

0381

BOX:

294

FOLDER:

2800

DESCRIPTION:

Ungrich, Martin L.

DATE:

01/20/88



2800

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0302

1927
Fred. W. Robb
115 1/2 Cass

Counsel,
Filed 20 day of Jan 1888
Pls. *Guilty*

[Sections 528 and 581, Penal Code].
(False pretenses).
Grand LARCENY,

THE PEOPLE

vs.
*over
17
113*

Martin L. Unglich

JOHN R. FELLOWS,
RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

Emma L. ...

Foreman
Feb 24/88

*Pleady guilty.
P. ...
Sentence suspended 2/27/88*

Witnesses:

James Shanks

**POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL**

0383

BARNUM & BETHANN,

COUNSELLORS AT LAW,

115 & 117 Nassau Street,
NEW YORK.

Am R. B. Martineau
Presents

In de
Martin L. Ungovich

Am R. B. Martineau
Presents

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0384

E. B. BARNUM.

F. W. REBHANN.

BARNUM & REBHANN,
Attorneys and Counsellors-at-Law,
115 & 117 NASSAU STREET,

New York, July 27 1888

My Dear Judge: I herewith submit
a number of affidavits of prominent
business men in the lines of trade
where Martin L. Ungnich necessarily
came in contact with them.
Ungnich is an architect of
no mean ability & reputation.
These affidavits might readily
be largely increased in number
but to do so would require that
many of the great bulk of his
business acquaintances should
be informed that he had done
a questionable act, a thing
we would wish to avoid if
possible with reference to his
future prospects in business.
I hope you will see your

E. B. BARNUM.

F. W. REBHANN.

BARNUM & REBHANN,
Attorneys and Counsellors-at-Law,
115 & 117 NASSAU STREET,

New York, 1888

may care to suspend sentence
believing as we do, that he
can at once have employment
which will place him beyond
temptation

Yours truly
Barnum & Rebhann.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0385

General Sessions New York

The People etc
vs

Martin L. Eugrich
City & County of New York

James E. Ware
being duly sworn says, I reside at No
1128 Madison Avenue, am an Architect
doing business at No 45 Broadway
this City, I have known the above nam-
ed Martin L. Eugrich for Ten Years
last past, he having been in my
employ for several years during
that period, have always found
him a faithful and steady
assistant, and have never heard
of anything derogatory to his charac-
ter, until the commission of the of-
fense with which he stands charg-
ed here, and had I now a position
open for him, would not hesitate
to take him into my employ

Sworn to before me

this 24th Day of July 1888

Daniel J. Augustine

Notary Public

N.Y. Co.

James E. Ware

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0386

-----X
 The People &c.,
 vs
 Martin L. Ungrich
 -----X

City and County of New York ss

James Shanks being
 duly sworn says that he resides at No. *359 West 47th St*
~~formerly of No 409 West 47th Street~~
 in the City of New York that I am the person named
 as complainant against Martin L. Ungrich for passing
 upon me a check dated January *14th* 1888 on the
 West Side Bank for Fifty-five Dollars, at which time
 said Ungrich did not have that amount in said Bank
 that I have no desire to further prosecute said Un-
 grich and hereby offer and consent to the withdrawal
 of my complaint and that said Ungrich be discharged
 from custody that he has been confined in the Tombs
 since about January 19th. 1888 and I feel he has been
 sufficiently punished for his act as above.

Sworn to before this *Twenty*)
Second day of *February* 1888)
Wm. G. ...
Commissioner of ...
City & County of
New York

James Shanks 

General Sessions New York

The People etc }
vs.

Martin L. Ungrich
City & County of New York



Charles Wien
being duly sworn says. I reside at
No 127 West 100 St this City and engaged
for the last sixteen years as an
employing Frammer of Buildings, and
as a Builder, have in my employ
from eighty to one hundred men
I have known the above named
Martin L. Ungrich for upwards of
six years, principally in a business
way ~~way~~ as an Architect & know
his family and a large number
of people with whom he did
business, principally, Carpenters, Masons
and Builders in general, and Con-
tractors.

I have known his reputa-
tion as a business man, among
these people as aforesaid, to be an
excellent one, and have never
heard of his having committed
any offence whatever.

I have frequently

known him to be entrusted with large sums of money to be paid out on contracts, and believe if anything had been done by him in a dishonest way, it would have been brought to my knowledge, as it was generally known among builders, who are friendly towards me, that I was intimately connected with him in many buildings, which I was constructing.

