0939

BOX:
528

FOLDER:
4817

DESCRIPTION:
Talt, Christopher

DATE:
07/06/93

4817

Witnesses:

Ann Tolt

Hugh Quinn Officer

I am convinced that the acceptance of a plea of assault in the 3rd Degree would not serve the People's interests in this case. The complainant was to the mind of the defendant. The shot passed several feet above her head. And I cannot find that the defendant had the intent to injure the complainant

Dec. 12th 93

Robert Emmet
and others

Counsel,

Filed 6 day of July 189 3

Pleads, Not guilty

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Christopher Tolt.

Off. Left of Term

Assault in the First Degree, Etc.
(*Firearms.*)
(Sections 217 and 218, Penal Code.)

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

Send Bond

A TRUE BILL. — Dec 8/93

Laurence McKeever

Foreman.

Part I

14

Dec. 12th 93

Dec 12/93

Plea I. Guilty

Plea to Assault 3 deg

Dec 14/93

Pen one year

0660

Police Court— 4 District. 1931

City and County of New York, } ss.:

Am Talt

of No. 322 East 24th Street, aged 43 years,

occupation House Keeper being duly sworn,

deposes and says, that on the 26 day of June 1893 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, in East 24th

he was violently and feloniously ASSAULTED ~~and BEATEN~~ by Christopher Talt who pointed, aimed and discharged ~~one shot from a revo~~ at deponent one shot from a revolving pistol loaded with powder and ball

with the felonious intent to take the life of deponent, or to do him grievous bodily harm; and without any justification on the part of the said assailant.

Wherefore this deponent prays that the said assailant may be apprehended and bound to answer for the above assault, etc., and be dealt with according to law.

Sworn to before me this 27 day of June 1893

Am + Talt
his mark

W Mulhearn Police Justice.

Sec. 198—200.

4 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK } ss:

Christopher Talt being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he sees fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Christopher Talt

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 41 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer Ireland

Question. Where do you live and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 323 East 24th Street. 11 years.

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Laborer

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer. I am not guilty.

Christopher his + mark Talt

Taken before me this 27 *day of* May 189 3

[illegible] *Police Justice.*

0943

Police Court 4 **District.** 695

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

1. Wm. Tilt 323 8 St
2. John Tilt
3.
4.

Offense: Assault Felonious

Dated, June 27 1893

Magistrate.

Officer.

18 Precinct.

Witnesses: John Meyer 383 8 St

No. Street.

No. Street.

BAILED,

No. 1, by Dillon Bluestone

Residence 447 8 St Street.

No. 2, by

Residence Street.

No. 3, by ?

Residence Street.

No. 4, by

Residence Street.

JUN 29 1893 DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE RECEIVED

No. 1117 to answer

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Alex Lundquist guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Ten Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.

Dated, June 27 1893 — Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named ..... to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, ... 189 — Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named ..... guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, ... 189 — Police Justice.

1881

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Christopher Yalt

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment accuse

Christopher Yalt

of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE FIRST DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said Christopher Yalt

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the 26th day of June in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-three, with force and arms, at the City and County aforesaid, in and upon the body of one Ann Yalt in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously did make an assault and to, at and against her the said Ann Yalt a certain pistol then and there loaded and charged with gunpowder and one leaden bullet, which the said Christopher Yalt in his right hand then and there had and held, the same being a deadly and dangerous weapon, wilfully and feloniously did then and there shoot off and discharge with intent her the said Ann Yalt thereby then and there feloniously and wilfully to kill, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment further accuse the said

Christopher Yalt

of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said Christopher Yalt

late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and upon the body of the said Ann Yalt in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully make another assault, and to, at and against her the said Ann Yalt a certain pistol then and there charged and loaded with gunpowder and one leaden bullet, which the said Christopher Yalt in his right hand then and there had and held, the same being a weapon and an instrument likely to produce grievous bodily harm, then and there feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully shoot off and discharge, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

0945

BOX:
528
FOLDER:
4817
DESCRIPTION:
Thompson, John
DATE:
07/13/93

4817

98.

98

Witnesses:

Alanson Bates

Silas W. Dodd

Counsel,

Filed, 13 day of July 3 189

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

P

John Thompson

LARCENY, 2nd Degree.
(False Pretenses.)
[Section 528, and 531, Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

Lawrence McKeever
July 14/93 *Foreman.*

Alexander G. Seley

Elmira Ref.

9660

1912

Police Court— 1 District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County of New York, } ss.

Silas W. Dodd of No. 224 Church Street, aged 30 years, occupation Asst Cashier N.B. Claflin & Co. being duly sworn, deposes and says, that on the 1st day of July 189 2 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in the day time, the following property, viz:

One hundred and ninety seven dollars

the property of N.B. Claflin & Company in deponents charge as cashier

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away by John Thompson from the facts the facts that on said date the defendant presented what purported to be a bill for work performed at the firm stable at 99 Sullivan by one William Thompson and "OK" by one A Bates the stable foreman. Deponent believing the bill to be genuine paid to the defendant the above amount of money taking the attached bill. Deponent now says that he is informed by said Bates that what purports to be his

Sworn to before me, this day of 189

Police Justice.

Signature to the bill is a forgery
that he never ordered the work
nor was any work performed.

Silas W. Dodd.

Sworn to before me
this 3rd day of July 1893

[illegible]
Justice

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Hanson Bates

aged 76 years, occupation Stable Foreman of No. 99 Sullivan Street, being duly sworn, deposes and says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Silas M. Dodd and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own knowledge.

Sworn to before me this, 3 day of July 189 3

Hanson Bates

Police Justice.

Sec. 198—200.

1882
District Police Court.

City and County of New York, ss:

John Thompson being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him, if he see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. John Thompson

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 23 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. [illegible]

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 59 Broome St.

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Plumber

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer. I am not guilty
John Thompson

Taken before me this 8 *day of* July *189* 8

[illegible]
Police Justice

0951

New York, July 1 1893

Mr. M. B. Claflin & Co 9 & [illegible]

To WILLIAM THOMPSON, Dr.

Plumbing and Gas Fitting,

Jobbing Promptly Attended to. *TIN and METAL ROOFING*

No. 56 SULLIVAN ST. Cor. Broome St.

360 Feet of 2 in heavy waste 50 cents per foot		$180-00
Connection waste pipe to Sewer		12-00
New lead trap 2 in		1-00
4 New brass tees		1-00
2 New burner cocks		1-00
New stop cock in [illegible]		2-00
A Bill		$197-00

Jno Thompson
Per
Wm Thompson

July 28 1 District 733

Police Court-

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Frank Ottendorf
23 Ludlow St.
Grand Larceny

Offense

Dated, July 28 189 3

Witnesses Frank Ottendorf Magistrate.
Officer.
Precinct.

BAILED,

No. 1, by ..... Street.
Residence .....

No. 2, by ..... Street.
Residence .....

No. 3, by ..... Street.
Residence .....

