answer.

*I am not guilty**Gust. J. Rose*

Taken before me this

day of

Michael J. [Signature]

Police Justice.

0505

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of fifty Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated Dec 7 1893 Paul R. Lee Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named _____
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated _____ 18 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____
_____ guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order he to be discharged.

Dated _____ 18 _____ Police Justice.

0506

1311

Police Court---2--- District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Mrs. Manning
307-2nd St.
Gustav L. Ross

Burglar
Offence

2
3
4

BAILED,
No. 1, by _____
Residence _____ Street.
No. 2, by _____
Residence _____ Street.
No. 3, by _____
Residence _____ Street.
No. 4, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

Dated *Dec 7* 1893
Rich Magistrate.
Cherry & May Officer.
24 Precinct.

Witnesses *Mrs. Manning*
No. *307* Street.
11-12th St. 1893
No. *439* Street.
No. *1500* Street.
\$ _____

[Signature]
Burglar
PK

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Gustav I Rose

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Gustav I Rose

of the CRIME OF BURGLARY in the *second* degree, committed as follows:

The said

Gustav I Rose

late of the *28th* Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *sixth* day of *December* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three* in the *night* time of the same day, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, the dwelling house of one

John Cannon

there situate, feloniously and burglariously did break into and enter there being then and there a human being within the said dwelling house, with intent to commit some crime therein, to wit: the goods, chattels and personal property of the said

John Cannon

in the said dwelling house then and there being, then and there feloniously and burglariously to steal, take and carry away,

[Signature]

against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment further accuse the said

of the CRIME OF ^{Petish} ~~Grand~~ LARCENY ~~in the second~~

committed as follows:

The said

Gustav T. Rose
late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid,
at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, in the ~~right~~ time of the said day, with force and arms,

*one overcoat of the value
of twenty dollars*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

in the dwelling house of the said

John Cannon
John Cannon
there situate, then and there being found, from the dwelling house aforesaid, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

THIRD COUNT:

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

Gustav I Rose
of the CRIME OF RECEIVING STOLEN GOODS, committed as follows:

The said

Gustav I Rose
late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

*one overcoat of the value
of twenty dollars*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

John Cannon
by a certain person or persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, then lately before feloniously stolen, taken and carried away from the said

John Cannon
unlawfully and unjustly did feloniously receive and have; the said

Gustav I Rose
then and there well knowing the said goods, chattels and personal property to have been feloniously stolen, taken and carried away; against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

05 10

BOX:

545

FOLDER:

4957

DESCRIPTION:

Rosen, Siskind

DATE:

12/22/93



4957

05 11

Witnesses:

Louis Helman

245.
ordered
Counsel,

Filed, *22* day of *Dec* 189*3*

Pleads, *not guilty* 26

THE PEOPLE

41 vs.
184 Division St
Manhattan

Siskind Rosen

Part 2 - Jan. 5, 1894

tried and convicted

Sentence suspended

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

See letters within *Jan. 16, 1894*
District Attorney.

Further from 5th / 93

A TRUE BILL.


M. Locawood

Foreman.

- 2 -

LARCENY, (False Pretenses),
[Section 528, and 53 / Penal Code.]

05 12



0513

For deposit
 Received of
 J. H. C.

0514

Police Court / District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County } ss.:
of New York,

Lucius M. Mullan
of No. *59* *Harwood* Street, aged *46* years,
occupation *Commission Merchant* being duly sworn,
deposes and says, that on the *3* day of *May* 189*2* in the City of New
York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the pos-
session of the deponent, in the *day* time, the following property, viz:

Clothing of the value of Three
Hundred and Forty dollars

the property of *L. Mullan and Company*
which firm deponent is a
member

and that this deponent
has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken,
stolen and carried away by *Sisterhood Rosen*

known her, from the fact that
on said date the deponent
called at deponent's place of
business and ordered clothing
of the above value that in
payment thereof he tendered a
check drawn by himself dated
May 3 1892 upon The State Bank
for the sum of \$340, stating at
the time the check was good
and money in the Bank to meet
it upon such representation
deponent permitted the deponent to
take the goods and affirm that

Sworn to before me, this
day of
1892
Police Justice.

accepted the check. Defendant
now says that he deposited
the check and then learned
that David Rosen at the time
of the making of the check
had a balance of only four
dollars and that he never
had the sum of \$340 as deposited
at any time in said Bank while
he was a depositor therein.
Defendant therefore charges said
Rosen with knowingly obtaining
him by means of such false
statements.

L. H. Mann

Sworn to before me this
2nd day of December, 1937

Wm. H. Hatcher
Notary Public

05 16

(1895)

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Isidor Rosen being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is *his* right to make a statement in relation to the charge against *him*, that the statement is designed to enable *him* if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against *him* that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that *his* waiver cannot be used against *him* on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Isidor Rosen*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *41 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *Russia*

Question. Where do you live and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *184 Division St.*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Teacher*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. *I am not guilty*
S. Rosen

Taken before me this

*3*day of *December* 1893*Chambers*
Police Justice.

05 17

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Two Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, December 2 1893

Wm. J. [Signature] Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named _____
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, _____ 189 _____

Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____
guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, _____ 189 _____

Police Justice.

05 18

The magistrate presiding
by reason of my absence
will hear and determine
the within case

BAILED,

No. 1, by

Residence _____ Street.

No. 2, by

Residence _____ Street.

No. 3, by

Residence _____ Street.

No. 4, by

Residence _____ Street.

13
Police Court---

1345
District.

THE PEOPLE & C.
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Louis Allman
30 Howard
Isidor Rosenc

2

3

4

Dated,

December 2, 189

Magistrate.

Officer.

Precinct.

Witnesses

No.


No.

No.

\$

to answer

committed



116.4
15.9
14.2
\$15.00 & Acc 8.4.1893
2 P.M.
committed

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE,
CITY & COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE,

VS.

SISKIND ROSEN.

)

BEFORE

)

)

)

)

HON. RUFUS B. COWING,

AND A JURY.

TRIED, NEW YORK, JANUARY 5TH, 1894.

INDICTED FOR GRAND LARCENY IN THE SECOND DEGREE.

INDICTMENT FILED DECEMBER 22ND, 1893.

APPEARANCES:

ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY JAMES W. CSBORNE,

FOR THE PEOPLE.

JOSEPH MOSS, ESQ.,

FOR THE DEFENSE.

//////////

LOUIS ULLMAN , the COMPLAINANT, testified that he is engaged in the auction business, at 50 Howard Street, and remembered May 3rd, 1892. The defendant came into his place of business on that day, and wanted to buy \$340. worth of goods, and offered him, the witness, a check for that amount in payment, He, the complainant, asked him, the defendant, whether he had enough money in the bank to pay the check, and the defendant said there was; and, relying upon that representation, he sold him, the defendant, the goods. He, the complainant, had known the defendant about two years.

In

CROSS-EXAMINATION

the complainant testified that the check was dated the day that the goods were taken away. The defendant bought the goods at auction.

MAX MOSCHOWITZ testified that he is employed in the State Bank, at 296 Grand Street. He, the witness, knew that the defendant had no balance in that bank, to

31

his credit, on May 3rd, 1892---the date of the check already referred to. On the 2nd he, the defendant, had on deposit there \$3.76 and on the 30th, \$107.76---the 30th of April. On the 3rd of May the defendant's account was overdrawn \$15., according to the books of the bank. The largest balance that he, the defendant, ever had in the bank, was \$280.07, on April 4th.

THE DEFENSE.

