0148

BOX:
268
FOLDER:
2570
DESCRIPTION:
Walker, Thomas

DATE:
06/29/87

2570

Witnesses:

Dept Ch rey
was here in
St. Louis Mo
Penn. Due in
N.P. Done in
Pen

359

Counsel,

Filed 29 day of June 1887

Pleads Not guilty (30)

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Thomas Walker

July 14/87

Tried & convicted.

Grand Larceny in the 2nd degree.
(MONEY)
(Sec. 528 and 530, Penal Code.)

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,

District Attorney.

July 15/87

Motion for a new trial denied

A True Bill.

T. Chandler

7 yrs & mos S.P.

July 15

Foreman.

6649

41.

The People vs. Thomas Walker

Court of General Sessions. Part I
Before Recorder Smyth. July 14. 1897.

Indictment for grand larceny in first degree.

George McNamara sworn. I am a carpenter and reside at 225 East 121st St. I know the defendant slightly, I met him a couple of times for the last two or three years. I saw him on the 12th of June at McEwen's saloon corner of Hester and Christie Sts. I had about seventy five dollars with me in my pocket book in the inside pocket of my coat. It was between eleven and twelve o'clock at night when I met him. I had two drinks which I paid for with loose change which I had in my pocket. I wanted to see Mr. McEwen on some business but he had left there about eleven o'clock. I started to go out and the defendant went outside and met me outside and when I got to the corner of Hester St. and the Bowery he invited me over to have a drink in Spencer's place. He ordered the drinks and he had no money to pay for them. I did not have enough loose change in my pocket I took a five dollar bill out of the pocket book to pay for them and put the balance of the change in the pocket of my pantaloons and put the pocket book with the seventy dollars in it back in my coat pocket. I

went from there to the Elevated station in Grand St; the defendant went with me when I took the train; we sat in one of the cross seats of the cars, he sat on my lefthand side I rode up with him as far as Eighty Fourth St. and we were talking on the way. I missed him at Eighty Fourth St, he got out; I did not miss him until he was gone - the car was in motion before I missed him and I could not get off the train, I had to go to Eighty ninth St. Immediately after he left the train I put my hand in my pocket and found I missed my pocket book. I reported the matter at the 88th St. police station and the Sergeant told me I had better go down to Headquarters where the affair occured. I went from the Headquarters to the Eldridge St. police station and the Captain sent for officer Bayer. After waiting some time we went (the officer and myself) to McEwens; he found out where Walker lived and went down there; it was about six or seven oclock in the morning then. The officer sent me up stairs to Walker's house, they told me he had not been there all night, and as I was going down the officer met him going into the house and arrested him. From the time I left

Spencer's and took a seat in the Elevated Railroad nobody was near me but the defendant. There was no one in company with me; there might be people walking along the Bowery but nobody near enough me to take my pocket book. I felt it in my pocket when I went on the Elevated Railroad. There was nobody but the defendant near me in the seat

Cross Examined. I left my house on that night between seven and eight o'clock and between that time and eleven and twelve o'clock I had been down to see my brother-in law on business. I had not been drinking considerable that night. I had a couple of drinks of lager beer before I went to McEwen's but nothing that would make me intoxicated If I had been intoxicated I would not be able to get around to all those places in that time. It is not a fact that I fell asleep on the Elevated train. I have no recollection of what I and the defendant talked about on our way up in the train, but we had very little conversation anyway. There was nobody but the defendant and the bar tender in Spencer's saloon to my knowledge unless they were somewhere I could not see them. The saloon is on the corner of Hester St. and the Bowery;

and McEwen's is one block east of it, corner of Hester and Catherine sts. There were people going out and coming in the car all the time, but there was no room for anybody to sit near me and the defendant as it was a cross seat; my coat was buttoned with one button; the last time I felt my pocket book was when I got on the elevated train. I paid the fare on the car for us both.

Steinne Bayer sworn. I am a police officer and am on detective duty in the 11th precinct, I was on duty there on the 19th of June last. I saw the complainant on the morning of the 18th and had a conversation with him and in pursuance of it I went to look for Mr. Walker. I went to his house in Hamilton St. where he lives and I sent the complainant in to find out if he was home, and in the mean time while the complainant was in his room, Walker got to the sidewalk and I told him what I arested him for; that Mr. McNamara accused him of robbing him of seventy dollars. He said to McNamara, "Did I take your money?" McNamara said, 'Yes' I searched him in the station house and found on him two dollars and some odd cents.

There was no testimony for the defence.

The jury rendered a verdict of guilty.

Testimony in the case of Thomas Walker filed June 1887.

15

Police Court—3 District. Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County of New York, } ss.

George McNamara

of No. 225 East 121st Street, aged 32 years, occupation Carpenter being duly sworn deposes and says, that on the 17 day of June 1887 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession ~~and~~ person of deponent, in the Night time, the following property viz:

One pocket book containing good and lawful money of the issue of the United States consisting of Notes of various denominations and in all of the value of Seventy dollars

the property of deponent,

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away by Thomas Walker (Nowhere) from the fact that deponent was in company of said defendant in a Liquor Store on the corner of Lexington Street & the Bowery when deponent took said pocket book from his coat pocket and then & there took a five dollar Note therefrom to pay for drinks deponent had ordered, That deponent then replaced said pocket book containing said Seventy dollars in the inside pocket of the coat then worn upon deponents person,

That said defendant accompanied

Sworn to before me, this day of 188

Police Justice.

Deponent to the Elevated Rail Road Station on the Bowery & Grand Street and said defendant took a seat opposite to deponent and kept deponent in conversation in order that when on the Station on 8th Street & 3rd Avenue, said defendant got up from his seat and without saying anything to deponent left the car and that when the car commenced to move deponent missed said property.

Deponent further says that from the time he last saw said money up to the time deponent missed the same there was no other person near deponent.

Sworn to before me this 17th day of June 1889

John M. Ford

Police Justice

George W. Thomas

Sec. 198—200 ..... 3 ..... District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Thomas Walker being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial,

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Thomas Walker

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 28 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer, New York

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. New York City

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer, Roper.

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty

Thomas Walker

Taken before me this 14 *day of* June 188 5

Richard Wall ..... *Police Justice.*

0158

Police Court— 9th District.

THE PEOPLE &c., ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Geo. B. Flanner 225 Bway

1 Patrick Farrell
2
3
4

Offence Larceny Felony

BAILED,

No. 1, by ____ Residence ____ Street.
No. 2, by ____ Residence ____ Street.
No. 3, by ____ Residence ____ Street.
No. 4, by ____ Residence ____ Street.

Dated June 17 188 7

Magistrate Wilmer Ramsey

Officer. 11 Precinct.

Witnesses

No. ____ Street.
No. ____ Street.
No. ____ Street.
No. ____ Street.

RECEIVED JUN 23 1887 DISTRICT ATTORNEY

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named John Farrell *guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of* Five *Hundred Dollars,* ____ *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated June 17 188 7 J. Shrimpton *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named ____ *to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.*

Dated ____ 188 ____ *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named ____ *guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.*

Dated ____ 188 ____ *Police Justice.*

Monday July 4th
Amanda A. Dudley
[illegible]

You name a man name Thomas Nadler down in the tombs for robbery he is an ex-convict having served 18 mos in State Prison under the name of Thomas Jones also 1 yr in the Penitentiary for felonious assault it would be a benefit to the community to send him away for a long term. he boasted that he will be discharged as he is going to give the man the money back, and compound

a felony he will be having
already offered the
complainant the money
which would have taken
it only he wanted - now
give a receipt so it
fell through it again
will please see that
re does not escape
and oblige

Justice

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,
against
Thomas Walker

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment accuse Thomas Walker of the crime of GRAND LARCENY IN THE first DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said Thomas Walker, late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York, aforesaid, on the seventeenth day of June, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-seven, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in the night time of the same day, three promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury Notes), of the denomination of twenty dollars, and of the value of twenty dollars each; seven promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury Notes), of the denomination of ten dollars, and of the value of ten dollars each; ten promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury Notes), of the denomination of five dollars, and of the value of five dollars each; ten promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury Notes), of the denomination of two dollars, and of the value of two dollars each; ten promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury Notes), of the denomination of one dollar, and of the value of one dollar each; three promissory notes for the payment of money (and of the kind known as bank notes), being then and there due and unsatisfied, of the value of twenty dollars each; seven promissory notes for the payment of money (and of the kind known as bank notes), being then and there due and unsatisfied, of the value of ten dollars each; ten promissory notes for the payment of money (and of the kind known as bank notes), being then and there due and unsatisfied, of the value of five dollars each; ——— divers coins, of a number, kind and denomination to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, of the value of ten dollars, and one [illegible] of the value of one dollar,

($70.)

of the proper moneys, goods, chattels, and personal property of one Gregory McNamara, on the person of the said Gregory McNamara, then and there being found, from the person of the said Gregory McNamara, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,
District Attorney.

0162

BOX:
268
FOLDER:
2570
DESCRIPTION:
Walsh, Mary

DATE:
06/21/87

2570

226

Witnesses:

Olena V. Starin
138 West 34th St

Officer
John Kurzinger
19 Precinct

Counsel,

Filed, 21 day of June 1887

Pleads, Guilty (22)

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Mary Walsh
(2 cases)

Grand Larceny degree

[Sections 528, 531 , — Penal Code].

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,

District Attorney.

A True Bill.

F. Schnedler
June 21st 87 *Foreman.*

Claudy D. J.
Pen: McDear.

Police Court— 2 District. Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County of New York, } ss.

Orlena R. Stain

of No. 138 W 34th Street, aged 46 years, occupation Boarding House Keeper being duly sworn deposes and says, that on the 14 day of June 1887 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in the day time, the following property viz:

Six pair of silk stockings of the value of Thirty dollars, that Two silk Handkerchiefs of the value of Six dollars the property of Anna Larson in the care and charge of deponent. Seven yards of ecrue Lace of the value of Seven dollars the property of Miss Clarke in the care and charge of deponent, Ten cotton Skirts of the value of Ten dollars, one cloth dress of the value of Eighteen dollars, One gold pin set with four pearls of the value of Eighty dollars the property of deponent all of said property being of the value of One hundred and fifty one dollars

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away by Mary Walsh

Deponent is informed by Irving Tuft that he saw said Ecrue lace in said Mary Walsh apartment No 144 East 32d Street in said City

Deponent further says that said defendant was employed by her to do housework and she was informed by a servant who was in her employ that said defendant had carried out a bundle of the house.

Deponent further says that said Mary Walsh was the only person in said room from the time she saw said property until she missed it Wherefore deponent charges said defendant with feloniously taking stealing and carrying away said property

Orlena G. Stain

Sworn to before me, this 16 day of June 1887

Mary Corcoran Police Justice.

CITY AND COUNTY
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Irving Tuft

aged 12 years, occupation Errand Boy of No.

138 W 34 Street, being duly sworn deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Alma R Blum

and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponents' own

knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 17
day of June 1887 } Irving Tuft

Daniel C O'Keeffe
Police Justice.

Sec. 198—200 2 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Mary Walsh being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is her right to make a statement in relation to the charge against her; that the statement is designed to enable her if she see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against her that she is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that her waiver cannot be used against her on the trial,

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Mary Walsh

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 38 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer, Ireland

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 144 E 32d St 3 mos

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer, Servant

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer.

I decline to say anything at present

her
Mary + Walsh
mark

Taken before me this 17 *day of* June 1892

Daniel O'Reilly

Police Justice.

0167

Sec. 151.

2d District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss *In the name of the People of the State of New York; To the Sheriff of the County of New York, or any Marshal or Policeman of the City of New York:*

Whereas, Complaint on oath, has been made before the undersigned, one of the *Police Justices* in and for the said City, by Orland R Starr

of No. 138 W 34 Street, that on the 14 day of June 1887 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, the following article to wit:

Six pair of silk stockings, Two silk Handkerchiefs, Seven yards Ecrue Lace, Ten Cotton Skirts, one cloth dress, one gold pin set with four pearls all

of the value of one hundred and fifty one Dollars, the property of ~~defendant and the~~ Complainant and others, was taken, stolen and carried away, and as the said complainant has cause to suspect, and does suspect and believe, by Mary Walsh

Wherefore, the said Complainant has prayed that the said Defendant may be apprehended and bound to answer the said complaint.

These are, Therefore, in the name of the PEOPLE of the State of New York, to command you the said *Sheriff, Marshals and Policemen,* and every of you, to apprehend the body of the said Defendant and forthwith bring before me, at the 2 DISTRICT POLICE COURT, in the said City, or in case of my absence or inability to act, before the nearest or most accessible Police Justice in this City, to answer the said charge, and to be dealt with according to law.

Dated at the City of New York, this 16 *day of* June 1887

Daniel C Rule POLICE JUSTICE.

POLICE COURT. ____ **DISTRICT.**

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.

Warrant-Larceny.

Dated ____ 188

____ *Magistrate*

Orlando Carr ____ *Officer*

The Defendant ____ taken, and brought before the Magistrate, to answer the within charge, pursuant to the command contained in this Warrant.

____ *Officer.*

Dated ____ 188

This Warrant may be executed on Sunday or at night.

Daniel C Rule *Police Justice.*

REMARKS.