No. 4, by ..... Street.
Residence .....

No. ..... Street.
No. ..... Street.
No. ..... Street.
No. ..... Street.
$ 100 & costs answer

1000 Ex Reg 6. 1 Pct

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Ottendorf

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of One *Hundred Dollars,* ..... *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated, July 3 *189* 3 ..... *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named .....
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, ... *189* ..... *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named .....
..... *guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.*

Dated, ... *189* ..... *Police Justice.*

1881

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

AGAINST

John Thompson

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

John Thompson —

of the CRIME OF Grand LARCENY in the second degree, committed as follows:

The said John Thompson,

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the Ninth day of July, — in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety- three, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, with intent to deprive and defraud a certain corporation called the H. B. Claflin Company

of the proper moneys, goods, chattels and personal property hereinafter mentioned, and of the use and benefit thereof, and to appropriate the same to his own use, did then and there feloniously, fraudulently and falsely pretend and represent to the said corporation, —

That the said corporation was then justly indebted to one William Thompson the father of him the said John Thompson for work, labor and services by the said William Thompson performed for the said corporation, in the sum of one hundred and twenty seven dollars and that the said John Thompson was authorized to collect the same; that he a certain paper writing in the words and figures following, to wit:

"New York July 1 1893

M. To H. B. Claflin & Co 99 Sullivan St

To William Thompson, Dr

Grinding and Gas Fitting

Jobbing Promptly attended to.

Tin and Metal Roofing

No. 56 Sullivan St. Cor. Broome St.

360 feet of 3 in heavy waste 50 cents foot	$180 00
Connection waste pipe to sewer	12 00
new lead trap 2 in	1 00
4 new brass spends	1 00
2 new brass cocks	1 00
new stop cock in basement	2 00
	$197-00

A Bates

which he the said John Thompson then and there produced and delivered to the said corporation, was then and there a true and correct bill and statement of the indebtedness of the said corporation to the said William Thompson; that the same had been submitted to one A. Bates, an authorized employe of the said corporation, to wit: its stable superintendent, and had been examined by him and found correct; and that the said A. Bates had approved and recommended the payment thereof by said corporation; and that the signature "A. Bates" appearing thereon was the signature of the said A. Bates and had been written thereon by him in evidence of his said approval and recommendation.

By color and by aid of which said false and fraudulent pretenses and representations, the said

John Thompson

did then and there feloniously and fraudulently obtain from the possession of the said corporation, the sum of one hundred and ninety seven dollars in money, lawful money of the United States of America, and of the value of one hundred and ninety seven dollars,

of the proper moneys, goods, chattels and personal property of the said corporation,

with intent to deprive and defraud the said corporation

of the same, and of the use and benefit thereof, and to appropriate the same to his own use.

Whereas, in truth and in fact, the said corporation was not then justly indebted to the said William Thompson for work labor and services by him performed for the said corporation, in the sum of one hundred and ninety seven dollars, and the said John Thompson was not authorized to collect the same, and the said paper writing which he the said John Thompson so as aforesaid then and there produced and

delivered to the said corporation was not then and there a true and correct bill and statement of the indebtedness of the said corporation to the said William Thompson; and the same had not been submitted to the said A. Bates, and had not been examined by him and found correct, and the said A. Bates had not approved nor recommended the payment thereof by the said corporation; and the signature "A. Bates" appearing thereon, was not the signature of the said A. Bates, and had not been written thereon by him in evidence of his approval or recommendation.

And Whereas, in truth and in fact, the pretenses and representations so made as aforesaid by the said John Thompson to the said corporation was and were then and there in all respects utterly false and untrue, as he the said John Thompson at the time of making the same then and there well knew;

And so the Grand Jury Aforesaid, do say that the said John Thompson in the manner and form aforesaid and by the means aforesaid, the said proper moneys, goods, chattels and personal property of the said corporation,

then and there feloniously did STEAL, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the said people.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

0956

BOX:
528
FOLDER:
4817
DESCRIPTION:
Thornton, George
DATE:
07/06/93

4817

Witnesses:

Chas E Supplee

Counsel,

Filed 6 day of July 189

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

George Thornton

Grand Larceny, Second Degree.
[Sections 528, 531, Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

Lawrence McKeever
Foreman.

July 6/93

Plead Not Guilty

Pen 6 months

July 7

1912

Police Court— 3rd District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County of New York, } ss.

Charles E. Suppler

of No. 127 Fourth Avenue Street, aged 21 years.

occupation Clerk being duly sworn,

deposes and says, that on the 29th day of June 1893 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in day time, the following property, viz:

Wearing apparel consisting of coats, pantaloons &c of the value of about Forty five dollars

the property of deponent and a part thereof in deponents care and charge

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away by George Thornton (now here) for the reasons that said property was in a room in said premises and deponent saw the defendant leave the premises with the property in his possession

Chas E Suppler

Sworn to before me, this 29 day of June 1893

Police Justice.

Sec. 198-200.

3 District Police Court. 1882

City and County of New York, ss:

George Thornton being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is her right to make a statement in relation to a charge against her; that the statement is designed to enable her, if she see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against her; that she is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that her waiver cannot be used against her on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. George Thornton

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 38 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. England

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. ~~No~~ Refused

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Tailor

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer. I am guilty

her
George x Thornton
mark

Taken before me this 7th *day of* July *189*3

Police Justice.

0960

699

Police Court--- 3 **District.**

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF
Charles G. Dupple
127 Allen St
George Thornton

2 .....
3 .....
4 .....

Offense Grand Larceny

Dated June 23 189 3

Keck Magistrate.
Keck Muller Officer.

..... 14 Precinct.

Witnesses Officer Lucas

No. ..... Street.

BAILED,

No. 1, by .....
Residence ..... Street.

No. 2, by .....
Residence ..... Street.

No. 3, by .....
Residence ..... Street.

No. 4, by .....
Residence ..... Street.

No. ..... Street.

No. ..... Street.

No. ..... Street.
$ 1000 — to answer

RECEIVED JUN 23 1893 CRIMINAL COURTS

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendant *guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of* Ten *Hundred Dollars,* ..... *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated, June 23 *189* 3 ..... *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named ..... *to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.*

Dated, ... *189* ..... *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named ..... *guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.*

Dated, ... *189* ..... *Police Justice.*

1881

No 3.

1602

GRAND JURY ROOM.

PEOPLE

vs.

G. Thornton

Ex Officio

Iron County

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

George Thornton

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse George Thornton

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE second DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said George Thornton

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the 27th day of June, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-three, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

three coats of the value of ten dollars each, three vests of the value of five dollars each, three pairs of trousers of the value of six dollars each pair

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Charles E. Supplee

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

De Lancey Nicoll
District Attorney

0963

BOX:
528

FOLDER:
4817

DESCRIPTION:
Thuna, Max

DATE:
07/12/93

4817

Witnesses:

Catherine Blanchard

Jennie Curran

Counsel, M. Steyer

Filed, 12 day of July 189 3

Pleads, Guilty 93

THE PEOPLE

vs.

