0009

BOX:
470

FOLDER:
4306

DESCRIPTION:
Soderman, Axcel E.T.

DATE:
02/24/92

4306

Witnesses:

Offa Wharton

Dean Patterson from a careful examination of the case. That it will be impossible for the people to make out a case of felonious intent. That the defendant had a knife. ~~which he had [illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ known as a butcher knife in his possession is admitted, and also he had a revolver which was not loaded. The officer says the defendant was under the influence of liquor after dinner. Did not offer to use either weapon. His character is shown good and upon the recommendation of his employer.

John Johnson, assistant

Counsel, J. E. Swanstrom, Zy Hassan

Filed, 24 day of Feby 1892

Pleads Guilty

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Axel E. T. Soderman

CONCEALED WEAPON.
(Section 410, Penal Code.)

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL

Henry O'Herron
Foreman.

Part 2 March 1st, 1892

~~Indicted~~

On recom. of Dist. Atty. indict. dis. P.B.M.J.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK } ss.

POLICE COURT, 5th DISTRICT.

William J. Wharton

of the 29th Precinct Police Street, aged 27 years,

occupation Police officer being duly sworn, deposes and says

that on the 3d day of February 1892

at the City of New York, in the County of New York at about midnight he arrested Axel E Sodermann (now here) partially intoxicated on 3d avenue and 114th Street acting in a disorderly manner, deponent placed him under arrest and when he defendant was brought to the station House and searched a Dirk or dangerous Knife and a Revolving Pistol was found concealed upon his person, Deponent therefore charges the said defendant with feloniously carrying said Dirk or dangerous Knife concealed upon his person with intent to use the same against the People of the State of

Sworn to before me this day of 189

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

New York in violation of section number 410 of the Penal Code of the State of New York. Deponent asks that said Defendant be held to answer and dealt with according to law

William J. Wheaton

Sworn to before me this 4th day of February 1892 Wm. Fields Police Justice

Police Court, ... District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.

AFFIDAVIT.

Dated 189

Magistrate.

Officer.

Witness,

Disposition

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Sec. 198—200.

5th District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss.

~~Alexander~~ Axel Soderman being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Axel E Soderman

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 30 Years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Sweden

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 553 East 32d Street, 16 months

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Piano Maker

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty

Axel Emil Theodor Soderman

Taken before me this 4th *day of* February 1892

[illegible] *Police Justice.*

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0014

BAILED,

No. 1, by Charles Jansen

Residence 212 East 127th Street.

No. 2, by

Residence Street.

No. 3, by

Residence Street.

No. 4, by

Residence Street.

Police Court 7th **District.**

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

William J. Murtor

vs.

1 Axel E. Soderman

2

3

4

Offence Carrying Concealed Weapon

Dated February 4 1892

Mark Murtor Magistrate.

29th Precinct. Officer.

Witnesses

No. Street.

No. Street.

RECEIVED FEB 8 1892 DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

No. Street.

$ Bail Answer

Bailed by Jansen

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Axel E. Soderman *guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of* $500 *Hundred Dollars,* and *be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated February 4 *1892* Wm. Welde *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named defendant *to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.*

Dated Feby 4th *1892.* Wm. Welde *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named ..... *guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order h to be discharged.*

Dated ..... *18* ..... *Police Justice.*

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Axel E. T. Soderman

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment accuse Axel E. T. Soderman of a FELONY, committed as follows:

The said Axel E. T. Soderman late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the third day of February in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-two, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, feloniously did furtively carry, concealed on his person, a certain ~~instrument and weapon of the kind commonly known as~~ dirk, dagger and dangerous knife with intent then and there feloniously to use the same against some person or persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment further accuse the said Axel E. T. Soderman of a FELONY, committed as follows:

The said Axel E. T. Soderman late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, feloniously did possess a certain ~~instrument and weapon of the kind commonly known as~~ dirk, dagger and dangerous knife by him then and there concealed, and furtively carried on his person, with intent then and there feloniously to use the same against some person or persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

0016

BOX:
470
FOLDER:
4306
DESCRIPTION:
Sommers, Joseph M.
DATE:
02/26/92

4306

Witnesses:

Mary Flatgarry

Chas Cooper

Clerk 289

Counsel,

Filed 26 day of Feby 1892

Pleads Not guilty

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Joseph M. Sommers

Assault in the First Degree, Etc.
(Sections 217 and 218, Penal Code.)

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

add days
mand

A TRUE BILL.

Mary D Harrington
Foreman.

Part 2 - March 10, 1892
Tried and Acquitted

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

1189

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Def's Ex. 1

Court of General Sessions
City and County of New York

The People etc
vs
Joseph Sommes

City & County of New York ss.
Mary Flatigny being duly sworn deposes and says: That she resides at no. 9 Pell st in said City and is the Complainant in the above named Case. That she desires to withdraw the charge against the defendant for the following reasons. The deponent has known the defendant for a period of about eight years and has been frequently in his Company That defendant on the date of the Commission of the alleged offence came to the above address and wished and urged deponent to go to her home That during the time of the conversation he happened to have a knife in his hand the deponent believes by mere chance and not with any design to harm deponent as he was her friend and had no motive to do so That the defendant grippped the arm of Deponent with the hand in which he held said knife using gentle force to draw her out of the room where she was and to induce

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

her to leave the house and so she was accidentally cut by the open blade of said knife. The deponent has no doubt it was purely accidentally done and for these reasons and also that deponent knows the defendant to be a young man of most excellent character and the only support of a widowed mother deponent desires to withdraw the charge

Sworn to before me
this 9th day of March
1892
Charles R. Logan
Commissioner of Deeds
City & Co of N.Y.

Mary J Lafferty

Court of General Sessions

The People etc
vs
Joseph Summers

Withdrawal of Charge

Blake & Sullivan
Defts attys
71 Centre st
N.Y. City

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0020

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Police Court— 1 District.

City and County of New York } ss.:

Mary Glatigny of No. 9 Pell Street, aged 24 years, occupation Nothing being duly sworn deposes and says, that on the 21 day of February 1892 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, he was violently and feloniously ASSAULTED and BEATEN by Joseph Sommers (complainant) who wilfully and maliciously cut and stabbed deponent in the arm with a large knife and there held in his hand

with the felonious intent to take the life of deponent, or to do him grievous bodily harm; and without any justification on the part of the said assailant

Wherefore this deponent prays that the said assailant may be apprehended and bound to answer for the above assault, etc., and be dealt with according to law.

Sworn to before me, this 22 day of February 1892. } Mary Glatigny

D. Cleffy Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Sec. 198–200.

1 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Joseph Summers being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is h right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h ; that the statement is designed to enable h if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiver cannot be used against h on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Joseph Summers

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 24 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. U S

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 426 E-58 St - 4 years

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Tobacco collector

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty
J. Joseph Summers

Taken before me this 27 day of October 1888

Police Justice.

0023

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

205

Police Court---First District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Mary Flaherty
Wife of
Joseph Sommers

2.

3.

4.

Offence Assault

BAILED,

No. 1, by

Residence Street.

No. 2, by

Residence Street.

No. 3, by

Residence Street.

No. 4, by

Residence Street

Dated February 22 1892

Duffy Magistrate.

Devlin Officer.

6 Precinct.

Witnesses Charley Tom

No. 9 Pell Street.

No. 188 Street.

FOREMAN.

No. Street.

$ 1000 to answer G S

Committed

RECEIVED FEB 22 1892 DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named G S

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of $1000 *Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated Feb 22 *18*

Police Justice.

I have admitted the above-named

to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated *18*

Police

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named

guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order he be discharged.

Dated *18*

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

Joseph M. Sommers

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse Joseph M. Sommers of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE FIRST DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said Joseph M. Sommers late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the Twenty-first day of February in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety two, with force and arms, at the City and County aforesaid, in and upon the body of one Mary Glatigny in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously did make an assault and her the said Mary Glatigny with a certain knife

which the said Joseph M. Sommers in his right hand then and there had and held, the same being a deadly and dangerous weapon, then and there wilfully and feloniously did strike, beat, cut, stab and wound,

with intent her the said Mary Glatigny thereby then and there feloniously and wilfully to kill, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said Joseph M. Sommers of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said Joseph M. Sommers late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: On the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and upon the body of the said Mary Glatigny in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully make another assault, and her the said Mary Glatigny with a certain knife

which the said Joseph M. Sommers in his right hand then and there had and held, the same being a weapon and an instrument likely to produce grievous bodily harm, then and there feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully strike, beat, cut, stab and wound, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DeLancey Nicoll
District Attorney.

