SALOON LIQUOR LICENSE

CLASS 2.

EXAMINED AND CHECKED

This License expires Apr 25 1896

The Board of Excise

OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK

HEREBY CERTIFIES, that

No 4219

Frank Frost

is licensed as SALOON KEEPER *to sell* STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINES, ALE AND BEER, *in quantities less than five gallons at a time, to be drunk on or off the licensed premises at*

No 2654 Eighth Ave 1st floor

Issued the 24th *day of* Dec *189*5

D M Lacum
Cashier

William H Coyle
Clerk

Chas H Woodman
Henry Harburger
Commissioners of Excise.

1895-96

THIS LICENSE MUST BE KEPT IN A CONSPICUOUS POSITION, AS REQUIRED BY THE EXCISE LAW.

King

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE,
Rec'd by Dep. Comptroller,
APR 21 1898
NEW YORK.

To

Hon. Bird S. Coler

Comptroller of the City of New York.

Please take notice that I hereby claim and demand of you as Comptroller of the City of New York, the sum of $96.10 which claim arises out of the following facts.

Correct no of License is #4319. King

That one Frankie E. Broach was the owner and holder of a license No. 2654 for the sale of liquors, wines &c. issued to her by the Commissioners of Excise of the City of New York on December 24th 1895 which license did not expire by its terms until December 23rd 1896, and that said claimant Frankie E. Broach paid therefor the sum of $200.

That by the Laws of 1896 of the State of New York, said license became null and void on June 30th 1896 and in and by said Laws the claimant was entitled to receive and recover from the City of New York the proportionate share of the license fee paid for said license.

That on June 30th 1896 the sum of $96.10 thereby became due to the claimant Frankie E. Broach.

That no part of said sum has been paid.

Dated April 21st 1898

Yours &c.,
Frankie E. Broach
by Fernando Solinger
her attorney
231 Broadway
Manhattan Borough, N.Y.City

City and County of New York, ss:

I, FERNANDO SOLINGER, having been duly sworn, do depose and say; that I am the attorney for the claimant herein; that the foregoing notice of claim is true to my own knowledge except as to the matters therein stated to be alleged on information and belief and that as to those matters, I believe it to be true. The sources of my information are obtained from the pos-

session of the license mentioned in the notice of claim and from communications had with the claimant.

The reason why this verification is not made by the claimant is that she is not now within the City and County of New York but is now in New Castle, State of Pennsylvania.

Sworn to before me this
21st day of April, 1898

Addie Berman
Notary Public

New York County

Fernando Solinger

The within examination is hereby adjourned "sine die" - may be brought on by 5 days notice to Corporation Counsel & it is further stipulated and agreed that no action shall be commenced on account of this claim until 20 days after

Acad examination as provided by Chap. 149 of the City Charter has been had to proof satisfactory to the Corporation Counsel has been submitted

John Whelan
Temple Emanuel

Dated N.Y. Fernando Solinger
May 16th 1898 Atty for Claimant

2 3368.

Frankie E. Broach

vs

The City of New York

Notice of Claim

Fernando Solinger,
Claimant's attorney
No. 231 Broadway,
New York City.

N. Y. LAW DEPARTMENT,
Office of Corp'n Counsel.
MAY 3 1898
Rec'd by Register Clerk.

Salvage

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE.
Rec'd by Dep. Comptroller,
JUN 13 1898
NEW YORK.

Law Department,
Office of the Corporation Counsel.

AMC

New York, June 13th 1898.

Eil

Hon. Bird S. Coler,

Comptroller.

S i r :-

I herewith transmit claim filed by Frankie E. Broach, amounting to $96.10 for rebate on excise license No. 4219, under the provisions of Chapter 112 of the Laws of 1896, together with affidavit of claimant to the effect that she is the owner and holder of said license; that she has not transferred, mortgaged, leased or otherwise disposed of it, and that she is the only person entitled to the rebate thereon, and also the original license No. 4219 issued by the old Board of Excise to the claimant herein.

As the proof just mentioned establishes plaintiff's claim to the rebate on the aforesaid license, beyond question, I see no reason why the claim should not be paid immediately and save to the City, costs which will result, if claimant is compelled to prosecute an action to recover the said claim.

I respectfully suggest, therefore, that the amount of rebate be computed by your Department, and that

you direct a warrant to be drawn in favor of the claimant herein for the rebate, as determined by your computation, irrespective of the amount claimed by the claimant herein.

Yours respectfully,

John Whalen

Corporation Counsel.

3973

COMMUNICATION.

—FROM—

THE CORPORATION COUNSEL.

In re claim of Frankie E. Broach for $96.10, rebate on excise license #4219.

Advising payment.

DATED NEW YORK,

June 13. 1898.