

0222

BOX:

500

FOLDER:

4561

DESCRIPTION:

Wierski, Joseph

DATE:

10/31/92



4561

0223

BOX:

500

FOLDER:

4561

DESCRIPTION:

Sullivan, Thomas

DATE:

10/31/92



4561

0224

Witnesses:

off Saug Price

Not served / in Chicago / not through clerk

996
Filed
day of
1892
Pleads
Guilty

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Joseph Wierski
and

Thomas Sullivan

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

Munday 21st Nov.

Not Recd
A TRUE BILL
for a check requested

B. Hayward

Foreman.

Nov 28 92

Henry Perry Day
Exam. Ref.

Bureau in the Third Degree
Section 498
No. 582
1892

0225

Police Court - 2 District.

City and County }
of New York, } ss.:

of No. 11 ^{1/2} 12 Chatham Square Street, aged 24 years,
occupation Brass Moulder

George Whitehead

deposes and says, that the premises No 11 ^{1/2} 12 Chatham Square Street, being duly sworn
in the City and County aforesaid, the said being a Four story brick
Building

and which was occupied by deponent as a sleeping apartment
~~used in which there was at the time a tobacco business by means~~

were **BURGLARIOUSLY** entered by means of forcibly removing a
hasp and padlock, and then opening
a lock, by means of false keys, in a
door, on the third floor of the above
mentioned premises.

on the 21 day of October 1882 in the day time, and the
following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz:

Overcoat of the value of eighteen dollars, Two Coats
one pair and pair of pants, of the value of thirty
nine dollars one pair of shoes of the value of two
dollars and fifty cents, Silver Watch and chain, of the
value of thirty-five dollars - one Undershirt of the value of
one dollar and seventy-five cents, one pair Steel buttons of the
value of one dollar, one Bay Pin of the value of two dollars
and fifty cents, and a Razor and Razor Stop - of the value
of three dollars. in all of the amount and value of
one hundred and two dollars and seventy-five cents (\$ 102.75)
the property of deponent.

and deponent further says, that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid
BURGLARY was committed, and the aforesaid property taken, stolen, and carried away by

Joseph Mieroski and Thomas Sullivan (both now here),
and while acting in concert with each other,

for the reasons following, to wit: That about the hour of six o'clock
A.M. of the aforesaid date, deponent left his room
on the third floor of the above mentioned,
and secured, locked and fastened the said
door, and that about the hour of six o'clock
P.M. deponent returned to said premises, and
that he immediately discovered the said hasp
and padlock removed from the door of his room
and the said lock open, and that on deponent

entering his room, immediately discovered the above mentioned property stolen from his room. Dependent further says that the Coat, Pants and vest, now worn on the person of the defendant Meuski are his property, and are part of the property stolen from his room on said date. Dependent is further informed by said Meuski that he gave the aforesaid Watch and Chain to the defendant Sullivan to Pawn and Pledge for him. and dependent is further informed by Detective Officer Samuel Price of the Central Office that he found a Pawn ticket in the possession of the defendant Sullivan representing a Silver Watch Panned at Mr. Simpson, 97 Park Row. and which ticket dependent is informed by said Meuski represents dependent's watch stolen on said date. Dependent therefore charges the defendants while acting in concert with each other, in committing a Burglary and asks that they be held and dealt with as the Law may direct.

I Sworn to before me this } George Whitehead
 23 day of October 1892 }
 John Ryan
 Police Judge

Police Court _____ District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
 ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Degree _____

Burglary _____

Dated _____ 188 _____

Magistrate. _____

Officer. _____

Clerk. _____

Witnesses: _____

Committed in default of \$ _____ Bail.

Bailed by _____

No. _____ Street. _____

0227

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

aged 35 years, occupation Samuel Price
Detective Officer of No. Central Office Street, being duly sworn, deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of George Muehler
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own
knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 23 day of April, 1890, by Samuel Price

John Ryan
Police Justice.

0228

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

aged 18 years, occupation None of No. 11th 12 Chatham Square

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of George Whitehead

and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 23 day of June 1896, Joseph Wiercki

John Ryan
Police Justice.

0229

Sec. 198-200.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

2 District Police Court.

Joseph Wieroski being duly examined before the under-
signed according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is h — right to
make a statement in relation to the charge against h — ; that the statement is designed to
enable h — if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h —
that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that — a waiver cannot be used
against h — on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Joseph Wieroski*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *18 years -*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *Germany*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *11th 12 Chatham Square -*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *None*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the
testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your
exculpation?

Answer.

I am guilty
Joseph Wieroski

Taken before me this
day of *April* 1921

Police Justice.

0230

Sec. 198-200

2

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss.

Thomas Sullivan

being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him, that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Thomas Sullivan

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 18 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 53 Oliver Street - 7 months

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Type Foundry

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer.

I am not guilty - Thomas Sullivan

Taken before making charge of [Signature] 1897

Police Justice.

0231

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendants

guilty thereof, I order that they be held to answer the same, and they be admitted to bail in the sum of Two Hundred Dollars, each and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, October 23 189 John M. Ryan Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named.....
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated,..... 189 Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named.....
guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated,..... 189 Police Justice.

0232

1335
1894

Police Court--- 2 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

George Mitchell
11 1/2 Chatham St.
Joseph Pierotti
Thomas Sullivan

John J. Ryan
Offense

BAILED,

No. 1, by.....

Residence Street.

No. 2, by.....

Residence Street.

No. 3, by.....

Residence Street.

No. 4, by.....

Residence Street.

3
4
Dated, *October 23* 189 *2*

John J. Ryan Magistrate.
Rice & Montgomery Officer.
C. C. Precinct.

Witness *Henry Taylor*
No. *11 1/2 Chatham Sq.* Street.

Sokol Lang
No. *11 1/2 Chatham Sq.* Street.

No. *1000* *lack* Street.
to answer

W. Con

Joseph Wieriski (now here from Elmira)
 I tried to open the locker in the hotel but could not do so. Sullivan told me to take a piece of iron and I would be able to open it: He waited in a pool room, a few doors from the lodging house. I put on the clothes myself and came down and gave the watch to Sullivan to pawn. He was in the pawn shop when the Complainant came up to me and said I had his clothes. I told Sullivan how I got the watch. I had previously stolen money in Brooklyn, and told Sullivan, and I spent some of the money with him.

Officer Price.

I came across the Bowery in answer to Whitehead's call. Wieriski said Sullivan was in Simpson's pawning the watch. Sullivan got away through side door. ~~Sullivan and I~~ ^{Wieriski} but said he got the watch from ~~Wieriski~~ ^{Wieriski} but said he was not with Wieriski when it was stolen: said he knew Wieriski ~~the~~ ^{the} it. Sullivan sent his brother for the pawn ticket and he himself gave me the pawn ticket. The Complainant identified the watch by the case number which he had on a bill of sale.

0234

George Whitehead

My clothes and watch were in the locker when I left in the morning. Met Weirski on the Bowery with my clothes on. Called officer Price. Identified watch by the case number

Officer Peter Kelly.

on the 19th of October ^{47 S. 5th Ave} registry
 I was detained at the polling place
 in the 53rd Election of the Eighth
 Assembly District. About 10 a.m.
 a colored woman (while I was standing
 inside) came and asked me to
 step aside that she wanted to speak
 me. She asked me if Wm H Washington
 had registered from 80 West Houston
 St, that he was her husband and
 had deserted her about two years
 ago. I went in and asked the Inspector
 Edward Kelly if Washington had registered
 from 80 West Houston St. He said No.
 In the afternoon sometime between
 2 and 4 a colored man came and
 I followed him in and before the board
 they asked where he lived, he said
 80 West Houston, then asked his
 name, he said William H Washington.
 then asked how long he lived there
 he hesitated, and then said "since
 the 12th of August". a few days
 afterwards I asked the landlady how
 long Wm Washington had lived there
 she referred to her book and showed
 it to me (now in the hands of the

0236

Captain / that he hired a room there
on the 18th of October. I informed
the Captain of the matter.

0237

Mrs M Washington.

I live at 56 Clinton Place
have been living there during
the past eleven years. I was
married to Mr H Washington
in 1880 and lived with him
upto two years ago. He has not
lived at 56 Clinton Place since
May 1890. He slept there one ~~year~~^{night}
a year ago last May (1891).
I went to the place of registry
in S. 5th ave and enquired
from the officer if Mr H Washington
had registered. He went inside
and looked over the book and
told me He had not.

0238

People vs. William Washington
Illegal Registration

Mrs. Sarah Johnson - 80 West Houston Street.
On Tuesday Oct. 18, 1892. - William Washington
came to Mrs. Johnson's house 80 W. Houston
(fixes it by being Tuesday before her birthday which
was Tuesday Oct. 25, 1892). He hired room
in the morning. He went away, and came
back in the evening and then got key.
Saw Washington again Saturday night
Oct 24/92 - saw Washington again Tuesday
night Oct. 25/92 when he gave her $\$1\frac{1}{2}$ more
rent. - did not see him again until
he was in the Police Court.

