

0459

BOX:

133

FOLDER:

1382

DESCRIPTION:

Nash, John A.

DATE:

03/07/84



1382

Witnesses:

Bail \$2000.

Wm. H. H. H.

~~Wm. H. H. H.~~
Bailed by 83 Elements
Charles Doyle, 83 Elements
James, Brooklyn and
George Dr. Nichols, King
Hagerty Bros. 113
8 and 10 12th St
New York, residence
244 Adelphi St. N.Y.

Counsel,
Filed
Pleads
7
1318
1887
John A. Nash

THE PEOPLE

~~John A. Nash~~

John A. Nash

PETER B. OLNEY,

JOHN McKEON,

District Attorney

True Bill.

[Signature]

Foreman.

0460

This Indenture,

made the Twentieth day of May
in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and seventy and
Between
John A. Nash of the City of Brooklyn and Maria his
wife parties of the first part and Oscar H. Hughes of
the same place party

Witnesseth, That the said parties of the first part, for and in consideration of the sum of One Dollars, lawful money of the United States of America, to them in hand paid by the said party of the second part, at or before the executing and delivery of these presents, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, and the said party of the second part, his heirs, executors, and administrators, forever released and discharged from the same, by these presents, **He** granted, bargained, sold, aliened, remised, released, conveyed, and confirmed, and by these presents **he** grant, bargain, sell, alien, remise, release, convey, and confirm, unto the said party of the second part, and to his heirs and assigns, forever, **All** that certain lot piece or parcel of land situate lying and being in the City of Brooklyn County of Kings and State of New York which bounded and described as follows. Beginning at a point on the Easterly side of Oxford Street distant four hundred and forty one feet eight inches (441.8) Southerly from the South Easterly corner of Park Avenue and Oxford Street and thence running Easterly on a line at right angles to Oxford Street one hundred (100) feet thence running Northerly on a line parallel with Oxford Street nineteen feet five inches (19.5) thence running Westerly on a line at right angles with Oxford Street one hundred feet 100 to the said Easterly side of Oxford Street and thence running Southerly along the Easterly side of Oxford Street nineteen feet five inches (19.5) to the point or place of beginning.

Together with all and singular the tenements, hereditaments and appurtenances thereto belonging, or in anywise appertaining; and the reversion and reversions, remainders and remainders, rents, issues and profits thereof. And also all the estate, right, title, interest, claim, right of clover property, possession, claim and demand whatsoever, as well in law as in equity, of the said part of the first part, of, in and to the same, and every part and parcel thereof, with the appurtenances.

0461

To have and to hold the above granted, bargained and described premises, with the appurtenances, unto the said party of the second part, his heirs and assigns, to his or their own proper use, benefit and behoof, forever.

And the said John A. Nash for himself his heirs, executors and administrators, doth covenant, grant and agree to and with the said party of the second part, his heirs and assigns, that the said John A. Nash at the time of the sealing and delivery of these presents is lawfully seized in his own right of a good, absolute and indefeasible estate of inheritance, in fee simple, of and in all and singular the above granted, bargained and described premises, with the appurtenances, and has the good right, full power and lawful authority to grant, bargain, sell and convey the same, in manner and form aforesaid. And that the said party of the second part, his heirs and assigns, shall and may at all times hereafter, peaceably and quietly have, hold, use, occupy, possess and enjoy the above granted premises, and every part and parcel thereof, with the appurtenances, without any let, suit, trouble, molestation, eviction or disturbance of the said parties of the first part, their heirs or assigns, or of any other person or persons, lawfully claiming, or to claim the same: And that the same now are free, clear, discharged and unincumbered, of and from all former and other grants, titles, charges, estates, judgments, taxes, assessments and encumbrances, of what nature or kind soever.

And also, that the said parties of the first part, and their heirs, and all and every other person or persons whomsoever, lawfully or equitably deriving any estate, right, title or interest of, in or to the heretofore granted premises, by, from, under or in trust for them, shall and will, at any time or times hereafter, upon the reasonable request and at the proper costs and charges in the law of the said party of the second part, his heirs and assigns, make, do and execute, or cause or procure to be made, done and executed, all and every such further and other lawful and reasonable acts, conveyances and assurances in the law for the better and more effectually vesting and confirming the premises hereby granted, or so intended to be, in and to the said party of the second part, his heirs and assigns, forever, as by the said party of the second part, his heirs or assigns, or his or their counsel learned in the law, shall be reasonably devised, advised or required.