Time of Arrest, ____

Native of ____

Age, ____

Sex ____

Complexion, ____

Color ____

Profession, ____

Married ____

Single, ____

Read, ____

Write, ____

BAILED,

No. 1, by .....

Residence ..... *Street.*

No. 2, by .....

Residence ..... *Street.*

No. 3, by .....

Residence ..... *Street.*

No. 4, by .....

Residence ..... *Street.*

Police Court — 2 — District.

#914# 944

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Olympe Starin No. 34

1 Mary Walsh

2 .....

3 .....

4 .....

Offence Assault & Bat.

Dated June 6 188 7

D. O. Reilly Magistrate.

..... Officer.

..... Precinct.

Witnesses Irma Tolpe

No. 144 138 E. 32d St Street.

No. ..... Street.

No. ..... Street.

$ 500 to answer G. S.

Committed

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Dey Indar

guilty thereof, I order that he *be held to answer the same and* he *be admitted to bail in the sum of* Five *Hundred Dollars,* ..... *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until* he *give such bail.*

Dated June 188 7

Sam'l C. O'Reilly *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named ..... *to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.*

Dated ..... 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named ..... *guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.*

Dated ..... 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,
against
Mary Walsh

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

— Mary Walsh —

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE second DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said Mary Walsh,

late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the twenty-second day of June, —— in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-seven, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, nine pairs of stockings of the value of five dollars each pair, and two handkerchiefs of the value of three dollars each, of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Anna Barnes, seven yards of lace of the value of one dollar each yard, of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Mary Clark, two shawls of the value of one dollar each, one dress of the value of eighteen dollars, and one pair of the value of seventy dollars,

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Delaney O'Karin,

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

Randolph B. Martine

District Attorney.

226

F. J.K

Witnesses:

Olena V. Stamm
138 West 34 St
Officer
John Kirzinger
19th Precinct

Counsel,

Filed, 21 day of June 1887

Pleads, Guilty (m)

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Mary Walsh
(2 cases)

Grand Larceny degree
[Sections 528, 531 Penal Code].

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,
District Attorney.

A True Bill.

F. Chandler
Foreman.

Police Court— 2 District. Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County of New York, } ss.

Orlena R Slaain

of No. 138 W 34 Street, aged 46 years,

occupation Boarding House Keeper being duly sworn

deposes and says, that on the or about 14 day of June 1887 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in the night time, the following property viz:

One black satin dress, ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ One velvet Polonaise, Two Chemises, one cotton Skirt One Jetted Lace Front, One woolen Wrapper all of the value of one hundred and ninety three dollars and fifty cents

the property of Deponent

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away by Mary Walsh

Deponent is informed by Officer John Kirzinger that he found said property in the possession of said defendant in premises No 144 East 32d Street in said City

Orlena R Slaain

Sworn to before me, this 17 day of June 1887

Thomas C O'Reilly

Police Justice.

0172

CITY AND COUNTY
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

John Kirchner

aged years, occupation Police Officer of ~~No.~~

the 19th Precinct Police Street, being duly sworn deposes and says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Orlena R Blair and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponents' own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 17
day of June 1887 } John Kissinger

Samuel C Kudlich
Police Justice.

0173

Sec. 198—200.

2d District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Mary Walsh being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is her right to make a statement in relation to the charge against her; that the statement is designed to enable her if she see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against her that she is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that her waiver cannot be used against her on the trial,

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Mary Walsh

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 38 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer, Ireland

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 144 E 32d St 3 mos

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer, Servant

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer.

I decline to say anything at present

her
Mary + Walsh
mark

Taken before me this 17 *day of* June 1887.

James W. O'Brien

Police Justice.

0174

Police Court 2 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Charles R. Stanni 138 West 39th St.

1 Mary Walsh

2

3

4

Offence Larceny

Dated June 17 188 7

Sol Reilly Magistrate.

Officer.

Witnesses

Precinct.

No. 19 Street.

No. Street.

No. Street.

$ 1000 to answer

Committed

BAILED,

No. 1, by Residence Street.

No. 2, by Residence Street.

No. 3, by Residence Street.

No. 4, by Residence Street.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of One *Hundred Dollars,* *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated June 17 188 7 *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named

to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated 188 *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named

guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order he be discharged.

Dated 188 *Police Justice.*

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,
against
Mary Walsh

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

— Mary Walsh —

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE second DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said Mary Walsh,

late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the Twentieth day of June, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-seven, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

one dress of the value of seventy dollars, one petticoat of the value of twenty dollars, two drawers of the value of five dollars each, one shirt of the value of four dollars, one jetted face front of the value of ten dollars, and one pompon of the value of ten dollars,

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Adelaide M. Harris,

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

Randolph B. Martine

District Attorney.

0176

BOX:
268
FOLDER:
2570
DESCRIPTION:
Ward, Catharine

DATE:
06/28/87

2570

Witnesses :

Counsel,

Filed, 28 day of June 1887

Pleads, Not Guilty

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Catharine Ward

29 Grand Larceny, 2nd degree

(From the Person).

[Sections 528, 53 —— Penal Code].

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,

District Attorney.

A True Bill.

Foreman.

June 29/87

Plea Not G.

Pen: McGean.

331.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

LL 0

Police Court— 2 —District. Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County of New York, } ss.

Ole Olsen

of No. 253 Fifth 27th Street, aged 41 years, occupation Shoemaker being duly sworn deposes and says, that on the 25 day of June 1887 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession and person of deponent, in the night time, the following property viz:

Twenty Seven dollars lawful money consisting of five notes of the denomination of five dollars each one two dollar note and one single dollar note

the property of deponent

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away by Catherine Ward now present that about 11 o'clock P.M. on the night of said day the defendant followed deponent to his room in the above named premises and sat beside him on the bed in the room. That after remaining a short time she jumped up and left the room followed by deponent who missed the money which deponent had in a pocket of his trousers at the time he entered the room. That no person other than the defendant was in the room from the time deponent entered it until he missed the money. And further deponent is informed by Officer James H Martin 16th Precinct that he arrested her as she was leaving the house and found in her possession four five dollar bills=

Ole Olsen

Sworn to before me, this 26 day of June 188 7

Police Justice.

0179

CITY AND COUNTY
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

James H. Martin

aged 30 years, occupation Police Officer of ~~No.~~ the 16th Precinct Street, being duly sworn deposes and says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Ole Olsen

and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponents' own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this
day of June 188 } James H. Martin

Robert Newman

Police Justice.

0180

Sec. 198—200

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Catherine Ward being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is her right to make a statement in relation to the charge against her; that the statement is designed to enable her if she see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against her that she is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that her waiver cannot be used against her on the trial,

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Catherine Ward

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 29 Years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer, This City

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 818—10th Avenue

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer, Domestic

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty of the charge

her
Catherine X Ward
mark

Taken before me this 20th day of August 188 9

Police Justice.

954

Police Court 2 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF
Ole Olsen
253 W 27
Catherine Ward
2
3
4

Offence Assault from Murder

BAILED,

No. 1, by

Residence Street.

No. 2, by

Residence Street.

No. 3, by

Residence Street.

No. 4, by

Residence Street.

Dated June 26 1887

J Murray Magistrate.

James H Martin Officer.

16 Precinct.

Witnesses Patrolman Officer

No. Street.

No. Street.

No. Street.

$ 500 to answer

(Com)

RECEIVED JUN 26 1887 POLICE DIST.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Catherine Ward

guilty thereof, I order that she be held to answer the same and be admitted to bail in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars, *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of New York, until she give such bail.*

Dated June 26 188 7

J M Murray *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named ..... *to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.*

Dated ..... 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named ..... *guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order he be discharged.*

Dated ..... 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

0182

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,
against

Nathaniel Ward

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Nathaniel Ward —

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE First DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said Nathaniel Ward,

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the twenty-eighth day of June, — in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-seven, at the City and County aforesaid, in the night time of the same day, with force and arms, five United States Treasury Notes of the denomination and value of five dollars each, five Bank Notes, of the denomination and value of five dollars each, one United States Treasury Note of the denomination and value of two dollars, one United States Treasury Note of the denomination and value of one dollar, one United States Silver Certificate of the denomination and value of one dollar, one United States Silver Certificate of the denomination and value of two dollars, and five United States Silver Certificates of the denomination and value of five dollars each, of the goods, chattels, and personal property of one Ole Olsen, — on the person of the said — Ole Olsen, — then and there being found, from the person of the said — Ole Olsen, — then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

Randolph B. Martine

District Attorney.

0183

BOX:
268
FOLDER:
2570
DESCRIPTION:
Waring, Frederick W.L.
DATE:
06/22/87

2570

Witnesses:

243 Rich Livingston

Counsel, ...

Filed 24 day of June 1887

Pleads Not Guilty July 6/87

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Fredk W. L. Waring

Unlawfully Practicing Medicine.
[Sections 356, Penal Code, and Chap. 513, Laws of 1880].

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,

District Attorney.

A True Bill.

F. Chandler
June 30/87

Foreman

Demurrer disallowed
Aug 8 1887
Pleads Guilty July 6/87
Fine $100 committed to Pen
Committed until paid
one day for each dollar

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

4810

The Court of General Sessions

of the City and County of New York.

The People of the

State of New York

against

Frederick W.L.Waring

The above named defendant Frederick W.L.Waring hereby demurs to the indictment presented by this present Grand Jury to this Court, on June 22nd.1887, charging him with the crime of practising medicine without a license on the ground that the facts as stated in said indictment do not constitute a crime.

Wherefore this defendant demands judgment of this Honorable Court that he be dismissed from the said premises specified in said indictment.

Dated, N.Y. June 23rd.1887.

Robert A. Livingston

Attorney for defendant

Office and Post Office

4 and 6 Warren St.N.Y.

The Court of General Sessions

of the City and County of New York.

The People of the

State of New York

against

Frederick W. Lawsing

The above named defendant Frederick W. Lawsing

Demurrer

Filed Jun 26 1887

P. Addington

Asst Dist Atty

To

Hardwick & Maxwell

Attorneys for defendant

Office and Post Office

4 & 6 Warren St. N.Y.

N.Y. Court of General Sessions

The People vs

Frederick W. Lawsing

Demurrer

Filed Jun 26 1887

P. Addington

Asst Dist Atty

To

Hardwick & Maxwell

Attorneys for defendant

Office and Post Office

4 & 6 Warren St. N.Y.

Motion to strike out the words "Grand Jurors" and to quash indictment.

The Court will not be disturbed from the usual practice specified in said indictment.

Dated, N.Y. June 23rd. 1887.

Attorney for defendant

Office and Post Office

4 & 6 Warren St. N.Y.

Police Court: }
Tenth District: } New York County.

The People, on the complaint of the Medical Society of the County of New York. against Frederick W. L. Waring	Illegal practice of Medicine. Ch. 513. Ll. 1880 Ch 411 Ll 1884. Penal Code § 356

The Medical Society of the County of New York complain that Frederick W. L. Waring is practicing medicine and surgery in the County of New York, contrary to the provisions of the Statute in that said Waring practices medicine and surgery without the authority required by law and that having such authority he has not registered the same in the office of the Clerk of this County.

In support of this their complaint the said Society submit the following affidavits

W. R. Cunning Jr.
Counsel of the Medical Society of the County of New York

City and County of New York } ss.

Dillon Irons being

duly sworn says that he resides at number 504 West 125th Street in said city and County. That on or about the 10th day of June 1887 he visited the office of one Frederick W. L. Waring (at 357 West 36th Street in said city) Company with Valentine Irwin a minor child then in poor bodily condition. That deponent consulted the said Waring as a physician in reference to the health of said child and that the said Waring did then and there make a medical Examination of said child and prescribed treatment and remedies for the cure and alleviation of the disease from which said child was suffering. And deponent further says that the said Waring demanded and received compensation for said medical services.

And deponent further states that in his capacity of agent of the Medical Society of the County of New York he has carefully Examined the register of physicians kept by law in the office of the clerk of said county for the name of said Waring but that up to the 10th day of June 1889 he could not find the same and that the name is not registered therein as prescribed

0189

by Caw.
Sworn to before
me the 11th day
of June 1889

Dillon Brown

A J Betts
Police Justice

Police Court

The People

vs

Frederick W. L. Waring

Affidavits

0191

Sec. 151. Police Court 4th District.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss. *In the name of the People of the State of New York; To the Sheriff of the County of New York, or to any Marshal or Policeman of the City of New York,* GREETING:

Whereas, Complaint in writing, and upon oath, has been made before the undersigned, one of the *Police Justices* for the City of New York, by The Medical Society of the County of N. Y. through their Counsel Wm A. Purrington ~~of No.~~ of No 63 Wall Street, that on the 10th day of June 1887 at the City of New York, in the County of New York,

Frederick W. L. Waring practiced medicine in said County without lawful authority or due registration of lawful authority in the office of the county clerk of said County contrary to the provisions of Chap 513 Ll 1880 Ch. 411 Laws 1884 and § 356 Penal code

Wherefore, the said Complainant has prayed that the said Defendant may be apprehended and bound to answer the said complaint.

These are, Therefore, in the name of the PEOPLE of the State of New York, to command you the said *Sheriff, Marshals and Policemen,* and each and every of you, to apprehend the said Defendant, and bring him forthwith before me, at the *District Police Court,* in the said City, or in case of my absence or inability to act, before the nearest or most accessible Police Justice in this City, to answer the said charge, and to be dealt with according to law.