Mr. Andrew Johnson 80 West Houston
(husband of the last witness) met Washington
on Tuesday Evening Oct. 18, the evening of the
day on which Washington took the room.
(fixes date as Oct. 18, because spoke to him
when he came home about that day
a week being her birthday) - also,
because next day Oct. 19/92 Johnson went
to register, and a colored marshal in-
quired whether a man named Washington
lived in his house. Johnson said he came there yesterday

did not see Washington again until Saturday night when Washington left a can of peas and a can of beans with Johnson - did not see Johnson again until he was in police court.

Officer Peter Kelly - 15th Precinct

On Oct. 19/97 was detailed at place of registry for the 53rd Election District of the Eighth (8th) Assembly District at No. 47 S. 5th St.

On Wednesday morning Oct. 19 a colored woman came to the polling place called me aside and inquired if her husband William Washington had registered from 80 West Houston St. Upon inquiry from Inspector Edward Kelly found that Washington had registered. In the afternoon a strange colored man came in to register. He told the Board of Registry that he lived at 80 W. Houston St. that his name was William Washington - when asked how long he lived there, Washington said "since August 17".

Officer verified registry lists and at 80 W. Houston St. found on Oct. 21 that Washington was there nearly a week.

731 Meet 37

7.
Zumbardo 73rd & 8th Ln.

Mrs. Jensen 157 N. 24th St.
1 yr. ago last May.

Via

Edward Kelly.

I was present as Inspector, and remembered Washington coming in and think I can identify. Remember the officer asking in the morning if Mr H Washington had registered, we looked up the books and found he had not, when Washington came I noticed his manner. When asked how long he lived on W. Houston St he hesitated and said since August.

Officer Michael J. Carey & Dowigan
I went to the P.O. at Produce Exchange asked him if he was Mr H Washington and if he lived at 80 W. Houston St, he said yes. I then arrested him. He said he lived at 80 W. Houston St since 8th of October. In Police Court he said he lived there since Aug 25.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Joseph Wierski
and
Thomas Sullivan

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Joseph Wierski and Thomas Sullivan

of the CRIME OF BURGLARY IN THE THIRD DEGREE, committed as follows :

The said *Joseph Wierski and Thomas Sullivan, both*

late of the *6th* Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *21st* day of *October* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*two*, with force and arms, in the *day*-time of the same day, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, the dwelling house of one

George Whitehead

there situate, feloniously and burglariously did break into and enter, with intent to commit some crime therein, to wit : with intent the goods, chattels and personal property of the said *George Whitehead* in the said dwelling house then and there being, then and there feloniously and burglariously to steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse, the said

Joseph Wierski and Thomas Sullivan

of the County of *NEW YORK* in the County of *NEW YORK* committed as follows:

The said *Joseph Wierski and Thomas Sullivan*, both

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, in the *day* - time of said day, with force and arms,

one overcoat of the value of eighteen dollars, two coats of the value of thirteen dollars each, one vest of the value of six dollars, one pair of trousers of the value of seven dollars, one pair of shoes of the value of two dollars and fifty cents, one watch of the value of twenty dollars, one chain of the value of fifteen dollars, one shirt of the value of two dollars, one pair of sleeve buttons of the value of two dollars, one scarf-pin of the value of two dollars and fifty cents, one razor of the value of two dollars and one razor strap of the value of one dollar,

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one *George Whitehead*

in the dwelling house of the said *George Whitehead*

there situate, then and there being found, from the dwelling house aforesaid, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

THIRD COUNT:

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

Joseph Wurski and Thomas Sullivan
of the CRIME OF RECEIVING STOLEN GOODS, committed as follows:

The said

Joseph Wurski and Thomas Sullivan, both
late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, with force and arms, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid,

the same goods, chattels and personal property described in the second count of this indictment

of the goods, chattels and personal property of

George Whitehead

by a certain person or persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, then lately before feloniously stolen from the said

George Whitehead

unlawfully and unjustly did feloniously receive and have; (the said

Joseph Wurski and Thomas Sullivan
then and there well knowing the said goods, chattels and personal property to have been feloniously stolen; against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

0245

BOX:

500

FOLDER:

4561

DESCRIPTION:

Williams, Charles

DATE:

10/05/92



4561

Witnesses:

Saul Levy

Counsel,

Filed

day of

Oct 1892

Pleas,

19

THE PEOPLE

vs.

F

Charles Williams

[Sections 511 and 521, Penal Code.]
Forgery in the Second Degree.

Handwritten signature

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

M. Lockwood

Foreman.

Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature

0247

MORGENSTERN & ROBINSON.

Ex A. No 975

New York, Sept 11 1892

The People's Bank

Pay to the order of Cash Equal to Thompson's No. John H. Williams

\$ 25

Dollars
Morgens tern & Robinson

PRINTED & CASH MADE IN N.Y.

0248

John H. Williams

0249

Police Court, / District.

(1358)

City and County } ss.
of New York,

Moses Morgenstern

of No. 334 Canal

Street, aged 30 years,

occupation Cloak Manufacturer being duly sworn, deposes and says,

that on the 30 day of September 1892 at the City of New

York, in the County of New York

Charles Williams (nowhere) did feloniously make - forge and utter a certain check hereto attached marked Ex A. purporting to be drawn by the firm of Morgenstern and Robinson of which firm deponent is a member. Deponent is informed by Samuel Levy of no ~~212~~ 212 Canal Street that the defendant went into his place of business and bought a suit of clothes and tendered said check to ^{him} deponent in payment for said clothes and said check was refused.

Deponent further swears that the signature of Morgenstern & Robinson to said check is a forgery, that the signature was not written by deponent nor his partner Marcus Robinson, and that they are the only persons who have authority to sign the firm name to checks.

Deponent therefore charges defendant with forgery and prays that he be dealt with according to law.

Moses Morgenstern

Sworn to before me

this 30 - day of September 1892

M. F. Brady
Police Justice

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Samuel Levy

aged *24* years, occupation *Apprentice* ~~dealer~~ of No.

712 Canal

Street, being duly sworn deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of *Moses Morgenstein*

and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponents' own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this
day of *Sept*

30

18*94*

his
Samuel X Levy

mark

Thos. F. Gwy
Police Justice.

(1835)

Sec. 198—200.

District Police Court

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.
OF NEW YORK.

Charles Williams being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Charles Williams*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *17 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *U.S.*

Question. Where do you live and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *9 Cleveland Place Brooklyn 1 month*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Errand boy*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. *I am guilty*

Charley Williams

Taken before me this *30*
day of *April* 189*7*
Wm. J. ...
Police Justice.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

Defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, Sept 30 1892

Wm. P. H. [Signature] Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

0253

Police Court---

1227
1892 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Moses Morgenstern
334 Canal
1 Charles Williams

Offense
Larceny

2
3
4

BAILED,

No. 1, by

Residence Street.

No. 2, by

Residence Street.

No. 3, by

Residence Street.

No. 4, by

Residence Street.

Dated, Sept 30 1892

Grady Magistrate.

Carroll Officer.

6 Precinct.

Witnesses Samuel Levy

No. 212 Canal Street.

No. Street.

No. Street.

\$ 1000 to answer G.S.

C
1000 2 suit

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Charles Williams

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Charles Williams of the CRIME OF FORGERY IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

Charles Williams

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the 30th day of September in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-two, at the City and County aforesaid, with intent to defraud, feloniously did forge a certain instrument and writing, which said forged instrument and writing is as follows, that is to say:

No 975 New York, Sept 1892

The Peoples' Bank Cor. Canal & Thompson Sts.

Pay to the order of John H. Williams

Twenty five Dollars

\$25 Morganstern & Robinson

[Handwritten flourish]

against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT.

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

Charles Williams

of the CRIME OF FORGERY IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said *Charles Williams*

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with intent to defraud, did feloniously utter, dispose of and put off as true, a certain forged instrument and writing, which said forged instrument and writing is as follows, that is to say:

No. 975 New York, Sept 1892

The People's Bank
Cor Canal & Thompson Sts.

Pay to the order of John A Williams
Twenty five ————— Dollars
for *Morgansterm & Robinson*

the said

Charles Williams

then and there well knowing the same to be forged, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

0256

BOX:

500

FOLDER:

4561

DESCRIPTION:

Williams, Charles

DATE:

10/19/92



4561

Witnesses:

Edw. Houston
Factor & all
fact of peace,
Anthony Beard
W. H. P. P.
Subj. office
W. H. P. P.
W. H. P. P.
in court also.

Counsel,
Filed *19* *Oct* 189*2*

Pleads, *W. H. P. P.*

14 THE PEOPLE

306 vs.

Press fact of
& W. H. P. P.

Charles Williams

Grand Larceny,
Receiv. Degree,
(Sections 528, 529, 530 Penal Code.)