SISKIND ROSEN, the DEFENDANT, testified that he is in the "speculation business," and had bought goods from the complainant about four years, paying for them in cash and by checks. He, the defendant, bought two bills of goods from the complainant, one on Tuesday and the other on Friday of the preceding week. On Monday, of the following week, he, the defendant, went to the complainant for his goods, and asked for a credit on the Tuesday bill, which the complainant gave him. Then he, the defendant, went to the cashier and paid him for the

other, or Friday, bill, by a check for \$340.00, and the cashier put the check in the drawer, and he, the defendant, went upstairs for his goods. That was on May 2nd. He, the defendant, did not know, at that time, how much money he had in the bank, but thought he had enough. He, the complainant, did not ask him whether he had the money in the bank with which to meet the check. He, the defendant, had paid the complainant about 300 checks, for purchases, but the complainant had never inquired as to his balance in bank. The check was dated on the 3rd and given on the 2nd.

In

CROSS-EXAMINATION

the witness testified that he was born in Russia, and had been in America eight years, and had been dealing with the complainant about four years. He, the defendant, never had an account with the complainant, and never asked him for credit. One bill was for "\$90.00 and something and the other was for \$300.00 and something, and altogether it made \$430. And he trusted me for \$100," and

0523

5

he, the defendant, gave the complainant a check for \$340.00, being the balance. He, the defendant, took the goods away on May 2nd, and the check was dated May 3rd. The complainant gave him, the defendant, credit for the first bill, and demanded a check for the second bill. He, the defendant, told the cashier that he was going to take the goods, and that the check was dated ahead. He, the defendant, did not run away and remain away eighteen months after giving the check to the complainant. He, the defendant did not ask for credit. The complainant sent word to his, the defendant's house, that the check had not been paid, and that he had notified the police to arrest him, the defendant. Later on, the defendant said that he did ask for credit, because he gave another man a check for \$100. He, the defendant, could not produce a single check, out of the "300" checks that he claimed to have given the complainant in the course of his dealings with the complainant, because they were "strangers' checks." He, the defendant, could not produce in court any of the

bills for goods bought from the complainant. When asked to produce in court the bill for the \$340 purchase, the defendant said that he could not do it, because the cashier kept it to make corrections in it. When asked who had seen him, the defendant, in New York City on the day after the delivery of the check to the complainant, he said, "so many people see me that I can't remember." Afterwards, he said that one Moses Kaliner saw him. He, the defendant, left New York about two weeks later, and went to Chicago, and remained there eighteen months. He, the defendant, "thought" he wrote one letter to the complainant during that absence of eighteen months, but he never went to the complainant's place of business to tell him that he, the defendant, was sorry that the check had not been paid. About five months ago, he, the defendant, "sent" to Mr. Ullman to let him know that he, the defendant, had returned and would settle, and for him, Mr. Ullman, not to make him any trouble. He sent "Mr. Rosenbaum." He, the defendant, did not go to see the complainant

0525

7

because he was afraid, as the complainant had notified the police to arrest him. He, the defendant, sent the goods purchased from the complainant, to a man named Schwab, to auction them, and, after doing so, Schwab paid the proceeds of the sale to him, the defendant. He, the defendant, did not then take the money and pay the complainant, because he was afraid of arrest, but, at the end of two weeks, went to Chicago. Subsequently, the defendant testified that on Wednesday or Thursday after giving the \$340 check to the complainant, he, the defendant, sent his boy to the complainant to say that the money was ready for him if he would not prosecute him, and the complainant said to the boy that he would have nothing further to do with the matter, as it was in the hands of the police. He did not send the money by the boy to the complainant, and never paid the amount to the complainant. He, the defendant, received the goods on Wednesday, and the auction sale of them took place on Wednesday. He, the defendant, did not offer to return the goods to the

when informed that the check had not been paid.

JOSEPH ROSEN testified that he is 17 years of age, and is the defendant's son, and lives with his mother at 184 Division Street. He, the witness, went to see the complainant, on Thursday morning---the sale having taken place on Wednesday, the day before---and he said to the complainant, at his father's request, that the defendant would pay the money if he, the complainant, would not make him any trouble, and the complainant said "No; I have nothing to do with it now." He, the witness did not offer the money to the complainant---did not take the money with him.

In

CROSS-EXAMINATION

the witness testified that about 12 or 13 days after that his father, the defendant, went to Chicago, A Mr. Greenspau and his, the witness's, mother also went to see the complainant, but Greenspau did not see him. Greenspau lived at 170 or

171 Division Street. His, the witness's, mother did not see the complainant when she called.

HENRY GREENBERG testified that he is a real-estate dealer, and lives at 245 Henry Street, and had known the defendant five or six years. He knew others who knew the defendant. The defendant's reputation for honesty is good.

In

CROSS-EXAMINATION

the witness testified that he had no business transactions with the defendant, other than to lend him a little money occasionally, as he belonged to his, the witness's, synagogue, about three years ago, and they met in the synagogue, at the meetings.

NATHAN COHEN testified that he is a jobber, and lives at 22 Orchard Street. He had known the defendant about six years, having met him in the same synagogue, and his reputation was good.

In

CROSS-EXAMINATION

the witness testified that he bought goods from the defendant.

ISAAC SCHLEIFSTEIN testified that he carried on a liquor business at 184-6 Division Street. He had been doing business at that place for a year and a half. The defendant lived upstairs, in the same house. He, the witness, had known the defendant "so long as he is living there--over five months." He, the witness, saw the defendant working, going out early in the morning and returning late at night.

In

CROSS-EXAMINATION

the witness testified that he did not know that the defendant had been in Chicago, and had never spoken to him until he came to court. All that he, the witness, knew about the defendant was that the defendant lived in the same house, and went to work in the morning and returned home at night.

LOUIS ULLMAN, being recalled by the District Attorney,

11

11

testified that, after payment of the check had been refused at the bank, he, the witness, tried to find the defendant. The defendant's son did not call upon him, the complainant, at that time, and not until eighteen months later, after the defendant had been arrested. The bill, in question, consisted of three purchases, two on April 26th, 1892, one for \$12.54 and the other for \$136.99, and one on April 29th, for \$287.81. The goods were delivered on May 2nd, and the defendant gave a check for \$340, as the transaction appears in his, the complainant's, ledger. The goods were delivered to the defendant on the same day that the check was given to him, the complainant, viz., May 2nd.

In 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

CROSS-EXAMINATION

the complainant testified that on looking at the defendant's account, on page 359 of his, the complainant's, ledger, he found the following entries of sales to the defendant: December 9th, '90, \$136.44, January 20th, \$50.05, January 27th,

\$86.62, February 3rd, \$8.13, and February 17th, \$11.88, amounting to about \$292. This account the complainant afterwards testified, was not for merchandise, but for cash paid to him. The account on page 299 is as follows: March 3, \$45.77, March 10, \$20.28, March 17, \$13.50, April 10, \$36.52, May 5th, \$31.91, June 2nd, \$40.72, June 5th, \$15.60, June 9th, \$9.15, June 9th, \$7.28, June 23, \$34.98, June 30th, \$66.26. the largest amount, on that page, being \$71. the page footing \$524. The account on page 319 footed \$713.00, and the largest item was \$187.00. The account on page 442 footed \$329.06, and the largest item was \$180.00. In answer to the question, "What is the total of the figures?" the complainant testified, "The total will deceive you, as it includes things which are not merchandize, as, for example, the check for \$340, which is charged back and which shows \$340 more than he actually bought." The complainant testified that, leaving out the \$340, the amount was \$880. The goods in question were sold to the defendant on April

26th and 29th, and the check was delivered on May 2nd. The goods were delivered the same day that the check was given.

In

RE-CROSS-EXAMINATION

the complainant testified that he did not do business with the defendant prior to December, 1890. He, the complainant, sold goods, at auction, for the defendant's account. The reason he, the complainant, testified that he only did \$400 worth of business with the defendant was that that it struck him, the complainant, as preposterous for defendant's counsel to name, as the amount, \$20,000.00; and, therefore, he, the complainant, "got off as far as he did." He, the complainant, had not examined the defendant's account since the arrest, and was not prepared to tell the exact amount, out of perhaps a thousand customers.