0025

BOX:
470

FOLDER:
4306

DESCRIPTION:
Sosnowsky, Samuel

DATE:
02/01/92

4306

Witnesses:

Counsel,

Filed day of 189

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Samuel Sosnowsky

Grand Larceny, ~~second~~ Degree.
[Sections 528, 531, Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

Foreman.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Police Court 3rd District. Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County of New York, } ss:

Ephriam Grinkan

of No. 392 Grand Street, aged 33 years, occupation Jeweller, being duly sworn, deposes and says, that on the 7th day of January 189? at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in the Night time, the following property, viz:

One diamond Stud of the value of about One Hundred and forty dollars

the property of Deponent

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away by Samuel Osnowski from the fact that the defendant came to deponents place of business in 392 Grand Street and stated to deponent that he desired deponent to loan him defendant the aforesaid Stone to show to the president of a Masonic lodge that he defendant was a member of as the president of said lodge desired to purchase a diamond Stud to see if said Stud would suit the president of said lodge and deponent believing said representations made to him deponent to be true entrusted said Stud to defendant as a bailee or agent with the understanding that the

Sworn to before me, this day of 189.

Police Justice.

4759

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

defendant was to return the stud to
deponent on the following day ~~[illegible]~~
further say the defendant failed to
return the said stud ~~[illegible]~~ but withheld and appropriated
the said stud to his own use wherefore
deponent charges said defendant
with the larceny of the same and prays
he may be apprehended and dealt with
as the law directs

Sworn to before me this
2nd day of January 1892

Ethelgrim Hindmarsh

Charles N Lawton
Police Justice

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

BAILED,

No. 1, by Mary Ann Gallagher

Residence 63 Mott Street.

No. 2, by ..... Street.

Residence ..... Street.

No. 3, by ..... Street.

Residence ..... Street.

No. 4, by ..... Street.

Residence ..... Street.

186

Police Court ..... District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

William Gallagher

vs. 362 vs.

Samuel Smith

2 .....

3 .....

4 .....

Offence Larceny from a person

Dated 185 9

Smith

..... Magistrate.

..... Officer.

Witnesses Mary Ann Gallagher ..... Precinct.

No. 76 Elizabeth Street. ..... Street.

No. ..... Street.

No. ..... Street.

No. ..... Street.

$ ..... to answer

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named .....

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of .....*Hundred Dollars,* .....*and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated .....*18* ..... *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named .....

to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated .....*18* ..... *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named .....

..... *guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order h to be discharged.*

Dated .....*18* ..... *Police Justice.*

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Samuel Sosnowsky

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

Samuel Sosnowsky

of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE second DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said Samuel Sosnowsky,

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the seventh day of January, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-two, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

one diamond stud of the value of one hundred and forty dollars.

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Ephraim Grinspan,

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

De Lancey Nicoll
District Attorney

0031

BOX:
470

FOLDER:
4306

DESCRIPTION:
Standford, George

DATE:
02/18/92

4306

0032

BOX:
470

FOLDER:
4306

DESCRIPTION:
Eyl, Benjamin

DATE:
02/18/92

4306

236 / Nertufed

Witnesses:

Ind. of A. Bonsall

Offa Mooney

Subpoena office

+ complete

Counsel,

Filed 18 day of Feby 1892

Pleads, Guilty 19

THE PEOPLE

vs.

George Standford

Alias Holland and Anthony, 1884 3 Ave. Single

Benjamin Eyl

Burglary in the Third Degree.

[Section 498, Sec. Pen. Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

Part 3. March 11/92

Tried and Acquitted

A TRUE BILL.

Henry S. Sherman

Foreman.

Feb 19/92

Not Pleads R.C.G.

Elmira Ref

Board 3/1/92 RBM 30

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0033

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0034

1409

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

CLERK'S OFFICE,

New York, ... 189

PEOPLE

vs.

Kelly, Geo.

Plead guilty to Grand Larceny

Sentence March 15/92

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Police Court— 3rd District.

City and County of New York, } ss.:

Bridget McDonald

of No. 101 Allen Street, aged 39 years,

occupation Married Woman being duly sworn

deposes and says, that the premises No 101 Allen Street, 10 Ward

in the City and County aforesaid the said being a four Story brick building

and which was occupied in part by deponent as a Dwelling

~~and in which there was at the time a human being, by name~~

were BURGLARIOUSLY entered by means of forcibly breaking open the door leading into the Kitchen from the Hall way on the 4th floor with a jimmy and entering therein with intent to commit a crime therein

on the 9th day of February 188[illegible] in the day time, and the following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz:

One black Silk dress one black Silk Dolman and one pair of lace Curtains two dress Skirts one petticoat one Grace pocket book Containing a number of pawn tickets together of the value of about Seventy five dollars

the property of William & Mc Donald and deponent

and deponent further says, that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid BURGLARY was committed and the aforesaid property taken, stolen and carried away by

George Stanford and Benjamin Lyl (both white)

for the reasons following, to wit: deponent securely locked and fastened the doors and windows in said apartments at about the hour of 3 o'clock P.M. on said date and at about the hour of four o'clock P.M. on said date deponent discovered said apartments had been burglarized and said property taken stolen and carried away Deponent further says that she saw the defendant Benjamin Coming out of said premises with a bundle

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

on his shoulder and deponent is informed by Officer William J. Mooney that the defendants admitted and confessed to said officer that they had committed said burglary and that the sold the proceeds of said burglary to a woman in premises 239 Bowery. Mooney stated and said officer found the property in the possession of said woman which deponent identifies as a portion of the proceeds of said burglary

Sworn to before me
this 14th day of February 1898

Bridget Macdonald

Thos. F. Brady

Police Justice

Police Court, _____ District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
on the complaint of

vs.

1 _____
2 _____
3 _____
4 _____

Offence—BURGLARY.

Dated _____ 188

Magistrate.

Officer.

Clerk.

Witnesses, _____

No. _____ Street,

No. _____ Street,

No. _____ Street,

_____ to answer General Sessions.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named _____

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of _____ *Hundred Dollars* _____ *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated _____ 188 _____ *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above named _____
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated _____ 188 _____ *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named _____
_____ *guilty of the offence within mentioned, I order it to be discharged.*

Dated _____ 188 _____ *Police Justice.*

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

William J. Moore

aged 36 years, occupation Police Officer of No. Eleventh Precinct Street, being duly sworn, deposes and says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of William McDonald and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own knowledge.

Sworn to before me this 14 *day of* [illegible] 1896,

William J. Moore

Thos. F. Grady

Police Justice.

(3692)

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Sec. 198—200.

3 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

George Stanford being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. George Stanford

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 20 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York City

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 267 Bowery 2 Months

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Hard Wood Polisher

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty

George Standford

Taken before me this 5 day of May 1885

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Sec. 198—200.

3 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Benjamin Eigl being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Benjamin Eigl

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 16 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Holland

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 1887 Third Avenue 3 Months

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Sell papers

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty

Benjamin Eigl

Taken before me this 14 *day of* [illegible] 189[illegible]

[illegible] *Police Justice.*

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Police Court, ... District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.
ON THE COMPLAINT OF
vs.

1. [illegible]
2. [illegible]
3. [illegible]
4. [illegible]

Offense, [illegible]

Dated, [illegible] 14 189 2

[illegible] Magistrate.
[illegible] Officer.
[illegible] Precinct.

Witnesses
No. ..... Street.
No. ..... Street.
No. [illegible] Street.

BAILED,
No. 1, by ..... Residence ..... Street.
No. 2, by ..... Residence ..... Street.
No. 3, by ..... Residence ..... Street.
No. 4, by ..... Residence ..... Street.

$ [illegible] to answer

RECEIVED FEB 16 1892 DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendants

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same, and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Fifteen *Hundred Dollars,* ..... *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated, [illegible] 14 *189* 2 ..... *Police Justice.*

I have have admitted the above-named .....
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated, ... *189* ..... *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named .....
..... *guilty of the offense within mentioned, I order h to be discharged.*

Dated, ... *189* ..... *Police Justice.*

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Court of General Sessions

The People vs
against
George Standford and Benj. Eagles. Defts

State City and County of New York ss:
W.K. Van Meter being duly sworn deposes and says that he is the attorney for the defendant Standford; that one John M. Klatthoner of No 149 South 5 St. Bklyn is a necessary and material witness for the defense of said Stanford - that deponent visited the said witness at his place of residence on March 2d 1892 to serve a subpoena to attend the trial of defendant on March 3d/92 but said witness though willing to come was unable to walk or stand owing to sickness that defendant will not be able to establish his defense without said witness. that this is the first time this case has been on the calendar for trial.

W K Van Meter.

Sworn to before me this 2nd day of March 1892
Prosper R. Ferrari
Commr. of Deeds
N. Y. City & County

Court of General Sessions

The People &c.

vs

George Stanford

Affidavit for Adjournment

Winterfield & Van Meter
Attys for Defd.
Stanford
206 Bway
N.Y.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

2409

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

George Standford and Benjamin Eyl.