Since the present offence with which he stands charged here has been brought to my knowledge I have made inquiry among the people who know him well if they knew of any acts on his part, which might be construed into a questionable transaction, and have found that one and all have thought him to be an honest, upright and conscientious man, and have no doubt that many reputable business men would testify in his behalf as to his general character.

I swear to before me
this 25 day of Feby 1888.
Wm. C. [unclear]
Commissioner of Deeds
City & County of New York.

General Sessions New York.
The People vs.

Martus L. Ungrich.

City & County of New York.

John H. Duncan being duly sworn says I reside at 163rd St. and 10th Ave. I am an architect having my office at 237 Bway in said City.

I have known the above named Martus L. Ungrich for the last ten years intimately having worked with him and having had business relations ~~with him~~ to within a short space of time and have known his reputation to have been good until the commission of the offence for which he stands charged here.

My acquaintance has been intimate in a personal and business manner and I have never heard of his committing any offence which I certainly should if he had done so.

Subscribed & sworn to before me this 24th day of February 1888.

John H. Duncan

Charles Hunt
Notary Public N.Y.C.

General Sessions New York

The People vs

ap.
Martin L. Ungrich

County and City of New York vs:

Louis H. Stroh being

duly sworn says

I reside at 45 to 47th Street & am a plumber doing business at the same place, employing from forty to one hundred & forty men.

I have known the above named Martin L. Ungrich for the past eleven years well, mainly in a business way as an architect & I know his family & a large number of people with whom he did business principally builders carpenters & masons for whom Ungrich made plans.

I know his reputation generally & especially among business men & it has been good until the commission of the present offence.

He was highly thought of & was looked upon, by these people & by me as a capable & honest business man, square in his actions.

I never heard before of his committing

any offence.

Louis Stroh

Sworn before me this 24th day of June 1888
J. J. [Signature]

Notary Public
New York and Westchester Co. N.Y.

General Sessions New York
The People vs
vs
Martin L Ungrich

City & County of New York

Arthur W. Pickering

being duly sworn says I reside in Tuttle
Essex Co. N.Y. I am an architect having
my office at 237 Broadway New York City

I have known the above named Martin
L. Ungrich for the past ten years intimately
having worked with him and had business
relations to within a short space of time and
have known his reputation to have been good
until the commission of the offence for which
he stands charged here

My acquaintance has been intimate in
a personal and business way and I have
never heard of his having committed any
offence which would certainly have been
known by me if he had done so and there
is no doubt that numerous friends and
acquaintance would testify to ^{his} character

Arthur W. Pickering

Sworn to before me
this 24th day of February 1888

Charles A. ...
Notary Public N.Y.C.

General Sessions New York
The People vs }
Martin L. Ungnich }

City & County of New York

George M. Walgrove

Walgrove being duly sworn says
I reside at 447 E. 118th Street
I am an architect having my office
at 1100 Fulton Street in said City.

I have known the above named
Martin L. Ungnich for the past fifteen
years intimately & knew him when
at school & also when he Ungnich
was in business also as an architect.

I know his reputation & know it
to have been good until the commission
of the offence for which he stands
charged here.

I am acquainted with a large num-
ber of acquaintances of his social family
& business & I never heard of his ever
before committing any offence.

Sworn before me this
24 Day of February 1888
J. J. Sparus
Notary Public
Stamps Co.
City of New York

George M. Walgrove.
" "

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0393

Police Court— H District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County }
of New York, } ss.

of No. 409 West 47th Street, aged 40 years,
occupation Carpenter being duly sworn

deposes and says, that on the 17 day of January 1888 at the City of New
York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession
of deponent, in the day time, the following property viz :

Good and lawful money of
the United States of the Amount
and value of fifty five dollars
(\$55⁰⁰/₁₀₀)

the property of deponent

and that this deponent
has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen,
and carried away by Martin L. Murgrieh

from the fact that on the
23rd day of December 1887 said deponent
gave deponent a twenty day promissory
note for the amount and value
of twenty five dollars the said note
falling due on the 15th day of January
1888. Deponent further says that on
the 17th day of January 1888 deponent
called upon deponent and represented
that he desired to take up said note
and gave deponent in lieu thereof
the annexed check drawn upon
the West Side Bank for the sum
of fifty five dollars and deponent

Sworn to before me, this

1888

day

Police Justice.

gave defendant ^{said note and} the sum of thirty dollars being the difference between the amount of said note and the annexed check. Defendant is informed by Walter Westervelt the Bookkeeper of the West Side Bank that the said defendant has not had an account at said Bank since August 28 1886.

Wherefore defendant charges said defendant with intent to cheat and defraud designedly obtaining said amount of money herein described and with the larceny of the same and prays that he be held to answer and be dealt out as the law directs.