And the said John A. Ash

and his heirs, the above described and hereby granted and released premises, and every part and parcel thereof, with the appurtenances, unto the said party of the second part, his heirs and assigns, against the said part 1 of the first part, and his heirs, and against all and every person and persons whomsoever, lawfully claiming or to claim the same, shall and will **Warrant** and by these presents forever **Defend**.

In Witness Whereof, the said parties of the first part have hereunto set their hands and seals the day and year first above written.

Signed and delivered in the presence of

John Courtney

John A. Ash

Eliza Ash

State of New York,

County of Kings } ss.
City of Brooklyn.

On this twentieth day of May in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and seventy six before me personally came John A. Ash and Eliza Ash his wife to me personally known, and known to me to be the individuals described in and who executed the foregoing conveyance, and they severally acknowledged to me that they executed the same. And the said Eliza Ash on a private examination by me separate and apart from her said husband further acknowledged to me that she executed the same freely of her own record and without any fear or compulsion of or from her said husband

John Courtney
Clerk of Records

Recorded October 27. 1879 at 30 minutes past 9. A.M.

0463

State of New York } Samuel Richards Register of the
County of Kings } the foregoing has been compared with the
original record in my office in Liberto
1369 page 357 of conveyances and that it
is a true copy thereof and of the whole of
such original record

Witness my hand and official
seal this 20 day of Sept-1883

Samuel Richards
Register

0464

John A Nash

to

Oscar F Hughes

DEED.

Dated

18

0465

John A. Ash.
Brooklyn.

In the case of
Michall Farley

Robert J. Roberts
in the Marine Court March
8th 1879 executed an in-
dulting on appeal from the
~~trial term to the General Term~~
~~of the Marine Court of from the~~
~~General Term of Marine Court~~
of the Court of Common Pleas
On an examination before Judge
McAdams on that day as to
his sufficiency he swore
that he owned ~~the~~ 101 Centre
Street Brooklyn and
had owned it 25 years

In fact he had conveyed the
property to Oscar F. Hughes
in 1876 ^{May 20 recorded} and he had not owned
it after that date. This deed was recorded
after the justification aforesaid
August 20 1883 on Examination
before Judge Barnard in
a suit ~~to set aside~~ the conveyance was
promised ~~to~~ in person that the

0466

deed to Hughes was executed
and delivered long before the
justification aforesaid.

0467

N. Y. Supreme Court.

Plunny Ayres

vs
John A. Nash and
Clara Nash

Depositions of the defendants
John A. Nash and Clara Nash
taken before trial at the instance
of the plaintiff before Hon Joseph
F. Bamard one of the Justices of this
Court pursuant to the answered
orders of Hon Edgar M. Cullen Justice King
about) the 13th day of August
1883 at the Court Room in
the City of Brooklyn.

appeared. I Mr. Stephen W. Hammett.

Mr. Edwin Williams for Clara Nash
Mr. Alexander J. W. John A. Nash

Courtesy of Kings Co.

Clara Nash being duly sworn
deposes and says

I am one of the defendants in this action
I am the wife of John A. Nash. I now
reside at No 101 North Oxford Street
in the City of Brooklyn - I have lived there
about thirty years except a few years

0468

I lived in Washington Avenue at No 152 -
for ~~four years~~ years ending a year ago last
May