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

George Standford and Benjamin Eyl

of the CRIME OF **BURGLARY IN THE THIRD DEGREE,** committed as follows:

The said George Standford and Benjamin Eyl, both

late of the 10th Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the ninth day of February in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-two, with force and arms, in the day-time of the same day, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, the dwelling house of one

William E. McDonald

there situate, feloniously and burglariously did break into and enter, with intent to commit some crime therein, to wit: with intent the goods, chattels and personal property of the said William E. McDonald in the said dwelling house then and there being, then and there feloniously and burglariously to steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said George Standford and Benjamin Eyl

of the CRIME OF Grand LARCENY in the second degree, committed as follows: The said George Standford and Benjamin Eyl, both

late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, in the day time of said day, with force and arms, one dress of the value of thirty dollars, one dolman of the value of fifteen dollars, two curtains of the value of five dollars each, two skirts of the value of ten dollars each, one petticoat of the value of two dollars, one pocket-book of the value of fifty cents and five pawn-tickets of the value of one dollar each

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one William E. McDonald

in the dwelling house of the said William E. McDonald

there situate, then and there being found, from the dwelling house aforesaid, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

THIRD COUNT:

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said George Standford and Benjamin Eyl of the CRIME OF RECEIVING STOLEN GOODS, committed as follows:

The said George Standford and Benjamin Eyl, both late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, with force and arms, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid,

one dress of the value of thirty dollars, one dolman of the value of fifteen dollars, two curtains of the value of five dollars each, two skirts of the value of ten dollars each, one petticoat of the value of two dollars, one pocketbook of the value of fifty cents and five pawn-tickets of the value of one dollar each

of the goods, chattels and personal property of William E. McDonald

by a certain person or persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, then lately before feloniously stolen from the said William E. McDonald

unlawfully and unjustly did feloniously receive and have; (the said George Standford and Benjamin Eyl then and there well knowing the said goods, chattels and personal property to have been feloniously stolen, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

0046

BOX:
470

FOLDER:
4306

DESCRIPTION:
Steele, William A.

DATE:
02/18/92

4306

Witnesses:

Mr Sullivan

Offr Curran

Counsel,

Filed 18 day of Feby 1892

Pleads, Not guilty 19

THE PEOPLE

vs.

P

William A. Steele

Robbery, Degree.
(Sections 224 and 22 X, Penal Code.)

De LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

Tried & Acquitted

A TRUE BILL.

Henry S Herrman
Foreman.

Part I 26/92
W L

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0047

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

STATE OF NEW YORK,
CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK. } ss.

Police Court—First District.

Thomas Lunlan

of No. 29 City Hall Place Street, being duly sworn, deposes and says, that on the 7th day of February 1892 at the 6th Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, from the person of deponent, by force and violence, without his consent and against his will, *the following property viz:* Three Keys of the value of fifty cents and one ~~key~~ collection of the value of five cents all together

of the value of Fifty five cents Dollars, the property of deponent

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away by force and violence as aforesaid, by William A. Steele (now here) who was acting in concert with a number of other men on said date, and that one of the men who was in the company of the said Steele held deponent by the arms, while another of the men in the company of the said Steele did take by force and violence the above described property from deponents person. Deponent is informed by Officer John J. Curran of the 6th Precinct that he Curran identifies the said Steele as being one of the men who was in deponents company in Mott Street when deponent was robbed.

Thomas Lunlan

Sworn to, before me, this 10 day of February 1892

H. D. Wheeler

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

1377

John J. Curran

aged 32 years, occupation Policeman of No. 6th Precinct Street, being duly sworn, deposes and says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Thomas Quinlan and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 10 *day of* Feby *189* 2 } John J. Curran

[illegible]

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Sec. 198—200.

1 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK } ss.

William A. Steele being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. William A. Steele

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 27 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Boston U. S.

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 109 Randolph Avenue Jersey City Heights 3 years

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Carpenter.

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty.

his
William A. X Steele
mark

Taken before me this 10 day of July 1892

[illegible]

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0051

173

Police Court---.....**District.**

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF
Thomas Quinlan
29 Gates Avenue
William G. Stutt
1

2.....
3.....
4.....

Offence Robbery

Dated February 10 1892

McMahon, Magistrate.

Carman 6 Officer.

Precinct.

Witnesses John J. Curran
No. 6 Hall Prisoner Street.

No. ..... Street.

No. ..... Street.

RECEIVED. FEB 11 1892 DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

$ 1000 to answer G. S. Street.

Committed

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Defendant *guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of* Ten *Hundred Dollars,* ..... *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated ..... *18* ..... *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named ..... *to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.*

Dated ..... *18* ..... *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named ..... *guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order h to be discharged.*

Dated ..... *18* ..... *Police Justice.*

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0052

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
against
William A. Steele

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse

— William A. Steele —

of the CRIME OF ROBBERY in the first degree, committed as follows:

The said William A. Steele,

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the seventh day of February, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-two, ~~in the time of the said day~~, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and upon one Thomas Quinlan, in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously did make an assault; and

three keys of the value of fifteen cents each, and one collar button of the value of five cents,

of the goods, chattels and personal property of the said Thomas Quinlan, from the person of the said Thomas Quinlan, against the will and by violence to the person of the said Thomas Quinlan, then and there violently and feloniously did rob, steal, take and carry away, the said William A. Steele being then and there aided by an accomplice actually present whose name is to the Grand Jury aforesaid as yet unknown.

against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

De Lancey Nicoll,
District Attorney.

0053

BOX:
470

FOLDER:
4306

DESCRIPTION:
Stilwell, Edwin

DATE:
02/11/92

4306

0054

BOX:
470

FOLDER:
4306

DESCRIPTION:
Stilwell, Edwin

DATE:
02/11/92

4306

Witnesses:

Septima Granger
E. Boyle

Released Dec 9
by Thomas Crane
~~$1000~~ 500 bond

Part 2 - Dec. 9. 1892.
Tried and jury disagree
8 ac
4 cn.

Counsel.

Filed, 18th day of June 1892

Pleads, Not guilty

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Edwin Stilwell

LARCENY, 2nd degree
(MISAPPROPRIATION.)
(Sections 528 and 531 of the Penal Code.)

115 Bowery
charge of G. H. Saunders
confirming with this case & trial

June 7/92
Pt Deny agreement
with Counsel
Part II June 27th 92
Jomsen

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

~~June 7th~~
~~June 16th 92.~~

A TRUE BILL.

~~Part III~~ Jomsen
Amy S. Arzin
June 13th 1892
Foreman.

(See other side)
Part II
June 13 - Part II
May 23. 92

at trial of this case more than four years ago resulted in a disagreement of the jury eight standing for acquittal. With the lapse of time it is hopeless to expect any better result for the People, and the Counsel for complainant concurring I recommend defts discharge on his own recognizance

Nov 15/97 Rosenberger
Ref Cont

I concur.
D. Frank Lloyd
ADA

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

5588

Witnesses:

Septima Grange

E. Boyle

Re called Deny for Def. Thomas Lerane

Part 2 - Dec. 9 1892

Tried and jury disagree

8 as

4 Gn.

Counsel.

Filed, 18th day of July 1892

Pleads, Not guilty

THE PEOPLE

Part I

Oct. 1892

vs.

Wm. Stilwell

June 17 92

Pt. Deny agreement with Counsel

Part II June 27th 92

Dismissed

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL

Part III Jan

June 13th 1892

Part IV

April 13 - Partly

LARCENY, 1st degree

(MISAPPROPRIATION.)

(Sections 528 and 531 of the Penal Code.)

A trial of this case more than four years ago resulted in a disagreement of the jury eight standing for acquittal. With the lapse of time it is hopeless to expect any better result for the People, and Mr Bauerdorf private counsel for complainant concurring I recommend defts discharge on his own recognizance

Nov 15/97

Rosenberger

Dep Clerk

I Concur.

D. Grant Lloyd

C.A.D.A.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0056

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Court of General Sessions

The People
vs.
Edwin Stilwell

By Section 549 Art. 5 Title 3 chap. 1 of Part 4 of the Revised Statutes as amended by chapter 207 Laws of 1874 (R.S. 7th Edition p 2495) it is enacted as follows:

"If any clerk or servant of a private person or of a copartnership x x x x withholds or appropriates x x x any money x x x x belonging to another and which may have come into his possession or under his care, by virtue of such employment or office, he shall be judged guilty of embezzlement and shall upon conviction, be punished in the manner prescribed by law for feloniously stealing property of the value of the article so embezzled"

Subdivision 2 of Section 528 of the Penal Code takes in "a person occupying a place of trust or holding a fiduciary or semi-fiduciary relation to another person, and who under other statutes would have been guilty of embezzlement or breach of trust (2 R.S. 678 & 679,)" &c.

People vs Dumar 106 N.Y. 509.
People vs Coville 4 Hun 500.

(over)

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Where defendant "continued to be the custodian of the money in a fiduciary capacity for the benefit of the owner of the property" and the intent to appropriate such money to his own use, or to the use of any other person "while it remained in his custody, possession or control, as a bailee, servant, attorney, agent, clerk or trustee, followed by the use of it, created a crime within this [Penal Code §528] section of the Statute"

People vs Civille 44 Mm. 500.