CHARLES HEIDELBERG testified that he is connected with the Municipal Police of this City, doing duty as a

detective from the Central Office---a Detective Sergeant. He, the witness, called upon Mr Ullman, in consequence of a request by a representative of the complainant, and as a result of a conversation that ensued between him and the complainant, he, the witness, visited a great many auction houses and certain other addresses, that had been given to him by the complainant, in search of the defendant, but he did not find him.

0533

BERNSTEIN & SINGER
MANUFACTURERS OF
Ladies' Cloaks & Suits,
34 East Broadway.

New York, January 13 1894

To whom this may concern

As we know Mr. S. Rosen
for the last 6 years and have sold him numerous
Bills of Goods on credit and always paid his
Bills in due time in cash & checks and found
him to be an honest and a straight forward
man and of excellent character and never heard
of to wrong any one to our knowledge

Bernstein & Singer

0534

New York, Jan, 18, 94

This is to certify that I
know Mr. S. Rosen for
the last seven years
and I had a good deal
of business and always
found him to be honest
and upright in his deal-
ings with us and never
seen or heard anything of
him that he should
deceive anyone to my
knowledge

Wm. Lieber

38 Essex St.
City

0535

Office of
FIELD, CHAPMAN & FENNER,
Auctioneers,
80 & 82 LEONARD STREET.

New York,

Jan. 11th 1894

To whom it may concern:

We have sold M. S. Rosen
formerly of 54 Orchard St &
24 Ludlow Street the latter,
numerous bills of goods dur-
ing the past two or three
years, & have always found
him honest in his dealings
with us - He paid cash for
his purchases, & we ac-
cepted checks from him oc-
casionally, all of which
were duly paid through the
bank - We have never
seen or heard anything
to lead us to believe that

He would visit them from all
 among my dearest ones
 I will Chapman & Son
 E. J. J. J.
 Ast. his wife.

0537


A. STEPHEN AARONSTAMM.

STEAMSHIP, RAILROAD & STEAMBOAT TICKET & PASSENGER AGENTS.

JACOB C. FRUMKES.

AARONSTAMM & FRUMKES,

PASSAGE



EXCHANGE

No. 38 CANAL STREET,

NEW YORK,

Jan 15th 1894

To Hon. Rufus B. Coving
Judge General Sessions

I take the liberty of asking
your Honor to extend as much mercy
to Suskind Rosen as possible.

I am well acquainted with his
circumstances and have taken this
liberty because I know all that his
family of a very respectable wife and
five children will suffer should mercy
not be shown to Suskind Rosen.

I have never before doubted his honesty.

Yours very respectfully
A. Stephen Aaronstamm

0538

AARONSTAMM & FRUMKES,
STEAMSHIP & RAILROAD TICKETS.
DRAFTS AND MONEY ORDERS.
FOREIGN EXCHANGE, BAGGAGE EXPRESS.
38 CANAL STREET, NEW YORK.

Hon. Rufus B. Cowing

Judge General Sessions

New York City

0539



—OFFICE OF—

Spiegel & Prehs,

JOBBER IN

Hosiery, · Fancy · Goods, · Linens, · Laces,

STATIONERY & Co.,

62-64-66 CANAL STREET.

New York, January 11 1894.

To whom this may concern
 We have sold goods to J. J. J. J.
 this city for the last 3 years
 and found to be an upright
 honest man, paid his bill
 cash also by checks and some
 were always honored at the
 Bank.

Spiegel & Prehs

0540

NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR GOODS AFTER LEAVING THE PREMISES.

New York, Jan 17 1894

On

To A. NEWMAN, Jr.,
AUCTIONEER.

TERMS CASH.

27 LISPENARD ST.

The Bearer Mr W. S. Rosen
of 54 Orchard St City
has been buying goods
of me the past 5 years.
and have given him
credit and has always paid
promptly and found
him honorable in
all dealings.

A. Newman

0541

Rabbi Adolph M. Radin, Ph.D.,

VISITING CHAPLAIN

OF THE

N.Y. BOARD OF JEWISH MINISTERS,

72 E. 104TH STREET,

NEW YORK.

0542

Watches,
149 E. BR

New York, January 16, 1894.

To whom ever this may concern.
As I understand Mr. S. Rosen
for the last few years, and have
had quite some business with
him and found him to be an
honest and excellent character
man and never heard that he
would deceive any one, as to my
knowledge.

Yours
H. Ryshman.

0543

UNDERHILL & SCUDDER,
Auctioneers
AND
Commission Merchants,
7, 9 & 11 Greene Street,

New York Jan 12th 1894

This is to certify that Mr J Rosen
of Orchard St N.Y. City has
purchased several bills of us
and paid for same satisfactorily
& known of nothing detrimental
to his character.

Underhill & Scudder

John W. Jones
Cashier

0544

Hon. Rufus B. Coving
Judge General Sessions.
City

GLUED(S)
PAGES

New York Jan 15/94.
Hon. Rufus B. Cowing!
Judge General Sessions!

I take the pleasure or
rather the liberty to beg your
honor for a favor, to extend to Mr
Siskind Rosen all the mercy
which possibly could be extended by
your sense of duty.

I know him for the last 5
years while he frequently visited
my house, and as I heard of
numerous people which know him
from Europe, that he is a first-
class honest man and very

City. There he is a man of
 a family a wife and five children
 new and it is a very rich man
 which is notable to do any work
 at all.
 From excellent servants
 to his Rolls Royce
 United States Congressmen
 Joseph P. Kamp
 1899
 Henry of
 City

B Cohen,
16½ Carmine St. N.Y.

New York Jan 15/94
To whom this may concern,
as I know Mr S. Rosen for
the last 8 or 9 years yet from
Europe and here in America
and I had a great many of
business with him and never
heard any thing of that he deceiv-
ed any one to my knowledge but
always found him to be honest
and upright and very fine
character.

Barney Cohen
16½ Carmine

0548

New York Jan 11 1894

Sales by H. Wasserman & A. Rosenthal,
 AUCTIONEERS,
 BY ORDER AND FOR ACCOUNT OF

M.

To whom this may concern
 I am dealing with Mr.
 A. Rosenthal for the last 6
 years and always found him for
 an honest man and excellent
 character, and never deemed of any
 body since I know him.

H. Wasserman

0549

m

New York Jan 11 1894

Bought of **J. ROSENBERG,**

—WHOLESALE DEALER IN—

: Pound Cotton and Tailor's Trimmings, :

TERMS:

75 CANAL STREET.

To whom it may concern
We have known Mr. Siskind
from between three and four
years and have always found
him to be honest and upright
in his dealings

John G. Rosenberg
J. P. Rosenberg
B. H. Schur

To
Hon Rufus B. Cowing,
Judge. General Sessions

The undersigned petition your
Honor to extend to Suskind
Rosen all the mercy consistent
with your sense of duty. for
the reason that Suskind Rosen
is a man of Excellent Character
respectable, honest and upright
and has a wife and five small
children depending upon him for
support.