Dated at the City of New York, this 11th *day of* June 1887

R. J. Mahony POLICE JUSTICE.

Police Court District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

The Medical Society of the County of New York through Wm A. Purrington

vs

Frederick W. L. Waring

357 West 36th

Warrant—General.

Dated June 11 1887

Magistrate.

Patrick Officer.

The Defendant Frederick W. L. Waring taken, and brought before the Magistrate, to answer the within charge, pursuant to the command contained in this Warrant.

Officer.

Dated June 12 1887

This Warrant may be executed on Sunday or at night.

Police Justice.

REMARKS.

Time of Arrest, June 12

Frederick W. L. Waring

Native of Ireland

Age, 34

No 357 W 36 St

Sex,

Complexion,

Color,

Profession,

Married,

Single,

Read,

Write,

0192

Sec. 198—200

X District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Frederick W. L. Warring being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial,

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Frederick W. L. Warring

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 34 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer, [illegible]

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 357 W 36th St. 4 weeks

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer, Physician

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I reserve my defence until I come before my counsel & demand a trial by Jury. F. W. L. Warring

Taken before me this [illegible] *day of* [illegible] 188 [illegible]

[illegible] *Police Justice.*

0193

The defendants' attorney asks that the 4th defendant be released under bail, because the evidence against the defendant is very weak.

Police Court— 3285 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF
Allen Brown,
vs.
1 Patrick McK. Mahon
2
3
4

Offence Illegal Practice of Medicine

BAILED,
No. 1, by
Residence Street.
No. 2, by
Residence Street,
No. 3, by
Residence Street,
No 4, by
Residence Street.

Dated June 11 188 7

Officer.
Precinct.
Magistrate.

Witnesses
No. Street.
No. Street.
No Street.

$ 500 to answer

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named .....

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Five Hundred *Dollars,* ..... *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated June 12 188 7 ..... *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named .....
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated ..... 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named .....
..... *guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.*

Dated ..... 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

0194

BAILED,

No. 1, by ..... Street.
Residence .....

No. 2, by ..... Street,
Residence .....

No. 3, by ..... Street,
Residence .....

No. 4, by ..... Street.
Residence .....

For the Gr. Jury
June 14 2 pm

3rd Police Court— 4 District. 910

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF
Ellen Irwin
63 Mott
Attended Mr. E. Marini

2 .....
3 .....
4 .....

Offence Illegal practice of Medicine

Dated June 11 188 7

Witnesses
Jno. C. Hollingsworth Street.
No. 63 Mott Street.
No. ..... Street.

RECEIVED JUN 28 1887

No ..... Street.
$ 200 to answer
.....
.....

Upon the evidence, and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Two *Hundred Dollars,* ..... *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated June 14 188 7 ..... *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named .....
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated ..... 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named .....
..... *guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.*

Dated ..... 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

The Court of General Sessions
of the City and County of New York.

The People of the
State of New York
against
Frederick W.L.Warlin.

The above named defendant Frederick W.L.Warlin hereby demurs to the indictment presented by this present Grand Jury to this Court, on June 23rd.1937, charging him with the crime of practising medicine without a license on the ground that the facts as stated in said indictment do not constitute a crime.

Wherefore this defendant demands judgment of this Honorable Court that he be dismissed from the said premises specified in said indictment.

Dated, N.Y. June 25rd.1937.

Attorney for defendant
Office and Post Office
4 and 6 Warren St.N.Y.

Court of General Sessions

The People
vs
J. L. Wanig } On Demurrer

Points for the People

I

The defendant demurs on the ground that the indictment does not state a cause of action for the reason that the misdemeanor charged is "unlawfully practicing medicine", while only one case of his treatment of the sick is specified, the argument being that the commission of one act does not constitute "practice"

The answer is twofold,

(a) the indictment follows the language of the statute

(b) one act of medical treatment constitutes a breach of the statute against practicing.

Antle vs The State 6 Texas App. 202

II

This precise point was raised in Antle vs State (supra). Under a statute (1) requiring:

"That every person who may hereafter engage "in the practice of medicine, in any of its branches or "departments, in this State, shall, before entering upon such practice, furnish to the clerk of

"the District Court of the County in which
"such practitioner may reside or sojourn, his
"certificate of qualification", and (2) making a
failure to do so a misdemeanor, the informa-
-tion read:

" And the said Antle did then and
" there engage in the practice of medicine,
" without having first furnished to the clerk
" of the District Court of said Caldwell
" County, his certificate of qualification,
" said County being then and there the
" County in which said Antle did then
" and there reside".

On motion in arrest of judgment it was argued
that the information was defective in not
setting out the "branch of medicine" in which
Deft. practised, and in not setting out the offence
in "plain intelligible language"

The Court held

" that it is sufficient to charge that
the accused "did 'practise medicine'", and,

" that, the indictment or information
" charging the 'practise of medicine', it
" would be supported by proof of engaging
" in the practise of medicine in any of
" its branches or departments, the act being
" otherwise unlawful"

The opinion also sustained the refusal of the

court below to charge, at defendants request, that:
"A person who was attending a single
"case cannot be adjudged guilty, under
"the law, of practising medicine, even
"though he held and filed no certificate
as required by law", saying:
"We are of opinion that proof of one act in
"violation of the statute would be sufficient
"to support a conviction, the proof being in
other respects sufficient"

So in New York it is held sufficient to prove one case of practice to make out a prima facie case and throw the burden on defendant
People v Ayce 3 N. Y. Crim Reps. 150

III

The indictment would be good form even if it altogether omitted to set out the particular case in which the statute was broken.
The Texas indictments under a similar statute merely charge the unlawful practice in general terms. See this form in
Logan vs the State, 5 Texas App. p. 308

IV

Assuming that in order to convict this defendant it

would be necessary to show a series of acts of practise of medicine, nevertheless the indictment is good though it only specify one, and others may be proved under it - see

Cowley vs People 83 N. Y. 464, in which it is said (p 472)

"The offense in this case does not consist of a "single act, or a single omission. From its "nature it is made up of a continuity of acts or of "omissions, neither of which may be enough by "itself, but each of which comes in with all the "rest to do the harm and make the offense. "In such case an indictment is good that "avers the offense on a given day, and the "proof sustains the averment, when it shows "repeated and continuous acts or neglects con-"-nected in operation, the result of all of "which is the act or effect reprehended by the "law"

V

Defendant relies to sustain this demurrer upon

Carpenter vs People 8 Barb. 603

and finds an analogy between that case and the case at bar for the reason that in the former it was held that one act of illicit cohabitation, or continued illicit cohabita-tion with one person, does not constitute "prostitution", and by parity of reasoning one act of medical

treatment does not constitute "practice of medicine".

But the defect of the peoples case in Carpenter vs. People was one of evidence not of the form of indictment. Proof of various acts of prostitution would have supported the indictment in that case, and proof of practice of medicine as alleged in this indictment will support the present case.

Court of General Sessions

The People
vs

J. L. Warnig

Points in opposition
to the Demurrer

N. Y. Gen. Sessions

People
=vs=
Waring

Answer to Brief against Demurrer

I

The Case of People of Texas =vs= Antle is not parallel to this Case for the reason that, while only one individual was treated, there was a continued series of acts done, which succession of acts constituted "practice", It is the number of acts of treatment, and not the number of patients that constitutes the "practice" of medicine — Here there is not only one patient, but only one act is alleged —

II

In Crowleys Case 83, N.Y. the indictment was under an act "to punish wrongs to children" Here any one wrong was sufficient to sustain the indictment; just as if one glass of liquor were sold without a license, or one act of Arson committed; in these cases it does not require the "practice" or a "succession of the acts of that kind" —
In this Case at Bar the misdemeanor consists not in one act, but in the illegal "practice" of medicine

III

The people - vs. - Nyce is open to the same objection as in Point I, in the Case of

The People of Texas =vs= Antle —

IV

The Case of the People = vs = Carpenter abundantly proved one act of co-habitation, but one act was not enough to sustain the indictment for prostitution and the Case collapsed. Besides that a great difference in the two Cases is just here namely the indictment charged prostitution generally and hence on the face of it was not demurrable — while in this Case the indictment is limited to the statement that the practice complained of consisted of only one act of treatment —

Robert A. Livingston
atty for Defh

People
=vs=
Warning

Answer to Brief
against demurrer

RALivingston

The Court of General Sessions

of the City and County of New York.

The People &c.

against

F.W.L.Wan...

Brief of defendant, on demurrer.

Statement of Facts.

The defendant hereinabove named was indicted by the Grand Jury of the City and County of New York on the 22nd.day of June 1887 for the alleged crime of practising medicine without a license, and said indictment was duly filed on that said day.

The indictment consists of two counts each substantially charging the same alleged facts in slightly different terms, viz; that this defendant illegally practised medicine on the 10th.day of June 1887 by prescribing medicine for one person namely; Valentine Irwin, and that the defendant had no license or right so to prescribe for the said Irwin.

Points.

I. The indictment is drawn under section 356 of the Penal Code, and another special statute, which make it a misdemeanor to practice medicine or surgery without a license and is defective in that it does not allege or charge any fact which constitutes a crime. The charge and the only charge is that

the defendant illegally prescribed for one individual, while the statutes under which it professes to be rightly framed particularly hold that the misdemeanor consists in the illegal practice of medicine or surgery, and do not for a moment contemplate the case of a mere single and isolated act.

II. The indictment alleges that this defendant was on the 10th. day of June 1887 engaged in illegally practising medicine and if that were all it alleged it might not be demurrable but it goes on to qualify that statement by saying that that practice consisted as follows, namely; in illegally prescribing medicine for one person, Valentine Levin on that day aforesaid and does not accuse him of illegal 'practise' as the Code requires. In other words it accuses him of a special and single act and not of the practice of such acts.

III. The contention of the defendant is in brief that the definition of the word practice is 'a succession of acts' and not one act alone.

Webster's Dictionary.

Bouvier's Law Dictionary.

IV. In the case of the People vs Carpenter decided at General Term in Ontario County, New York, the question was, in a case of Abduction, what the word prostitution meant and Welles, P.J. in delivering the opinion of the court says 'All lexicographers agree with Mr. Webster in his definition of the word prostitution. It is uniformly defined as being the practice of a female offering her body to an indiscriminate intercourse with men.'

8 Barb. 611.

His Honor Judge Henry A. Gildersleeve in his charge to the Jury in the case of Mary Anderson tried for Abduction at this

June term of this Honorable Court reiterated this as the law of to-day. Thus clearly holding a wide difference between one act and the practice of such acts of that kind.

V. It would not I think be seriously contended that the statute contemplated anything but "practice" as that word is known and defined.

If a person is seriously injured in the streets or elsewhere, and perhaps to death, is it contended that none but a duly licensed physician can act the part of the Good Samaritan and attempt to relieve the sufferer?

And yet the indictment is silent as to whether this may not have been just such a case and this defendant excusable if not justified in his isolated act of humanity.

VI. It will not be contended that if a person ignorant of what he was taking should become intoxicated on only one occassion that that would constitute him a drunkard. The old maxim I think is the correct rule, in this case at bar, that 'One swallow does not make a Summer.'

VII. The indictment for the foregoing reasons is considered defective in setting forth that one act alone is such practice as is contemplated by the statutes and it should be accordingly dismissed and the demurrer sustained.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

Robert A. Livingston

Defendant's attorney.

4 and 6 Warren St.N.Y.

N.Y. Court of General Sessions

The People &c

: vs :

Fredk W. L. Waring

Brief to sustain demurrer

LIVINGSTON ~~& OLCOTT~~,

ATTORNEYS

4 WARREN STREET,

N. Y.

~~Due and timely~~ service of the within

is admitted this day of

To Randolph B. Martine
District Attorney

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
against
Frederick W. S. Downing

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this Indictment, accuse Frederick W. S. Downing

(Sec. 356 Penal Code)

of a MISDEMEANOR, committed as follows:

The said Frederick W. S. Downing

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the fourth day of June, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-seven, at the City and County aforesaid, without being authorized by a license or diploma from any chartered school, State board of medical examiners, or medical society, did unlawfully practice medicine, and did then and there, without being so authorized as as aforesaid, unlawfully examine, treat and prescribe for one

Hankins Dennis,

as a physician, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT (Chap. 518, Laws of 1880, § 1).

And the Grand Jury aforesaid by this indictment further accuse the said

Frederick W. S. Downing

of a Misdemeanor, committed as follows:

The said Frederick W. S. Downing

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, did unlawfully practice physic, without being lawfully authorized so to do, and without such lawful authority, did then and there unlawfully examine, treat and prescribe for one Hankins Dennis,

as a physician, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

THIRD COUNT (Laws of 1880, Chap. 513, § 2).

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this Indictment further accuse the said Frederick W. R. Goldring of a Misdemeanor, committed as follows:

The said Frederick W. R. Goldring

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, being then and there a person duly authorized to practice physic, did unlawfully practice physic without having first registered in the Clerks office of the said County, in the manner and form required by law, his name, residence and place of birth, together with his authority to practice physic, and did then and there, without having so registered as aforesaid, unlawfully examine, treat and prescribe for one Delaine Grunin,

as a physician, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,
District Attorney.

