

0656

**BOX:**

541

**FOLDER:**

4931

**DESCRIPTION:**

Abbott, Timothy F.

**DATE:**

12/06/93



4931

0657

Witnesses:

officer *Cumham*  
central office

134  
Counsel,

Filed,

day of

1893

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

*Timothy B. Abbott*

26  
*Guthrie*

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

*B. Hayward*

Foreman.

*Dec 6/93*  
*Plead guilty as charged*

*Pen 2 months*

INJURY TO PROPERTY.

[Section 654, Penal Code.]

0658

(1385)

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY }  
OF NEW YORK, } ss.*Timothy Alcott*

being duly examined before the under-  
signed according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is ~~his~~ right to  
make a statement in relation to the charge against ~~him~~, that the statement is designed to  
enable ~~him~~ if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against ~~him~~ *him*  
that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that ~~his~~ waiver cannot be used  
against ~~him~~ on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Timothy Alcott*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *26 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *MS*

Question. Where do you live and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *192 Mulberry St.*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Driver*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony  
against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. *Saw not guilty*  
*Timothy F. Alcott*

Taken before me this

*26*day of *November* 189 *9**Police Justice.*

0659

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of 100 Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, March 30 1893 W. M. H. C. Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named \_\_\_\_\_  
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.


Dated, \_\_\_\_\_ 189 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, \_\_\_\_\_ 189 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.



*Residence* ..... *Street.*



0661

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.  
OF NEW YORK,

POLICE COURT, DISTRICT.

Thomas Geraghty  
 of No. 58 East Houston Street, aged 35 years,  
 occupation Liquor being duly sworn deposes and says,  
 that on the 26 day of November 1893

at the City of New York, in the County of New York, as deposed to  
 in formed by Officer John Conaghan  
 of the 10th Precinct one Timothy Abbott  
 did wilfully unlawfully and maliciously  
 break and destroy a large plate of  
 glass in the window of premises  
 58 East Houston Street doing damage  
 to the amount of One Hundred  
 Dollars by casting a brick-bat  
 at and through said glass  
 on said date

Thos. J. Geraghty

Sworn to before me, this

25 day

of November 1893

Wm. J. Geraghty  
 Police Justice.

0662

CITY AND COUNTY }  
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

John Croughan  
Police Officer of No.  
10th Avenue Street, being duly sworn, deposes and  
says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Thomas Geraghty  
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own  
knowledge.

Sworn to before me this, 3d day of March 1892 by John Croughan

Thomas Geraghty  
Police Justice.

# Court of General Sessions of the Peace

517

IN AND FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

AGAINST

*Timothy J. Abbott*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment accuse

*Timothy J. Abbott*  
of the CRIME OF UNLAWFULLY AND WILFULLY *destroying* PERSONAL PROPERTY OF ANOTHER,  
committed as follows:

The said

*Timothy J. Abbott*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *26th*  
day of *November*, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and  
ninety-*three*, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

*one pane of plate glass*

of the value of *one hundred dollars*  
of the goods, chattels and personal property of one *Thomas P. Gervety*  
then and there being, then and there feloniously did unlawfully and wilfully *break*

*and destroy:*

against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People  
of the State of New York and their dignity.

## SECOND COUNT.

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment further accuse the said  
*Timothy F. Abbott*  
 of the CRIME OF UNLAWFULLY AND WILFULLY *destroying* REAL PROPERTY OF ANOTHER,  
 committed as follows:

The said

*Timothy F. Abbott*

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the  
 City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

*one pane of plate glass*

of the value of *one hundred dollars*  
 in, and forming part and parcel of the realty of a certain building of one *Thomas*  
*J. Gervety* there situate, of the real property of the said  
*Thomas J. Gervety*  
 then and there feloniously did unlawfully and wilfully

*break and*  
*destroy:*

against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People  
 of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,  
*District Attorney.*

0665

**BOX:**

541

**FOLDER:**

4931

**DESCRIPTION:**

Adams, Frank

**DATE:**

12/08/93



4931

0666

**BOX:**

**541**

**FOLDER:**

**4931**

**DESCRIPTION:**

**Livingston, Louis**

**DATE:**

**12/08/93**



4931



0667

**BOX:**

541

**FOLDER:**

4931

**DESCRIPTION:**

McKeon, William

**DATE:**

12/08/93



4931

Witnesses

officer Michael J. Quinn  
23rd Precinct

62 ~~XXXX~~

Counsel,

Filed

day of

1893

Pleads,

*Not guilty*

25  
*compositor*

THE PEOPLE

Frank Adams  
24 5 57 6 87  
Louis Livingston  
20. 222 6 89  
William McKee

Grand Larceny, Second Degree  
[Sections 528, 537, 538 Pennl Code.]

Part 2 - Dec. 15, 1893.  
All Trial and convicted of  
Receiving Stolen Goods and  
DE LANCEY NICOLL  
District Attorney.

No. 2. 3. - Mrs. Pen  
No. 1 + 3. 6 Mrs. Pen  
A TRUE BILL. Dec 19/93

B Lockwood

Foreman.

Subpoena officers  
+ counsel for  
19th

0669

New York Dec. 14<sup>th</sup> 1893

Mr. Attorney!

Dear Sir!

As much as I wish  
to be present at the  
Trial of my Son Louis  
but I am unable to  
do. As I have been sick  
for the last 2 years  
and besides I have  
lost my left Leg. I have  
been employed for 21 years  
studdy in Steinhardt  
Adler & Co. Clothing  
House. Louis is the only  
help I have had. then  
for I like to see Justice  
respectfully his Father

Albert Livingston

0670

<sup>ry</sup>Honorable

Recorder Smyth

Genl. Sessions

Part II.

0671

To the Honorable  
Recorder Smyth  
Genl. Sessions Part II  
City & County of N. Y.

We the undersigned having known Louis Livingston a long time, and believing him innocent of the crime charged against him, into which we believe he was drawn by unavoidable circumstances, and with no intend of wrong doing, would most respectfully request the honor Recorder Smyth to suspend sentence in this case and if possible acquit the above defendant, taking into consideration that he was recommended to the mercy of the court by the honorable gentlemen of the Jury before whom he was tried, and thereby save a good name and character now in danger of being ruined.

Accordingly we humbly  
petition you kindly to consider our request.  
Very Respectfully



Very Respectfully

name  
Capt. John Gunnis  
Chas. H. B. Carter  
Robert D. Brownell  
Henry B. Page

address  
1051 Lexington Ave N.Y.  
340 E 74. St  
186 E 76 St  
190 " " "

name address  
Louis Kirschberg 306 East 73<sup>rd</sup> St  
Aaron La Bar 1300 3 Ave  
Jacob Brill 153 Ave A

Abraham Pincus 1339 3 Ave bet 76-77 St.  
H. W. Shector 215 E 73 St  
William Cleary 307 E 76 St  
Andrew McBride 173 E 75 St  
Mrs. M. Pincus 1339 3 Ave  
Isaac Langer 2100 3 Ave  
Ab. Light 223 E 74 St  
Laughlin Dugan 156 E 86 St

Samuel Livingston 185 E 76<sup>th</sup> St  
August Adel 1334 3 Ave  
Maurice Hagley 1356 Ave. A.  
John Bartens 184 E 76  
John G. Riendeau 216 E 76 St

Isaac Suran 414 E 78<sup>th</sup> St  
Joseph Menchel 419 E 79 St.  
Graham B. B. 224 E 76 St  
Patrick E. Kelly 1274 3<sup>rd</sup> Ave  
John J. Mc Bride 173 E 75 St  
Edward Monrovan 320 E 74 St  
David Schott 1328-3<sup>rd</sup> Ave

Simon Kolm 1041 - 3 Ave  
Richard L. Lontopp 1482 - 1<sup>st</sup> Ave  
Wm. T. Manning 732.2 3 Ave  
Edward J. Mann 1481 - 1<sup>st</sup> Ave  
Harman Sachs 190 E 76 St

0673

Edward Donovan 320 67th St  
 Daniel Schach 1328-3rd Ave  
 Simon Solon 1841-9 Ave  
 Richard L. Lontopp 1482-1 Ave  
 Wm. T. Moynihan 7322 3 Ave  
 Edward F. Moynihan 1481-1st Ave  
 Herman Sachs 191 E 76th St

UNIVERSAL COLLECTION AGENCY,

1328 THIRD AVENUE, Cor. 76th St.

COLLECTIONS IN ALL PARTS OF THE WORLD.

New York, Dec 17 1893

This is to certify that we have  
 known Lewis Livingston for some time  
 and have had an excellent opportunity  
 of judging his character, and we  
 find him an honest, upright, industrious  
 young man, and we cheerfully  
 recommend him as the same.

Respectfully —

The Universal Collection Agency  
E. J.





0674

1912

Police Court—14 District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County }  
of New York, } ss.

of No. 170 Avenue C. Street, aged 35 years.  
 occupation Superintendent Nassau Street Co. being duly sworn,  
 deposes and says, that on the 29 day of November 1893 at the City of New  
 York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession  
 of deponent, in night time, the following property, viz:

One horse, wagon, and  
harness of the value of  
four hundred dollars

\$ 400.00  
100

the property of The Nassau Street Company and in  
 the care and custody of deponent

and that this deponent  
 has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen  
 and carried away by Frank Adams, Lewis Livingston  
And William McKean (all names) and  
 acting in concert from the fact that  
 said property was missed by deponent  
 from the care William McKean and Spruce Street  
 at about the hour of 3 A.M. on said date  
 Deponent is informed by Officer Matthew J.  
Quinn of the 25<sup>th</sup> Precinct Police Station  
 at about the hour of 4 A.M. he arrested  
 the said defendants with a horse  
 and wagon in their possession. Deponent  
 has since seen the property found  
 in the possession of said defendants and  
 fully and positively identifies said property  
 as the property taken and carried away  
 from deponent's possession

Jonas Goldstein

Sworn to before me this  
30 day of November 1893  
W. J. Quinn

Police Justice

0675

Sec. 193-200.

4 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY }  
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Frank Adams being duly examined before the under-  
signed according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is h right to  
make a statement in relation to the charge against h ; that the statement is designed to  
enable h if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h  
that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiver cannot be used  
against h on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Frank Adams

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 25

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. W S

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. \_\_\_\_\_

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Printer

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the  
testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your  
exculpation?

Answer. I am not Guilty-  
Frank Adams

Taken before me this 20  
day of Nov 1893

W. H. H. H. H.  
Police Justice

0676

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Twenty five Hundred Dollars, each and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated Nov 30 189 Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named \_\_\_\_\_  
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated \_\_\_\_\_ 189 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order he to be discharged.