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

B. J. Foxworth

Oct 2 - Oct. 26, 1892
Foreman,
Heads of family of L. S. 2. Beg
Ed. R. P. P. P.
Oct. 28, 1892

Police Court 2nd District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County }
of New York, } ss:

Philip Amelong

of No. 66 Grand Street, aged 34 years,
occupation Porter

deposes and says, that on the 13 day of October 1892 at the City of
New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away
from the possession of deponent, in the day time, the following property, viz:

One roll of woollen cloth, of the
amount and of the value of one
hundred dollars (\$ 100⁰⁰/₁₀₀)

the property of Manuel Knauth and in
deponent's care and custody.

and that this deponent
has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloni-
ously taken, stolen, and carried away by Charles Williams (now here)
from the following facts to wit: That the
aforesaid property was lying in a wooden
case in front of deponent's place of business
at the aforesaid address, and that
deponent is informed by Alexander M^r Eluce
of No 17 North Pearl Street City of Albany
that about the hour of 12.30 P.M.
he saw the defendant take, steal and carry
away the aforesaid property, and that deponent
is further informed by Spencer L. Ker of No
33 and 35 Greene Street, that he saw the
defendant enter the alley way of No 40 Broder
Street, with a roll of cloth in his possession,
and saw the defendant about leaving said alley-

Subscribed before me this 13 day of October 1892
of Philip Amelong
Police Justice.

way without said roll of cloth in his possession,
 Dependent further says that he has seen the roll
 of cloth which defendant had in his possession
 at the time said Ken saw him enter said alley-
 way and fully recognizes the same as his
 property and as the aforesaid property stolen
 from him on the aforesaid date - Dependent
 therefore asks that the defendant may be
 held to answer

Sworn to before me
 this 13 day of October 1892

W. J. White

Phillip Amelung

Police Justice

0260

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

aged 27 years, occupation Manufacturer of No. 17 Wm. Paul Stab. City of Albany N.Y. Street, being duly sworn, deposes and says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Philip Amelung and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 13 day of October 1890, } Alexander M. Elver

[Signature]
Police Justice.

0261

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Spencer C. Ker

aged *44* years, occupation *Lawyer* of No. *33 & 35 Greene* Street,

being duly sworn, deposes and says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of *Philip Ameling* and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this *13* day of *October* 1890, } *Spencer C. Ker*

[Signature]
Police Justice.

0262

(1885)

Sec. 198-200.

2 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.
OF NEW YORK,

Charles Williams being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Charles Williams

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 16 years.

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York

Question. Where do you live and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 356 West 11th Street - 1 year

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Printer

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty.
Charles Williams

Taken before me this 13 day of Sept 1897
[Signature]
Police Justice.

0263

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Twenty Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, Nov 13 189 [Signature] Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named [Name] to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, [Date] 189 [Signature] Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named [Name] guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h [Name] to be discharged.

Dated, [Date] 189 [Signature] Police Justice.

0264

1285
1894

Police Court--- District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Philip Ameling
Charles Williams

J. J. Lacey
Officer

2.....
3.....
4.....

Dated, *October 13* 189*2*
J. White Magistrate.

Geo. J. Dunn Officer.
Precinct.

Witnesses
Stencer L. Pen
No. *33 1/2 35 Greene* Street.

Alexander M. Elver
No. *17 North Pearl* Street.
City of Albany N. Y.

No. *[Signature]* Street.
[Signature] to answer
JC
17
189*2*
[Signature]

BAILED,

No. 1, by.....

Residence..... Street.

No. 2, by.....

Residence..... Street.

No. 3, by.....

Residence..... Street.

No. 4, by.....

Residence..... Street.

7-7/92

THE PEOPLE

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS, PART I.

vs.

BEFORE JUDGE FITZGERALD.

CHARLES WILLIAMS,
jointly indicted with
WALTER ANDERSON.

Monday, November 14, 1892.

Indictment for ROBBERY in the first degree.

A Jury was empanelled and sworn.

HENRY JOHNSON, sworn and examined:

I live at 644 Atlantic avenue, Brooklyn, and am a painter, by trade. I remember the 20th of October, 1892. On that day I was in the city of New York. I was paid off about one o'clock. I walked up the Bowery and went into different museums on the Bowery, but did not drink anything in the museums. On the way up the Bowery, I had five or six drinks of beer, in different saloons. About eleven P. M., I was going down the right hand side of Park Row, going towards the Brooklyn Bridge; on the West side of the street. I felt kind of sick and I went down around City Hall Place; it was right near Pearl street; I sat there on the curbstone for a few minutes and then I got up. I was just going to return to Pearl street, when, all of a sudden, three men came right in front of me. Two hands went down in my pocket, and before I knew it they robbed me of what I had--- one dollar and twenty-five cents. The defendant was one of the three men. They did not hold me, because it was not necessary; it was all over in a moment. I had two fifty-cent pieces, two tens and a five in my pocket at that time. The men rifelled my pockets. I can't say which one it was that got the money. I recognize the defendant and Walter Anderson. I did not see the defendant until he came right up in

front of me. One of them caught hold of me; I don't know which one it was; and the others went through my pockets. One of the men put his hand in one pocket and the other man put his hand in the other pocket. The defendant is one of the men that robbed me; he is not the man that took hold of me. I had some money in one pocket and some in the other. I did not make any out-cry when I was being robbed. When the officer came up, I told him I had been robbed. I turned to go home, and then I met the officer. I spoke to the officer. The officer was within 20 yards of the defendants. I told the officer that they were the men, and I recognized them as the men who had robbed me. The officer arrested them and took them to the station house. I did not hear Williams or Anderson say anything at that time; I didn't pay any attention to what they said. I went to the station house with them, and made a charge of robbery. The defendants denied it to the sergeant, at the desk. When the defendants saw the officer, they ran away.

CROSS EXAMINATION:

I did not work on the day of the robbery. I left my home at about eight o'clock, in the morning. I collected four dollars that was due me, down in South street, near Roosevelt street ferry, from a man named Williams. Up to the time that I collected the money from Williams, I had not drank anything. Then I took a walk up East Broadway, and had a glass of beer. I then walked up the Bowery and went into several museums. When I reached Houston street, I turned to come back. I came down to Chatam Square, and I was in a museum up to ten o'clock. I intended to go to the bridge then. When I left the Park Row museum, I had a dollar and thirty-five cents in my pocket; I looked and saw it. There was very

few people in the museum. Nobody spoke to me in there. Nobody spoke to me going through Park Row. I turned into Pearl street, and went about fifty yards. Then I went into City hall place, and sat down on the curb-stone. I sat there for about ten minutes. Then I got up and started to go towards Pearl street. City Hall place is a pretty dark street. I just got up to go towards Pearl street, when I was robbed. Two men came up in front of me. I only saw them for about half a minute. Then I went up and spoke to the officer and told him, "There is the men there." They were about fifty feet away from the officer, standing together, talking. The officer started to go up to them, and the three men ran. I saw the defendant searched at the station house; fifteen cents was all that was found in his pocket.

VALORUS M. KINSMAN, sworn, and examined:

I am connected with the Municipal police, and have been for about two years. I remember the 20th of October. On that day, about eleven o'clock at night, I saw the complainant, on the corner of Pearl street and City Hall place. The complainant had a conversation with me. I saw the defendant, and Walter Anderson and another man, who has not been arrested, about half a block away. They started to run, and I went after them, rapping. I did not catch either one of them myself. I followed them to Duane street, and I was probably about a hundred feet from Williams when he ran into the arms of Officer Hayes, on the south side of Chamber street. I kept him, Williams, in sight from the time I started to chase him until he ran into Officer Hayes. Officer Carroll caught Anderson. After seeing Williams caught by Officer

Hayes, I did not stop running until I saw Anderson run into Officer Carroll. Officer Hayes asked the complainant if he knew Williams, and the complainant said he did, and the complainant said that Williams was one of the three men who had robbed him. Williams denied that he had robbed the complainant of anything. On the way to the station house, Williams said that two men sent him over to Johnson, and he was sorry that he went over.

CROSS EXAMINATION:

I accompanied the prisoners to the station house. I searched Williams in the station house, and found fifteen cents in his pocket. I searched the other man, but did not find anything on him. I did not look in the street, to see if I could find any money. Williams did not deny taking part in this robbery. He said that these two men sent him over, and that he was sorry he went over. He told me in the station house that he did not know these other parties.

CORNELIUS HAYES, sworn and examined:

I am connected with the Police Department of this city. I remember the night of October 20, 1892. On that night I was standing on the south side of Chamber street, about eleven o'clock, and I heard the rap of a policeman's club, almost opposite City Hall place, and immediately after I saw this Williams come running out of City Hall place. He was running as fast as he could, and I ran half-way across the street and caught him and asked him what was the matter. He said a policeman was chasing him. I asked him what for, and he said two men told him to go across the street and speak to a man in City Hall place, and the policeman chased him. So
4 Officers Kinsman and Carroll they continued after the other

man of the two, and I took Williams in the direction they had taken, and on the corner of Park Row and Chamber street I met them coming back with this other prisoner. Officer Carroll had Anderson. I asked Williams if he knew Anderson, and he said yes, and then he said no, he didn't know him. I turned Williams over to Officer Kinsman, to whom he belonged. Officers Carroll and Kinsman took the men to the station house. I did not go to the station house.

CHARLES G. CARROLL, sworn, and examined:

I have been connected with the Municipal Police force for about eight years. On the night of the 20th of October, about 11:30 P. M., I heard the sound of a policeman's nightstick, and I couldn't locate it. A moment after I saw two men running from City Hall place and running into Chamber street. I knew that Officer Hayes was on the opposite side of the street; so I walked around Center street and around the Staats Zeitung building, and I saw the prisoner, Walter Anderson, walking there. He was puffing rather hard. I said "What is the matter?" He said, "Nothing." I arrested him and brought him back and Kinsman with the prisoner Williams, on the corner of Park Row and Chamber street. I said, "Is this one of them?" Officer Kinsman said, "Yes," but the complainant failed to identify him at that time. I asked Anderson what he had been doing, and he said he hadn't been doing anything.

