

0453

BOX:

509

FOLDER:

4642

DESCRIPTION:

Mack, John

DATE:

01/19/93



4642

0454

BOX:

509

FOLDER:

4642

DESCRIPTION:

Seeley, Martin

DATE:

01/19/93



4642

Witnesses:

W. H. Hall

and papers to
Sey. Kelly to
Merrill-gall-By
Ch. By

Counsel,

Filed

Pleads,

day of May 1893

THE PEOPLE

vs.

John Mack

and

Martin Seely

De LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

J. C. Catlin

any 14/4 Foreman.

1/24/93

1/24/93

1/24/93

1/24/93

[Section 498, (Burglary in the Third Degree.)]

0455

0456

Police Court— District.

City and County { ss.:
of New York,

of No. 261 Greenwich Street, aged 39 years,
occupation Hatter being duly sworn

deposes and says, that the premises No. 261 Greenwich Street, 8 Ward
in the City and County aforesaid the said being a four story
brick building
and which was occupied by deponent as a hat and fur establishment
and in which there was at the time a human being, by name

William H. Hall
attempted to BURGLARIOUSLY enter by means of forcibly

removing the door lock on the
roof door from

on the 14 day of January 1888 in the City time, and the
following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz:

A quantity of fur
hat valued at seven
thousand dollars

the property of John Mack
and deponent further says, that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid
BURGLARY was committed and the aforesaid property taken, stolen and carried away by

John Mack
for the reasons following, to wit: These defendants
who were found at the
premises 259 Greenwich Street
admit and confess to having
removed the door lock on the
to having attempted to enter said
premises
John Mack

Seen to sign me
Jan 15th day of January 1888
John Mack
John Mack

0457

Sec. 198-200.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

District Police Court.

Martin Seely being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Martin Seely*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *30 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *Philadelphia*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *100 West 10th St.*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Stone mason*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. *I am guilty*

Martin Seely

Taken before me this

day of *November* 188*7*

W. D. M. S. J.

Police Justice.

0458

Sec. 198-200.

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.
OF NEW YORK,

District Police Court.

John Mack being duly examined before the under-
signed according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to
make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to
enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him
that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used
against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *John Mack*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *37 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *New York*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *12 Avenue D, Brooklyn, N.Y.*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Reader*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the
testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your
exculpation?

Answer. *John Mack*

Taken before me this

1935

day of December 1935

John Mack

Police Justice.

0459

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

Referred to
guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Twenty five Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, Jan 12 189 W. J. H. H. Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____ guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

0460

Police Court---

70
District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

BAILED,

No. 1, by.....

Residence Street.

No. 2, by.....

Residence Street.

No. 3, by.....

Residence Street.

No. 4, by.....

Residence Street.

3.....

4.....

Dated,

189.....

Magistrate.

Officer.

Precinct.

Witnesses.....

No. Street.

No. Street.

No. Street.

2500 to answer 4.5

att
1500

0461

483

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against
John Mack
and
Martin Seeley

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

John Mack and Martin Seeley
of the crime of attempting to commit

of the CRIME OF BURGLARY IN THE THIRD DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

John Mack and Martin Seeley, both

late of the *3rd* Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the
fourteenth day of *January* in the year of our Lord one
thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three* in the *night* time of the same day, at the
Ward, City and County aforesaid, a certain building there situate, to wit, the building of
one *William H. Hall*

there situate, feloniously and burglariously did ^{attempt to} break into and enter, with intent to commit some
crime therein, to wit: with intent the goods, chattels and personal property of the said *William*
H. Hall in the said building
then and there being, then and there feloniously and burglariously to steal, take and carry away,
against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the
People of the State of New York and their dignity.

De Lancey Nicoll,
District Attorney.

0462

BOX:

509

FOLDER:

4642

DESCRIPTION:

Mahan, Patrick

DATE:

01/26/93



4642

Witnesses:

Alfred Mulankey

309

Counsel,

Filed,

day of

1893

Pleas,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Patrick Mulankey

Transferred to the Court of Special Sessions for trial and final disposition

Part 2... May 18... 1893

DE LANCEY NICOLI,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

J. C. Lattin

Foreman.

VIOLATION OF THE EXCISE LAW.
[Chap. 401, Laws of 1892, § 32.]

0463

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Patrick McKeon

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Patrick McKeon

of the CRIME OF SELLING STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINES, ALE AND BEER ON SUNDAY, committed as follows:

The said

Patrick McKeon

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the day of *January* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three*, at the City and County aforesaid, the same being Sunday, certain strong and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, to wit: One gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whiskey, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain strong and spirituous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did sell, ~~to one~~

and to certain other persons whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

Patrick McKeon

of the CRIME OF OFFERING AND EXPOSING FOR SALE ON SUNDAY STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINES, ALE AND BEER, committed as follows:

The said

Patrick McKeon

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to-wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, the same being Sunday, certain strong and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, to wit: One gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whiskey, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain strong and spirituous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did offer and expose for sale to one

Bernard McKeon

and to certain other persons whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

0465

BOX:

509

FOLDER:

4642

DESCRIPTION:

Mahon, Robert

DATE:

01/27/93



4642

0466

Witnesses:

Alfred Barr

I am an Attorney
Made in this case.
and from the Criminal
Magistrate signed
by the Complaint.
By further petition
that it is the first
time the Defendant
has appeared again
the Law-Salle
Came to a proper
disposition of the case
made by the District
Judge and was signed
by the Defendant
May 1 By 3 Not signed

Counsel,

Filed day of May 1893

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Robert Mahon

Grand Larceny,
[Sections 528, 531,
Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

J. Cathin

Foreman.

Part 3. February 193-
Def. discharged on his
verbal recog.

New York General Sessions.

PEOPLE ON MY COMPLAINT,
VERSUS

Robert Mahon

As complainant in the above case, I beg to recommend the defendant to such leniency and clemency as the Court and District Attorney may see fit to show; but I expressly assert that my reasons for so doing are not controlled by any advantage to myself. My reasons for wishing to withdraw the Complaint, were that the defendant is my nephew and has resided with me ever since the death of his mother, at the time he pawned the goods he was out of Employment and had been drinking, and I now believe he would have redeemed the goods as soon as he obtained Employment; I now feel that I am wrong in making the Complaint as I have always found him honest. I have not sleep since I made the Complaint, as I think as much of him as I would of a son and would not wish to be the cause of sending him to prison — I therefore beg the Court to grant me permission to withdraw the Complaint.

Acknowledged before me }
this 21st day of January 1893 } Anna X Mahon
Wm.

Flora A. McGuire
Comptrolr Deeds
N. Y. Co.

0468

Police Court

5th

District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County } ss:
of New York,

Anne Mahon

of No. 104 West 99th Street, aged 44 years,
 occupation 15 West 110th Street being duly sworn,
 deposes and says, that on the 20th day of January 1893 at the City of New
 York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the pos-
 session of deponent, in the day time, the following property, viz:

Two satin dresses, one pair of flannels
 one lace waist, one ring, one set
 of ear rings, two studs, all together
 of the value of about Forty dollars

the property of Deponent—

and that this deponent
 has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken,
 stolen and carried away by 10 West 110th Street, now here
 from the fact, that this defendant—
 lived with deponent, deponent missed
 said property, and asked this def-
 endant for the said property, defendant
 gave deponent a number of pawn
 tickets representing said property—
 and told deponent that he had pawned
 the same.

This defendant confesses in
 open court with larceny and stealing
 said property; therefore deponent charges
 this defendant with larceny and
 stealing said property, and asks
 that he be dealt with according
 to law.

Ann X Mahon
 mark

Sworn to before me, this 22nd day of

1893

Police Justice.

0469

5

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss:

Robert Mahon being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he sees fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Robert Mahon

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

25 years old

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

New York City

Question. Where do you live and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

104 West 99th Two weeks

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Clerk

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer.

I am not guilty

Robert Mahon

Taken before me this *22* day of *March* 19*33*
James C. Smith
Police Justice.

0470

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

Defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of

Twenty Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of

the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail

Dated, *January 2* 189 *3* *Wm. J. [Signature]* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, 189 Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, 189 Police Justice.

047

P265 5 110
Police Court--- District.
1834

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Ann Mahon
Robert Mahon

1

2

3

4

Dated,

189

Magistrate.

