

02 12

**BOX:**

509

**FOLDER:**

4641

**DESCRIPTION:**

Lange, Julius

**DATE:**

01/26/93



4641

0213

POOR QUALITY  
ORIGINAL

Witnesses:

Officer Ward

From an examination of the proof  
available for the people I find that  
owing to the lapse of time that there  
is a doubt to the identity of the accused.  
The case is inherently weak and of a kind  
where experience has shown that a jury  
would acquit no conviction can there  
for be expected. I recommend that this  
indictment be dismissed.

June 2<sup>nd</sup> / 1898

Daniel W. Kelly  
Dist. Atty.

I renew  
S. S. Blane

Counsel,

Filed, 26 day of June 1898

Pleads, Guilty

ENTERED  
T. J. W.

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Julius Lange

VIOLATION OF THE EXCISE LAW.  
Selling, etc., on Sunday.  
[Chap. 401, Laws of 1892, § 52.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,  
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

J. Cathin

P. H. June 24/98 Foreman.  
on motion of Dist. Atty.  
Indictment dismissed. R. E.



02 14

POOR QUALITY  
ORIGINAL

Witnesses:

Effici Ward

From an examination of the proof  
available for the people I find that  
owing to the lapse of time that there  
is a doubt to the identity of the accused.  
The case is inherently weak and of a kind  
where experience has shown that a jury  
would acquit no conviction can there  
for be expected. I recommend that this  
indictment be dismissed.

June 2 2nd / 1898

Daniel O'Rielly  
D. Asst. Dist. Atty.

I renew  
S. S. Blake

327  
Counsel,

Filed,

day of

189

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

VIOLATION OF THE EXCISE LAW.  
Selling, etc., on Sunday.  
[Chap. 401, Laws of 1892, § 32.]

DE LANCEY NICOLI,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL

J. Cathin

P. H. June 27/98 Foreman  
on motion of Dist. Atty.  
Indictment dismissed. R.C.C.

02 15

Sec. 198-200.

5

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY } ss:  
OF NEW YORK }

*Julius Lange* being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he sees fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

*Julius Lange*

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

*32 years old*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

*Germany*

Question. Where do you live and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

*447 East 87 st one years*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

*Bar tender*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer.

*I Am Not Guilty  
If held demand trial by Jury*

*Julius Lange*

Taken before me this

25

day of

*September 1909*  
*M. J. White*

Police Justice

02 16

Excise Violation—Selling on Sunday.

5  
POLICE COURT,  
DISTRICT,  
1910

CITY AND COUNTY } ss :  
OF NEW YORK.

*James J. Grace*  
Street, of the

City of New York, being duly sworn, deposes and says, that on SUNDAY, the

189, in the City of New York, in the County of New York,

at premises No. 113-3 East 14th Street,

(now here) *Julius Lange*

did then and there SELL, CAUSE, SUFFER and permit to be sold, and GIVEN AWAY under his

direction or authority, strong and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, being intoxicating liquors,

to be drunk as a beverage contrary to and in violation of the statute in such case made and

provided. *Julius Lange*

may be arrested and dealt with according to law.

Sworn to before me, this 23rd day of December, 189

*Wm. H. Hall*  
Police Justice.

02 17

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

*Defendant*

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *One* Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, *Dec 20* 189 *H. A. Burke* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named

*Defendant*

to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, *Dec 20* 189 *H. A. Burke* Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named

guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, 189 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.

02 18

Sitting on Sunday 5 1898  
Police Court--- District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Jas J. Ward  
vs.  
Julius Lange

Wm J. L. Case  
Clerk

BAILED

No. 1, by

Residence

Valentine Behringer  
449 E 86<sup>th</sup> Street.

No. 2, by

Residence

Street.

No. 3, by

Residence

Street.

No. 4, by

Residence

Street.

Dated,

Dec 25 1898  
W. J. L. Case  
Ward  
27

189

Magistrate.

Officer.

Precinct.

Witnesses

No.

Street.

No.

Street.

No.

Street.

\$ 100

to answer

Baile



02 19

**Court of General Sessions of the Peace**

2067

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
against

*Julius Lange*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse  
*Julius Lange*  
of the CRIME OF SELLING STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINES, ALE AND  
BEER ON SUNDAY, committed as follows:

The said *Julius Lange*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *25<sup>th</sup>*  
day of *December* - in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and  
ninety-*two* - , at the City and County aforesaid, the same being Sunday, certain strong  
and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, to wit: One gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill  
of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whiskey, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale,  
one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain strong and spiritu-  
ous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did sell, ~~to one~~

and to certain other persons whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, against  
the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of  
New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said  
*Julius Lange*  
of the CRIME OF OFFERING AND EXPOSING FOR SALE ON SUNDAY STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS,  
WINES, ALE AND BEER, committed as follows:

The said *Julius Lange*

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to-wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, the  
same being Sunday, certain strong and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, to wit: One gill of  
wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whiskey, one gill of cordial, one  
gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of  
a certain strong and spirituous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did offer and  
expose for sale to one

*James J. Ward*  
and to certain other persons whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, against the  
form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State  
of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,  
District Attorney.

0220

**BOX:**

509

**FOLDER:**

4641

**DESCRIPTION:**

Lawlor, Calvert

**DATE:**

01/26/93



4641

Witnesses:

Officer Watt

Counsel,

Filed;

day of

1893

Placed,

1893

THE PEOPLE

vs.

B

Calvert Rawls

May 21 93

VIOLATION OF THE EXCISE LAW.  
Selling, etc., on Sunday.  
[Chap. 401, Laws of 1892, § 32.]

De LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

J. C. Carter

Foreman.

0222

2907

**Court of General Sessions of the Peace**

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

*Calvert Lawlor*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

*Calvert Lawlor*  
of the CRIME OF SELLING STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINES, ALE AND BEER ON SUNDAY, committed as follows:

The said

*Calvert Lawlor*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *eighteenth* day of *December* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*two*, at the City and County aforesaid, the same being Sunday, certain strong and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, to wit: One gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whiskey, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain strong and spirituous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did sell, ~~to one~~

~~and to certain other persons~~ whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

*Calvert Lawlor*  
of the CRIME OF OFFERING AND EXPOSING FOR SALE ON SUNDAY STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINES, ALE AND BEER, committed as follows:

The said

*Calvert Lawlor*

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to-wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, the same being Sunday, certain strong and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, to wit: One gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whiskey, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain strong and spirituous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did offer and expose for sale to one

*Robert B. Wacht*  
and to certain other persons whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

0223

**BOX:**

509

**FOLDER:**

4641

**DESCRIPTION:**

Laws, Bertha

**DATE:**

01/12/93



4641



0224

POOR QUALITY  
ORIGINAL

Witnesses:

Edward Getz

✓ Subpoena  
✓ I appear for 28th  
27th Feb 1893

115

Counsel,

Filed

day of

1893

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

24

217

36th

St. Louis

Bertha Laws  
alias Lola Williams

Forgery in the Second Degree.  
[Sections 511 and 521, Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLI,

District Attorney.

Demuree Diglow  
Jan 12/93

A TRUE BILL.

J. C. Catin  
Part 2 - Jan. 25, 1893.

Healy, Quinn

Pen 2 - MS. RBM

Feb 1, 1893.

0225

POOR QUALITY  
ORIGINAL

Witnesses:

Edward Gitz

Counsel,

Filed

day of

1893

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

24

217

vs. 36

James

I

Bertha Laws

alias John Williams

Forgery in the Second Degree.  
[Sections 511 and 521, Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLI,

District Attorney.

Remuneration  
Jan 12 93

A TRUE BILL.

J. Cathin

Part 2 - Jan. 25, 1893.

Reads guilty

Pen 2 - MS. PBM  
Feb 1, 1893

Foreman.

0226

## COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

-----x  
The People, etc., :

against :

Bertha Laws :

-----x  
To the

Hon. Randolph E. Martine.

This defendant is charged in this Court by four indictments. The first indictment charges her with uttering and forging a note of \$1000. made by one Preston to the order of Domingo L. Ruiz. The facts concerning this note are as follows: Domingo L. Ruiz was indebted to Mrs. Laws in various sums of money for apartments furnished and for his board. At Ruiz' instigation Mrs. Laws fitted out an expensive establishment upon West End Av., furnished the same luxuriously purchased horses and carriages and all the appointments of a well fitted establishment. Ruiz continued to live with Mrs. Laws and Mrs. Laws' husband, but was impecunious and failed to pay for any of his accommodations. He gave several checks to Mrs. Laws, in payment for his board and in payment for rent, which checks were presented to the bank for payment by Mrs. Laws, and returned marked "No funds". Each check was not paid by her, and which are now in her possession. Preston the ostensible maker of this \$1000. note, was a sort of sub-counsel under Ruiz, and located at Boston; he and Ruiz had frequent business transactions; only a short time prior to this note transaction Ruiz being pressed for funds obtained Preston's note for \$3000. and negotiated it. The consul was arrested charged as a co-

0227

defendant with Mrs. Laws, for it appeared on examination that there were two notes of \$1000. each, purporting to have been signed by Preston, that one was negotiated by the defendant, and the other was negotiated by Ruiz himself, and the money paid to him. By some combination of circumstances or influences, Ruiz was not held, but the defendant was. The defendant came into Court pleaded to the indictment 'Not guilty' and urged a speedy trial, which was denied her on account of the pressure of business in the Courts; she was confined in jail for weeks, until finally she was released upon bail in the sum of \$2000. There was and is a perfect defense to this indictment upon its merits. Ruiz did not swear but what he had given the note to the defendant, for the purpose of use. She positively swore that she received this note from Ruiz, and believed that it was a genuine valid note, because she knew of the business relationship existing between Ruiz and Preston; she negotiated this note with one Millock, in order to negotiate this note, she endorsed it and secured its payment by a chattel mortgage upon her property, which was worth the sum of \$5000. and upwards; that said note became due, and forwarded to Boston for payment; payment was refused, and her entire property was sacrificed for the payment of this note, and that the plaintiffs in that action received entire satisfaction, and no one was injured except this defendant. That indictment was never tried we believe for the reason that the District Attorney became satisfied that Mrs. Laws had a perfect defense, and could satisfy a jury that her possession of that note, was a rightful one, in that an ordinary mortal is not inclined to se-

0228

cure forged paper by good and valuable security. A short time thereafter and while that indictment was pending, another indictment was found charging the defendant with petit larceny in that she obtained by means of a check the sum of \$25.00 from Heindel the glove man, or from some one in his employ, a young lady. Mrs. Laws gave a check upon the Second National Bank, purchased two pairs of gloves, and received the difference some \$20. or \$21. in money; this check came back unpaid. When the District Attorney began an investigation into that charge he discovered that Mrs. Laws had an account at the bank upon which this check was drawn, and had deposited during that year thousands of dollars to her credit, and had to her credit at the time some funds; that Mrs. Laws believed that there were more funds to her credit than there actually was, as she had deposited a check which had been marked to her credit, but was subsequently countermanded and payment thereon stopped. Mrs. Laws finding that this check was unpaid and that this young lady was to be injured and held responsible for the loss, used every effort in her power to meet that obligation, and did in fact meet it and payment on that check was made as will appear by the voucher hereto annexed. While Mrs. Laws was under this accusation it appeared to the District Attorney that one Bodega Espanola held a check endorsed by her, which check had been negotiated by her, and payment refused. Mrs. Laws claims to have come in possession of this check legitimately, and as soon as she could pay the same after she was notified that the check was worthless, she sent to the party holding the check and paid it, and took his receipt; this was before any indictment was found; subsequently the District



0229

Attorney presented that case to the Grand Jury, an indictment was found and the defendant brought before Your Honor, and upon the statement of facts as heretofore made, Your Honor did not exact or require any bail; the receipt for that check is hereto annexed, and it will show by its date that it was paid prior to the finding of this indictment. The last indictment charges the defendant with the crime of forgery, in signing the name of one Alma Alexander to a check and endorsing it, and obtaining the sum of \$27.00 thereon. This check was undoubtedly endorsed by Mrs. Laws under the name of Mrs. Williams. After having gained considerable notoriety from the public prints under the name of Bertha Laws, she took the name of Mrs. Williams for the purpose of hiding her identity and to relieve her from possible insult. She hired a little flat, and was endeavoring to get along as best she could; this check of \$27.00 was certainly passed by her. We believe that this indictment should not charge forgery, but should charge larceny, and that that should be the proper form of indictment to meet the facts as they truly existed at the time. The defendant may have had knowledge that there were no funds in the bank to meet this check, at the time it was drawn, but an examination of this check by a lay man without the aid of an expert will satisfy the most critical that this check was not signed by the defendant, that some one else signed it, and that the defendant was merely an instrument used in passing it, and obtaining the money, and if the indictment were found for larceny possibly a conviction might result. Your Honor having wide discretion in inflicting punishment both in cases of larceny and in forgery it would make but little difference whether

0230

little difference whether a plea was entered herein upon an indictment for forgery or that of larceny.

We understand that in this last case, the Japanese Trading Company upon whom this check was passed have received their money, and they have sustained no financial loss. This last indictment is the only one wherein there appears any semblance of guilt, and we have advised that a plea of guilty be entered upon this indictment trusting that your Honor will exercise such clemency as is consistent with your sense of duty. The defendant is a person who has had a varied career; she was born in Sweden of a good family and people of influence and wealth, and whose relatives occupy official positions in that country; she was a woman of independence, energy and activity who came to this country having at the time considerable means of her own, and married. That marriage was a fatal one to her and the experience a sad one. She returned to her native country on a short visit, having obtained then some \$15,000 or \$16,000 which she inherited from her people; upon returning to this country she found that her husband spent all the money left entrusted to him, disposed of her home, and formed an association with another woman. She instituted proceedings and obtained a divorce from him. With the money which she brought with her upon her return from Sweden, she fitted up a nice home and lived in surroundings becomg to her birth education and refinement, until she subsequently married a man by the name of W.W.Laws whose name she now bears and whose certificate of marriage is now in her possession even at this time in the Tombs. Laws was a man who kept race horses and followed the races for a living, whose time was mostly devoted to the track. The defendant through that

0231

association was brought in close connection with race matters and became infatuated with it. Laws was taken seriously ill for the past year the defendant nursed and cared for him as tenderly as a woman is capable of doing, and he was finally removed to his family at St. Louis where he is now totally helpless being paralyzed unable to do anything for himself or for this defendant. The racing mania took such a hold on this defendant that what little means she had saved from her shattered fortune and the jewels and furniture that she had procured almost from childhood were pawned or sold to satisfy this craving mania. The day that this check was passed the defendant was penniless; her clothes and trunks were detained in the boarding house for board, and she had nothing in the world except what was on her person; no clothes, no place to go; no place to sleep and in that state of desperation this means of obtaining money was presented to her which was the only course left to her other than the wages of sin. The bank accounts of the Second and the Sixth National Banks of this City will show that this defendant had to her credit during the past few years thousands of dollars. These same banks will show that every check that she had obtained from Domingo L. Ruiz and which was protested were returned and were subsequently paid by the defendant; as a matter of fact she was not the pensioner upon his bounty, but he was a subject of her munificence and charity. A number of sensational articles have appeared in relation to this woman without one of them being founded in truth. She is a woman of generous instincts, charitable to the extreme and during her confinement in prison the pitiful tales of her unfortunate sisters were

0232

responded to by the division of her limited means. Several charitable women in this City have enlisted their noble efforts in behalf of this defendant, and have stated to the undersigned that if the Court will exercise clemency and mercy to this defendant that they will take her and provide for her until such times as she is able to obtain employment herself. Those who have known this defendant in her more prosperous days have vouched that they would communicate with Your Honor and relate to you the true story of her life and character. The defendant has suffered imprisonment for several weeks upon this charge, and has been subjected to disgrace, and to a woman of her sensitive nature and organization, this punishment is more severe than a long term of imprisonment would be to others. The above seems to us to be a fair statement of the facts connected with this case. No one has suffered by these transactions except this defendant herself, and we believe that Your Honor will exercise that attribute vested in the Court, viz: mercy, and suspend judgment upon this defendant, ~~and we believe that~~ you will never have occasion to regret your action, and that this woman's life will thereby be saved.

Very respectfully yours,

Purdy & McManus  
of Counsel for Dept.

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

The People, etc.,

against

Bertha Lewis

STATEMENT.

Purdy & McManus,  
Defendant's Attorneys,  
116 Centre St., N.Y. City



0234

## COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

-----x  
The People, etc.,

against

Bertie Lave, alias

Lola Williams.  
-----x

To The Honorable Randolph B. Martine,

Presiding Judge.