0210

BOX:
268
FOLDER:
2570
DESCRIPTION:
Waters, James

DATE:
06/24/87

2570

294.

Witnesses:

On application of Deft. Deft waiving Commencing order that this Case be terminated Special Sessions

FM

Counsel,

Filed 24 day of June 1887

Pleads, Not Guilty 27

THE PEOPLE

vs.

B

James Waters

ASSAULT IN THE THIRD DEGREE.

(Section 219, Penal Code.)

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,

Per June 27/87 *District Attorney.*

Transferred to Ct of Special Sessions for trial by Consent

A True Bill.

T. Chandler

Foreman

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

James Watson

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

James Watson —

of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE THIRD DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said James Watson,

late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the [illegible] day of June, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-[illegible], at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, in and upon the body of one John Ferguson, — in the peace of the said people then and there being, with force and arms, unlawfully did make an assault and [illegible] the said John Ferguson, — did then and there unlawfully beat, wound and illtreat, to the great damage of the said John Ferguson, — against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,

District Attorney.

0213

BOX:
268
FOLDER:
2570
DESCRIPTION:
Watson, John

DATE:
06/20/87

2570

Witnesses:

R. D. Jones
564 - 5th Avenue
Officer
Mark F. Healey
19th Precinct

190

Counsel, [illegible]

Filed, 29 day of June 1887

Pleads, Not guilty

THE PEOPLE

vs.

John Watson

X.

Grand Larceny, second degree
(From the Person).
[Sections 528, 53 [illegible], Penal Code].

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,
District Attorney.

A True Bill.

Foreman.

35 Chambers Street
New York City
June 24th 1887.

Judge Francis D. Bedford.
Asst. Dist. Atty.

Dear Sir:

In the matter of The People agst. Jno. Watson, adjourned by you on my promise that Watson would plead guilty on Monday next I have to inform you that my advice which Watson at that time seemed willing to accept seems to be objectionable to his wife. I have therefore returned to her the fee I had received from her as a retainer, and desire to be dropped from the case as attorney. I send this to you, so that you may if you shall so desire have your witnesses present on the 27 inst the day to which the case was adjourned.

Respy.
W. D. Hughes

Judge Bedford

Present

0217

Police Court— 2 District. Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County of New York, } ss.

Roland D. Jones M.D

of No. 564 5th avenue ~~Street~~, aged 28 years,

occupation Physician being duly sworn

deposes and says, that on the 9 day of June 1887 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession and Person of deponent, in the day time, the following property viz:

One double case gold watch with gold Together Chain attached of the value of two hundred dollars ($200.00)

the property of Deponent

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away by John Watson (Unknown here) from the fact that deponent was sitting on the rear platform of a 6th avenue car going up town at about the hour of 9.30 o'clock am said date and at that time deponent had said watch in the lower left hand pocket of his vest with said chain attached to said watch the other end of said chain made fast to a button hole of said vest. Deponent noticed the defendant standing close to and facing him on said platform and said his hand near deponents watch chain. Deponent turned his head away for a minute when the

Sworn to before me this day of 188

Police Justice.

defendant jumped off of said car
deponent then looked and discovered
that his watch and chain was gone.
deponent then jumped off of said car
and found the defendant on the front
platform of a car going down town
deponent jumped on said car and
called the conductor of said car when
the defendant handed deponent his watch
and chain saying this is all you
want now go which was done.
deponent then caused the arrest of the
defendant
Wherefore deponent charges the said
defendant with feloniously taking, stealing
and carrying away the aforesaid watch
and chain from the rash then and there
worn by deponent as a portion of his
body clothing and prays he may be
held and dealt with according to law.

Sworn to before me
this 9th day of June 1887
Samuel O'Neill
Police Justice

Roland J Jones

Sec. 198—200

2 ~~District~~ Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss.

John Watson being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial,

Question. What is your name?

Answer. John Watson

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 25 years old

Question. Where were you born?

Answer, Philadelphia Penn

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer Philadelphia

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Stone cutter

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty

John Watson

Taken before me this [illegible] *day of* [illegible] 188[illegible]

[illegible] *Police Justice.*

0220

Police Court-- 8 8 8 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF
Roland B. Mallory
564 8th ave
John Watson
1
2
3
4

Offence Larceny (Grand)

BAILED,
No. 1, by ..... Street.
Residence .....
No. 2, by ..... Street,
Residence .....
No. 3, by ..... Street.
Residence .....
No. 4, by ..... Street.
Residence ..... Street.

Dated June 9 188 5

Witnesses

Magistrate.
Mark Allen
Officer.
15
Precinct.

$1500 Bail
10 Cents
No. ..... Street.
No. ..... Street.
No ..... Street.
$1500 to answer
Geo. Mitch

RECEIVED MAY 1887 DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named John Watson *guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of* Fifteen *Hundred Dollars,* ..... *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated June 9 188 5 ..... *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named .....
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated ..... 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named .....
..... *guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.*

Dated ..... 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,
against
John Watson

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse John Watson — of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE second DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said John Watson, late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the — ninth — day of — June, — in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-seven, at the City and County aforesaid, in the day time of the same day, with force and arms, one watch of the value of one hundred and seventy dollars, and one chain of the value of thirty dollars,

of the goods, chattels, and personal property of one Richard D. Squires, on the person of the said Richard D. Squires, then and there being found, from the person of the said Richard D. Squires, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

Randolph W. McBride
District Attorney.

0222

BOX:
268
FOLDER:
2570
DESCRIPTION:
Webber, William

DATE:
06/22/87

2570

Witnesses:

.....

.....

.....

.....

244

Counsel,

Filed 23 day of June 1887

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

William Webber

Burglary in the X *Degree.*

[Sections 496, 506, 528 and 532.]

J. E. Burns
Treasurer

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,

District Attorney.

A True Bill.

F. Chandler

June 23/87 *Foreman*

Plead Guilty

S. P. Three years.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0223

Police Court 8 District.

City and County of New York, } ss.:

Lena Wortkoff

of No. 9 Essex Street, aged 38 years,

occupation Housekeeper being duly sworn

deposes and says, that the premises No. aforesaid Street, 6th Ward

in the City and County aforesaid the said being a dwelling and

which was occupied by deponent as a such

and in which there was at the time a human being, by name Fanny Wortkoff

and other members of the family

were BURGLARIOUSLY entered by means of forcibly opening

a window leading from the rear

into said premises with intent

to commit a larceny therein

on the 14th day of June 188 7 in the day time, and the

following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz:

Wearing apparel, in a pocket of which was

fourteen dollars lawful money — two

pairs of trousers of the value of

seven dollars, collectively of

the value of "twenty three dollars"

the property of deponent and her husband Louis Wortkoff

and deponent further says, that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid

BURGLARY was committed and the aforesaid property taken, stolen and carried away by

William Webber now present and

another person not arrested acting in collusion

for the reasons following, to wit: That about 3-45 o'clock

P.M. on said day deponent heard a noise

in her bedroom and seeing two men therein

deponent screamed loudly when the men ran

away — That subsequently deponent found the

rear window which had been previously closed

open and found the property aforesaid on the stairway

where the defendant & said other had left it in their flight

Deponent is informed by Officer John Holland 11th Precinct

that about said hour he saw the defendant & said other men

out of the doorway of said premises when he arrested the defendant the

other ran away

Lena Wortkoff

0225

CITY AND COUNTY
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

aged years, occupation Guy Holland Police Officer of ~~No.~~ the 11th Precinct ~~Street~~, being duly sworn deposes and says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Lena Wortkoff and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponents' own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 11th *day of* 188 } John Wellard

Police Justice.

Sec. 1987—208 3 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

William Webber being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial,

Question. What is your name?

Answer. William Webber

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 30 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer, New York City

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. W 4th East Broadway

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer, Finisher

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty of the charge

William Webber.

Taken before me this 7 *day of* [illegible] 188[illegible]

[illegible] *Police Justice.*

0227

BAILED,

No. 1, by ..... Street.
Residence .....

No. 2, by ..... Street,
Residence .....

No. 3, by ..... Street,
Residence .....

No 4, by ..... Street.
Residence .....

Police Court-- 3 District.

862

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

1 [illegible]
2 .....
3 .....
4 .....

Offence Burglary & Larceny

Dated June 11 188 7

Magistrate.

John Leonard Officer.

114 Precinct.

Witnesses Allen Officer
No. [illegible] Street.
Henry [illegible]
No. [illegible] Street.
148 Bleecker Street
No. [illegible] Street
$ 1000 to answer

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named William Webber *guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of* [illegible] *Hundred Dollars,* ..... *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated June 11 188 7 . [illegible] *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named ..... *to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.*

Dated ..... 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named .... *guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.*

Dated ..... 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

William Walker

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

— William Walker —

of the CRIME OF BURGLARY IN THE ~~second~~ first DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said William Walker,

late of the — South — Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the — seventh — day of — June —, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-seven, with force and arms, about the hour of three o'clock in the night time of the same day, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, the dwelling house of one Sarah Wallace,

there situate, feloniously and burglariously did break into and enter, there being then and there some human being, to wit: one Sarah Wallace, —

within the said dwelling house, with intent to commit some crime therein, to wit: the goods, chattels and personal property of the said Sarah Wallace,

in the said dwelling house then and there being, then and there feloniously and burglariously to steal, take and carry away, (the said William Walker being then and there arrested by a policeman and subsequently actually present, whose name is to the Grand Jury aforesaid as yet unknown) —

against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity,

SECOND COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said — William Walker —

of the CRIME OF ~~GRAND~~ Petit LARCENY, ~~IN THE~~ ~~DEGREE~~, committed as follows:

The said William Walker,

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, in the ~~day~~ time of the said day, with force and arms,

one shirt of the value of two dollars, two pairs of trousers of the value of three dollars and fifty cents each pair, and the sum of fourteen dollars in money lawful money of the United States and of the value of fourteen dollars,

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Louis Wolfsohn,

in the dwelling house of the said Louis Wolfsohn

there situate, then and there being found, from the dwelling house aforesaid, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

Randolph B. Martine

District Attorney.

0230

BOX:
268
FOLDER:
2570
DESCRIPTION:
Wendell, Henry

DATE:
06/13/87

2570

Witnesses:

Off William Lockwood
8 Precinct

112

Counsel,

Filed 13 day of June 1887

Pleads

THE PEOPLE

vs.

B

Henry Wendell

Violation of Excise Law.
(Sunday).
[III Rev. Stat., (7th Edition), page 1983 Sec. 21, and page 1989, Sec. 5].

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,
District Attorney.

A True Bill.

I hereby consent that this case be transferred to the Court of Special Sessions for trial and final disposition.

Dated 188

Counsel for Defendant.

Foreman.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Henry Wendell

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

— Henry Wendell —

of the CRIME OF SELLING INTOXICATING LIQUORS AND WINES AS A BEVERAGE ON SUNDAY, committed as follows:

The said Henry Wendell,

late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the 5th day of June, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-seven, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, the same being the first day of the week, commonly called and known as Sunday, with force and arms, certain intoxicating liquors and certain wines, to wit: One gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whisky, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain intoxicating liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did sell as a beverage to

William G. Bodenwood, and to —

certain other persons whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT:

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

— Henry Wendell —

of the CRIME OF GIVING AWAY INTOXICATING LIQUORS AND WINES AS A BEVERAGE, ON SUNDAY, committed as follows:

The said Henry Wendell,

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: On the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, the same being the first day of the week,

commonly called and known as Sunday, with force and arms, certain intoxicating liquors and certain wines, to wit: One gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whisky, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain intoxicating liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did give away as a beverage to

certain persons whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

THIRD COUNT:

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

of the CRIME OF KEEPING OPEN ON SUNDAY a place licensed for the SALE OF STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINES, ALE AND BEER, committed as follows:

The said

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, the same being the first day of the week, commonly called and known as Sunday, being then and there in charge of and having the control of certain premises at number

in the City and County aforesaid, which said place was then duly licensed as a place for the sale of strong and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, with force and arms, at the City and County aforesaid, the said place, so licensed as aforesaid, unlawfully did not close, and keep closed, and on the said day, the said place so licensed as aforesaid, unlawfully did then and there open, and cause and procure, and suffer and permit, to be open, and to remain open, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,
District Attorney.

0234

BOX:
268
FOLDER:
2570
DESCRIPTION:
West, Charles

DATE:
06/29/87

2570

Witnesses :

358/ A 0

C W Peters

Counsel, ...

Filed, 29 day of June 1887

Pleads, Not guilty 3d

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Charles West

Grand Larceny degree

[Sections 528, 531, Penal Code].

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,

District Attorney.

A True Bill.