Officer.

Precinct.

Witnesses

No.

Street.

No.

Street.

No.

Street.

* 500 to answer

Ex Jan. 25 9 AM

BAILED,

No. 1, by.....

Residence Street.

No. 2, by.....

Residence Street.

No. 3, by.....

Residence Street.

No. 4, by.....

Residence Street.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Robert Mahon

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Robert Mahon
of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE *second* DEGREE, committed
as follows:

The said

Robert Mahon

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *20th*
day of *January* — in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and
ninety-*three*, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

*two dresses of the value of ten
dollars each, two blankets of the
value of two dollars each, one waist of
the value of five dollars, one ring of the
value of five dollars, one pair of earrings
of the value of five dollars, and two
studs of the value of two dollars
each*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

Ann Mahon

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against
the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People
of the State of New York and their dignity.

*De Lancey Nicoll,
District Attorney*

0473

BOX:

509

FOLDER:

4642

DESCRIPTION:

Mass, Moses

DATE:

01/19/93



4642

0474

BOX:

509

FOLDER:

4642

DESCRIPTION:

Mass, Dora

DATE:

01/19/93



4642

Witnesses:

Kate Kumbholtz

Oliver Schwartz

(189)

Counsel,

Filed

19 day of May 1893

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

B

James Jones,

and

Wm Jones.

Assault in the Third Degree.
(Section 219, Penal Code.)

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

J. C. Carter

Foreman.

County of Los Angeles

Book 11, Page 93.

0475

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Moses Weiss and David Weiss

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment accuse

Moses Weiss and David Weiss

of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE THIRD DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said - *Moses Weiss and David Weiss*, both

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the - *twenty eighth*
day of *November* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and
ninety-*two*, at the City and County aforesaid, in and upon the body of one *Adelle*
Krausberg in the peace of the said People then and there being, with force
and arms, unlawfully did make an assault, and - *beat* the said - *Adelle Krausberg*
did then and there unlawfully beat, wound and illtreat, against the form of the statute in such case
made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

0477

BOX:

509

FOLDER:

4642

DESCRIPTION:

Mathews, George S.

DATE:

01/05/93



4642

Witnesses:

David G. Sankin

This is to certify
that the above
Shelton, app. 5th
me his Ch. for
honorably. had
been good
probably before
Sankin's
by

(20)

Counsel,

Filed

Pleads,

1893

THE PEOPLE

vs.

George S. Mathews

Second Degree.
Penal Code.]

Grand Larceny,
[Sections 623, 624]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

L. C. Catlin
Foreman.

May 5/93

Shelton, app. 5th
me his Ch. for
honorably. had
been good
probably before
Sankin's
by

0479

Police Court

2 District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County of New York, ss.

of No. 100 Broadway, 410th Street, aged 36 years.

occupation. *Barber* being duly sworn,

deposes and says, that on the 18 day of December 1897 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in time, the following property, viz:

A quantity of jewelry together of the value of about two hundred

Sworn to before me, this

the property of The Graham Manufacturing Company

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away by

from the fact that the deponent was in the employ of said company as a clerk and on the 27th day of December Martha A. Matthews of 26 Ludlow Street, Brooklyn, Conn. to deponent place a business and stated to deponent that the deponent had left this jewelry with her and admitted he had stolen this property from the Graham Manufacturing Company and said Martha A. Matthews left this property with said company. Deponent further says that the deponent admitted and confessed he had stolen said property.

W. H. L. C.

0480

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

1921

aged 27 years, occupation Detective of No. 100
Corhan Mfr. Co 845 Broadway Street, being duly sworn, deposes and
says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of W. N. Leach
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own
knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this
of Dec 1899

27

day

Saml. J. Perkins

AJ White Police Justice.

0481

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court.

1882

City and County of New York, ss:

George S. Matthews being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to a charge against him that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer.

Taken before me this

day of

1889

Police Justice.

0482

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

Geo S. Matthews

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *Five* Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, *Dec 29* 189*2* *J. J. [Signature]* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, 189 Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.

Dated, 189 Police Justice.

0483

Police Court---2--- District. 8

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

W. N. Leato
Geo. S. Matthews

Offense

BAILED.

No. 1, by

Residence Street.

No. 2, by

Residence Street.

No. 3, by

Residence Street.

No. 4, by

Residence Street.

Dated, Dec 24, 189

White

Magistrate.

Almon D. Connor Officer.

C. O.

Precinct.

Witnesses

David J. Larkin

No. 210 W. 104 Street.

Graham Co.

No. Street.

No. Street.

to answer

...

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

George S. Mathews

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

George S. Mathews
of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE *second* DEGREE, committed
as follows:

The said

George S. Mathews,

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *15th*
day of *December*, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and
ninety- *two*, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

*one watch of the value of twenty dollars,
seven finger rings of the value of ten
dollars each, three lace-pins of the value
of ten dollars each, two scarf-pins of
the value of eight dollars each, one key
chain of the value of five dollars, four pairs
of cuff buttons of the value of four dollars
each pair, one pendant of the value of
fifteen dollars and divers other articles
of jewelry of a number and description to
the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown
of the value of sixty dollars*
of the goods, chattels and personal property of one a certain corporation

known as the Gorham Manufacturing Company

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against
the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People
of the State of New York and their dignity.

*De Lancey Nicoll,
District Attorney.*

0485

BOX:

509

FOLDER:

4642

DESCRIPTION:

McCabe, Frank

DATE:

01/19/93



4642

0486

BOX:

509

FOLDER:

4642

DESCRIPTION:

Bailey, Edward

DATE:

01/19/93



4642

0487

Witnesses :

Counsel,

Filed

day of

189

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

P

Frank Mc Cabe

and

WA

Edward Bailey

DELANEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

attn

Foreman.

Part I

Feb 1893

Feb 1893

Grand Larceny, First Degree.

(DWELLING HOUSE.)

Penal Code.

(Sections 584, 512)

0488

Police Court—2 District.

1012

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County } ss.
of New York,of No. 211 Third Avenue Street, aged 26 years,
occupation Housekeeperdeposes and says, that on the 22 day of November 1892 at the City of New
York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession
of deponent, in the night time, the following property, viz:

a Diamond
cross of the value of three hundred
and fifty dollars and a pair of
watch of the value about thirty
seven dollars, all of the value
of three hundred and fifty seven
dollars, and twenty five dollars
in money, all of the value of
four hundred and fifteen dollars
\$415

the property of Deponent

and that this deponent
has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen
and carried away by Frank Mc Cabe and
Edward Bailey (not named). Deponent
kept the said property in a room
in her flat at No 211 Third
Avenue, and defendants were
visiting there and shortly before
defendant left the said premises.
The said property was seen there by
deponent and Lizzie Mc Cabe
now here, and deponent was
not visited by any other persons
but the defendants, that night, except
the said Lizzie Mc Cabe who called
deponent's attention to the fact that
the said property was there when
she, the said Lizzie Mc Cabe
left the said premises that night

Sworn to before me, this 189 day

Police Justice.

and no other person but the
 defendants left defendant premises
 up to the time defendant missed
 said property and no other persons
 but defendant could have taken
 said property. Defendant asks
 that defendant be arrested and
 held until as the law directs

Shown to before me the } Josephine Dwyer
 25th day of November }
 1892 }
 J. H. Dwyer }
 J. H. Dwyer }

0490

Sec. 198—200.

1892
District Police Court.

City and County of New York, ss:

Frank Mc Cabe being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to a charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him, if he see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Frank Mc Cabe

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

29 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

U.S.

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

65 East 12 St - 1 yr 3 months

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Conductor & Clerk

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer.

I am not guilty
Frank Mc Cabe

Taken before me this

day of March 189 4

Police Justice.

0491

Sec. 151.

1847

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Police Court District.

In the name of the People of the State of New York; To the Sheriff of the
County of New York, or any Marshal or Policeman of the City of New York:

Whereas, Complaint in writing, and upon oath, has been made before the undersigned, one of
the Police Justices for the City of New York, by Josephine de Vries
of No. 311 3rd Avenue Street, that on the 22 day of November
1892, at the City of New York, in the County of New York, the following article, to wit:

Diamond crown, gold watch and
money from hundred and fifty
of the value of one hundred and fifty Dollars,
the property of deponent
w. an taken, stolen and carried away, and as the said Complainant has cause to suspect, and does
suspect and believe, by Frank M. Lake and Edward Bontz

Wherefore, the said Complainant has prayed that the said Defendant may be apprehended
and bound to answer the said complaint.