On or about the 16th day of October, 1892, the defendant was arrested at Jefferson Market Police Court charged with uttering and forging a note for \$1000. purporting to have been made by one Gustave Preston, payable to the order of Domingo L. Ruiz, and endorsed by Ruiz to this defendant. After several adjournments the matter finally came on to be heard before Judge Ryan, and an examination was had which resulted in holding the defendant upon the charge of forgery, in \$1000. bail, and the co-defendant was exonerated and the charge against him dismissed. Bail was procured by us; the defendant at that time paid the bondsman; the bail in that case was first fixed at \$1000., but after the examination the Court increased the amount of bail to the sum of \$2000. which bail we procured. The defendant was subsequently indicted by the Grand Jury charged with this offence. We attended and looked after that case pending its presentation to the Grand Jury, and at the time of defendant's arraignment in Court upon that indictment. Preparation of that case required considerable time and attention; it involved examination in the several transactions between Domingo L. Ruiz and

0235

2

the defendant. A short time after the defendant's release upon bail, she was arrested charged with larceny in obtaining by means of a worthless check the sum of \$25.00 from an employee at Heindel's glove store; the undersigned attended to that case at the Jefferson Market Police Court, and several adjournments were had and the defendant was finally held and subsequently indicted; at this time the bondsman became alarmed, and while the defendant was in custody under this charge of larceny, surrendered her; the undersigned afterwards within a short time outside the courtroom, to secure surety for Mrs. Lass in the sum of \$3000., \$2000. upon the forgery charge, and \$1000. upon the larceny charge; the undersigned paid the bondsman and obtained the release of the defendant, and after her release, the undersigned paid to the complainant in the larceny case the money obtained on that worthless check, viz: \$25.00, which check was presented with other papers in this case to Your Honor as evidence of the payment of the moneys <sup>so</sup> secured. A short time after, another indictment was found charging the defendant with forgery in obtaining \$73.00 from one Rougem, by the means of a forged check; we attended this case at the time of pleading, made a careful preparation of it for trial, which indictment is still pending. The Court upon presentation of the facts did not require any new security, in as much as the defendant was then under bail in the sum of \$3000. The defendant was allowed her liberty until she was arrested upon the present charge. In the mean time, another check in the sum of \$23.00 was presented by one Mrs. Vilas no indictment was obtained on that check, and the undersigned

0236

3

paid the same and we hold a receipt for payment. When this last charge was presented and an indictment found, we advised the defendant after examination into the facts that she could not <sup>be</sup> successfully defended, and that the only course for her to pursue was to plead guilty and trust to the clemency of the Court; we at no time stated to her that we had received a direct or indirect promise from Junete Martine that in the event of her pleading guilty, sentence would be suspended; after Mrs. Laws' release we advanced to her about \$50. and paid the Reimold check of \$25. and paid the Vilas check of \$23. and paid the bondman as before stated. After Mrs. Laws' release upon the first charge she gave us a check for part payment of services in the sum of \$100. upon the Second National Bank, which check was returned unpaid; certain articles of personal property ~~were~~ turned over to us by Mrs. Laws in consideration of the services that we had rendered for her and the moneys advanced for and in behalf of this defendant. <sup>These</sup> Certain articles, to wit, pawn tickets were given to us by written assignment now on file in the Property Clerk's office. On investigation we discovered there was but little or no equity in most of those tickets, and stated to Mrs. Laws' ~~by her~~ representative that we did not care for them, and would return them to her. All the papers, mortgages, bonds and checks that came into our hands were turned over to her representative Mr. Townsend; Our position towards Domingo L. Ruiz, with whom we are unacquainted, has been since the beginning of this investigation antagonistic. We opposed him upon the examination, and endeavored to show that he had delivered this note of \$1000. to Mrs. Laws, and en-

deavored to cast the entire responsibility upon him. The Court thought otherwise at that examination and held Mrs. Laws, since which time, we have not had the slightest connection with Domingo L. Ruiz, and in view of the attitude we assumed, he could not feel very friendly towards us. The property we received, does not compensate us for the time, labor, and services devoted to this defendant, independent of the moneys we have advanced in her behalf. Since this application has been pending, Mrs. Laws sent for Mr. McManus by one Armandes Jones Foster, and requested him again to interest himself in her behalf, and stated to him that she did not understand that she swore that Mr. McManus had received any pledge or guarantee from the Court that sentence would be suspended, and Mr. McManus refused to further interest himself in her defense unless she would inform the Court that no such statement was made to her, which she promised to do. Annexed hereto is a letter which was received from the said Foster who makes one of the affidavits upon this motion; it speaks for itself. In reference to the articles turned over to us, their value was greatly overestimated; we should cheerfully give them up upon the payment to us of \$400., with the discounts that we have actually made. We at all times acted honestly and conscientiously, and believed and do still believe that the result obtained in this case, and the advice given, was the best that possibly could be given to the defendant under the circumstances.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

*Wm. J. McManus*

0238

City and County :  
:ss:  
of New York :

P.A. McManis being duly sworn says that he has read  
the foregoing statement, and that the same is true.

Subscribed and sworn to :  
before me this 28th day :  
of February, 1893. :

*P.A. McManis*

*Reginald L. Durant*

Commissioner of Deeds  
in and for the City and  
County of New York

0239

MUS...

PUBLISHED SATURDAYS.

PROPRIETORS.

ARDENNES JONES-FOSTER, Editor.  
WILLIS D. SHAFER, Associate.  
ROBERT U. GRAFF, JR., Manager.

OFFICE: 1432, BROADWAY.

New York, Tuesday, 1898.

Dear Mr. McManus.

I am going to ask a favour that I perhaps have no right to ask. Still, when I have an object in view, I never give up until I win, if such a thing lies within human power.

We all make mistakes. Mrs. L. did — a very bad, serious mistake — when she changed her counsel. She discarded your services, after you had done all in your power for her, & took up with a d-d blackguard and liar. (He is the one who swears that you started that report about me.) But now that he sees that he cannot get anything out of her, he declares that he will give her up. He may hand the papers to the judge. No more. And here is where I am going to make the request that perhaps I have no right to ask. I thoroughly believe in you as an honourable gentleman and capable attorney and I ask that you again take up Mrs. L.'s case. She took her action as much upon the advice of cacklers as upon her own judgement and, of course, being in that position where she was obliged to grasp at straws, she caught hold of the one that finally broke the camel's back of Hope.

I am not asking this favour at her request. In fact, she does not know that I am asking it. I did talk it over, told her that you had only the kindest heart for her and that you stood ready to



0240

lend a hand + she finally said that she wished you  
might join that fellow in asking the Judge for a  
new trial, provided he went on with the case. But she  
won't. ~~But~~ ~~He~~ is out of it + I therefore act upon my own ideas.

Now to man I ask if you will work for an  
appeal + a pardon + I will pay you \$200, if  
you will agree to give me time, as I am  
just starting in business — but my prospects are  
bright + I shall never neglect to show you favours.

It is a pity to see a woman go down +  
I want to see her free, that she may go back  
to Sweden + Just put yourself in my place + let me take yours,  
for a moment + then ask yourself if my request  
is unjust +

Most sincerely,  
I shall be in my office all day tomorrow (Wednesday).

1420

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

The People, etc.,

against

BERTHA LAWS

STATEMENT.

*Wm. H. McLaughlin*

0242

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

City and County of New York.

-----x  
The People, etc.,  
:

against  
:

Bertha Laws  
:  
-----x

The defendant, Bertha Laws, above named demurs to the indictment presented to the last Grand Jury on the <sup>14<sup>th</sup></sup> day of ~~December~~ <sup>Jan</sup>, 1893, charging her with the crime of forgery in the 2nd degree on the following ground: That the indictment charges two distinct and separate crimes alleged to have been committed by different means and acts, and that the same cannot be properly joined in one indictment, ~~two distinct and separate felonies.~~

WHEREFORE this defendant asks the judgment of the court that she be dismissed and discharged from the said premises specified in the said indictment.

Dated, New York, January <sup>13<sup>th</sup></sup> 1893.

Purdy & McManus,

Defendant's Attorneys

0243

N. Y. COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

The People, etc.,

against

Bertina Laws

DE MURDER

Purdy & McManus,  
Defendant's Attorneys  
280 Broadway, N. Y. City

*Alfred J. Purdy*  
12/23

0244

## FROM THE TOMBS TO A NUNNERY.

Mrs. Bertha Laus is to Be Given an Opportunity to Reform and Repent.

Mrs. Bertha Laus is about to become a nun.

This is the latest story regarding the woman recently made notorious in connection with Rodrigo Ruiz, ex-Consul General from Uruguay. She is now confined in the Tombs charged with uttering a forged check on Jan. 4, upon the Japanese Trading Company of 20 East 13th street, and pawning a \$75 gold ring, the property of Mrs. Keefe, a dressmaker at 16 East 13th street.

This is the third time the woman has been arraigned, and her horoscope has gradually grown darker.

Recently, however, the Rev. Dr. Houghton of the Little Church Around the Corner has taken an interest in her, as has Mrs. Ballou, superintendent of the Ceremorne Mission in West 32d street, and a Mrs. Murray, a missionary in West 29th street. They all believed that force of circumstances caused the downfall of the imprisoned woman, and that ultimate incarceration would ruin her.

They therefore have moved together to have the indictment against her quashed. The dressmaker has withdrawn all charges against her, and the influence of the Protestant Episcopal Sisterhood is being used to have the erring one given into their charge by the Court. Their effort have the tacit consent of the Judges, and Mrs. Laus will be taken to one of the institutions, where she will become Sister Bertha.

She is fully in accord with the efforts in her behalf. She is morbidly penitent, and she will welcome the shelter of the church with open arms. Once in the fold she will become practically a Sister of Mercy, will nurse the sick, carry aid to the poor and devote herself to the ministrations with which the Sisterhood is so grandly identified.

Her relatives in Sweden have been notified of her plans by the lady missionaries, and are said to be in full accord with them and bid her God speed in her new mode of life.



Fol. 1

## COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS,

NEW YORK COUNTY.

-----x  
The People of the State of  
New York,

Plaintiff,

against

Bertha Laws alias Lola Williams,

Defendant.  
-----x

On the annexed affidavits let the District Attorney show cause before me on the 9th day of February, 1893, at 11 o'clock A.M. or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard why the judgment of conviction rendered and entered herein and the sentence passed thereupon on the 1st day of February, 1893, should not be opened and set aside; and why the defendant should not be permitted to withdraw her plea of guilty entered herein on the 25th day of January, 1893, and be permitted to substitute therefor a plea of not guilty and why the defendant should not be admitted to bail; and why she should not receive such further or other relief as to the Court may seem proper. *Let copy of this application be served on Messrs Purdy & McManus.*

And in the meantime, and until the hearing and decision of the above motion, let all proceedings to enforce the said judgment be, and the same hereby are, stayed.

Dated, New York, Feb. 8, 1893.

*Randolph B. Martin*  
*Feb 8 1893*



COPIES OF GENERAL SESSIONS

F01.1

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS,

NEW YORK COUNTY.

The People of the State of New York, Plaintiff,

against

Bertha Laws, alias Lola Williams,  
Defendant.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss:

Bertha Laws, being duly sworn deposes and says: I am the defendant in the above-entitled cause. I was arrested herein on the 4th day of January, 1893 charged with having forged and uttered a check on the Fifth Avenue National Bank in the City of New York for the sum of \$27. I retained for my defence the law firm of Purdy & McManus of 115 Center St., New York City. To Mr. Patrick McManus of the said firm who has personally acted for me herein I have always asserted my entire innocence of the charges herein, and I now say and assert that I am entirely innocent thereof. On the 25th day of January, 1893, I pleaded guilty, whereupon I was on the 1st day of February, 1893 sentenced by the Hon. Randolph B. Martine, one of the judges of the above Court, to two years imprisonment.

AND DEPONENT FURTHER SAYS: I so pleaded guilty contrary to the facts and against my own judgment only after being long and urgently advised and solicited thereto by my counsel, the said Patrick MoManus, and in consenting to so plead I relied upon his statement made to me at the City Prison

0247

NEW YORK  
 DISTRICT  
 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF  
 NEW YORK COMPLAINANT  
 v.  
 CONNELL OF CEMENTS DEFENDANT

"4

in the City of New York on the 24th day of January, 1893, that he had on the day previous to wit, the 23d day of January, 1893, after a full statement of my case in a long private conversation obtained from the Hon. Randolph B. Martine, the judge of the above Court before whom this cause was to come up for trial, a distinct promise on the part of the said judge that if I should plead guilty my sentence would be suspended.

"5

AND DEPONENT FURTHER SAYS: I have this day for the first <sup>time</sup> learned from my now attorney, John D. Townsend, Esq., 49 Chambers St., New York City, that my former attorneys herein, the said firm of Purdy & McManus, filed with the above Court on or about the 25th day of January 1893 a written statement wherein they admitted the guilt of the deponent herein and set forth certain matters for the alleged purpose of extenuation. But that such admissions and statements were wholly without my knowledge, consent or authority and were absolutely without any foundation in truth whatsoever.

"6

AND DEPONENT FURTHER SAYS: Heretofore to wit on or about the 24th day of January, 1893, after I had decided to plead guilty as above set forth I wrote and transmitted to the Hon. Randolph B. Martine above mentioned, a private letter, asking for his clemency herein. But the said letter did not contain any admission of my guilt herein although my counsel the said Patrick McManus earnestly urged, requested and many times sought to induce me to state and admit in the said letter that I was guilty of the charges herein.

Sworn to before me, this :  
 7th day of February, 1893.

*Bertha Laws*  
*Albert Martine*  
*Couns of Deeds nplcity &c.*

Relative to the issue of the above could be made from the same  
 Blaise conclusion observed from the Hon. Member B.  
 January 1882, after a long statement of the case in a long  
 paper he put on the case Blaise to Mr. the Sec Gen of  
 to the Sec of War and of the Sec Gen of

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS,

The People of the State of  
New York,  
Plaintiff,  
against

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss:

Upon at least three occasions Mr. McManus informed me that he had personally seen Judge Martine and laid the matter before him, together with all of the circumstances in the case. Mr. McManus told me that Judge Martine had expressed great sympathy and deep interest in the case and has remarked in Mrs. Laws behalf: "I am sorry, very sorry."

Continued in Mrs. Laws behalf: "I am sorry, very sorry."

0249

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF

NEW YORK COUNTY

Part I CONFER OF CEMETERY SESSIONS

4

Mr. McManus told me that he had advised Mrs. Laws to  
plead guilty after his conversation with Judge Martine.

Sworn to before me, this  
7 day of February, 1893.

A. J. Foster

Albert Martine  
Comm. of Deeds  
my City & Co

0250

OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN SENATE JANUARY 1893

IN SENATE JANUARY 1893

Supreme Court of General Sessions of the Peace  
The People of the State of  
New York

Plaintiff

against

Bertha Laws alias Kola  
Williams

Defendant

Affidavit of Service.

City and County of New York, s.s.:

Patrick H. Loftus being duly sworn, says  
that he is over the age of 21 years, and that on the 8 day of  
February 1893, he served the annexed affidavit  
in this action on Messrs. Purdy & McManus  
the attorneys therein named, at his office, No. 116 Centre  
Street in the City of New York, by delivering to and leaving with  
a person in charge of said Attorney's office a true copy of  
attorney's order of them thereof during the absence of said  
attorney from his said office.

Sworn to before me this 24  
day of February 1893

Patrick H. Loftus  
Notary Public  
County of New York

against

Plaintiff

Affidavit of Service of Summons and  
Complaint.

Defendant

City and County of New York, s.s.:

being duly sworn, says,  
that he is over the age of twenty-one years, and that on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of  
189  , at \_\_\_\_\_

he served the annexed summons, together with  
the complaint therein mentioned \_\_\_\_\_ which is also hereunto annexed, on \_\_\_\_\_

defendant in this action, by delivering a copy of the same to \_\_\_\_\_

such defendant  
personally, and leaving the same with \_\_\_\_\_ He further says, that he knew the person  
served as aforesaid to be the person mentioned and described in the said summons as \_\_\_\_\_  
defendant in this action.

Sworn to before me this \_\_\_\_\_  
day of \_\_\_\_\_ 189

0251

General Sessions Court.

The People of the State  
of New York Plaintiff

against  
Bertha Laws alias  
Lola Williams Defendant

Order to show Cause

I appear not why  
judgment should not  
be set aside with

stay of proceedings  
and affidavits of service  
JOHN D. TOWNSEND,

Attorney for  
Defendant  
9 and 31 Chambers Street,  
NEW YORK.

service of a copy of the within  
order to show cause  
is hereby admitted.

New York, Feb 8 1893

per Court of Sessions  
C. G. Foxworth, Clerk S.S., N. Y.

Plaintiff

Defendant

Sir:  
Please take notice that a  
of which the within is a copy, was this day  
duly entered in this action, in the office of the  
Clerk of this Court.

Dated, New York, 189

Yours, etc.,  
JOHN D. TOWNSEND,  
Attorney for  
49 Chambers Street,  
New York City.

Attorney for



Fol. 1 COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS,  
NEW YORK COUNTY.

-----x  
The People of the State of :  
New York. :  
Plaintiff, :  
 :  
against :  
 :  
Bertha Laws, alias Lola Will- :  
iams, :  
Defendant. :  
-----x

DEFENDANT'S BRIEF ON MOTION.

Point I.

2 The defendant having pleaded guilty to a crime of which  
she was innocent under an assurance from her counsel that  
the Court had promised a suspension of her sentence it is  
proper that she be now allowed to withdraw that plea.

Sanders vs State 4 Crim. Law Mag. 359.

People vs Joyce 4 N.Y. Crim. Rep. 341.

3 In both of the above cases the exact question decided  
was whether an appeal would lie from a decision of the trial  
Court refusing permission to withdraw pleas of guilty. The  
question was affirmatively decided in each case. More di-  
rectly to the purpose of this motion, however, is the langu-  
ge of the decisions regarding motions of this kind. In  
Slater vs The State, supra, the Court says on this subject:  
"There are strong reasons in support of the appellant's prayer  
It is almost a mockery to call that a trial, at a judicial  
hearing, which condemns an accused upon a plea of guilty x  
x x interposed because he believed that to proceed with a  
trial upon a plea of not guilty would result in the hanging  
by lawless men. A man who makes a promissory note because  
of fear is entitled to relief; and a man who executes a deed

"4 under duress is entitled to judicial assistance; a will executed under fear falls before the law. These are small things when compared with life and liberty, yet in the eyes of the law they are ~~xxx~~ null. If such things are null when procured by fear or excited by violence should not the plea be so when made<sup>d</sup> under similar circumstances.

In the case at bar there is no question of life or death involved but it is plain that the influence exerted over the defendant must at least have operated as a fraud upon her and that the reasoning of the Court above must apply to this case.

"5 In the People vs Joyce, supra, the Court was divided on the right of appeal. The learned Judge Daniels while denying the right of appeal from a decision upon a motion of this character used this language appropo of motions of this sort: "It has not been deemed necessary for the protection of persons accused of crime that the appellate or supervisory power of this Court should be so far extended as to include the review of a determination of this decision. Commonly they are regarded with great ~~liberality~~ by the Court to which the application is made. Where a person guilty of crime may ~~inadvertently~~ or <sup>un</sup>advisedly plead guilty of an indictment and afterwards apply for the privilege to withdraw that plea and plead not guilty the leave is commonly granted as it should be, in the liberal spirit and exercise of the authority conferred over criminal cases upon the Court."