P

Max Ikuna

(2 cases)

Sept. 8/93

LARCENY, 2nd degree

(False Pretenses.)

[Section 528, and 532, Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

Cert. Oct 12. 93 P.W.

A TRUE BILL.

J. Lawrence McKeever

Foreman.

Sentenced on ant indict

RBM

2

Witnesses:

Catherine Blanchard

Jennie Curran

Counsel, M Meyer

Filed, 12 day of July 189 3

Pleads, Guilty 13

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Max Thuna

(2 cases)

Sept. 8/93

LARCENY, 2 cases

(False Pretenses.)

[Section 528, and 53[illegible], Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

Laurence McKeever

Foreman.

Sentenced on ant. indict

RBM

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0955

THE PEOPLE,

vs.

MAX THUNA.

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS, PART 1.

BEFORE JUDGE MARTINE.

Monday, October 16th, 1893.

Indicted for PETTY LARCENY.

A jury was empannelled and sworn.

MARGARET E. MACKIE, sworn and examined, testified: I live at present in 28th street, but in January, 1893, I lived at 343 East 19th street, and occupied the entire house. Were you, on the 13th of January, ill, and had been ill how long? Quite ill for several weeks. Did you have any boarders or lodgers in your house? I had two physicians from Bellevue Hospital; they occupied a suite of rooms on the third floor. Did they have an office there, or simply lodgings? Merely students. On the 13th of January, 1893, I saw the defendant, about 11 o'clock in the morning, in my sick room. I was in bed, and my servant ushered him in. He presented a card, and stated that he was Doctor Delman, Bellevue Hospital, New York, from Chicago, and that he was the foreign representative of some German clinic for examinging the students; that he came from Chicago, and was sent to my house, I presumed, by physicians. I believed his story. He was dressed very fine. He had a very fine military overcoat on, a large cap and a sash, and patent leather riding boots with spurs on. The sash now shown me is the one I saw, but it was in better condition then than it is now. The defendant is the man who wore that. What conversation did you have with him after he told you that he was a representative of a German clinic? He stated that he would like a suite of rooms, and I told him that I had some rooms I

rent him, and, after he wentup and looked at them, he said he would take the suite. He returned to my room with the servant and said that the rooms were very satisfactory. We agreed upon the terms, $40. a month for the parlor and bedroom. Then he said that he had some trunks and horses and a piano at the Desbrosses street ferry; the trunks contained considerable of value; in looking at his pocket-book, I saw that he had a roll of money; he counted the money and said that he was short about $36. to get his trunks, &c, and asked me if I would advance the money to get the goods; I hesitated, and my brother came in the room while we were talking. The defendant showed me an express check and a brass tag. I wantedto give him a check, but, upon further consideration, thought I would give him the money. I am in business as a jeweler. I merely glanced at the tag and saw "Adams Express Company" on it. I gave the defendant all the change I had, $25. in money. I told my brother to go with this defendant to the ferry and not to lose sight of him until he was sure that the trunks and piano and horses were at the express company. My brother went out with the defendant. Before he went out, the defendant said to me that he had not enough money to secure the room and he would leave the valise, and he took a watch out of his pocket and put it in the bag and locked the bag and said he would leave that. He put a pair of spurs also in the bag. The spurs and the watch and chain shown me are the oneshe put in the bag. He said he would leave the bag to secure his room until 3 o'clock in the afernoon. How soon after that did you see the defendant again? I did not see the doctor until I saw him in Police Headquarters, [illegible]

June. He never came back for his bag. My brother came back about 5 o'clock that day. Did he bring with himthe doctor's horses or piano or trunks? No, he did not. Since that time my brother had died. Did you believe at the time you gave the defendant this $25. that he was the owner of horses, a piano and trunks which were at that time in the custody of the Adams Express Company, at the Desbrosses street ferry, and that those trunks contained valuable property? I firmly believed allhe said. Did you then believe that there was payable to the Adams Express Company the sum of $36. charges on this property? Yes, I did. And that the defendant was required to pay that sum before he could obtain possession of his property, and that he did not have enough money to do that? I believed that. And that he needed the sum of $36. to pay the amount? He needed that amount, and all I gave him was $25. Was it relying upon those statements of the defendant, and believing them, that you gave him that money? I did. And had you not believed those statements, and relied upon them, you would not have given him the money? No.

CROSSEXAMINATION:

You have moved now from East 19th street; have you? I have. Up to the time that this defendant called at your house -- that was the first occasion and the first time youever saw him? Yes. When you first saw him, your servant brought him to your sick room; is thay so? Yes. At that time you were just recovering from illness? I was. At the time you had the conversation with this defendant in reference to hiring those rooms, who was present? My servant; her name was Mrs. Quinn, but she has left my employ now. Was she with you at the time this defendant was originally locked

up, last June? She was. Was she with you at the time that you went, with a number of other ladies, to make complaint against the defendant? Yes; I never gave her name as a witness; she was present during the whole of the conversation that I had with the defendant; the conversation was in English. The defendant had a foreign accent, but he spoke English sufficiently well for me to understand him. He gained access to my room by presenting himself as a physician. He handed my servant a card, and he opened the door. He went in the parlor first, and as my mother had sent for a physician that afternoon (I was to have a consultation of two physicians) the girl thought that he was a doctor for me, and, therefore, he gained access to my room in that way. The girl brought the card in to my room, and he waited in the parlor. I told her I did not know any such doctor. After she brought this card to you, when did the defendant come in? It was about five minutes later, the servant brough him into my room. I asked him how it was that he came to my room, and he said that he was a doctor visiting Bellevue Hospital from abroad, examining the students, and he was looking for a suite of apartments. I told him that there was some mistake; that I was not looking to let my rooms at the time; but, as he had gained access, I had a sleeping room that I could rent him, thinking that he was sent to me from the other physicians that lived in my house. As to hiring the rooms, he said he would give me all the necessary reference that I would desire. I have told you all that I remember of what was said between me and the defendant at the time that I parted with the $25. You would not have given him $25. upon the mere representation that he had some

trunks at the Desbrosses street ferry; would you? No. You would not have given him $25. upon the representation that he had some trunks containing valuables or horses or carriages or pianos down at the Desbrosses street ferry, in the care of the Adams Express Company? I gave him money to get them. Did you not rely upon the fact that you believed his statement that he would come back and engage these rooms at your house and would bring this property, that you gave him this $25.; is not that so? Yes. You believed those representations and parted with your money upon them? I did; during that time, there was some conversation had about the rooms.

BY DISTRICT ATTORNEY: Did he ask you any question about his horses? He asked me if he could get his horses stabled; I sent my brother out, and he made inquiry in regard to securing a stable for him. Did he, at the time that you gave him the money, or before you gave him the money, make any special promise as to what he would do with those trunks? He said that he would have the trunks expressed to my house before 3 o'clock that day, and it was then that I parted with the $25., on that representation. His general appearance demanded my respect and courtesy to him at the time, and I believed his statements.