These are Therefore, in the name of the PEOPLE of the State of New York, to command
you the said Sheriff, Marshals and Policemen, and every of you, to apprehend the bod of of the
said Defendant and forthwith bring him before me, at the 25 DISTRICT POLICE
COURT, in the said City, or in case of my absence or inability to act, before the nearest or most
accessible Police Justice in this City, to answer the said charge, and to be dealt with according to law.

Dated at the City of New York, this 25 day of Nov 1892

Wm. H. Smith

POLICE JUSTICE.

049

Police Court.....District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.

Warrant-Larceny.

Dated.....189

Magistrate.

Officer.

The Defendant.....
taken, and brought before the Magistrate, to
answer the within charge, pursuant to the
command contained in this Warrant.

Officer.S.

Dated.....189

This Warrant may be executed on Sunday
or at night.

Police Justice.

0493

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named.....

Frank Mc Carls
guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *100* Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, *Dec* 189 *John H. Ryan* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named.....
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, 189 Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named.....
guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, 189 Police Justice.

0494

1922 ordered
Police Court--- District. 1577

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Josephine De Vese

341 78 341 78

Mark the Lake

Edmond Bailey

Offense
This

BAILED,

No. 1, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

No. 2, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

No. 3, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

No. 4, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

Dated, Dec 14 1892

Magistrate.

Officer.

Prædict.

Witnesses Lyle M. Cohen

No. 341 - 78 2nd Street.

No. _____ Street.

No. _____ Street.

\$ 1000 to answer

1000 Ex. Dec 14 - 1030

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

*Frank McCabe and
Edward Bailey*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Frank McCabe and Edward Bailey
of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY in the first degree, committed as follows:

The said *Frank McCabe and Edward Bailey*, both —

late of the *18th* Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *twelfth* day of *November*, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*two* in the night time of the same day, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

*one diamond cross of the value of
three hundred and fifty dollars, and
one watch of the value of
thirty seven dollars, and the sum
of twenty five dollars in money,
lawful money of the United States
of America, and of the value of
thirty two twenty five dollars.*
of the goods, chattels and personal property of one *Josephine De Vere*. —

in the dwelling house of the said *Josephine De Vere*. —

there situate, then and there being found, from the dwelling house aforesaid, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

*Arthur M. Hill,
District Attorney*

0496

BOX:

509

FOLDER:

4642

DESCRIPTION:

McCabe, James

DATE:

01/06/93



4642

Witnesses:

Officer Sherline

Counsel,

Filed

Pleaded

day of

1893

THE PEOPLE

vs.

James McCabe

Grand Larceny,
(From the Person,
[Sections 528, 529,
Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

D. Catani

Foreman.

Handwritten signatures and notes

0498

Police Court

District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County }
of New York, } ss:

John F. Sheehan
of No. *4th Precinct Police* Street, aged *25* years,
occupation *Police Officer* being duly sworn,
deposes and says, that on the *3d* day of *January*, 189*3* at the City of
New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away
and person *Cornelius Shea*
from the possession of *deponent*, in the *daytime*, the following property, viz:

One Bunch of Keys
of the value of
Twenty five Cents

the property of *Cornelius Shea*

and that this deponent
has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloni-
ously taken, stolen and carried away by *James J. McCabe*
(maurice) for the reasons following to

wit. About the hour of *4 20 o'clock* am
on the morning of said day deponent
who is a police officer saw said Shea
whom was intoxicated in Roosevelt Street
and saw said deponent place his hands
in the pantaloons pockets of the pants
said Shea wore and take therefrom
therefrom and run away and deponent
pursued him and arrested him and
charged him with the larceny of the said

John F. Sheehan

0499

Sec. 198—200.

1892
District Police Court.

City and County of New York, ss.:

James M. McCabe being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him, if he see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer.

James not guilty

Taken before me this

17th
day of *January* 1892

Wm. H. Brady
Police Justice.

James M. McCabe

0500

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

John J. Dault
guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, August 2 1893 John J. Dault Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named
guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

050

Police Court---

District

THE PEOPLE, &c.
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

John P. Shea
James W. Case
Offense
Indecent Exposure

BAILED,

No. 1, by

Residence

Street.

No. 2, by

Residence

Street.

No. 3, by

Residence

Street.

No. 4, by

Residence

Street.

Dated,

189

Magistrate.

Officer.

Precinct.

Witnesses

No.

Street.

No.

Street.

No.

Street.

\$

to answer

0502

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss.

POLICE COURT, 1 DISTRICT.

John F. Sheridan
 of No. 4th Precinct Street, aged _____ years,
 occupation *Police Officer* being duly sworn deposes and says,
 that on the *1st* day of *January* 189*3*
 at the City of New York, in the County of New York

I He arrested *James McCabe*
 (now here) on the complaint of
Cornelius Shea charging him
 with Robbery and that said Shea
 is intoxicated and unable to make
 proper complaint against said
 defendant, and defendant asks
 that said McCabe be committed to
 enable said Shea to make proper
 complaint against him.

John F. Sheridan

Sworn to before me, this _____ day

of _____

day

John F. Sheridan
Police Justice.

050

Police Court, _____ District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

John F. Shearer

vs.

James W. McCauley

AFRIDAVIT.

Anthony

Dated *January 29* 188*3*

Magistrate.

1

Officer.

Witness, *Complainant*

Committee for Police

Disposition,

\$500 & Jan. 4. 2 P.M.

0504

504

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

James McCabe

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

James McCabe
of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY in the *second* degree, committed as follows:

The said

James McCabe

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *second* day of *January* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three*, in the day - time of the said day, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

*five keys of the value
of ten cents each*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one *Cornelius Shea*
on the person of the said *Cornelius Shea*
then and there being found, from the person of the said *Cornelius Shea*
then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in
such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York
and their dignity.

De Lancey Nicoll,
District Attorney

0505

BOX:

509

FOLDER:

4642

DESCRIPTION:

McCloskey, James

DATE:

01/03/93



4642

Witnesses:

Angelo DiLacer

Officer Bohrer

The Prisoner
has a most excellent
character -
The Complainant
in his withdrawal
states that he is not
certain if Prisoner
is the man who
took his watch -

I ask that
this indictment be
dismissed -

March 23-93

G. J. D.

A. D. A.

Counsel, 23

Filed

day of Jan'y 1893

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

B

James Mc Clokey
March 23/93

Indictment

Dismissed

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

Attorney at Law

March

A TRUE BILL.

J. C. C.

Part I. 16th Nov. 93 Foreman.

20m

March 22nd

G. J. D.

[Sections 828, 831, 832, 833, 834, 835, 836, 837, 838, 839, 840, 841, 842, 843, 844, 845, 846, 847, 848, 849, 850, 851, 852, 853, 854, 855, 856, 857, 858, 859, 860, 861, 862, 863, 864, 865, 866, 867, 868, 869, 870, 871, 872, 873, 874, 875, 876, 877, 878, 879, 880, 881, 882, 883, 884, 885, 886, 887, 888, 889, 890, 891, 892, 893, 894, 895, 896, 897, 898, 899, 900, 901, 902, 903, 904, 905, 906, 907, 908, 909, 910, 911, 912, 913, 914, 915, 916, 917, 918, 919, 920, 921, 922, 923, 924, 925, 926, 927, 928, 929, 930, 931, 932, 933, 934, 935, 936, 937, 938, 939, 940, 941, 942, 943, 944, 945, 946, 947, 948, 949, 950, 951, 952, 953, 954, 955, 956, 957, 958, 959, 960, 961, 962, 963, 964, 965, 966, 967, 968, 969, 970, 971, 972, 973, 974, 975, 976, 977, 978, 979, 980, 981, 982, 983, 984, 985, 986, 987, 988, 989, 990, 991, 992, 993, 994, 995, 996, 997, 998, 999, 1000]

0507

Police Court 2nd District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County }
of New York, } ss:of No. 426 East 113th Street, aged 30 years,
occupation Laborer being duly sworn,deposes and says, that on the 22 day of December 1894 at the City of
New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away
from the possession of deponent, in the day time, the following property, viz:A Silver Watch of the amount
and value of Ten dollarsthe property of deponentand that this deponent
has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away by Ames M. Closter(now here) from the following facts to wit:
that about the hour of 7.30 o'clock A.M.
of said date, while deponent was walking
along Canal Street between Thompson & Sullivan
the defendant came up to him, and
forcibly and feloniously took stole and
carried away the aforesaid property from
the pocket of the vest then and there
worn on deponent's person - and that the
defendant after taking said property from
deponent's person immediately ran away.
Deponent therefore charges the defendant
with having committed a Larceny and
asks that he may be held and dealt with as
the Law may direct - Angelo Telesco
ThursSworn to before me, this
day of December 1894
at New York, N.Y.
Police Justice.