Q. You claim I believe to own the property
at No 101 North Oxford Street where you
now live?

A. I do.

Q. From whom did you obtain your title?

A. From Oscar H. Hughes

Q. From whom did this Mr. Hughes obtain
his title?

A. I don't know. I was from
my husband Mr. Ross.

Q. Did you not examine the deed by
which Mr. Hughes got his title?

A. Certainly I did.

Q. Where was it that you examined
that deed?

A. At my home, at North Oxford Street.

Q. Who was present at that time?

A. My son and my husband - I
recalled very little about it, as it
was so long ago.

Q. Was Mr. Hughes present?

A. Yes.

Q. Was any one else present?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Did you go to see Mr. Coulter then?

A. I don't remember that.

0469

2. Did you acknowledge that deed
being Mr. Courtney's?

A. Yes

2. Where did you acknowledge it?

A. I don't remember.

2. What was done with the deed
after it had been executed?

A. I suppose it was recorded -
I don't know. I don't remember.

2. Do you know who took the deed?

A. No, I do not.

2. Was it handed in your hands?

A. ~~Whether~~ Mr. [unclear] had nothing to do
with that.

2. How do I understand you that deed
was taken away either by Mr. Hughes
or by some one in his behalf?

A. I don't know about that.

2. What was done if anything about
having the deed to it recorded?

A. I supposed it was recorded but
I don't know.

2. Did Mr. Hughes take away with him
the deed, you and your husband had
executed to him?

A. I suppose so.

2. Was that deed not that time actually
placed in his hands as a delivery?

A. I don't know.

0470

2. Who is Mr. Hughes

A. I ~~only~~ know him as the person who bought the property from Mr. Cook. I had known him before.

2. What was given for the property by Mr. Hughes?

A. I don't know.

2. Was anything given?

A. Of course we could not be likely to give a price of anything away.

2. Was the consideration expressed in the deed?

A. I don't remember.

2. Do you understand you that Mr. Hughes really purchased the property?

A. Yes, of course.

2. Now is it not the fact that the sole object of conveying the property to him was to enable him to make a deed back to you, as you understood it at the time?

A. I do not know.

2. When did you last see the deed from you and your husband to Mr. Hughes?

A. I don't remember that.

2. Are you able at this time to say when it was that the deed was executed?

A. I don't remember - it was some years ago.

2. How many years ago as near as you can fix?

0471

2

Q. I can't remember.

2. Was it as much as seven years ago?

Q. Longer I think.

2. Was that deed executed on the day it was made?

Q. I don't know.

2. Have you looked at that deed since this suit was commenced?

Q. No.

2. Did Mr. Oscar H. Hughes at the same time the deed to him was executed also execute the deed to you under which you now claim to own the property?

Q. I don't remember.

2. Were you present when Mr. Hughes executed any deed to you?

Q. O. Course.

2. Where was that?

Q. That was at my home on North Oxford Street.

2. Who was present?

Q. I don't remember.

2. Was that deed placed in your possession I mean the deed to you from Mr. Hughes?

Q. I don't know.

2. Was such a deed ever in your possession?

0472

A. Yes

Q. Was it before or after it had been recorded?

A. After I think but I don't remember.

Q. By whom was that deed sent to be recorded?

A. I think a man named Macaulay?

Q. Who gave it to him?

A. I did.

Q. Then you had it in your possession before it was recorded?

A. I think so.

Q. How long did you have it in your possession after its execution before you sent it for record?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Was it for a considerable time?

A. I could not say. It was too long ago.

Q. Did you have this deed recorded within a short time after you received it?

A. I don't remember.

Q. During the time you were living in Washington Avenue who rented the property you or your husband?

A. I did.

Q. Did you give Hughes anything for this property?

A. Why certainly I did.

0473

2. What was it?

A. Money.

2. How much?

A. I don't remember.

2. Was it a considerable sum?

A. I don't remember - whatever he asked.

2. What was the value of the property at that time?

A. I don't know. I forget.

2. Was it as much as \$5000.

A. Yes.

2. What mortgage was on the property?

A. \$2000. I think.

2. Did you pay Hughes as much as \$2000 or \$1000?

A. I don't remember.

2. Is it not the fact that you paid nothing or nothing more than one dollar?

A. I don't know - I paid him what he asked for it.

2. Does the deed state exactly what you paid him?

A. I don't know. I suppose it does.

2. By whose advice, if any one, did you send your deed to be recorded
objected to. Rescued withdrawn

04.74

2. Did you personally employ or direct Mr. Macaulay to take your deed for record?

a. I don't remember.

2. Now is it not the fact that that deed was not recorded for over thirty years after its date?

a. I don't remember.

2. Had you any object in keeping it off the record?

a. I didn't know that I had kept it off the record.

2. Did you not know that down to the latter part of the year 1879, your husband was claiming to own this property?

a. I know that he did not claim to own it.

2. Did you not know that he was incurring liabilities on the strength of claiming to own it?

a. I did not.

2. Did you ever hear that he had become a party upon an undertaking in the case of ^{vs} Harbes & Roberts?

a. I did not.