Dated \_\_\_\_\_ 189 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.

0677

Police Court--- District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

*Jonas Goldman*  
170 Ave C  
1 *Frank Adams*  
2 *Dennis Linnigton*  
3 *William McKeau*  
4


*Officer*  
*Grady*

BAILED,

No. 1, by \_\_\_\_\_  
Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street  
No. 2, by \_\_\_\_\_  
Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street  
No. 3, by \_\_\_\_\_  
Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street  
No. 4, by \_\_\_\_\_  
Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street

Dated *Nov 30* 189*3*  
*Grady* Magistrate.  
*Blum* Officer.  
*25* Precinct.  
Witnesses *Call Officer*  
No. \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

No. \_\_\_\_\_ Street.  
No. *2000* to answer *2570*  
*9/2*



Sec. 193-200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.  
OF NEW YORK, }

*Louis Livingston* being duly examined before the under-  
signed according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is h right to  
make a statement in relation to the charge against h; that the statement is designed to  
enable h if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h  
that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiver cannot be used  
against h on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *Louis Livingston*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *24 Years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *New York*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *537 East 87 Street, 1 Year*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Immigrant Broker.*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the  
testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your  
exculpation?

Answer. *I am not guilty*  
*Louis Livingston*

Taken before me this  
day of *July* 189*8*

*30*

Police Justice

0679

Sec. 193-200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY }  
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

*William McKean* being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him, that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *William McKean*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *20 Yrs*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *Wis.*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *222 East 89 Street 2 Yrs.*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Driver*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. *I am not guilty*  
*W. McKean*

Taken before me this

day of

189

Police Justice



COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE,  
CITY & COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE,

vs.

FRANK ADAMS,  
LOUIS LIVINGSTON,  
-and-  
WILLIAM McKEON.

BEFORE

HON. FREDERICK SMYTH,

AND A JURY.

TRIED, DECEMBER 14TH, 1893.

INDICTED FOR GRAND LARCENY IN THE SECOND DEGREE.

INDICTMENT FILED DECEMBER 8TH, 1893.

ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY THOMAS J. BRADLEY,

FOR THE PEOPLE.

MR. WARE,

FOR THE DEFENSE.

////



MICHAEL J. QUINN, testified that he is connected with the 25th Precinct, in this City. He arrested the three defendants, between 72nd and 73rd Streets, on Lexington Avenue, at 5 minutes to 4 in the morning, on November 29th. They were in a wagon. A horse was attached to the wagon. McKeon was about to take the reins. He, the witness, told McKeon that if he pulled the reins or moved an inch he, the witness, would blow out his brains. Adams said, "Officer, what is the matter? I am driving this wagon." He, the witness, ordered him to step down on the sidewalk, and the defendants stepped to the sidewalk from the wagon, and the witness blew for help, and his "side partners" took the defendants and he, the witness, took the wagon, to the station-house. He, the witness, arrested the defendants, because of their suspicious actions. When placed under arrest, one of the defendants said, "Two bare-foot boys gave it to us, at 57th Street and Third Avenue." He, the witness, thought the defendants were burglars, from their conduct on

Third Avenue.

In

CROSS-EXAMINATION,

The witness testified that there had been several robberies in that neighborhood. He, the witness had never before seen the defendants. He did not know who owned the horse and wagon.

In

RE-DIRECT-EXAMINATION,

the witness testified that he did not show the horse and wagon to Mr. Goldman. He was sent up with a letter to the captain, and the Wardman took him to identify it.

\*\*\*\*\*

JONAS GOLDMAN testified that he is the Night Superintendent of the Nassau News Company, at 12 and 14 Spruce Street. He, the witness, recollected the morning of the 29th. At three o'clock he, the witness, came from Beekman Street through Williams Street to his place of business. He, the witness, passed by the

horse and wagon in question. It was tied to a lamp post. The horse and wagon were the property of the Nassau News Company. The horse was tied to the lamp post at the corner of William and Spruce Street. A little after four o'clock the driver who drives the horse and wagon was going to get it to drive over his route, but the horse and wagon were gone. It was then about ten minutes past four. A search was made for it, but without success. Then he, the witness, went to the Oak Street Station-house, and reported the loss. A little while after that, the other witness, got a report that the horse and wagon had been found up in 75th Street, or some other street, up there, and to send after it. He, the witness, did not go after it, himself, but wrote <sup>the</sup> Sergeant at the desk to deliver the horse and wagon to bearer; but the messenger returned without it, and he, the witness, went to the station-house and identified the property. He, the witness, did not authorize any body to take that horse and wagon up to Lexington and 73rd Street, or anywhere else. The horse and wagon were worth about \$400.

In

CROSS-EXAMINATION,

the witness testified that occasionally the horse was left unattended, and, once in a while, wandered off. On the wagon is the sign, "The Nassau News Delivery & Express Company."

\*\*\*\*\*

THE DEFENSE.

---

FRANK ADAMS, one of the defendants, testified, "McKeon and I left 185 East 76th Street. It was our intention to go downtown and look for a job. It was 2 o'clock and perhaps a little after, and we stood down on the corner of 76th Street and 3rd Avenue, talking awhile, and walked down 3rd Avenue, and when we got down as far as 57th Street, there we heard before we got there a wagon making a good deal of noise, coming up the Avenue, and we seen a wagon there, and McKeon said, 'There's a Nassau News Company's wagon.' And we

looked and seen a couple of boys in it, and we thought the boys had found the wagon and drove somewhere, and we holloed and the little boys jumped out of the back of it, and we came to the conclusion to take it to Abe Pincus, a driver of the Nassau News Company. He lives in 76th Street. We got up to 59th Street and 3rd Avenue, and there we met Livingston, who was going downtown, and we said to him to come with us, and we would take the wagon to Pincus' house, and he would drive us all down, , and Livingston said if the wagon was adrift to get a policeman, and we asked a fellow and he said there was a policeman up Lexington Avenue, and we drove up Lexington Avenue about two blocks, and we were talking about either leaving the wagon or taking it to Pincus's house, and then we decided to let the wagon take care of itself, and we walked around on Third Avenue, and we seen a fire, where the boy was, and we stood there and got warm, and lit a segar, and we got arguing whether we would take the horse to pincus or leave it where it was, and the officer sees that we stood in front of the jewelry store, and there are any number of stores around there. And we went

around to Lexington Avenue, to take the horse and wagon up to Pincus's house, and then the policeman came and arrested us. He, the defendant, had no intention of stealing the horse and wagon. He had never been convicted of crime. He, the defendant, was going downtown, at that early hour, 3 o'clock in the morning, to get the early papers to look for a job. The last job he had was working for a man named John Weinas, printer, at 351 East 81st street. He worked for him on and off for about three weeks. He had been in New York City about two months. He lived at the Eclipse House, at Chatham Square, also at 196 and also at 185 East 76th street. He and McKeon are members of the Abe Lincoln club, also Livingston. All three defendants were at the club that night. The defendant and McKeon lived together. One of the boys in the wagon was in his bare feet. The boys ran down 57th Street. He, the witness, did not know who they were. At 59th Street he, the witness, met Livingston, and took him on the wagon. The horse was going on a trot. The witness said to Livingston, "We are going up to Pincus's place,

8

and we all four will drive downtown together." After talking among themselves, the defendants concluded to let the horse go altogether, and go around on Third Avenue. He, the witness, and his co-defendants, decided not to drive to Pincus with the wagon, for fear they might get into trouble. After leaving the wagon, they went to Third Avenue, near a fire, about 74th Street, and then walked around 73rd Street, and back to the wagon, as they then concluded to take it to Pincus any way. He, the witness, but not the other defendants, were in the wagon when the officer came up to them. He, the witness, had no intention of selling the horse and wagon. At the police-court he, the witness, did not say he was taking the property to its owner. He, the defendant, is 25 years old. He had known McKeon and Livingston about two months.

\*\*\*\*\*

LOUIS LIVINGSTON, one of the defendants, testified that when he saw the two young men in the wagon it was early



0688

9

in the morning, about half-past 3. They were driving on Third Avenue. They belonged to the same organization as the witness. They hailed him, the witness, and asked him where he was going. He, the witness, left 185 E. 76th Street about 10 minutes to 3 o'clock. Up to about 2 o'clock he had been there having a game of cards. The witness testified, "I was there with three or four parties, and I left say about 10 minutes after 3 o'clock, or about 3 o'clock, by the clock there, and I walked down Third Avenue, and when I got to 59th Street and 3rd Avenue I saw this wagon go up, and they hailed me---somebody in the wagon, I didn't know which one, and they were turned around the corner there, and I walked over, and they asked where I was going, and I said I was going downtown, and they said, 'If you will jump in and ride up to 76th Street, you will get a ride all the way downtown to the paper offices,' and I got in, and they drove up Lexington Avenue, as far as 73rd Street, and I sat in the back of the wagon, and they stopped the wagon, and got off there, and walked down to Third Avenue, and I walked around with them, and we

1C

walked as far as the boy that drives for all of them houses, and I remember that I lit a cigar there. McKeon and Adams said something about playing pool. They were standing there before the ----they were standing up there two or three minutes or probably five, and they come back and said, 'We will go up to 76th Street.' And we walked around to Lexington Avenue again, where the wagon was standing, and Adams had got into the wagon, and McKeon had his foot on the step, and was going to get into the wagon, and I was standing on the sidewalk, waiting my turn to get up, when the officer arrested us. We had no idea of stealing anything when we got into the wagon. We were driving up to Mr Pincus's house, and I thought I would get a ride back again. I believe Pincus's residence is 1,339 Third Avenue. " He, the witness, had never before been arrested or accused of crime.

In

## CROSS-EXAMINATION,

the witness testified that he had been working for Baumann Brothers, Union Square, about 4 or 5 months ago.

Since then, he worked for his father, in his fish & Cyster market, at 353 East 85th Street. About two weeks ago his father gave up business, and, for the last two weeks, he, the witness, has been doing nothing. He, the witness, lived with his father. He had been going down town, at that early hour, for four or five mornings, to get the early edition of the papers in order to get a position. He walked down town from 76th Street. He, the witness, did not know what McKeon was going downtown for that morning. He, the witness, got up early, because he thought by getting at a place first he would stand a better chance of getting the job. He got down as far as 59th Street when the other defendants hailed him. He, the witness, was in the same room, but not in company, with the defendants the night before. It was by accident that he, the witness, met the other defendants. He, the witness, did not notice the name on the wagon until he got off at 73rd Street when he was arrested. He, the witness, did not see the two little barefoot boys. When he, the witness, saw the wagon near 76th Street he said "What are you going to do?" And they said, "We had better let this wagon alone. We might get ourselves

12

arrested. Adams said that. He, the witness did not suppose the other defendants owned the wagon, but he knew that McKeon drove a wagon for the Nassau News Company, at one time, and did not suspect anything wrong about the conduct of the other defendants. The other two defendants went back to Lexington Avenue, and McKeon said, "We will take it to Pincus's house." When arrested, he, the witness, did not speak a word to the officer, but McKeon told the officer, at that time, that he, McKeon, was taking the horse and wagon to Pincus. He, the witness, is 24 years old. At the police-court he, the witness, did not say anything, because a lawyer appeared for him.