0508

Sec. 198-200.

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.
OF NEW YORK,

District Police Court.

James Mc Cunnery being duly examined before the under-
signed according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is h right to
make a statement in relation to the charge against h; that the statement is designed to
enable h if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h
that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiver cannot be used
against h on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the
testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your
exculpation?

Answer.

I am not giving

Jas. M. Clokey

Taken before me this

day of

[Signature]

Police Justice.

0509

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendant

Three Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, Dec 22 189 2 Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named Defendant to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, Dec 23 189 1 Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendant guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, Dec 23 189 1 Police Justice.

05 10

Police Court---

1611 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Angelo Telesco
426 E 113th
James W. Crosby

2

3

4

BAILED,

No. 1, by *James Holmes*
Residence *679 Greenwich* Street.

No. 2, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

No. 3, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

No. 4, by _____
Residence _____ Street.

Dated, *Dec 22* 189____
White Magistrate.
Cohen Officer.
S Precinct.

Witnesses _____

No. _____ Street.

No. _____ Street.

No. _____ Street.

\$ _____ to answer.

Bailed

05 1 1

Court of General Sessions.

-----o
: The People :
: vs :
: James McGloskey :
: -----o

City and County of New York, ss:-

William Butler being duly sworn deposes and says, that he resides at No. 51 Carmine Street in the City of New York. That he has known the above named defendant for twenty one years and he has an excellent reputation in the neighborhood for honesty. That he has never been arrested charged with any offense before.

I would gladly give him employment notwithstanding the charge made against him, for I believe in the boy's honesty.

Sworn to before me this:

18th. day of March 1893:

James McGloskey
Notary Public
N.Y.C.

05 12

Court of General Sessions.

-----o
: The People :
: vs :
: James McCloskey :
: -----o

City and County of New York, ss:-

MRS. TERESA SMITH being duly sworn deposes and says, that she resides at No. 605 Fifth Avenue, in the City of Brooklyn. That she has known the above named defendant for twenty one years, he has always been an excellent reputation for honesty, and everyone speaks well of him in the neighborhood where he resides and I think he is incapable of committing the crime.

Sworn to before me this:

18th. day of March 1893:

Jacob M. Smith,
Notary Public
N.Y.C.

05 14

Court of General Sessions.

-----o
: The People :
: vs :
: James McCloskey :
: -----o

City and County of New York, ss:-

JAMES HOLMES being duly sworn deposes and says,
that he resides at No. 629 Greenwich Street in the City
of New York. That he has known the above named defendant
ever since he was born and that he has never been arrested
charged with any offense.

Deponent further says that he has always been
an industrious, hardworking and honest boy and has an ex-
cellent reputation in the neighborhood for honesty. I
have in view for him employment which I will obtain for
him at the earliest opportunity.

Sworn to before me this:
: 10th. day of March 1893::

James Holmes

James Holmes
McClary Publishing
N.Y.C.

05 15

Court of General Sessions.

-----o
:
The People
:
vs
:
James McCloskey
:
-----o

City and County of New York, ss:-

DAVID HENNESSY being duly sworn deposes and says, he resides at No. 113 West 39th. Street in the City of New York.

That he has known the above named defendant ever since he was born, and knows other people who know him. I have known him to be a hard working, honest and industrious young man, and the people in the neighborhood in which he resides speak of him in the highest terms.

Sworn to before me this:

18th. day of March 1893:

David Hennessey

Wm. M. Lordbury
Notary Public
N.Y.C.

05 16

New York General Sessions.

PEOPLE ON MY COMPLAINT,
VERSUS*James McCloskey.*

As complainant in the above case, I beg to recommend the defendant to such leniency and clemency as the Court and District Attorney may see fit to show; but I expressly assert that my reasons for so doing are not controlled by any advantage to myself.

I ask that the defendant be discharged. I am not certain that the defendant is the person who does my business.

*Angelo Jelasco.**Witness**William A. Maguire.*

05 17

U. S. General Sessions

The People
Plaintiff

against

James Wleboskey
Defendant

Withdrawn
in
Affidavits

☒ **PURDY & McLAUGHLIN,**
Attorneys for

No. 280 BROADWAY, New York City

Due and timely service of cop of the
within hereby admitted
this day of 18
Attorney.

To _____

05 18

Court of General Sessions of the Peace
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

504

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

James Mc Closkey

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

James Mc Closkey
of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY in the *second* degree, committed as follows:

The said

James Mc Closkey
late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *23rd*
day of *December*, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and
ninety-*two*, in the *day* time of the said day, at the City and County aforesaid,
with force and arms,

one watch of the
value of ten dollars

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one *Angelo Telesco*
on the person of the said *Angelo Telesco*
then and there being found, from the person of the said *Angelo Telesco*
then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in
such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York
and their dignity.

De Lancey Nicoll,
District Attorney

05 19

BOX:

509

FOLDER:

4642

DESCRIPTION:

McGovern, William F.

DATE:

01/12/93



4642

0520

Witnesses:

Officer Barber

Edith E. McQueen
73 King St.

L. K. McKenna
623 Parrake St
Bklyn

Frank Cunningham

Counsel,

Filed: *[Signature]* day of *May* 189*3*

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

William S. McQueen

Grand Larceny, First Degree.
(Dwelling House.)
[Sections 523, 58 Penal Code.]

Dr LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

J. Cathin

Foreman.

May 12/93

[Signature]

[Signature]
May 12/93

h 23

0521

Police Court 2nd District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County } ss:
of New York, }of No. 28th Street Charles Keilback Street, aged 21 years,
occupation Waiter being duly sworn,deposes and says, that on the 3 day of January 1893 at the City of
New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away
from the possession of deponent, in the night time, the following property, viz:A suit of clothes - consisting of Coat
Pants - and vest - and one pair
of pants - in all of the amount
and value of thirty five dollarsthe property of deponent

and that this deponent

has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloni-
ously taken, stolen and carried away by William J. M. Gorman(now here) from the following facts to wit:
that about the hour of six o'clock A.M. of
said date deponent missed the aforesaid
property from his room at the above
mentioned address - and that deponent
is informed by Joseph Broadman of No
12 Carmine Street that on the 3rd day of
January 1893 - he bought and paid the
defendant the sum of seven dollars for
a suit of clothes - consisting of Coat Pants
and vest - and that deponent has seen
the said suit of clothes sold by said defendant
to said Broadman - and fully recognizes the same
as his property - and as the aforesaid suit of

0522

of clothes - stolen from him on the
aforesaid date - dependent therefore charges
the defendant with having committed
a Larceny and asks that he may be
held and dealt with as the Law may
direct

Given to before me } Chas Keillacke
this 3rd day of January 1893 }
John Ryan
Justice of the Peace

0523

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

1921

aged 40 years, occupation Joseph Broadman
12 - Carmine Clothing Dealer of No. 12 - Carmine
Street, being duly sworn, deposes and
says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Charles Keltach
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own
knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this

of

January 1892 day } J. Broadman
John H. Ryan Police Justice.

0524

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court.

1882

City and County of New York, ss:

William J. McGreen being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to a charge against him, that the statement is designed to enable him, if he see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer.

I am guilty

W. J. McGovern

Taken before me this

189

Police Justice.

0525

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of 100 Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, January 3 1893 John M. Ryan Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named _____
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____
_____ guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

0528

Police Court---

District.

32

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
IN THE COMPLAINT OF

Charles Culbach
M. F. M. Green

James
Glenn

BAILED,

No. 1, by.....

Residence..... Street.

No. 2, by.....

Residence..... Street.

No. 3, by.....

Residence..... Street.

No. 4, by.....

Residence..... Street.