2. Where did you keep the money you paid Hughes?

~~a. It was not~~

0475

3.

Q. I kept it in the house. I kept it about me.

2. Did you keep any of it in bank?

A. No I did not.

2. And from whence did you derive the money?

A. My son gave me money time and again.

Wm. J. F. F.

I certify that the foregoing deposition was taken before me, that the same was subscribed and sworn to before me by the said Clara and after the same had been carefully read over to her.

Witness my hand and seal at Brooklyn August 18, 1883.

J. J. F. F.
J. J. F. F.

County of Kings J.

John A. Nash, being duly sworn deposes and says.

2. You are husband of Clara Nash?

A. I am.

2. Did you once own the property No 101 North Bedford Street Brooklyn?

A. I did.

2. To whom did you convey it? Oscar Hughes?

A. Yes Oscar F. Hughes.

2. When?

A. Seven or eight years ago.

0476

Q. Where was the deed executed?

A. I don't remember but I think it was at Mr. Hughes' house - I won't be positive on that point.

Q. Who were present then?

A. Mr. Hughes - Mrs. Hughes - my wife - my son and Judge Courtney and or two others.

Q. Was the deed then acknowledged by you and your wife?

A. I don't remember - but I suppose so.

Q. What was done with the deed immediately on its execution?

A. It was at once delivered to Mr. Hughes. He was present and the purchaser.

Q. This was the same day the deed was acknowledged before Judge Courtney?

A. I presume it was.

Q. Have you any doubt that it was within a few days after the acknowledgment?

A. I have not.

Q. When was this as you just recollect it. You ask too much as I don't recollect. ~~the deed~~

The deed was executed on the day it bears date.

Q. And was the deed on the same day delivered?

A. Yes, the transaction was then closed.

0477

Q. And from that time you ceased to be the owner of the property?

A. That is so?

Q. Did you subsequent to the execution and delivery of the deed as you have testified, claim to own the property?

A. I did not.

Q. Look at paper now shown you & state if that is your signature (paper exhibited to witness in the examination as directed in the case of

~~Robert~~ Harley, Roberts a firm and indultary on appeal, dated March 25th 1878).

A. I think that is my handwriting but will not swear to it.

Q. Have you recommended or advised upon such an undertaking?

A. I don't remember.

Q. In this transaction the party who appears in your name ~~name~~ says that he on that date owns the property No. 11, North Oxford Street Brooklyn. Did you on that day own that property?

Opposite to, ~~withdrawing~~ Question withdrawn

Q. Did the deed & copies from you and your wife been delivered to Hayes before March 25th 1878?

0478

A. It had been.

Q. What did Royce do with the deed after he received it?

A. I do not know.

Q. Did you ever see it after that day?

A. For a good many times.

Q. When did you next see it?

A. That I do not recall it.

Q. Where did you see it?

A. That I do not recall either.

~~A.~~

Q. Had it been recorded?

A. That I don't know.

Q. Did you have anything to do with having this deed recorded?

A. No.

Q. Did you ever have it go on the record?

A. No. It was recorded unbeknownst to me.

Q. Were you present when Mr. Royce executed the deed to your wife?

A. It was then when the business was transacted as I have testified before.

Q. Was the deed to her and the transfer from you & your wife to Royce one transaction?

A. Yes.

Q. Is it not the fact that the sole object of conveying to Royce was to enable a

0479

4

transfer of the property to be made to you, is it?

A. I don't know that it was

Q. Was Hughes a purchaser of the property

A. Of course he was. but his purchase was

~~2. A~~ made for the purpose of enabling him to make a transfer of the title to my wife. I misunderstood the previous question.

Q. Was there any money consideration for the transfer from you to your wife through Mr. Hughes?

A. There was

Q. What was it?

A. It is expressed in the deed. but in addition the reason of the conveyance was that I had received from my wife a large sum of money previously.

Q. Who took the deed to your wife after Hughes had executed it?

A. I think Miss Courtney handed it right over to her. I saw it several times afterwards in her possession.

Q. Did you tell her to put it on record?

A. No

Q. Did you ever advise her not to record it?

A. No

Q. Do you ^{know} how she came to record it?

A. That is another matter. I declined

0480

to testify.
2. Did you take it for record?