"6

Thus it will be seen that even where the right of appeal in these matters is questioned it has been deemed pro-

0254

"7 per to clearly point out and emphasize the duty of the Trial Judge to grant such applications almost as a matter of course.

*John D. Townsend*  
*Depts atty*  
*49 Chambers st*  
*new york*

N. Y. General Sessions Court.

The People of the  
State of New York  
Plaintiff

against  
Bertha Laws, alias  
Rola Williams  
Defendant

Brief for Defendant  
in motion to vacate  
Judgment of conviction

JOHN D. TOWNSEND,  
Attorney for Defendant  
49 and 51 Chambers Street,  
NEW YORK.

Due service of a copy of the within

is hereby admitted.

New York.....189

C. G. Burgoyne, Walker and Centre Sts., N. Y.

0255

0256

Fol .1

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS,  
NEW YORK COUNTY.-----X  
The People of the State of :  
New York. :  
Plaintiff :  
: against :  
: :  
Bertha Laws, alias Lola Will- :  
iams, :  
Defendant. :  
-----X

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss:

all

BERTHA LAWS, being duly sworn, deposes and says: I am the defendant herein. On the <sup>16<sup>th</sup></sup> ~~18<sup>th</sup>~~ day of October, 1892, I was arrested in the City of New York charged with forging and uttering a note for the sum of \$1,000, purporting to have been made by one Gustave Preston, payable to the order of one Domingo L. Ruiz. The note in question had previously been indorsed and delivered to me, for a valuable consideration, by the said Ruiz, who was also about the same time arrested on a similar charge regarding the same and a similar note. I was and am entirely innocent of any wrongdoing whatsoever in the matter, but I was held on the charge and the said Ruiz was discharged. Subsequently I retained for my defense the law firm of Purdy & McManus, 116 Center St., New York City, ~~My~~ My agreement as to fees with the said firm, made with Mr. Patrick McManus, who personally represented me in the matter, was as follows, to wit: I was to pay for my complete defense on the criminal charge, and for further complete services in <sup>a</sup> proposed action for damages against the said Ruiz, the sum of \$300. Of this sum I paid \$50 in cash and assigned to the said McManus as security for the balance a number of pawn tickets for certain articles, a list whereof together with the values thereof, the advances

•2

•3

•4

thereon and the equities therein is as follows:

Articles	Values	Advances	Equities
One diamond ring	\$500	\$200	\$300
Two rings and pin	750	175	575
Watch chain and pin	175	55	120
One fur coat and glass	150	26	124
One lady's coat	150	25	125
Diamond earrings	250	100	150
Watch and chain	100	30	70
Diamond locket	70	10	60
	\$ 2145	\$ 621	\$ 1524

From this equity of \$1,524 should be deducted interest on the advances by the average not to exceed \$75 which would leave a clear value of not less than \$1,450 making, with the \$50 cash, at least \$1,200, in cash and security, by me given to the said McManus over and above the agreed price of the services promised.

•5

AND DEPONENT FURTHER SAYS: On or about the 6th day of November, 1892, I was indicted on a charge of passing a worthless <sup>check</sup> for the sum of \$73 and Mr. Purdy of the said firm appeared with me at the Court of General Sessions where I pleaded not guilty. I was parolled on this charge.

On or about the 27th day of November 1892, I was arrested on a charge of passing another ~~wash~~ worthless check for the sum of \$25. The said McManus appeared for me in the Police Court and I was held on the charge and committed to the City Prison, whereupon my bondsman, to whom I had paid \$100 in the first matter, surrendered me. On this charge I was indicted and pleaded not guilty. After some delay and negotiation the said bondsman consented, for a consideration of \$50, to again become my bail, but demanded, so I was by the said McManus informed, some security. I thereupon placed in Mr. McManus' hands certain property for that purpose and for the further purpose of securing or paying a check

•6



#7

for \$100 which I had previously given Mr. McManus to hold pending payment of services rendered on my second arrest. A description and the value of the said property so given to Mr. McManus is as follows:

Articles	Values
Earrings	\$ 275
Lady's Pin	285
Gentleman's Pin	115
Watch and chain	100
Locket	<u>150</u>
Totals - - - - -	\$925

#8

On the fourth day of January, 1893, I was again arrested for passing another worthless check for the sum of \$27. This is the charge on which I have been indicted and sentenced herein. On my arrest as last stated my said bondsman again surrendered me for which reason I am entitled to receive from Mr. McManus the above last mentioned articles after he shall have deducted therefrom payment of the \$100 due him as above stated. I have caused demand to be made on Mr. McManus for the return of this property on that condition, but he has absolutely refused to make any return or restitution whatsoever.

#9

AND DEPONENT FURTHER SAYS: Between the 21st and 28th days of January, 1893, I placed in the hands of the said McManus for safe keeping or for sale for my account as might seem best the following articles to wit:

Articles	Values
Pawn ticket for music box, cut glass f	\$400
field glasses	100
Two large rugs	50
Six Pairs lace curtains	75
Cut glass vases and bowl	50
Two painted panels	35
One feather boa	25
Six Dresden plates	25
Lady's skirts fancy boxes &c.	<u>150</u>
Pawn ticket for silverware	\$910
Total	

"10

Being in great need of funds I have recently demanded of Mr. McManus the return of all these articles, but he has refused and refuses to return or account for the same and claims the same and all else above enumerated for his services to me as above stated, although I have largely overpaid him for the same and have retained another attorney herein. And I further say that the value of my property so wrongfully withheld by the said McManus over and above my just indebtedness to him is the sum of \$3,000.

AND DEBONENT FURTHER SAYS: At the time of my first arrest as above stated I placed in the hands of the said McManus a large number of private papers. These papers included certain checks previously drawn in my favor by the Domingo Ruiz before mentioned and also two drafts on London endorsed and delivered to me by the said Ruiz all for valuable considerations, and also a chattel mortgage for \$2500 by me executed to secure the said drafts when I afterwards negotiated the same. These checks and drafts were all dishonored by the said Ruiz and all of them were afterwards paid by me and the said mortgage cancelled. Then said McManus had recently returned to me some of the said papers, but he has not returned and he refuses to return the said dishonored checks, drafts and the said mortgages. And since the said dishonored checks, drafts and the said mortgage would be strong evidence of criminality on the part of said Ruiz and also very useful to me as evidence of my innocence in my present position, I verily say and believe that the said Patrick McManus, acting in the interest of the said Ruiz has so withheld the said papers for the purpose of protecty

\*13 ing the said Ruiz at my disadvantage.

And I further say and believe from the facts above ~~stated~~ set forth that the action of the said McManus in bringing about my sentence as set forth in my affidavit herein made on the 7th day of February, 1893, was dictated by the purpose of serving the said Ruiz and by the further purpose of enabling the said McManus to retain for his own benefit all of the property heretofore described, a part of which, to wit the ~~xx~~ rugs, fur coat and locket, he is as I am informed and believe, using in his office or wearing upon his person, contrary to the agreement under which he received possession of the same.

\*14

Sworn to before me, this :  
9th day of February, 1893. :

*Bertha Laws*

*Albert Martin*  
*Court of Deeds*  
*City & Co*

0261

N.Y. General Sessions Court

The People of the State  
of New York

Plaintiff

against

Bertha Laws alias Lola  
Williams

Defendant

Affidavit of Service.

City and County of New York, s.s.:

Albert Martinez being duly sworn, says  
that he is over the age of 21 years, and that on the 27 day of  
February 1893, he served the annexed affidavit of Bertha Laws  
the defendant in this action on Mrs. R. W. & M. Williams  
the attorneys therein named, at their office, No. 116 Centre  
Street in the City of New York, by delivering to and leaving with  
a person in charge of said Attorney's office a true copy thereof during the absence of said  
attorney & one of them from his said office.

Sworn to before me this 28  
day of February 1893 }

Albert Martinez

Patrick W. Loftus  
Corn & Seeds Co  
City & Co

against

Plaintiff

Affidavit of Service of Summons and  
Complaint.

Defendant

City and County of New York, s.s.:

being duly sworn, says,  
that he is over the age of twenty-one years, and that on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of  
\_\_\_\_\_, 189  , at \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ he served the annexed summons, together with  
the complaint therein mentioned \_\_\_\_\_ which is also hereunto annexed, on \_\_\_\_\_

defendant in this action, by delivering a copy of the same to \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ such defendant  
personally, and leaving the same with \_\_\_\_\_ He further says, that he knew the person  
served as aforesaid to be the person mentioned and described in the said summons as \_\_\_\_\_  
defendant in this action.

Sworn to before me this \_\_\_\_\_  
day of \_\_\_\_\_ 189   }

0262

N. Y. General Sessions Court.

The People of the State  
of New York, Plaintiff,  
against

Bertha Laws, alias  
Lola Williams, Defendant.

Affidavit  
and affidavit of service  
of process  
made by  
myself  
on  
Feb 27, 1893

JOHN D. TOWNSEND,  
Attorney for Defendant.  
49 and 51 Chambers Street,  
NEW YORK.

service of a copy of the within  
affidavit  
is hereby admitted.

New York, Feb 27 1893  
W E Lacey Nicoll  
Dist. Albany  
per Edward Hynes  
clerk

C. G. Burgoyne, Walker and Centre Sts., N. Y.

Plaintiff

vs.

Defendant

Sir:

Please take notice that a  
of which the within is a copy, was this day  
duly entered in this action, in the office of the  
Clerk of this Court.

Please take notice that the defendant  
heretofore has qualified the statement  
in this affidavit which are under-  
scored by stating that such articles  
heretofore mentioned have been returned  
myself Feb 27 1893 John D. Townsend  
Att. for Def.

Dated, New York, 189

Yours, etc.,

JOHN D. TOWNSEND,

Attorney for

49 Chambers Street,  
NEW YORK CITY.

To

Attorney for

Court of General Sessions.

- - - - -X

People :  
v. :  
Laws :

- - - - -X

Copy of a letter <sup>delivered</sup> ~~mailed~~ to Patrick A. McManus,  
formerly attorney for defendant herein, by John D. Townsend  
attorney for the defendant herein, February, 27, 1893.

I herewith send you a copy of the affidavit of  
Bertha Laws, made Feb. 9th, 1893. When I presented it  
to the Court to-day, I said that some part of the goods men-  
tioned in it had been returned. My clerk who attended to  
the matter mostly, has marked in red ink what he under-  
stands has been returned since the affidavit was made.

Yours truly,

John D. Townsend.

0264

N. Y. General Sessions Court.

The People of the  
State of New York

against

Bertha Raws alias  
Lola Williams

Copy of Letter sent  
to Patricia McMinis

JOHN D. TOWNSEND,  
Attorney for Defendant  
49 and 51 Chambers Street,  
NEW YORK.

Due service of a copy of the within

is hereby admitted.

New York.....189

C. G. Burgoyne, Walker and Centre Sts., N. Y.



0265

*183*  
No. *183* -

New York, *Dec 23* 189*2*

THE FIFTH AVENUE BANK  
OF NEW YORK  
THROUGH THE NEW YORK CLEARING-HOUSE ASSOCIATION

Pay to *Miss Lela Williams* or Order,  
*Twenty Seven* Dollars.

*\$ 27-* *Mrs. Almar Alband*

Fifth Avenue, cor. 44th Street.

0266

George L. Williams

John F. Williams

Marcus S. Furbush

BK Metropolis



AMBROSE H. PURDY.  
P. A. McMANUS.  
ABRAHAM D. LEVY.

*Law Offices of*  
*Purdy & McManus,*  
*116 Centre Street.*

*New York*.....February 28,.....189 3

Hon. Randolph S. Martine,

Dear Sir-

We overlooked the fact in the within statement that immediately upon conviction, and after sentence we filed a notice of appeal served upon the Clerk of this Court and the District Attorney; we hoped thereby to bring up for review this judgment.

A demurrer was interposed to this indictment, which we believed was a good demurrer, as we followed the case of the People vs. Tower recently reported in the Court of Appeals decisions. The demurrer was overruled by Recorder Smythe. If that demurrer was good in law, no conviction could be had upon this indictment. We simply mention this fact, to show to Your Honor that we left nothing undone that might possibly inure to the defendant's benefit; we were then superseded by other counsel, and relieved from the necessity of prosecuting this appeal.

Very respectfully yours,

*Purdy & McManus,*

0268

Jan. 29<sup>th</sup> 1893.

Hon. Judge. Hartine.

Honorable Sir -

Please pardon me for sending  
this letter, but I feel like  
that if I explain to you  
my entire case you will  
show mercy upon me.

In my heart I never was  
a bad woman, and force of  
circumstances has brought  
me to do what was wrong.  
I know that I ought to have  
done what was right, but  
if you will, please excuse  
me for this time, I will

promise to make myself  
 worthy of your invitation.  
 I intend to pass my life  
 with the Sisters for they  
 have been kind enough  
 to take an interest in me.  
 I have repented and  
 renewed, dropping all my  
 sins, and I feel that the  
 time has come when  
 I can work at my  
 vocation. I feel that I  
 am that way, and I hope  
 to be in the future.  
 Hoping that you will  
 accept of my devotion  
 and be more faithful to me.  
 Dear Sir - most truly yours

0270

Police Court— District.

1012

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County }  
of New York, } ss.

of No. 11-20822 East 12th Street, aged 35 years.

occupation—Salesman being duly sworn,

deposes and says, that on the 22 day of December 189 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in due time, the following property, viz:

One Gold and Silver and  
Silver Money of the United States  
of the American Bank Value Eighteen  
Dollars and together with the value of  
Twenty seven dollars.

the property of Japanese Trading Company in  
New York and consisting of the same.

and that this deponent  
has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen  
and carried away by—Mita Williams

and did, with intent to  
defraud, by color of a check  
for the payment of Money and delivery of  
property taken the defendant, forced  
the maker of, drawer of, and payee of, entitled  
to draw on the drawer for the sum of  
Money specified therein in violation of  
Section 520 of the Penal Code of the  
State of New York  
for the reasons following to wit:  
The defendant came to deponent's place  
of business and stated that she desired  
to purchase the above described Gold  
and Silver and agreed to pay five dollars

Sworn to before me, this

189

Police Justice.



for said calendar that the defendant  
 gave Alperman the Unrecovered check in  
 payment for said calendar and stated to  
 Alperman that said check was  
 good and Alperman believing said check  
 was good gave the defendant the  
 sum of Eighty Dollars per mail  
 further says that he was informed at  
 Fifth Avenue Bank that the said Unrecovered  
 check was not good as the Mayor  
 there had no account or never had  
 any account in said bank.  
 Alperman Alperman says that  
 said defendant may be apprehended  
 and dealt with according to law  
 from before me this

20th day of December

Edward G. Galt

H. J. Galt

Police Officer

550

3

0272

Sec. 198-200.

2 District Police Court. 1882

City and County of New York, ss:

being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to a charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him, if he see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer.

*Defendant refused to answer any question.*

Taken before me this

day of 1883

Police Justice.

0273

Sec. 151.

Police Court 2 District.CITY AND COUNTY }  
OF NEW YORK, } ss.In the name of the People of the State of New York; To the Sheriff of the  
County of New York, or any Marshal or Policeman of the City of New York:

Whereas, Complaint in writing, and upon oath, has been made before the undersigned, one of  
the Police Justices for the City of New York, by Edward Gutz  
of No. 18-20422 East 18 Street, that on the 17 day of June  
1897 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, the following article, to wit:

One Check for One Hundred and Twenty  
Money of the United States  
of the value of Twenty Seven Dollars,  
the property of Chas. E. and Carolyn G. Gutz  
w. Chas. E. Gutz taken, stolen and carried away, and as the said Complainant has cause to suspect, and does  
suspect and believe, by Lola Williams

Wherefore, the said Complainant has prayed that the said Defendant may be apprehended  
and bound to answer the said complaint.

These are Therefore, in the name of the PEOPLE of the State of New York, to command  
you the said Sheriff, Marshals and Policemen, and every of you, to apprehend the bod of of the  
said Defendant and forthwith bring him before me, at the DISTRICT POLICE  
COURT, in the said City, or in case of my absence or inability to act, before the nearest or most  
accessible Police Justice in this City, to answer the said charge, and to be dealt with according to law.

Dated at the City of New York, this 17 day of June 1897

A. J. White  
POLICE JUSTICE.

0274

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

Offender  
guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Ten Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, Jan 14 189 John M. Ryan Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named  
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, \_\_\_\_\_ 189 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named  
guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, \_\_\_\_\_ 189 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.

0275

Police Court--- District. 31

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Edward Gibson  
Lola Williams

Forgery

BAILED,

No. 1, by

Residence Street.

No. 2, by

Residence Street.

No. 3, by

Residence Street.

No. 4, by

Residence Street.

2  
3  
4

Dated, Jan 4 189

Magistrate.  
Price & Montgomery Officer.

Precinct.

Witnesses

No. Street.

No. 265 Street.

John Stephenson

No. 20 x 22 E. 18th Street.

\$ 1000 to answer

Court of General Sessions of the  
Peace in and for the City & County of New York

The People of the State of  
New York

Plaintiff

against  
Bertha Laws alias Lola Williams  
Defendant

To Messrs Purdy & McManus,  
Attorneys &c  
Gentlemen.

Please take notice that the motion  
made in the above action on behalf of the above  
named defendant to reopen <sup>and set aside</sup> the judgment of  
conviction rendered against said defendant herein  
was this day regularly adjourned to the  
27 day of February, 1893, at 11 o'clock AM  
before Hon Randolph B Martine one of the  
Justices of this Court in Part III thereof.  
Dated New York. February. 24 1893

Yours etc.  
John D. Lawrence  
Atty for Defendant  
49 Chambers St  
nyc.



0277

N. Y. General Sessions Court.  
  
The People of the  
State of New York  
Plaintiff  
against  
Bertha Raws alias  
Rola Williams  
Defendant

*Notice of  
Adjournment.*

JOHN D. TOWNSEND,  
Attorney for Defendant.  
49 and 51 Chambers Street,  
NEW YORK.

*Due service of a copy of the within  
notice of adjournment  
is hereby admitted.*  
*New York, Feb'y 24<sup>th</sup> 1893*

*Attest,*  
C. G. Burgoyne, Walker and Centre Sts., N. Y.