Dated,

January 5

189

3

Henry N. Ryan Magistrate.

Hamilton & Moore Officer.

Precinct.

Witnesses.....

No. Street.

No. Street.

No. Street.

\$ *10.00* to answer

R.M.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

William F. McGovern

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

William F. McGovern

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY in the first degree, committed as follows:

The said

William F. McGovern

late of the *15th* Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid,
on the *third* day of *January* in the year of our Lord
one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three*, in the night time of the same day, at the
Ward, City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

*one coat of the value of fifteen
dollars, one vest of the value of
seven dollars, two pairs of trousers
of the value of eight dollars each
pair*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one *Charles Keilbach*

in the dwelling house of the said

Charles Keilbach

there situate, then and there being found, from the dwelling house aforesaid, then and there
feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made
and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

*De Lancey Kroll,
District Attorney*

0528

BOX:

509

FOLDER:

4642

DESCRIPTION:

McGuire, James

DATE:

01/12/93



4642

0529

Witnesses:

Dease Webb

John Webb

John Walker

Counsel,

Filed,

Pleads,

[Signature]
Day of *Aug* 1893
[Signature]

THE PEOPLE

vs.

B

James W. Luce

[Signature]
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 3rd day of August, 1893, at *[illegible]* in the County of *[illegible]* State of *[illegible]*.

VIOLATION OF THE EXCISE LAW.
(Selling to Minor.)
[Chap. 401, Laws of 1892, § 32].

DE LANCEY NICOLL

District Attorney

[Signature] 3 12 93

A TRUE BILL.

[Signature]

Foreman.

0530

1998

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

James Mc Guire

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

James Mc Guire

of the crime of **SELLING STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINES, ALE AND BEER TO A CHILD** actually and apparently under the age of sixteen years, committed as follows :

The said

James Mc Guire

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *29th* day of *November* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*seven*, at the City and County aforesaid, certain strong and spirituous liquors, and certain wines, ale and beer, to wit: one gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whiskey, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain strong and spirituous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did sell to one *John Webb* who was then and there a child actually and apparently under the age of sixteen years, to wit: of the age of *Eleven* years, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

0531

BOX:

509

FOLDER:

4642

DESCRIPTION:

McGuire, John

DATE:

01/24/93



4642

0532

Witnesses:

Officer Doran
Patrick Kelly

Counsel,

Filed

day of

1893

Pleas

THE PEOPLE

vs.

John McSweeney

Grand Larceny,
(From the Person.)
[Sections 68, 69, 70, Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL

Foreman.

Part 1 1893.
to it is impossible
to secure the attendance
of the Counsel in this
case I consent to
the motion to discharge
He left on his own
recognizance

H. W. Macdonald
Clerk

Part 2. Feb 27/92
J. W. Macdonald

0533

(1365)

Police Court—4 District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County }
of New York, } ss.

John Linke
of No. 354 West 44th Street, aged 60 years,
occupation Farmer being duly sworn,
deposes and says, that on the 17 day of January 1893 at the City of New
York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession
of deponent, in the night time, the following property, viz:

One band book, containing Twenty-seven
Dollars, good and lawful money
of the United States,
\$ 27⁰⁰/₁₀₀

the property of

Deponent

and that this deponent

has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen
and carried away by John M. Guire (now here)
from the fact, that on the aforesaid
date about 8 P.M. deponent and defendant
were in company with each other at 44th Street
and 4th Avenue in this city; that said
band-book containing said money was in
the inside right hand pocket of deponent's
vest; that defendant placed his hand
in said pocket and took out said
property and ran away with it; that
the deponent is informed by Officer Moran
of the 22nd Precinct Police that he said
Officer arrested defendant on 10th Avenue
between 44th and 45th Street and found in
defendant's possession a band-book and

Sworn to before me, this

1893

(day)

Police Justice.

Said amount of money which deponent
 identifies as the missing property.
 Wherefore deponent prays that defendant
 may be dealt with as the law directs

Subscribed and sworn to before me this }
 18th day of January, 1893 } Jefferson L. Smith

Attestation

Police Justice

0535

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

1877.

William Doran
aged years, occupation Police officer of No. 327
President Police Street, being duly sworn, deposes and
says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of John F. [unclear]
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own
knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 18 }
day of May 1893 }

William Doran

[Signature]
Police Justice.

0536

Sec. 198-200.

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.
OF NEW YORK,

4 District Police Court.

John McQuire being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

John McQuire

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

32 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

Lat. A.S.

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

Terplaner's point N.Y.

30 years

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Labour

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer.

I am not guilty -

John McQuire

Taken before me this

18

day of *March* 1893

179

Police Justice.

053

POLICE COURT—4 DISTRICT,

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Recognizance to Testify.

BE IT REMEMBERED, That on the 10 day of January in the year of our Lord 1893
of No. 354 West 44 Street, in the City of New York,
and Henry Benz
of No. 354 West 44 Street, in said City,
personally came before the undersigned, one of the Police Justices in and for the City of New York, and
acknowledged themselves to owe to the PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, that is to say: the said

John Fink
the sum of One Hundred Dollars,
and the said Henry Benz
the sum of One Hundred Dollars,
separately, of good and lawful money of the State of New York, to be levied and made of their respective
goods and chattels, lands and tenements, to the use of said People, if default shall be made in the con-
dition following, viz :

The Condition of this Recognizance is such, That if the person first above recognized shall personally
appear at the next COURT OF General SESSIONS of the Peace, to be holden in and
for the City and County of New York, and then and there Testify and give such evidence, in behalf of
the People of the State of New York, as he may know concerning an Offence or Larceny
said to have been lately committed in the City of New York, aforesaid by
John M. Fink

And do not depart thence without leave of the Court, then this Recognizance to be void, otherwise to
remain in full force and virtue.

Taken and acknowledged before me, the }
day and year first above written.

John M. Fink
Henry Benz
POLICE JUSTICE.

0538

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.
OF NEW YORK, }

Sworn before me, 188
day of
Police Justice.

the within-named Bail, being duly sworn, says that he is a *personal property* holder in
said City, and is worth Hundred Dollars
over and above the amount of all his debts and liabilities; and that his property consists of

Stock and fixtures of the
Liquor Saloon situated at No
382 West 40th Street and
1/2 of the full value of two
hundred dollars
Henry Benz

New York Sessions.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

Recognition to Testify.

ss.

Magistrate

Filed

day of

188

0539

AFFIDAVIT FOR COMMITMENT OF WITNESS.

4771

POLICE COURT 14 DISTRICT.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

William Doran

of the 22 Precinct Police, being duly sworn, deposes
and says that John Funke

(now here) is a material witness for the people against
John Mc Gert charged
with Larceny from the Person.

As deponent has
cause to fear that the said John Funke
will not appear in court to testify when wanted, deponent prays
that the said John Funke be
committed to the House of Detention in default of bail for his
appearance.

William Doran

Sworn to before me this
day of May 1893

Wm. J. ...
Police Justice.

0540

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendant

~~guilty~~ thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of 1000 Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated Aug 10 189 1891 Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named _____
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____
_____ guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.

Dated, _____ 189 _____ Police Justice.

054

Police Court---

75 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
OF THE COMPLAIN OF

John Linne
John M. Guire

Offense
Discrepancy from
the person

BAILED,

No. 1, by.....

Residence..... Street.

No. 2, by.....

Residence..... Street.

No. 3, by.....

Residence..... Street.

No. 4, by.....

Residence..... Street.

2.....

3.....

4.....

Dated,

Jan'y 18 1893

W. Mahan Magistrate.

Doran Officer.

22 Precinct.

Witnesses

Patrick Kelly
John P. Kelly

No. Street.

No. *696 9th Ave.* Street.

No. Street.

1000 to answer *P. S.*

Compliment in House of Deputation
Ball

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

John McGuire

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

John Mc. Guire
of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY in the *first* degree, committed as follows:

The said

John Mc. Guire

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *17th* day of *January* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three*, in the night-time of the said day, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

the sum of twenty seven dollars in money, lawful money of the United States of America, and of the value of twenty seven dollars, one blank book of the value of twenty-five cents

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one *Johannes Finke* on the person of the said *Johannes Finke* then and there being found, from the person of the said *Johannes Finke* then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

He Launcey Nicoll
District Attorney

0543

BOX:

509

FOLDER:

4642

DESCRIPTION:

McLaughlin, John

DATE:

01/05/93



4642

Witnesses:

Francis Taylor

(28)

Counsel.