A. No.

Witness wishes to correct testimony in respect to the last question but not by saying that he misunderstood the question and now answers it as follows:

A. I do not know. *J. H. B. M. B.*

Witness to appear in 2d. inst at 11 am
to verify above deposition to which time the
proceeding is adjourned at same place
Salem Brooklyn Aug. 13, 1883

J. H. B. M. B.
at John H. B.

0481

COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss.

In the Name of the People of the State of New York, To any Sheriff, Constable,
Marshal or Policeman in this State, GREETING :

An indictment having been found on the 4th day of March
1884, in the Court of General Sessions of the Peace, of the County of
New York, charging John A. Nash

with the crime of Perjury

You are therefore Commanded forthwith to arrest the above named John A.
Nash and bring him before that Court to answer the indictment; or
if the Court have adjourned for the term, that you deliver him into the custody of the Keeper of the
City Prison of the City of New York.

New York City, the 7th day of March 1884

By order of the Court,


Clerk.

0482

N. Y. General Sessions of the Peace

THE PEOPLE
OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

John A. Nash
101 E. 12th St. New York

Bench Warrant for Felony.

Issued

March 7 1884

The officer executing this process will make his
return to the Court forthwith.

For the Court
182 Hall St.

March 11th 1884

The within named
defendant was arrested
this day and brought
to District Attorney's
Office by Von Guichter &
Reilly

0483

U.S. Marine Court.

Michael Harley }
Robert J. Roberts }

Examination of decties on
appeal to Court of Common
Pleas before Hon David M'Adam
March 8th 1879

John A. Nash being duly sworn deposes and
says that he is one of the decties
on the indenturing on appeal herein.
The further says I reside at No
456 Myrtle Avenue Brooklyn. I own
real estate situated at 101 North Oxford
Street in the City of Brooklyn. I have
owned it about twenty five years. I
purchased it from William Raper. The
deed is on record. It was recorded
at that time. The lot is twenty feet
by one hundred within a few inches.
It is a three story high stone house
with a basement & subcellar.

The house is worth I suppose nine
thousand dollars. There is a mortgage
of two thousand dollars, and all the
interest on the mortgage is paid. All
the taxes except the year taxes are

0484

paid. The house was for seven hundred
dollars. There are no judgments against
me. I owe nothing.

The mortgage on my house is held by
an estate. The estate of J. W. Fields.

The mortgage was given about five
years ago.

Done before me this 8th day of March 1899
Jas. A. Nash.
Said M^r Adam
Justice Maine Co.

0485

N. Y. Marine Court

W. Reid Gould, Law Blank Publisher and Stationer, 168 Nassau St., N. Y.
Code of Civil Procedure, Secs. 1326 and 1327.Michael Harley
Ref & Receipt
againstRobert J. Roberts
Ref & ReceiptUndertaking on Appeal from a Judgment
directing the payment of money.

Whereas, on the third day of February 1879 in the
Marine Court of the City of New York - General
Verdict Robert J. Roberts the respondent recovered a judgment
 against the appellant for 91.25 dollars representing a judgment
against the appellant for the sum of thirty six
12 dollars.

And the appellant feeling aggrieved thereby, intends to appeal therefrom to the General
Term of the Court of Common Pleas

Now, therefore, we John A. Nash residing at
 No. 456 Myrtle Ave Street, in the City of Brooklyn
 and Charles A. Price residing at No. 239 West 43rd
 Street, in the City of New York do jointly and severally, pursuant to the Statute
 in such case made and provided, undertake, that the appellant will pay all costs and damages which
 may be awarded against the appellant on said appeal, not exceeding five hundred dollars, and do also
 undertake, that if the judgment so appealed from, or any part thereof, is affirmed, or the appeal is dis-
 missed, the appellant will pay the sum directed to be paid by the judgment, or the part thereof as to
 which judgment shall be affirmed.

Dated

Feb 20 1879John A. Nash
Charles A. PriceCity of New York County of New York SS.

John A. Nash one of the Sureties to the foregoing undertaking, being
 sworn, says, that he is a resident and house holder within the State of New York, and
 is worth twice the sum specified in the above undertaking, over all the debts and liabilities which he owes
 or has incurred, and exclusive of property exempt by law from levy and sale under an execution.