In

RE-DIRECT-EXAMINATION,

the witness testified that he did not make a statement in court, because a lawyer appeared for him, and waived examination. The attorney said "Sign that paper," referring to the examination paper at the police-court, and he, the witness, did so without reading it.

\*\*\*\*\*

ABRAHAM PINCUS, testified that he is a driver and "counter." He is acquainted with all the defendants. McKeon helped him on several occasions, in driving. He, the witness, went to see Livingston in the Tombs, after his arrest, and Livingston said that they were bringing the wagon to his, Pincus's, house. He, the witness, saw the defendants at the club room that night. McKeon and Adams left about 2 and he, the witness, at about 3 o'clock. Also Livingston left at 3 o'clock.

In

CROSS-EXAMINATION,

the witness testified that the Lincoln Club was a political and social club. Going uptown the driver would leave the wagon and go home, after leaving the last bundle, and then he, the witness, would bring it down. He, the witness, had McKeon out on the wagon about half a dozen times. He met McKeon a great many times, in the night time. He, the witness, remained at the club, on the night in question, until about 3 o'clock, in order to make up his minutes, as he was the

Recording Secretary of the Club. He was the last to leave the club and lock up. Livingston left about half an hour before he locked up. None of the defendants ever went down town with him, the witness, at that hour in the morning, and, on leaving the club, that night, they did not say where they were going. For three months in the summer he, the witness, went downtown, in the morning, for the Nassau News Company, but not at the time of the arrest. He would start down town a little after 1 o'clock in the morning. So far as he knew, none of the defendants knew his, the witness's, address. He lived at 1339 3rd Avenue, between 76th and 77th Street. He talked to Livingston in the Tombs, and, at that time, Livingston said they were bringing the horse and wagon up to his, Pincus's, house.

\*\*\*\*\*

ROBERT L. BROWNELL testified that he is a member of the Lincoln Club, and, on the night referred to, he played a game of pinochle with Livingston, and left for his, the witness's, home, at 10 o'clock, leaving Livingston at the club. He had known Livingston about two years,



Livingston was well known in the neighborhood, and his reputation for honesty was excellent. The witness had known McKeon 5 or 6 months and Adams for two months. They are members of the Lincoln Club.

In

CROSS-EXAMINATION,

the witness testified that all he knew of Livingston's character was of his own knowledge, and not the expressions of opinion of other people.

\*\*\*\*\*

HENRY B. PAGE testified that he resided at 190 East 76th Street. He is President of the Lincoln Club. He had known Livingston about a year and a half, and the other defendants about two months. Livingston held the position of Financial Secretary of the club and his reputation for honesty was excellent.

In

CROSS-EXAMINATION

the witness testified that he knew that Livingston

worked, about a month ago, for a furniture house, but had been out of work about a month. The members of the club often remain at the rooms as late as 12 o'clock at night, and sometimes after that hour. He knew of that the defendants had stayed at the club until 3 o'clock in the morning.

\*\*\*\*\*

CHARLES H. KELLER testified that he resided at 445 East 75th Street. He is a member of the Lincoln Club. He dropped into the club rooms on Tuesday evening,--the night before Thanksgiving. The defendants had some beer there at the time, and seemed to be somewhat under the influence of liquor. The witness was acquainted with Livingston and his family; had known them 10 or 12 years. Livingston's reputation for honesty was good.

In

CROSS-EXAMINATION,

the witness testified that he had known the other defendants about two months, from seeing them at the club, and did not know their reputation. He, the witness,

17

never heard Livingston's character discussed by anybody. He, the witness, left the club rooms between 11 and 12 on the night in question, the defendants remaining. The latest time that he had ever seen the three defendants in the club rooms was half-past 3 or 4 o'clock in the morning, on one occasion, when there was a jollification of the club, before election.

\*\*\*\*\*

MINNIE LIVINGSTON testified that she lived at 537 East 87th Street, and the defendant, Livingston, is her son. His general reputation is good. She sometimes prepared her son's breakfast at 4 or a little after 4 in the morning, and he started out to look for work about 5 o'clock. He did that about two weeks.

In

CROSS-EXAMINATION,

the witness testified that her son generally walked when he went to look for work; he never asked for car fare. He worked in Baumann Brothers about 5 months

18

ago. She did not hear her son testify that he had not worked in Baumann Brothers furniture house up to two weeks ago. She did not know McKeon or Adams. Her son stayed up all night, after going to the meetings, several times, in order to get down town very early, to look for work.

" " " " " " " " " " " "

MARY MCKEON testified that she is the mother of the defendant McKeon. Her son's reputation for honesty was good.

In

CROSS-EXAMINATION

the witness testified that on the night of the arrest of her son, he told her, the witness, that he was working, selling papers, and had to go down town. On Tuesday night, November 28th, he left the witness's house at 5 o'clock. He had been in the habit of leaving the witness's house at that hour, and selling papers. He generally left at 5 o'clock in the evening and returned next morning, about 10 or 11 o'clock or even 12.

He generally gave his mother 25 or 30 cents the next morning. He worked at that business, selling papers, about ~~two~~ months; before that he was three months in Sugarloaf, Orange County; before that, he worked for Wilkeson, in Duane Street---paper warehouse. He worked for Wilkeson two months, and, before that, for O'Connell driving a truck, two years. After selling papers, he went to look for work, and expected to get a job driving a news company's wagon.

\*\*\*\*\*

WILLIAM MCKEON testified that he was at the club house on the evening referred to. He left there about a quarter-past 2. He was in company with Adams. They drank some that night, but were not intoxicated, and he, the witness, knew what he was doing.

In

#### CROSS-EXAMINATION

the witness testified that he had never been convicted of crime. His parents put him in the Protectory,

about two years ago, for six months, because they could not control him at that time. He was not working at the time of his arrest. He had been out of work about a month and a half. Before that he was in the country. He drove a truck for Mr. O'Connell before he was arrested. He was laid off, on account of business being bad. He did not sell newspapers, although his mother thought he did. He went downtown a couple of dozen times, with Pincus, to help him in his business. He used to ride down on the Elevated. Mr. Pincus paid the fares, and he, the witness, generally got uptown about 9 or 10 o'clock, in the morning. He heard his mother testify that he left the house at 5 o'clock. The time between 5 and 1 o'clock he spent at the club house, playing cards, or reading. On the night in question he left the club rooms at about a quarter after 2, with Adams, to go down town to look for work. They intended to go downtown on one of the Harlem news wagons, about half-past 1 or 2. They went from 76th street to 57th Street, and saw the wagon, and saw two boys driving it, and they jumped off. He didn't know



either of the boys. They ran through 57th Street, towards 2nd Avenue. Neither the witness nor Adams went after the boys. Then he, the witness, and Adams got on the wagon, and he, the witness, drove. He intended to turn it over to a policeman or to Pincus. He, the witness, asked a man, who saw what transpired between the defendant and the boys, for a policeman. He did not know the man that he asked. Then some one suggested that they had better leave the wagon alone or they would get into trouble. They, the defendants, thought they might be arrested with the wagon. He did not at that time know that the wagon had been stolen. They, the defendants, did not know what to do, whether to leave the wagon or deliver it to Pincus. Then they thought it would be best to go down town with it. He was taking the wagon to Pincus when he was arrested. He did not tell the policeman at the time that he was driving to Pincus; he told that story at the station-house. The policeman asked, "Where are you going with the wagon?" and he, the witness, answered, "We are going uptown with it." He, the witness, did not tell the

policeman that he had found the wagon adrift, and was taking it to Pincus's house. He, the witness, made that explanation to the Captain in the station-house. He did not, however, have an opportunity to explain in the police court. It was after 2 when he, the witness left the club, and it took some time to walk from 76th Street to 57th street, and then around from there to 59th Street and across to Lexington Avenue, and up to 72nd or 73rd Street. It must have been about half-past 3 when he got to Lexington Avenue and 73rd Street. He, the witness, heard Pincus say that he would not be working that night..

In

RE-DIRECT-EXAMINATION,

the witness testified that he knew Pincus was in the employ of the news company. He, the witness, had occasionally driven for Pincus. He, the witness, did not deliver the wagon to the news company's stables, in 24th Street, instead of driving it to Pincus, because he "guessed that the wagon was taken away from them or ran away, and he didn't want to go down town with the

wagon.

\*\*\*\*\*

MICHAEL J. QUINN, recalled by defendant's counsel, testified, that the defendants were perfectly sober when he first saw them. It was then 5 minutes to 4. He arrived at the station-house about 22 minutes past 4. The charge made at the station-house was "Suspicious persons." He, the witness, did not hear Pincus's name mentioned on the night of the arrest, or at the police station or in the police-court. He never heard the name of Pincus mentioned until the present trial.

\*\*\*\*\*

(The Jury rendered a verdict of Guilty of Receiving Stolen Goods; with a recommendation to the mercy of the court for Livingston.)

//////////

**Court of General Sessions of the Peace**  
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against  
*Frank Adams,  
Louis Livingston  
and William McKee*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

*Frank Adams, Louis Livingston and William McKee*  
of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE *second* DEGREE, committed  
as follows:

The said *Frank Adams, Louis Livingston and William McKee*, all  
late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *29th*  
day of *November* in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred and  
ninety-*three* at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

*one horse of the value of  
two hundred dollars, one  
wagon of the value of one  
hundred and fifty dollars  
and one set of harness of  
the value of fifty dollars*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of ~~one~~ *J. J. J.* a corporation  
known as the Nassau News Company.

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against  
the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People  
of the State of New York and their dignity.