Filed,

day of Jan'y 1893

Pleads,

Atty. gen'l.

D. N. Jan 5/93

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Julia McLaughlin

Grand LARCENY, and MISAPPROPRIATION.
(Sections 528 and 531 of the Penal Code.)

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

Filed by Attorney

A TRUE BILL

Wm. H. Taylor

Foreman.

16 Mar. 1893. Emd.

0545

1012

Police Court 2 District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County }
of New York, } ss.

of No. East 18th Francis Taylor Street, aged 69 years.
 occupation Piano dealer being duly sworn,
 or about 9 day of November 1892 at the City of New
 York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession
 of deponent, in day time, the following property, viz:

One piano of the
value of two hundred and fifty dollars
\$ 250

the property of Deponent's firm of Taylor & Son

and that this deponent
 has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen
 and carried away by Mrs. M. Laufflin not arrested
 The defendant obtained possession of the
 said piano under a lease hereto annexed
 signed by the defendant, under an agreement
 whereby the said piano was to be purchased
 by defendant on instalments, and defendant
 unlawfully disposed of said property by selling the
 same, and deponent has seen the said property
 for sale in an auction room at No 222 West
 46th Street N.Y., in the hands of one Curtis,
 who refused to deliver the said piano to
 deponent and exhibited to deponent a bill
 of sale or receipt for said piano signed by
 defendant. Deponent asks that defendant
 be arrested and dealt with as the law directs

Francis Taylor

Sworn to before me, this
November 18th 1892
John H. [Signature]
 Police Justice.

0546

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named

..... guilty thereof. I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, 189 Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, 189 Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, 189 Police Justice.

054

Otto Hissman
296 1st St. N. W.

Harold Hissman
136 1st St. N. W.

Mrs M. L. Brodnax.
46 E 21 St

BAILED,

No. 1, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 2, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 3, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

No. 4, by _____

Residence _____ Street.

Police Court---

W 428 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Francis Taylor
8 E 18 St.

Mr. McLaughlin

2. _____
3. *11-12*
4. _____

Officer James

Dated, *November 9*, 189*2*

John J. Ryan Magistrate.

John McLaughlin Officer.

2nd Dist. Court Square

Witnesses *✓ Emil J. Winterboth*

117-119 4th Ave Street

13m. 7 Avenue

206 Broadway Street.

Room 82

Lewis Curtis Street.

to answer.

254 W 115 St

See other side for other witnesses

Mr. Taylor's Counsel

0548

Court of General Sessions
New York Co.

The People &c.
vs.
Julia M Loughlin

Please take notice that on the complaint
and indictment herein and the annexed affidavit the under-
signed on all the proceedings had herein, will move in Part
1 of this Court held in the General Sessions Court House
No. 32 Chambers St. New York City; on Wednesday the 8 day of
March 1893, at 11 o'clock in the forenoon or as soon after
as counsel can be heard, that the defendant above named
be discharged for want of prosecution; and for such other
and further relief as may be just.

Yours,

Westerfield & Van Meter.

Dfts. attys.

206 Broadway N. Y.

To,
De Lancey Nicoll, Esq.

District Atty.

0549

Court of General Sessions
New York Co.

The People vs.

Julius M. Loughlin

State, City and County of New York, ss:

I W. K. Van Meter being duly sworn, says that he is one of the attorneys for the defendant herein

II That said defendant was arrested on the 12th day of Dec. 1934 on the complaint of *Paylor* and an indictment found against him on the 1st day of Jan. and said defendant has ever since been confined in the Tombs being unable to find bail or to make any deposit in lieu thereof.

III That this case has not been brought to trial either in the next term after that in which the indictment was found nor delayed and although this case has been on both the Jan. and Feb. Calendars several times without being tried and without being postponed at the defendant's request.

Subscribed before me this

6th day of March 1935.

W. K. Van Meter
W. K. Van Meter
W. K. Van Meter

W. K. Van Meter

Court of General Sessions
New York County

The People &c
agat
Julia M^{rs} Laughlin

Please take notice that upon the annexed affidavit and upon all the proceedings had herein, we shall move the Court in Part 1 on Tuesday the 4 day of April 1893 at eleven o'clock in the forenoon of that day or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, for the discharge of the said defendant from custody for want of prosecution, under section 668 of the Code; and for such other and further relief as may to this Court seem just.

Dated N.Y. April 3, 1893

of
De Lancey Macoll, Esq
District Attorney

Yours &c,
Westerfield Van Meter
Attys for defendant

Court of General Sessions
New York County

The People vs
ago
Julia M. Laughlin

City and County of New York, ss:

G. R. Meserfield

being duly sworn deposes and says, that he is one of the counsel
for the defendant herein; that said defendant was arrested in
New Jersey on December 31 1892, immediately brought to New
York and forthwith indicted in this Court to which indictment
a plea of not guilty was duly made. That the case was thereafter
placed upon the trial calendar of Part I, but no opportunity was
afforded this defendant for a trial; that said case was there-
after placed upon the trial calendar of other Parts of this Court,
but was postponed by the prosecution without any apparent
attempt to bring the same to a trial. That at the March Term
of this Court a motion to dismiss for want of prosecution was
made and thereafter the case was placed upon the Trial
calendar of Part II and a day set for trial. That deponent
attended said Court on said day ready for trial, that no un-
finished case was on trial at said time; that deponent knows
of his own knowledge that many, if not all, of the witnesses
for the prosecution were in attendance, but that although
the attention of the then prosecuting attorney was directly

called to the foregoing facts and deponent urged that a trial be then had. no attempt was made to try said case at that time nor since. That by reason of this delay this defendant will be materially prejudiced in that she will probably be deprived of the attendance of a material witness. That no postponement has been had at the instance of the defense.

Sworn to before me this

3^d day of April 1893

"C. H. Machin"

Notary Public

Kings Co. Court filed in N. Y. Co.

W. H. Wetherfield

Court of General Sessions
County of New York

The People vs

asch
Julia M. Langford

Notice of Motion

Wetherfield & Van Meter
Attys for defendant

De Lancey, Smith, Esq

Respectfully
in order to avoid
getting the case
placed in the
hands of the
lawyer was to be
how

740
THE PEOPLE,

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS, PART 1.

vs.

BEFORE JUDGE MARTINE.

JULIA McLAUGHLIN.

Tuesday, April 11, 1893.

Indictment for GRAND LARCENY in the SECOND DEGREE.

FRANCIS TAYLOR, sworn and examined, testified:

I live at 94 Kensington Street, Brooklyn, and am a dealer in piano fortes. I carry on business at 8 East 13th Street, New York. Do you know this defendant, Julia McLaughlin? Yes; I think the first time I saw her was on the 1st of September, when she came to buy a piano. She came into the store alone. She said she wanted to buy a piano on installments, to pay \$10. a month. We agreed to sell her one for \$250.00; she did pay \$10.00 down. Before that did you have any talk with her, about her when the piano was? She said she wanted to buy it for Mrs. Emma Dorris, her daughter, and was going to pay for it, and give it to her daughter to learn on. I think that was what she said to me at the time. I took the \$10.00 from Mrs. McLaughlin, and she signed an agreement or contract for her daughter, Mrs. Emma Dorris. The contract now shown me is the one she signed. We delivered the piano at the address given, 46 West 85th Street. When did you next see the piano? I next saw it in Mr. Curtis's auction room, 116th Street, I think, I do not remember the number, between 7th and 8th avenues. I replevined my piano, and got it back; I recognized it in the store. I think it was some time in the early part of November. It was replevined on the 11th of November. I saw the defendant sign this agreement. Did you ever give her permission to move the piano from 46 West 85th Street? No.