I Weisz Auctioneer 86 WalkerSt
L. Friedman Auctioneer 88 WalkerSt

H. Spiegel & P. H. S.
Wholesale Dry Goods 64 Canal St.
New York

H. Wasserman Auctioneer 78 1/2 Delancy St New York
J. M. Blumenthal 19 Essex St Wholesale Dry Goods
J. Adolph 48 Canal St. Wholesale Dry Goods

Jacob Gumbay 75 Canal St
 J. J. Rescobay 75 Canal St
 B. H. Schur 188 Orchard St
 L. Bloom 75 Canal St
 W. Pitterman 45 1/2 Hester St
 A. Merriam 47 Leonard St
 M. H. Bernstein 85 Bayard St.
 Sam Bruch 66 Mulberry St City
 Joseph Rabbe 79 Canal St City
 David Kasper 140 E. 1st St City
 D. Miller 13 Essex St. City
 L. Breuer 7 and 13 Hester St.
 M. Lieber 58 Essex St City
 Morris Cow 64 Hester St
 Messinghoff 155 Bway Insurance
 Wolf Luter 49 Essex St City
 H. M. Greenberg Real Estate
 245 Henry St
~~Constantine Messinghoff~~
 34 E. Broadway
 Morris Kaliner 170 3d Ave Ladies Hair goods
 Benny Cohen 16 1/2 Carmine St Shoe Store
 Jacob Shvitz 188 Blacker St Shoe Store
 Max Cohen 160 7th Avenue
 A. Cohen 160 7th Ave City

X

F. Dasset 290 7th ave shoe store City
 G. Solomon 792 7th ave
 Louis Schvitz 10 John St City
 Joseph L. Kowitz 79 Beiter St Dry goods
 J. Chen & Son Wholesale Clothing, 100 Canal St
 M. Mendelsohn Office 113 Division St
 Nathan Cohen Trimmings Business 22 Orchard St.
 W. Sigal Trimmings Business 200 Division St
 A. Edelheit 143 Division Street
 Aaron Kimm Trimmings (Ticket Brokers) 38 Canal St
 Aaronson & Son, Pubhows, 175 E. B'way
 Phayz Reiderfer 108 Henry St
~~Grace Lange 168 & 112 1st St Dry Goods~~
 S. Gorbier & Co 121 attorney St Blooming Mfg Co
 Nathan Cohen 177 Clinton St, grocery store
 Moses Finkelstein Teacher of German school
 185 Division St.
 A. Levy clothing Business 261 Madison St.
 A. Meyer & Co 100 Norfolk St City
 M. L. Goldmar 27 Eldridge City
 M. H. Sjogren 5 Orchard St
 J. Lander 1 Orchard St Tailor Trimmings
 S. Finkelstein 54 Henry St Merchant Tailor

0553

If not delivered return to
H. M. Greenberg,
REAL ESTATE,
245 Henry St., New York.

Lined area for writing or drawing.

0554

GLUED(S)
PAGESREAL
No. 245 Henry St.New York, January 15th 1894

To whom this may concern.
As I know Mr. S. Rosen for
the last 6 or 7 years and have
had a great deal of dealing with
him and always found him to
be honest upright and excellent
character and never heard of that
he would intentionally deceive
any one to my knowledge.

Yours.
Henry M. Greenberg

0555

Hon. Rufus B. Cowing.
Present.

Hon. Rufus B. Cowing!

Judge of the General Sessions!

I would take the liberty to bring to your attention the case of Siskind Rosen. He is described to me by many who know him intimately as a man who has hitherto borne an unblemished reputation and a severe penalty would result in great hardship to his wife and five children. I would, therefore, add my voice to that of the signers of the petition that you extend to him as much clemency as you, in your judicial judgment, may find possible.

Very respectfully yours
Bernard Drachman
Rabbi Cong. Gichon Ephraim

January 15th 1894

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

AGAINST

Sidaina Rosen

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

— Sidaina Rosen —

of the CRIME OF *Grand* LARCENY in the second degree, committed as follows:

The said *Sidaina Rosen*, —

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *third* day of *May*, — in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*two*, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, with intent to

deprive and defraud *Louis Ullman, David M. Levy, and Abraham Schaefer, proprietors, and their several business in and by the firm, name and style of L. Ullman and Company,*

of the ~~proper moneys~~ goods, chattels and personal property hereinafter mentioned, and of the use and benefit thereof, and to appropriate the same to *his* own use, did then and there feloniously, fraudulently and falsely pretend and represent to *the said*

proprietors. —

That *a certain paper writing purporting to be a bank check drawn by him upon a certain banking institution there, called the State Bank, for the payment of the sum of three hundred and forty dollars, and bearing date the day and year aforesaid, which said paper writing the said Sidaina Rosen then and there produced and*

delivered to the said ~~Sister~~ co-partners,
 was then and there a good and
 valid order for the payment of
 money and of the value of
 three hundred and forty
 dollars,

By color and by aid of which said false and fraudulent pretenses and representations, the said

Harold Rosen

did then and there feloniously and fraudulently obtain from the possession of the said

co-partners, a quantity of clothing,
 (a more particular description
 thereof is to the Grand Jury
 of record unknown,) of the
 value of three hundred and
 forty dollars,

of the ~~proper moneys~~, goods, chattels and personal property of the said co-partners

with intent to deprive and defraud the said co-partners

of the same, and of the use and benefit thereof, and to appropriate the same to his own use,

Whereas, in truth and in fact, the said ~~paper writing~~
 was not then and there a good
 and valid order for the payment
 of money, and was not of the
 value of three hundred and forty
 dollars, but was wholly worthless.

And Whereas, in truth and in fact, the pretenses and representations so made as aforesaid by the said Sylvia Rosen to the said co-partners was and were then and there in all respects utterly false and untrue, as she the said Sylvia Rosen at the time of making the same then and there well knew;

And so the Grand Jury Aforesaid, do say that the said Sylvia Rosen in the manner and form aforesaid and by the means aforesaid, the said ~~proper moneys~~ goods, chattels and personal property of the said co-partners then and there feloniously did STEAL, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the said people.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

0560

BOX:

545

FOLDER:

4957

DESCRIPTION:

Rosenberg, Louis

DATE:

12/12/93



4957

Witnesses:

Court of Oyer and Tenor
2nd 6

Keane

Counsel,

Filed, 12 day of Dec 1893

Pleads *Guilty*

THE PEOPLE

vs.

B

Louis Rosenberg

*Grand LARCENY, 2nd degree
(False Pretenses)
[Section 528, and 53, Penal Code.]*

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

Original Deed

Dec 12th 93

R. J. Cross Foreman.

*Set 2 - Jan. 11, 1894.
Tried and Acquitted*

0562

Police Court- 3 District.

Affidavit-Larceny.

City and County of New York, ss. *Morris Kaplan*
of No. *211 Henry* Street, aged *23* years,
occupation *flour miller* being duly sworn,
deposes and says, that on the *31* day of *October* 189*5* at the City of New
York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession
of deponent, in the *day* time, the following property, viz:

*Good and lawful money
of the United States of
the amount and value
of thirty-one dollars
\$31.00*

the property of *Deponent*

and that this deponent
has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen
and carried away by *James Rosenthal*

*for the reasons following to wit:
on the said date the defendant
said he was the owner of a bakery
or premises 24 Columbia and
that he wished to sell the same.
Deponent paid defendant the said
sum of money and received the
annexed bill of sale for the contents
of said bakery and when deponent
went to take possession of said
property he found it in possession
of another man who claimed to be
the owner thereof. Defendant having ap-
propriated the said money to his own use
deponent prays he be found to answer*

Morris Kaplan.

Sworn to before me, this *11th* day of *November* 189*5*

John Kaplan
Police Justice.

0563

Sec. 198-200.

3

1882
District Police Court.

City and County of New York, ss:

James Rosenthal being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him, if he see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *James Rosenthal*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *27 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *Austria*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *32 Rugg St. 1 year*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Busman*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer. *I am not guilty*

James Rosenthal

Taken before me this
day of *June* 189*3*

Police Justice.

0564

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe within named

True Five Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, Nov 11 189 John H. Ryan Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named

to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, Nov 11 189 John H. Ryan Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named

guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, Nov 11 189

Police Justice.

0565

Police Court---

District

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Morris Kaplan
0211
Louis Rosenberg

1203
Ward 1
precinct

BAILED,

No. 1, by

Residence

M. Quincy
187 Burlington Street.

No. 2, by

Residence

Street.