## SECOND COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said

*Frank Adams, Louis Livingston and William McKean*  
of the CRIME OF CRIMINALLY RECEIVING STOLEN PROPERTY, committed as follows:

The said *Frank Adams, Louis Livingston and William McKean* all late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

*one horse of the value of two hundred dollars, one wagon of the value of one hundred and fifty dollars, and one set of harness of the value of fifty dollars,*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of ~~one~~ a corporation known as the Nassau News Company by a certain person or persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, then lately before feloniously stolen, taken and carried away from the said corporation

unlawfully and unjustly did feloniously receive and have; the said

*Frank Adams, Louis Livingston and William McKean* then and there well known the said goods, chattels and personal property to have been feloniously stolen, taken and carried away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

*District Attorney.*

0705

**BOX:**

541

**FOLDER:**

4931

**DESCRIPTION:**

Ahearn, Denis

**DATE:**

12/15/93



4931



0706

**BOX:**

541

**FOLDER:**

4931

**DESCRIPTION:**

Hunter, James

**DATE:**

12/15/93



4931

0707

**BOX:**

541

**FOLDER:**

4931

**DESCRIPTION:**

O'Keefe, Jeremiah

**DATE:**

12/15/93



4931

Witnesses:

officer Martin J. Cregan  
16th Precinct

151 160th St. 1st

Counsel,  
Filed 15 day of Dec 1893  
Pleads, *Chattel*

16 THE PEOPLE

vs. *James Anken*

*James Hunter*

*Jeremiah Keefe*

Burglary in the Third Degree  
Section 486, 487, 488, 489

Part 2-Jan. 10, 1894  
District Attorney  
Nos. 1 and 3  
701 Elmeri  
703 Elmeri Ref.

A TRUE BILL.

*Robert Wood*  
*Speloff* Foreman  
*Theresa Day*  
*Juvenile Asylum*

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE,  
CITY & COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

\*\*\*\*\*

THE PEOPLE,	)	
	)	
VS.	)	BEFORE
	)	
DENIS AHEARN,	)	HON. REFUS B. COWING,
and	)	
JEREMIAH O'KEEFE,	)	AND A JURY.
(Jointly indicted with)	)	
(James Hunter.)	)	

\*\*\*\*\*

TRIED, NEW YORK, JANUARY 10TH, 1894.

\*\*\*\*\*

INDICTED FOR BURGLARY IN THE THIRD DEGREE.

INDICTMENT FILED DECEMBER 15TH, 1893.

\*\*\*\*\*

APPEARANCES:

ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY JAMES W. OSBORNE,

FOR THE PEOPLE.

FREDERICK B. HOUSE, ESQ.,

FOR THE DEFENSE.

////////////////////



ANSELMO PELLEGRINO, The COMPLAINANT, testified through Mr. Morrossi, the Official Interpreter, that he lived at No. 357 West 25th Street, and has a shoe store at No. 246 10th Avenue, between 24th and 25th Street, in the City of New York. On December 8th 1893, he, the complainant, had a stock of shoes in his store at that address. At ten minutes past ten, on Friday night, he stopped work, and locked up all the doors and windows of his store. A week before the burglary was committed, Ahearn came into his, the complainant's store and said, "Do you want to buy a bundle of wood?" He, the complainant, said, "No; I don't need any wood." Then he said, "You son-of-a -bitch, you wont buy any wood off me?" He, the complainant, found the door open about seven inches, and the locks broken off, and twenty pairs of new shoes, worth about \$80.00, were gone. He, the complainant, recovered five pairs of the shoes from a policeman. On Friday night his,

the complainant's store was broken into. On Sunday morning, at about three o'clock, the policeman took him, the complainant, to Ahearn's house, and asked him, the complainant, if three pairs of shoes in Ahearn's possession were part of the stolen property. He, the complainant, found, on Saturday morning, that his store had been broken open on Friday night, and he at once notified the police, and on Sunday morning at about three o'clock, the policeman found the three pairs of shoes, just referred to. In court, he, the complainant, heard the defendants confess that they had broken open his store. He, the complainant, did not, however hear all that was said by the defendants in court.

In

#### CROSS-EXAMINATION

the complainant, testified his store was in the basement. The shoes were worth four dollars a pair. He, the complainant, made them himself. They were handsewed shoes, made for stock, and not to measure. He, the complainant, identified, as his property, the pair of shoes worn in court



4  
by one of the defendants. They constituted part  
of the stolen property.

\*\*\*\*\*

MARTIN J. GREGGAN, testified that he is a Municipal  
Police officer, assigned to duty in the 16th Precinct  
and had been such officer about nine years. On  
Saturday morning, December 9th, he had a conver-  
sation with the complainant, and, in consequence  
of that conversation, he, the witness, visited the  
complainant's store, and saw three locks broken on  
his door, and the door had been forced open; and,  
after that, he, the witness, went around to some  
of the pawnshops in the vicinity, and one of the  
pawnbrosers gave him, the witness, information to  
effect that one of the defendants had been there.  
Then, the witness, saw the defendant, and they  
admitted that they visited the complainant's store  
at about 11 o'clock on Friday night, but they  
would not admit that they broke into the place.  
O'Keefe said that Ahearn and Hunter were with him,  
and went around to the place and took the shoes.  
Then, he, the witness, went around to arrest Ahearn,  
and Ahearn said that he was with O'Keefe when they

and Ahearn said that he was with O'Keefe when they stole the shoes. He, the witness, asked where they put them and he took him, the witness, to a lumber pile, in 24th Street, where they hid them, and they found the shoemaker's apron, but the shoes were gone. The complainant was with him, the witness, when the apron was found. He, the witness then arrested Hunter~~Hunter~~ in the presence of the other defendants, in the Jefferson Market Police-Court, confessed, saying, that they found the door open, and went in and stole the shoes. The other defendants made a similar confession, saying they did not force the door open, but found it open, and they took the shoes. All three of the defendants made the foregoing confession. He, the witness, found the shoes in No. 406 West 26th Street, in the house of O'Keefe's brother-in-law. He, the witness, ascertained that O'Keefe's sister lived at that address, and, in searching for O'Keefe, he, the witness, called there, but was refused admittance. The brother-in-law first admitted and then denied that O'Keefe lived there. He,

the witness, however, found five pairs of shoes in O'Keefe's brother-in-law's place, and arrested him, to2 It was Ahearn, who told him, the witness, where to find the stolen property, when he, the witness, found the shoemaker's apron .

In

#### CROSS-EXAMINATION

the witness, testified that Officer Rutledge arrested O'Keefe, and took him to the station-house, he, the witness, being, at that time away from the station-house, in search of O'Keefe. When he, the witness, returned to the station-house, he learned that O'Keefe had been arrested a half an hour before that, and was then locked up in a cell. He, the witness, had a conversation with the de'endant, O'Keefe, after he had been locked up and he, the witness, asked O'Keefe how they happened to get into the place, and he said they found the door open, and went in there. He, O'Keefe, said that Denis Ahearn and James Hunter were with him, O'Keefe admitted taking the shoes from the outside. Ahearn, afterwards, confessed the same thing, when he, the witness, went to



the same thing, when he, the witness, went to Ahearn's home, and woke him up, and asked him about the matter, and where they had disposed of the shoes or had hidden them, and he, O'Keefe, took him, the witness, to the lumber pile, and there the shoemaker's apron was found. He, the witness, found altogether, five pairs of the shoes. They were found in O'Keefe's brother-in-law's house. No shoes were found in the lumber pile. Ahearn told him, the witness, that, after leaving the complainant's store they took the shoes to the lumber pile, and hid them there. Ahearn said that he was in the store, and that the defendants stole the shoes out of the store, and that they had hidden them under a lumber pile.

\*\*\*\*\*

ANSELMO PELLEGRINO, the COMPLAINANT, being recalled by Mr. Osborne, testified that he went to the lumber pile with the officer and Ahearn, and found the apron already referred to under the lumber pile. The apron was his, the complainant's property. Ahearn said, "I put it right here---the apron,

with the shoes.

THE DEFENSE.

JEREMIAH O'KEEFE, one of the defendants, testified that he is 18 years of age, and lived at No. 506 west 26th Street. He, the defendant, worked at anything that he could get to do---a general utility man. He, the defendant, worked, last, in the New York Biscuit Co., in 16th Street. He worked there about a month before he was arrested. During the month preceding his arrest, he worked for Holme & Coutss, at 16th Street and 10th Avenue, filling boxes with cakes, Miss coleman being the forelady. He, the witness, confessed that he broke open the complainant's shoe store and stole twenty pairs of his shoes. Further on, the defendant, testified that the officer did not testify truly when he said, that he, O'Keefe, stated that Ahearn and Hunter and himself, O'Keefe, found the door open and took the shoes but did not break open the door.

and took the shoes but did not break open the door. The officer's testimony, in that respect, was untrue. He, the defendant, did not tell Officer Creagan anything of the kind. He, the witness, had eight pairs of shoes belonging to the complainant. In going past the Opera House, he, the defendant, saw a bundle by a barber-shop and, saying to himself, "If I don't take this bundle, somebody else will", took the bundle and put it under the lumber pile, and he did not know what the bundle contained, until he opened it. The lumber pile was situated in 24th Street. He, the defendant, did not the owner and, therefore, could not return the bundle. After putting the bundle in the lumber pile, he went home. He, the defendant, found the shoes between 11 and half-past 11 o'clock on Friday night. After leaving the bundle of shoes in the lumber pile, he, the defendant, went home and waited until his brother-in-law went to work, and his sister left him, the defendant in charge of the house. Then, while she was absent he, the defendant, brought the shoes in the house,



10  
and stood them away, with out saying anything to anyone about them. He, the defendant, made no effort whatever to find the owner of the shoes. He, the defendant, admitted that he had once been arrested for petty larceny, and was sentenced to ten day imprisonment, on "The Island", last January. He, the defendant, was alone when he found the shoes. Ahearn was with him, the defendant, afterwards, and he, the defendant, told Ahearn that he had found some shoes, he, the defendant, and Ahearn and Hunter did not go into the complainant's shoe store, and did not break open the door, and take the property in question; and he never told anybody that he did so.

In

#### CROSS-EXAMINATION

the defendant testified that he heard Hunter plead guilty to taking the shoes, but not of breaking open the door. He, the defendant testified that Officer Creagan turned a rubber hose on them the defendants in the station-house, and beat them unmercifully, and the treatment frightened the

11

other defendants, but it did not frightened him, the witness/. He, Hunter, was not with him, the witness, at the time of the finding of the shoes. He, the witness, told Ahearn that the shoes were under the lumber pile. He, the witness, found eight pairs of shoes, three pairs of which he sold to men along the docks, and he, kept the proceeds, and didn't give the other defendants any of the money. The defendants Ahearn and Hunter were not with him, O'Keefe, when the shoes were sold or when they were found. He, the defendant, at the time of his arrest was not trying to break in to the store. He, Officer Rutledge, did not find a jimmy and other tools of that kind in his the defendant's possession. He found them in the hallway, the druggist's hallway, and they, the defendants had nothing at all to do with them.