CROSS EXAMINATION:

Do you mean to tell the jury that you sold the piano without making inquiries about your customer? No, I made no inquiries, I took her statement. It is customary for us to inquire for references, but she didn't offer any reference that I know of particularly at that time; I don't think I asked any reference in her case. She told me that she was living in a large house -- she said she was living in a castle that was formerly owned or built by Doctor F. T. Toman; she was the house-keeper of that house. I am not the only one who attends to customers in that store; when I am away, my son attends to the business. Was your son present that day? I think not; my memory is very clear in regard to her calling and bargaining for the piano, and paying the first installment independently of any contract. The contract I gave her was the same as the regular contract which I always use, that I always have signed when I sell a piano. Did you notice this contract is made out to one Emma Morris? Yes; Mrs. McLaughlin requested I should put that name at the head of it, because she was going to buy it and give it to her daughter; it was at her request I put that name at the head of the contract. Didn't you notice that this contract says that Mrs. Emma Morris is to make the payments? I don't know anything about that, I couldn't tell about that; Mrs. McLaughlin stated she would pay the installments.

COUNSEL: I will read the contract: "I have agreed to purchase the said piano forte and pay the sum of \$250.00 in the following manner, viz., namely, \$10.00 down on the delivery of this contract, and the balance in regular monthly payments of \$8.00 each, until the full amount is paid. I have also agreed to make future payments at the office of Taylor & Son on the

10th of every month, without further notice or demand. The sale of said piano is conditioned upon the punctual payment of said sums as above-stated; the title to remain in the said Taylor & Son until all the payments are made in full, at which time there shall be a bill of sale and receipt made out and delivered to the said Mrs. Emma Dorris."

-You noticed that, didn't you, in the contract? Yes.

BY THE COURT: The contracting party was Mrs. McLaughlin? Yes.

BY COUNSEL: Will you answer the question had you to look at that piano prior to that? Yes, there was two ladies came a few days before Mrs. McLaughlin came, she said they were daughter of Mrs. McLaughlin, and they looked at the piano and said they would send their mother to see it and see about purchasing it. The mother came afterwards and saw the same piano, and bought it. Was not one of those ladies Mrs. Emma Dorris? I don't know anything about that; I don't think that either of them was Mrs. Emma Dorris, I don't know who Mrs. Emma Dorris, to know her. Was the name given? No name was given. You can't tell whether she was Emma Dorris or not? No. The contract is our protection for all subsequent months, and we never give a bill of sale until the last payment is made. Mrs. Emma Dorris receipted for the piano when it was delivered by the carman. I got back the receipt signed by Emma Dorris, and sent it to Assistant District Attorney Davis. Did you keep an account of these transactions in your book? Yes.

Didn't you keep this account against Mrs. Emma Dorris? No. What was the date that you recovered that piano? I think it was the 11th of November that I received it back. Prior to that you didn't know what had become of the piano? No; it was by accident I got it, more by good luck than by good management. I swore to this complaint in this case against the

defendant after I got the piano. My carman brought it back to my store, after I identified it in Harlem. After you got the piano back into your possession, what was the next thing you did? I didn't take any action except to go to New Jersey with two or three witnesses and get out extradition papers; I couldn't tell the date of that; I went to the Police Court first and got a requisition. I think it must have been about two weeks after I got the piano back that Mr. Winterroth reported to me that an officer found the woman in Jersey. I did not have to pay Mr. Curtis anything to get the piano back; I got it back by replevin.

LOUIS CURTIS, sworn and examined, testified:

I am in the auction business, at 117th Street and Saint Nicholas Avenue, and have been there since last August. I know the defendant, Mrs. McLaughlin. I purchased a piano from her on the 4th of November, at the home of Taylor & Son; I do not remember the number. I put it in the house at 99th Street and 8th Avenue, on the 4th of November. I had a conversation with Mrs. McLaughlin there, about the piano. I had business in piano with a man named Meeker, in Columbus Avenue near 37th Street; he keeps a furniture store. I had a conversation with him about the piano the day before the 4th of November, and in consequence of the information he gave me I went to the house of Mrs. McLaughlin. I went to look at the piano, and when I went there she wanted a certain price. I saw the mother and the daughter. I asked her what she wanted for it; she wanted a certain price, and I said it would not be worth that to me. I offered her, I believe, \$75.00, and she wanted \$85.00. I went away. About a week after that I received a postal-card from Mr. Meeker, and in conse-

quence of that I went back to the house of Mrs. McLaughlin. She would not come to my terms. She then came up to my house with a young man of the name of Salvin, she told me he was her nephew. She said, "Mr. Curtis, you come down to my house, and I will sell you that piano." I went down there. I made a bargain with her in reference to the piano, and I met a policeman there, in full uniform, at 95th street and 8th avenue. I said to said officer, "Officer, this piano is all right; isn't it?" She was there? Oh, yes, her and her daughter. He was in full uniform; he said, "Get into it is all right; you are safe in buying it." I made an arrangement and bought it for \$35.00. I said, "I will come to-morrow for it." The officer and myself went down stairs and had a drink; there was a saloon in the same building. I says, "The piano is all right?" and he says, "Yes." So I went the next day and bought the piano and paid for it, on the usual representations. I took the piano to my store, which was then at 116th street between 7th and 8th avenues; now I am at 117th street and Saint Nicholas avenue. Did you, after you got that piano in your store, see this gentleman, Mr. Farnham? He came and said, "You have got a piano of Taylor Brothers"--- Mr. Farnham and Mr. Winterroth came together, and I showed them the piano willingly, and then they went away. They came the next day with Mr. Taylor, and he claimed the piano, he identified the piano, and replevined it.

CROSS EXAMINATION:

How long have you known Mrs. McLoughlin? About a week or two before I got the piano. I bought it on the 3rd of November and paid for it on the 4th. I first had a conversation with her about the piano in 85th street; the daughter and the husband were present. The daughter is not in court; she told

me it was her daughter. When I came there I showed her a postal-card; I said I was sent there to buy a piano. She said "Yes, I am going to move, and I want to sell this piano." She said it was given to her daughter, her cousin bought the piano for her daughter, for a present, and she wanted to sell it, because she did not want to move it around. I asked her what she asked for it, and she wanted \$125.00, and I said I would give her \$75.00; she came down to \$85.00, and she would take no less. She told me it was a fine and a good piano. The piano was all scratched, and it was worth about \$90.00 at the time I bought it, I ought to get \$90.00 for it; I bought it to sell again. Did you at that time tell the sale of this piano, or at any time, tell Mrs. McLaughlin that you would get her a position similar to the one she had, or words to that effect? No, she asked me if I couldn't get her a janitorship; I said I will make a few inquiries and try to get it for her. I read the paper to Mrs. McLaughlin when it was signed; her husband was against it; he was lying on the couch and he got up. Do you know Officer Ken-ny? I think I have seen him once. Did you or did you not say to him that, if he would bring in this woman, you would square it with him, or use words to that effect? No; I can explain that, if you will let me; I sued a policeman. You say that she never called for \$11.00? No. Did you, after the piano had passed from your possession, go to see Mrs. McLaughlin? I went there, and she was gone; we traced her to 85th street; I saw her, in the presence of the officer. She said if I didn't have her arrested she would pay me by installments. Didn't she say that she would get the money right away? No. Didn't she say that her husband would get money from the pension, and that they would pay you back, if

you lost anything by that piano? No. Was there not an understand that she would pay you back this money? No; she said she would pay me by installments, if I would let up on her. I lost over \$35.00 through the arrangement.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY: I would like to read People's Exhibit 2: "Louis Curtis, auctioneer, November 4, 1892. Mrs. McLaughlin has sold to Mr. Curtis one piano forte, for the sum of \$65.00. By cash, \$30.00. Balance due, \$35.00.

Witness

Received payment,

Miss Emma McLaughlin.

Mrs. McLaughlin."

EMIL J. WINTERROTH, sworn and examined, testified:

I live at 105 East 24th Street, and am in the piano and organ business. I know the defendant, Julia McLaughlin. I first met her at our place of business, 117 Fourth Avenue, on the 6th or 7th of August.

(The witness was withdrawn, for the moment.)

BELOR M. FARNHAM, sworn and examined, testified:

I am employed by some fifteen or eighteen piano firms to replevin their pianos. I was employed by Mr. Winterroth for that purpose, and also by Mr. Taylor. I remember going to the place of Mr. Curtis in Harlem, 262 West 116th Street. He would not show me the piano the time I went, but he showed me a receipt that he had received and acknowledged that he had purchased a piano with Taylor's name on it. I did not see the piano at that time, but I saw it when we replevined it. It was then in Curtis's store, in 116th Street. Was that piano identified by Mr. Taylor as the one he had sold to the defendant, McLaughlin, under People's Exhibit No. 1? Yes.