The Statute seems to include a clerk who made collections for his employers.

People vs Carr 3 Mf. Cr. Rep. 578.

Court of General Sessions

The People

vs

Elson Stilwell

Memorandum of Cases and Statute

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0059

Sept 5 –	10	Seventh coll –	2300.25
12	10	October "	277.7
19	10	Nov "	2391.80
26	10		
Oct 3	10		
10	10		
17	15	Due Nov 12th " found out	7529.05
24	10		
31	10	Stillwell's Default	7½
Nov 7 –	10		3764 5 2
21	10	Ok memorandum	150 5 8 10
	115		188.22 62
		Wages Nov-16/19 –	26.67
			214.90
			115
			99.90

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0060

THE LANGDON & GRANGER BREWING CO. LIMITED,
Brewers & Maltsters,
Office, 410 East Fourteenth Street.

New York, Oct 16th 1891

Received from Chas Boyle

One hundred & Eighty — Dollars

for Sale bill

$180.00

THE LANGDON & GRANGER BREWING CO. LIMITED

J. Colwell

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0061

see further on	779	J. Flynn	— acknowledged by E.S. —	~~33~~	55
	781	~~Mrs. Hugh Tarlin — collected Nov. 5th~~		~~54~~	
	786	Thos McEdanny — Balance as pr. Ledger 622 — Net			
		do do shortage	OWES as pr bill — 80 " collected on Nov. account	~~542~~ ~~+13~~	553
	1441	E. McGovern	acknowledged by E.S.	~~210~~	210
	1447	A J McDonnell	" " "	~~2625~~	2625
	491	P.A. Kennedy — Bal. as pr ledger 184 — allow 24.00		~~160~~	160
	615	J Meares P.U. Sch.	Bal. as pr. Ledger 30.00 owes. 20.00	~~10~~	10
	"	Stock "	" " " 72.00 " 60	~~12~~	12
	622	J. McKenna —	acknowledged by ES	~~273~~	273
	1445	T. Leddy.	" " "	~~300~~	300
	635	J. Brady —	" " "	~~355~~	
	—	do	in question — amt due — 500 — 160.00	~~150~~	505
878	~~666~~	~~W. Clancy~~ W Clancy	~~acknowledged by ES~~	~~120~~	120
	~~673~~	~~M. A. O Farrell~~	" " "	~~40~~	
	705	F. Fletcher	" " "	~~105~~	105
	828	C Boyle	" " "	~~524~~	524
	866	T O'Brien	" " "	~~174.50~~	174
	653	M F Ryan	Collected Nov 7 — See receipt	~~5525~~	5775
	619	C. E. Brennan	" " 9 — in Stock	~~43~~	43
	~~622~~	~~A. J. Flynn~~	~~Shown several collections~~	~~9~~	
30 ns	742	A Wiener	collected Nov. 2 See receipt	~~20~~	20
	783	P Reilly		~~22~~	22
	779	Mrs Flynn —	extra as per receipts	~~22~~	22
	469	Joates		~~33~~	3150
		Cuber Bros		~~1350~~	1350
		~~Brennan C.E.~~		~~42~~	
		In doubt. ~~J Lacolor~~ Brooklyn	~~[illegible]~~		
		" " ~~Estate P. Duff.~~	~~[illegible]~~		3437
		~~O'Hara~~	~~40~~		

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

STATE OF NEW YORK, :
:ss.:
Office of the Secretary of State. :

IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED that an original certificate for the formation of a corporation in the class of Limited liability corporations, under the corporate name of THE LANGDON AND GRANGER BREWING COMPANY, "LIMITED", was filed in the office of the Secretary of State, under Chapter 611, Laws of 1875, entitled "An Act to provide for the organization and regulation of certain business corporations," on the eleventh day of May, 1887, and that the following is a true and correct copy of said original certificate, (the same having been compared with said original) and of the whole thereof.

STATE OF NEW YORK, :
: ss.:
City and County of New York. :

We, the undersigned, THOMAS B. LANGDON, SEPTIMUS W. GRANGER, AUGUST FINCK, PATRICK A. FOGARTY and THOMAS G. McCARTHY, all of the City, County and State of New York, do hereby certify, that we propose to form a company of the class of limited liability companies, pursuant to the provisions of an act of the Legislature of the State of New York, entitled "An Act to provide for the organization and regulation of certain business corporations" passed June 21st, 1875, and the acts amendatory thereof, and do hereby set forth.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

FIRST: The name of the said corporation is to be THE LANGDON AND GRANGER BREWING COMPANY, "LIMITED".

SECOND: The object and nature of the business for which said corporation is to be formed is the making, selling and dealing in all kinds of fermented and malt liquors, such as ales, porter, lager beer, weis beer or any other name by which they may be called, and the manufactory thereof and the locality of its business, are to be in the City, County and State of New York, with the privilege of running or hiring malt houses in any of the Counties of this State, and of making malt for their own use or for sale.

THIRD: The amount of the capital stock of the said corporation is to be One hundred and sixty-five thousand Dollars.

FOURTH: The number of shares of which said capital stock shall consist, is to be Sixteen hundred and fifty, of the par value of One hundred Dollars each.

FIFTH: The location of the principal office of the said corporation is to be in the City and County of New York, in the State of New York.

SIXTH: The duration of said corporation is to be for the term of fifty years.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, We have hereunto affixed our hands in the City, County and State of New York, on the

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

ninth day of May, A. D. 1887.

IN THE PRESENCE OF:

CHAS. F. BAUERDORF

THOMAS B. LANGDON
SEPTIMUS W. GRANGER
AUGUST FINCK
PATRICK A. FOGARTY
THOMAS G. McCARTHY

STATE OF NEW YORK, :
: ss.:
City and County of New York. :

On the ninth day of May, A. D. 1887, before me personally came THOMAS B. LANGDON, SEPTIMUS W. GRANGER, AUGUST FINCK, PATRICK A. FOGARTY and THOMAS G. McCARTHY, all and to each to me personally known, and known to me to be the persons described in and who executed the foregoing instrument, and they severally acknowledged to me that they executed the same for the purposes therein set forth.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my hand and seal of office in the City of New York on the day and date above set forth.

(SEAL)

CHAS. F. BAUERDORF,
Notary Public,
N. Y. Co.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

AND IT IS FURTHER HEREBY CERTIFIED, That upon the filing of said Certificate, of which the foregoing is a true and correct copy, on the 11th day of May, 1887, as aforesaid, a license was issued by the Secretary of State, pursuant to said act to the five persons named in and who made and acknowledged said certificate, empowering them as commissioners, to open books for subscriptions to the Capital Stock of said proposed corporation, at such times and places as they might determine.

AND a verified record of the proceedings of said commissioners, having this 25th day of May, 1887, been filed in the office of the Secretary of State, containing a copy of the subscription list to the Capital Stock of said proposed corporation, together with a copy of the By-Laws for said proposed corporation, adopted by the subscribers to said Capital Stock at a meeting of said subscribers held at No. 410 East 14th Street in the City of New York, on the 23rd day of May, 1887, pursuant to the provisions of said act, as appears from said verified record aforesaid, at which subscribers' meeting as aforesaid, five directors (being the number provided for in the said By-Laws of said proposed corporation) were also chosen, whose names as further appears from said verified record of proceedings, filed as aforesaid, are as follows, to wit:

THOMAS B. LANGDON

SEPTIMUS W. GRANGER

AUGUST FINCK

PATRICK A. FOGARTY

THOMAS G. McCARTHY

GLUED PAGE

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0066

NOW, THEREFORE, I, FREDERICK COOK, Secretary of State, do hereby certify that said corporation, to wit: THE LANGDON AND GRANGER BREWING COMPANY, "LIMITED" is fully organized in accordance with said act, Chapter 611, Laws of 1875; and that all the provisions of said act have been duly observed in the organization of said corporation as herein above set forth.

WITNESS, my hand and the seal of office of the Secretary of State, at the City of Albany, this 25th day of May, 1887.

(SEAL)

FREDERICK COOK,
Secretary of State

Tax for privilege of organization of this Corporation $206.25
Under Chapter 143, Law of 1886, paid to State Treasurer before filing.

State of New York, } ss.:
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE.

I have compared the preceding copy of Certificate of Incorporation with the record thereof remaining in this office, in Book entitled "Record of Incorporations," number 9, at page 573 and I do hereby certify the same to be a correct transcript therefrom and of the whole thereof.

Witness *my hand and the seal of office of the Secretary of State, at the City of Albany, the 19th day of July one thousand eight hundred and eighty-eight*

Frederick Cook
Secretary of State.

GLUED PAGE

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0067

NOW, THEREFORE, I, FREDERICK COOK, Secretary of State, do hereby certify that said corporation, to wit: THE LANGDON AND GRANGER BREWING COMPANY, "LIMITED" is fully organized in accordance with said act, Chapter 611, Laws of 1875; and that all the provisions of said act have been duly observed in the organization of said corporation as herein above set forth.