HENRY MABIE, sworn and examined, testified:

I am correspondence clerk in the employ of the Adams Express Company, and at the request of the prosecution I made an examination of the books of the company. The Adams Express Company has no office at the foot of Desbrosses street, and they have no place where they hold goods that are intheir

custody. On the 13th of June, of this year, was there held for charges, by the Adams Express Company, at the Desbrosses street ferry, any horses, piano or trunk belonging to the defendant? No. Was there, on the 13th of January of this year, at any office of the Adams Express Company in the city of New York, any such goods, held for charges? No.

CROSS EXAMINATION:

I am custodian of the records of the express company; I have no portion of the record with me; I am testifying from my examination of the records only. The main office of the Adams Express Company is at 59 Broadway. I examined the books that purported to contain the record of shipments and deliveries. I have no absolute knowledge of what I am testifying to. Assuming a package of goods goes to the Grand Central depot, would that book be kept at 59 Broadway? No freight comes in by the Grand Central Depot; it comes in at Jersey City, by the Pensylvania Railroad. Is that the only railroad you carry freight on? No. What other railroads? The Ontario and Western and New York & New Haven. You did not see the book of the New York & New Haven or the Ontario and Western? No. Did you see the book of the Pennsylvania Railroad? Yes, that is the only one I did see. You are not in a position to testify to this jury as to what came in over the New York & New Haven or the Ontario and Western? No. You are only in a position to testify that you have no office in Desbrosses street? That is all.

BY DISTRICT ATTORNEY: Does Chicago freight come in over the New York & New Haven, under any circumstances or at any time, by the Adams Express Company, or over the Ontario and Western? No. Does any road that the Adams Express Company are con-

nected with go into the foot of Desbrosses street, except the Pennsylvania, or make a landing at the foot of Desbrosses street? No. Does any road, except the Pennsylvania, with which the Adams Express Company has any connection making a new York connection, bring freight from Chicago? No.

BY COUNSEL: You are not the freight agent, and have nothing at all to do with the freight? No. Your testimony is only from what books are kept with your company? Yes.

MICHAEL J. REAP, sworn and examined, testified:

I am a detective sergeant attached to the Central Office. When did you first hear of any complaint against the defendant, Max Thuna, under that name or any other? About in February it was reported at the Central Office, including March and April. Did you, at that time, make any effort to find the defendant? Yes. When did you succeed in finding him? I arrested him on June 24th, in Grand street near Suffolk; he was in company with his wife when I arrested him. I told him we wanted him at the Central Office, and he came along with me; his wife was with him in a large crowd; I talked to him, and he pretended not to understand what I was saying to him; I spoke to him, and he would not answer me. I took him to the Central Office and searched him, and found $70. in cash on him and a gold watch and a receipt for the Adams Express Company and a tag. Was this the watch that you found on him? (Watch shown) No, it was a better watch than that, the one that was on his person; I have not it here, it is in the Property Clerk's; that receipt and tag I found in his pocket; the tag is, "Dr. Dellman." You found certain articles in his possession, which you have

produced; did you have any talk with him regarding them? I talked to him, but he would not talk to me; he said he could not understand what I was saying. Did you ascertain where he lived? Yes, he lived at 332 East 77th street; I went there, and I found the sash that has been introduced in evidence, and I found one of the watches in that box there, without a chain (watch shown) That is all, I think, was found at the house. I saw a pair of jockey's boots, but I did not take them along with me -- riding boots. Have you at any time had any conversation with the defendant? I have talked to him, and he pretended not to understand me; he shook his head and did not understand.

(The District Attorney offered in evidence the articles found upon the defendant's person and in his house, to which the defense objected. The Court overruled the objection, and gave counsel an exception.)

(THE PEOPLE REST.)

THE CASE FOR THE DEFENSE:

MAX THUNA, sworn, and examined in his own behalf, testified as follows:

Prior to your arrest, where were you living? In 332 East 77th street; I am a married man, and live there with my wife. I have been in this country seventeen or eighteen months, and came from Vienna. I have lived in the City of New York during that time. Were you ever arrested before in your life, charged with the commission of any offence? No; sometime I overrun a lady with a horse, and I had to pay $50. fine; that was in Vienna. Before you lived in 77th street,

where did you live? In 108 Henry street; I came with my wife from Newark; I married in Newark, and moved there. I had been in the country four months when I married. Do you recollect ever having met Mrs. Mackie, that lady that was on the stand yesterday? Yes; I recollect having met this lady, but I do not remember the day any more; I think it was in January; I think it was in 345 West 18th street; there was a bill for a furnished room. I went there. I rang the bell, and there came out a girl and said, "What do you want?" I told her I wanted a furnished room. She said, "Step inside," and when I came inside she opened the door of the front room and told me to stay there. I said, "Are you the lady?" She said, "No, the lady is sick." She went to the lady and came in a few minutes and said, "You can go right to the lady in the next room." She brought me up to the room, and gave me a chair. The lady said, "What do you want?" I said, "I like to get a furnished room." She said, "All right," and said to the girl, "Go up stairs with the gentleman, and look at the room;" I saw the room, and I came back, and Mrs. Mackie says, "How much are you willing to pay for the room?" I said, "I don't know, madam; it is your room, you tell me, how much do you want, by the month?" She said, "I would like to get $60.00," and I said, "It is too big for me, I can't pay so much;" she says, "I give it to you for fifty dollars, the room." I said, "All right; I will come back in half an hour." Mrs. Mackie said, "I will give you a check for $25.00, and you can get the money;" I said, "I can't take a check; I don't know where to go with the check for the money." I took the satchel in my hand, and she said, "Wait a minute." She said to the girl, "Give me the satchel

from the closet." She brought the satchel, and she took out a big heap of money, ten's and twenty's, and selected out five five dollar bills and she gave me the money." She says, "I lend you the $25." The spurs were in the satchel. She said, "What is this?" I said, "I have been on horse-back for an hour to-day." She said, "Have you a horse of your own?" I said, "No, I have not a horse, but I am able some day to have a horse; I need a horse, because I am a teacher of riding from the Academy of Vienna," and I told her that I am possessed of references. She asked me when I could come back, and I said, "I can't tell what time I will be back." The man who was there said he could show me a stable in the next street, 18th street. When that man left me on the corner of 18th street, I went home to my wife. Did you ever say to Mrs. Mackie, or any one else, on the 15th of january, in her house, that you had a horse at the Adams Express Company, Desbrosses street ferry? No. Did you eve say to Mrs. Mackie that you had at the Adams Express Company, at the Desbrosses street ferry, some trunks containing valuable property, or a piano? No. I never mentioned a word about the trunks to Mrs. Mackie. You heard Mrs. Mackie testify yesterday, didn't you, that you left that watch and spurs and valise at her room, and promised to come back at 3 o'clock, and that you would take the room? I never told her what time I would come back. Do you own a piano? Yes, I have got a piano in my house, 332 77th street; I had it in rent, by monthly payments.