F. Chandler

July 6/87 *Foreman.*

Tried & Convicted

H. M. P. Moore J.P.

July 8/87

5620

0236

Receipts for 2 r 6/87

Consigned on Memorandum to.
Chesnut & Maiden Lane
New York
By Louis F. Crawley. as Vice Pres Cincinnati N.Y.
January 6th 1887

12	Gin Skunk Cocktail Cos	2 3/8
6	" " Sur Guard	4/4
6	" Ol. Whisky	2 3/4
6	Olive Providence Martini	2 3/4
2	Nickel Coin	2/2
6	" " Whisky	2 3/4
6	Gin Skunk Cocktail	2 1/2
1	Cruel Gin * 2 Gen Ol	11
1	Bouquet Mary	4 1/2
1	Fancy Gen Cos Gen Co Cognac	7
1	" Gen Co & Fancy	5 3/4
1	Ol. Gen Gen Co & Fancy	6
1	1/2 Cognac Guaranteed	6 1/2
6	Gin Cyl 1/2 Pint	2 1/2
1	Fancy Chart Gin Co	7 1/2
1	" " Fancy "	4 3/4
1	" " L. Dairy "	5 3/4
1	" Cumbing "	6 3/4
1	" " Fancy "	6
1	Nickel Chart Dairy	[illegible]
1	" "	[illegible]

0237

1 Fancy cut 1 doz Jars — 4.50
1 " Stem " " 1 Tetus — 5.50
1 " " " " doz. Jam. — 5
1 Water " " " " " —
1 " doz Tumbler — 2.50
1 Gilt Stem " " Claret — 4.50
" " Finish " — 4.50
1 Doz 1 oz Stem " — 4.50
1 " " Finish 9.0. Quart — 14/4
1 Doz 9 oz " 9.0. Plain Tumbler — 9
1 " " " " Pint Tumbler — 8.50/4
1 " " Stemmed " Tumbler — 12.50/4
9 Gilt cut Stem 16 oz Tetus — [illegible]
1 Silver Cut Tumbler — 6 1/4

These goods are sent me on consignment & I have to make a return same when sold.

Emma Hill

6 " Gilt Stem Claret Jan 31/87
" " " " "
6 " " Water " Feb 6/87
" " " " "
6 Ladies Spoons
6 Gents Extra Plated Forks
2 Ladies Gilt Spoons
1 Gents Gilt Spoons Victor
1 Gents Congress Stems Extra Pl
1 " " Butter Key " " hg

64

The People
vs.
Charles West.

Court of General Sessions, Part I.

Before Recorder Smyth.

July 5, 1887.

Indictment for grand larceny in the second degree.

Edwin F. Crawley sworn and examined. I am a dealer in watches at 4 Maiden Lane in this city, I have known Mr. West over two years and had business transactions with him during part of that time; on the 25th of April last I delivered to the Defendant fifty-two watches, gold silver and nickel assorted in a sample case for the purpose of selling them: they were delivered to him on memorandum, that is to say, he has to return me the watches or the money for them. I went away on a business trip and on my return I found out that he had been dispossessed from his office 48 Maiden Lane and for three or four days I was unable to find him but did finally and he claimed that the goods were in the safe. I saw him on the IIth of June first and asked him had he sold any of those goods? He told me he had not, that they were in his safe at his office; he agreed to go with me on Monday to find out where this safe had been taken to, he pretended not to know that it was taken. I saw him on Maiden Lane, Monday, the I3th of June and I went to my office to get the number of Marvins, in South Fifth Avenue and told him to wait for me. He did not come to my place of business he disappeared, on Monday evening I called at his residence and I found him, I asked him why he did not wait and he told me that he had misunderstood me, that he did not think I was coming down again and we finally agreed that I should call for him on Tuesday morning at his house, I did call and I could not get in andI sent him

I

a note to meet him between seven and half past, I went there and there was apparently nobody up, I rang once or twice, I sent him a note which was delivered to him making an appointment for twelve o'clock on Tuesday the same day, which he failed to keep, I called at his house repeatedly after that, probably five or six times; onThursday night I went up to Police Headquarters and swore out a warrant, on Friday I was instructed to call the next day, he was arrested on Monday following and at Headquarters before the Sergeant at the desk he claimed to know nothing whatever about any goods, that I never had given him any, I cannot recollect exactly the words but it was something to the effect that he had never received any goods from me; the value of the goods was $298.75. He was to send me a copy of the memorandum but he did not do so; this is my copy of it. (Producinga paper.) I delivered him a bill but I do not know what became of it. I found the safe at Marvin's Safe Company, 92 South Fifth Avenue, on the 13th of June, fourdaysprevious to the warrant being issued; the safe was opened in my presence by the Safe Company; there was in it an empty tray, one or two cheap chains but no watches. (The copy of the memorandum was offered in evidence, marked Peoples' Exhibit A.)

Cross Examined.

I am a dealer in watches and also a clerk employed by Adolph Schwabe to sell his goods, I have been to the Defendant's place of business several times, I never kept his books but I did some writing to help him when he was sick, I never run the business any portion of time, I made several entries for him one evening I recollect,

from memorandum that he had his pocket which he claimed to be too ill to write up, he was present when I wrote it up, I put down the items just as he gave them to me, I have helped him also to ship his goods and I have shipped goods in my name from there, I could not state how many times, I sent by different express companies and by mail; the original of this memorandum is in this book which I now produce, I am certain I delivered to the Defendant a copy of it at the time of the transaction, he didnot receipt for the goods, I delivered them to him in his office 48 and 50 Maiden Lane, I bought the case of watches from Adolph Schwabe in my own name, they were billed to me, I have not that bill, a portion of the goods I bought from another party. I bought other goods from Mr Schwabe in the name of Keegan, I had the bill made in Keegan's name insteadof my own for the simple reason that I expected my payment of cash for those goods and I was told by the Defendant at the time that he was in business with a Mr Keegan and the firm would be J. F. Keegan & Co.. I saw these goods afterwards in the Tombs Police Court when they were brought down by the pawn broker. I had seen the outside of the case before in the pawnbroker's place, Henry Moss, 117 Chatham Street. I never authorized the Defendant to pawn the goods with Mr Moss or anybody else. The original entry in the book which I now show was copied and I now produce the copy. I did not send notice to any express office not to deliver any of those goods sent from 48 Maiden Lane to anybody except me or my wife.

Dennis J. Fogarty sworn and examined.

I am a police sergeant and arrested the Defendant on the 20th of June and took him to Police Headquarters; after the sergeant took his pedigree he asked him in reference to this property; he was arrested on a warrant issued by Judge Smith and he said, "I got no property from him, no such goods from MrCrawley. I searched the prisoner and found forty five pawn tickets on him. One ticket was for property in Henry Moss's, I got an order from the Judge and I went there and presented the order and the pawn man of course felt a little mean about the loss and stated to me that he would bring the property over in Court, he told me to tell the Judge that he would bring the goods over in the morning, he brought the goods over before Judge White and they were identified by Mr Crawley as his; they are nownin the Property Clerk's.

The Case for the Defence.

Charles West sworn and examined, testified:

About two years before last January I had been buying watches from the employer of Mr Crawley, Adolph Schwabe and about January last Mr Crawley proposed partnership to me on this basis, that I was to do all the work that was necessary, undertake the management of the business and he would supply the cash and also watches to be used for the firm that were sold, he was to have one third of the profits and I was to have two-thirds; this wag to last up to the first of July when he said if business proved profitable he would quit his employer and devote his whole time to the business. We entered into this arrangement January last, he used to come to the office,

I gave him a key of the office and also the combination of the safe, he used to come to the office nights and also Sundays, we used to work there together shipping watches and he used to attend to the correspondents and this continued down to about the end of April. At the end of April he was leaving for Chicago and we decided upon taking another office, our office then was 43 Maiden Lane; we were short of money at the time and he left me thirty dollars and promised to send on remittances from Chicago which he did not do and during his absence being short of money, I had not money coming in as fast as I anticipated and I was obliged to pledge these watches for one hundred dollars. I did not see the memorandum that was produced here yesterday and never saw a copy of it, I never got any property from him on the 25th, I pawned the watches that were in the store that had been there, I pawned forty-six watches with Mr Moss, the value of them would be about two hundred dollars and they were pawned for one hundred, they belonged to the firm which was Crawley and myself, the firm was known by the name of the "Victor Watch Company". I used that money in paying advertising bills amounting to seventy dollars and the balance for current expenses. The watches that I pawned came into the store you might say, by piece meal from the beginning of January, I had watches there that never came from him at all and part of the watches that I pawned were those I had on hand. I did not buy those goods from the Defendant upon memorandum and never saw the memorandum until I saw it at the Police Court.

Cross Examined. I pawned these goods on May 30. Mr Crawley received money from me at different times, some thousands of dollars I guess, I do not believe the firm is owing him anything now, we have not had a settlement for four months, Mr Crawley was in the habit of sending out watches from the company in his own name and receiving the collections, he sent out hundreds by express and by mail and the collections were made by him. We had no articles of partnership perpared, I had known Mr Crawley for two years before, it was not necessary and besides, he did not wish it known to his boss, it was a sort of secret co-partnership. I told Mr Keegan that we were in partnership. My name is not Roberts, my second name is Roberts, my name is Charles R. West. The reason why I did not pawn the goods in my own name was that I thought if the ticket was lost it would look kind of bad. I do not know why I did not pawn them in the name of the Victor Watch Company. The signature now shown me is mine, I wrote Charles West on the magistrate's paper, I didnot think it was necessary to put in the R, my second name Roberts, is my mother's name, I do not know whether Charles R. West appears in any of the books, sometimes I write Charles West and sometimes Charles R. West, I have made checks Charles West without the R. I keep my bank account with Lawrence & Co. in Nassau Street in the name of Charles West, I dropped the R some years ago, I pawned the goods in the name of Roberts. On the 18th of June I pawned with Mr Moss a type-writer value at ten dollars, which was my original property. I pawned with Mr Koplick in 139 Park Row on

June 30? 1887 an old watch that I had for some years belonging to myself. . I do not believe I ever pawned any watches in Atlantic Avenue, Booklyn, with A. J. Heaney. Was there not a ticket representing those watches found in your possession? I do not believe so. Did not you pawn with A. J. Heaney, 214 Atlantic Avenue, Booklyn, on December 4, 1886, a watch? That was before this partnership. I told the Recorder that I didnot believe I ever pawned anything with Mr Heaney. I may have pawned a watch with Mr Heaney on December 13, 1886, for two dollars. If it was pawned in the name of Robbins they must have made a mistake. I do not remember having pawned a watch with Heaney on May, 13, 1887 for two dollars and I do not believe I did, I cannot tell you. I do not believe that a pawn ticket was taken off my person by the officer representing a watch pawned with Heaney 214 Atlantic Avenue on May 30th , a hunting case watch under the name of Williams - in fact they neve asked me my name there at all. It is pronable that I may have pawned w watch on June 11 with Mr Heaney, a stem winder on which I got three dollars; they did not ask me my name at all, he knew me as Roberts, he knew I was doing business in the watch business. If those pawn tickets have the names of Roberts, Robbins, Stemens and Williams on them they are mistakes by the pawn brokers; whenever I pawned goods I pawned them in the name of Roberts, I never pawned any goods in the name of West because I thought if I lost the pawn tickets and they were found it would look bad. It would book as if a man was hard up, that is the only reason. I know Mr Abrahams

of 47 Chatham Street, I had a large number of dealings with him covering about two years and a half, I pawned articles with him and redeemed hundreds of watches, I pawned them in the name of Roberts but never under the name of Russell, Smith or Denman. The ticket that was found with me of March 22 with the name of Denman on it was for a watch sold to Denman by me, he said he did not want the ticket and gave it to me, that he would give me the difference, the seven dollars and let me have it back again, I think I old him the watch for twelve dollars, I had taken it in exchange, he eventually settled up with me for it, it was a lady's watch. It is a mistake that I pawned a watch on March I , 1887 for twenty dollars under the name of Smith, they knew me and I suppose they put down Smith as it come into their head or Russell instead of Roberts, they would look at you and put any name that comes into their head, I never looked at the ticket. Some of the watches that I pawned with Mr Abrahams from January 8 1887 down to June 14th 1887 belonged to the firm and some of them to myself. I find from January 26 that you pawned watches with Mr Abrahams and got one hundred and forty dollars, you say some belonged to the firm and some were yours? Yes; they did not belong to any other merchant, I do not believe I owe any merchant for watches bought on memorandum or order, I have an unsettled account with Mr Schwabe, I do not know whether the balance would be in his favor or mine. The watches you pawned from December 4, 1886 up to June 1887 amounted to $129.50 whose watches were those? Some of them were mine and some of them belonged to the firm, it was an old

watch that I pawned with Koplick on June 20, 1887; it was a second hand watch that I pawned with Gans Bros. 59 Bowery under the name of Roberts for twenty dollars, that was long before the partnership, I pawned watches with Hearney under the name of Stevens or Williams, if you give me the dates I can tellyou who they belonged to, I did not pawn them on May 30, 1887. When I was arrested by the officer and searched thirty or forty pawn tickets were found upon me. I toldMr Crawley that I pawned those watches the first night after I saw him after his return, I did not tell him that those watches were in my safe, I told him nothing of the kind. When I was taken to Headquarters I did not say in the presence of Officer Fogarty that I never received any such goods as these, I said I had no goods of Mr Crawley's, I saw the officer was trying to pump me, I did not know for what object, I was not afraid of being pumped, I believe this is the first time I have had difficulty about watch transactions I was never convicted, I was arrested, it was a put up job the same as this man arrested me because I would not give my share of the business to him. Mr Fogarty toldme he had a warrant for me, I could hardly understand why I was arrested because I could not see there was anything criminal. I saw Mr Crawley there, the Detective and he and I went out to lunch together. Why didnot you say to the Sergeant at the desk, "I have done nothing wrong, this man is a partner of mine, these watches I can show you where I pawned them"? I never thought of making any explanation. Mr Fogarty came to me and said, "are you Mr West?" I have got a warrant for you"; he did not explain what the warrant was for until we got