CROSS EXAMINATION:

Williams did not speak a word to me. I accompanied the prisoners to the station house. I was present when Williams was searched. Fifteen cents was found in his pocket.

The Case for the Defence.

CHARLES WILLIAMS, sworn, and examined:

I am nineteen years of age. I am a machinist, and my home is in St. Louis, Missouri. I have been in the city of New York about four months, and have been living at 79 Bowery. I remember the night I was arrested. I was going down City Hall place from the City Hall Park, on my way to the lodging house, about eleven o'clock. There was a man came over and spoke to me, and he said, "Do you see that?" And I said, "Yes." And just then he said, "You had better run, here comes a policeman," and he started to run, and I commenced to run. Then I was arrested about two blocks away. I do not know Anderson; he was not with me on that night. The man who spoke to me had a light brown mustache, and he wore a black slouch hat. I had no acquaintance with him. I do not know the complainant. I saw him in court, and heard his testimony. I did not rob him of \$1.25 or any other amount. I never put my hand in his trousers pocket. My parents are in St. Louis. They live at 29th and Locust streets.

CROSS EXAMINATION:

Since I came to New York, I have been selling papers. A boy called Gudgeon saw me selling papers in New York; he sells papers. I never spoke to the complainant. I did not tell the officer that I had spoken to the complainant, because if I had, it would have been a lie. I did not see three men talking together. There was only one man talking to me. I never saw Anderson at all on that night, until I was arrested. I had some money when I first came to New York. I came on the steam-ship "Columbia" from Panama, where I was working for the railroad company, as a machinist, in Collene. I told

the officer that Williams was not my right name. My right name is Ashley C. Walker. I gave my name in the station house as Charles Williams. I gave my name in the lodging house as Charles Williams. The officer pulled a book out of my pocket with my name in it, and he said, "Is this your right name?" I said, "That's my right name." I wrote it in the book when I was in Guatemala, where I was working in the railroad shop.

WALTER ANDERSON, sworn, and examined:

I was arrested on the complaint of Henry Johnson, charged with robbing him of \$1.25, and pleaded guilty. I never saw Charles Williams before in my life, until I was arrested, and never was in his company. I live at Gouverneur and Henry streets, in the city of New York. I am a waiter.

CROSS EXAMINATION:

My right name is Walter Anderson. This is the first time I have ever been arrested for anything like this. I was arrested once, on Park Row, for petty larceny, and sent to the Island. I do not hang around Park Row. I did not take a cent from the complainant. The reason I pleaded guilty was, because a man comes up in front of a jury, and has not got any money for a lawyer, and nine times out of ten he is found guilty, and that is the reason I pleaded guilty. When I saw the policeman, I saw some people running, and I ran. The policeman caught me about two-thirds of a block away from where I started to run. At the time I saw the policeman, there was only one man talking to me; he has not been arrested. I never saw the defendant, until he was arrested. When Assistant District Attorney Osborne spoke to me about

0273

Testimony in the
case of
Charles Williams
filed
Oct. 1912
25 Va

THE COURT: I have read the testimony of Charles Williams in the case of Charles Williams, and I find that the same is correct and true. I have also read the testimony of the other witnesses, and I find that the same is correct and true. I therefore find in favor of the plaintiff, and I award to the plaintiff the sum of \$1000, with interest thereon from the date of the filing of this judgment until paid. I also award to the plaintiff the costs of this suit. I give judgment accordingly.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Charles Williams

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Charles Williams

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE *second* DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

Charles Williams

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *13th* day of *October* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*two*, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

one piece of cloth of the value of one hundred dollars

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

Manuel Knauth

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said

Charles Williams

of the CRIME OF CRIMINALLY RECEIVING STOLEN PROPERTY, committed as follows:

The said *Charles Williams*

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

one piece of cloth of the value of one hundred dollars

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

Manuel Knauth

by a certain person or persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, then lately before feloniously stolen, taken and carried away from the said

Manuel Knauth

unlawfully and unjustly did feloniously receive and have; the said

Charles Williams

then and there well knowing the said goods, chattels and personal property to have been feloniously stolen, taken and carried away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

0276

BOX:

500

FOLDER:

4561

DESCRIPTION:

Williams, Charles

DATE:

10/27/92



4561

0277

BOX:

500

FOLDER:

4561

DESCRIPTION:

Anderson, Walter

DATE:

10/27/92



4561

Witnesses:

.....
.....
.....
.....

Comsol,

Filed

day of

188

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Robbery, - 1st Degree, (Sections 224 and 228, Penal Code.)

I

and

I

Charles Williams

Walter Anderson

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

John C. Tread & Company
Attorneys at Law
Lancaster, Pa.

A TRUE BILL.

B. Lockwood

Foreman.

Chas. S. Hoag
Alfred B. Kelly
H. E. Peterson

177
E. M. 1900

0279

STATE OF NEW YORK,
CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK. } ss

Police Court--First District.

Henry Johnson
of No. *664 Atlantic Avenue* Street, being duly sworn, deposes
and says, that on the *20* day of *October* 18*92*
at the *6th* Ward of the City of New York, in the
County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, from the person of de-
ponent, by force and violence, without his consent and against his will, the following property viz:

*Good and lawful money of
the United States*

of the value of *One* ^{*25*}/_{*100*} Dollars,
the property of *Deponent*

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property
was feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away by force and violence as aforesaid, by
Charles Williams and Walter Anderson
(both now here, and another man not yet
arrested who were acting in concert
for the reasons following to wit:
on the said date as deponent was
on City Hall Place having the said
money in the pockets of the tunic
which he then wore he was seized
hold of by said men and the
said money taken from his pockets
while so held

Henry Johnson

Sworn to, before me, this }
of *October* 18*92* }
Wm. Shaker }
Police Justice.

0280

(1895)

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss.

Walter Anderson being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Walter Anderson.

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

19 years.

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

Boston Mass.

Question. Where do you live and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

273 Henry St. 2 years.

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Waiter

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer.

I am not guilty.

Walter Anderson

Taken before me this *27th* day of *October* 189*5*
W. M. Anderson

Police Justice.

0281

(1895).

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss.

Charles Williams

being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is his right to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Charles Williams*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *19 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *U. S.*

Question. Where do you live and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *39 Boney 1 month*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Machinist*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. *I am not guilty
Char Williams*

Taken before me this
day of *October*
1895
Wm. S. ...
Police Justice.

0282

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

Defendants

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *Twenty* Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, *Oct 21* 1892 *[Signature]* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

0283

Kelly's Restaurant
West =

BAILED,

No. 1, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

No. 2, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

No. 3, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

No. 4, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

Police Court--- District.

1337
1884

THE PEOPLE, &c. H.D.
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Henry Johnson
Charles Williams
Walter Anderson

Robbery
Offense

Dated, *Oct 21* 189 *2*

McMahon Magistrate.

Russman Officer.

6 Precinct.

Witnesses *Ch Carol L P*

No. *" Hayes 47* Street.

No. _____ Street.

No. _____ Street.
§ *Jour* to answer *G.S.*

C

Henry Johnson

I live at 664 Atlantic Ave. Brooklyn.
~~you~~ I am a painter by trade. On
 the 20th of October came to South St
 for my money. Was paid \$4 at
 about 1 P.M. Started to E. Broadway
 with two other men. One of them left
 and myself and the other went to
 a ~~museum~~ in the Bowery. Spent an
 hour until dark in a museum near
 Broome St, came out and was
 in ~~the~~ a museum at ~~Chatham~~
 until ~~10 or 10~~ - near 11 P.M. Came
 down Park Row to Pearl St and turned
 through Pearl St into City Hall Place.
 I had about half dozen glasses of beer.
 Was not drunk, but felt sick and
 wanted to sit down. Sat down
 on a stone near a house on west side
 of City Hall Place. Sat down for about
 15 minutes. Just as I was getting up
 saw the three men coming down
 City Hall Place from Duane St.
 They came up to me and they rifled
 my pockets ^{\$1.25 out of two pockets} all done very quickly.
 I hollered "Get out there". I then started
 to walk met Officer Knisman at
 Pearl St and City Hall Place. I said "I have
 just been robbed: there are the fellows

right there."

Officer Kuisman

Met Johnson at Cent. St and City Hall Place
He said he had been robbed. Saw the
three men standing in the street near
the curb on the east side. They started
to run I ran up City Hall Place.

Charles Williams ran into the arms of
Officer Hays, ^{4 Precinct} Anderson went ^{through} ^{Chas. G. 6th Precinct}
Chambers into Park Row, Officer Carroll
went through Centre St, I ran after
him and Carroll headed him off.
Both admitted they saw the complain-
-ant but said they were innocent.
They said they did not know the third
man.

Anderson pleaded guilty to Robbery in
the second degree

Officer Chas J. Carroll.