WITNESS, my hand and the seal of office of the Secretary of State, at the City of Albany, this 25th day of May, 1887.

(SEAL)

FREDERICK COOK,
Secretary of State

Tax for privilege of organization of this Corporation
$206.25
Under Chapter 143, Law of 1886, paid to State Treasurer before filing.

Recorded May 25, 1887, and agrees with the original as compared therewith by me,
Frederick Cook.
Secretary of State.

[redacted] one thousand eight hundred

Frederick Cook
Secretary of State.

1552
P.1.

Certificate
of
Full Organization
of
The Langdon and
Granger Brewing
Company "Limited"

Per 4 1/2
19'92

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0068

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Sec. 198—200.

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Edwin Stilwell being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Edwin Stilwell

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 40 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Ohio

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. Nyack New York State 3 years

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Collector

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am not guilty

Edwin Stilwell

Taken before me this 30 day of Oct 1888

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

0070

CHARLES F. BAUERDORF,

Attorney and Counsellor at Law,

115 BROADWAY, (ROOM 72,)

RESIDENCE:
322 WEST 33RD ST.

NEW YORK.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

In case No 3 vs Edwin Stillwell, none of the witnesses for the prosecution have been subpoena, & the main witness for the prosecution is in Boston today. Can the case be adjourned to next Monday?

C. H. Brown, Dist. Atty.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Sec. 151.

Police Court 3 District.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss. *In the name of the People of the State of New York; To the Sheriff of the County of New York, or any Marshal or Policeman of the City of New York:*

Whereas, Complaint in writing, and upon oath, has been made before the undersigned, one of the *Police Justices* for the City of New York, by Septimus W. Granger of No. 410 East 9th Street, that on the 2 day of July 1891 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, the following article to wit:

Good and lawful money of the United States

of the value of One hundred and sixty Dollars, the property of Complainant

was taken, stolen and carried away, and as the said complainant has cause to suspect and does suspect and believe, by Edwin Stilwell

Wherefore, the said Complainant has prayed that the said Defendant may be apprehended and bound to answer the said complaint.

These are therefore, in the name of the PEOPLE of the State of New York, to command you the said *Sheriff, Marshals and Policemen*, and every of you, to apprehend the body of the said Defendant and forthwith bring him before me, at the 3 DISTRICT POLICE COURT, in the said City, or in case of my absence or inability to act, before the nearest or most accessible Police Justice in this City, to answer the said charge, and to be dealt with according to law.

Dated at the City of New York, this 19 *day of* July 1891

John Ryan POLICE JUSTICE.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Police Court 2 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.

Warrant—Larceny.

Dated May 19th 1891

Ryan Magistrate

Berkey Officer.

The Defendant taken, and brought before the Magistrate, to answer the within charge, pursuant to the command contained in this Warrant.

Officer.

Dated 188

This Warrant may be executed on Sunday or at night.

John R. Ryan Police Justice.

May 20th 1891

45 W. U.S. Collection Sm. Myaer N.Y.

The within named

having been brought before me under this Warrant, is committed for examination to the WARDEN and KEEPER of the City Prison of the City of New York.

Dated 188

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Sec. 192.

3 District Police Court.

Undertaking to Appear during the Examination.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss:

An information having been laid before John Ryan Esq a Police Justice of the City of New York, charging Edwin Stilwell Defendant with the offense of Larceny (felony)

and he having been brought before said Justice for an examination of said charge, and it having been made to appear to the satisfaction of said Justice that said examination should be adjourned to some other day, and the hearing thereof having been adjourned,

WE, Edward Stilwell Defendant of No Sycack New York Street, by occupation a Collector and Thomas Lemane and of No 323 West 50th Street, by occupation a Flour feed & Grain Surety, hereby jointly and severally undertake that the above-named Edwin Stilwell Defendant shall personally appear before the said Justice, at the 3 District Police Court in the City of New York, during the said examination, or that we will pay to the People of the State of New York the sum of Five Hundred Dollars.

Taken and acknowledged before me this 20th day of 189

Edwin Stilwell

Thomas Lemane

John Ryan Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

City and County of New York, ss:

Thomas Lorrane

the within-named Bail and Surety, being duly sworn, says, that he is a resident and Force

holder within the said County and State, and is worth Twenty **Hundred Dollars,**

exclusive of property exempt from execution, and over and above the amount of all his debts and liabilities,

and that his property consists of a house and lot of land situated at No. 310 West 42 Street in said City valued at Ten Thousand Dollars free and clear

Sworn to before me this day of 189

Police Justice.

District Police Court.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.

Undertaking to Appear during the Examination.

Taken the day of 189

Justice.

Thomas Lorrane

Affirmed by Louis Allen Hoc & Hernandez Office

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Police Court—

District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Defendant

410 Broadway

Offence Larceny

Felony

BAILED,

No. 1, by Theodore Dunn

Residence 373 W 50 Street.

No. 2, by

Residence Street.

No. 3, by

Residence Street.

No. 4, by

Residence Street.

Dated Nov 29 1899

Magistrate

Officer.

Precinct.

Witnesses John A. J. Twomey 410 E 14

No. 1188 West 33 St Street.

Complaint of Theodore Dunn

Copy of Statutes of Larceny

Offence

Bring to Office

No. 1000

Bail

Dec 2 1899

Dec 2 1899

Magistrate Presiding at the 3rd Court Bronx Borough

Defendant has been arraigned by attorney

Case adjourned to Dec 2 1899

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Ten Hundred Dollars, and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison of the City of New York until he give such bail.

Dated Dec 2nd 18 99 Police Justice

I have admitted the above-named

to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated 18 Police Justice.

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named

guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order he to be discharged.

Dated 18 Police Justice.

GLUED PAGE
POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

C. R. 3603

SUBPŒNA FOR A WITNESS TO ATTEND THE GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE.

In the Name of the People of the State of New York.

C.

To Sept. W. Granger

of No. 410 E 14th Street

YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear before the Court of General Sessions of the Peace in and for the City and County of New York, at the New Criminal Court House on Centre Street, between Franklin and White Streets, in the City of New York, on the 15 day of November 1897, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon of the same day, as a witness in a criminal action prosecuted by the People of the State of New York, against

Edwin Stilwell

Dated at the City of New York, the first Monday of

in the year of our Lord 189

WILLIAM M. K. OLCOTT, *District Attorney.*

PART I.

THE COURT ROOM IS IN THE SECOND STORY

If this Subpœna is disobeyed, an attachment will immediately issue.

Bring this Subpœna with you, and give it to the officer at the Court Room door, that your attendance may be known.

[SEE OTHER SIDE FOR OTHER DIRECTIONS.]

410 E.14

not found

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

GLUED PAGE

00178

GLUED PAGE

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

rt of General Sessions.

PEOPLE

Granger

vs.

Stilwell

New York, ss: Samuel A Sancier being duly

s: I reside at No. 341 — 6th St Street, in the City of New York. I am a Subpœna Server in the office of the District Attorney of the City and County of New York. On the 12th day of November 189 7, I called at 410 E 14th St New York City the alleged Residence of Sept W. Granger the complainant herein, to serve h im with the annexed subpœna, and was informed by the Lady of Grace Chapelle which is # 410 E. 14th St New York City that no such man by the name of Sept. W. Granger has Ever worked or lived in the above Chapelle and this is all the information I could get

Sworn to before me, this 13th day of November 189 ,

James Riley

COMMISSIONER OF DEEDS
NEW YORK COUNTY.

Samuel A Sancier
Subpœna Server.

Court of General Sessions.

THE PEOPLE, *on the Complaint of*

Sept. H Granger

vs.

Edwin Stilwell

Offense:

JOHN A. M. K. COOPER

~~JOHN R. FELLOWS,~~

District Attorney.

Affidavit of

Samuel A Sawyer

Subpœna Server.

Failure to Find Witness.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0800

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0081

The Langdon & Granger Brewing Co.
LIMITED.
Brewers & Maltsters,
Office, 410 East Fourteenth Street.

New York, July 22 1890

Received from E. Doyle

One hundred and Sixty ✓ Dollars,

for May bill

$160 00/100

THE LANGDON & GRANGER BREWING CO. (LIMITED).