CROSS EXAMINATION:

You are a detective, are you not? No, not at all. Isn't it your business to look up cases which are given you by these various piano firms? Yes; I am simply employed by them to replenish pianos, if you call that a detective, I suppose it would go by that name. I saw the piano taken out of Curtis's store. He told me he had purchased it; it had Taylor & Son's name on, and he also showed me a receipt of the same, acknowledging that he received it from the agent and his son, where I was then looking for another piano.

THE CASE FOR THE DEFENCE.

JULIA McLAUGHLIN, sworn and examined, in her own behalf, testified:

I have lived in New York forty-five years, and will be married forty-four years next July. I am sixty-two years of age. In 1892 what was your business? I was janitor at 46 West 50th Street; I was just one year and two weeks there when the house was sold. Mr. Steinberg owned the house. I worked for families in the neighborhood also. My husband being in very poor health, generally sat in the front hall upstairs, to attend to the bell. In August, 1892, I had a step-daughter that came on from Chicago with her second husband. Her name was Mrs. Emma Dorris by her first husband, but her present husband's name is Wilson. She signed bills under the name of Dorris. She occupied the front basement; he was a piano maker, by trade, and had worked for weeks in Brooklyn, in the winter. Do you remember this piano transaction that has been testified to? Yes, I remember it coming there; I couldn't really remember the date, I think it was either the last of August or the 1st of September.

ber. Who was present at the time the piano came to the house? Her husband, herself, and a woman of the name of Van Pelt who used to come to clean for her; I think my son-in-law was also present; I think it was him that went and handed her the pen and ink and asked her to sign it. Into whose house was the piano delivered? Into her own room, where she lives. I stood in the hallway, and I saw her inside in the room, with the pen in her hand, signing the paper and handing it to the driver. Was that the Mrs. Dorris who is referred to in this contract under the People's name put in evidence? Yes, she is the same woman. I asked the driver why, "I want you to sign this lease." She said, "All right." You have heard the testimony of Mr. Taylor that, on the 6th day of December, you called at his store and signed a contract; did you ever sign that contract? I was never inside that gentleman's store in my life. I sat outside and looking at the old gentleman talking so. Did you ever sign that contract? No, I never signed that paper, no more than what you did there and not there.

BY THE COURT: Look at that paper, is that what you signed? No.

BY DISTRICT ATTORNEY: Did you sign that paper, People's Exhibit No. 2, there? No, Mrs. Dorris has signed those papers. In front of your daughter, she signed No. 2? My daughter was not there. Whose writing is that? I couldn't tell you; he says my daughter, but I had no daughter there at the time that Curtis speaks of.

BY COUNSEL: Are you a musician? No. Was this Mrs. Dorris a musician? No, but her husband was a good one, he worked at that business. Did she resemble you in general appearance? She was much taller and much stouter. Do you know whether she ever called at Taylor's? She told me she did; I didn't

see her in there; she told me all about it. What became of that house in 85th street? It was sold about the 20th of October, on a fore-closure sale; I was notified that I must leave, according to the agreement when I took the house. I had to move very suddenly. I moved to 122 West 98th street. I had three small rooms with a kitchen basement in it an old lady had let me have the use of. Had you ever seen the People's Witness, Mr. Curtis, at West 63rd street? Yes; he had been there three times. How I came to know Mr. Curtis was I sent a messenger out one day in the evening to see would they send a man down to buy the pieces of furniture which I did not want to move. I didn't know Mr. Curtis at that time. I sent to a furniture store on 11th Avenue, and they told me word they didn't buy any old stuff, and there was a man that would in it and they would send him to me; so they sent him to me. He bought an old table and a gas stove, and he came back again the next day. He would give \$5.00 for the whole lot. Mr. Curtis saw that the phone was working at 122, and he said, "I will take it now, if you like." I said, "Mr. Curtis, I would like to know that this would be sure." He said, "Why, certainly; what do you suppose I would do with it?" I said, "I know you wouldn't be wanting to get rid of it." He said, "To convince you of it, I will give you the money right here now." He took out some bills and counted \$50.00. He picked up a gas stove and threw it into his little wagon that was at the door. How long was Mrs. Dorris at the house in 85th street? She came to me in December, and she remained with me until the middle of June, and went away for two weeks and came back and remained for two or three weeks longer. She left in the month of October, I think it was the latter part. Did you consider at that time she was owner

of that piano? I did; they wanted me to take good care of it until they came back. When did you move over to Jersey City? I didn't move there at all, my furniture is on storage; I went over to Jersey City to stop with my daughter for the holidays, Christmas and New Years. My daughter is married.

CROSS EXAMINATION:

I have seen Mr. Williams at the store. Do you remember signing that paper (paper shown)? No, I never signed any such paper as that. I don't know Mr. Flanagan. I saw him once in Judge Wood's court, in Jersey City; that is the only time I ever saw him.

NELLIE McGIBNEY, sworn and examined, testified:

In the Fall of 1892 I was residing in No. 22 East 85th street. I was not residing with my mother at the house in West 85th street, and have not been there for four years and a half. I always lived in my own home. I hold a janitor's position. I had family trouble, and it was on account of this that I never visited the house; I never liked the woman Mrs. Emma Dorris. Did you ever see the People's witness, Mr. Curtis? I saw him when he came to my house, No. 22 East 85th street; as near as I can recollect, it was about the 14th of November, 1892. Officer Cody, who is present in court now, had just called to my house, I used to do his washing for him. Mr. Curtis came and asked me if I was Mrs. McGibney, and I said I was. Did you ever see any of the other People's witnesses at the house? No, I did not.

JULIA McLAUGHLIN, recalled by Counsel:

At the time that Mrs. Dorris left you, did she or did she not owe you any money? She did, \$35. Did you, or did you not,

have any conversation with either Mr. or Mrs. Dorris relative to that piano, with regard to the payment to you of money which she owed you? Yes. State what that conversation was? I said, "Couldn't you let me have a little money?" It was the husband I asked -- I said, "Harry, couldn't you let me have a little of this money before you go away? I need it; father is not very well, and I want some money." Come down to what she said? She told me if I wanted to get a little money, if there was any way I could get a little money on it, as he had settled and paid for the piano.

REBUTTING EVIDENCE.

EMIL J. WINTERROTH, recalled by District Attorney:

Do you know this defendant? Yes. Did you see her at your place of business, 119 Fourth Avenue, on the 6th of August, 1892? Yes, I did. Did she there sign this paper I now hand you, marked for identification? (Objected to. Objection sustained.)

BELOR M. FARNHAM, recalled by District Attorney:

Do you remember calling on this defendant at her house, 43 West 86th Street, in this city, in relation to a piano belonging to Mr. Winterroth? (Objected to. Objection sustained.) Do you remember calling on her, in any house? In 99th Street and 8th Avenue. The same house testified to as the house from which this piano of Mr. Taylor was taken? Yes. Did you have any conversation with her there about the piano? Yes. Did she hand you this paper, marked for identification, and sign it in your presence? (Objected to. Objection sustained.)

0566

Testimony in the case
Julia W. Laughlin
filed Jan
1993
1/25/93

0567

Court of General Sessions of the Peace
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

538

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Julia M. Sanzolin

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse
— *Julia M. Sanzolin* —
of the CRIME OF *Grand* LARCENY, in the second degree, committed
as follows:

The said *Julia M. Sanzolin*, —

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *ninth*
day of *October*, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and
ninety-*two*, at the City and County aforesaid, being then and there the

Wife of *Francis Taylor and John A. Taylor*, co-owners, then and there the
managers and *proprietors* of the *firm*, named and
known as *Taylor and Son*,
and as such *Francis Taylor and John A. Taylor* then and there having in *his*
possession, custody and control certain goods, chattels and personal property of the said

Francis Taylor and John A. Taylor
the true owner, thereof, to wit: *one piano of the value of*
two hundred and fifty dollars,

the said *Julia M. Sanzolin*, afterwards, to wit:
on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,
did feloniously appropriate the said *piano*

to *his* own use, with intent to deprive and defraud the said *Francis Taylor*
and *John A. Taylor*
of the same, and of the use and benefit thereof; and the same goods, chattels and personal
property of the said *Francis Taylor and John A. Taylor*
did then and there and thereby feloniously steal, against the form of the statute in such case
made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their
dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.