I was standing Cor Chambers & Centre St & heard the rapping in City Hall Place & saw the two men running out of City Hall Place. They started for Park Row, and I went through Centre St around the Staats Zeitung building to head him off. I caught him just around on Park Row, he was breathing hard. I met and arrested Walter Anderson. Officer Krissman was on Park Row near Chambers St. (Anderson pleaded guilty). ~~He was breathing hard.~~

Walter Anderson

Says he knows nothing. Has no desire to tell the truth.

AFFIDAVIT FOR COMMITMENT OF WITNESS.

4771

POLICE COURT... DISTRICT.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Sworn to before me, this
day of *Oct* 189 *5*

Delorous M. Kinsman
of the *6th* Precinct Police, being duly sworn, deposes
and says that *Henry Johnson*
(now here) is a material witness for the people against
Charles Williams & Walter Anderson, charged
with *Robbery*. As deponent has
cause to fear that the said *Henry Johnson*
will not appear in court to testify when wanted, deponent prays
that the said *Henry Johnson* be
committed to the House of Detention in default of bail for his
appearance.

Delorous M. Kinsman

H. P. Mahala
Police Justice.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
against
Charles Williams and
Water Anderson

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Charles Williams and Water Anderson
of the CRIME OF ROBBERY in the 2nd degree, committed as follows:

The said Charles Williams and Water Anderson, both

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the 12th day of October, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-two, in the time of the said day, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and upon one Henry Johnson,

in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously did make an assault; and the sum of one dollar and twenty five cents in money, lawful money of the United States of America, and of the value of one dollar and twenty five cents,

of the goods, chattels and personal property of the said Henry Johnson, from the person of the said Henry Johnson, against the will and by violence to the person of the said Henry Johnson, then and there violently and feloniously, did rob, steal, take and carry away, the said

Charles Williams and Water Anderson, and each of them, being then and there aided by an accomplice, actually present, to wit: each by the other, and also by another man whose name is to the Grand Jury aforesaid as yet unknown, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

De Bancroft,
District Attorney

0289

BOX:

500

FOLDER:

4561

DESCRIPTION:

Williams, Christopher

DATE:

10/07/92



4561

0290

BOX:

500

FOLDER:

4561

DESCRIPTION:

Brush, David

DATE:

10/07/92



4561

Ch of Court good the
opinion to be read by
ag. C. Neft - Mc. Nolia
in the case of Handbook
No. 2 Handbook
No. 2 Handbook

Collins

Clifton Office

19

28th

J
Counsel,
Filed
Pleadings
1892

THE PEOPLE vs.
115
10

Christopher Williams
and

Davis Branch

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

Oct 17, 92
Oct 18, 92

A TRUE BILL.
B. Deane

Oct 14, 1892 - Foreman.
Reads Spirit

Oct 19, 92
Oct 28, 92

Grand Larceny, (From the Person),
[Sections 528, 529, Penal Code.]

1892

0292

State of New York,)
City and County of New York,) ss.

James M. Gaedrick

of No. *401-7-avenue* Street, being duly sworn, deposes and says,

that *David Brush* (now present) is the person of the name of

John Doe mentioned in deponent's affidavit of the *30th*

day of *September*, 189*2* hereunto annexed.

Sworn to before me, this *3*
day of *October*, 189*2* *James M. Gaedrick*

A. J. White POLICE JUSTICE.

0293

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.
OF NEW YORK,

POLICE COURT, 2 DISTRICT.

George Doran

of No. Central Office Street, aged _____ years,
occupation Police Officer being duly sworn deposes and says,
that on the 2 day of April 1892

at the City of New York, in the County of New York, he arrested
David Brust (now here) on the charge of
having committed a Larceny. deponent
therefore asks that said Brust may
be held to enable deponent to procure
further evidence

George A. Doran

Sworn to before me, this _____ day of _____ 1892

[Signature]
Police Justice.

0294

Police Court, 2 District.

THE PEOPLE & C.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

381

David Boush

AFFIDAVIT.

Dated Oct 3 1892

White Magistrate.

Carey & Dore Officer.

Witness, [Signature]

Disposition, \$ 2,30

Police Court 2 District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County }
of New York, } ss:

James M. Goldrick

of No. 401-7 Avenue - Street, aged 48 years,

occupation Liquors being duly sworn,

deposes and says, that on the 6th day of September 1892 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in the night time, the following property, viz:

A Gold Watch - of the amount and value of Seventy five dollars -

\$ 75 - ⁰⁰/₁₀₀

the property of deponent

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away by Christopher Williams

(now here) and John Doe (not yet arrested)

and while acting in concert with each other, from the following facts to wit:

That deponent is informed by Michael J. Goelins of No 401-7 Avenue. who is a bar-tender that about the hour of one o'clock A.M. of the aforesaid date, he saw the defendant Williams in company with said Doe, in deponent's place of business, and he then saw the said Doe go into and enter a back room off the said place - and in which room deponent was asleep with the aforesaid property in the possession of Williams and there was on his person - and he is further informed

Sworn to before me, this

189

Police Justice

By said Collins that he then followed said Doe into said room where deponent was asleep, and ordered him from the same, and that said Doe then came out of said room, and met and spoke to the defendant Williams, who was standing in deponent's place of business, and that in a few minutes thereafter he saw the defendant Williams going through and escaping through a skylight - which was in the roof of the room where deponent was asleep, and that deponent shortly after woke up - and immediately missed the aforesaid property from his possession - deponent therefore charges said Williams with acting in concert with said Doe - and asks that they may be held to answer deponent further says that the defendant Williams admitted and confessed to him in presence of Detective Officer Carey of the Central Office that he had stolen the aforesaid property - and that said Doe, was the person who first spoke and told him to steal the aforesaid property -

For all: Goldmark

Done to before me
 this 30 day of September 1897
 J. M. Ryan
 Police Justice

0297

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Michael J. Collins

aged 32 years, occupation Bar-tender of No. 401-7-Avenue Street, being duly sworn, deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Amos M. Goldman and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 30 day of September 1896 } *Michael J. Collins*

Amos M. Goldman
Police Justice.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

John Carey
aged 11 years, occupation Detective Officer of No.

Central Office Street, being duly sworn, deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of James M. Golden

and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 13 day of Sept 1896, } *John Carey*

John Ryan
Police Justice.

0299

(1885)

Sec. 198-200

2

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss.

David Brush

being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. David Brush

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 29 years -

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Canada

Question. Where do you live and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 2636 - 3 - Avenue - 3 years

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Porter

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer.

I am not guilty
David Brush

Taken before me this

day of

[Signature]

1889

Police Justice.

0300

(1335)

Sec. 198-200.

2

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss.

Christopher Pellianis

being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him, that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Christopher Pellianis -*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *28 years -*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *U. S.*

Question. Where do you live and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *No 175 West 32 Street - 1 Month*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Painter*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. *I am not guilty -*
C. Williams

Taken before me this
day of *Sept* 189 *21*

Police Justice.

0301

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime herein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named defendant

Christopher Williams

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of ten Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, September 30 1892 [Signature] Police Justice.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime herein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named defendant

David Bush

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of five Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, October 1892 [Signature] Police Justice.

there being no sufficient cause to believe the within named defendant guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

0302

1228
1894

Police Court--- 2 District

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

James W. Goldrick
Christopher Williams
David Bush

3 (nr 38)

Dated, 11/30 189 2

Carey & Doran
C.O. Magistrate.
Officer.
Precinct.

Witnesses Michael J. Callow

No. 4017th Ave Street.

C. Deft. Williams will
testify vs. Bush -

No. Have no deft Williams neighborhood

No. 2115 1/3 Ave Street.

1000 to answer

2100 1/2 / 92. 230

BAILED,

No. 1, by

Residence Street.

No. 2, by

Residence Street.

No. 3, by

Residence Street.

No. 4, by

Residence Street.

James the Person

0303

No. 1.

408

District Attorney's Office.

PEOPLE

vs.

This case goes to
Judge Fitz Gerald.
for sentence on vs. 2
Penney has drawn.
for Judge F. from
the Recorder. in
case of Burch

0304

—OFFICE OF—
DR. G. H. MCGUIRE,

737 E. 145th St.

Office Hours: { 2 to 3 P. M. Near Brook Ave.
7 to 8 P. M.

New York, Oct. 15th 1892

This is to certify that
David Bruck has been known
to me about five years during
which time his character has
been of the best. He has been
the main support of his aged
mother since his father's death.

G. H. McGuire M.D.

0305

New York Oct 17th 92

This is to certify that I have known David Bush over four years I always found him to be honest & trustworthy as I have trusted him a great deal with money & always found things all wright

Respectfully
J. D. Gars
301 East Eleventh St

0306

JOHN F. DRYDEN, Pres.

Wm. D. WARD, V. Pres.

EDWARD S. JOHNSON, Sec'y.

BRANCH OFFICE OF

The Prudential Insurance Company

OF AMERICA

M. W. ADAMS, Supt.

Horton Building, 110 E. 125th St.,

To Dep't.

New York, N. Y., Oct. 15, 1892.

I have known David
 Brush for about five
 years and always
 considered him an honest
 and straightforward citizen.
 Being the trusting and
 trading support of a
 widowed mother is
 evidence of true manhood.
 In fact he is a model
 son of a dotting mother.