In

## RE-DIRECT-EXAMINATION

the witness testified that the piece of iron shown to him in court, is a chisel, but, he, the defendant, had no use for it. The pistol produced in court

was owned by John Lofey, who was arrested with him, in connection with this case, but, the defendant, did not know that John Lofey pleaded guilty.

\*\*\*\*\*

DENIS AHEARN, one of the defendants, testified that he will be 16 years of age on February 2nd. He lived at 515 West 20th Street, with his parents. He, the defendant, had never been convicted of, or charged with, any crime, before. He, the defendant, and O'Keefe and Hunter, did not go down into the basement, at No. 246 10th Avenue and break open the doors, and take out 20 pairs of shoes. He, the defendant, did not tell Officer Creagen that he, the defendant, and O'Keefe and Hunter went past this basement, at 246 10th Avenue, and, finding the door open, went in and carried out some of the shoes. He, the defendant, did not tell him anything of the kind. He, the defendant, told Officer Creagen that O'Keefe told him, the defendant, that he put shoes under the lumber pile in 24th Street, and he knew nothing about the



shoes. He, the defendant, did not go with O'Keefe to put the shoes in the lumber pile. mHe, the defendant, had nothing to do with the stealing of the shoes in question.

In

CROSS-EXAMINATION

the witness testified that, while going down from 8th Avenue, down to 26th Street, he met O'Keefe on Friday night, at about half-past 11, and O'Keefe told him, the defendant, that he had put the shoes under the lumber pile, in 24th Street, but O'Keefe did not go down with him, the defendant, and show where he put them, and he, the defendant, never saw them there at all, and he, the defendant, did not go right to the lumber pile, with the officer, and find the place, but Hunter showed where they were. He, the defendant, did not go right to the lumber pile and find the apron, and he did not tell the complainant that he put them there; but it was James Hunter the co-defendant, who did and said that. To the question, "Now, how in the world did you know where those shoes were?" the

n14

defendant answered, "Well, O'Keefe told me, and Hunter was first with the detective here, and he said, 'This is the pile,' and the shoemaker looked under and found his apron." The last place that he, the defendant, worked was with Dr. Weston, about three or four months ago, but has been doing nothing since. He, the defendant, and O'Keefe were not looking for work at the time that Saturday night.

REBUTTAL.

MARTIN J. CREAGAN, being recalled by Mr. Osborne, testified, in answer to the question, "Now, Officer, tell the Jury what happened when you went to the lumber pile." "Well, after I arrested Ahearn, I asked him where they had disposed of the shoes or hidden them, and he said that they had taken them down to the lumber pile in 24th Street, and



right down there, and see if we can find them',  
and he came down with me, and there was about  
25 piles of lumber there, and he walked right to  
the identical pile, and I held him while the shoemaker  
went under, and he found only his apron."

(The Jury find the defendants Guilty  
of Burglary in the Third Degree,,  
with a recommendation of Ahearn to the  
mercy of The Court.)

////////////////////



Police Court—2 District.

City and County } ss.:  
of New York,

Anseldo Pellegrino  
of No. 246 10<sup>th</sup> Avenue Street, aged 24 years,  
occupation Shoe dealer being duly sworn

deposes and says, that the premises No. 246 10<sup>th</sup> Avenue Street,  
in the City and County aforesaid, the said being a three story brick  
building

and which was occupied by deponent as a Store on the first basement floor  
~~and in which there was at the time a human being, by name~~

were BURGLARIOUSLY entered by means of forcibly breaking open  
the front door of said premises

on the 8<sup>th</sup> day of December 1887 in the night time, and the  
following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz.:

Twenty boxes  
of shoes of the value of about eighty dollars  
\$80.

the property of Deponent

and deponent further says, that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid  
BURGLARY was committed and the aforesaid property taken, stolen, and carried away by

Jeremiah O'Keefe, Bennett Ahearn, and James Hunter

for the reasons following, to wit:

Deponent left the said premises  
securely locked and closed on the night of Dec  
8 and on deponent return in the morning the said  
premises were found broken open and the said property  
missing, and deponent arrested all of the defendants  
on Dec 9 with a part of said stolen property in  
their possession, and all the defendants confessed to  
deponent in the presence of P. M. Martin & Cregan  
of the 16<sup>th</sup> District. That they had committed said burglary  
Anseldo Pellegrino

Sworn before me this  
10th day of Dec. 1887  
J. H.

0725

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY }  
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

*James Hunter* being duly examined before the under-  
signed according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is h right to  
make a statement in relation to the charge against h ; that the statement is designed to  
enable h if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h  
that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiver cannot be used  
against h on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *James Hunter*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *11 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *N.Y.*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *446 West 17<sup>th</sup> St 2 months*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *None*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the  
testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your  
exculpation?

Answer. *I am guilty*

*James Hunter*

Taken before me this

day of

*James Hunter*

Police Justice.

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY }  
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Jeremiah O. Keefe being duly examined before the under-  
signed according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is h right to  
make a statement in relation to the charge against h; that the statement is designed to  
enable h if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h  
that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiver cannot be used  
against h on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the  
testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your  
exculpation?

Answer.

I did not burst the  
door in, I took the shoes  
Jer. O. Keefe

Taken before me this  
day of

Sept 18 1897  
Charles A. [Signature]

Police Justice.

0727

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.  
OF NEW YORK, }

Dennis Ahearn being duly examined before the under-  
signed according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to  
make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to  
enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him  
that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used  
against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Dennis Ahearn

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

15 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

N.Y.

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

575 West 44 St - 6 months

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

None

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the  
testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your  
exculpation?

Answer.

I have nothing to say

Dennis Ahearn

Taken before me this

day of

March 1937

Police Justice.



0728

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Adams

Samuel Adams, Journal O'Keefe  
guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of ten Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated Dec 10 1895 Thos. R. Keefe Police Justice.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named James Hunter

ten Hundred Dollars, and it appearing that he is under the age of sixteen years, that he be committed to the custody of the New York Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children, until he give such bail.

Dated, Dec 10 1895 Thos. R. Keefe Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named \_\_\_\_\_  
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, \_\_\_\_\_ 189 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named \_\_\_\_\_  
guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.

Dated, \_\_\_\_\_ 189 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.

1312

Police Court--- 2 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Anselma Pellegrino  
246 10th Ave.  
Denis Alcam  
James Hunter 12.  
Jeremiah O Keefe

Offence  
Bribery

BAILED,

No. 1, by \_\_\_\_\_

Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

No. 2, by \_\_\_\_\_

Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

No. 3, by \_\_\_\_\_

Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

No. 4, by \_\_\_\_\_

Residence \_\_\_\_\_ Street.

Dated Dec 10 1893

Koch Magistrate.

Cryan Officer.

16 Precinct.

Witnesses. Officer Mulligan

No. 2 7th Street.

Off. Rattigan


No. 11 16th Street.

Morris Cohen

No. 283 10th Ave. Street.

\$ 1000 to answer

Alu





**Court of General Sessions of the Peace**

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

*against*  
*Dennis Ahearn, James*  
*Hunter and Jeremiah O'Keefe*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment accuse

*Dennis Ahearn, James*  
*Hunter and Jeremiah O'Keefe*

of the CRIME OF BURGLARY IN THE THIRD DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said

*Dennis Ahearn, James*  
*Hunter and Jeremiah O'Keefe, all*

late of the Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the  
*eighth* day of *December*, in the year of our Lord one  
 thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three*, in the *night* time of the same day, at the  
 Ward, City and County aforesaid, a certain building there situate, to wit, the *store* of  
 one *Anselmo Pellegrino*

there situate, feloniously and burglariously did break into and enter, with intent to commit some  
 crime therein, to wit: with intent the goods, chattels and personal property of the said

*Anselmo Pellegrino* in the said *store*  
 then and there being, then and there feloniously and burglariously to steal, take and carry away,  
 against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the  
 People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT.—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

*Denis Ahearn, James Hunter and Jeremiah O'Keeffe*  
of the CRIME OF *Grand LARCENY* in the second degree committed as follows:

The said

*Denis Ahearn, James Hunter and Jeremiah O'Keeffe, all*

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, in the *right-time* of said day, with force and arms,

*forty shoes of the value of two dollars each*

*[Large flourish]*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

*Anselmo Pellegrino*

in the

*store*

of the said

*Anselmo Pellegrino*

there situate, then and there being found, in the *store* aforesaid, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

*De Launcey Nicoll,  
District Attorney*

0732

**BOX:**

541

**FOLDER:**

4931

**DESCRIPTION:**

Alberichy, John

**DATE:**

12/19/93



4931

0733

**BOX:**

541

**FOLDER:**

4931

**DESCRIPTION:**

Carara, Giacomo

**DATE:**

12/19/93



4931

0734

Witnesses:

Nicolas Libenow

Pay to  
Nicolas Libenow  
#5. J.B.

Counsel,

Filed

Pleads

191 ~~XX~~ Kane

day of

1893

THE PEOPLE

vs.

John Alberichy  
and  
Giacomo Carara

Robbery, Degree.  
(Sections 234 and 235 of Penal Code.)

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

H.D.

A TRUE BILL.

B. Lockwood  
Aug 4/94

Foreman.

Not  
Gried & Acquitted  
Jan 17/95. 27. 1893. J.B.

Police Court / District.

CITY AND COUNTY }  
OF NEW YORK, } ss

Nicholas Litvenow  
of No. Schomer "Egyptian Prince - New Brighton" Street, Aged 33 Years  
Occupation Sailor

being duly sworn, deposes and says, that on the  
10 day of December 1893, at the 6 Ward of the City of New York,  
in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away from the person of de-  
ponent by force and violence, without his consent and against his will, the following property, viz:

About seven dollars gold and lawful  
money of the United States

of the value of About seven dollars DOLLARS,  
the property of Defendant

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was  
feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, by force and violence as aforesaid by

John Alberichy and Jacob Casara (both  
now here) who were acting in concert with  
each other - and three other persons not yet  
arrested - for the reasons following to wit:  
That on said date defendant was in Baxter Street  
and had the said money in the right hand  
pocket of his trousers then on his person.  
Defendant was surrounded by the two defendants (now  
here) and the three other persons not arrested. While  
some of the said persons held defendant the others  
took said money by force and violence from said  
pocket. Defendant positively identified the two  
defendants now here as some of the persons who

day of

Sworn to before me this

188

Police Justice.



robbed him and therefore charged them  
with robbery

Nicholas Litvenov

Sworn to before me this }  
10<sup>th</sup> day of December 1898 }

*[Signature]*

Police Justice

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named  
guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of  
Hundred Dollars  
of the City of New York, until he give such bail.  
Dated 188  
I have admitted the above named  
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.  
Dated 188  
There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named  
guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.  
Dated 188  
Police Justice.