Subscribed before me
 this 18th day of Jan'y 1888 } James Phelan
 M^r. J. H. [unclear] }
 Justice

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0395

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Walter Westervelt

aged *43* years, occupation *Bookkeeper* of No.

Westside Bank 8th Ave + 34 Street, being duly sworn deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of *James Shanks*

and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponents' own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this *18*

day of *July* 188*8*

Walter Westervelt

H. A. [Signature]

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0396

Sec. 198-200.

4 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY }
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Martin L. Murgich being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is ~~his~~ right to make a statement in relation to the charge against ~~him~~; that the statement is designed to enable ~~him~~ if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against ~~him~~ that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that ~~his~~ waiver cannot be used against ~~him~~ on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Martin L. Murgich*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *29 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *New York City*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *No 103 West 40 St. 3 months*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Architect*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you may think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. *I am guilty*

✓ Martin L. Murgich

Taken before me this

day of

Sept 1888

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0397

BAILED,
 No. 1, by
 Residence
 No. 2, by
 Residence
 No. 3, by
 Residence
 No. 4, by
 Residence

City Police Court No. 102
 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
 ON THE COMPLAINT OF

James A. Banks
 409 West 47th
 Street
 Manhattan, N.Y.

1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 10

Offence Larceny
 felony

Dated January 18 1888

Magistrate.

Officer.

Preinct.

Witnesses

Michael A. Bank
 Street
 34th St

Street.

Street.

No. 20
 Street.

Street.



It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named.....

Defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Twenty Hundred Dollars,..... and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated January 18 1888 Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named..... to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated..... 1888 Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named.....

guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated..... 1888 Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0398

Eighth Ave. & 34th St.

No. 16 NEW YORK, Jan 17th 1888

WEST SIDE BANK

PAY TO THE ORDER OF James Shankle

Fifty Five ⁰⁰/₁₀₀ DOLLARS.

\$ 55 ⁰⁰/₁₀₀ M Louis Anguish

STILES & CASH, STEAM PRINTERS, 77 EIGHTH AVENUE, NEW YORK.

**POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL**

0399

James Shanks

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0400

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Martin S. Unajuda

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this Indictment, accuse

Martin S. Unajuda

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY in the second degree committed as follows:

The said Martin S. Unajuda,

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the ~~seventeenth~~ day of ~~January~~ in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-eight, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, with intent to deprive and defraud one James Shandor,

of the proper moneys, goods, chattels and personal property hereinafter mentioned, and of the use and benefit thereof, and to appropriate the same to his own use, did then and there feloniously, fraudulently and falsely pretend and represent to the said

James Shandor,

That a certain paper instrument which he the said Martin S. Unajuda then and there produced and delivered to the said James Shandor, in the words and figures following, to wit:

no. 16 New York Jan 18 1888
West Side Branch
Pay to the order of James Shandor
Fifty Five \$ 55.00 — Dollars.
M. S. Unajuda

was then and there a good and valid order for the payment of money and of the value of fifty five dollars.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0401

By color and by aid of which said false and fraudulent pretenses and representations, the said *Martin S. Vignola* — did then and there feloniously obtain from the possession of the said *James*

Shandor, the sum of thirty dollars in money, lawful money of the United States, and of the value of thirty dollars, and one million instrument and evidence of debt, to wit: a certain promissory note for the payment of money (value then and there produced and delivered) for the payment of a sum of the value of twenty five dollars. — of the proper moneys, goods, chattels and personal property of the said *James*

Shandor — , with intent to deprive and defraud the said *James Shandor* — of the same, and of the use and benefit thereof, and to appropriate the same to his own use.

Whereas, in truth and in fact, the said *paper instrument* which he the said *Martin S. Vignola* so as aforesaid then and there produced and delivered to the said *James Shandor* was not then and there a good and valid note for the payment of money and was not of the value of fifty five dollars or of any value whatsoever, but was in truth then and there wholly void and worthless. —

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0402

And Whereas, in truth and in fact, the pretenses and representations so made as aforesaid by the said *Martin S. Unajudu,* to the said *James Shauder* — was and were then and there in all respects utterly false and untrue, as *he* the said *Martin S. Unajudu* — at the time of making the same then and there well knew.

And so the Grand Jury aforesaid do say : That the said *Martin S. Unajudu* — on — the day and year first aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, in the manner and form aforesaid, and by the means aforesaid, with force and arms, the said proper moneys, goods, chattels and personal property of the said *James Shauder* —

then and there feloniously did STEAL, against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the said People.

JOHN R. FELLOWS.
~~RANDOLPH B. MARTINE,~~
District Attorney.