Sworn to before me, this

day of

Feb 1879 } John A. Nash
Chas. E. Karsthorst Jr
Notary Public N.Y.City of New York County of New York SS.

Charles A. Price one of the Sureties to the foregoing undertaking, being
 sworn, says, that he is a resident, and house holder within the State of New York, and
 is worth twice the sum specified in the above undertaking, over all the debts and liabilities which he owes
 or has incurred, and exclusive of property exempt by law from levy and sale under an execution.

Sworn to before me, this

day of

Feb 1879 } Charles A. Price
Chas. E. Karsthorst Jr
Notary Public N.Y.City of New York County of New York SS.

I Certify, that on this 20 day of February 1879 before me
 appeared the above named John A. Nash and Charles A.
Price

known to me, and to me known to be the individuals described in and who executed the above under-
 taking, and severally acknowledged that they executed the same.

Chas. E. Karsthorst Jr
Notary Public
N.Y. 1879

0486

My Name Envs

Michael Farley

ag't

Robert J. Roberts

Undertaking on Appeal from Judgment,
and stay of proceedings.

J. H. Goodman

Att'y for Appellant

291 Broadway

Healy appearing
without undertaking
for a moment

JM CI

Filed Feb 21, 1979

Approved on
transcription
March 28, 1979
David M. O'Leary
clerk

0487

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

John A. Nash

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

of the CRIME OF

committed as follows:

~~The said~~

~~in the City and County of New York~~ On the Eight day of March in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and nineteen ~~with force and arms~~ at the City and County aforesaid, there was pending

in the Marine Court of the City of New York a certain action wherein one Michael Farley was plaintiff and one Robert J. Roberts was defendant, and wherein, theretofore, to wit: on the third day of February in the year aforesaid the said plaintiff had recovered a judgment against the said defendant. And thereupon the said defendant had duly given notice of appeal from the said judgment, to the General Term of the Court of Common Pleas of the City and County of New York, and had duly filed an undertaking on the said appeal, wherein one John A. Nash was one of the sureties, that the said Robert J. Roberts, defendant in the said action and the appellant upon the said appeal, should pay all costs and damages which might be awarded against the appellant on said appeal, not

0488

exceeding five hundred dollars, and that if the judgment appealed from, or any part thereof, were affirmed, or the appeal were dismissed, the appellant would pay the sum directed to be paid by the judgment, or the part thereof as to which judgment should be affirmed.

And the said Robert J. Roberts, defendant as aforesaid, having executed to the sufficiency of the said John A. Nash as much surety upon the said undertaking, the said John A. Nash, afterwards, to-wit: on the day and in the year first aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, duly exhibited to and tendered to the Honorable David Mc Adam, one of the Judges of the said Marine Court of the City of New York, a certain affidavit in writing duly signed and subscribed by him the said John A. Nash in his own proper hand: writing (to the said the Honorable David Mc Adam, Judge of the said Court as aforesaid then and there having competent power and authority to take and approve undertakings in such cases) which said affidavit and writing then and there contained their allegations and statements concerning the responsibility and solvency of him the said John A. Nash as such surety upon the said undertaking.

And thereupon it became and was material that the said the Honorable David Mc Adam, Judge of the said

Court as aforesaid, should know the true circumstances and property of him the said John A. Nash so that he might determine whether he would and should take and approve the said John A. Nash as such surety upon the said undertaking.

And the said John A. Nash was thereupon in due form of Law sworn by the said the Honorable David McAdam, so as aforesaid Judge of the said name Court of the City of New York (and then and there having full and competent power and authority to administer an oath to the said John A. Nash in that behalf) and did then and there take his corporal oath before the said the Honorable David McAdam Judge as aforesaid, touching and concerning the truth of the matters contained in his said affidavit in writing.