Plaintiff  
vs.  
Defendant

*Sir:*  
*Please take notice that a  
copy of which the within is a copy, was this day  
duly entered in this action, in the office of the  
Clerk of this Court.*

Dated, New York, 189  
Yours, etc.,  
JOHN D. TOWNSEND,  
Attorney for  
49 Chambers Street,  
New York City.

*To*  
*Attorney for*

0278

No. Randolph B. Mackinac -  
addressed

0279

348 N. 20th st  
Jan 21<sup>st</sup>

Hon Randolph B. Martin.

Dear Sir

Extenuating circumstances in  
a case about to be called for trial  
having recently come to my knowledge;  
it devolves upon me to request the  
favor of an interview with you however  
that I may call your attention to  
them. May I take the liberty of  
calling at your office on Monday  
after the Court business of the day is  
disposed of?

Respectfully yours

Amey F. Murray

Writer for the Guild of St Elizabeth

0280

Aug. J. Murray  
Jan 21, 1892  
People vs Bertha Laws.

0281

*Mrs. Beckman de Poplar,  
Commissioner of the N.Y. Board of Charities.*

*465 West 23rd Street.*

0282

STATE OF NEW YORK.

COMMISSIONER OF THE STATE BOARD OF CHARITIES.

465 W. 23d Street, New York,

February 1<sup>st</sup> 1893

Judge Martine

Dear Sir

May I ask as  
a great favor that you  
will suspend sentence  
to day in the case  
of Bertha Laws. Mrs  
Murray has interested her-  
self in this case, and



as she is going, I  
 will be in court to take  
 Bertha to the Midnight  
 Mission. When under the  
 gentle influence of the  
 Sisters she will, I am  
 quite sure be a better  
 woman.

To George W. Houghton  
 Chaplain of the Midnight  
 Mission. As I understand  
 mention you in regard to  
 the case, I feel free

0284

all I can learn that Beulah is more  
unfortunate than criminal

Hoping that you may see  
the case as ~~the~~ friends that are trying  
to help her do.

I am Most Respectfully Yours  
Jimmie G. de Peyster  
Commissioner

0285

THE STATE BOARD OF CHARITIES,  
465 W. 23D ST., NEW YORK.

Judge Martine  
Court of General Sessions

Important

0286

IF NOT DELIVERED IN FIVE DAYS RETURN TO  
The Jerry McAuley Gremorne Mission,  
104 WEST 32D STREET,  
NEW YORK CITY.

*Judge R. Martine*

*addressed*

0287

The Jerry McAuley Cremorne Mission,  
104 WEST 32D STREET,  
MR. & MRS. CHARLES E. BALLOU, SUPTS.

New York, Jan 28<sup>th</sup> 1893.

Judge R. Martine  
Honored Sir  
We with many other Christian  
people have been made concerned  
with the case of Mrs Lucas.  
and are very interested in her  
and we now write appealing  
to you for a suspension of  
sentence in her case. as we are  
afraid it might be her complete  
ruin to go to Prison and we  
hope if you listen to our appeal  
to be able to save her, from  
further downfall.

Truly yours.  
Charles E. Ballou  
Mrs. C. E. Ballou

0288

The Rectory,  
1 East Twenty-ninth Street.

Jan<sup>y</sup> 24<sup>th</sup> 1893

Dear Randolph:

The case  
of Mrs Martha Larrs  
is to come before you  
to day, I believe -

She has made what  
reparation she could  
I understand, and will  
go to the Midnight Mass  
- which is under the charge  
of the Sisters of St John -

Baptist - whose Warden  
I am - I sentence  
be suspended.

I am also the re-  
cognized Chaplain of  
the Methodist Church.

I make this  
statement, as they  
possibly have some in-  
fluence with me re-  
gards the suspending  
of sentence.

With all kinds  
~~of~~ ~~re-~~ ~~com-~~ ~~m-~~ ~~en-~~ ~~d-~~ ~~at-~~ ~~ions~~  
I am, Sir,  
Yours truly,  
E. H. Hunt



0290

Judge Martin

From

the Rev<sup>d</sup> Dr Houghton

0291

TRADE MARK.  
JAPANESE TRADING COMPANY,  
18, 20 & 22 EAST 18th STREET,  
BET. BROADWAY & FIFTH AVE.,  
NEW YORK.

Hon. Randolph B. Martin,  
Addressee.

—

0292

TO INSURE PROMPT ATTENTION, BUSINESS CORRESPONDENCE OF  
THE HOUSE SHOULD BE ADDRESSED TO THE FIRM.



Japanese Trading Company.

BROADWAY AND EIGHTEENTH STREET.

INTERSECTION OF EIGHTEENTH STREET

New York, June 26, 1892.

Hon. Randall D. Sullivan,

Dear Sir,

We herewith wish  
to inform you that we  
have received entire satisfaction  
in the money obtained by  
Messrs. Decker & Sons. We are satisfied  
that you exercise sound  
business judgment in  
disposing of the balance of the  
loan. We are also very  
gratified.

Yours respectfully,

Wm. D.

C. 7

0293

Law Offices of  
*Purdy & McManus,*

~~Attorneys at Law~~

~~250 Broadway~~

116 Centre St.

New York, January 28, 1893

Hon. Randolph B. Martine,

Dear Sir-

Enclosed you will find statement from the Japanese Trading Company, on whose complaint the indictment was found to which Mrs. Laws pleaded guilty. I cannot add anything further than their recommendation.

I am reliably informed that Mrs. Laws will, if Your Honor can allow her, enter an institution in this City. Parties will be in Court Monday morning to take her to a home provided for women who have been unfortunate.

I believe that Mrs. Laws is earnest in this determination. We trust that Your Honor can see your way to the allowing of this course to be pursued.

Yours very respectfully,

*P. M. Manus.*

0294

Mr. Randolph B. Marbury

0295

271 Broadway

No. \_\_\_\_\_ New York, December 3<sup>rd</sup> 1892  
The National Shoe & Leather Bank.  
Pay to the order of \_\_\_\_\_  
Twenty Five Dollars  
\$ 25<sup>00</sup>  
PAID - Manus.  
Arthur & Bonnell, New York.

0296

Platt<sup>e</sup> Manus,

— I —

— I —  
Lindber



0297

FEDERICO LEZPONA,  
PROPRIETOR.

# BODEGA ESPAÑOLA,

ESTABLISHED  
1879.

IMPORTER OF

WINES, LIQUORS, OILS, CIGARS  
AND ALL KINDS OF SPANISH PRODUCTS.

97 MAIDEN LANE,

New York, Oct 21 1892

Received from Mrs. Lewis Security  
three dollars as payment in full for  
all claims including cheque for  
\$43.00.

New York Oct. 21. 1892

Federico Lezpona

0298

Pol. 1

COUNT OF CHURCH MEMBERS,

1911-1912.

Church of the Holy Trinity,  
New York,

1911-1912.

1911-1912.

Church of the Holy Trinity,  
New York,

1911-1912.

The following is a list of the members of the Church of the Holy Trinity, New York, for the year 1911-1912. The list is divided into two parts: the first part contains the names of the members who were baptized during the year, and the second part contains the names of the members who were received into the Church during the year. The names are listed in alphabetical order.

Let copy of this application  
be served on Messrs. Rudy & McManus

of the New York County Court, New York, New York.  
Respectfully,  
New York, New York, 1911-1912.

Randolph B. Martin  
J. G. S.



0300

"4

in the City of New York on the 24th day of January, 1938, that he had on the day previous to wit, the 23d day of January, 1938, after a full statement of the case in a long private conversation obtained from the Hon. Randolph L. Martine, the judge of the above Court before whom this cause was to come up for trial, a distinct promise on the part of the said judge that if I should plead guilty my sentence would be suspended.

"5

And DEPENDENT UPON THE FACT: I have this day for the first time, <sup>first</sup> learned from my now attorney, Louis B. Townsend, Esq., 40 Chambers St., New York City, that a few days afterwards herein, he said that of Party A's name, filed with the above Court on or about the 24th day of January 1938 a written statement wherein they admitted the guilt of the defendant herein and set forth certain matters for the alleged purpose of extenuation. But that such admissions and state facts were wholly without my knowledge, consent or authority and were absolutely without any foundation in truth whatsoever.

"6

And DEPENDENT UPON THE FACT: Therefore to wit on or about the 24th day of January, 1938 after I had decided to plead guilty as above set forth I wrote and transmitted to the Hon. Randolph L. Martine above mentioned, a private letter, asking for his clemency herein. But the said letter did not contain any admission of my guilt herein although my counsel the said Patrick claims earnestly urged, requested or many times sought to induce me to state and admit in the said letter that I was guilty of the charges herein.

Sworn to before me, this : *Bessie Laws*  
7th day of February, 1938. : *Albert Martin*  
*Sworn to before me, this : Bessie Laws*  
*7th day of February, 1938. : Albert Martin*



0302

44

Mr. Williams told me that he had advised Mr. Laws to  
plead guilty after his conversation with Judge Martinez.

Sworn to before me, this  
7<sup>th</sup> day of February, 1944.

A. J. Foster

Albert Martinez  
Comm of Deeds  
My City & Co

030

Plaintiff

vs.

Defendant

Sir:

Please take notice that a  
of which the within is a copy, was this day  
duly entered in this action, in the office of the  
Clerk of this Court.

Dated, New York,

189

Yours, etc.,

Attorney for

JOHN D. TOWNSEND,

49 Chambers Street,  
NEW YORK CITY.

To

Attorney for

N. Y. General Sessions Court.

The People of the State  
of New York Plaintiff

against

Bertha Laws alias  
Lola Williams Deft.

COPY.

Order to show cause &  
Affidavits why Judgment  
should not be set aside  
and stay of proceedings

JOHN D. TOWNSEND,

Attorney for

Deft.

49 and 51 Chambers Street,  
NEW YORK.



To Delancey Merrill &  
Dist. Atty. N.Y. County

C. G. Burgoyne, Walker and Centre Sts., N. Y.



0304

518

# Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

*Bertha Laws*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

*Bertha Laws*

of the CRIME OF FORGERY IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said *Bertha Laws*,

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *twenty-fourth* day of *December*, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*two*, at the City and County aforesaid, with intent to defraud, feloniously did forge a certain instrument and writing, which said forged instrument and writing is as follows, that is to say:

*No. 123*  
*New York, Dec. 23rd 1892*  
*The Fifth Avenue Bank of New*  
*York, through the care of the*  
*cleaning house association.*  
*Pay to Miss Berta Williams on order,*  
*Twenty Dollars*  
*\$ 20/-*  
*Mrs. Alma Alexander*

against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

## SECOND COUNT.

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

*Bertha Davis* —

of the CRIME OF FORGERY IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said *Bertha Davis*,

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with intent to defraud, did feloniously utter, dispose of and put off as true, a certain forged instrument and writing, which said forged instrument and writing is as follows, that is to say:

" No. 183 New York, Dec 23rd 1912  
 I hereby give me (Berta) of given rights,  
 through the New York Clearing-house  
 association.  
 Pay to Miss Eda Williams on order,  
 Twenty seven ————— Dollars.  
 \$27 — Mrs. Edna Alexander "

the said *Bertha Davis*, —

— then and there well knowing the same to be forged, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

*District Attorney.*

0306

**BOX:**

509

**FOLDER:**

4641

**DESCRIPTION:**

Lawson, George

**DATE:**

01/16/93



4641

Oliver Brook

news for office

5

John P. ...

Handwritten: *Handwritten text, possibly a signature or name, written vertically.*

die Republik  
8 Ch. 2. 1871.

Filed

## Pleads,

# THE PEOPLE

**vs.**

George Lawson

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

*District Attorney.*

# A TRUE BILL.

Foreman,

May 10/93

Order May 20. 9

1936. mps. R  
Jany 20/43 Post<sup>2-11</sup>

2.0

Burglary in the Third Degree. [Section 498, Laws of New York]

0307

0308

Police Court—2<sup>d</sup> District.

City and County } ss.:  
of New York,

of No. 359 West 17<sup>th</sup> Street, aged 29 years,  
occupation Driver

deposes and says, that the premises No. 359 W 17<sup>th</sup> Street,  
in the City and County aforesaid, the said being a Street car

Coaching House

and which was occupied by deponent as a Store

~~and in which there was at the time a human being, by name~~

were BURGLARIOUSLY entered by means of forcibly

Asiaticus  
the fastening of a rear window  
leading from the yard into  
said store

on the 10<sup>th</sup> day of January 1883 in the night time, and the  
following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz:

A quantity of regalia preserves  
and fur coats.

the property of deponent and his employees  
and deponent further says, that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid  
BURGLARY was committed and the aforesaid property taken, stolen, and carried away by

George Lawson

for the reasons following, to wit:

That said premises  
were broken and entered as  
as entered and said property  
stolen therefrom that subsequently  
deponent was informed by  
William Brooks of the 10<sup>th</sup> Street  
that he arrested said Lawson  
with a quantity of property  
in his possession the above

0309

which appellant has seen and  
fully identified said person  
as that person from his  
premises.

Sworn to before me  
this 10<sup>th</sup> day of January 1893  
Philip Richman  
Clerk of Court

Police Court District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Degree.

vs.

Burglary

Dated

188

Magistrate.

Officer.

Clerk.

Witnesses:

Committed in default of \$ Bail.

Bailed by

No.

Street.

03 10

CITY AND COUNTY }  
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

*William Brooks.*  
aged *20* years, occupation *Police Officer* of No. *101*

*Brooklyn* Street, being duly sworn, deposes and  
says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of *Philip Behrman*  
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own  
knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this *10*

day of *January* 1890,

*William Brooks.*

*L. E. Smith*

Police Justice.



0311

Sec. 198-200.

1882  
District Police Court.

City and County of New York, ss:

*George Lawson* being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is ~~h~~ right to make a statement in relation to the charge against ~~h~~, that the statement is designed to enable ~~h~~, if he see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against ~~h~~ that ~~he~~ is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that ~~h~~ waiver cannot be used against ~~h~~ on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer.

*I have nothing to say*

*Geo Lawson*

Taken before me this

day of

189

Police Justice.

03 12

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Cyudant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Fifteen Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, January 17 189 5 Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named \_\_\_\_\_  
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, \_\_\_\_\_ 189 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, \_\_\_\_\_ 189 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.

0313

Police Court--- District. 44

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

*Philip Behrmann*  
*354 West 18th*  
*City, Kansas*

*Pringle*  
Offense

2  
3  
4

Dated,

*June 10*  
*1893*  
*W. H. Brooks*  
Magistrate.  
Officer.  
Precinct.

Witnesses

No. Street.

No. Street.

No. Street.

\$ 1500 to answer

*25*  
*27*  
*28*

*P. H.*

BAILED,

No. 1, by

Residence Street.

No. 2, by

Residence Street.

No. 3, by

Residence Street.

No. 4, by

Residence Street.

03 14

To the

Honorable Frederick Smyth,

Recorder.

Sir:-

In this matter referred to me to ascertain such facts as possible as to the character of the defendant, I respectfully submit the following report:

The defendant refuses to disclose anything concerning himself or his relations, save that he has a brother who is supposed to reside somewhere in the State of New Jersey.

Upon further investigation I have ascertained that the defendant during the summer months of the past five or six years was employed by one John Connelly of No. 17 City Hall Place in this city, handling fruit. That Mr. Connelly, who I believe to be a reputable citizen, found the defendant to be a steady worker and during his employment had no fault to find with either his work or honesty.

I have shown the the defendant to six detectives, who would be most likely to know him as they are around the neighborhood frequented by the defendant, and each and every one informs me that they do not know him.

Dated New York January 20, 1893.

Respectfully submitted

DETECTIVE SERGEANT

03 15

483

**Court of General Sessions of the Peace**  
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

*George Lawson*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

*George Lawson*

of the CRIME OF BURGLARY IN THE THIRD DEGREE, committed as follows :

The said

*George Lawson*

late of the *16th* Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the  
*tenth* day of *January* in the year of our Lord one  
thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three*, in the *night* time of the same day, at the  
Ward, City and County aforesaid, a certain building there situate, to wit, the *store* of  
one *Philip Behrman*

there situate, feloniously and burglariously did break into and enter, with intent to commit some  
crime therein, to wit: with intent the goods, chattels and personal property of the said *Philip*  
*Behrman* in the said *store*  
then and there being, then and there feloniously and burglariously to steal, take and carry away,  
against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the  
People of the State of New York and their dignity.

03 16

SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

*George Lawson*

of the CRIME OF *Grand LARCENY in the second degree*, committed as follows:

The said

*George Lawson*

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid,  
at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, in the *night* time of said day, with force and arms,

*four boxes of cigars of the  
value of two dollars and fifty  
cents each, <sup>box</sup> five coats of the  
value of six dollars each, twenty  
cans of corn of the value of ten  
cents each can, and twenty-five  
glasses of jelly of the value of fifteen  
cents each glass,*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

*Philip Behrman*

in the

*store*

of the said

*Philip Behrman*

there situate, then and there being found, in the

*store*

aforesaid, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute  
in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York  
and their dignity.

0317

THIRD COUNT:

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

*George Lawson*  
of the CRIME OF RECEIVING STOLEN GOODS, committed as follows:

The said

*George Lawson*  
late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, with force and arms, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid,

*the same goods, chattels and  
personal property described  
in the first count of this  
indictment*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of

*Philip Behrman*  
by a certain person or persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, then lately before feloniously stolen from the said

*Philip Behrman*  
unlawfully and unjustly did feloniously receive and have; (the said

*George Lawson*  
then and there well knowing the said goods, chattels and personal property to have been feloniously stolen, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

*District Attorney.*



03 18

**BOX:**

509

**FOLDER:**

4641

**DESCRIPTION:**

Laydon, Martin

**DATE:**

01/03/93



4641

0319

Witnesses:

Benjamin H. Rice

Officer Walton

(11)

Counsel,

Filed

day of May 1893

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

13 over 3

Martin Laydon

Grand Larceny, Second Degree, [Sections 228, 231, 232 - Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

Lathin

Foreman.