Police Court, District,

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
on the complaint of

Offence—ROBBERY.

1  
2  
3  
4

Dated 188

Magistrate.

Officer.

Clerk.

Witnesses,

No.

Street,

No.

Street,

No.

Street,

\$ to answer General Sessions.

0737

Sec. 198-200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY }  
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Jacob Carrara being duly examined before the under-  
signed according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is h's right to  
make a statement in relation to the charge against h; that the statement is designed to  
enable h if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h  
that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiver cannot be used  
against h on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Jacob Carrara

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 28 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 63 Baxter Street 7 months

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Brush Maker

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the  
testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your  
exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty.  
Jacob Giacomina Carrara

Taken before me this

16

day of

Dec

189

3

John J. McNeill  
Police Justice

0736

Sec. 198—200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK ss.

*John Alberichy* being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. *John Alberichy*

Question. How old are you?

Answer. *19 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. *New York City*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. *15 Mott Street. 19 years*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. *Tin Cutter*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. *I am not guilty.  
John Alberichy*

Taken before me this

*10*

1893

*John Alberichy*  
Police Justice

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named.....

*Defendants*  
 guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *Ten* Hundred Dollars, *Cash* and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated *Dec 12* 189 *3* *Comstock* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named.....  
 to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated..... 189 ..... Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named.....  
 guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order h to be discharged.

Dated..... 189 ..... Police Justice

0740

75  
Police Court---1333  
District.THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OFNicholas Litvinov  
Scholarship Expedition Prince  
John Albernachy  
Jacob Canard

Offence

BAILED,

No. 1, by

Residence Street

No. 2, by

Residence Street

No. 3, by

Residence Street

No. 4, by

Residence Street

Dated

Dec 10

1893

Magistrate.

Officer.

Precinct.

Witnesses

No.

Street.

No.

Street.

No.

Street.


\$

1000

to answer

Complaint House of Detention

1000 Ex Dec 12. 2 PM





0741

AFFIDAVIT FOR COMMITMENT OF WITNESS.

4771

POLICE COURT / DISTRICT.

CITY AND COUNTY }  
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Sworn to before me, this  
day of

16  
Dec 1893

Justice

John J. Maloney  
of the 6 Precinct Police, being duly sworn, deposes  
and says that Nicholas Litvenow  
(now here) is a material witness for the people against  
John Alberrichy and Jacob Carrara charged  
with Robbery. As deponent has  
cause to fear that the said Nicholas Litvenow  
will not appear in court to testify when wanted, deponent prays  
that the said Nicholas Litvenow be  
committed to the House of Detention in default of bail for his  
appearance.

John J. Maloney

**Court of General Sessions of the Peace**  
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against  
*John Alberichy*  
and  
*Giacomo Carara*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

*John Alberichy and Giacomo Carara*

of the CRIME OF ROBBERY in the *first* degree, committed as follows:

The said

*John Alberichy and Giacomo Carara, both*  
late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *tenth*  
day of *December*, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and  
ninety-*three*, in the ~~time of the said day~~, at the City and County aforesaid,  
with force and arms, in and upon one *Nicholas Litvenow*  
in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously did make an assault; and

*the sum of seven dollars in*  
*money, lawful money of the*  
*United States of America, and*  
*of the value of seven dollars*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of the said *Nicholas Litvenow*  
from the person of the said *Nicholas Litvenow* against the will  
and by violence to the person of the said *Nicholas Litvenow*  
then and there violently and feloniously did rob, steal, take and carry away,

*the said John Alberichy and Giacomo Carara*  
and each of them being then and there aided  
by an accomplice actually present, to-wit:  
Each by the other, and by a certain other  
persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown,  
against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the  
People of the State of New York and their dignity.

*Dehaucy Nicoll*  
District Attorney

0743

**BOX:**

541

**FOLDER:**

4931

**DESCRIPTION:**

Alces, Henry G

**DATE:**

12/22/93



4931

0744

Witnesses:

Adaper Schmoelberg

In this case I am  
convinced that  
a separation can  
not be obtained  
if the money were  
based on a promise  
of future payment,  
and not on the de-  
mand upon an existing  
debt by the defendant.  
If a separation is obtained  
by an examination of the  
evidence herein, upon  
my representation and  
upon the witness with  
doubt. It is my opinion  
that the wife of  
the defendant upon  
the above facts and circumstances  
is entitled to a separation.  
The defendant's conduct  
is such as to require  
separation.

Wm. H. R. K.

Sept 16/95

Counsel,

Filed,

day of

189

## Pleads:

# THE PEOPLE

*F. C. S.*

Henry G. Alden

Part 2 - Oct. 16, 1895.

Left. discharged on his  
verbal recognition and  
motion of De Lancey Nicoll.

Part 3 dpl.3

*District Attorney.*

~~As for the money to fly to~~

## A TRUE BILL.

B. Lockwood

*8<sup>th</sup> March '95 at 8<sup>th</sup> Regt's Reserve,  
Part II.*

0745

Sec. 198-200

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY  
OF NEW YORK.

*Henry G. Alas*

being duly examined before the under-  
signed according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to  
make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to  
enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him  
that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used  
against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

*Henry G. Alas*

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

*30 years*

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

*N.Y.*

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

*West Holoken N.Y.*

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

*Salvage*

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the  
testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your  
exculpation?

Answer.

*I am not guilty*  
*Henry G. Alas*

Taken before me this  
day of

*May 18 1930*

Police Justice



It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ Defendant  
guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of \$100 Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, Dec 1 1893 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named \_\_\_\_\_ Defendant  
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, Dec 1 1893 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order he to be discharged.

Dated, \_\_\_\_\_ 189 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.

Rebailed Oct. 11 "95.

BAILED,

No. 1, by

Residence

No. 2, by

Residence

No. 3, by

Residence

No. 4, by

Residence

Received the check  
attached to the within  
complaint as exhibit  
by order of magistrate  
Oct 22 "1905

J. D. [Signature]  
Dep. Attorney

Police Court

District

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Adolph Schwaiblmayr  
vs.  
Mary G. Allen

2

3

4

Dated,

October 28 1893

Magistrate.

Conoran

Officer.

Court

Precinct.

Witnesses

No.

No.

No.

to answer

1000

300

1000

0748

CITY AND COUNTY }  
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

John P. McAnaney  
aged 24 years, occupation Banking Business of No.  
184 Broadway Street, being duly sworn, deposes and

says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Adolph Schmalling  
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own  
knowledge.

Sworn to before me this, 25<sup>th</sup>  
day of October 1893

John P. McAnaney

James Martin  
Police Justice.

0749

Sec. 192.

District Police Court.

Undertaking to appear during the Examination.

CITY AND COUNTY }  
OF NEW YORK, } ss.

An information having been laid before Samuel Martin Police Justice  
of the City of New York, charging Henry G. Alcus Defendant  
with the offence of Grand Larceny

and he having been brought before said Justice for an examination of said charge, and it having been made to appear to the satisfaction of said Justice that said examination should be adjourned to some other day, and the hearing thereof having been adjourned,

WE, Henry G. Alcus Defendant of No. 702 East 400  
Street, by occupation a Defendant; and  
Henry Wilkins Jr of No. 97 Centre Street,  
by occupation a Real Estate Dealer Surety, hereby jointly and severally undertake  
that the above-named Henry G. Alcus Defendant  
shall personally appear before the said Justice, at the 1st District Police Court in the City  
of New York during the said examination, or that we will pay to the People of State of New York the  
sum of Three Hundred Dollars.

Taken and acknowledged before me this

day of

1893

1908

Police Justice.

City and County of New York, ss.

*James W. [Signature]*  
Police Justice.

District Police Court

THE PEOPLE, & C.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.

Undertaking to Answer

Taken the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ 189

Justice.

the within named Bail and Surety, being duly sworn, says, that he is a resident and  
holder within the said County and State, and is worth Three Hundred Dollars,  
exclusive of property exempt from execution, and over and above the amount of all his debts and liabilities, and  
that his property consists of one lot of business store, stock and  
fixtures at 77 Centre St. N.Y. City and  
that the same is worth \$10,000 free and  
clear of incumbrances

*Henry Wilkens Jr*  
*Sense*

*Henry Wilkens Jr*



Sec. 151.

Police Court 1 District.

CITY AND COUNTY } ss. *In the name of the People of the State of New York; To the Sheriff of the County*  
OF NEW YORK, } *of New York, or any Marshal or Policeman of the City of New York:*

Whereas, Complaint in writing, and upon oath, has been made before the undersigned, one of the Police  
Justices for the City of New York, by Joseph Schuchman  
of No. 4 Barclay Street, that on the 2 day of October  
1888 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, the following article to wit:

Money  
of the value of Three Hundred Dollars,  
the property of James Garman  
was taken, stolen and carried away, and as the said complainant has cause to suspect, and does suspect and  
believe, by Henry H. Marx

Wherefore, the said Complainant has prayed that the said Defendant may be apprehended and bound to  
answer the said complaint.

These are Therefore, in the name of the PEOPLE of the State of New York, to command you the said  
Sheriff, Marshals and Policemen, and every of you, to apprehend the body of the said Defendant  
and forthwith bring him before me, at the 1 DISTRICT POLICE COURT, in the said City, or in  
case of my absence or inability to act, before the nearest or most accessible Police Justice in this City, to answer the  
said charge, and to be dealt with according to law.

Dated at the City of New York, this 2 day of October, 1888

James H. Marx POLICE JUSTICE.

0752

Police Court \_\_\_\_\_ District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.

Warrant-Larceny.

Dated

Oct 25- 188

Martin Magistrate

Com. r. m. Officer.

The Defendant Henry G. Alces  
taken, and brought before the Magistrate, to answer  
the within charge, pursuant to the command con-  
tained in this Warrant.

John G. Murray Officer.

Dated

Nov 28 188

This Warrant may be executed on Sunday or at  
night.

Police Justice

S. Burling ship

Dated

188

having been brought before me under this Warrant, is committed for examination to the  
WARDEN and KEEPER of the City Prison of the City of New York.

30

Mr

Mr

Harrell

Mr

Yes

202 6/00, 54

The within named

Police Justice

0753

*Fellowes & Wright.*

*Counselors at Law.*

*Hanover Bank Building.*

*11 Pine Street.*

D. ETT.

New York Oct. 22nd, 1895.

Henry W. Unger, Esq.,

Clerk District Attorney's Office.