Albans Bross
 Agent Life Insurance
 No. 221 E. 126 St.,

0307

New York Oct 17th 1892

I hereby
certify that the bearer
David Broush worked
as porter at 14th St
3^d Ave Elevated Station
when I was Ticket Agent,
for nearly 2 years in
which time I can vouch
for his honesty and
exemplary behavior.
Oftentimes when I allowed
him to sell tickets while
I absented myself tem-
porarily to go to the Water
Court, there were hundreds
of dollars in money about

0308

and I never once had
any cause to question
the honesty. I was down
to the court on Monday
and as the case was
adjourned and for the
great difficulty I have
in getting excused from
my duty I would cer-
tainly appear before the
court in person to testify
in his behalf as I know
that would be much more
preferable than this writing.
I write this therefore
in the hope that mercy
will be shown to him who
has merited my good will
and friendship as long
as I have known him.
I have been employed as
Ticket Agent on the Eastern

0309

Railroad system far over
Eleven years and are
still working in that
capacity.

Respectfully yours

J. Wickham
Elevated Station
53^d St 3^d Ave

N.Y. General Sessions.

The People vs. }
 against }
David Brush and }
Chris Milhanna Dfts }

State City and County of New York ss:—

Lucio Milhauer being duly sworn says that he is a ticket agent on the 3^d Ave. Elevated R.R. at 5th 3rd Street and 3rd Ave and has been for the last (11) years and during the last 3 years up to August 1892 deponent known the above defendant David Brush who was engaged as a porter during that time on the same rail road and was always honest industrious and reliable — that deponent knew him to be the main support during said time of his ^{widened} mother who resides at No 2636 Third Ave.

Deponent knows the reputation for honesty of said David Brush among his associates and fellow employees and in the community at large and up to this time ^{change} it has always been good.

Sworn to before me this }
28th day of October 1892 } Lucio Milhauer.

[Signature]
Notary Public
No. 2636 (96)

0311

General Session

The People vs
vs

David Greub
and Chris Williams

Affidavit of character
for bench

G. R. WESTERFIELD

W. VANMETER

WESTERFIELD & VANMETER,

COUNSELLORS
Attorney for *D.P.*

EVENING POST BUILDING,

No. 206 BROADWAY, NEW YORK.

Due service of a copy of the
within

is hereby admitted.

Dated,

189

Attorney.

of the said and at the same time
exhibiting to said the within original,
Sworn to before me, this day
of 189

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Christopher Williams
and
David Brush

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Christopher Williams and David Brush
of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY in the first degree, committed as follows:

The said Christopher Williams and
David Brush, both
late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the sixth
day of September in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and
ninety-two, in the night-time of the said day, at the City and County aforesaid,
with force and arms,

one watch of the
value of seventy-five dollars

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one James Mc Goldrick
on the person of the said James Mc Goldrick
then and there being found, from the person of the said James Mc Goldrick
then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in
such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York
and their dignity.

De Lancey Nicoll,
District Attorney.

03 13

BOX:

500

FOLDER:

4561

DESCRIPTION:

Wilson, Sadie

DATE:

10/19/92



4561

0314

Witnesses:

Edw Smith

Wm Sheehan

The prisoner has
been tried & the
jury disagreed
I am as juror
I am P. Lacey -
I do not
think people could
obtain a conviction
a fact that prisoner
be discharged on
his own recognizance
November 4. 1892
23292 A.D.C.

Counsel,

Filed

19 day

1892

Pleads

Wm Sheehan

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Sadie Wilson

Grand Larceny, *first*
(From the Person)
Degree. [Sections 538, 540
Penal Code.]

DELANCY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

Sept 2 - Nov. 23, 1892
On motion of both attorney
defendant discharged on her
own recognizance

Wm Sheehan
I tried my cause
I for defendant
A TRUE BILL.
3 for J.L.

B. Stockwood

Foreman.

Nov 1. 1892

Wm Sheehan
G. J. Lacey
Nov 2. 1892
G. J. Lacey

0315

(1885)

Police Court- 3 District.

Affidavit-Larceny.

City and County }
of New York, } ss.

Edward Smith
of No. 79 Baven Street, aged 28 years,
occupation Lithographer being duly sworn,

deposes and says, that on the 11 day of October 1892 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession and possession of deponent, in the night time, the following property, viz:

Good and lawful money of the United States consisting of a bill of the denomination and value of Ten dollars

the property of Deponent \$10-

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away by Sadie Wilson (imprisoned)

Deponent says that said deponent snatched the aforesaid money from his hand and ran away

Ed Smith

Sworn to before me, this 11 day of October 1892
John P. [Signature]
Police Justice.

03 16

3

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK ss:

Sadie Wilson

being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he sees fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Sadie Wilson

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

27 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

Ireland

Question. Where do you live and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

27 Boney 3 years

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Servant

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer.

I am not guilty

W R Siddle Jun

Taken before me this

day of

1894

W R Siddle Jun
Police Justice.

0317

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of five Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, Oct - 11 189 [Signature] Police Justice.

I have have admitted the above-named _____ to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____ guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

031

Police Court,

3

1273

District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

116 3rd Ave Union Square
Edward Smith
79 13
Sadie Wilson

Offense,
Gambling

BAILED,

No. 1, by
Residence Street.

No. 2, by
Residence Street.

No. 3, by
Residence Street.

No. 4, by
Residence Street.

Dated, Oct 11 1892

Duffy Magistrate.
Sullivan Officer.

Witnesses Cor J. Buchanan
No 11 1/2 Precinct Street.

No. Street.

No. Street.

\$ 5.00 to answer G S

COMMITTED

9 1/2
removed

1892

The People

Sadie Wilson

Court of General Sessions. Part I
Before Judge Fitzgerald. Nov. 10. 1892

Indictment for grand larceny in first degree.
Edward Smith, sworn and examined

By Mr. Osborne Q Where do you live? A. I live at Third ave

Q What number? A. No. 107.

Q No. 107 Third avenue? A. Yes sir, No. 107.

Q How long have you lived there?

A I lived there about six weeks.

Q What is the nature of your business, Edward.

A Lithographer.

Q How long have you been engaged in business
as a lithographer? A. About seven or eight years.

Q Where do you work? A. At that time
I was at the Star theatre.

Q How long had you worked there?

A Worked there about six months.

Q Do you remember on the 11th of October
going into a saloon at No. 79 Bowery.

A It was at this other saloon.

Q What is the number? No. 44 Division st.

Q And that is where you saw the defendant,
No. 44 Division street. A. Yes.

Q Did you go in to take a drink? A. Yes.

Q Were you then slightly intoxicated? A. Yes.

Q Did you take your money out of your
pocket? A. Yes.

Q What kind of money did you take out.

- 2
- A I had altogether about sixteen dollars, a little over.
- Q Did you take out a ten dollar bill? A. Yes.
- Q Tell us what the defendant did.
- A I went up; it was like that; (showing) I had it right in here, this left hand, and I went up in there; it was about 11 in the evening I ordered something to drink. For this ere lady that was in there and some other women in it.
- Q Where was she sitting? A. On the side, a little small —
- Q Did she sit at a table? A. No, she stood up at that time.
- Q Did she get up? A. She stood up then and had something to drink.
- Q Near you? A. Yes sir.
- Q Tell the jury what she did? A. She reached right over and snatched it out of my hand.
- Q What did she do? A. She left there.
- Q Did she run, and did you run after her?
- A Yes, she went a little ways up the street from there.
- Q And you after her? A. Yes sir.
- Q Did the policeman appear on the scene? A. Yes.
- Q What did he do? A. He came up to me, I explained to him what it was,

what she had stole from me

V Was she there at the time you explained in her presence? A. Yes sir.

Q What did she say? A. She said she did not have the money.

Q What did the policeman do, did he arrest her? A. Yes sir.

Q Where did you go, the two of you?

A I went to the station house, I forget the street

Q He took you to the station house?

A Yes, to the Eldridge street station.

Q Did you find the ten dollar bill? A. Yes.

Q Where was it? A. She had it.

Q In her mouth, was she chewing it? A. Yes.

By Counsel Q She was what? A. Swallowing it; she wanted to swallow it.

By Dist. Atty. Q Did the policeman get the ten dollar bill out of her mouth? A. Yes.

Cross Examined by Counsel

Q She was swallowing it you say? A. Yes.

Q How do you know she was swallowing it?

A That is the way it looked to me.

Q Tell the jury how it looked, they can judge

A She had it like this in her mouth;

she wanted to swallow the money.

Q Did you see it? A. Yes, she had it in there.

Q Did you see it? A. Yes, she spit it out.

- 4
- Q Did you see it while she was in the act of swallowing, you say?
- A No, not like that; she was swallowing it; that is all I understood her to be at; she spit it out after that.
- Q You do not know whether she was trying to swallow it or not? A. It looked that way, that she wanted to swallow it.
- Q What did you give her the money for?
- A I did not. What is that? I do not understand it. I did not give her the money. Didnt she come right up to me and snatch it out of my hand?
- Q Didnt you have some talk with her?
- A No sir.
- Q Not a word? A. No sir - simply asked her what she wanted, that is all; she ordered something to drink, that is all. I asked her if she would have something to drink.
- Q Did you ever meet her before? A. Yes sir.
- Q With her steve? A. Oh, I have seen her right along. I was never up with her, anything of that kind. I was never out with the young lady in my life.
- Q You know her pretty well dont you?
- A No, I do not know what she is -

nothing whatever, only around there once-in-a while I used to go around in the saloon.