And the said John A. Nash being so sworn as aforesaid, on the day and in the year first aforesaid, at the City and County

0490

aforsaid, before the said the Hon-
orable David McAdam, so as afors-
said Judge of the said Court, and
then and there having full
and competent power and
authority to administer an
oath to the said John A. Nash
in that behalf, on his oath
aforsaid, to prevent the said
the Honorable David McAdam
Judge as aforsaid, from knowing
the true circumstances and
property of him the said John
A. Nash, in and by his said
affidavit in writing, then and
there knowingly, wickedly wil-
fully, corruptly and feloniously,
and of his own act and consent
did falsely swear, depose and
say, amongst other things, in
substance and to the effect
following, that is to say:

I (himself the said John A. Nash
thereby meaning) own (at the time
of making the said oath and
making the said affidavit in
writing thereby meaning) real
estate situated at 101 Nash Oxford

Street in the City of Brooklyn. I (himself the said John A. Nash thereby meaning) have owned it about twenty five years. (thereby meaning twenty five years next preceding the day of taking the said affidavit and making the said affidavit in writing).

Whereas in truth and in fact he the said John A. Nash at the time of taking the said oath and making the said affidavit in writing, did not own real estate situated at 101 North Oxford Street in the City of Brooklyn, and had not owned it for twenty five years next preceding the day of taking the said affidavit and making the said affidavit in writing.

And so the Grand Jury aforesaid do say: That he the said John A. Nash, on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, before the said The Honorable David Mc Adam, Judge of the said Criminal Court of the City of New York, and then and there having full and competent power and authority as aforesaid, upon his oath aforesaid, in and by his said affidavit in writing, by his own act and consent, and of his own most wicked and corrupt mind, wilfully knowingly, wickedly and falsely did declare: having committed wilful and corrupt perjury to the great displeasure of Almighty God, to the example of all others in like cases offending, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the people of the State of New York and their dignity.

0492

BOX:

133

FOLDER:

1382

DESCRIPTION:

Nathan, Jacob

DATE:

03/25/84



1382

Witnesses :

Peter F. White

247

Counsel,

Filed 25 day of March 1884

Pleads

Grand Larceny 2nd degree
[Sections 528, 531, Penal Code].

THE PEOPLE

vs.

P

Jacob Nathan

PETER B. OLNEY,

District Attorney.

A True Bill.

Leicester Shumley

Foreman.

March 27/84
Hearns J. D.
Rev. Sir Sir m.

0493

0494

First District Police Court. Affidavit—Larceny.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss. *Peter F. White*

of No. *265 & 267 Canal* Street, *age 31 years Examiner of Woollen Goods*

being duly sworn, deposes and says, that on the *19* day of *March* 188*4*

at the *day time, at the* City of New York,

in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession

of deponent *with intent to deprive the true owner of the use and benefit thereof*

the following property, viz :

One piece of Woollen cloth containing about fifty yards of the value of about thirty eight Dollars (\$38⁰⁰ 100)

the property of *Joseph Fox, Herman Mendel & Andrew Mendel Co-partners, and doing business under the firm name of Fox Mendel and Brother and in Care and Charge of deponent* and that this deponent

has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away by *Jacob Nathan (now here)*

from the fact, that deponent saw said Nathan take said property from a Case on the third floor of premises 265 and 267 Canal Street and walk away with said property. Deponent pursued said Nathan who then dropped said property on the stairway and ran away.

Peter F. White

Sworn before me this

19

day of *March* 188*4*

Police Justice.

0495

Sec. 198—200

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

First District Police Court.

Jacob Nathan being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Jacob Nathan

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

21 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

Ireland.

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

213 Lewis Street. 17 years

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

cigar packer

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer.

I was intimidated and did not know what I was doing

Jacob Nathan

Taken before me this

day of

March 1884
Sealed & Signed

0496

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed,
and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named David Nathan

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of 200
Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he
give such bail.

Dated March 20th 1887 Solomon B. Smith Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named _____
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated _____ 188 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____
_____ guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated _____ 188 _____ Police Justice.

0497

*8000.
In Ex. note 20 -
3 0.4.*

Police Court *First* District. *1198*

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Peter F. White
255 & 267 1/2 Canal St
Jacob Nathan

Office of Grand Jurors

BAILED,

No. 1, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 2, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 3, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 4, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

Dated *March 19* 188*4*

Pewer Magistrate.

Gabriel Aguirre Officer.

Court squad Precinct.

Witnesses _____

No. _____ Street.

No. _____ Street,

No. _____ Street.

\$ *1000* to answer *Pew* Sessions.