Per 3 January 1893

Tried and convicted

Petty Larceny

D. C. McClellan

B.

Court of  
General Sessions

100 EAST 23D STREET,

New York, 12 21 189

CASE NO. 64583 OFFICER 121  
DATE OF ARREST 12-1-42  
CHARGE 1st

AGE OF CHILD.....

RELIGION

FATHER.

MOTHER.

RESIDENCE

AN INVESTIGATION BY THE SOCIETY SHOWS THAT

July 2nd - My record arrested by Edward  
Hard of 27 E. Second St. charged with having committed  
a burglary at my home and having stolen some  
clothing. He was taken to the County Jail  
up by the Sheriff. He was soon booked  
and sent to the County Jail. He was  
sent away from the County Jail  
and he was released. The day was returned.

The mother of Lou is a  
intelligent, kind and  
of her own. She is a  
peddler - and a very good one.

All which is respectfully submitted,

as respectfully submitted,  
 F. Lowell Searles  
 Supt

To The District Atty.

Court of  
General Sessions

One  
w  
Y  
PENAL CODE, 1/2

Report of the New York Society  
for the Prevention of Cruelty  
to Children.

ELBRIDGE T. GERRY,  
President, &c.,  
100 East 23d Street,  
New York City.

Added Feb 1934

0322

Police Court

5<sup>th</sup>

District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County } ss:  
of New York,

Emmella Tice

of No. 71 East 109<sup>th</sup> Street, aged 40 years,  
occupation 10 225<sup>th</sup> Avenue being duly sworn,  
deposes and says, that on the 21<sup>st</sup> day of December 1892 at the City of New  
York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the pos-  
session of deponent, in the ~~short~~ time, the following property, viz:

Two table clothes, one dress, one skirt  
one suit of clothes, all together of the  
value of about thirty dollars

the property of

deponent

and that this deponent

has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken,  
stolen and carried away by Martin Layden, (now here)  
from the fact, that deponent had said  
property hanging in a clothes line, from  
said premises. Emmella Tice, deponent's  
daughter, saw this defendant and  
two other boys, not arrested, with  
the said property in their possession,  
and recognised the goods as those  
belonging to deponent, said Emmella  
subsequently called Officer Haller  
of the 27<sup>th</sup> Precinct, who caught  
this defendant with the said  
property in his possession, which  
property deponent identifies as  
that which she hung in her clothes-

Sworn to before me, this 1892 day

Police Justice.

0323

And, therefore, defendant charges this  
defendant with the larceny of  
said property and prays that  
he may be dealt with according  
to law.

Given to bear me this } Henrietta Price  
22<sup>nd</sup> day of December 1892 }

Wm. W. W. W.

John Justice

0324

CITY AND COUNTY }  
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

1877

Olivia Rice  
aged 13 years, occupation School girl of No. 71 East 109 Street, being duly sworn, deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Benjamin Rice  
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own  
knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 22 day of Dec 1892 } Olivia Rice

W. W. Meach  
Police Justice.



0325

Sec. 198-200.

CITY AND COUNTY  
OF NEW YORK, ss:.

5 District Police Court.

*Martin Layden* being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is *h* right to make a statement in relation to the charge against *h* that the statement is designed to enable *h* if he sees fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against *h*; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that *h* waiver cannot be used against *h* on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

*Martin L. Layden*

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

*13 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer

*New York*

Question. Where do you live and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

*351 East 106 St. 2 months*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

*Coder*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer.

*I am not guilty -  
Martin Layden*

Taken before me this

day of

*Dec*

189

*42*

*[Signature]*  
Police Justice

0326

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

*Defendant*

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *Five* Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, *Nov 22* 189

*W. J. [Signature]* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, 189

Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, 189

Police Justice.

032

Police Court---

5 District. 1613

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Henrietta Rice  
71 E 189  
Martin Layman

2

3

4

Offense

Mind & Memory

BAILED,

No. 1, by.....  
Residence..... Street.

No. 2, by.....  
Residence..... Street.

No. 3, by.....  
Residence..... Street.

No. 4, by.....  
Residence..... Street.

Dated, December 22 1892

Meade Magistrate.

Waller Officer.

27 Precinct.

Witnesses Elsie Rice  
No. 71 East-109- Street.

Fredrick Schmitt  
No. 108 E 234 Street.

No. .... Street.

\$ 1000 to answer

CS  
9/22

0328

505

**Court of General Sessions of the Peace**  
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

*Martin Laydon*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

*Martin Laydon*  
of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE *second* DEGREE, committed  
as follows:

The said

*Martin Laydon,*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *21st*  
day of *December*, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and  
ninety-*two*, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

*two table-cloths of the value of  
five dollars each; one dress of the  
value of fifteen dollars, one skirt  
of the value of five dollars, one  
coat of the value of five dollars, one  
vest of the value of two dollars,  
one pair of trousers of the value  
of three dollars,*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

*Henrietta Rice*

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against  
the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People  
of the State of New York and their dignity.

## SECOND COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said

*Martin Laydon*  
of the CRIME OF CRIMINALLY RECEIVING STOLEN PROPERTY, committed as follows:

The said

*Martin Laydon*

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

*two table-cloths of the value of five dollars each, one dress of the value of fifteen dollars, one skirt of the value of five dollars, one coat of the value of five dollars, one vest of the value of two dollars, one pair of trousers of the value of three dollars*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

*Henrietta Rice*

by a certain person or persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, then lately before feloniously stolen, taken and carried away from the said

*Henrietta Rice*

unlawfully and unjustly did feloniously receive and have; the said

*Martin Laydon*

then and there well knowing the said goods, chattels and personal property to have been feloniously stolen, taken and carried away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

*District Attorney.*

0330

**BOX:**

509

**FOLDER:**

4641

**DESCRIPTION:**

Lazorus, Jacob

**DATE:**

01/12/93



4641

Witnesses:

Charles Cohen

Officer Shuman

Counsel,

Filed

day of Aug 1893

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Jacob Lazarus

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

J. Cathin

Foreman.

Aug 12/93

Alfred A. 2nd

Marquis 17

Grand Larceny, [Sections 528, 529, Penal Code.]

0331



0332

General Sessions Court.

REPORT OF THE NEW YORK SOCIETY FOR  
THE PREVENTION OF CRUELTY  
TO CHILDREN.

100 EAST 23D STREET,

New York, Jan. 10<sup>th</sup> 1893.

The People

vs

Jacob Lazarus

CASE NO.

69,840.

DATE OF ARREST

Jan. 8<sup>th</sup> 1893.

CHARGE

OFFICER

Louis A. Steen

Grand Larceny

AGE OF CHILD

10 years

RELIGION

Catholic

FATHER

Louis

MOTHER

Kate

RESIDENCE

244 E. 111<sup>th</sup> Street.

AN INVESTIGATION BY THE SOCIETY SHOWS THAT Jacob resides with his parents at said address where they have a comfortable home and are well spoken of, but the boy will not attend school and has been absent from school about a year.

Soc. has no record of boy ever having been arrested before.

All which is respectfully submitted,

Elliott S. Loring  
Supt

J. J. J. J.

Count of

General Delinquents

*Two Boys*

*20*

*Adolescents*

Penal Code

*General Delinquency*

Report of the New York Society  
for the Prevention of Cruelty  
to Children.

ELBRIDGE T. CERRY,  
President, &c.,  
100 East 23d Street,  
New York City.

0333

0334

Police Court

3

District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County }  
of New York, } ss:

Charles Cohen  
of No. 3 Orchard Street, aged 33 years,  
occupation Manufacturer of tables being duly sworn,  
deposes and says, that on the 8<sup>th</sup> day of January 1893 at the City of  
New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away  
from the possession of deponent, in the day time, the following property, viz:

A live horse of the value of  
Sixty dollars and ~~set~~ harness of  
the value of Fifteen dollars

the property of deponent

Sworn to before me, this 8<sup>th</sup> day of January 1893

Police Justice.

and that this deponent  
has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloni-  
ously taken, stolen and carried away by Jacob Lazarus  
(now here for the reasons that deponent  
stabled said horse at 67 Pike  
Street and deponent is informed  
by Edward Sheehan a police officer  
that about ten o'clock in the  
morning of said day he found the  
defendant in Water Street in the act  
of hitching the horse to a wagon  
and arrested the defendant and  
deponent has since seen the horse  
and identified it as his property.  
Deponent has no knowledge how defendant  
obtained possession of the property and  
therefore charges defendant with stealing a

1102101103

0335

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.  
OF NEW YORK, }

Edward Sheehan<sup>1921</sup>  
aged 34 years, occupation Police officer of No. 7<sup>th</sup> Precinct Street, being duly sworn, deposes and  
says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Charles Cohen  
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own  
knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 8 day of January 1897 } Edward Sheehan  
[Signature] Police Justice.

0336

Sec. 198-200.

CITY AND COUNTY  
OF NEW YORK,

District Police Court.

*Jacob Lazarus* being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

*Jacob Lazarus*

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

*9 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

*New York*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

*294 Cherry St. I don't know*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

*Nothing*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer.

*I am guilty*

*Jacob Lazarus*

Taken before me this

day of January 1893

Police Justice.

0337

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

Reverend  
guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of 50 Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated Jan 8 189 3

Police Justice.

I have have admitted the above-named  
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated,..... 189

Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named  
guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated,..... 189

Police Justice.

0338

33

Police Court, District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

*Charles Cohen*  
*3 Orchard*  
*Jacob Lazarus*

*Grand Juror*

BAILED,

No. 1, by

Residence

No. 2, by

Residence

No. 3, by

Residence

No. 4, by

Residence

Dated, *Jan 8* 189*3*

*Hogan* Magistrate.

*Sheehan* Officer.

*Officer* Precinct.

Witnesses *Officer* Street.

No. *Louis A. Steen*

No. *108 E-23* Street.

Committed to Street.

*Society* Society.

*Charles G. S.*



0339

Court of General Sessions of the Peace  
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

505

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

*Jacob Lazarus*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

*Jacob Lazarus*  
of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE *second* DEGREE, committed  
as follows:

The said

*Jacob Lazarus*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *eight*  
day of *January* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and  
ninety-*three*, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

*one horse of the value of sixty  
dollars and one set of harness  
of the value of fifteen dollars*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one *Charles Cohen*

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against  
the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People  
of the State of New York and their dignity.

*De Laurey Nicoll*  
District Attorney

0340

**BOX:**

509

**FOLDER:**

4641

**DESCRIPTION:**

Lefebore, Albert A.

**DATE:**

01/19/93



4641

Witnesses:

Louis C. Wagner

(16)

Counsel.

Filed, day of 1893

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Alvin D. Lefebvre  
(State)

Alvin D. Lefebvre

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

J. Cathin  
on recm. Dist. Atty.  
deft. discharged on his own recogn.  
Jan. 30/93 R. B. H. J.

**Court of General Sessions of the Peace**  
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

*Albert A. Lefebvre*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse  
of the CRIME OF *Albert A. Lefebvre* *Grand LARCENY, in the second degree,* committed  
as follows:

The said

*Albert A. Lefebvre,*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *tenth*  
day of *December* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and  
ninety-*two*, at the City and County aforesaid, being then and there the *clerk*  
and *servant* of one *Louis C. Wagner* -

and as such *clerk and servant* then and there having in his  
possession, custody and control certain goods, chattels and personal property of the said

*Louis C. Wagner*

the true owner thereof, to wit:

*the sum of one hundred  
and fifty dollars in money, lawful  
money of the United States of America  
and of the value of one hundred  
and fifty dollars*

the said

*Albert A. Lefebvre*

afterwards, to wit:

on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,  
did feloniously appropriate the said

*sum of money* -

to his own use, with intent to deprive and defraud the said

*Louis C. Wagner*

of the same, and of the *use* and benefit thereof; and the same goods, chattels and personal  
property of the said *Louis C. Wagner*

did then and there and thereby feloniously steal, against the form of the statute in such case  
made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their  
dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,  
District Attorney.

Witnesses:

Louis C. Wagner

(170) L. C. Brennan  
10 male

Counsel.

Filed, 14 day of May 1893

Pleads, Not Guilty

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Albert A. DeLeon

(13 cases)

Grand Larceny, 2d degree  
(MISAPPROPRIATION)  
(Sections 528 and 53 of the Penal Code.)

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

I, J. A. Smith  
Foreman,  
in view of said act, his  
deft. charged on his  
own recog. R.B. 11,  
J.

0343

0344

533

**Court of General Sessions of the Peace**  
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
against

*Albert A. Lefebvre*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse  
*Albert A. Lefebvre*  
of the CRIME OF *Grand* LARCENY, in the *second degree*, committed  
as follows:

The said *Albert A. Lefebvre*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *36th*  
day of *November* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and  
ninety-*two*, at the City and County aforesaid, being then and there the clerk  
and servant of the *Louis C. Wagner*

and as such *clerk and servant* then and there having in his  
possession, custody and control certain goods, chattels and personal property of the said

*Louis C. Wagner*  
the true owner thereof, to wit:  
*the sum of seventy-five*  
*dollars in money, lawful money of*  
*the United States of America, and*  
*of the value of seventy-five dollars;*

the said *Albert A. Lefebvre* afterwards, to wit:  
on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,  
did feloniously appropriate the said *sum of money*

to his own use, with intent to deprive and defraud the said *Louis C. Wagner*  
of the same, and of the *use* and benefit thereof; and the same goods, chattels and personal  
property of the said *Louis C. Wagner*

did then and there and thereby feloniously steal, against the form of the statute in such case  
made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their  
dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,  
District Attorney.

Witnesses:

Louis C. Wagner

In this case in which there  
are three indictments, full  
restoration having been made  
and the complainant desiring  
to prosecute as appears by  
the written certificate I recom-  
mend the discharge of de-  
fendant on his own recognizances.

Jan'y 20. 93  
J. C. Catlin  
J. C. Catlin

Counsel.

Filed

day of

1893

Pleas,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Albert A. Lepobone

(Beaver)

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

J. Catlin

on recem. Robert. Att.  
clerk. discharged on his own  
recog. P.B.M.

Grand LARCENY, and degree  
(Sections 528 and 537 of the Penal Code.)

0345



## New York General Sessions.

PEOPLE ON MY COMPLAINT,  
VERSUS

Albert A. Lefebvre

3 Indictments

As complainant in the above case, I beg to recommend the defendant to such leniency and clemency as the Court and District Attorney may see fit to show; but I expressly assert that my reasons for so doing are not controlled by any advantage to myself.

I believe the offenses with which the defendant is charged to be his first; that he is sincerely repentant and that the lesson he has learned will go with him through life.

I know the father of the defendant and believe him to be thoroughly upright and honest.

I am informed that the sister of the defendant has been made seriously ill by the disgrace attached to the defendant and I am willing to and hereby do withdraw my complaint against the defendant in consideration of the foregoing facts and also that restitution has been made.

Louis G. Wagner

G.1

HENRY STREET & RD CO 12 & 14 WARREN ST. N.Y.

0348

Police Court, 1<sup>st</sup> District.

(1862)

City and County } ss.  
of New York,of No. 794 8<sup>th</sup> Avenue

Street, aged

37

years,

occupation

Superintendent

being duly sworn, deposes and says,

that on the

10

day of

December

1892

at the City of New

York, in the County of New York,

Albert N. LeFebvre (nowhere)  
did feloniously and unlawfully  
omit to make a true entry in  
a book of accounts kept by  
him for defendant, thus defraud-  
ing defendant in violation of  
section 575 of the Penal Code  
of the State of New York for  
the purpose of obtaining money  
for the said date this defendant  
who was in the employ of  
defendant, and as  
such, had charge of the books  
of account. Defendant says  
that in the check book kept by  
defendant, the defendant made  
out the amount check number  
100, drew the sum of one  
hundred and fifty dollars,  
but omitted to enter the said  
amount to wit: one hundred and  
fifty in the stub of the checkbook  
he entering one hundred instead  
of one hundred and fifty and  
appropriating the sum of fifty  
dollars to his own use.  
Defendant further says that  
at divers other times the defendant  
omitted to make true entries in  
the books of accounts kept by  
him.

Sworn to before me  
this 15<sup>th</sup> day of January 1893  
D. J. McMahon  
Notary Public

0349

Sec. 198-200.

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.  
OF NEW YORK,

District Police Court.

*Albert A. LeFebvre* being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

*Albert A. LeFebvre*

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

*29 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

*Montreal, Canada*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

*131 W. 20<sup>th</sup> St. 1 month*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

*Brook-Keeper*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer.

*I am not guilty*  
*Albert A. LeFebvre*

Taken before me this

*19th* day of *January* 189*7*

*Wm. M. M. M. M. M.*

Police Justice.

0350

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Twenty five Hundred Dollars, \_\_\_\_\_ and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, Jan 12 189

W. T. M. L. Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named \_\_\_\_\_ to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, \_\_\_\_\_ 189

\_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named \_\_\_\_\_ guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.

Dated, \_\_\_\_\_ 189

\_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.

035

Police Court---

71 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

*Louis C. Wagner*  
*Albert A. Colborne*  
13 indictments  
Dated, *Jan 15* 189*3*  
Magistrate.  
Officer.  
Precinct.

BAILED,

No. 1, by.....

Residence ..... Street.

No. 2, by.....

Residence ..... Street.

No. 3, by.....

Residence ..... Street.

No. 4, by.....

Residence ..... Street.

Witnesses .....

No. .... Street.

No. .... Street.

No. .... Street.

\$ *25.00* to answer *4-8*

**Court of General Sessions of the Peace**  
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

*Albert A. Lefebore*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse  
*Albert A. Lefebore*  
of the CRIME OF *Grand LARCENY*, in the second degree, committed  
as follows:

The said

*Albert A. Lefebore*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *17th*  
day of *November* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and  
ninety-*two*, at the City and County aforesaid, being then and there the *clerk*  
and servant of *one, Louis C. Wagner*

and as such *clerk and servant* then and there having in his  
possession, custody and control certain goods, chattels and personal property of the said

*Louis C. Wagner*

the true owner thereof, to wit:

*the sum of twenty-five*  
*dollars and eighty-two cents in*  
*money, lawful money of the*  
*United States of America, and of the*  
*value of twenty-five dollars and eighty-two cents;*

the said *Albert A. Lefebore* afterwards, to wit:  
on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,  
did feloniously appropriate the said *sum of money*

to his own use, with intent to deprive and defraud the said *Louis C. Wagner*  
of the same, and of the use and benefit thereof; and the same goods, chattels and personal  
property of the said *Louis C. Wagner*

did then and there and thereby feloniously steal, against the form of the statute in such case  
made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their  
dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,  
District Attorney.