E. Stilwell

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Edwin Stilwell

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse Edwin Stilwell of the CRIME OF Grand LARCENY, in the second degree committed as follows:

The said Edwin Stilwell,

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the 16th day of October in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-one, at the City and County aforesaid, being then and there the clerk and servant of a corporation called The Langdon & Granger Brewing Company, Limited,

and as such clerk and servant then and there having in his possession, custody and control certain goods, chattels and personal property of the said corporation

the true owner thereof, to wit: the sum of one hundred and eighty dollars in money, lawful money of the United States of America, and of the value of one hundred and eighty dollars

the said Edwin Stilwell afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, did feloniously appropriate the said sum of money

to his own use, with intent to deprive and defraud the said corporation of the same, and of the use and benefit thereof; and the same goods, chattels and personal property of the said corporation

did then and there and thereby feloniously steal, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

0083

BOX:
470

FOLDER:
4306

DESCRIPTION:
Stoltze, Christian

DATE:
02/15/92

4306

Witnesses:

Ved C Barrett

186

Counsel,

Filed 15 day of Feb 189 2

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Christian Stoltze

Grand Larceny, Second Degree.
[Sections 528, 531, Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

Foreman.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

4888

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Police Court 2 District. Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County of New York, } ss:

Frederick C. Bennett

of No. 506 west 23rd Street, aged 25 years, occupation Clerk being duly sworn, deposes and says, that on the 18 day of December 189 1 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in the day time, the following property, viz:

An Overcoat, of the value of Twenty eight dollars (28). One Suit of Clothes, consisting of Coat, Pants and Vest, of the value of Forty dollars, (40), One Mackintosh Coat, of the value of Ten dollars (10) and good and lawful money of the United States of the amount of Fifty four dollars - in all of the amount and value of one hundred and thirty two dollars ($132)

the property of Deponent

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away by Christian Holtze (now here), from the following facts to wit: That about the hour of 5.30 o'clock a.m. of the aforesaid date deponent left his residence at No 506 west 23rd Street - and at the time of deponents departure, the aforesaid property was in a Closet in deponents room, and the aforesaid sum of money was in the pocket of the Overcoat, and that about the hour of Six o'clock P.M. deponent returned to his room, and found the aforesaid property missing - and that the defendant after being advised of his rights - admitted and confessed in Open Court to deponent in presence of Officer John Carey of the 16th Precinct Police, that he had taken stolen and

Sworn to before me this 18 day of December 1891

Police Justice

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

carried away the aforesaid Overcoat, Suit of Clothes - and Mackintosh Coat. - Deponent therefore charges the defendant with having committed a Larceny and asks that he may be held and dealt with as the Law may direct

Sworn to before me this 5th day of February 1892 } Fred. C. Bennett

Police Justice

New York Aug

I Frederick C. Bennett complainant in this case have this day received from Clerks Office Court of G. Sessions two pawntickets numbered 12483, Metz Bros (Coat & Vest and 48593 S. Ehrstein Rubber Coat — said Articles repl on tickets being my property

Fred C Bennett
506 W 23 St
City.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

John Carey

aged ..... years, occupation Police Officer of No. 16 Precinct Police Street being duly sworn, deposes and says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Frederick C. Bennett and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 5 *day of* February 1893 } John Carey

Police Justice.

(3692)

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Sec. 198—200.

2 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK } ss.

Christian Soltze being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is h— right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h—, that the statement is designed to enable h— if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h— that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h— waiver cannot be used against h— on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Christian Soltze -

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 18 years -

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Germany

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 16 West Avenue - Long Island City -

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Driver

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer.

I am guilty of stealing the Overcoat, Suit of Clothes and Mackintosh - but I am innocent of taking the money

Chr. Soltze

Taken before me this 2 day of May 1882

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

BAILED,

No. 1, by ..... Residence ..... Street.

No. 2, by ..... Residence ..... Street.

No. 3, by ..... Residence ..... Street.

No. 4, by ..... Residence ..... Street.

Police Court— 2 District. 154

THE PEOPLE, &c., ON THE COMPLAINT OF Thomas G. Ennis 506 Greenwich Street Manhattan

2 ..... 3 ..... 4 .....

Offence Larceny

Dated February 5 1892

Adams Magistrate.

Officer. Precinct.

Witnesses.

No. ..... Street.

No. ..... Street.

No. ..... Street.

$ 1000 to answer

RECEIVED FEB 8 1892 DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

Com

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named defendant

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Ten *Hundred Dollars,* ..... *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated February 5 *18*92 ..... *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named .....

to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated ..... *18* ..... *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named .....

..... *guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order h to be discharged.*

Dated ..... *18* ..... *Police Justice.*

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

Christian Stoltze

THE GRAND JURY OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, by this indictment, accuse Christian Stoltze of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE second DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said Christian Stoltze late of the City of New York in the County of New York aforesaid, on the 18th day of December in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-one at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in the day time of said day, divers promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as United States Treasury Notes), of a number and denomination to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, for the payment of and of the value of twenty-seven dollars; divers other promissory notes for the payment of money, being then and there due and unsatisfied (and of the kind known as Bank Notes), of a number and denomination to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, for the payment of and of the value of twenty-seven dollars; divers United States Silver Certificates, of a number and denomination to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, of the value of twenty seven dollars; divers United States Gold Certificates, of a number and denomination to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, of the value of twenty seven dollars; divers coins of a number, kind and denomination to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, of the value of twenty-seven dollars, one overcoat of the value of twenty eight dollars, one coat of the value of twenty dollars, one vest of the value of ten dollars, one pair of trousers of the value of ten dollars, and one mackintosh coat of the value of ten dollars, of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Frederick C. Bennett then and there being found,

$54.00

then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

0091

BOX:
470

FOLDER:
4306

DESCRIPTION:
Straube, William

DATE:
02/17/92

4306

Witnesses,

Chas J Krysten

209

Counsel.

Filed 17 day of Feb 1892

Pleads,

29,556

THE PEOPLE

vs.

William Straube

Grand LARCENY, 2nd degree
(MISAPPROPRIATION.)
(Sections 528 and 53 of the Penal Code.)

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

Pen 9 mos
RBM

A TRUE BILL.

Foreman.

FOR ORIGINAL FILE

2608

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

(1365)

Police Court— 5 District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County of New York, } ss.

Charles J. Krystes of No. 440 East 56 Street, aged 28 years, occupation Bookkeeper being duly sworn, deposes and says, that on the 18 day of January 189[illegible] at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in the day time, the following property, viz:

Twenty seven dollars good and lawful money of the United States

($27)

the property of William Lowe

and that this deponent has a probable cause to suspect, and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away by William Straube (now Lee) from the fact that the said Straube was on said date in the employ of said William Lowe. That deponent saw the said Straube receive said money from a customer of said Lowe's. That the said Straube has never accounted to the said Lowe for said money. Therefore deponent charges said Straube with having feloniously appropriated said money to his own use and asks that he be dealt with as the law directs

Charles J. Krystes

Sworn to before me this day of [illegible] 189[illegible]

[illegible]

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Sec. 198—200.

5 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

William Strauke being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is h right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h; that the statement is designed to enable h if he see fit to answer the charge a. explain the facts alleged against h that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiver cannot be used against h on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. William Strauke

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 29 yrs.

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. Germany

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 403 E. 76 St. 2 days

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Driver

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I bought the money as Mr Lowe owed me money for wages.

Wm Strauke

Taken before me this 9 *day of* Dec 1884

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

168

Police Court 5 **District.**

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Chas. Myers
Feb 8th 5th
William Strauss

1. Larceny
2. Felony
3.
4.

Offence

Dated February 9 1892

Wells Magistrate.

Carpenter Officer.

Precinct.

Witnesses

No. Street.

No. Street.

No. Street.

RECEIVED FEB 11 1892 DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

BAILED,

No. 1, by

Residence Street.

No. 2, by

Residence Street.

No. 3, by

Residence Street.

No. 4, by

Residence Street.

$ 500 to answer

Bail

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named defendant *guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of* Five *Hundred Dollars,* and *be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated February 9 *18*92 W. McAdoo *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named

to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated *18* *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named

guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order h to be discharged.

Dated *18* *Police Justice.*

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

New York, January 4th 1892

Mr. Wilson Pel Co.

To W. Lowe, Dr.

WHOLESALE DEALER IN

Orange County Milk & Cream,

422-426 East 55th St., Bet. 1st & Ave. A.

1891

Dec 29	To	2 cans	
30		3	
31		3	
January 1		3	
2		2	
3		2	
		15 cans @ 180	$27.00

Paid
W. Lowe

January 18

January 18

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

William Straube

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse William Straube of the CRIME OF Grand LARCENY, in the second degree, committed as follows:

The said William Straube, late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the 18th day of January in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-two, at the City and County aforesaid, being then and there the clerk and servant of William Lowe —

and as such clerk and servant then and there having in his possession, custody and control certain goods, chattels and personal property of the said William Lowe the true owner thereof, to wit: the sum of twenty seven dollars in money, lawful money of the United States of America, and of the value of twenty-seven dollars; — the said William Straube afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, did feloniously appropriate the said sum of money to his own use, with intent to deprive and defraud the said William Lowe of the same, and of the use and benefit thereof; and the same goods, chattels and personal property of the said William Lowe did then and there and thereby feloniously steal, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

0098

BOX:
470

FOLDER:
4306

DESCRIPTION:
Sullivan, Dennis J.

DATE:
02/23/92

4306

Witnesses:

Offa Larkin
Harry Leone
Offa Price
John Buzzie
Dr Donlan

Part 2 – Dec. 9. 1892.
On motion of District Attorney defendant discharged on his own recognizance

Bailed May 10/92
by Cash Deposit
C/o Timothy Sullivan
Entered
Bailed July 8/92 by
Bernard Golden
38 City Hall Pl
N.Y.