No. 3, by

Residence

Street.

No. 4, by

Residence

Street.

2

3

4

Dated,

189

Magistrate.

Officer.

Precinct.

Witnesses

No.

Street.

No.

Street.


No.

Street.

\$

to answer

260
208
Baileed



0566

BILL OF SALE.

No. 100

JULIUS BLUMBERG, Law Blank Publisher and Stationer,
17 Canal Street and 157 Division Street, N. Y.**Know all Men by these Presents,**That, *I. Louis Rosenberg of the City County and State of New York**party*
of the first part, for and in consideration of the sum of *Thirty One*
Dollars lawful money of the United States,
to *me* in hand paid, at or before the ensembling and delivery of these presents by*Morris Kaplan of the City County and State of New York party*of the second part, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged *I have hereunto*
bargained and sold, and by these presents do *I* grant and convey unto the said part *y*
of the second part, *his* executors, administrators and assigns *all right title*
and interest in the bakery at 28 Columbia St
New York including fixtures utensils etc etc
now contained in the premises also the
good will of place upon consideration
*of the sum above specified*To have and to hold the same unto the said part *y* of the second part, *his*
executors, administrators and assigns for ever. And *I* do for *myself my heirs,*
executors and administrators, covenant and agree, to and with the said part *y* of the
second part, to warrant and defend the sale of the aforesaid *place above mentioned*
hereby sold unto the said part y of the second part, his executors,
administrators and assigns, against all and every person and persons whomsoever.In Witness whereof, *I* have hereunto set *my* hand and seal the
Thirty First day of *October* in the year one thousand eight
hundred and ninety *Three*

Sealed and delivered in the presence of

*H. Blumberg**Louis Rosenberg*
(signed)

SCHEDULE OF THE FOREGOING BILL OF SALE:

(2) Two Peckers

(1) One Bench

(13) Thirteen Bread Boxes

(1) One scale

(4) Four bbls Flour

(1) One Iron stove

Also all other fixtures, utensils,
 also security vault - \$500 N.Y. Mutual Ins Co -
 etc. etc. now contained in the said

basement bakery at 28 Columbia St

New York City

X

Louis his
 8782123167 283167
 M. H. Rosenberg

State of New York
City of New York } ss.
 County of New York

On the Thirty First day of October in the year
 one thousand eight hundred and ninety Two before me personally came

Louis Rosenberg

to me known, and known to me to be the individual described in, and who executed the fore-
 going instrument, and severally acknowledged that he executed the same.

Isaac D. Fairbank
Comm'r of Deeds
N.Y.C.

0569

Louis Resent

TO

Monis Kaplan

Bill of Sale.

Dated Oct 31 1893

0570

1852

CITY AND COUNTY
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

POLICE COURT, 3 DISTRICT.

of No. 211 Henry Street, aged years,
occupation Flyer Maker being duly sworn, deposes and says
that on the 19th day of November 1893

at the City of New York, in the County of New York, he caused
Israel Rosenberg to be arrested
for larceny and prays he
be held to enable him to secure
the necessary evidence

Morris Kaplan

Sworn to before me, this

of

day

Police Justice.

057

1753
Police Court, District.

THE PEOPLE, Etc.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.

AFFIDAVIT.

James H. Hensley
47 yr. 52 - *Hills*

Dated *Nov 10* 189*3*

Ryan Magistrate.

Curray Officer.
12

Witness,

Disposition,

500 Ep Nov 11 - 10 am

Over and Terminer
 Court of ~~General Sessions of the Peace~~

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK |

AGAINST

Louis Rosenberg

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Louis Rosenberg

of the CRIME OF

Against LARCENY in the second degree,
 committed as follows:

The said

Louis Rosenberg

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *31st*
 day of *October*, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and
 ninety-*three*, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, with intent to
 deprive and defraud *one Morris Kaplan*

of the proper moneys, goods, chattels and personal property hereinafter mentioned, and of the
 use and benefit thereof, and to appropriate the same to *his* own use, did then and there
 feloniously, fraudulently and falsely pretend and represent to *the said*

Morris Kaplan.

That *the* *the* said *Louis Rosenberg*
 was then the sole and absolute
 owner of all the right title and
 interest in the *habery* at
 number 28 Columbia Street
 in the said city, and of the
 fixtures and utensils then
 therein, and of the good will
 thereof, which *habery* fixtures

intends and good will he
 the said Louis Rosenberg
 then and there offered to sell
 to the said Morris Kaplan
 for the sum of thirty one
 dollars.

By color and by aid of which said false and fraudulent pretenses and representations, the said

Louis Rosenberg —

did then and there feloniously and fraudulently obtain from the possession of the said

*Morris Kaplan, the sum
 of thirty one dollars in
 money, lawful money of
 the United States of America
 and of the value of thirty
 one dollars.*

of the proper moneys, goods, chattels and personal property of the said

Morris Kaplan —

with intent to deprive and defraud the said *Morris Kaplan.*

of the same, and of the use and benefit thereof, and to appropriate the same to *his* own use.

Whereas, in truth and in fact, the said *Louis Rosenberg*
*was not then the sole and
 absolute owner, of all the right,
 title and interest in the said Bakery
 business intends and good will
 thereof, nor had he then and
 there any right title or interest*

Kerwin.

And Whereas, in truth and in fact, the pretenses and representations so made as aforesaid by the said *Louis Rosenberg* to the said *Morris Kaplan* was and were then and there in all respects utterly false and untrue, as *he* the said *Louis Rosenberg* at the time of making the same then and there well knew;

And so the Grand Jury Aforesaid, do say that the said *Louis Rosenberg* in the manner and form aforesaid and by the means aforesaid, the said proper moneys, goods, chattels and personal property of the said *Morris Kaplan*,

then and there feloniously did STEAL, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the said people.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

0575

BOX:

545

FOLDER:

4957

DESCRIPTION:

Ruddiman, William

DATE:

12/08/93



4957

0576

Witnesses:

209
COURT OF OYER AND TERMINER.

Counsel,

Filed,

8 day of Dec 1893

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

v.

B

William Ruddiman

Transferred to the Court of Special Sessions for trial and final disposition

Part 2 Dec 13 1893

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

R. J. Carr

Foreman.

VIOLATION OF THE EXCISE LAW.
selling, etc., on Sunday.
[Chap. 401, Laws of 1892, § 32.]

Court of Oyer and Terminer

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
against

William Ruddiman

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse
William Ruddiman
of the CRIME OF SELLING STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINES, ALE AND
BEER ON SUNDAY, committed as follows:

The said

William Ruddiman

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *leventh*
day of *September* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and
ninety-*three*, at the City and County aforesaid, the same being Sunday, certain strong
and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, to wit: One gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill
of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whiskey, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale,
one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain strong and spiritu-
ous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did sell, to one

and to certain other persons whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, against
the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of
New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

William Ruddiman

of the CRIME OF OFFERING AND EXPOSING FOR SALE ON SUNDAY STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS,
WINES, ALE AND BEER, committed as follows:

The said

William Ruddiman

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, the
same being Sunday, certain strong and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, to wit: One gill of
wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whiskey, one gill of cordial, one
gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of
a certain strong and spirituous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did offer and
expose for sale to one

William Rourke

and to certain other persons whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, against the
form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State
of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

0578

BOX:

545

FOLDER:

4957

DESCRIPTION:

Rue, James P.

DATE:

12/22/93



4957

0579

Bail fixed at one thousand
(1000) dollars

YCS

Witnesses:

Henry Hildenbrand
Michael F. Blake
Patrick J. Scully
George Malraison
John Browning
Alfred R. Conkling

286

1420

COURT OF OYER AND TERMINER.