CROSS EXAMINATION.

I did not represent to Mrs. Mackie that I was Dr. Dellman, but I did represent myself to Mrs. Blanchard as Dr. Dellman,

and to Mrs. Elizabeth Henderson, in 128th street. Did you ever carry a bag around with the name Dr. Dellman on it? I have got a bag there, and when the lady said, "What is the name?" I showed her that. Is this one of the bags? Yes. You showed that bag to Mrs. Pascal, at 200 Prince street, and left it with Mrs. Pascal, with this watch and chain; didn't you? Yes; I got $21. from Mrs. Pascal; I showed this bag to Mrs. Lenz, 450 East 89th street, with the tag on it, and left it with her with a watch and chain like the other one and got $25. from her. I showed the bag now shown to me to Mrs. Oscar Lehman, 233 East 18th street, and showed her the tag when she asked me my name, and left it with her with a watch and chain, and received from her $12., in the month of May. I showed the bag and tag now shown me to Mrs. Curran, 1,718 Third avenue, in the month of April, and Mr. Curran, and I received $16. from Mr. Curran. The bag and tag now shown me I gave to Mrs. Giham, 26 Grand street, in April, and the same day I showed one to Mrs. Catherine Moore, 349 West Houston street. I got from Mrs. Giham, upon the bag and watch and chain I left $16. I remember calling on Mrs. Blanchard, 61 South Washington Square, may be six and a half months ago, in June, and got from her $30. when I left with her the satchel and a watch and chain. I remember the lady now pointed out to me as Mrs. Schmer, 428 Second avenue. (The defendant produced a list of the people who he said had loaned him money.) When you called on Mrs. Mackie did you have this scarf across your chest? Yes, and the jockey suit; it was fastened in the way I show. I had it on when I called on Mrs. Mackie, and it was under the overcoat. Where did youride that morning? I rode in

124th and 125th street, with a married man, a friend of mine, who went to Kingston, Jamaica, last June. He was with me in Vienna. Were those the riding colors of your friend? He also wore something like that, because in Vienna, on horse-back, the racer goes with such distinction. Was this a racing horse that you were riding? No, we tried in the park to show who knows how to ride the faster. How much were you paying for the rooms that you occupied down in Henry street? $11. a month for one room. Were you employed anywhere at that time? I worked four months in a hat factory f r ladies, in Newark. Did you promise to pay Mrs. Mackie back the money? Yes, naturally, of course, when I take the room in her house. Did you ever know a man of the name of Dr. Dellman? I never knew any one.

BY COUNSEL: These watches and bags that have been shown here; the ladies loaned you the money on them; didn't they? Yes. When you left the watches and satchels with these various ladies that the District Attorney has called your attention to, it was upon them that they loaned you the money? Yes.

The Jury rendered a verdict of GUILTY of PETTY LARCENY.

Testimony in the case of
Allan Thomas
filed
Sept 1992
40 pp

Police Court—First District. 1912

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County of New York, } ss.

Catherine Blanchard

of No. 61 South Washington Square Street, aged 35 years.

occupation Rug business woman, being duly sworn,

deposes and says, that on the 7 day of June 189 3 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in day time, the following property, viz:

Good and lawful money of the United States of the amount and value of thirty dollars

$30.00

the property of deponent

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away by Max Shiva (Moor Hire)

for the following reason. That on said date defendant called on deponent and rented an apartment. That the defendant then deponent, the defendant, had given trunks and a piano with the United States Express Company upon which the defendant owed rent & forty seventy five dollars. That deponent gave defendant thirty dollars to make up the seventy five dollars on the representations of the defendant that he would immediately come back with the piano and the trunk and give deponent the said thirty

Sworn to before me, this day of 189

Police Justice

Allen's. That the defendant then left and did not return and deponent says he was told fraudulently obtaining possession of the said Thirty Dollars by means of the aforesaid false and fraudulent representation and says that the defendant he death left according to law.

Sworn to before me this
26 day of June 1933

Catharine Bromchard

Myers J. Martin
Justice of the Peace

Sec. 198—200.

1882

District Police Court.

City and County of New York, ss:

Max Thuna being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is h right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h; that the statement is designed to enable h, if he see fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiver cannot be used against h on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Max Thuna

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 30 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Austria

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 633 East 77 St 2 mos

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Merchant

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer. I am innocent

Max Thuna

Taken before me this 21 day of July 189

Police Justice.

0982

248

Police Court--- 7 District 705

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

1 [illegible]
2
3
4

Offense [illegible]

Dated, June 26 189 [illegible]

[illegible] Magistrate.
[illegible] Officer.
[illegible] Precinct.
Witness: [illegible]

No. [illegible] Street.
E. 7th between [illegible]

No. [illegible] Street.
[illegible]

No. [illegible] Street.
[illegible]

$ 250 to answer [illegible]

Committed

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named [illegible]

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Twenty Five *Hundred Dollars,* [illegible] *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated, June *189* [illegible] *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named [illegible]

to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, ... *189* ..... *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named [illegible]

guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.

Dated, ... *189* ..... *Police Justice.*

1881

STATE OF NEW YORK,
CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Max Thurnow, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he was convicted of Petit Larceny at the court of General Sessions of the Peace, and on the 16th day of October, 1893 was sentenced by Jas. Randolph B. Martine to confinement in the New York Penitentiary for the term of One year and ——— month and fined Five Hundred dollars, and in default of payment thereof to be held in custody for the further term of Five Hundred days or until the same be paid.

And he further deposes and says that he is credibly informed and verily believes that his Excellency the Governor of the said State did—upon the report of the Warden of the said Penitentiary, that he had complied with the requirements of the act passed February ~~20, 1875~~ 23 1886—direct a deduction of 7 months from the term of his sentence, whereby the said term expired on the 20th day of August, 1894

And he still further deposes and says that he is entirely without money, property or means of any kind, and that he is utterly unable to satisfy and pay the said fine of Five Hundred dollars, for the non-payment of which he has been since the 20th day of August, 1894, and is now held in custody at the Penitentiary aforesaid.

Said deponent has a wife and child dependent upon him for support who are undistributed circumstances and barely have the necessaries of life. Said deponent is willing and anxious to earn a livelihood for them and will upon his release from the Penitentiary seek to make an honest living and act as a law-abiding citizen.

Max Thurnow

Sworn and subscribed before me this 11th day of September 1894

[illegible]
Commissioner of Deeds
NY City

I hereby certify that the facts set forth in the above written affidavit as to the date and term of sentence—as well as to the time of the expiration thereof—of the above affiant Max Thurnow and the deduction from the term of said sentence directed by the Governor of the State of New York are true.

Louis D. Pilsbury
Warden of the New York Penitentiary.

Blackwell's Island, New York City, Sept 11, 1894

N. Y. General Sessions of the Peace.

THE PEOPLE

Of the State of New York

vs.