Q You went around there quite often didn't you?

A No sir.

Q Were you ever around there before that night?

A I had not ever been around there.

Q Didn't you just tell us a moment ago that you only knew her from being around? A. I mean up around saloons, around in some saloons outside of that; it was not exactly in that one saloon.

Q Have you any of the addresses of the saloons that you met her in? A. No sir.

Q You met her often in other saloons?

A Yes up around in other saloons. I spoke to the lady.

Q Quite often? A. No sir.

Q Several times? A. Several times, yes sir.

Q Name one of the other saloons.

A It is very hard for me to say.

Q Is Smith your name? A. Yes sir.

Q One of the other saloons will do me?

A I aint able to now.

Q In the neighborhood of the saloon?

A Up around there that saloon; she was in and out around there in other saloons.

- 6
- Q You mean Division street? A. Yes sir.
- Q You saw other ladies in those same saloons? A. Yes sir.
- Q You live around there? A. No sir.
- Q What brings you in that neighborhood?
A. Oh. I just go around once in - a while in the evening if I have got an hour or so to spare.
- Q What did you use to talk about to this lady? A. That is something I am not able to explain to you. I do not know what I would speak to her about. I am not able to explain that to you.
- Q Why? A. It has been so long since I was up around there or had any thing to do to her.
- By the Court. Q You do not remember it.
A. No sir, I do not remember it.
- By counsel. Q You do not remember what you said.
A. No. I do not remember what I spoke about.
- Q You do not remember whether it was politics or the weather? A. No sir.
- Q You are sure it was nothing of that kind. A. No sir.
- Q It was not about going with her?
A. No sir, never.

- Q Did you talk about it that night in that saloon? A - No sir.
- Q True about that? A Yes sir.
- Q You were drunk? A. No sir.
- Q Slightly intoxicated? A. Yes sir.
- Q What had you been drinking?
- A Oh, I had a little of this and the other things a little beer and ale.
- Q How much? A. I have not any idea exactly.
- Q You drink only ale? A. Lager beer and ale.
- Q Did you act that night in the theatre.
- A No sir, I am no actor.
- Q You are connected with the Star theatre.
- A Yes sir.
- Q In what capacity? A. Lithographer.
- Q You go round hanging out bill boards.
- A Yes, that is my business.
- Q How long were you at work that night.
- A I worked from about seven o'clock.
- Q Where do you live? A. No. 107 Third Avenue.
- Q You do not want this jury to understand that this lady went into the bar room and stood up and drank with you.
- A No sir; she was in there though; she did not stand up at the bar.
- Q She was in the saloon? A. Yes, at the end.
- Q Where the ladies go? A. Yes sir.

Q You came out and stood at the bar and ordered some drinks? A. Yes sir.

Q This money was all in bills? A. Yes sir.

Q You were holding it in your hand.

A I had it in my hand.

Q Were they lengthwise or rolled up together.

A They were just spread out.

Q In your hands? A. Yes sir.

Q She grabbed the money or snatched it away from you, did she? A. Yes sir.

Q A ten dollar bill? A. Yes sir.

Q What became of the other six dollars.

A That was in here yet, left in my hand.

Q Didnt you give her that ten dollar bill? A. No sir.

Q Dnt you know you did? A. No sir.

Q Would you know it if you done it?

A Yes sir.

Cornelius J. Sheehan, sworn and examined. testified.

Q You are connected with the Municipal Police force? A. Yes sir.

Q How long? A. A little over six years.

Q Do you remember the evening of October 11, 1892 when you arrested Sadie Wilson here? A. Yes sir.

Q Will you state to the jury what you saw

A I was attracted by the cries of this

woman on Division Street, I went around there and I found the officer of the adjoining precinct in charge of her and the complainant. I asked what was the matter? The complainant says, "she just snatched a ten dollar bill from me." I says, "Is that true?" She says, "No, he lies." I says to the complainant, "Are you sure that she took it?" He says, "yes." I placed her under arrest. At the station house the matron searched her skirts and her pockets.

By Counsel
By Dist. Atty.

Q Were you present? A. Yes sir.
Q Go on officer? A. The ten dollar bill was missing from the pockets.

Q Did you find it? A. Yes, the Sergeant noticed she had something like chewing tobacco in her mouth when it was missing.

Q Did the sergeant call your attention to it in her presence? A. Yes sir.

Q You saw it? A. Yes. I said, "what have you got in your mouth?" She said, "nothing." She commenced to move it on the side of the jaw, and finally we had to put our fingers up like that and send the bill out through her teeth.

Q Did you get the ten dollar bill?

A Yes sir.

By Counsel Q Where is it now? A. In the Property Clerk's ^{Office}

Q Why didn't you bring it? A. I was not notified to bring it.

District Attorney: That is the case for the people.

Sadie Wilson, sworn and examined in her own behalf testified:

By Counsel Q Sadie, do you know Smith? A. Yes, I have seen him.

Q The Smith that was on the stand I mean.

A Yes sir.

Q How long have you known Smith?

A I have known him for as much as two years.

Q Have you seen him often?

A The first place I met Mr. Smith was —

Q Have you seen him often? A. Quite often.

Q Spoken to him quite often? A. No sir, not hardly ever, I used hardly to talk to him.

Q But you have met him in different saloons — you have spoken to him before that night in question. A. Yes sir.

Q You were in the saloon on the night in question? A. Yes.

Q Will you tell the jury in your own way just what happened there between you and Smith and the rest of the people in that saloon? A. Yes sir.

- Q Just let me ask you did you steal that ten dollar bill? A No sir. I did not.
- Q You did not? A No sir.
- Q Remember, you are under oath? A Yes.
- Q Tell the jury exactly what happened between you and Smith? A. I was after going into Division street for a hat crossing over. I went into No. 44 Division street and had several drinks with a lady friend of mine in there. I was waiting for a friend. Smith came out of the saloon in the little box. Smith came out of the bar room of the saloon, as you call it, and he asked me would I have a drink? I says, "Certainly." There was Mr. Long and Miss Dunn. He sat down beside me, he had given me two drinks of whiskey, he passed me a ten dollar bill, and he wanted to go and have a good time together. He went out in the bar room afterward and he wanted to take a chair to strike me. I went out to defend myself. He followed me out. I put the money in my mouth. The reason I put the money in my mouth was I was afraid he would take it from me or the officer. For me to take

that money from that man I never took it more than from any of you. He tells a bare faced lie if he says it. He passed it as any of your gentlemen would pass me anything.

Q I want you to tell the truth about this, what did he give you that ten dollar bill for, what did you think he was giving it for? A That I could not say. He asked me to go with him several times to have connection with him - that is all. At the saloon in No. 15 Bowery he asked me to go with him. He worked there as floor walker.

Q Sadie, have you ever been convicted of stealing anything? A No sir. I never took one cent in my life from anybody.

Q You are an unfortunate female, make your living that way?

A I am an unfortunate girl and very wild, but I never stole a cent. I lived out in Philadelphia.

Q Do you know Chestnut St. in Phil. adelpphia? A Yes, well. I worked in Washington Park there.

Q Cross examined by District Attorney
P There were you when he arrested you

A. On the street.

Q How far from the place? A. I could not say. As I remember there was another officer turned me over to Sheehan.

Q About how far was it? A. I should say as far as from here to that door there, may be further.

By Counsel Q Capt. Long you say he was there? A. Yes sir.

Q The Captain sails the ocean? A. He is in a canal boat - he is a 'canaler'.

Q When the boat is in the vicinity he comes ashore in that neighborhood?

A. Yes sir.

Q You see him often. He is nowhere now.

A. No sir, he is not, but Miss Dunn is in Cherry street. Many a time she seen him give me money.

The jury disagreed. Nine were for acquittal and three for guilty of petty larceny.

~~W. J. ...~~
 ...

0332

Testimony in the
case of
Sadie Wilson
filed Oct. 1922

30 CP

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Sadie Wilson

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Sadie Wilson

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY in the first degree, committed as follows:

The said Sadie Wilson

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the eleventh day of October in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-two, in the night time of the said day, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

one promissory note for the payment of money of the kind commonly called United States Treasury Notes, of the denomination and value of ten dollars; one promissory note for the payment of money of the kind commonly called Bank Notes, of the denomination and value of ten dollars; one United States Gold Certificate, of the denomination and value of ten dollars; one United States Silver Certificate, of the denomination and value of ten dollars;

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Edward Smith on the person of the said Edward Smith then and there being found, from the person of the said Edward Smith then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

Re Laurey Nicoll, District Attorney

0334

BOX:

500

FOLDER:

4561

DESCRIPTION:

Wolff, Morris

DATE:

10/24/92



4561

0335

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

1911
Gosdard & Phillips
12 William St

Counsel,
Filed, *21st* day of *Oct* 189*7*
Pleads, *Not guilty - vs*

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Morris Wolff

BIGAMY.
Section 208, Penal Code.