Tom



0498

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Jacob Nathan

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Jacob Nathan

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY in the *Second* degree, committed as follows:

The said

Jacob Nathan

late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the
nineteenth day of *March* in the year of our Lord one thousand
eight hundred and eighty-*four* at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

fifty yards of cloth of red
value of seventy six cents
each yard

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one *Joseph Fox*

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form
of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New
York and their dignity.

Peter B. Olney,
District Attorney.

0499

BOX:

133

FOLDER:

1382

DESCRIPTION:

Nelson, Louis

DATE:

03/26/84



1382

Witnesses:
J. John Hogan,

261

Day of Trial, *March*
Counsel, *W. B. Olney*
Filed, *26* day of *March* 1884
Pleads *Not guilty*

Assault in the First Degree.
(K 217 and 218)

THE PEOPLE
vs. *P*

Louis Nelson

PETER B. OLNEY,
~~JOHN MCKEON~~
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

Charles B. Kinnels

Foreman.

April 23/84
April 14, Not sufficient
Grand Jury

April 16, Not sufficient
21 April 23 Encl. 2
E. L. D.

0501

Police Court

First District.

CITY AND COUNTY
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

of

John Hogan aged 27 years a Police
man of the 4th Precinct Police

on

Monday 24th day of March

in the year 1884 at the City of New York, in the County of New York,

he was violently and feloniously ASSAULTED and BEATEN by

Louis Nelson
(now here) who did willfully maliciously
and feloniously cut and stab
deponent on the left arm with
a knife which he said
deponent held in his hand
at about one o'clock on Monday
date in Gold Street in said City

with the felonious intent to take the life of deponent, or to do him grievous bodily harm; and without
any justification on the part of the said assailant :

Wherefore this deponent prays that the said assailant may be apprehended and bound to answer
for the above assault, etc., and be dealt with according to law.

Sworn to before me, this

of

March 24th

1884

John Hogan

City Clerk

POLICE JUSTICE.

0502

Sec. 198-200

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

1st District Police Court.

Louis Nelson being duly examined before the under-
signed, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is h is right to
make a statement in relation to the charge against h um; that the statement is designed to
enable h um if h is see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h um
that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h is waiver cannot be used
against h um on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Louis Nelson

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 36 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Lurden

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. Board of Ship

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Sailor

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the
testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your
exculpation?

Answer. I was intoxicated, I don't no
anything about it

Louis Nelson
(mark)

Taken before me this

day of

March
1884

Police Justice.

0503

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Louis Pelson

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of ten Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail. or be legally discharged

Dated March 24 188 4 cap any Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named _____ to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated _____ 188 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____ guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated _____ 188 _____ Police Justice.

0504

BAILED,

No. 1, by

Residence Street.

No. 2, by

Residence Street.

No. 3, by

Residence Street.

No. 4, by

Residence Street.

Police Court

1st 1256 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

John Hogan
4th Precinct
Louis Nelson

2

3

4

Dated March 24 1884

M. J. Hogan Magistrate.

Officer.

Precinct.

Witnesses



Street.

Street.

Street.

No. Street.

\$1000 to answer General Sessions.

Case

0505

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

AGAINST

Louis Nelson

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Louis Nelson

of the CRIME OF *Assault in the first degree*, committed as follows:

The said

Louis Nelson

Twenty-fourth day of *March* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty *four* with force of arms, at the City and County aforesaid, in and upon the body of *John Dragan* in the peace of the said people then and there being, feloniously did make an assault and *in* the said *John Dragan* with a certain *knife* which the said *Louis Nelson*

in *his* right hand then and there had and held, the same being a deadly and dangerous weapon, wilfully and feloniously did beat, strike, stab, cut and wound with intent *to kill* the said *John Dragan* then and there feloniously and wilfully to kill, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT:

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

Louis Nelson

of the CRIME OF Assault in the Second Degree, committed as follows:

The said

Louis Nelson, late of the City and County aforesaid

afterwards, to wit, on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and upon the body of the said *John Dragan* then and there being, feloniously did, wilfully and wrongfully, make an assault and *in* the said *John Dragan* with a certain *knife* which the said *Louis Nelson*

in *his* right hand then and there had and held, the same being an instrument likely to produce grievous bodily harm, feloniously did, wilfully and wrongfully then and there beat, strike, stab, cut and wound

against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

PETER B. OLNEY,

JOHN McKEON, District Attorney.