outside, I said, "what for?" He said, "a young man named Crawley about some watches", he did not say stealing, I don't know what he said, I knew that I was arrested on a charge of getting those watches improperly but I did not think there was anything criminal in it tha I could tell. The Sergeant at the desk asked me my residence and name and business, I think he told me what I was charged with but I forget. Did you tell the Sergeant behind the desk that you committed no larceny, that this property was the property of the firm of which you were a member and you had a right to do what you pleased with it? No sir, he told me I was not obliged to say anything and I did not say anything. After tht on the same day I was taken before the Police Justice and a charge made against me of larceny. Did you tell th Police Justice that the proerty you were charged with taking was your property as a member of this firm? I told him it was a piece of black-mail. I did not tell him it was my property as a member of the Victor Watch Co., I did not know the law about it, I did not think there was anything criminal in taking those goods and pawning them. Did you know it was criminal to take goods and pawn that you got on memorandum.? I never got them on memorandum, certainly that is criminal, I know that, I know the usuages of the trade. The partnership was formal the end of January, it might have been the first or second day in February. This book is a cash book, it is not a partnership book, there are other books that we kept, we didnot keep a ledger, we kept a day book and order book all in one. This complainant says that

he gave you fifty-two watches and they were contained in a sample case on the 25th of April, is that true? No sir, it is not, I got no watches on the 25th of April, I did not get fifty-two at one time, they would be I think in no more than three dozen lots, I never got more than three dozen at a time. I was in the city on the 25th of April and remained here all the time. I might be away two or three days, I was away Thursday and Friday at Fort Hamilton, I have not been out of the State of New York for the last four years. In April last I was living at 84 State Street, Brooklyn, I have no family there, I boarded with the same people in Henry Street, I had a room and kept it up to the time that I was arrested. It is not true what the complainant says that between the 25th of April down to the 11th of June he had not seen me, he had been away himself six weeks, I never told him that I sold the goods and I didnot tell him at any time that they were in the safe. I made no appointment with him to go with him on the 13th of June for the purpose of getting the watches out of the safe. I met him on the 13th of June but did not tell him that the safe had been removed by the Marvin Safe Company from my office, I made no arrangement to go with him to the Marvin Safe Company's place for the purpose of seeing the watches; he left me in the street. It is not true as he says that he left me in the street for the purpose of ascertaining the number of the Marvin Safe Company's place and that I remained outside until he came back and that when he got the number I had disappeared. He says he went to your house on the following Tuesday morning at half past

seven o'clock and he rung and could not get in? That I do not know yet, I think it is very improbable, I got no such note from him as he says he left appointing to meet me at twelve o'clock, I never agreed to meet him, if I made an apointment with him I always kept it; everything he said in this respect is untrue. The safe was removed from my office to the Marvin Company's place when I was sick, I guess it was about the 8th or 9th of June; it was removed because it was not paid for, it was purchased on the installment plan. When it was removed there was in the safe some few chains and a few watches and also books and papers of the Victor Watch Company. I believe I saw the complainant between the 25th of April and the time that I was arrested, twice, I told him the first time that I pawned those watches, that would be about the 11th of June, I guess, I couldnot say exactly the day, it was on a Monday I saw him on the street. I was in my room when I told him I pawned them, I told him I got one hundred dollars and what I used the money for, I paid seventy dollars for advertising to Hanover in Philadelphia, I have not the voucher for it here, it is amongst the other papers, the bill was made out to the Victor Watch Company; the balance of the hundred dollars I spent for current expenses and also for my own private expenses my private expenses were about twenty dollars a week. There is no entry on the cash book of the receipt of this hundred dollars or of the thirty dollars for current expenses or for the advertising; Mr. Crawley kept that cash book, I kept a memorandum of it, I did not enter it on the cash book because Mr. Crawley preferred to keep the

cash book, I had a combination of the safe the same as he had. When I pawned these watches with Moss I made no entry on the books of the Victor Watch Company but I made a memorandum of the receipt of the money. There are about thirteen pages of this cash book written up, there are ten in my hand writing and three in Crawley's. There is no entry in it after March. Why was it that the books of the firm were begun on the first of January when the firm did not exist until the 31st of January? I continued the old book, I didnot think it necessary to get a new book when we formed the partnership. There is nothing on the books to show that any partnership was formed except the business was more extensive. There is nothing on the book to show the name of the Victor Watch Company. I may have received goods from Mr Crawley before the partnership but not since. The signature now shown me on this paper is mine. On January 24 by this signature you received of Mr Crawley signed on memorandum to Charles West, 48nMaiden Lane, New York, by Edwin F. Crawley, 1887, about half a gross of watches on memorandum? Part of this paper is in my hand-writing and part in Crawley's, I guess it means stock on hand, The first memorandum is a gold watch January 31st and then there is a watch on February 5, 1887. I did not put in those dates. Our settlement accountwas on the first of February and then the partnership began. I don't know anything about this date of February 5th, whether it has been put in since, it might have been. The case of watches now shown me is the one I pawned with Mr Moss. Some portion of these watches I had on hand and some portion I got from Mr Crawley. They all

belonged to the Victor Watch Company. The case belonged to me individually, I bought it before the partnership, the watches I bought from Schwabe belonging to the firm. The witness selected from the case some watches that belonged to him before the formation of the company. Mr Crawley made some entries of collections in the cash book but only up to the end of March. Can you give about the amount of cash that Crawley received on collections for goods sent out between the first of March and the time of this trouble? I should think fifteen hundred dollars or more, which was not entered on the cash book. I did not say to Mr Keegan in April or May that there was no partnership between Crawley and myself and that I only received these goods of Mr Crawley onmemorandum, I never made any such statement to him.

William M. Denman sworn. I am acquainted with the defendant and Mr Crawley, I know 48 Maiden Lane where their place of business was, I assisted them in business generally, I was not employed there, I went down there on several days and helped to kacp up watches and did some writing, I saw Mr Crawley there occasionally and examined watches and letters, I assisted Mr West in shipping goods, I cannot say I ever saw Mr Crawley ship any and never saw him make any sales or receive any money, I have seen him open the safe, I never saw him making any entries in the book, I did not see him answer or open any letters that came to the Victor Watch Company, I am familiar with Mr Crawley's hand-writing and

have seen him write, I have seen packages of watches marked in Mr Crawley's hadwriting for shipment at 48 Maiden Lane repeatedly, some of the goods were in Crawley individual name and some were in the name of the Victor Watch Company, this was during the months of February and March last, they were shipped by express mostly, I visited two of the express companies, the United States and Adams and made inquiry as to the return of goods shipped in this manner. They told me they could not deliver the goods to anytod but Crawley as he had been down and given instructions that they were not to reutn any goods or money but to Crawley or his wife. Crawley acted as if he was partner, I did not see him do anything more than I have specified. I have seen Crawley there when Mr West was there, I cannot say that the complainant was present at the time I saw these goods marked for shipment but I do know they were in his hand-writing.

Cross Examined.

I was not a partner there, I simply lent Mr West some assistance. Did not you testify in the United States Court on the trial of West for defrauding people by sending watches through the mail, that you were his clerk and had shipped the watch which was the subject of that indictment? I did not. I testified in the case and I recollect perfectly well what I testified to. I did not testify that I saw West ship the watch. I never went to the Post Office and took out registered letters for West, I might have been with him when he took registered letters out but I cannot say positively. I solicit advertisements and live in Fifth Avenue and Carroll

Street, Brooklyn. I never pawned watches for Mr West, I know how that watch came to be pawned in the name of Denman, West owed me some money at the time and I asked him to give me it andhe gave ma a portion of the money and the watch which I sent to have pawned and I gave him back the ticket. I know nothing whatever about the pawning of any watches except this one. There were one or two of these watches that I could possibly identify that was there prior to April first when I was assisting. (The witness identified seven watches.) I do not swear positively that these three cases were in the place before April first, there is no private mark on them, there is one I will, swear to positively was there before the first of April.

Henry Moss sworn. I know the Defendant West close on to two years, he has pawned goods with me different times and has redeemed them; there are some yet that are not redeemed but he never let the time run out. It is not unusual for parties to give a name beside their real name when they pawn goods. I knew the Defendant only as Roberts, I never knew his name was West until this case.

Edwin F. Crawley recalled by the Assistant District Attorney for the People.

I have heard the testimony of Mr West. It is not true that I entered into partnership with him on the first of January or February under the name of the Victor Watch Company or any other name, I never made any agreement

with him to supply cash and watches and I was to have one third of the profits and the Defendant to have two - thirds and that if the business was found to be good that I would leave my present employment and continue this co -partnership arrengement. When I was going to Chicago I loaned him thirty dollars but it was not on account of any co -partnership. The receipt on this paper was signed by Mr West about the 5th of February and is a list of some watches which he received at that time on memorandum in addition to those which are the ubject of this indictment. He did not pay over the money for the last, he signed this in my presence.

John Keegan sworn and examined.

I know the Defendant probably three or four years, I ha a conversation with him at the end of March or the beginning of April, I asked him if it was true that Mr Crawley and he had entered into a partnership agreement for some pecuniary reasons of my own I wished to know and he said it was not. I said, are you sure? He said, "I am positive there has been nothing of the kind." I said, "how are you getting all these watches and goods from him, you seem to have an increased stock," and he said, Mr Crawley was giving him those goods onmemorandum. I said, "why does he not become a partner as he is so liberal in that respect?" He said, "I could not do anything with the memorandum and Crawley will have nothing to do with the matter until the old matter are straightened out.

The Jury rendered a verdict of guilty of grand larceny in the second degree.

Testimony in the
case of
Charles West.
filed June
1887.

Judge & K

Police Court— 1st District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County of New York, } ss.

Edwin F. Crawley

of No. 4 Maiden Lane Street, aged 44 years, occupation Salesman & Dealer in Jewelry being duly sworn deposes and says, that on the 29th day of April 188 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in the day time, the following property viz:

One Sample Case Containing Fifty-two Gold Silver & Nickel Watches together of the value of Two hundred & Ninety-eight Dollars & Seventy five cents

the property of Deponent

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was ~~feloniously~~ taken, stolen, and carried away by Angelus Gesh from the fact that on or about the above described date deponent delivered the above described watches on Memorandum and at that time deponent informed defendant that deponent was about to leave the city on a business trip and that he deponent would return in about five weeks time and when deponent would return he desired the defendant to report to deponent what goods defendant had disposed of or sold and return the balance to deponent and when deponent returned from said business trip on the 5th day

Sworn to before me this 1st day of May 188

Police Justice.

5 June deponent looked for the defendant and found defendant on the 11th day of June and defendant stated to deponent that he had not disposed of or sold any of the above described goods that he had left said goods in a safe in his office at No 44 Maiden Lane and deponent went to said office and failed to find said safe deponent subsequently went to Marvills Safe Manufactory No 90 South St Louis and had the safe opened in deponents presence and there was not any of the goods or watches in said safe deponent met said defendant on two different occasions since deponent returned home from the aforesaid trip and demanded said watches from defendant or the value of the same and defendant has failed to deliver the same or the value thereof and has withheld and appropriated the same to his own use

Wherefore deponent prays that the said defendant may be apprehended and dealt with according to law

Sworn to before me this
17th day of June 1873

Edwin J. Crawley

John B. Morris
Police Justice

Sec. 198—200.

10th District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Charles West being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial,

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Charles West

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 33 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer, England

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 84 State St Brooklyn 3 years

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer, Watch Dealer

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty

Charles West

Taken before me this [illegible] *day of* [illegible] 188[illegible]

[illegible] *Police Justice.*

Sec. 151.

1st District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss

In the name of the People of the State of New York; To the Sheriff of the County of New York, or any Marshal or Policeman of the City of New York:

Whereas, Complaint on oath has been made before the undersigned, one of the *Police Justices* in and for the said City, by Edwin F Crawley

of No. 4 Maiden Lane Street, that on the about 20 day of April 188 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, the following article, to wit: One Case Containing Fifty Two Gold Silver & Nickel Watches

of the value of Two Hundred & Ninety Eight 75/100 Dollars, the property of Complainant

was taken, stolen and carried away, and as the said Complainant has cause to suspect, and does suspect and believe, by Charles Doe

Wherefore, the said Complainant has prayed that the said Defendant may be apprehended and bound to answer the said complaint.

These are, Therefore, in the name of the PEOPLE of the State of New York, to command you the said *Sheriff, Marshals and Policemen*, and every of you, to apprehend the body of the said Defendant and forthwith bring him before me, at the 1st DISTRICT POLICE COURT, in the said City, or in case of my absence or inability to act, before the nearest or most accessible Police Justice in this City, to answer the said charge, and to be dealt with according to law.

Dated at the City of New York, this 27th day of June 188

Police Justice.

POLICE COURT. ____ **DISTRICT.**

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.

Warrant—Larceny.

Dated ____ 188

Magistrate

Officer

The Defendant ____ taken, and brought before the Magistrate, to answer the within charge, pursuant to the command contained in this Warrant.