0353

**BOX:**

509

**FOLDER:**

4641

**DESCRIPTION:**

Lempo, Francisco

**DATE:**

01/20/93



4641

0354

Witnesses:

*C. Picardi*

*Officer Neely*

*(10) W. E. Morris*  
Counsel,  
Filed *20* day of *April* 189*3*  
Plends, *104*

THE PEOPLE

vs.

*Francesca Longo*

Assault in the First Degree, Etc.  
(Firearms.)  
(Sections 217 and 218, Penal Code.)

DE LANCEY NICOLL,  
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

*L. Cattin*  
Foreman.  
*July 7/93*  
*Fred. H. Acquisti*

0355

Police Court— District.

1931

City and County } ss.:  
of New York,

*Costabile Piccirilli*  
 of No. *88 Murray* Street, aged *44* years,  
 occupation *Shawm-maker* being duly sworn,  
 deposes and says, that on the *11* day of *January* 189*3* at the City of New  
 York, in the County of New York,

he was violently and feloniously ASSAULTED and BEATEN by

*Francisco Lemper* (now here,  
*who pointed and aimed a loaded*  
*revolver at the body of deponent*

119 *sub*

with the felonious intent to take the life of deponent, or to do him grievous bodily harm; and without  
 any justification on the part of the said assailant.

Wherefore this deponent prays that the said assailant may be apprehended and bound to answer  
 for the above assault, etc., and be dealt with according to law.

Sworn to before me, this *11* day }  
 of *January* 189*3* } *Costabile Piccirilli*  
*C. E. Sumner* Police Justice.

0356

Sec. 198—200.

1882  
District Police Court.

City and County of New York, ss:

*Francesco Lembo* being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him, if he see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

*Francesco Lembo*

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

*40 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

*Italy*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

*119 Broadway St. 1 month*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

*Labourer*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer.

*I am not guilty*

*Francesco Lembo* X

Taken before me this

*11*  
day of *January* 189 *3*

Police Justice.

0357

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Ten Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, Jan'y 11<sup>th</sup> 189 3 O. E. Simms Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named \_\_\_\_\_  
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, \_\_\_\_\_ 189 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named \_\_\_\_\_  
guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, \_\_\_\_\_ 189 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.

1881

0358

Police Court--- District. 56

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

*Constable James H. [unclear]*  
*118 Mulberry St*  
*Manassas Leno*

2 \_\_\_\_\_  
3 \_\_\_\_\_  
4 \_\_\_\_\_

Offense

189

Dated, *Jan 4* 189  
*James H. [unclear]* Magistrate.

Officer.

Precinct.

Witnesses *James H. [unclear]*

No. *Vincenzo De Rache* Street.  
*118 Mulberry St*

No. *Robt H. Meely* Street.  
*66 Precinct*

No. \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

\$ *1000* to answer *G. S.*

BAILED,

No. 1, by \_\_\_\_\_

Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

No. 2, by \_\_\_\_\_

Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

No. 3, by \_\_\_\_\_

Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

No. 4, by \_\_\_\_\_

Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

0359

Court of General Sessions of the Peace  
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

*Francisco Ximenes*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment accuse  
*Francisco Ximenes*  
of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE FIRST DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said *Francisco Ximenes*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *seventh*  
day of *January*, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and  
ninety-*three*, with force and arms, at the City and County aforesaid, in and upon the body of  
one *Costabile Piccinelli* in the peace of the said  
People then and there being, feloniously did make an assault and to, at and against *him*  
the said *Costabile Piccinelli* a certain pistol then and there  
loaded and charged with gunpowder and one leaden bullet, which the said  
*Francisco Ximenes* in *his* right hand then and there had and  
held, the same being a deadly and dangerous weapon, wilfully and feloniously did then and there  
shoot off and discharge with intent *to kill* the said *Costabile Piccinelli*  
thereby then and there feloniously and wilfully to kill, against the form of the statute in such case  
made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment further accuse the said

*Francisco Ximenes*  
of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said *Francisco Ximenes*

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at  
the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and upon the body of the said  
*Costabile Piccinelli* in the peace of the said People then and there being,  
feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully make another assault, and to, at and against *him*  
the said

*Costabile Piccinelli*  
a certain pistol then and there charged and loaded with gunpowder and one leaden bullet, which the  
said

*Francisco Ximenes*  
in *his* right hand then and there had and held, the same being a weapon and an instrument  
likely to produce grievous bodily harm, then and there feloniously and wrongfully shoot  
off and discharge against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the  
peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,  
District Attorney.



0360

**BOX:**

509

**FOLDER:**

4641

**DESCRIPTION:**

Leo, Samuel

**DATE:**

01/19/93



4641

Witnesses:

Adolph Schmeigel  
John Burns

Seen Committed from  
a careful examination  
of the circumstances  
surrounding the Commission  
of the United States. That  
the Defendant may lead  
to do the wrong by the  
appeals of his little  
children who were  
witnesses. The Defendant  
was witness more at home  
I am satisfied is the  
was anxious to gain  
employment. The  
accompanying affidavit  
is still further evidence of the  
witnesses of the Defendant  
with the Commission. That  
the Defendant's conduct was  
in this instance to be  
disregarded by the people to  
the Defendant upon his own  
behalf. Robert W. Brown  
Jan 31 1893

Counsel,

Filed

1893

Pleas,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Samuel Leo

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

J. Catlin

Foreman.

Part 3. January 31 1893

Defendant discharged  
in his next trial very

Forgery in the Second Degree.  
[Sections 511 and 521, Penal Code.]

0361

0362

Court of General Sessions.

-----X  
 )  
 The People, &c., :  
 )  
 -against- :  
 )  
 S a m u e l L e o. :  
 )  
 -----X

City and County of New York, ss:-

J o h n B u n z, being duly sworn, deposes and says, I am the one whose name was forged upon the check, the subject matter of this action. I have known the defendant a number of years, and he has always been a man of good character. I know him to be a married man, and with a family, consisting of wife and two children, and, upon investigation, I have discovered that <sup>the</sup> poverty of his family was so great, that he was induced to commit the wrong, which I have hitherto complained of.

I respectfully ask, in his behalf, that the Court exercise its discretion in awarding that leniency to the defendant, that will again give him the opportunity of retrieving himself and once again become a good man.

Sworn to before me this )  
 :  
 26th day of January, 1893. )

*John Bunz.*

*C. W. J. Neuman.*  
*Corn. of S. S. S.*  
*A. J. Co.*

0363

Court of General Sessions.

-----X  
 )  
 The People, &c., :  
 )  
 -against- :  
 )  
 Samuel Leo. :  
 )  
 -----X

City and County of New York, ss:-

A d o l p h S c h o n s e i t z e l, being  
 duly sworn, deposes and says, I am the complainant herein,  
 and I know the above-named defendant, and have known him for  
 a number of years. He has, to my knowledge, hitherto,  
 borne an exceptionally good character. I have investigated  
 this matter, and believe that the defendant was induced to  
 the commission of the offense charged against him, by pov-  
 erty.

I respectfully ask, that the greatest leniency be ex-  
 tended to him, and feel that the best interest will be sub-  
 served, by giving the defendant an opportunity to again  
 become a good citizen.

Sworn to before me this )

26th day of January, 1893. )

*Chas. J. Munan.*

*Com. of Peace  
 N. Y. Co.*

0364

Court of General Sessions.

-----X  
 )  
 The People, &c., :  
 )  
 -against- :  
 )  
 S a m u e l L e o. :  
 )  
 -----X

City and County of New York, ss:-

P a t r i c k F a r r e l, being duly sworn,  
 deposes and says, I am a police detective, assigned to duty  
 in the 13th Police Precinct, and am the one that arrested  
 the defendant herein. I have known the defendant for a  
 number of years, and have always known him to be an honest  
 and upright young man. I believe that he was induced to  
 commit the crime charged against him, by reason of the  
 poverty of his family, and believe that were not the pov-  
 erty so great at his home, that he would never have commit-  
 ted this offense.

Sworn to before me this )  
 26th day of January, 1893. )

*Patrick Farrell*

*Chas. J. Neuman.*  
*Court of Sesss.*  
*N. Y. Co.*

0365

Court of General Sessions.

-----X  
 The People, &c., )  
 -against- )  
 Samuel L. Leo. )  
 -----X

City and County of New York, ss:-

Emanuel M. Friend, being duly sworn, deposes and says, I am an attorney and counselor at law, practicing at the bar of the State of New York. I have known the defendant for a number of years, and believe that this is the first time that he was ever charged with the commission of any wrong. I have investigated this matter, and have come to the conclusion, that the defendant committed the wrong complained of, by reason of the poverty at his home. I have had a conversation with the defendant's wife, who informed me that, upon the day of the commission of the offense by her husband, both she and her children were without bread and that her husband, having become reckless, by reason of the ~~de~~plorable condition of his wife and two children, both under the age of five years, he committed the wrong, for which he now stands indicted. I also join in the request of the complainants, that the Court extend that mercy to this defendant, that it can consistently do within its discretion.

Sworn to before me this )  
 26th day of January, 1893. )

*E. M. Friend*

*Wm. J. H. H. H.*  
 Clerk of the Court  
 City and County  
 New York

0366

Court of General Sessions.

-----X  
The People, &c., :  
-against- :  
S a m u e l L e o. :  
-----X

City and County of New York, ss:-

*Frederick Klein* being duly sworn,  
deposes and says, I am in business in the City of New  
York, at 75 - E. 6<sup>th</sup> St. I have known the defendant  
for a period of *ten* years, and know others that know  
him, and know that the defendant's reputation for honesty,  
in the community has always been good.

Sworn to before me this )  
26th day of January, 1893. )

*Fred. Klein*

*Chas. J. Muman*  
*Corn. of Precinct*  
*N. Y. Co.*



Court of General Sessions.

-----X  
 )  
 The People, &c., :  
 )  
 -against- :  
 )  
 S a m u e l L e o. :  
 )  
 -----X

City and County of New York, ss:-

*James L. Russell* being duly sworn, deposes  
 and says, I am in business at 368-E. 10<sup>th</sup> Street,  
 in the City of New York, and have known the defendant for a  
 period of three years and over, and have always known him  
 to be a man of good character. *James L. Russell*  
 Sworn to before me this )  
 :  
 26th day of January, 1893. )

*Chas. J. Neuman.*  
*Comm. of Leeds*  
*N. Y. Co.*

0368

Court of General Sessions.

-----X  
)  
The People, &c., :  
)  
-against- :  
)  
S a m u e l L e o . :  
)  
-----X

City and County of New York, ss:-

*Samuel Hunt* being duly sworn, deposes and  
says, I have known the above named defendant for *five* years  
and know others that know him, and know that his character  
in the community, for honesty, has always been good.

Sworn to before me this )  
26th day of January, 1893. )

*Sam Hunt*

*Chas. J. Neuman,*  
*Corn. of S. C. S. C.*  
*N. Y. Co.*

0369

Court of General Sessions.

-----X  
 )  
 The People, &c., :  
 )  
 -against- :  
 )  
 Samuel Leo. :  
 )  
 -----X

City and County of New York, ss:-

S a m u e l L e o, being duly sworn, deposes and says, I am the defendant above-named. I am a married man and living with my wife and two children, The ages of the latter being *two or five* years, respectively. This is the first time that I have ever been arrested, charged with the commission of any wrong against the laws of the land. On the day of the commission of the offense, of which I am guilty, I left my home and saw my wife and little ones, without a morsel of food. I had endeavored to get work, but was unsuccessful. I became disheartened and reckless, knowing of no way to obtain a little money wherewith to buy food; being back in the rent for my home, and the landlord having threatened to dispossess my family and self from my apartments, I was induced to violate the law as I have done. I promise that I will never again violate any law; that my conduct in the future will be such as to merit the good will of all men, and pray that the Court extend that leniency to me, that I may once again start in life and seek, by my good conduct, to again recover a good name in the community.

Sworn to before me this )  
<sup>31<sup>st</sup></sup> day of January, 1893. )

*Samuel Leo*  
*Harry Huzbacek*  
 Notary Public N.Y.C.

0370

General Sessions Court.

Please take notice, that the within is  
a true copy of an  
in the within-entitled action, this day duly  
entered and filed in the office of the Clerk  
of this Court.

*The People*  
r.c.

—against—

Dated, N. Y., 189 .  
Yours &c.,  
FRIEND & HOUSE,  
Att'ys for

*Samuel Leo.*

*Applicant as  
to Dept Character*

To  
Esq.,  
Attorney for

FRIEND & HOUSE,  
Def't Attorneys,  
61-65 PARK ROW,  
WORLD BUILDING,  
NEW YORK.

Due and timely service of a copy of the within  
is hereby admitted.  
this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ 189

Attorney for

0371

No. 413 NEW YORK December 19 1892

*Signature*  
**ELEVENTH WARD BANK**

PAY TO THE ORDER OF Samuel Levee

\$ 6 ~~75~~ DOLLARS

John Brown

Stewart, Warren & Co. Litho. 29 Howard St. N.Y.

0372

Samuel Lee  
P. S. L. L. L.

1811

0373

Police Court, 3 District.City and County } ss.  
of New York,

Adolph Schonsiegd  
 of No. 650 Sixth Street, aged 40 years,  
 occupation Butcher being duly sworn, deposes and says,  
 that on the 19 day of December 1893 at the City of New  
 York, in the County of New York Samuel Leo (now

here) with intent to defraud did  
 make forge and utter an instrument  
 in writing purporting to be a check  
 for the payment of money and  
 purporting to be drawn by John  
 Bunz to the order of Samuel Leo  
 upon the Eleventh Ward Bank for  
 the sum of six dollars. That on or  
 about said day the defendant pre-  
 sented the annexed check to de-  
 ponent and requested deponent to  
 cash it. Deponent believing said  
 check to be genuine gave the  
 defendant six dollars therefor.  
 Said check had affixed the en-  
 dorsement of the payee and deponent  
 when cashing the check, <sup>asked him</sup> if the  
 endorsement was his defendant's, name  
 and he replied yes. Deponent caused  
 the check to be presented to the  
 drawee but rejected because the  
 signature is a forgery. Deponent  
 is informed by John Bunz (now here)  
 that he is a dealer in said Bank  
 that he is acquainted with de-  
 fendant and that signature affixed  
 to said check is not his and nor  
 was it affixed with his knowledge  
 consent or authority.

Wherefore deponent charges the  
 defendant with the crime of forgery

Sworn to before me

this 14<sup>th</sup> day of January 1893  
[Signature]  
 Police Justice



0374

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.  
OF NEW YORK, }

1921

aged 40 years, occupation Saloon Keeper of No. 421 East 104th Street, being duly sworn, deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Adolph Schussel and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 14 day of Dec 1893 by John Bunn

[Signature]  
Police Justice.

0375

Sec. 199-200.

1882  
District Police Court.

City and County of New York, ss:

*Samuel Leo*

being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him, that the statement is designed to enable him, if he see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him at the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

*Samuel Leo.*

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

*29. years.*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

*Mia City*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

*415 East 10th St. 2 years*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

*Legion.*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer.

*I have nothing**to say**Samuel Leo*Taken before me this  
day of

1893

Police Justice.

0376

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned, has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Armand

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of 100 Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, Nov 14 1893

[Signature] Police Justice.

I have have admitted the above-named  
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, ..... 189

..... Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named .....  
guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, ..... 189

..... Police Justice.

037

Police Court,

67 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Robert Schmuck  
7150-6 St.  
vs.  
Jimmie Lee

Offense  
Vagrancy

2  
3  
4

Dated,

Jan 14 1893  
W. C. Cavan  
Magistrate.

Farrell S. Brennan Officer.

Witnesses

W. B. Bunn  
No 421 E. Houston Street.

No. Street.

No. Street.

\$ 1000 to answer

C. J. J. J.

BAILED,

No. 1, by  
Residence Street.

No. 2, by  
Residence Street.

No. 3, by  
Residence Street.

No. 4, by  
Residence Street.

**Court of General Sessions of the Peace**

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

*Samuel Leo*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

*Samuel Leo*

of the CRIME OF FORGERY IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

*Samuel Leo*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *19th* day of *December* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*two*, at the City and County aforesaid, with intent to defraud, feloniously did forge a certain instrument and writing, which said forged instrument and writing is as follows, that is to say:

*No. 43*

*New York December 19 1892*

*Eleventh Ward Bank*

*Pay to the order of Samuel Levoe*

*Six — Dollars*

*\$6.00*

*John Benz*

*[Signature]*

against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

## SECOND COUNT.

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

*Samuel Leo*

of the CRIME OF FORGERY IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

*Samuel Leo,*

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with intent to defraud, did feloniously utter, dispose of and put off as true, a certain forged instrument and writing, which said forged instrument and writing is as follows, that is to say:

No. 4<sup>th</sup>

*New York December 19 1892*

*Eleventh Ward Bank*

*Pay to the order of Samuel Leo*

*Six ————— Dollars*

*\$6 <sup>1.00</sup>*

*John Deery*

the said

*Samuel Leo*

then and there well knowing the same to be forged, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

*District Attorney.*

0380

**BOX:**

509

**FOLDER:**

4641

**DESCRIPTION:**

Leuon, Charles

**DATE:**

01/26/93



4641



Alfred Dunbar

Filed, 26, day of Aug, 1893

Pleas, Wymby. July 18

THE PEOPLE

**vs.**

B.

Charles Lenn

VIOLATION OF THE EXCISE LAW.  
(Illegal Sales without License.)  
[Chap. 401, Laws of 1892, § 81].