Max Shum

October 18 1893

PENITENTIARY.

One Year

And to pay a fine of

Five Hundred Dollars.

And to stand committed until the same be paid, or be imprisoned for 570 days.

AFFIDAVIT

OF

DEFENDANT

Of Inability to Pay Fine.

For the reasons indorsed upon indict. at time of sentence application to remit fine denied. The deft should serve the entire term - RB. My 24, 94

N. Y. General Sessions of the Peace.

THE PEOPLE

Of the State of New York

vs.

Max Shum

October 18 1893

PENITENTIARY.

One Year

And to pay a fine of

Fine Hundred Dollars.

And to stand committed until the same be paid, or be imprisoned for 570 days.

AFFIDAVIT

OF

DEFENDANT

Of Inability to Pay Fine.

For the reasons indorsed upon indict. at time of sentence application to remit fine denied. The deft should serve the prior time - RB. M. 24/94

N. Y. COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS.

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - X

The People :

-against :

MAX THUNA :

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - X

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK. ss:-

Theresa Thuna being duly sworn says, that she is the wife of the above named defendant that since the confinement of the defendant in the Penitentiary under the sentence of this Court on October 18th. 1893, deponent has been living on the charity of her friends and that since that time she has been and is now in destitute circumstances having no means of any kind for her support and maintenance.

That deponent also has one child who is now with deponent and that deponent has done the utmost in her power since the imprisonment of the defendant to properly take care and support said child but deponent has found it very hard work by reason of her destitute circumstances so to do.

That the defendant has served the term of one year for which he was sentenced less the term of 2 months for good behavior allowed him as appears by his affidavit hereto annexed and also the certificate of the Warden of the Penitentiary and deponent knows that said defendant is unable to pay the fine of Five Hundred Dollars, imposed upon him by this Court by reason of his destitute circumstances and if said defendant is kept in confinement by reason of the non-payment of said fine deponent and her child will suffer they not having the proper necessaries of life and being in destitute circumstances.

That this is the first offence that the defendant has been

convicted of and has never before been arrested and that if this Hon. Court will remit said fine the defendant has promised deponent as his wife that he will properly support and take care of her and his child earn an honest liveihood for them and will lead the life of an honest and law-abiding man in the future and deponent as far as lies in her power will see that the defendant carries out his promise and as his wife will endeavor to compel him so to do.

Deponent therefore asks this Hon. Court to remit the fine of Five Hundred Dollars, imposed upon the defendant at the time of his sentence herein so that said defendant may regain his liberty and properly take care of and support deponent and her child and deponent will ever pray.

Sworn to before me this 12th day of September 1894.

Theresa Thana

Max Altmayer
Commissioner of Deeds
New York Co

N. Y. COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS.

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - X

The People :

-agst- :

MAX THUNA :

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - X

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK. ss:-

Nathan Geiguer being duly sworn says, that he is acquainted with the defendant above named. That he knows that before his sentence and conviction on the indictment found against the defendant herein, this was his first offence and that he never was arrested before this time, nor was he accused of any offence before his conviction.

That the defendant before his conviction always bore a good character and lived with his wife and family and properly supported them to the best of his ability. That deponent also knows that since the sentence and conviction of the defendant herein his wife and child have suffered for the bare necessaries of life and are now in destitute circumstances and that the wife of the defendant is a worthy woman and has done all in her power to properly take care of and support herself and child since the imprisonment of the defendant herein but owing to her destitute circumstances she has been frequently assisted by friends and that at the present time the wife of said defendant is struggling very hard to support herself and child and that she is wholly unable, nor as far as deponent can ascertain is the defendant able to pay the fine of Five Hundred Dollars, imposed upon him by this Court and from what deponent knows of the defendant before his sentence and conviction herein if said defendant is liberated he will take care of and support his family earn for them an honest livelihood and endeavor to lead the life of a law-abiding man

and deponent therefore joins in the request that this Hon. Court remit the fine of Five Hundred Dollars, imposed upon the defendant at the time of his sentence herein.

Sworn to before me this 12th day of September 1894. : Nahten Zeichner

Max Altmayer
Commissioner of Deeds
City of New York

N. Y. COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS.

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - X

The People :

-agst- :

MAX THUNA :

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - X

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK. ss:-

Leisa Saul Kessler Being duly sworn says, that he is acquainted with the defendant above named. That he knows that before his sentence and conviction on the indictment found against the defendant herein, this was his first offence and that he never was arrested before this time, nor was he accused of any offence before his conviction.

That the defendant before his conviction always bore a good character and lived with his wife and family and properly supported them to the best of his ability. That deponent also knows that since the sentence and conviction of the defendant herein his wife and child have suffered for the bare necessaries of life and are now in destitute circumstances and that the wife of the defendant is a worthy woman and has done all in her power to properly take care of and support herself and child since the imprisonment of the defendant herein, but owing to her destitute circumstances she has been frequently assisted by friends and that at the present time the wife of said defendant is struggling very hard to support herself and child and that she is wholly unable, nor as far as deponent can aseertain is the defendant able to pay the fine of Five Hundred Dollars, imposed upon him by this Court and from what deponent knows of the defendant before his sentence and conviction herein if said defendant is liberated he will take care of and support his family earn for them an

honest livelihood and endeavor to lead the life of a law-abiding man, and deponent therefore joins in the request that this Hon. Court remit the fine of Five Hundred Dollars, imposed upon the defendant at the time of his sentence herein.

Sworn to before me this
12 day of September 1894.

Jason Earl Nolen

Max Altmayer
Commissioner of Deeds
N.Y. City, N.Y.

N. Y. COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS.

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - X

The People :

-agst- :

MAX THUNA :

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - X

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK. SS:-

Aaron Wolf Kessler being duly sworn says, that he is acquainted with the defendant above named. That he knows that before his sentence and conviction on the indictment found against the defendant herein, this was his first offence and that he never was arrested before this time, nor was he accused of any offence before his conviction.

That the defendant before his conviction always bore a good character and lived with his wife and family and properly supported them to the best of his ability. That deponent also knows that since the sentence and conviction of the defendant herein his wife and child have suffered for the bare necessaries of life and are now in destitute circumstances and that the wife of the defendant is a worthy woman and has done all in her power to properly take care of and support herself and child since the imprisonment of the defendant herein, but owing to her destitute circumstances she has been frequently assisted by friends and that at the present time the wife of said defendant is struggling very hard to support herself and child and that she is wholly unable, nor as far as deponent can ascertain is the defendant able to pay the fine of Five Hundred Dollars, imposed upon him by this Court and from what deponent knows of the defendant before his sentence and conviction herein if said defendant is liberated he will take care of and support his family earn for them an

honest livelihood and endeavor to lead the life of a law-abiding man and deponent therefore joins in the request that this Hon. Court remit the fine of Five Hundred Dollars, imposed upon the defendant at the time of his sentence herein.