Officer.

Dated ____ 188

This Warrant may be executed on Sunday or at night.

Police Justice.

REMARKS.

Time of Arrest, ____

Native of ____

Age, ____

Sex ____

Complexion, ____

Color ____

Profession, ____

Married ____

Single, ____

Read, ____

Write, ____

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0260

Henry Gross.		117. Chatham St. New York			
Dec 24 86	20366	2. Gr watches	4	-	Roberts
May 14 87	30219	1 " "	5	-	"
21 "	30762	2 " "	3	50	"
30 "	31304	50 watches in Trays	100	-	"
June 4 "	31794	2 watches	4	-	"
18 "	32946	Type writer	10	-	"
		6 Tickets	$ 129	50	
Koplick		139. Park Row. N.Y.			
June 20-87	4204.	Broken Gr watch	1	-	Roberts
Geo. Gro		59. Pawery. N.Y.			
Feb 8 86	8082.	9 watch	20	-	Roberts
A. J. Kenney		214 Atlantic av Bklyn.			
Dec 4 86	O.G. Mex watch	81569	1	50	Roberts
18 "	" Mex "	84003	2	-	Robbins
May 12 87	" Mex "	24169	2	-	Stevens
30 "	" Mex "	24611	2	-	Wise
June 11	" Mex Stem winder	30241.	3	-	Williams
		25. Tickets	10	50	
H. M. Paleeman.		6 Sand St. Brooklyn.			
Feb 6 86	9. Gr watch	6418.	20	-	Roberts
H. Greel.		29. West Fourteenth St. N.Y.			
Nov 18-86	watch	65520.	5	-	Brockmorton

0261

D. Abrahams. 49 Chatham St New York

July 26	86	9. Kunx watch pin box	2204	5	-	Robertson
Aug 16	"	1 Dr watch	1328	2	-	Robertson
17	"	1 " "	1491	2	-	Russell?
19	"	1 " "	1662	2	50	Russel?
20	"	2 " "	1760	4	-	Robertson
Sept 29	"	2 " "	1918	4	-	Robertson
"	"	1 " "	2434	2	50	"
"	"	1 " "	2485	2	50	"
Oct 7	"	5 watch	6[illegible]1	12	-	Robertson
14	"	2 Dr watches	1155	4	-	Robertson
Dec 4	"	Lady Kunx watch x Dr watch	522	7	-	Robertson
"	"	5 Dr watch	925	10	-	"
18	"	2 " "	1481	4	-	"
24	"	4 " "	2085	8	-	"
28	"	watch movt x Dr watch	294	2	-	"
Jan 8	87	1 watch	641	2	-	Robertson
18	"		1484	1	50	Russel?
22	"	4 Dr watches	1796	8	-	Robertson
29	"	2 " "	2500	[illegible]	-	Robertson
Feb 11	"	Dr watch	1025	2	-	Russel?
Mar 12	"	g. h watch x clock	1091	20	-	Smith?
22	"	" "	1979	2	-	Neumann
Apl 9	"	2 Dr watches	546	4	-	Robertson
20	"	Dr watch	1859	1	50	Robertson
27	"	2 Dr watches	2560	4	-	Robertson
28	"	Dr watch	2627	2	-	Robertson
30	"	3 Dr watches	2859	6	-	Rucker?
May 24	"	2 "	2106	4	-	Robertson
28	"	1 Dr "	2504	1	50	Robson
June 18	"	Dr "	1057	2	-	Robertson

Bo. Tickets $1140

Re
Edwin F. Crawley
against
Chas West.

List of 45 persons
found on defendants.

Detective Sergeants
Doganski & Handy
N.Y. City

0263

Memorandum Goods to Chas West April 25

2 gent's Gray Stem Extra	6	12 -
4 " Meerschaum " "	4 1/4	12 -
2 " Amber Box Cases	2 3/4	5 50
3 " Amber Stem	1 1/4	3 -
3 gent's Cuttie	4 1/4	12 75
4 metal Alpine	2 3/4	5 -
1 gent's Box Cased Stem	2 1/2	10 -
1 Gem. Ave 22"		4 75
6 ladies Meerschaum	6 3/4	22 50
1 gr. Plain Chatelaine		3 25
1 " Fancy "		10 -
1 " Cantey "		11 25
1 1/2 gr. Chatelaine		14 [illegible]
2 gold cut. Gem	6 1/2	13 -
1 16" Pen Gem		6 50
2 metal 15" Bowl	3 1/4	6 50
1 Gem " Stem cut 15"	6	6 25
1 " 15" Plain		4 25
1 gr. 15" Chief		4 75
2 metal "	3 3/4	7 50
1 Gem "		5 25
1 lad. " 15" Stem		6 75
1 lad. Stem cut	3 3/4	7 50
2 gent's Meerschaum	4 1/2	9 -
2 Meerschaum mouth	2	2 -
1 Cuttie mouth		20 -
1 Sample Box Draper Stock		

$ 29875

0264

BAILED,

No. 1, by _____ Street.
Residence _____

No. 2, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

No. 3, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

No. 4, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

Police Court 1st 963 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF
William O'Donnell
Frederick Lange
Charles Cooper
Grand Larceny

2 _____
3 _____
4 _____

Clerk.

Dated _____ 188 _____ Magistrate.
Assistant District Attorney _____ Officer.
Witnesses _____ Precinct.
No. _____ Street.
No. _____ Street.
No. _____ Street.

RECEIVED. DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE. JUN 27 1887

$ 1000 to answer _____

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendant *guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of* One *Hundred Dollars,* _____ *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated June 27th 188 _____ *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named _____ *to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.*

Dated _____ 188 _____ *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____ *guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.*

Dated _____ 188 _____ *Police Justice.*

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,
against

Rhoades West

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

— Rhoades West —

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE second DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said Rhoades West,

late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the twenty-fifth day of — August, — in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty- seven, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

fifty two watches of the value of six dollars each, and one sample case of the value of two dollars,

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

Edwin F. Bradley,

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

Randolph B. Martine

District Attorney.

0266

BOX:
268
FOLDER:
2570
DESCRIPTION:
Wilken, Louis E.

DATE:
06/15/87

2570

Witnesses:

Peter Stumpf.
Bergenline Ave
West Newyork
N.J.

Off^r Michael McEntee
26. Precinct

Ed Rumbel
Cut slight
doubtful if
it was made
with knife.

160

Counsel,

Filed 5 day of June 1887

Pleads Not Guilty 31.

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Louis E. Wilken

Assault in the Second Degree.
(Section 218, Penal Code.)

Rec'd & Cond
June 30 87

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,
July 7/87 *District Attorney.*

Simple Assault, 3 day

A True Bill.

F. Chandler
Foreman.

Sent Mar
July 7/87

0268

Police Court— 5th District.

City and County of New York, } ss.:

Peter Stumpf of Bergen Line Avenue, West New York, New Jersey, aged 28 years, occupation Painter being duly sworn deposes and says, that on the 30th day of May 188 7 at the City of New York, in the County of New York,

he was violently and feloniously ASSAULTED and BEATEN by Louis E. Wilken, now here, who did wrongfully cut and wound deponent on the right cheek with the blade of a knife, which knife the said Louis, held in his hands,— therewith assaulting and wounding deponent

with the felonious intent ~~to take the life of deponent, or~~ to do him grievous bodily harm; and without any justification on the part of the said assailant :

Wherefore this deponent prays that the said assailant may be ~~apprehended and~~ bound to answer for the above assault, etc., and be dealt with according to law.

Sworn to before me, this 31st day of May 188 7. } Peter Stumpf

J. M. Patterson Police Justice.

Sec. 198—200.

5 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss

Louis E. Wilken being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge: and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question What is your name?

Answer Louis E. Wilken

Question How old are you?

Answer 20 years of age

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 316 West 38th St. 3 months

Question What is your business or profession?

Answer Gold pen maker

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty

Louis E. Wilken

Taken before me this 5th day of December 188 }

Police Justice.

BAILED,

No. 1, by Charles Stevens

Residence 49 James Street.

No. 2, by

Residence Street.

No. 3, by

Residence Street.

No. 4, by

Residence Street.

Police Court 5 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Peter Stumpf
Bergen Boulevard
West Hoboken N. J.
Louis C. Wiekes
2
3
4

Offence Felonious Assault

Dated May 31 188 7

Patterson Magistrate.

McEntee Officer.

26 Precinct.

Witnesses

No. 606 6. 41st Street.

No. Street.

No. 9½ A.M. Street.

No. June 5 Street.

$ Adjourned to answer

2½ P.M.

$500 G. S. Cond

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

Louis C. Wiekes

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and be admitted to bail in the sum of

Five Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Patterson Police Justice.

Dated June 1st 188 7

I have admitted the above-named to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Police Justice.

Dated 188

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.

Police Justice.

Dated 188

99th Ft. Hospital
June 1st 187

This is to certify that Peter Schumpf was dressed here on the afternoon of May 30th for a lacerated wound of the right cheek. That wound was about a half inch in length and cut through the cheek. It must have been inflicted by some sharp instrument or weapon.

Chas. Lowler Sr. Lt.
99th Ft. Hospital
Ohio

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Louis E. Williams

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

— Louis E. Williams —

of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said Louis E. Williams,

late of the City and County of New York, on the Thirtieth day of — March — , in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-seven, with force and arms, at the City and County aforesaid, in and upon one

— Peter O'Humphrey, —

in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully make an assault; and the said Louis E. Williams,

with a certain — knife — which he the said

— Louis E. Williams, —

in his right hand then and there had and held, the same being then and there an instrument and weapon likely to produce grievous bodily harm, him, the said Peter O'Humphrey, then and there feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully strike, beat, cut, stab, bruise and wound, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT:

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

— Louis E. Williams —

of the Crime of ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said Louis E. Williams,

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and upon one Peter Schumpf, —

in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully make an assault: and the said Louis E. Williams, — — him the said Peter Schumpf, — with a certain knife — which he the said Louis E. Williams —

in his right hand then and there had and held, in and upon the head and face of him the said Peter Schumpf,

then and there feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully strike, beat, stab, cut, bruise and wound, and did then and there and by the means aforesaid, feloniously, wilfully and wrongfully inflict grievous bodily harm upon the said Peter Schumpf, to the great damage of the said Peter Schumpf, — against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,
District Attorney.

0274

BOX:
268
FOLDER:
2570
DESCRIPTION:
Williams, Charles

DATE:
06/07/87

2570

Witnesses:

John E Schmidt
142 Elm St
Off. Jerome L Renner
11. Precinct

#22

Counsel, ...

Filed, 7 day of June 1887

Pleads, ...

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Charles Williams

Grand Larceny, second degree
(From the Person).
[Sections 528, 53 , Penal Code].

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,
District Attorney.

A True Bill.

F. Chandler
Foreman.

Convicted
Second Guilty
S. P. Leonard 3rd

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

02715

Police Court— 3 District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County of New York, } ss.

John E. Schmidt

of No. 142 Elm Street, aged 35 years, occupation Baker being duly sworn deposes and says, that on the 29 day of May 1887 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession and person of deponent, in the day time, the following property viz:

One pocket book containing Silver Coin in all of the value of about two dollars

the property of Deponent

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away by Charles Williams (now here) and two other persons not arrested, and other persons unknown from the fact that at the hour of about 5 o'clock in the morning of said 29 day of May 1887 deponent came from a Hallway in Allen Street near Broome Street when deponent had said pocket book containing said money in the right hand pocket of the pants then worn upon deponents person, that said three defendants came up to deponent and one of them put his hand in deponents pocket and took said pocket book that deponent gave an alarm

Sworn to before me, this day of 188

Police Justice.

Baltimore City Police

Defendant is informed by Jeremy L. Penner of the 11th Precinct Police that he heard the alarm, and that he saw said defendant Williams take money from a Pocket book and then throw the pocket book in an areaway that he arrested said Williams and then picked up the pocket book.

Defendant fully identifies the pocket book found by said Officer as the one stolen from Defendant as aforesaid the two other defendants ran away

Sworn to before me this 29th day of May 1889

John E. Johns JP.

Saml C. Hinkley Police Justice

Sec. 198—200

3 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK. } ss.

Charles Williams being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial,

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Charles Williams

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 27 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer, Germany

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 16 Allen Street 4 weeks

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer, Waiter

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty Charles Williams

Taken before me this 29 *day of* May 188 7

Police Justice.

0279

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Police Court-- 3 District. 796

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

John G. Schmidt, 142 Elm

Charles Williams

2

3

4

Offence Larceny from Person

BAILED,

No. 1, by

Residence Street.

No. 2, by

Residence Street,

No. 3, by

Residence Street,

No 4, by

Residence Street.

Dated May 29 1887

O'Reilly Magistrate.

Jerome Phelan Officer.

11 Precinct.

Witnesses

No. Street.

No. Street.

No Street.

$ 1000 to answer

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of

Ten Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated May 29 188

Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named

to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated 188

Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named

guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.

Dated 188

Police Justice.