Transferred to the (Court) Sessions  
Sessions for the purpose of disposition.  
J. C. Gray, Jr.

29

DE LANCEY, NICOLL,

*District Attorney.*

# A TRUE BILL.

Cathie

*Foreman.*

0301

0382

2090

**Court of General Sessions of the Peace**

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

*Charles Lemon*

**The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York**, by this indictment, accuse

*Charles Lemon*

of the CRIME OF SELLING STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINE, ALE AND BEER, IN QUANTITIES LESS THAN FIVE GALLONS AT A TIME, WITHOUT HAVING A LICENSE THEREFOR, committed as follows:

The said

*Charles Lemon*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *ninth* day of *January* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three*, at the City and County aforesaid, certain strong and spirituous liquors, and certain wine, ale and beer, to wit: one gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whiskey, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain strong and spirituous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did sell, in quantities less than five gallons at a time, to

certain

*other*

*one Joseph O'Donoghue and to* persons whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, without

having a license granted to him in pursuance of any law of this State permitting him to sell either strong or spirituous liquors, wines, ale or beer, contrary to the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the People of the State of New York.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

*District Attorney.*

0383

**BOX:**

509

**FOLDER:**

4641

**DESCRIPTION:**

Levy, John

**DATE:**

01/06/93



4641

Witnesses:

Chas. E. Rodkin  
clerk for office  
sample  
Murray Mc  
Sept has been  
taken in New E

(8)

Counsel,  
Filed  
Pleads,

6 day of Aug 1893

THE PEOPLE

vs.

John Levy

Grand Larceny,  
[Sections 628, 521,  
Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,  
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

D. Catlin Foreman.  
Sample 93  
Hester J. Foley  
2 eggs 1/2 1/2  
Jan 13/92 13 x

0385

Police Court

District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County }  
of New York, } ss:

Charles E. Boaswin  
of No. 61. Fair Street, aged 24 years,  
occupation Chemist being duly sworn,  
deposes and says, that on the 22<sup>d</sup> day of December 1892 at the City of  
New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away  
from the possession of deponent, in the day time, the following property, viz:

One Overcoat being of the value of  
Twenty eight (\$28.00) Dollars

the property of

Deponent

and that this deponent  
has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloni-  
ously taken, stolen and carried away by John Leary (now

here) for the reason and following  
to wit, On said day, said property  
was in a room in said premises  
and a deponent is informed by  
Marie Goly the landlady of said  
premises, that about the hour of  
half past four in the afternoon of  
said day, she saw said defendant  
come to the said room which was  
occupied by deponent, and unlock  
said door and go into said room  
and that she asked defendant to  
pick up a letter she placed under the  
door of said room and give the same

Sworn to before me, this

of

189

day

Police Justice.

to a person which he said he knew  
 do, she having seen said defendant  
 at the said room with a person on  
 3 previous occasions.  
 Depoener further says when he  
 returned to said room on the said  
 night he found said person at game  
 and he charges said defendant  
 with the Personage of said

Subscribed before me 1892 } Charles E. Bodkin  
 this 4th day of December }  
 (Not. P. Bodkin)  
 Police Justice

0387

CITY AND COUNTY }  
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

1921

aged 29 years, occupation Housekeeper of No. 61 First

Street, being duly sworn, deposes and  
says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Charles E. Bodwin  
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own  
knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this

27 day

December 1892

Munie Polz

(M. J. Gray)

Police Justice.



0388

Sec. 198-200.

1882  
District Police Court.

City and County of New York, ss:

*John Leary* being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to a charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him, if he see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer.

*I am not guilty*  
*John Leary*

Taken before me this

*27*  
*August 1882*

Police Justice.

0389

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named.....

*Referred*  
guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *Five* Hundred Dollars,..... and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, *Dec 27* 189 *M. H. [Signature]* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named.....  
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated,.....189..... Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named.....  
.....guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated,.....189..... Police Justice.

0390

Police Court- District.

THE PEOPLE &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

*Charles E. Boardman*  
*John Henry*

Offense

BAILED,

No. 1, by \_\_\_\_\_  
Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

No. 2, by \_\_\_\_\_  
Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

No. 3, by \_\_\_\_\_  
Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

No. 4, by \_\_\_\_\_  
Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

Dated, *Dec 27* 189 *2*

*Grady* Magistrate.  
*Rooney* Officer.

*10* Precinct.

Witnesses *John F. Galt*  
No. *61* Street.

No. \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

No. \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

\$ *5.00* to answer *Grady*

*Grady*

0391

505

Court of General Sessions of the Peace  
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

John Levy

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

John Levy  
of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE Second DEGREE, committed  
as follows:

The said

John Levy,

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the 24<sup>th</sup>  
day of December in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and  
ninety-two, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

one overcoat of the value  
of twenty-eight dollars

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

Charles E. Doak

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against  
the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People  
of the State of New York and their dignity.

De Lancey Nicoll,  
District Attorney

0392

**BOX:**

509

**FOLDER:**

4641

**DESCRIPTION:**

Lisk, George G.

**DATE:**

01/06/93



4641

Witnesses:

W. J. Nolan

W. E. Sanders

Counsel.

Filed,

day of

1893

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

24 vs  
123-531  
copy

George G. Dick

(Sections 528 and 537 of the Penal Code.)

LANCEY, (MISAPPROPRIATION.)

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

J. Cather

Foreman.

123-531

Ec. Def. 93

0393

0394

**District Attorney's Office,**  
City and County of New York.

City and County } ss.  
of New York, }

of No. Park Avenue Hotel Street, aged William J. Nolan years,  
occupation Cashier being duly sworn, deposes and says,  
that on the 24th day of December 1891, at the City of New  
York, in the County of New York, George G. Link, then

being in the employ of William H. Earle and  
Arthur G. Earle, co-owners, proprietors of the said  
Park Avenue Hotel, did feloniously appropriate  
to his own use the sum of \$110.60 then in  
his custody, possession and control by virtue  
of his said employment.

on the 30<sup>th</sup> of December 1891 ~~remained~~  
caused the arrest of said Link at Gladstone  
New Jersey, and he was held for trial by  
justice of the Peace Todd at Gladstone until being  
fined at \$250. which was furnished by a brother  
of the defendant, whereupon defendant was released  
pending trial.

The defendant is now residing at Gladstone  
aforesaid with his family as I am informed and  
believe.

Given to before me this 6<sup>th</sup> day of January 1893 }

Justices

Just of Peace

City of New York.

Wm J. Nolan



0395

DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

City and County of New York.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

*Wm. H. Nolan*

vs.

*Park Ave Hotel*

*George G. Lick*

*Office Grand Jurors*

Dated *Jan 6* 18 *93*

Witnesses, *W. E. Laid*

*Park Ave Hotel* Street,

No. *100* Street,

No. *50* Street,

No. *50* Street,

0396

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

Therese, etc.,

against

George Lisk.

City and County of New York, ss:

Joseph P. Gardner being duly sworn deposes and says that he is a person engaged in business at Glengardner, N.J. and has known the defendant almost from infancy; that the defendant was in his employ for a period of nine years and a half prior to his coming to New York, and during which time he had charge of large and small sums of money, and defendant trusted him implicitly and always found him honest and faithful; that during his evenings after working hours he devoted his time to his studies; that his reputation in the community of Glengardner where he was born and brought up was good, and nothing worse was ever imputed to him; that he had the universal respect of the community; that his habits were good and his life exemplary; deponent says that this opinion was shared by all of his acquaintances in the town of Glengardner.

Subscribed and sworn to )  
before me this 10th day )  
of January, 1893. )

Gilbert P. Webb  
Commissioner of Deeds  
in County

0397

#####  
COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS  
#####

The People etc.,

against

George Lisk

#####

A F F I D A V I T.

#####

Purdy & McKerns,  
Defendant's Attorneys,  
116 Centre St., N.Y. City

0398

Received New York, May 24 1892  
from Wm. T. Nolan  
One Hundred <sup>00</sup>/<sub>100</sub> Dollars  
to be used only in making change  
in the Park Ave. Hotel  
\$100 <sup>00</sup>/<sub>100</sub>                       
Geo. J. Lusk

I take this opportunity of  
 expressing my regret for  
 being sick while he was a  
 resident of this place; he  
 was situated to be a promising  
 young man, as shown by  
 his habits, education in the  
 business matter, and as a  
 creation, and his kind  
 was to his mother  
 William Murphy

Wm Gardner, D.D. Jan 19 1893

Wm Gardner Hotel,  
 E. HUMPHREY,  
 PROPRIETOR.

0400

1427

COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss.:

In the Name of the People of the State of New York, To any Sheriff, Constable,  
Marshal or Policeman in this State, GREETING:

An indictment having been found on the 6<sup>th</sup> day of January

1893, in the Court of General Sessions of the Peace of the County of  
New York, charging George S. Wise

with the crime of Grand Larceny in the second degree

You are therefore Commanded forthwith to arrest the above named George  
S. Wise and bring him before that Court to answer the indictment; or  
if the Court have adjourned for the term, that you deliver him into the custody of the Keeper of the  
City Prison of the City of New York.

City of New York, the 6<sup>th</sup> day of January 1893

By order of the Court,

*John D. Carroll*  
Clerk of Court.



040

New York General Sessions of the Peace.

THE PEOPLE  
OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,  
against

*George G. Cook*

BENCH WARRANT FOR FELONY.

Issued *January 5* 189*5*

The officer executing this process will  
make his return to the Court forthwith.



0402

LAW OFFICE  
OF  
I. J. EYEARS,

City of New York, N. Y.

Jan 14 1892

To whom it may concern:

I have known George Lisk for a time extending through nearly his whole life, down to the time that he left the position he held in Mr. Joseph H. Gardner's store. My intercourse with him was almost daily, often helped him in his studies, to which he would devote his spare time. He was universally respected for his diligence, in looking after his employees interests. His integrity was unquestioned, and his choice of associates met with the approval of his best friends. He was a dutiful son and aided largely in the support of his mother and the younger children. In conclusion, I will say, that I would cheerfully make an affidavit to the above.

I. J. Eyears

0403

THURBER, WHYLAND COMPANY,  
Importers, Wholesale Grocers and Manufacturers,  
WEST BROADWAY, READE & HUDSON STS.,

P. O. Box 3482.

New York, January 16 1893

To all whom it may concern  
This is to certify that I have  
known the bearer Mr J W Gardner of Ill.  
Gardner New Jersey many years have done  
business with him and have at all times found  
him an honorable man and a man I can  
cheerfully recommend and any favors you  
can do for him will be duly appreciated by me  
G Russell

Very respectfully, yours  
in the above

Thurber, Whyland & Co

0404

533

**Court of General Sessions of the Peace**  
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

*George G. Liska*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse  
*George G. Liska*  
of the CRIME OF *Grand* LARCENY, in the second degree, committed  
as follows:

The said *George G. Liska*, —

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *17th*  
day of *December*, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and  
ninety-*two*, at the City and County aforesaid, being then and there the *clock*  
and servant of *William H. Earle and Arthur G. Earle*,  
*Earles copartners,*

and as such *clock and servant* then and there having in his  
possession, custody and control certain goods, chattels and personal property of the said  
*William H. Earle and Arthur G. Earle* —  
the true owners thereof, to wit: *the sum of one hundred and*  
*ten dollars and sixty cents in money, lawful*  
*money of the United States of America, and*  
*of the value of one hundred and ten*  
*dollars and sixty cents,* —

the said *George G. Liska*, — afterwards, to wit:  
on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,  
did feloniously appropriate the said *sum of money* —

to his own use, with intent to deprive and defraud the said *William H. Earle and Arthur G. Earle*,  
of the same, and of the use and benefit thereof; and the same goods, chattels and personal  
property of the said *William H. Earle and Arthur G. Earle*, —  
did then and there and thereby feloniously steal, against the form of the statute in such case  
made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their  
dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,  
District Attorney.

0405

**BOX:**

509

**FOLDER:**

4641

**DESCRIPTION:**

Loch, Charles

**DATE:**

01/24/93



4641

Witnesses:

Henry A. Vaggert

Seth Le m. s. for  
13/13. as a  
Sachin in W.  
Wm. He asked  
the Dick & their  
in to for. as I  
Ann. Ann has for  
Carrington M

250 *John Palmer*

Counsel, *h*  
Filed *4* day of *May* 1893  
Pleads *May 25*

Grand Larceny, *second Degree.*  
[Sections 828, 837, Penal Code.]

THE PEOPLE

*L. H.*

Charles Loch

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

*D. Cottin*  
May 20/93 Foreman.

*Henry J. G. 2 day*  
*1 M. R. R. 17*  
*May 26/93*

0407

Police Court

District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County }  
of New York, } ss:

of No. 4 Spring Street, aged 47 years,  
 occupation car dealer being duly sworn,  
 deposes and says, that on the 13th day of January 1893 at the City of  
 New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away  
 from the possession of deponent, in the day time, the following property, viz:

One overcoat,  
 One suit of clothes  
 One Hat  
 One pair of shoes

Being ignorant of the value of  
 Thirty four Dollars

the property of Richard St. Williams and in the  
 care and custody of deponent

and that this deponent  
 has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloni-  
 ously taken, stolen and carried away by Charles Jack and

John Gilliland (read name) who  
 were acting in concert for the  
 purpose of procuring to sell. On the  
 aforesaid day, said Jack who was  
 in the employ of deponent suddenly  
 left deponent's place of business aforesaid  
 and deponent pursued the said property  
 and on the 16th day of January 1893 he  
 caused said Jack to be arrested, and he  
 admitted and confessed to deponent  
 in the presence of Charles St. Kelly  
 a police officer aforesaid to a police officer  
 that he had taken the said property  
 from the said premises and that he had

Sworn to before me this

189

day

Notre Justice

pawned the said property in the pawn  
 shop of one Simpson in the Bowery  
 for one \$3000 dollars. And deponent  
 further says that on the 17th day of  
 January 1893. he pawned the said  
 property in the possession of said  
 Simpson and fully identifies the  
 same as being his own and custody  
 and he charges said defendants with  
 acting in concert and with the conspiracy  
 of said

Subscribed before me this 18th day of January 1893  
 at E. Simpson } H. A. Dagobert

To be returned



0409

CITY AND COUNTY }  
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

aged 35 years, occupation Police Officer of No. 1014

Greenwich Street, being duly sworn, deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Michael J. Ryan

and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 1st day of June, 1895, Cornelius D. Sweeney

B. E. Sweeney Police Justice.

04 10

Sec. 198—200.

1892

City and County of New York, ss:

District Police Court.

*Charles J. Goh* being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him, if he see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Charles J. Goh*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *34 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *London, England*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *100 West 10th St. New York*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Bookkeeper*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer. *I am not guilty of the charge against me.*

Taken before me this  
day of *Feb*  
189*2*

Police Justice.

0411

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court.

1882

City and County of New York, ss:

*John J. ...* being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is *h* right to make a statement in relation to the charge against *h*; that the statement is designed to enable *h*, if *h* see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against *h* that *h* is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that *h* waiver cannot be used against *h* on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *John J. ...*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *...*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *...*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *...*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *...*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer. *...*

Taken before me this

day of

189

Police Justice.

04 12

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named.....

..... *Charles A. Jones* .....  
guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *Ten* Hundred Dollars. .... and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, *Jan 15* 189 *1890* ..... Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named.....

..... *John G. Leland* .....  
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, *Jan 15* 189 *1890* ..... Police Justice.

Dated, *Jan 15* 189 *1890* ..... Police Justice.

Dated, ..... 189 ..... Police Justice.

04 13

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

*James J. Chenoweth*  
guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *Five* Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, *Jan 16* 189 *Police Justice.*

appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

*John J. Gilliland*  
guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *Five* Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, *Jan 16* 189 *Police Justice.*

Dated, \_\_\_\_\_ 189 *Police Justice.*

1881

04 11

253

Police Court---

District.

96

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

*William B. Hansen*  
*213 Bowery*  
*1893*

Offense

BAILED,

No. 1, by *William B. Hansen*

Residence *213 Bowery* Street.

No. 2, by

Residence Street.

No. 3, by

Residence Street.

No. 4, by

Residence Street.

Dated,

1893

Magistrate.

Officer.

Precinct.

Witnesses

No.

Street.

No.

*1000 to answer U.S.*

No.

\$ to answer

*1000 to answer U.S.*



04 15

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss.

POLICE COURT, 1 DISTRICT.

of No. 10th Precinct Street, aged 59 years,  
occupation Police Officer, being duly sworn deposes and says,  
that on the 16 day of December 1889  
at the City of New York, in the County of New York.

He arrested (Thomas J. O'Connell) (now  
here) charged with stealing an  
oath and a conspiracy of Hugh  
Loggins. He deposes and says that  
said O'Connell is a man who is  
him to procure the conspiracy  
and never saw him since to make  
any complaint against him or defend him.

Cornelius D. Scurly

Sworn to before me, this

of

1889

day

Police Justice.



0418

Police Court, 4 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Cornelius DeLoach

vs.

Charles Joseph

AFFIDAVIT.

Dated

January 12, 1897

James H. [unclear] Magistrate.

[unclear] Officer.

Witness,

Disposition,

Ex jure 18/97 and

**Court of General Sessions of the Peace**  
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

*Charles Lock*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

*Charles Lock*

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE *second* DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

*Charles Lock*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *13th* day of *January* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three*, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

*one overcoat of the value of fifteen dollars, one coat of the value of ten dollars, one vest of the value of five dollars, one pair of trousers of the value of five dollars, one hat of the value of three dollars, and one pair of shoes of the value of four dollars,*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one *Richard G. Windsor*

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

*Re Lancey Nicoll,*  
*District Attorney.*

04 18

**BOX:**

509

**FOLDER:**

4641

**DESCRIPTION:**

Loices, William M.

**DATE:**

01/26/93



4641

0419

Witnesses:

John V. Mc Caffrey  
Officer Higgins

William A. Chappin  
in Jail at 4 1/2 hours

Benjamin  
de Keeser  
Heckman

Counsel,

Filed

day of May 1893

Pleas,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

William A. Chappin

Section 498, in the Third Degree.