Counsel,

Filed 22nd day of Dec, 1893

Pleads, Not Guilty (28)

THE PEOPLE

vs.

P

James P. Rue

97 1/2 St. N. W.

478 St.

PERJURY.
[Section 96, Penal Code, and Chapter 680, Laws of
1892, section 104.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney

A True Bill.

R. J. Cuss

Foreman.

Feb. 14 1894

Pleaded Guilty

Pen. Sec. 104 of the YCS

Court of Oyer and Terminer

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

James P. [illegible]

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this Indictment accuse *James P. [illegible]*

of the crime of Perjury, committed as follows:

Heretofore, to wit: on Tuesday, the seventh day of November, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-three (the same being the Tuesday next succeeding the first Monday of the said month of November), there was held a general election throughout the State of New York and in and throughout the said City and County of New York;

And on the day and in the year aforesaid, and at the said election, the said *James P. [illegible]* late of the City and County, being a resident of the *[illegible]* Election District of the *[illegible]* Assembly District of the said City and County, and a voter entitled to vote at the said election in the said election district, for the purpose of voting at the said election, did personally present himself at the duly designated polling place of the said election in and for the said election district, the polls of the said election in and for the said election district being then open, and to and before *[illegible]*

then and there being the Inspectors of Election of the said election district, at the said election, at a meeting of the said Inspectors of Election then being duly held in the said polling place for the purposes of the said election.

And the said *James P. [illegible]* did then and there desire and require of the said Inspectors of Election that he might select a person for the purpose of assisting him to receive and prepare his ballots, and who should be allowed to pass within the guard rail of the said polling place and receive his official ballots, and enter one of the voting booths of the said polling place, with him, and there assist him in preparing his ballot.

And thereupon he, the said *James P. [illegible]* was then and there in due form of law sworn, and did take his corporal oath, by and before the said Inspectors of Election, touching his right to select such person to assist him in receiving and preparing his ballots as aforesaid, they, the said Inspectors of Election, then and there having full and competent power and authority to administer the said oath to the said *James P. [illegible]* in that behalf.

And the said James R. Rine being
so sworn as aforesaid, upon his oath aforesaid, before the said Inspectors of Election, then and there
feloniously, wilfully, knowingly and corruptly, did falsely swear, declare and say, that by reason of

neglecting to appear
he, the said James R. Rine was then
and there unable to receive or prepare his ballots without assistance.

Whereas, in truth and in fact he, the said James R. Rine was not
by reason of neglecting to appear

then and there unable to receive or prepare his ballots without assistance, as he then and there
well knew.

And so the Grand Jury aforesaid do say that the said James R. Rine
in manner and form aforesaid, feloniously, wilfully, knowingly, corruptly and falsely, did commit
wilful and corrupt perjury; against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and
against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

0582

BOX:

545

FOLDER:

4957

DESCRIPTION:

Runzler, John

DATE:

12/06/93



4957

0583

Witnesses:

68
COURT OF OYER AND TERMINER.

Counsel,

Filed,

6th day of Dec 1893

Pleads,

Wm. J. J. 16/94

THE PEOPLE

vs.

B

John R. Rinzler,

*General Sessions
Dec 8 & 93.*

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

hereby consent and desire that
this Bill against me be sent to the
Court of Special Sessions for trial
and final disposition.

Dated *Dec 16/94*

R. D. Cozy Foreman.

VIOLATION OF THE EXCISE LAW.
Selling, etc., on Sunday.
[Chap. 401, Laws of 1892, § 92.]

Court of Oyer and Terminer

6132

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
against

John Ruzler

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse
John Ruzler
of the CRIME OF SELLING STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINES, ALE AND
BEER ON SUNDAY, committed as follows:

The said

John Ruzler

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the 24th
day of August three in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and
ninety-three, at the City and County aforesaid, the same being Sunday, certain strong
and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, to wit: One gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill
of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whiskey, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale,
one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain strong and spiritu-
ous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did sell, ~~to one~~

and to certain ~~other~~ persons whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, against
the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of
New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

John Ruzler

of the CRIME OF OFFERING AND EXPOSING FOR SALE ON SUNDAY STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS,
WINES, ALE AND BEER, committed as follows:

The said

John Ruzler

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, the
same being Sunday, certain strong and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, to wit: One gill of
wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whiskey, one gill of cordial, one
gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of
a certain strong and spirituous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did offer and
expose for sale to one

and to certain other persons whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, against the
form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State
of New York and their dignity.

John T. Wimmer

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

0585

BOX:

545

FOLDER:

4957

DESCRIPTION:

Rushmore, David

DATE:

12/12/93



4957

0586

BOX:

545

FOLDER:

4957

DESCRIPTION:

Santos, Antonio

DATE:

12/12/93



4957

Witnesses:

Thos J McCarthy
Cente oppen

deft has served 2 1/2 yrs
in S.P. M. S.L. co nys Jld
McCarthy of C.O.

In this case there was no evidence
to charge deft. Santos with the
commission of the crime and
he was discharged in the Police
Court. He was of service in per-
suing the arrest of the other de-
fendant and the recovery of the
property. I therefore recommended
the dismissal of the indictment
against Santos.

Jan'y. 12 94 Jastow J. Marks
ada

98

Counsel,

Filed

day of

1893.

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

David Rushmore

and

Antonio Santos

vs.
De Lancey Nicoll

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

Case 3. Dec 19, 93 B.S.W.
Part 3. Dec 19, 93 S. L. 24 day
#1. Pleads Guilty S. L. 24 day
A TRUE BILL

The Harris
Foreman.

Grand Larceny, 1st Degree.
From the Person.
[Sections 528, 530, Penal Code.]

0588

Police Court

District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County } ss.:
of New York,

of No. 23 Muesant Street, aged 26 years,
occupation Artist being duly sworn,
deposes and says, that on the 13th day of December 1893 at the City of New

York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of the deponent, in the evening time, the following property, viz:

One gold double cased watch
One Diamond Stud and
Good and lawful money of the
United States of the value of
Two dollars
Being in all together of the value of
Thirty two ⁰⁰/₁₀₀ Dollars
(\$32 ⁰⁰/₁₀₀)

the property of

Deponent

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away by

David Rushmore and
Antonio Santos (nowhere) who were
acting in concert for the purpose
of carrying to wit: That on the night
of the aforesaid day deponent had
said said stud in a pocket watch
and said watch in the lower right
hand vest pocket and said money
in his vest pocket and on the aforesaid
night deponent met said defendants
at the Bowery, and together with
said defendants frequented saloons
about the said neighborhood and
drinking, until deponent was satisfied
from which deponent is informed

Sworn to before me, this

of

189

day

Police Justice.

by Max Tange of 265 Bowery (thus
 said Alexander Rushmore and
 deponent were in his saloon at the
 aforesaid number and he saw said
 Rushmore opening deponent's vest
 and rummaging about the same and
 effected said deponent's Rushmore
 and deponent from said saloon
 deponent further says said Rushmore
 accompanied to the elevated
 station at 3rd Avenue and when deponent
 became sober he missed said property
 deponent is further informed by
 John J. Coffey a detective of 300 Mulberry
 that he arrested said deponents
 and that said Santos admitted and
 confessed to him that said Rushmore
 had upbraided him said Santos for
 not remaining with him said Rushmore
 and deponent and that he said Rushmore
 and deponent were thrown out of a
 saloon in the Bowery and that the
 said Rushmore took last the stud
 he took from deponent in the saw
 dust and that he had pawned the
 said watch for the sum of Four
 dollars. deponent further says
 he fully identifies said deponents
 as the persons in whose company
 he was on said night and said Tange
 fully identifies said Rushmore
 as the person he saw acting suspicious
 about deponent's person & whom he
 ejected from said premises and
 deponent therefore charges said depon-
 ents with acting in concert and
 with the larceny aforesaid

Subscribed before me (1913)
 the 5th day of December

Frank Jos Kittel.