Ready for trial
Counsel
Filed 23 day of July 1892
Pleads, Mutually

THE PEOPLE
See other side

Dennis J. Sullivan

Section 173, Penal Code

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

The deceased in this case met his death from injuries received in a street fight, and the defendant was indicted for the offence of manslaughter. I have had the case very carefully examined under your instructions. Officer Price who was there at the precinct, was detailed for two nights upon the case for the purpose of finding evidence necessary to enable the People to establish their case. But no evidence could be found in addition to that taken by the Coroner. Upon

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

699

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

STATE OF NEW YORK.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ss.

AN INQUISITION,

Taken at the ~~house of~~ Coroners Office No 1247 Second avenue ~~Street~~ in the 17th Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York, this 16th day of December in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and 91 before Daniel Hanly Coroner, of the City and County aforesaid, on view of the Body of Thomas Hunt lying dead at

Upon the Oaths and Affirmations of Nine good and lawful men of the State of New York, duly chosen and sworn, or affirmed and charged to inquire, on behalf of said people, how and in what manner the said Thomas Hunt came to his death, do upon their Oaths and Affirmations, say: That the said Thomas Hunt came to his death ~~by~~

At Chambers Street Hospital on December 9th 1891 12.20 a.m. from a fracture of the skull, which injuries he received on December 8th 1891. ~~12.20 a.m. from a fracture of the base of the skull, which injuries he received on December 8th 1891.~~ about three o'clock P.M. opposite 41 Baxter Street, and we find that Dennis J. Sullivan was instrumental in causing the death of deceased, and we fail to find anything against Michael Harrington and Dennis Harrington and we exonerate them from all blame in the matter.

In Witness Whereof, We, the said Jurors, as well as the CORONER, have to this Inquisition, set our hands and seals, on the day and place aforesaid.

JURORS.

E. J. McLaughlin	843 Amsterdam Ave
William Eurkes	772 Amsterdam Av
Morris Macksfed	~~Morris Macks~~ 854 Amsterdam Ave
Frederick Kunz	Amsterdam Av
Joseph Sroyn	902 Amsterdam Ave
Jacob Mumer	912 Amsterdam Ave
Henry Bihringer	735 Amsterdam Ave
~~Henry [illegible]~~	~~865 Amsterdam av~~
Bernard Cohen	722 Amsterdam av

Daniel Hanly CORONER, ~~Z. S.~~

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Coroner's Office. **TESTIMONY.**

Special Officer Frank S. Price 6th Precinct being sworn says:
On the 8th day of December 1891. one Thomas Hunt was found in front of 41 Baxter Street unconscious by Officer Nugent of 6 Precinct. said Thomas Hunt was sent to Chambers Street Hospital where he died on the morning of the 9th inst.
Does that Denis J Sullivan Michael Harrington & Denis Harrington be committed to City Prison as Denis J Sullivan was identified at the 6 Precinct Station House on the 9 inst as the person who ran away & who was seen by other witnesses to have assaulted deceased; and Michael Harrington and Denis Harrington were present at the time of the assault & believe that Michael Harrington and Denis Harrington are accessories to the crime.

Frank S Price

Taken before me
this 10 day of Dec 1891
O Daniel Hardy CORONER.

~~Lewis J. Butler~~

Thomas Hunt

Dec 9 – 1891

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

2019

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

HARRY LEVINE: duly sworn:-

By the Coroner:-

Q Where do you live? A. # 53 East 107th Street.

Q What is your business? A. I am dealer in remnants of dry goods, at # 86 Water Street.

Q Tell the jury what you know about this case.

A. I had occasion to go through this street, and I stopped to purchase something from a wagon, and was in the act of purchasing it, when all of a sudden, my attention was attracted by a woman calling, "Oh, my God;" I dropped what I had and looked across the street and saw a man lying on the sidewalk directly opposite where this wagon was standing, and the man that looked exactly like him.

Q Like who? A. Like this man here; I don't know his name.)Indicating to Sullivan.) I saw a man like him; the man's head waspartly in the gutter, and this man was standing in the gutter; I don't know whether it is he, and kicked him with his foot three or four times, he kicked him in the head, I don't know which part of his head he kicked him, and ran away three or four houses from there, and then halted and I took a look at the man and went across the street and I lifted the man up; he was respectably dressed, and I didn't know what it was about; I put him against the stoop there; I looked for a doctor and I think I found that officer there. I said, "There is a man lying on the sidewalk there, and another man just kicked him and ran away; I think you want to call an ambulance right away, because I think he

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

is going to die." The officer looked at the man and sent a call for the ambulance; that is all I know about it; the officer asked me to give my name, and I gave my name to the officer; I made a statement after that; when I lifted the man up one of these brothers helped me.

Q Who was it? A. This man, Michael Harrington.

Q Are you sure that is the man? A. I think it is that one, that is my impression.

Q He helped up the deceased on the stoop? A. Yes, sir. The next morning, Detective Price came to our place of business and asked me if I had seen this thing; the officer said they have arrested the men and I should come to the station house to identify the man. I went to the station house and he was arraigned with about fifteen others, but I had such a short look at him -- unless I was positively certain I would not say; I looked through the column twice or three times, and I said, "I think the man is not there," but I said, "He looks very much like this man."

Q You told them that in the station house? A. Yes, sir; I said, "He looked very much like the one I seen running away."

Q Did you notice anything particular about the man?

A. I looked at his dress, he had no overcoat on in the first instance; he had a pipe in his mouth and he was sallow complexion like an Italian; I thought he was an Italian; I looked at the man running away.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Q Do you think that is the man you saw with the pipe in his mouth? A. He looks just exactly like the man.

Q But in the station house the next day when you saw him among fourteen or fifteen others, you went up to this man while you could not positively identify him, you said he looked very much like the man you saw there? A. Yes, sir.

Q That is you statement? A. Yes, sir.

By a Juror:-

Q To the best of your knowledge and belief, is that the man that kicked the deceased? A. I could not say, it is a serious case, unless I was positive, I would not like to say.

Q You picked him out among fifteen others? A. Yes, sir.

Q To the best of your opinion, you don't think it is the man? A. I would not say that; I don't know the man -- this is the first time I met him --

Q Did you say anything to him in the station house?

A. No, sir; I went through the column a few times; I didn't say anything to him.

Q What portion of the column was he in? A. Near the end; about the fourth one from the window.

Q You selected this man as the man that looked like the man you saw the day before? A. Yes, sir.

Q Was he the only one in citizen's clothes? A. There were a lot of Italians in there, there were some that looked very much like him, and some that didn't look like him.

By Mr. Friend:-

Q You were out transacting your every day business?

A. Yes, sir.

Q And stopped at this wagon for the purpose of purchas-

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

ing a trifle? A. Yes, sir.

Q You paid no particular attention to what took place? on the opposite side of the street? A. No, sir.

Q By reason of an exclamation made by a lady, you looked across the street? A. I did.

Q You saw somebody kick the man that was in the gutter?

A. He was not exactly in the gutter -- part was on the sidewalk and part in the gutter.

Q And the look you had at him was instantaneous, was it not, just for an instant? A. It was for an instant while the man was kicking him, when the woman ran away I stopped at the wagon there, and I looked at the man.

Q You only had a look for one instant at the man that did the kicking? A. Yes, sir.

Q And to the best of your knowledge you had never seen the person that kicked the deceased? A. No, sir.

Q It occurred to you at the time that it was an Italian?

A. Yes, sir.

Q You did believe he was an Italian, didn't you? the man that committed the assault? A. That is hard to say.

Q Didn't you think from his looks it was an italian?

A. Yes, sir.

Q You did from his looks think he was an Italian?-- from the expression of his face? A. Yes, sir.

Q You handed your card to Officer Larkin, and the following day you were waited upon by Mr. Price? A. Yes, sir.

Q He told you what -- let's hear what he said to you.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

A. He said that I saw this thing happen, and the police-man gave him my address and they think they caught the parties, and I should come there and identify him.

Q You then went to the station house? A. Yes, sir; at two o'clock.

Q Was anything said to you as to who they had?

A. Not a word.

Q You were taken into the officer's room in the rear?

A. Yes, sir; that is where they were arraigned:

Q In that room there were ten or fifteen men from whom you were to pick out the man that had kicked the deceased?

A. Yes, sir.

Q You went into this room and looked around for some considerable time? A. I walked up and down the column, they told me to be positively sure before I picked any one out, look up and take your time about it.

Q You wanted to make it positive -- no, they wanted to make it positive? A. Yes, sir.

Q You walked up and down two or three times? A. I did.

Q How many times did you walk up and down the line?

A. Twice, I walked up and back.

Q And then whom did you come up to speak? A. I spoke to no one.