Part II
De LANCEY NICOLL,

Pro U
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

A. Lovellwood
Notary Public
Dec. 13, 1892.
on motion of the District
Attorney indictment dismissed

[Faint handwritten notes on the left side of the page, including names like "Gosdard & Phillips" and "De Lacey Nicoll"]

0336

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Bail fixed at \$1000 by court

Witnesses:

Off Heidelberg

1911 Goodbank & Phillips
12 Williams St

Counsel,

Filed, day of 189

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Morris Wolff

BIGAMY.
Section 298, Penal Code.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

B. Lovell
Feb 20 - Dec. 13, 1892.
on motion of the
Attorney indicted & dismissed

I recommend the
dismissal of the in-
dictment herein for
the reason that the
same is barred by
the statute of limita-
tion.

Jan 22 92
J. W. Osborne
Deputy
Sergeant
Deputy Sheriff

0337

Police Court, / District.

(1358)

City and County } ss.
of New York,

of No. 163-E-1192

Jessie Wolff

Street, aged 35 years,

occupation Housekeeper being duly sworn, deposes and says,

that on the 13 day of September 1887 at the City of New

York, in the County of New York

"Morris Wolff - did willfully and unlawfully marry another person to wit Sophie Falk, he the defendant at that time having a wife living to wit the deponent in violation of Section 298 of the Penal Code of the State of New York.

for the reasons following -
Defendant and deponent were married by Rev E. Schwab on March 9th 1874 as annexed certificate will show and they lived together untill September 1887 - when deponent was informed by said Sophie Falk and the Defendant that they were married to each other - and that the annexed certificate marked Ex B. is a transcript from the Record of marriages in the City of New York - and that the Morris Wolff mentioned therein is the same Morris Wolff who was married to deponent

Jessie Wolff

Sworn to before me
this 28th day of September 1892

D. W. ...
Police Justice

0338

(1235)

Sec. 198-200.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss.

District Police Court

Morris Moale

being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Morris Moale*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *38 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *United States*

Question. Where do you live and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *163 East 119th Street 15 years.*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Salesman*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. *I am not guilty*

Morris Moale

Taken before me this

29

day of *September* 189 *7*

H. D. M. ...

Police Justice.

Married - Morris. Wolff. to Jessie ^{Bull} ~~Wolff~~ March 8th 1874

Births William Wolff ~~at~~ Born July 26th 1875

Astoria Long Island

Walthes J. Wolff. Harlan - June 18th 1877

Theodore Hoff. Born January 14th 1879 New York City

Theodore. Died January 1st 1882 age 2 years 11 months and 12 days

0341

HEALTH DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK
BUREAU OF RECORDS.

Liber No. 1418

OFFICE, 301 MOTT STREET,

New York, September 28, 1892

Transcript from the Record of Marriages
IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK.

DATE OF MARRIAGE.		NAME OF GROOM.	RESIDENCE.		AGE.	COLOR.
MONTH.	YEAR.		NUMBER.	STREET.	YEARS.	
March 8 th	1874	Moses Wolff	314	E 117	20	W
GROOM'S BIRTHPLACE.		NAME OF FATHER.	NAME OF MOTHER.			
New York		Louis Wolff	Henriette Pursch			
OCCUPATION.	No. of Groom's Marriages.	NAME OF BRIDE.	RESIDENCE.		AGE.	COLOR.
			NUMBER.	STREET.	YEARS.	
Tailor	1 st	Jessai Baumann	314	E 117	19	W
BRIDE'S BIRTHPLACE.		NAME OF FATHER.	NAME OF MOTHER.			
New York		Wilhelm Baumann	Cilie Leo			
No. of BRIDE'S MARRIAGE.	BY WHOM MARRIED, AND OFFICIAL STATION OF PERSON SOLEMNIZING THE MARRIAGE.			WHEN RECORDED.		
1 st	Rev. E. Schrab			March 9, 1874		

NOTICE.—In issuing this transcript of record, the Health Department of the City of New York does not certify to the truth of the record transcribed. The seal of the Board of Health attests only the correctness of the transcript, and no inquiry as to the facts reported has been provided for by law.

John J. Taggart
Register of Records.
A True Copy,
C. A. Adams

0342

ay B⁴

HEALTH DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK
BUREAU OF RECORDS.

Liber 11
No. 41690

OFFICE, 301 MOTT STREET,

New York, Sept. 27, 1892

A Transcript from the Record of Marriages
IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK.

DATE OF MARRIAGE.		NAME OF GROOM.	RESIDENCE.		AGE. YEARS	COLOR.
MONTH.	YEAR.		NUMBER.	STREET.		
Sept. 13,	1887.	Moritz Wolff	136	W. 125 th	33	W
GROOM'S BIRTHPLACE.		NAME OF FATHER.	NAME OF MOTHER.			
Chicago		Louis Wolff	Henriette Pundt			
OCCUPATION.	No. of Groom's Marriages.	NAME OF BRIDE.	RESIDENCE.		AGE. YEARS	COLOR.
			NUMBER.	STREET.		
Businessman.	1	Sophie Falk	313	W. 39	24	W
BRIDE'S BIRTHPLACE.		NAME OF FATHER.	NAME OF MOTHER.			
Philadelphia, Pa.		Nathan Falk	Henriette Weisskopf			
No. of BRIDE'S MARRIAGE.	BY WHOM MARRIED, AND OFFICIAL STATION OF PERSON SOLEMNIZING THE MARRIAGE.			WHEN RECORDED.		
1	Rev. Abraham Newmark, Rabbi			Sept. 17, 1887.		

NOTICE.—In issuing this transcript of record, the Health Department of the City of New York does not certify to the truth of the record transcribed. The seal of the Board of Health attests only the correctness of the transcript, and no inquiry as to the facts reported has been provided for by law.

John J. Maguire
Register of Records.
A True Copy.
C. M. Haman
Chief Clerk.

0343

Sec. 151.

Police Court _____ District.

CITY AND COUNTY } ss. *In the name of the People of the State of New York; To the Sheriff of the County*
OF NEW YORK, } *of New York, or to any Marshal or Policeman of the City of New York, GREETING :*

Whereas, Complaint in writing, and upon oath, has been made before the undersigned, one of the Police
Justices for the City of New York, by *Jesse Wolff*

of No. *163-E-1119* Street, that on the *13* day of *September*
18*94* at the City of New York, in the County of New York,

*Morris Wolff did unlawfully
and willfully marry another person
while he Wolff had a wife living*

Wherefore, the said Complainant has prayed that the said Defendant may be apprehended and bound to
answer the said complaint.

These are, Therefore, in the name of the PEOPLE of the State of New York, to command you the said
Sheriff, Marshals and Policemen, and each and every of you, to apprehend the said Defendant, and bring
forthwith before me, at the _____ District Police Court, in the said City, or in case of my absence
or inability to act, before the nearest or most accessible Police Justice in this City, to answer the said charge, and to
be dealt with according to law.

Dated at the City of New York, this *28* day of *Sept* 18*94*
[Signature] POLICE JUSTICE.

0344

Police Court District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

.....
vs
.....
.....

Warrant-General.

Dated 188

Magistrate.

Neidberg + Free Officers.

The Defendant
taken, and brought before the Magistrate, to answer
the within charge, pursuant to the command con-
tained in this Warrant.

Officer.

Dated 188

This Warrant may be executed on Sunday or at
night.

Police Justice.

REMARKS.

Time of Arrest,

Native of

Age,

Sex,

Complexion,

Color,

Profession,

Married,

Single,

Read,

Write,

0345

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

Defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *Twenty Five* Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, *Sept 28* 189*2* *W. W. ...* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, 189 Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.

Dated, 189 Police Justice.

034

Police Court 1 District 1257 1894

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Jessie Wolff
163 E 118
1 Morris Wolff
2
3
4

Offense Bigamy

BAILED, by Cash deposit
No. 1, by Edward Davison
Residence 304 E 118 Street.

No. 2, by 11 E 118
Residence _____ Street.

No. 3, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

No. 4, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

Dated, Sept 28 1894
M. M. M. M. Magistrate.
Heidley & Freil Officer.
C. O. Precinct.

Witnesses _____
No. _____ Street.
No. _____ Street.
No. _____ Street.

No. 3500 to answer AS
\$500 bail Sept 29 3 PM
DV Oct 5. 2 PM
Committed

Court of General Sessions of the Peace
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Morris Wolff

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment accuse
Morris Wolff
of the CRIME OF BIGAMY, committed as follows:

The said *Morris Wolff*,

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *eight* -
day of *March*, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and
nineteen, at the *City and County aforesaid*,

did marry one *Jessie Baumann*, and *then* the said
Jessie Baumann, did then and there have for
his wife; and the said *Morris Wolff*,

afterwards, to wit: on the *fifteenth* day of *September*, in the year of
our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ~~nineteen~~ *eighteen*, at the City and County
of New York aforesaid, did feloniously marry and take as *his wife*, one

Daphne Talle, and to the said

Daphne Talle, was then and there married, the said
Jessie Baumann, being then living and in full life,
against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the
People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.