Sworn to before me this
12th day of September 1894.

Aaron Wolf Fosler

Max Altmayer
Commissioner of Deeds
City of N.Y.

Victims of Bogus Doctor

DETECTIVE BUREAU

Police Department of the City of New York

No. 300 MULBERRY STREET,

Not Known

NEW YORK, ... 188

Wm. Curran, 1718. 3d ave
$16.00 about 6 weeks ago

Mrs O. Renz. 450 E 89th St
$25.00 March 21st

Minnie. Rohmer 429. 2d ave
$15.75 7 weeks ago

Rose. Rauenheim 106 E 87th St
$19.00 May. 2d

Mrs Nora. Supples 300 E 45th St
$17.00 2. months ago

Mrs Oscar. Rehman. 233 E 18th St
12.00 May 22d

DETECTIVE BUREAU

Police Department of the City of New York

No. 300 MULBERRY STREET,

NEW YORK, ... 188

Moe Niels 125 E 108th St
$20.00 June 16th

Mrs Margarette Mackey 343 E. 19 St
$25.00 in January 1893

Mrs Kate Burke 578 Broome St $19.00 March 15
1893

Mrs Lydia Henderson 135 E. 128 St $13.00 in
January 1893

Mrs Catharine Maher 349 W. Houston St $12.00
April 14 = 1893

Mrs Shiam 26 Grand St $16.00 April 1st 1893

DETECTIVE BUREAU

Police Department of the City of New York

No. 300 MULBERRY STREET,

NEW YORK, ... 188

Mrs "Kate Kavanagh 161 - E. 23 St" $ 9.00
some time in March - 1873

Mrs "Adie Pascal 200 Prince St" $ 21 00

~~Mathew Maher 319 W. Houston St~~ $ 12.00

[illegible]

District Attorney's Office,
City and County of New York.

City and County of New York, } ss.

Marguerite Mackie

of No. 525 Sixth Avenue Street, aged years, occupation Jewelery being duly sworn, deposes and says, that on or about the 15th day of January 1893, at the City of New York, in the County of New York,

the sum of twenty five dollars in money, of the property of deponent was stolen from the possession of deponent, by one Max Thuna, in the following manner, to wit:

On or about said date, the said Max Thuna called at deponent's residence, number 343 East 19th Street in the City of New York, and engaged a room there. Thereafter, on the same day, he represented to deponent that he was the owner of a horse, a piano, and trunks containing valuable property, which was then in the custody and possession of the Adams Express Company at their office at the Desbrosses Street Ferry, that there was payable to the said company the sum of thirty six dollars, before he could obtain the possession of the said property, and that he then required the said sum for the purpose of obtaining the same from the said company. He further represented that he did not have money enough to spare to meet the sum required, and requested that deponent advance the sum of twenty-five dollars to him to ~~meet~~ make up the said sum. That deponent believing the said representations, advanced to the said Max Thuna, the sum of twenty-five dollars. That the said Max Thuna after receiving the said sum of money disappeared.

Deponent has since learned that the said property was not in the possession and custody of the said company at said office at the Desbrosses Street Ferry.

Sworn to before me
this 19th day of Sept. 1893
J A Lumdsay
Comr of Deeds
City & County

Marguerite Mackie.

1810

DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE,

City and County of New York.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Marguerite Mackie

vs.

Max Thuna

Offence Petit larceny

Dated Sept 19th 1893

Witnesses, Off Reap

C. O.

No. ..... Street,

Henry Mahler

No. 59 Bway Street,

Adams

No. ..... Street,

Ch 227

8660

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

AGAINST

Mary Thomas

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Mary Thomas

of the CRIME OF Perjury, LARCENY,

committed as follows:

The said Mary Thomas,

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the ~~[illegible]~~ Eighteenth day of January, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-three, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, with intent to deprive and defraud one Mary Ann E. Vandaire

of the proper moneys, goods, chattels and personal property hereinafter mentioned, and of the use and benefit thereof, and to appropriate the same to her own use, did then and there ~~feloniously~~ wrongfully, fraudulently and falsely pretend and represent to the said Mary Ann E. Vandaire,

That she the said Mary Thomas was the owner of a house, a piano, and furniture containing valuable property, which were then in the custody and possession of the Adams Express Company, at their office at the Northwest Corner Fifth Avenue and Twenty-Second Street, being in the said City, that there was then payable to the said Company the sum of twenty six dollars, charges against the said property, and that

he the said Mary Thomas was induced to part the said Marguerite Madrid obtain possession of the same, that he did not have sufficient money upon hand to pay the sum of Twenty five dollars to make up the same; and that the said Marguerite made him the said Mary Thomas also to repay any sum advanced to him for that purpose, and was abundant security therefor.

By color and by aid of which said false and fraudulent pretenses and representations, the said

Mary Thomas

did then and there ~~feloniously~~ wrongfully and fraudulently obtain from the possession of the said Marguerite E. Madrid, the sum of Twenty five dollars in money, lawful money of the United States of America, and of the value of Twenty five dollars,

of the proper moneys, goods, chattels and personal property of the said

Marguerite E. Madrid

with intent to deprive and defraud the said Marguerite E. Madrid

of the same, and of the use and benefit thereof, and to appropriate the same to his own use.

Whereas, in truth and in fact, the said Mary Thomas took the same as a horse, or a mare, or of twenty containing valuable securities, or in any goods or a monetary nature which were then in the custody and possession of the Adams Express Company, at their office at the

Bedloe's Island, Kings in said City, or at any other place, and Brooks was not then required to the said Mary Thomas any of the sum of twenty nine dollars, through or against the said property, or any other obligation, and the said Mary Thomas was not required to pay the said sum before he could obtain possession of the same, and he did not need the sum of twenty nine dollars for the purpose of retaining, up the necessary sum, and the said property did not amount thereto to repay purchase advance, and was not abundant, nor any security therefor.

And Whereas, in truth and in fact, the pretenses and representations so made as aforesaid by the said Mary Thomas to the said Mamaquinta E. Brooks, was and were then and there in all respects utterly false and untrue, as he the said Mary Thomas at the time of making the same then and there well knew;

And so the Grand Jury Aforesaid, do say that the said Mary Thomas in the manner and form aforesaid and by the means aforesaid, the said proper moneys, goods, chattels and personal property of the said Mamaquinta E. Brooks, then and there ~~feloniously~~ unlawfully did STEAL, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the said people.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

Witnesses:

Marguerite Macka

There were over 30 complts of P.L. agst deft. He went about from house to house where furn. rooms were to rent & by false representations obtd small sums of money, leaving behind a chest with a satchel. He obtd in all somewhere bet. $250 & $500. & claimed the money was loaned on the articles he left. Nearly all the persons swindled were women. The fine herein should under no circumstances be remitted. The term imposed is inadequate to his offenses. RBM

227

Counsel, McMahon

Filed, 20 day of Sept 1893

Pleads, Not guilty

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Max Thuna

(2 cases)

LARCENY,
(False Pretenses.)
[Section 528, and 532 Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

Eut Bloomingdale
Oct 18/93
Foreman.