0280

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,
against
Charles Williams

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

— Charles Williams —

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE second DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said Charles Williams,

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the twenty-ninth day of — May, — in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-seven, at the City and County aforesaid, in the day time of the same day, with force and arms, one pocket book of the value of twenty five cents, two silver coins of the value of one dollar each, four silver coins of the value of fifty cents each, eight silver coins of the value of twenty five cents each, and divers other coins, of a number, kind and denomination to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, of the value of two dollars, — of the goods, chattels, and personal property of one John E. Smith, on the person of the said John E. Smith, then and there being found, from the person of the said John E. Smith, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

Randolph B. Martine
District Attorney.

0281

BOX:
268
FOLDER:
2570
DESCRIPTION:
Williams, George

DATE:
06/24/87

2570

Witnesses:

283.

Counsel, Hall

Filed 24 day of June 1887

Pleads Not Guilty

THE PEOPLE

vs.

George Williams

POOL SELLING.
(Section 351, Penal Code).

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,

District Attorney.

A True Bill.

Foreman.

Part II June 29 1888
Tried and Acquitted.

Will not try now

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

2820

Police Court, 1 **District.**

City and County of New York, } ss.

William Flynn

of No. The 23rd Precinct Police Street, aged years,

occupation Police Officer being duly sworn, deposes and says,

that on the 21 day of June 1887, at the City of New York, in the County of New York, he arrested George Williams (colored) for the reason that said Williams did violate the provisions of Section 351 of the Penal Code in the manner following, to wit:— that at about 2.35 P.M. on said date, defendant entered the basement of premises number 5 Barclay Street and entered a rear office therein and there and then saw said Williams and stated to the defendant that he wished to make a bet upon the result of a horse race to be run in the Sheepshead Bay Race Track situated in Kings County, N.Y. State and wished to bet upon a horse by the name of Choctaw advertised to run in the sixth race on said track on said date. That defendant then and there paid unto the possession of said Williams the sum of five dollars and received therefrom a slip of paper with the numbers "3 0 0 4" printed thereon, and that before receiving said slip of paper defendant signed an agreement then attached by which he was to receive at least twice as much money as he paid provided said horse won said race. Deponent further says that the defendant refused to make a bet and said he would

Police Court-_____ District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

_____

vs.

1 _____
2 _____
3 _____
4 _____

Offence, _____

Dated _____ 188

_____ Magistrate.

_____ Officer.

_____ Clerk.

Witnesses, _____

No. _____ Street,

_____

No. _____ Street,

_____

No. _____ Street.

$ _____ to answer _____ Sessions

_____

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named _____

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of _____ *Hundred Dollars,* _____ *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated _____ 188 _____ *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above named _____
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated _____ 188 _____ *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____
guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order he to be discharged.

Dated _____ 188 _____ *Police Justice.*

Mr. Michael Lynch was the
complainant. Also Hook was present. If he
knew Johnson would appear in Sessions
Mr. Johnson was called & Police Justice
discharged

William Lynch

Lawrence Lynch was the
witness. Also Hook was present
Johnson was discharged

Police Justice

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0284

Sec. 198—200.

1 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

George Williams being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is h right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h ; that the statement is designed to enable h if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiver cannot be used against h on the trial,

Question. What is your name?

Answer. George Williams

Question. How old are you ?

Answer. 35 years

Question. Where were you born ?

Answer, [illegible]

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there ?

Answer. 35 Catharine Street 5 mos

Question. What is your business or profession ?

Answer, Agent

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation ?

Answer. I am not guilty and demand a trial by jury if held

George Williams

Taken before me this 3 *day of* August 188[illegible]

[illegible] *Police Justice.*

0286

Police Court— 1 — District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

William Hoffman
Geo. Bogert
Jesse Williams

2
3
4

Offence Violation of Section 10 Penal Code

Dated June 21 188 7

Magistrate

Officer.

2 Precinct.

Witnesses

No. Street.

No. Street.

RECEIVED. DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE JUN 22 1887

No. 1, by William Hoffman, 3 Bogert, Jesse Williams

Residence Street.

No. 2, by

Residence Street.

No. 3, by

Residence Street.

No. 4, by

Residence Street.

No. 1 Street.

$ 500 to answer

Cash deposited with Co. Prison June 24 1887

BAILED, 32 Callicoune

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Alexander

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Five *Hundred Dollars,* ..... *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated June 21 188 7 ..... *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named .....

to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated ..... 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named .....

..... *guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.*

Dated ..... 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

028

2004

NEW YORK, June 21, 1887.

Please execute for me on the race track at Sheepshead Bay, at the races to be held this day on the grounds of the Coney Island Jockey Club, at Sheepshead Bay, in the County of Kings, State of New York, and at no other place or time, the sum of dollars on but do not under any circumstances accept odds on this race at the said race track at a less price than to

I desire it to be positively and distinctly understood and for this reason only do I place in your charge my money, that you place my said money for me only on said horse above mentioned, and at no other place than on the grounds of the said Coney Island Jockey Club during the progress of the races this day: and for this purpose I make you my common carriers, for the expense incurred by you in so placing my said money on the said grounds of said C. I. J. C., I agree to pay you the sum of cents.

2004

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
against
George Williams

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

— George Williams —

of the CRIME OF RECORDING AND REGISTERING A BET AND WAGER, committed as follows:

The said George Williams,

late of the First Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the twenty first day of June, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-seven, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid and not upon any grounds or race track, course, board or conducted by any association incorporated under the laws of this State for the purpose of improving the breed of horses, where racing was lawfully had, with force and arms, did unlawfully record and register, and 'cause to be recorded and registered, a certain bet and wager, then and there made by and between one William Flynn and a certain other person or persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, —

upon the result of a certain trial and contest of speed and power of endurance of and between a certain horse called "Choctaw", and divers other ~~divers~~ horses (a more particular description whereof, and of each of them, is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown) thereafter to be had, holden and run on the day and in the year aforesaid, at a certain place and race track situated at the town of Gravesend in the County of Kings, — in the State of New York, and commonly called the Sheepshead Bay Race Track, and which said trial and contest was had, holden and run on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the place and race track aforesaid (a more particular description of which said trial and contest, and of the said bet and wager so as aforesaid then and there made upon the same, is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, and cannot now be given), against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

~~**Second Count.** And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said~~

~~of the CRIME OF RECORDING AND REGISTERING BETS AND WAGERS, committed as follows:~~

The said

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms did unlawfully record and register, and cause to be recorded and registered, divers bets and divers wagers then and there made by and between divers persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown (a more particular description of which said bets and wagers is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown), upon the result of divers trials and contests of speed and power of endurance of and between divers horses (a more particular description whereof, and of each of them, is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown) thereafter to be had, holden and run on the day and in the year aforesaid, at a certain place and race track situated at
in the County of in the State of
and commonly called the Race Track,

and which said trials and contests were had, holden and run on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the place and race track aforesaid (a more particular description of which said trials and contests is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown), against the form of the statute in ~~such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.~~

~~Third~~ Second **Count.**—And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said George Williams —

of the Crime of Selling a Pool upon the result of a trial and contest of speed and power of endurance of horses, committed as follows:

The said George Williams,

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, ~~with force and arms, did unlawfully sell, and cause to be sold, to one~~ and not upon any grounds or race track owned, leased or conducted by any association incorporated under the laws of this State for the purpose of improving the breed of horses, where racing was lawfully had, with force and arms, feloniously did engage in pool selling, and then and there feloniously did sell to one William Flynn, and to divers other persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, a certain pool upon the result of a certain trial and contest of speed and power of endurance of and between ~~divers~~ a certain horse called Choctaw, and divers other horses (a more particular description whereof, and of each of them, is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown) thereafter to be had, holden and run on the day and in the year aforesaid, at a certain place and race track situated at the town of Gravesend,
in the County of Kings — in the State of New York
and commonly called the Sheepshead Bay Race Track,

and which said trial and contest was had, holden and run on the day and in the year aforesaid at the place and race track aforesaid (a more particular description of which said trial and contest, and of the pool upon the same so as aforesaid then and there sold, is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, and cannot now be given), against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

~~**Fourth Count.** And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said~~

of the CRIME OF SELLING POOLS upon the result of trials and contests of speed and power of endurance of horses, committed as follows :

The said

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit : on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, did unlawfully sell and cause to be sold to divers persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, divers pools upon the result of divers trials and contests of speed and power of endurance of and between divers horses (a more particular description whereof, and of each of them, is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown), thereafter to be had, holden and run on the day and in the year aforesaid, at a certain place and race track situated at in the County of in the State of and commonly called the Race Track

and which said trials and contests were had, holden and run on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the place and race track aforesaid (a more particular description of which said trials and contests, and of the pools aforesaid upon the same, is to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, and cannot now be given), against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

~~RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,~~
~~**District Attorney.**~~

0291

BOX:
268

FOLDER:
2570

DESCRIPTION:
Williams, James

DATE:
06/15/87

2570

Witnesses:

Off Daniel Sullivan
16 Precinct

166

Counsel,

Filed 15 day of June 1887

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

James Williams

Burglary in the Third Degree.

[Sections 405, 506, 528 and 532

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,

District Attorney.

A True Bill.

F. Chandler

June 16/87 *Foreman*

Grade Burg 3deg

S. P. Dwyer Asst D.A.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

2620

0293

Police Court—3—District.

City and County of New York, } ss.:

Thomas C. Blake

of No. 16 West 132 Street, aged 27 years,

occupation Manufacturer being duly sworn

deposes and says, that the premises No 517 West 25th Street,

in the City and County aforesaid, the said being a two story brick building

and which was occupied by deponent as an office for a Foundry

and in which there was at the time no human being, by name

were BURGLARIOUSLY entered by means of forcibly prying off the wire screen covering the front window of said premises breaking a pane of glass putting his hand in and pushing back the catch and raising said window

on the 11th day of June 188[illegible] in the [illegible] time, and the following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz:

four books one ink stand and a book two dollars worth of postage stamps together of the value of four dollars ($4.00)

the property of deponent and his copartners

and deponent further says, that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid BURGLARY was committed and the aforesaid property taken, stolen, and carried away by James Williams [illegible]

for the reasons following, to wit: that at the hour of six O'clock PM said date deponents clerk locked and securely fastened the doors and windows of said premises and left them and deponent is informed by Officer Daniel Sullivan of the 10th Police that shortly before the hour of seven O'clock PM same day he the Officer tried the door and examined the windows of said premises and found them

fastened. and in good condition And at the hour of 11.30 O'clock PM same date he the Officer found said premises broken open as aforesaid and on searching said premises he found the defendants concealed under the desk in said office, and when defendants examined [illegible] he found that the aforesaid property was missing.

Wherefore deponent charges the [illegible] with burglariously entering said premises as aforesaid and feloniously taking stealing and carrying away the aforesaid property.

Thomas C. Blake

Sworn to before me this 6th day of June 1889

[illegible]

Police Court ..... District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.

Burglary ..... Degree.

Dated 188

Magistrate.

Officer.

Clerk.

Witnesses:

Committed in default of $ ..... Bail.

Bailed by .....

No. ..... Street.

CITY AND COUNTY
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Daniel Sullivan

aged years, occupation Police Officer of No.

1 6th Precinct Police ~~Street~~, being duly sworn deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Chas B. Clarke

and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponents' own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this
day of June 5 188 }

Daniel Sullivan

Daniel O'Neill
Police Justice.

Sec. 198—200

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK. } ss.

James Williams being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial,

Question. What is your name?

Answer. James Williams

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 52 years old

Question. Where were you born?

Answer, New York

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 459 W. 29th St. 4 years

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer, Laborer.

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I was drunk and I did not know what I was doing

James Williams

Taken before me this ..... *day of* ..... 188 .

..... *Police Justice.*

0297

843

Police Court-- ..... District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF
Thomas C. Clark
1677 132

1 .....
2 .....
3 .....
4 .....

Offence .....

BAILED,

No. 1, by ..... Street.
Residence .....

No. 2, by ..... Street.
Residence .....

No. 3, by ..... Street.
Residence .....

No 4, by ..... Street.
Residence .....

Dated 188

..... Magistrate.
Daniel Sullivan Officer.
..... Precinct.

Witnesses Dan Sullivan
No. 16 Pearl St. Street.

No. ..... Street.

No ..... Street.

$ 1500 to answer .....

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named .....

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Fifteen *Hundred Dollars,* ..... *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated ..... 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named .....
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated ..... 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named .....
..... *guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.*

Dated ..... 188 ..... *Police Justice.*

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

James Williams

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

James Williams

of the CRIME OF BURGLARY IN THE THIRD DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said James Williams,

late of the Sixteenth Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York, aforesaid, on the Fourth day of June, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-seven, with force and arms, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, a certain building there situate, to wit: the Office of one

Thomas R. Blake,

feloniously and burglariously did break into and enter, with intent to commit some crime therein, to wit: with intent, the goods, chattels and personal property of the said

Thomas R. Blake,

in the said Office, then and there being, then and there feloniously and burglariously to steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said James Williams —

of the CRIME OF petit LARCENY, — committed as follows:

The said James Williams,

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, in the night time of the said day, with force and arms,

upon bodies of the value of forty cents each, one indian stamp of the value of forty cents, and divers United States postage stamps, of a number and denomination to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, of the value of two dollars,

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Thomas R. Blake,

in the Office of the said Thomas R. Blake, —

there situate, then and there being found, in the Office aforesaid, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

Randolph M. Bromley

District Attorney.