Dear Sir:

Would you be kind enough to hand bearer the check of  
Henry G. Alces given to you to be used as evidence in the prose-  
cution of said Alces and oblige,

Yours etc.,

FELLOWS & WRIGHT.

per *JH*

*It is consented that above  
check be delivered to bearer  
upon his giving receipt  
therefor. My Carriage*

*John N. Fellows*

*per *W. H. W.**

**New York General Sessions.**

PEOPLE ON MY COMPLAINT,  
*Adolfa Schmuller*  
 vs  
*Henry G. Alce*

As complainant in the above case, I beg to recommend  
 the defendant to such leniency and clemency as the Court and  
 District Attorney may see fit to show; but I expressly assert  
 that my reasons for so doing are not controlled by any advantage  
 to myself. That the defendant  
 having made full restitution  
*Adolfa Schmuller*

*d*

0755

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

AGAINST

Henry G. Alces

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Henry G. Alces

of the CRIME OF Grand LARCENY in the second degree committed as follows:

The said Henry G. Alces

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the day of October in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-three, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, with intent to deprive and defraud one Adolph Schmalberg

of the proper moneys, goods, chattels and personal property hereinafter mentioned, and of the use and benefit thereof, and to appropriate the same to his own use, did then and there feloniously, fraudulently and falsely pretend and represent to the said

Adolph

That a certain paper writing in the words and figures following, to wit:

"New York Oct 2nd 1893  
The Seventh National Bank  
237 Broadway  
Pay to the order of Adolph Schmalberg  
Three Hundred <sup>00</sup>/<sub>100</sub> — Dollars  
\$300 <sup>00</sup>/<sub>100</sub> Henry G. Alces"

which he the said Henry then



0752

hundred dollars, but was  
then and there wholly worthless?

And Whereas, in truth and in fact, the pretenses and representations so made as afore-  
said by the said *Henry*  
to the said *Adolph* was and were  
then and there in all respects utterly false and untrue, as *the* the said  
*Henry*  
at the time of making the same then and there well knew;

And so the Grand Jury Aforesaid, do say that the said  
*Henry*  
in the manner and form aforesaid and by the means aforesaid, the said proper moneys, goods,  
chattels and personal property of the said *Adolph*  
then and there feloniously did STEAL, against the form of the statute in such case made and pro-  
vided, and against the peace and dignity of the said people.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,  
District Attorney.

0757

**BOX:**

541

**FOLDER:**

4931

**DESCRIPTION:**

Alges, Sem

**DATE:**

12/07/93



4931

Bail fixed by consent  
of court at \$500

Witnesses:

Morris Mars

Fanny Spillman

Bailed Dec 20/93

by Samuel Cohen  
10 Elizabeth

I am satisfied from  
an examination made  
in this case and  
from the amended  
statement of the  
complainant that  
no connection should  
be had. I would therefore  
recommend the discharge  
of the Defendant upon  
his own recognizance.  
Dec 14<sup>th</sup> 1893 Robert Thomas  
District Judge

Row Dec 28 1893  
Court of Oyer and Terminer  
1366

Counsel,

Filed

day of Dec

1893

Reads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

B

Sam Alges

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

True Bill.

Ordered to the Court  
General Sessions

R. J. Cross Foreman.

Part 3 March 14/94

Bail discharged

Assault, 2nd degree

[Section 218 Penal Code.]

DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE,  
CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

City and County } ss. Morris Marks  
of New York, }  
of No. 77 Norfolk Street, aged 38th years,  
occupation Clerk being duly sworn, deposes and says,  
as follows:

On the 5th day of November, 1893  
at the City of New York in the County of  
New York, deponent was violently  
and feloniously assaulted and beaten  
by one Sam Alges, who knocked  
deponent down to the ground, and while  
he was prostrate on the ground  
he was lying down, kicked him on the  
face and head, causing injuries from  
the effects of which deponent was confined  
at the hospital for one week and at his  
home for three weeks succeeding.

On the 21st day of November, deponent  
went to the Essex Market Police Court  
and requested that a warrant be issued for  
the arrest of the said Sam Alges, but  
the justice presiding there refused to issue a  
warrant upon the ground that complaint  
should have been made at the time of  
the commission of the assault.

Sworn to before me this }  
6th day of Dec. 1893 }

Morris Marks

Justiciary

Court records

City & County

0760

225

122 - Sent to Special Sec

34/71

DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.  
CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE, ETC.

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

*The District Attorney*

*vs.*  
*Sam Algeo*

*Assault 2nd degree*

Dated, *Dec 10* 189 *3*

Witnesses, *max man*

No. *44 Norfolk* Street.

*Nathan Cohen*

No. *134 Beekman* Street,

*Fanny Pullman*

No. *97 1/2 Baxter* Street,

*Max Meyer*

*1626 Mad Ave*



## New York General Sessions.

PEOPLE ON MY COMPLAINT,  
VERSUS

Samuel H. Case

As complainant in the above case, I beg to recommend the defendant to such leniency and clemency as the Court and District Attorney may see fit to show; but I expressly assert that my reasons for so doing are not controlled by any advantage to myself.

The defendant struck me on the 5<sup>th</sup> of November, 1893, in an altercation that he had with me. I have known this defendant for over three years and have never had any trouble with him before. Since this last altercation of November 5<sup>th</sup> 1893 I have examined carefully all the circumstances surrounding the occurrence and I am satisfied that the blow he struck me was not done evilfully or maliciously, but was struck by him, in the belief that he was doing it in self defence while in fear that I would inflict <sup>physical</sup> punishment on him. Sworn to before me this } *James M. Ward*  
2<sup>nd</sup> day of March 1894 }  
Henry J. Cready  
Corn. Ct. Sec. of N. Y.

0762

*PT*  
People on complaint  
of  
Morris Marx  
against  
Samuel H. Gare

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE  
CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK

.....  
THE PEOPLE ETC. :

VS. :

SEM ALGES  
.....

Sir:-

Please take notice that on the annexed affidavit of Sem Alges, I will move this Court at a Trial Term thereof held in Part One at the Court House, Chambers Street, in the City of New York, on the <sup>at opening of Court</sup> 20<sup>th</sup> day of December, 1893, for an order reducing the bail under which the defendant in the above case is held for trial, to wit:

One thousand (\$1000) dollars, to the sum of Five hundred (\$500) dollars.

Dated, New York, December 19th, 1893.

Yours etc.,

Thomas F. Cherry,  
Counsel for Defendant,  
86 Centre St., N.Y. City.

To

De Lancey Nicoll, Esq.,

District Attorney.

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE  
CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

.....  
THE PEOPLE ETC :

VS. :

SEM ALGES :

.....  
City and County of New York SS:

Sem Alges being duly sworn says, that on the 7th day of ~~November~~ <sup>December</sup>, 1893, he was indicted by the Grand Jury of this County, on a charge of assault in the second degree, preferred by one, Morris Mars, and was arrested on the 8th day of December, 1893, and on that day committed for trial, and bail was fixed at the sum of One thousand (\$1000) dollars.

That the facts in the case are as follows: On Sunday, November 5th, 1893, as deponent was standing outside of the store of his employers, Messrs Jacob Silverstein & Co., at No. 97 Baxter Street, he was approached by the complainant Morris Mars, who works next door at No. 97 1/2 Baxter street. Said Morris Mars called deponent a thief and advanced to strike him. Deponent struck Mars a blow in the face in self-defense. Mars thereupon picked up an iron bolt used to secure store shutters, and threw it at deponent, but missed him. Mars then took up a clothes pole and struck deponent in the back of the head knocking him down. Mars then ran into his employers store and deponent got up and stood at his door. After that nothing more occurred between Mars and deponent. Deponent positively swears that said Mars worked all that day and the following Monday, and was apparently uninjured. De-

2      ponent swears that he struck but one blow, and did not do it with any felonious intent; but simply in self-defense, as he was alarmed at the attitude of said Mars, and feared that he (said deponent) would incur grievous bodily harm.

Deponent therefore asks, in view of the facts, that the bail in his case be reduced from the sum of One thousand (\$1000) dollars, to the sum of Five hundred (\$500) dollars, as deponent will be able to furnish the latter amount.

Deponent has witness, to wit: Charles Rosenberg, Max Meyers and Abraham Goldberg, all of No. 96 Baxter street, to corroborate his statements.

Sworn to before me this

: *Sam Alge*

day of December, 1893.

:

*S. Feuchtwanger*  
*Comm'r of Deeds*  
*N.Y. City*



0766

New York General Sessions Court

The People etc.,

AGAINST

Plaintiff

Sam Alges

Defendant

Copy

Affidavit and Notice of Motion

Thomas F. Cherry,

Defendant's

Attorney

86 Centre Street, N. Y. City

Due and timely notice of copy within

is hereby admitted

Dated N. Y. 189

Any

To Esq

Any

Given to before me this  
day of 189

Dependent further says that he knew the persons so served to be

# Court of Oyer and Terminer

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

*Sam Adams*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this

Indictment accuse

*Sam Adams* —

of the crime of

*Assault in the second degree*

committed as follows:

The said

*Sam Adams*, —

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York, aforesaid, on the

day of

*27th* *November*, in the year of our Lord one thousand

eight hundred and eighty

, at the City and County aforesaid.

*in and upon one Maria Mann,  
then and there being, I demand  
did willfully and unlawfully  
commit an assault, and to the  
said Maria Mann, force unto and  
upon the ground there, with  
great force and violence, then and  
there I demand did willfully  
and unlawfully cast and throw,  
and then and there, and strike the  
said Maria Mann over the head and  
being upon the ground there,*

Then the said Morris was, in and  
 upon the head of him the said  
 Morris was, with the feet of him  
 the said Sam Adams, feloniously  
 did unlawfully and wrongfully  
 strike, strike, bruise and wound,  
 and threaten them and the said Adams  
 did unlawfully and wrongfully  
 inflict grievous bodily harm  
 upon the said Morris was;  
 against the form of the Statute  
 in such case made and provided,  
 and against the peace of the People  
 of the State of New York, and  
 their dignity

De Lancey Mill,

District Attorney

0769

**BOX:**

541

**FOLDER:**

4931

**DESCRIPTION:**

Allen, Frank

**DATE:**

12/22/93



4931



0770

Witnesses:

Henry Hildembrand

Michael F Blake

Patrick J Scully

Edward Fenton

John J. Fallon

Elijah T Simpson

Jacob Lahay

Counsel,

Filed

Pleads,

*all parties*

*22* day of *Dec* 189*3*

*Not Guilty (28)*

THE PEOPLE

*11*

*P*  
Frank Allen

*Indicted to sustain*  
*fifteen days*  
*Dec 9, 1894*  
DE LANCEY NICOLL,  
District Attorney.

FALSE REGISTRATION.  
(Section 41a, Penal Code.)