Indict. Convicted
~~No 227~~ Pen 1 yr. & $500. fine
RBM

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

2001

District Attorney's Office,
City & County of
New York.

[illegible]
had come in at
Lect. St Jerry from
Ohio by freight &
was in [illegible]
[illegible] Co.
He had [illegible]
& did not have
money enough
& showed me bills
lad. & on receipt
of Adams & Co.
30 Sept —

TO THE CHIEF CLERK.

Please send me the Papers in the Case of

PEOPLE

Part One

vs.

Mary Hurna

Indictment filed Sep 3

for Sept 12/93

District Attorney.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

AGAINST

May Thuma

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

— May Thuma —

of the CRIME OF Grand LARCENY in the second degree, committed as follows:

The said May Thuma,

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the seventh day of June, — in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-three, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, with intent to deprive and defraud one Catharine Brandhard,

of the proper moneys, goods, chattels and personal property hereinafter mentioned, and of the use and benefit thereof, and to appropriate the same to her own use, did then and there feloniously, fraudulently and falsely pretend and represent to the said Catharine Brandhard, —

That he the said May Thuma was then the owner of three trunks containing valuable property, and a certain piano, which trunks and piano were then in the possession and custody of the United States Express Company; that there was payable to the said company the sum of seventy five dollars, before he the said May Thuma could

their possession of his said property, and that he the said Max Truma then required the said sum for the purpose of obtaining the same from the said company; that he did not have the said sum and required the sum of thirty dollars forward making up the same.

By color and by aid of which said false and fraudulent pretenses and representations, the said

— Max Truma —

did then and there feloniously and fraudulently obtain from the possession of the said

Nathanie Brandhard, the sum of thirty dollars in money, lawful money of the United States of America, and of the value of thirty dollars,

of the proper moneys, goods, chattels and personal property of the said

Nathanie Brandhard, —

with intent to deprive and defraud the said Nathanie Brandhard, —

of the same, and of the use and benefit thereof, and to appropriate the same to his own use.

Whereas, in truth and in fact, the said Max Truma was not then the owner of the said three Knubes and piano, and the said three Knubes and piano were not then in the possession or custody of the said United States Express Company; and there was not

payable to the said company the sum of seventy five dollars, or any sum, before he could obtain possession of any property of his; and he did not then require the said sum of seventy five dollars, or any sum whatever for the purpose of obtaining the said property, or any property from the said company; and he did not require the said sum of thirty dollars toward making up the said sum for any such purpose.

And Whereas, in truth and in fact, the pretenses and representations so made as aforesaid by the said Max Thuma

to the said Catharine Brandhard was and were then and there in all respects utterly false and untrue, as he the said

Max Thuma,

at the time of making the same then and there well knew;

And so the Grand Jury Aforesaid, do say that the said

Max Thuma,

in the manner and form aforesaid and by the means aforesaid, the said proper moneys, goods, chattels and personal property of the said Catharine Brandhard,

then and there feloniously did STEAL, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the said people.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

1007

BOX:
528

FOLDER:
4817

DESCRIPTION:
Travers, Pauline

DATE:
07/18/93

4817

Witnesses:

John Cadlen

Officer

I have personally examined the complainant in this case - Mrs Rhodes. She states that she has known the deft. for years; that the deft. has heretofore borne an excellent character as of a respectable family; & that deft is in very bad health. The complainant is anxious to withdraw the charge.

Under these circumstances, it is my opinion that the ends of justice will be best served by discharging the deft on her own recognizance & I therefore respectfully recommend such action

Aug 8/93 Geo Gordon Battle
Dep Dist.

13 8 Gorman

Counsel,

Filed 18 day of July 1893

Pleads, Not guilty 19

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Pauline Travers

Grand Larceny, 2d Degree.
[Sections 528, 531, Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

J Lawrence McKeever

Foreman.

On recom. of Dist. Atty deft. discharged on her own recog - RBM

Part 2 - Aug. 9. 1893

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

8001

1009

Police Court Fourth District. Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County of New York, } ss:

Elizabeth M Rhodes

of No. 137 East 15 Street, aged 60 years, occupation Housekeeper being duly sworn, deposes and says, that on the 11 day of July 1893 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in the day time, the following property, viz: A number of dresses and waists and one parasol of the value of about Fifty dollars

$50.00

the property of in deponent's care and custody

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away by Julia Barero, No Kew, for the following reasons, That on said date the defendant was in deponent's employ as domestic at the above address. That deponent missed the property and found part of the property concealed in the defendant's room and wrapped in a bundle. That when accused the pawn tickets were found in the defendant's possession representing dresses which the defendant admitted having taken. Wherefore deponent prays that the defendant be dealt with as the law directs.

Elizabeth M. Rhodes

4759

Sworn to before me this 11 day of July 1893

Police Justice.

1010

Sec. 198—200.

1st District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.:

Pauline Travers being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that it is h right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h ; that the statement is designed to enable h if he sees fit, to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h ; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiver cannot be used against h on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Pauline Travers

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 27 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer New York City N.Y.

Question. Where do you live and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 222 West 40 St 6 mos

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Domestic

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation.

Answer. I have nothing to say at present

Pauline Travers

Taken before me this 13 *day of* July 189 8

Police Justice

1011

Police Court--- 5 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF
[illegible]
[illegible]
William Stamer

2.
3.
4.

Offense Grand Larceny

Dated, July 12 1893

Charge Murder Magistrate.

[illegible] Officer.

[illegible] Precinct.

Witnesses

No. Street.

No. Street.

No. Street.

No. Street.

No. Street.

No. Street.

No. Street.

No. Street.

BAILED,

No. 1, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

No. 2, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

No. 3, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

No. 4, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named [illegible]

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Ten *Hundred Dollars,* [illegible] *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he gives such bail.*

Dated, July 12 *189*3 [illegible] *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named .....

to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, ... *189* ..... *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named .....

..... *guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.*

Dated, ... *189* ..... *Police Justice.*

1881

New York General Sessions.

PEOPLE ON MY COMPLAINT,
VERSUS
Pauline Travers

As complainant in the above case, I beg to recommend the defendant to such leniency and clemency as the Court and District Attorney may see fit to show; but I expressly assert that my reasons for so doing are not controlled by any advantage to myself. As a reason for so recommending the defendant I will say that the defendant is an only delicate health; that she has never been before arrested; bears a good reputation and is of a respectable family.

Isabella Lawrence

CLERK'S OFFICE.

PEOPLE

vs.

Noah Thomas

For Indict. filed July 1893

Indict. filed Sept. 1893.

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Pauline Francis

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse Pauline Francis of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE second DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said Pauline Francis

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the eleventh day of July, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-three, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

four dresses of the value of ten dollars each, five waists of the value of five dollars each, and one parasol of the value of five dollars

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Elizabeth M. Rhodes

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.