Dr LANCEY NICOLL,  
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

J. C. Caltin  
Foreman.

Heads of Jury Foreman

3/12/93  
Oct. 10, 1893

0420

Hon. Frederick Smyth,  
Recorder.

Sir:-

In reference to William M. Leisen who plead guilty before you, I would say that his right name is Martin Gehlhaffens and he was sent to the Juvenile Asylum and House of Refuge by his parents.

He was sentenced, on May 5th 1891, in the Court of Special Sessions to three months in the Penitentiary, and on August 14th 1891, he was sentenced, by the Court of Special Sessions, to six months in the Penitentiary.

I saw his father, a hard working man, who has a small cellar where he sells coal and wood, and who lives at No. 1885 Avenue A. He says he has always taken his son back home after he came out of the Penitentiary. He would remain home and keep sober for about a week, then come in drunk and abuse his mother and they would have to call on a policeman to put him out. His father says he is done with him and does not want any more to do with him.

The defendant is unable to give me the name of anyone for whom he worked.

Respectfully submitted.

0421

Police Court—6 District.

City and County }  
of New York, } ss.:

of No. 680 East 145<sup>th</sup> St John J. McCaffrey Street, aged 41 years,

occupation Builder being duly sworn,  
deposes and says, that the premises North East Avenue 137<sup>th</sup> St Street,  
in the City and County aforesaid, the said being a Frame Building

and which was occupied by deponent as a Tool House  
and in which there was <sup>not</sup> at the time a human being, by ~~himself~~

were BURGLARIOUSLY entered by means of forcibly pulling off the  
staple attached to the door and entering therein  
with intent to commit a crime

on the 22<sup>nd</sup> day of January 1883 in the night time, and the  
following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz:

a Black case full of the value of jewelry  
Five dollars

the property of deponent and William H. McCaffrey Co-partners  
and deponent further says, that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid  
BURGLARY was committed and the aforesaid property taken, stolen, and carried away by  
William J. Loeis (now true)

for the reasons following, to wit: that deponent knows of his own  
knowledge that said premises were securely  
locked and since the commission of said  
offense deponent was informed by Officer Patrick  
Higgins 33<sup>rd</sup> Precinct Police (now true) that the  
contents the said deponent with the above  
described property in his possession and deponent  
fully identifies said property

John J. McCaffrey

Sharon McHenry  
23<sup>rd</sup> Precinct, 1893  
City of New York  
Police District

0422

CITY AND COUNTY }  
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

aged 35 years, occupation Police Officer of No  
the 33rd Precinct Police ~~Street~~, being duly sworn deposes and  
says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of John [unclear]  
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponents' own  
knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 25 } Patrick Higgins  
day of Jan 1888 }

Wm. J. [unclear]  
Police Justice.



0423

Sec. 198-200.

6 District Police Court. 1882

City and County of New York, ss:

*William M. Lories* being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him, if he see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *William M. Lories*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *22 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *Brocklyn*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *1666 Ave A 11 months*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Brakeman*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer. *I am not guilty of the charge*

*William M. Lories*

Taken before me this

day of

1893

Police Justice.

0424

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Twenty Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated January 2 18 1892 Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named  
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated 18 Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named  
guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.

Dated 18 Police Justice.

0422

103

Police Court--- 6<sup>th</sup> District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

*John J. McCaffrey*  
*600 20 145*  
*William M. Loies*

2

3

4

Office *Reynolds*

BAILED.

No. 1, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

No. 2, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

No. 3, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

No. 4, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

Dated *January 25* 1893

*Frederick* Magistrate.

*Patrick Higgins* Officer.

*32* Precinct.

Witnesses *Alfred Kruger*

No. *630 E 136<sup>th</sup>* Street.

*Frederick*

No. .... Street.

No. .... Street.

No. .... Street.

\$ *1.00* to answer *G.S.*

0426

183

Court of General Sessions of the Peace  
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

*William M. Loices*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

*William M. Loices*

of the CRIME OF BURGLARY IN THE THIRD DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

*William M. Loices*

late of the *23rd* Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the  
*Twenty-second* day of *January* in the year of our Lord one  
thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three* in the *night* time of the same day, at the  
Ward, City and County aforesaid, a certain building there situate, to wit, the *building* of  
one *John J. McCaffrey*

there situate, feloniously and burglariously did break into and enter, with intent to commit some  
crime therein, to wit: with intent the goods, chattels and personal property of the said *John*  
*J. McCaffrey* in the said *building*  
then and there being, then and there feloniously and burglariously to steal, take and carry away,  
against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the  
People of the State of New York and their dignity.

## SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

*William M. Loices*

of the CRIME OF

*Real* LARCENY

committed as follows:

The said

*William M. Loices*

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid,  
at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, in the *night* time of said day, with force and arms,

*one block and fall of the  
value of twenty-two dollars*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

*John J. McCaffrey*

in the

*building*

of the said

*John J. McCaffrey*

there situate, then and there being found, in the *building*  
aforesaid, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute  
in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York  
and their dignity.

## THIRD COUNT:

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

*William M. Loees*  
of the CRIME OF RECEIVING STOLEN GOODS, committed as follows:

The said

*William M. Loees*

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, with force and arms, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid,

*one block and falls of the  
value of twenty five dollars*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of

*John J. McCaffrey*

by a certain person or persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, then lately before feloniously stolen from the said

*John J. McCaffrey*

unlawfully and unjustly did feloniously receive and have; (the said

*William M. Loees*

then and there well knowing the said goods, chattels and personal property to have been feloniously stolen, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

*District Attorney.*

0429

**BOX:**

509

**FOLDER:**

4641

**DESCRIPTION:**

Lopez, John

**DATE:**

01/16/93



4641



0430

Witnesses:

Rosa Lopez  
Secy for Counsel  
and for official  
Mr. Bush  
" Mr. Brewster  
64 West 10th St  
L. I. Toholes  
163 W. 97th St

Counsel,

Filed, 16 day of May 1893

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

BIGAMY.  
(Section 298, Penal Code.)

John Lopez

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

24th May 1893  
A TRUE BILL.

J. Carrin

Foreman

May 11 1893  
H. L. Smith

TORN PAGE

0431

"What God hath joined together, let no man put asunder."

ST. MATTHEW XIX. 6.

CHURCH OF THE BLESSED SACRAMENT



West 71st Street, New York.

THIS IS TO CERTIFY

That John Millas Lopez  
and Bridget Ryan  
were Married according to the Rite of the Roman Catholic Church, by  
the Rev. John J. McGee, on Oct 7<sup>th</sup> 1877  
before Thomas Kelleher and Margaret Ryan as witnesses.

Copied from Marriage Register

December 30<sup>th</sup> 1892

John J. McGee  
Assistant Rector.

D. P. Mumery, Jr., Church Stationer, 64 Vesey St., N. Y.

0432

*District Attorney's Office,  
City and County of New York*

June 2nd, 1893.

Mr. Rush,

Undertaker,

64 Morton Street.

Dear Sir :-

Your name appears on the papers in the case of John Lopez, who was sentenced to State Prison for Bigamy on January 20th last, as one of the persons who had some knowledge of the case.

Will you please call at this office at your early convenience and see me in regard to the matter.

Very truly yours,

*Henry W. Rogers*  
*Seely*

0433

## Police Court 4 District.

City and County } ss.  
of New York.

of No. 214 West 67<sup>th</sup> Street, aged 34 years,  
 occupation domestic being duly sworn, deposes and says,  
 that on the 7<sup>th</sup> day of October 1892, at the City of New  
 York, in the County of New York.

John M. Lopez (now here)  
 did wilfully and unlawfully violate  
 the provisions of Section 298 of the Penal  
 Code by marrying another person, under  
 the following circumstances to-wit:  
 Deponent was married to the defendant  
 in this city on May 5, 1889 by Reverend  
 Henry Chamberlain, Rector of St. Matthews  
 Church, as shown by the certificate hereto  
 annexed and deponent lived with defendant  
 since said time until about two months  
 ago as his lawful wife having had  
 one child of whom defendant was the  
 father. That deponent is informed by  
 one Bridget Ryan of No. 1215 West  
 17<sup>th</sup> Street, in this city, that she was married  
 to the defendant in this city at the  
 Church of the Blessed Sacrament on  
 October 7, 1892 by the Reverend John J. McGee,  
 as shown by the annexed certificate,  
 and that she, said Bridget, has since  
 lived with defendant as his lawful  
 wife. That deponent further says that  
 she has never been divorced from the  
 defendant and that she is at present  
 his lawful wife and deponent accuses  
 defendant of having committed bigamy  
 and prays that he may be dealt  
 with as the law directs.

Sworn before me this }  
 30<sup>th</sup> day of December 1892 } John M. Lopez  
 J. M. Lopez  
 John M. Lopez

0434

1377.

CITY AND COUNTY }  
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Bridget Ryan  
aged 20 years, occupation domestic of No. 215 West 17<sup>th</sup> Street, being duly sworn, deposes and  
says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Rosa Lopez  
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own  
knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 30 day of Dec 1897 } Bridget Ryan

[Signature]  
Police Justice.

0435

Sec. 198-200.

4

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss.

*John M. Lopez* being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him to see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

*John M. Lopez*

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

*24 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

*Spain*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

*215 W. 17 St.*

*1 mo.*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

*Book-keeper*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer.

*At present I have nothing to say in reference to this charge*

*John M. Lopez*

Taken before me this  
day of *April* 1897

Police Justice.

*John M. Lopez*



0436

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of 10 Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, Dec 30 1892 J. M. Smith Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named \_\_\_\_\_  
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, \_\_\_\_\_ 189 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named \_\_\_\_\_  
guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, \_\_\_\_\_ 189 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.



0437

Police Court--- 4 District. 5

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Rosa Lopez  
John M. Lopez

Offense

BAILED,

No. 1, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

No. 2, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

No. 3, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

No. 4, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

Dated, Dec 30 1892

Kilbuck, Magistrate.

Officer.

Combs, Precinct.

Witnesses Bridget Ryan

No. 41. Spring St. Street.

No. .... Street.

No. ... to answer ... Street.

Combs

0438

497

**Court of General Sessions of the Peace**  
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

*John Saxey*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment accuse  
*John Saxey*  
of the CRIME OF BIGAMY, committed as follows:

The said

*John Saxey*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *21st*  
day of *May* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and  
*eighty-nine*, at the *City and County aforesaid*,

did marry one *Rosa Schatt*, — and then the said  
— *Rosa Schatt*, — did then and there have for  
*his wife*; and the said *John Saxey*, —  
afterwards, to wit: on the *seventh* day of *October*, in the year of  
our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*two*, at the City and County  
of New York aforesaid, did feloniously marry and take as *his wife* one  
— *Bridget Ryan*, — and to the said  
*Bridget Ryan*, — was then and there married, the said  
— *Rosa Schatt* — being then living and in full life,  
against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the  
People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,  
District Attorney.

0439

**BOX:**

509

**FOLDER:**

4641

**DESCRIPTION:**

Lynch, John

**DATE:**

01/27/93



4641

0440

**BOX:**

509

**FOLDER:**

4641

**DESCRIPTION:**

Taggart, Samuel

**DATE:**

01/27/93



4641

Witnesses:

Maurice Beah

James Smith

It appearing from the  
within transcript that  
the def. Samuel Taggart  
is now dead  
and it further appearing  
from the testimony of  
the only witness, that  
he had no evidence  
against the def. John Lynch  
other than that he  
saw him leaving the  
house in company  
with def. Taggart  
I recommended that in  
witness whereof must be  
demonstrated

John Ray  
D. C. C.

Mar. 18 '98 I swear  
Howe  
and others

Counsel,

Filed day of May 1893

Pleads,

ENTERED  
T. L. W.

THE PEOPLE

vs.

John Lynch  
and  
Samuel Taggart

DE LANCEY NICOLL,  
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

old  
J. C. Cathin

Foreman.

March 25/98.  
Bail Discharged  
as to both.

0441

0442

Police Court— 5 District.City and County } ss.:  
of New York,of No. 116 E. 88th Street, aged 27 years,occupation Tool maker being duly sworndeposes and says, that the premises No. 114 E. 88th Street, 12 Wardin the City and County aforesaid the said being a one story framebuilding and which was occupied by deponent as a stable~~and in which there was at the time a human being by name~~

were BURGLARIOUSLY entered by means of forcibly

breaking  
the door leading from the yard  
into said stable and entering  
said stable with the intent to commit  
a crime.on the 27th day of January 1888 in the night time, and the following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz:One live fowl, a chicken  
of the  
Value of one dollar.the property of Leepmuth

and deponent further says, that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid BURGLARY was committed and the aforesaid property taken, stolen and carried away by

John Lynch and Samuel Taggart  
(both now here)

for the reasons following, to wit:

that at the hour of  
4 o'clock PM Jan'y 27th the door  
of said stable was securely locked  
and fastened. and at that time  
said fowl was in said stable.  
Leepmuth is informed by Terence  
Smith of no 116 E 88th St. that  
was in the act of going into the  
hallway of no 116 E 88th St. at the

0443

hour of 12.55- A clock A.M. Gary  
23. he met there defendant together  
and in company with each other  
in the act of coming out of the  
hallway of No 116 & 88th. And at  
that time they had a chicken in  
their possession.

Represent then examined said stable and found that said stable had been entered as aforesaid and said Chicken taken therefrom;

wherefore deponent charges these defendants with being together and acting in concert with each other and burglariously entering said premises as aforesaid and stealing said property therefrom.

Shown to before me  
this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of June 1892 } William H. Hays

Mr. W. Burke

188-12777-1000  
Police Justice

guilty of the offence mentioned, I order it to be discharged.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named  
 Police Justice.

*to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.*

I have admitted the above named \_\_\_\_\_  
Police Justice

*Dated* \_\_\_\_\_ 1888  
*of the City of New York, until the give such bill.*

and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the Prison, and be admitted to bail in the sum of

...now there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient ground to believe that the same has been committed by the person named in the indictment, I have returned the within indictment against the said person.

Offence—BURGLARY.

Police Court, \_\_\_\_\_ District.

*THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
on the complaint of*

*Offence—BURGLARY,*

219

1.                      2.                      3.                      4.

*Dated.*

199

*Magistrate.*

Officer.

*Clerk.*

**Witness,**

No.

Street,

No.

Street,

17.

Street.

.....to answer General Sessions.



0444

CITY AND COUNTY }  
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

1877

Terence Smith  
aged 48 years, occupation Car Driver of No.

116 E 8 St Street, being duly sworn, deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Maurice Kealey

and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 23 day of June 1897 3 Terence Smith

Charles R. Burke  
Police Justice.

0445

Sec. 198-200.

CITY AND COUNTY }  
OF NEW YORK, }

District Police Court.

*John Lynch* being duly examined before the undersigned according to law on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him, that the statement is designed to enable him, if he sees fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him, that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

Question. Where do you live and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer.

*I am not guilty*  
*John Lynch*

Taken before me this

day of

189

Police Justice.

0446

Sec. 198, 200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss:

*Samuel Taggart*

being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he sees fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

*Samuel Taggart*

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

*24 years old*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer

*New York*

Question. Where do you live and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

*227 S. 29 St. 3 Ave*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

*Carpenter*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer.

*I am not guilty*  
*S. Taggart*

Taken before me this

*23*

day of

*May**1897*

Police Justice.

0447

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

*and Samuel August*  
guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *one* Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, *June 1* 189 *John R. Smith* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named \_\_\_\_\_  
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, \_\_\_\_\_ 189 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named \_\_\_\_\_  
guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.

Dated, *1* 189 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.

0448

C267  
Police Court---5 District. 107  
1893

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Maurice Healey  
John Lynch  
Samuel Taggart

Offense  
Burglary

BAILED, Feb 7/93  
No. 1, by James Lynch  
Residence 118 E. 88<sup>th</sup> Street.

No. 2, by Same  
Residence Street.

No. 3, by  
Residence Street.

No. 4, by  
Residence Street.

Dated, Jan 23 1893  
Burke Magistrate.  
Robt G. Wildman Cler.

Witnesses  
Terence Smith  
No. 116 E 88<sup>th</sup> Street.

No. Street.

No. Street.

\$ 500 each to answer

Ex Cor 9 AM





0450

483

**Court of General Sessions of the Peace**  
**OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.**

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against  
*John Lynch*  
and  
*Samuel Taggart*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

*John Lynch and Samuel Taggart*

of the CRIME OF BURGLARY IN THE THIRD DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

*John Lynch and Samuel Taggart, both*

late of the *Twelfth* Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the  
*twenty-third* day of *January*, in the year of our Lord one  
thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three* in the *night* time of the same day, at the  
Ward, City and County aforesaid, a certain building there situate, to wit, the *stable* of  
one

*Maurice Healey*

there situate, feloniously and burglariously did break into and enter, with intent to commit some  
crime therein, to wit: with intent the goods, chattels and personal property of the said *Maurice*  
*Healey* in the said *stable*  
then and there being, then and there feloniously and burglariously to steal, take and carry away,  
against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the  
People of the State of New York and their dignity.



0451

SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

*John Lynch and Samuel Taggart*

of the CRIME OF *Petit* LARCENY

committed as follows:

The said

*John Lynch and Samuel Taggart, both*

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid,  
at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, in the *night* - time of said day, with force and arms,

*one chicken of the value of  
one dollar*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

*Maurice Healey*

in the

*stable*

of the said

*Maurice Healey*

there situate, then and there being found, in the *stable*  
aforesaid, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute  
in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York  
and their dignity.

0452

THIRD COUNT:

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

*John Lynch And Samuel Taggart*  
of the CRIME OF RECEIVING STOLEN GOODS, committed as follows:

The said

*John Lynch And Samuel Taggart, both*

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, with force and arms, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid,

*one chicken of the value of  
one dollar*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of

*Maurice Healy*

by a certain person or persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, then lately before feloniously stolen from the said

*Maurice Healy*

unlawfully and unjustly did feloniously receive and have; (the said

*John Lynch And Samuel Taggart*  
then and there well knowing the said goods, chattels and personal property to have been feloniously stolen, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

*District Attorney.*