A TRUE BILL.

R. J. Ciss Foreman.

Feb. 26, 1894

Pleads Guilty



0771

*Open and Terminus*  
COURT OF ~~GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE~~ OF THE CITY AND COUNTY  
OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

*against*

*Frank Allen*

THE GRAND JURY OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, by this  
indictment, accuse

of a FELONY, committed as follows:

Heretofore, to wit: on the *19th* day of October, in the year  
of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-~~three~~, the same being a day duly ap-  
pointed by law as a day for the general registration of the qualified voters of the said City and  
County, the said *Frank Allen*, late of the City and County afore-  
said, at the City and County aforesaid, did personally appear before the Inspectors of Elec-  
tion of the ~~39th~~ *39th* Election District of the *Second*  
Assembly District of the said City and County, at a meeting of the said Inspectors of Election  
then being duly held at the duly designated polling place of the said Election District, for the  
purpose of the general registration of the male residents of the said Election District who would  
be at the election next following the said day of registration (to wit: on the *nineteenth*  
day of November, in the year aforesaid, being the Tuesday succeeding the first Monday in the  
said month of November, and being the day duly appointed by law for the holding of a general  
election throughout the said State and in the City and County aforesaid), entitled to vote therein,  
and did then and there, at the said general registration of voters, feloniously cause his name to  
be placed upon the list and register of voters of and in the said Election District, then being  
made by the said Inspectors of Election for the said election, he the said *Frank Allen*  
then and there well knowing that he would not be a qualified voter in the said Election District  
at the said election in this, to wit: that the said *Frank Allen* was not then  
nor would he on the said day of election have been, an inhabitant of the said State one year  
next preceding such election, and the last four months a resident of the said County of New  
York, and for the last thirty days a resident of the said Election District, as he the said  
*Frank Allen* then and there well knew; against the form of the statute in such  
case made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the said People.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

*District Attorney.*

0772

**BOX:**

541

**FOLDER:**

4931

**DESCRIPTION:**

Allen, Harry

**DATE:**

12/22/93



4931

0773

Witnesses:

officer Benjamin Woods  
6<sup>th</sup> Precinct

Subpoena officer's  
+ compl. FN  
28

292

J. O'Keefe

Counsel,

Filed

day of

1893

Pleads,

~~Not Guilty~~ 26

26  
cook  
THE PEOPLE

vs.

Harry Allen

Part 2 - Dec 26, 1893

Pleads Petit Larceny

Grand Larceny, Second Degree  
From the Person,  
[Sections 598, m/136, Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

B. Lockwood

1 Mr. Pen  
Dec 28/93.

Foreman.

28

Police Court

District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County } ss.:  
of New York,of No. 33 West 12th St. Bklyn Street, aged 38 years,  
occupation House Keeper being duly sworn,deposes and says, that on the 20 day of December 1893 at the City of New  
York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the pos-  
session of the deponent, in the day time, the following property, viz:One pocket book, containing six dollars  
and half good and lawful money of the  
United States

the property of

deponent

and that this deponent

has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken,  
stolen and carried away by Harry Allen (now here) for thereason that on said date deponent was in  
Park Row and had the said property in her  
hand. She felt a tug at her hand, missed  
said property and defendant ran away from her.  
Deponent is informed by Officer Behr and  
Hevins of the 6th Precinct that he arrested the  
defendant and found in his possession  
a pocket book. Deponent has seen the  
pocket book found in the possession of  
defendant identifies it as her property and  
therefore charges the defendant with larceny  
from the person.Ellen YoungSworn to before me, this 20 day1893  
Police Justice.

0775

CITY AND COUNTY }  
OF NEW YORK, } ss:

Bernard Heine  
aged 27 years, occupation Police Officer of No.

62 Grand Street, being duly sworn, deposes and  
says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Ellen Young  
and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own  
knowledge.

Sworn to before me this, 20 } Bernard Heine  
day of Dec 1892 }

Bernard Heine  
Police Justice.



0776

Sec. 198—200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY } ss.  
OF NEW YORK,

*Harry Allen* being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him, that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him, that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer.

Question. How old are you?

Answer.

Question. Where were you born?

Answer.

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer.

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer.

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer.

*I am not guilty*  
*Harry Allen.*

*Subscribed before me this 20th day of Dec 1895*  
*Thomas M. Mather*

Police Justice.

0777

It, appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ *Redmond*  
guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of *500* Hundred Dollars, \_\_\_\_\_ and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated *Dec 20* 189 *3* \_\_\_\_\_ *James H. [Signature]* Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named \_\_\_\_\_  
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated \_\_\_\_\_ 189 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order he to be discharged.

Dated \_\_\_\_\_ 189 \_\_\_\_\_ Police Justice

0778

61 Police Court— 1362 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,  
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Ellen Young  
33 Woodford Street  
1 Harry Allen  
2  
3  
4

Offence Larceny from the person

Dated Dec 20, 1893

Martin Magistrate.

Kevin Officer.

6 Precinct.

Witnesses Bernard Kevin


No. 6th Precinct Street.

No. Street.

No. Street.

to answer

Committed



BAILED.

No. 1, by

Residence Street

No. 2, by

Residence Street

No. 3, by

Residence Street

No. 4, by

Residence Street

**Court of General Sessions of the Peace**  
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

*Harry Allen*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

*Harry Allen*  
of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY in the *second* degree, committed as follows:  
The said *Harry Allen*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the *twentieth* day of *December* in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred and ninety-*three*, in the *day* - time of the said day, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

*the sum of six dollars and fifty cents in money, lawful money of the United States of America, and of the value of six dollars and fifty cents <sup>to one</sup> pocketbook of the value of one dollar*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one *Ellen Young*  
on the person of the said *Ellen Young*

then and there being found, from the person of the said *Ellen Young*  
then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.  
*Ellen Young*

## SECOND COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said

*Harry Allen*  
of the CRIME OF RECEIVING STOLEN GOODS, committed as follows:

The said

*Harry Allen*  
late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

*the sum of six dollars and fifty cents in money, lawful money of the United States of America, and of the value of six dollars and fifty cents and one pocket-book of the value of one dollar*

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one

*Ellen Young*  
by a certain person or persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, then lately before feloniously stolen, taken and carried away from the said

*Ellen Young*  
unlawfully and unjustly did feloniously receive and have; the said

*Harry Allen*  
then and there well knowing the said goods, chattels and personal property to have been feloniously stolen, taken and carried away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

*District Attorney.*



0781

**BOX:**

541

**FOLDER:**

4931

**DESCRIPTION:**

Amos, Kate

**DATE:**

12/29/93



4931

Counsel Assigned.....  
Sex... *Female* .....  
Age... *186* .....  
Nativity... *Polish* .....  
Residence... *Chicago, Ill.* .....  
Occupation... *Housekeeper* .....  
Married... *Yes* .....  
Education... *Reads Write* .....  
Religious Instruction... *Polish* .....  
Parents Living... *Neither* .....  
Temperate... *Yes* .....  
Before Convicted... *No* .....

PERMITTING A BUILDING TO BE USED FOR  
UNLAWFUL PURPOSES  
[Section 322, Penal Code]

THE PEOPLE

*P*

*James Omes*

*1893*

DE LANCEY NICOLL

*District Attorney*

*City of Chicago*

*James Omes*

*James Omes*

*James Omes*

*James Omes*

*James Omes*

*James Omes*

*James Omes*

*James Omes*

*James Omes*

*Filed Jan 9/90*

*by*

*Martin Engel*

*173 Ludlow St*

1690

*Order and Terminals in and for the*  
COURT OF ~~GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE OF THE~~ CITY AND COUNTY  
OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

*Walter Amos*

THE GRAND JURY OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, by this

indictment, accuse *Walter Amos* —

of the crime of permitting a building to be used for unlawful purposes,—  
committed as follows:

The said *Walter Amos*, —

late of the ~~22nd~~ Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid,

on the *first* day of *October*, in the year of our Lord one thousand

eight hundred and ninety-*three*, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid,

being the *owner*, of a certain building there situate, known as number

*one hundred and fifty-six West 53rd Street*, —

unlawfully did knowingly permit the said building to be used *by one*

*Digby Freeman*.



for the purposes of and as a house of ill fame and assignation, and place and house for persons to visit for unlawful sexual intercourse, and for lewd, obscene and indecent purposes, and for the purposes of and as a disorderly house, and place of public resort by which the peace, comfort and decency of the neighborhood around and about the said building were habitually disturbed; against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

*District Attorney.*

0785

**BOX:**

541

**FOLDER:**

4931

**DESCRIPTION:**

Arnold, William G.

**DATE:**

12/08/93



4931



0786

Witnesses:

204 ~~245~~  
COURT OF OYER AND TERMINER.

Counsel,

Filed, *P* day of *Dec* 189*3*

Pleads,

*Transferred* THE PEOPLE Court of Special Sessions for trial and final disposition.

*Dec 19 1893*

*William S. Arnold*

VIOLATION OF THE EXCISE LAW,  
selling, etc., on Sunday.  
[Chap. 401, Laws of 1892, § 32.]

*General Sessions*

*Dec 11 th 93.*

DE LANCEY NICOLI,  
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

*R. S. Cross* Foreman.

0787

# Court of Oyer and Terminer

632

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
against

*William B. Arnold*

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse  
*William B. Arnold*  
of the CRIME OF SELLING STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS, WINES, ALE AND  
BEER ON SUNDAY, committed as follows:

The said

*William B. Arnold*

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the — *fifth* —  
day of *November* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and  
ninety-*three*, at the City and County aforesaid, the same being Sunday, certain strong  
and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, to wit: One gill of wine, one gill of brandy, one gill  
of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whiskey, one gill of cordial, one gill of bitters, one gill of ale,  
one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of a certain strong and spiritu-  
ous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did sell, to one

and to certain other persons whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, against  
the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of  
New York and their dignity.

## SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said

*William B. Arnold*

of the CRIME OF OFFERING AND EXPOSING FOR SALE ON SUNDAY STRONG AND SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS,  
WINES, ALE AND BEER, committed as follows:

The said

*William B. Arnold*

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, the  
same being Sunday, certain strong and spirituous liquors, wines, ale and beer, to wit: One gill of  
wine, one gill of brandy, one gill of rum, one gill of gin, one gill of whiskey, one gill of cordial, one  
gill of bitters, one gill of ale, one gill of porter, one gill of beer, one gill of lager beer, and one gill of  
a certain strong and spirituous liquor to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, unlawfully did offer and  
expose for sale to one

*George Smith*  
and to certain other persons whose names are to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, against the  
form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State  
of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

0788

**END OF  
BOX**