W. Meach

Notary Public

0590

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

1921

John T. Cuff
aged *45* years, occupation *Literature* of No.

300 Mulberry Street, being duly sworn, deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of *Frank Kutt*

and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this *3* day
of *December* 18*9*

John T. Cuff

Amman Police Justice

0591

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

1921

aged 25 years, occupation Mar. Lange of No.

265 Broadway Street, being duly sworn, deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Frank Kette

and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this

5 day

Mar. Lange

of December 189

Overman Police Justice.

0592

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.
OF NEW YORK,

David Rushmore being duly examined before the under-
signed according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is h ^e right to
make a statement in relation to the charge against h ^e; that the statement is designed to
enable h ^e if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h ^e
that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h ^e waiver cannot be used
against h ^e on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the
testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your
exculpation?

Answer.

I am not guilty
David L. Rushmore
trust

Taken before me this

day of December 1888

Police Justice.

0593

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Antonio Santos being duly examined before the under-
signed according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is h^{is} right to
make a statement in relation to the charge against h^{im}; that the statement is designed to
enable h^{im} if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h^{im};
that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h^{is} waiver cannot be used
against h^{im} on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the
testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your
exculpation?

Answer.

Taken before me this

James M. Smith
Police Justice.

0594

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named.....

David Rushmore Defendant
guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of
Five Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of
the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.
Dated Dec 3 1893 Amundson Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named.....
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated..... 189..... Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named.....
guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order h to be discharged.

Dated..... 189..... Police Justice

0595

16
Police Court---

1308
District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Frank Miller
23, 1st Precinct
Salvador Ruck
Antonio Santos

Offence

BAILED,

No. 1, by _____

Residence _____ Street

No. 2, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 3, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 4, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

3. _____

4. _____

Dated *December 5* 189

Neome Magistrate.

Off 7118 Precinct.

Off Precinct.

Witnesses *Max Lange*

No. *500 138 me* Street

John T. Buff

No. *300 7 me* Street

2. Discharged

No. _____ Street

1009 - to answer

Commuted

1000 Each Ed Dec 6.7



Court of General Sessions of the Peace
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against
David Rushmore
and
Antonio Santos

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

David Rushmore and Antonio Santos
of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY in the *first* degree, committed as follows:

The said

David Rushmore and Antonio Santos, both

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *thirteenth* day of *December* in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three*, in the *night*-time of the said day, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

one watch of the value
of fifteen dollars, one ^{*stud*} ~~*chain*~~
of the value of fifteen dollars,
and the sum of two dollars in
money, lawful money of the
United States of America, and of
the value of two dollars

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one
on the person of the said

then and there being found, from the person of the said
then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in
such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York
and their dignity.

Frank J. Kittel
Frank J. Kittel
Frank J. Kittel
De launcey Nicoll
District Attorney

0597

BOX:

545

FOLDER:

4957

DESCRIPTION:

Ryan, Thomas

DATE:

12/08/93



4957

216

Witnesses:

COURT OF OYER AND TERMINER.

Counsel,

Filed, 8 day of Dec 1893

Pleads, *guilty*

THE PEOPLE

vs.

B
Thomas Ryan

General Sessions

Dec 11th 93

VIOLATION OF THE EXCISE LAW.
Selling, etc., on Sunday.
[Chap. 401, Laws of 1892, § 32.]

By LANCEY NICOLI,
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

R. J. Crow Foreman.

Dec 22 93

Court of Oyer and Terminer

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Thomas Ryan

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Thomas Ryan

of the CRIME OF SELLING STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINES, ALE AND BEER ON SUNDAY, committed as follows:

The said

Thomas Ryan

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *23rd* day of *July*, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three*, at the City and County aforesaid, the same being Sunday, certain strong and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, to wit: One gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whiskey, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain strong and spirituous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did sell, to one

Thomas F. Connor

and to certain other persons whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

Thomas Ryan

of the CRIME OF OFFERING AND EXPOSING FOR SALE ON SUNDAY STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINES, ALE AND BEER, committed as follows:

The said

Thomas Ryan

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, the same being Sunday, certain strong and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, to wit: One gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whiskey, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain strong and spirituous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did offer and expose for sale to one

Thomas F. Connor

and to certain other persons whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

0600

BOX:

545

FOLDER:

4957

DESCRIPTION:

Ryan, Thomas

DATE:

12/22/93



4957

0601

BOX:

545

FOLDER:

4957

DESCRIPTION:

Barrett, George

DATE:

12/22/93



4957

Witnesses:

affair David Hoar
10th Precinct

I recommend the
acceptance of
the plea of attempt
at burglary in
the 3rd degree
Jan 11th 1894
J. W. Woodman
Deputy

Counsel,

Filed

day of

189

Pleads,

306 ~~Samuel J. O'Reilly~~
Both not guilty

THE PEOPLE

21 ~~337~~ 6 24
vs. ~~feels~~

Thomas Ryan
~~George E. Barrett~~

~~George E. Barrett~~
~~George E. Barrett~~

Burglary in the 3rd degree.
[Section 486, Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

Part 2 - Jan 11/94
Both Plead Attempt Burg 3 deg
Each S.P. 2 years

A TRUE BILL.

B. Woodword

Foreman.

Police Court — / District.

City and County }
of New York, } ss.:

Gaetano Carbellano

of No. 115 Elizabeth Street, aged 50 years,

occupation Saloon Keeper being duly sworn

deposes and says, that the premises No 115 Elizabeth Street,

in the City and County aforesaid, the said being a five story brick

dwelling house the store floor of

and which was occupied by deponent as a Saloon and dwelling

and in which there was at the time a human being, by name

attempted to be
were BURGLARIOUSLY entered by means of forcibly bursting open
the door leading from the hall way into said
store

on the 16 day of December 1893 in the night time, and the
attempted to be
following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz:

A quantity of liquors, wines, cigars, clothing
and some gold jewelry of the value of
about six hundred dollars

the property of Deponent

and deponent further says, that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid
BURGLARY was committed and the aforesaid property taken, stolen, and carried away by

Thomas Ryan and George Barnett

for the reasons following, to wit: that on said date as deponent was
sleeping in said premises he was awakened about
3:45 o'clock A.M. by hearing a noise at said
door. Deponent discharged a pistol and deponent
is informed by Officer David Hoar of the 10th
Precinct that he heard the discharge of deponent's pistol
and immediately after saw the two defendants
run out of the hall way of said premises.
Wherefore deponent charges the two

defendants with attempted burglary.

Sworn to before me }
this 16th day of December 1892 } Antonio Cortezano

Antonio Cortezano

Police Justice

Police Court _____ District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.

Burglary

Degree.

Dated _____ 188 _____

Magistrate.

Officer.

Clerk.

Witnesses:

Committed in default of \$ _____ Bail.

Bailed by _____

No. _____ Street.

0605

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

David Hoar
aged 25 years, occupation Police Officer of No. 10th Precinct Street, being duly sworn, deposes and
says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Gastano Cartellano
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own
knowledge.

Sworn to before me this, 16 }
day of Dec 189 3 } David Hoar

[Signature]
Police Justice.

0606

Sec. 198—200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

George Barnett being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. George Barnett

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 20 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Boston Mass.

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 38. 1st Avenue 3 years

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Machinist

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty.
George Barnett

Taken before me this

16

day of

June

189

3

Police Justice.

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK } ss.

Thomas Ryan being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Thomas Ryan*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *20 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *New York City*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *337 East 24th Street - 1 Month*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Printer*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. *I am not guilty.*
Thomas Ryan

Taken before me this

*16*day of *Dec* 1895*Alfred J. Smith*
Police Justice.

0608

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

Defendants
guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of 200 Hundred Dollars, each and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated Dec 19 1893 James M. Smith Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named
guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order h to be discharged.

Dated _____ 189 _____ Police Justice

0609

50
Police Court--- District. 1360

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Gastano Cartellano
115 Elizabeth
1 *Thomas Ryan*
2 *George Baniet*
3
4

Burglary
Offence

Dated *Dec 16* 189 *3*

Meade Magistrate.

How Officer.

10 Precinct.

Witnesses *David How*

No. *10th Precinct* Street.

Officer James Samin

No. *10th Precinct* Street.

Michael Reynolds

No. *366 E 12th St* Street.

Wm. H. S. S.

Cammi H. S.

1000 E. 12th St. N. Y. C.

BAILED,

No. 1, by _____

Residence _____ Street

No. 2, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 3, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 4, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Thomas Ryan
and
George Barrett

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Thomas Ryan and George Barrett
of the CRIME OF BURGLARY in the *first* degree, committed as follows:

The said

Thomas Ryan and George Barrett, both

late of the *14th* Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the
sixteenth day of *December* in the year of our Lord one
thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three* in the *night* time of the same day, at the
Ward, City and County aforesaid, the dwelling house of one

Gaetano Cartellano

there situate, feloniously and burglariously did break into and enter, there being then and there a
human being within the said dwelling house, with intent to commit some crime therein, to wit: the
goods, chattels and personal property of the said

Gaetano Cartellano

in the said dwelling house then and there being, then and there feloniously and burglariously to steal,
take and carry away,

the said Thomas Ryan
and *George Barrett* and each of
them, being then and there assisted
by a confederate - actually
present, to wit: each by the other:

against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the
People of the State of New York and their dignity

De Laurence Nicoll
District Attorney

06 1 1

BOX:

545

FOLDER:

4957

DESCRIPTION:

Ryan, William

DATE:

12/22/93



4957

06 12

Witnesses:

officer Robert Johnson
15th Precinct

I recommend the
acceptance of a
plea of attempt at
burglary in the
3rd degree as the
property was re-
covered before the escape
of the prisoner.
Jan 3 / 1894
James Osborne
Deputy

308

Counsel,

Filed

day of

189

Pleads,

~~Henry Handcock~~
not guilty

THE PEOPLE

33
90

William Ryan

Feb 2 - Jan. 3. 1894

Pleads Att Burg. 3rd Deg
Pen 3 months.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A. TRUE BILL.

B. Lockwood

Foreman.

Burglary in the Third Degree.
[Section 486, 487, 526, 528, 529]

0613

DEPARTMENT OF
PUBLIC CHARITIES AND CORRECTION,
OFFICE OF CITY PRISON, COR. OF FRANKLIN AND CENTRE STREETS,

HENRY H. PORTER, Pres't.,
CHARLES E. SIMMONS, M. D., } Commissioners.
EDWARD C. SHEEHY.

JOHN FALLON, Warden.

New York Jan 2 1894

To the Honorable Judge Cowing
Part II General Sessions
Honorable Sir.

Wm Ryan is to appear before you tomorrow for trial. I know he is well connected & worthy of better things, has never been arrested before.

I know you desire to see the prisoners do well, if possible, & should an opportunity be given, I think "Wm Ryan" will improve.

I think - as he has no Lawyer to plead for him - if you would grant him a plea to petty Larceny the ends of justice would be met in his case, & good may result.

Wishing you personally all the Compliments for the New Year - I am Believe me

Very Faithfully Yours
Arthur H. Peroff

Chaplain of Tombs, etc.

0614

Police Court—2 District.City and County } ss.:
of New York,of No. 627 Broadway Street, aged 39 years,
occupation Managerdeposes and says, that the premises No 627 Broadway Street,
in the City and County aforesaid, the said being a five story Brick
Buildingand which was occupied by deponent as a Clothing Store
~~and in which there was at the time a human being by name~~were BURGLARIOUSLY entered by means of forcibly breaking
a pane of glass in the show window
of the aforesaid premises.on the 19 day of December 1883 in the night time, and the
following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz:The Overcoat of the amount and
value of ten dollars
\$ 10⁰⁰ / 100the property of D. B. King & Co. in deponent's care and custody—
and deponent further says, that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid
BURGLARY was committed and the aforesaid property taken, stolen, and carried away by
William Ryan (now here)for the reasons following, to wit: that about the hour of 6.30
o'clock P.M. of the 18th inst. after seeing the
aforesaid premises securely closed and fastened
deponent went away, and at said time said
window was in a perfect and unbroken condition,
and the aforesaid property was in said show
window, and that deponent is informed by Officer
Robert Johnson of the 15th Precinct Police that
about the hour of one o'clock A.M. of said date,

While he was patrolling Broadway between Bleecker and Houston Streets, he heard the crash of glass, and immediately discovered the defendant coming out of the doorway of the aforesaid premises, with an Overcoat thrown over his arm, and that said defendant on being discovered by said Officer ran away. and threw said Overcoat on the sidewalk. Dependent further says that he has seen the Overcoat which was in the possession of the defendant at the time said Officer saw the defendant coming out of the doorway of the aforesaid premises. and fully recognizes the same as his property. and as the aforesaid property stolen from him on said date. Dependent therefore asks that said defendant may be held to answer.

Henry Mazer

From to before me
this 19th day of Decemb 1893

Q. Adams

Price Index

Police Court District.

THE PEOPLE, & c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.

Burglary Degree.

Dated 188

Magistrate.

Officer.

Clerk.

Witnesses:

Committed in default of \$ Bail.

Bailed by

No. Street.

06 16

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

1877.

aged _____ years, occupation _____ of No. _____

15th Precinct Police Street, being duly sworn, deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Henry Magan

and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own

knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this

day of _____ 189

19 Robert Johnson

Henry Magan

Police Justice.

0617

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.
OF NEW YORK,

William Ryan being duly examined before the under-
signed according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is h right to
make a statement in relation to the charge against h ; that the statement is designed to
enable h if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h
that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiver cannot be used
against h on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the
testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your
exculpation?

Answer.

William Ryan

Taken before me this
day of *November* 188*9*

Police Justice.

06 18

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated December 19 1893 [Signature] Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named _____
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated _____ 18 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____
guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order he to be discharged.

Dated _____ 18 _____ Police Justice.

06 19

1853

Police Court---

District.

THE PEOPLE &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Henry Meyer
627 1/2 Broadway
William Cogan

Offence
Cogan

2
3
4

Dated

Dec 19

1893

Magistrate.

Officer.

Precinct.

Witnesses

No.

Street.

No.

Street.

No.

Street.

\$ 1000

to answer

Cum

P.P.

BAILED,

No. 1, by

Residence

Street.

No. 2, by

Residence

Street.

No. 3, by


Residence

Street.

No. 4, by

Residence

Street.



Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

William Ryan

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment accuse

William Ryan

of the CRIME OF BURGLARY IN THE THIRD DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

William Ryan

late of the *15th* Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *nineteenth* day of *December* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three* in the *night* time of the same day, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, a certain building there situate, to wit, the *store* of one *Adolph W. King*

there situate, feloniously and burglariously did break into and enter, with intent to commit some crime therein, to wit: with intent the goods, chattels and personal property of the said

Adolph W. King in the said *store* then and there being, then and there feloniously and burglariously to steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT.—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

William Ryan

of the CRIME of *Petit* LARCENY

committed as follows:

The said

William Ryan

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid
at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, in the *right*-time of said day, with force and arms,

*one overcoat of the value
of ten dollars*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

Adolph H. King

in the

store

of the said

Adolph H. King

there situate, then and there being found, in the *store*
aforesaid, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute
in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York
and their dignity.

De Launcey Nicoll
District Attorney