Q Didn't you go up to an officer then or something and say, "The man is not here?" A. I came to the end of the column and I said, "I don't think the man is here."

Q Whom did you address your conversation to? A. That I don't know; there were a good many more in the room besides these fifteen men.

Q Try and recollect to whom you addressed your conver-
5 sation, after having walked up and down the aisle and you

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

B.176, 2 C.

Coroners Office, New York County.

Inquest into the death - of - THOMAS HUNT.	Before HON. DANIEL HANLY, and a jury.

New York, December 10th, 1891,
8 o'clock, P. M.

Appearances: Mr. Oliver appears for the Harrington Brothers; Messrs. Levy, Friend & House appear for Dennis J. Slevin.

----------oOo----------

OFFICER BERNARD W. LARKIN, of the 6th Precinct, duly sworn:-

About three o'clock on the 8th of last month I was met in Worth Street near Mulberry by a man by the name of Harry Levine, he told me - (Objected to)
(Answer continued) I was informed there was a man injured in Baxter Street on the 8th of the month; I went there and seen the man bleeding from a wound on the back of his head; I saw this man here Harrington and Dennis Harrington supporting him while sitting on the stoop; he was holding a handkerchief on the back of his head where the wound was. I looked at the man's wound and seen it was bleeding pretty freely, and I called an ambulance, and he was taken to the hospital. The wound he had was probably an inch and a half

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

in length; when I arrived back after sending the ambulance call Officer Hugert was there and I asked him -

Objected to.

The Coroner states that he will allow the officer to state the conversation with the deceased at the time.

Counsel for the prisoner excepts.

(Answer continued) We called him by name, I asked him who did it, and after a short time he answered Kate; I said it is not a woman done it, it is a man, who done it; he said Dougherty, and a little while afterwards he said Dougherty again.

Q Did you know anyone by the name of Dougherty?

A. No, sir.

Q Did he say anything as to whether Sullivan done it?

A. No, sir.

By a Juror:-

Q Did he appear to be under the influence of liquor?

A. Yes, sir.

Q Was he apparently unconscious from the effects of the liquor or the blow? A. I couldn't tell which, it was from, I thought he was drinking - but I thought he was drinking heavily.

Q You got that idea from the odor of his breath?

A. Yes, sir; he threw up and he vomited, I could smell the smell of liquor.

By Mr. Oliver:-

Q What was he doing, Harrington? A. He was supporting the man; he had his arm this way holding the man with the

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

handkerchief to his head; I didn't see the other Harrington there at the time; I only saw this one here.

Q Where was it? A. 41 Baxter Street.

Q What time of the day was it? A. About three o'clock in the afternoon of December 8th.

----------oOo----------

OFFICER FRANK J. HUGHES, duly sworn:-

I am attached to the 6th Precinct.

By the Coroner:-

Q. Will you please tell the Jury in your own way about the case which led to the death of Thomas Hunt? A. A boy came up to me and told me that Officer Larkin wished to see me down the street; I was about a block away at the time; at the time the boy came up to me; as soon as I come to it I saw - I was at the corner of White and Baxter Streets about two blocks away; when I came down there I saw the deceased; I asked him, "Tom, who done this?" The first name he mentioned was "Hate", I said, "Why don't you tell me," I said, "Tell me who done it". He mentioned afterwards Dougherty, and he vomited up on the sidewalk, he was bleeding.

Q. Who else was there, if you know, besides Officer Larkin? A. I don't know his first name, but I believe it is Harrington or "Dutch" Harrington they call him.

Q Did you see the other Harrington there? A. No, sir.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Q Was that all the answer he made to your question, Kate and Dougherty? A. That is all he made; there was a clergyman that came up on the ambulance, he happened to see it passing and jumped on it, he said, is there anything serious, the doctor said, no, no, it is nothing more than a scalp wound; the clergyman said it is all right, and he went away. He used the words Dougherty, Dougherty; I inquired who Dougherty was, I heard he was a friend of his, and this man Dennis Harrington had a handkerchief to the back of his head, on a wooden stoop there at No. 41 Baxter Street.

Q Did you see Sullivan in the neighborhood at the time? A. No, sir.

By Mr. Oliver:-

Q Harrington was doing all he could to relieve him of pain, as far as you could see? A. Yes, sir; he was holding the handkerchief to the wound.

Q Like one trying to do a service to a man? A. Yes, sir; that is all I am saying, he was holding it there, he was not trying to injure him, that is sure.

By the Coroner:-

Q You didn't see the prisoner in the neighborhood that day at all, did you? A. No, sir; the first word was he called for Kate; that was his wife; I knew his wife's name is Kate; I knew his pedigree, I knew him for years; I didn't - he didn't say more than that to me; he gave me no satisfactory answer; what information I got was through

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Harrington here, and what I knew myself.

By Mr. Friend:-

Q You asked him to tell you who committed this assault?

A. Yes, sir.

Q In answer to that he said Kate? A. Yes, sir.

Q You said, "Now tell me, it was not a woman that did this to you?" A. I don't remember saying anything about a woman, I said, "Why don't you answer me, Tom."

Q That is about the substance of what you asked him?

A. Yes, sir.

Q And in answer to that he said Dougherty? A. I was saying Tom, answer me, and he commenced hollering for Kate or Dougherty.

Q Let us get that straight, in answer to your first question he said Kate? A. Yes, sir.

Q You said, this was not committed by a woman?

A. No, sir.

Q You heard Officer Larkin say that in your presence?

A. Yes, sir.

Q You heard him say that? A. Yes, sir.

Q Then again the question was asked? A. Yes, sir.

Q And he then said Dougherty, didn't he? A. The answer he made was Dougherty; I don't know whether he was under the influence of liquor; I couldn't say that; I couldn't say whether he was stupid from liquor or from the injuries received.

By a Juror:-

Q Was he stupid at the time you were there?

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

A. Yes, sir; he didn't seem to have his full senses, I don't know whether it was from the injuries or from lqiuor; he was sitting on a stoop at the time, we did not try to make him stand up; I don't know whether he was able to stand up or not; he walked with support to the ambulance.

Q How far did he walk? A. About six feet or so; I think it was officer Larkin that supported him; I cannot remember who supported him because I was making the report to the station house; I seen him lifted however; he had to be taken from the stoop by support; that is all I can remember; I can remember I wrote down that he was taken away.

Q You tell the Jury that is all you know about the case? A. Yes, sir.

By Mr. Oliver:-

Q Did Mr. Harrington assist him to the ambulance?

A. I couldn't tell you.

Q Try and remember? A. I couldn't tell you; as soon as I seen the ambulance I asked the doctor was he going to take him away; he said yes, then I took out my pad and wrote it down; then I left the remainder of the case to Officer Larkin.

Q Do you recollect Officer Larkin and Harrington lift him in the ambulance, did you assist? A. I didn't assist.

Q You were taking down these little details? A. All the details were the man's pedigree, time, place and occurrence.

----------oOo----------

0114

PAGE(S) ARE MISSING

DOCUMENTS FILMED AS FOUND

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

had scanned the faces of the fifteen men? A. Yes.

Q Then you spoke to somebody? A. I talked right out.

Q You said, " I don't think he is here?" A. "I don 't think the man is here" that is what I said.

Q That was after you had carefully gone over the men twice? A. Yes, sir.

Q You went behind also to get a view of their back?

A. No sir.

Q You walked in front of the fifteen men, and looked each one in the face? A. Yes, sir.

Q And after that you conscientously believed that the man was not there? and so stated? A. I stated that, yes, sir.

Q You believed it? A. I don't know what my belief was at the moment -- he may have been there and through some change I would not recognize him.

Q But the way you felt you didn't believe he was in that line? A. I believe it they had the right man there from their statement I believed they had the right man.

Q From their statement? A. Yes.

Q From whose statement? A. From the statement of Detective Price.

Q That statement was made to you where? A. At my store.

Q In the morning, was it not? A. It was in the morning

Q About what time? A. About 9 o'clock or later than that.

Q Do you remember he came to your place about 9 or 10 o'clock? A. Yes, sir.

6

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Q What time did you go to the station house? A. About two o'clock.

Q Did Officer Price spend much time in your store?

A. No, sir.

Q Did he meet you before he went into the station house, a little while before? A. No, sir.

Q What did Detective Price say to you? A. He told me to take a seat in the Captain's room and after a while I was called into the back room.

Q He made certain statements to you and from those statements you believed he was the right man? A. That was the only statement.

Q What was that? A. I saw this thing happening -

Q You don't understand me.

Witness: Detective Price said to me you gave the officer your address, you saw this thing happening there and we have arrested the men who have committed the deed and we think we have arrested the man and we want you there at two o'clock.

Q Did he say we think we have arrested the man that committed the deed or we have arrested the man that committed the deed? A. If I remember it right I think he said he thinks he has got the right man.

Q You have just testified a few moments ago you believe it from the statement of Officer Price made to you that they had the right man if it was the question in the mind of Officer Price from what Mr. Price said to you you believe it that they had the right man? A. I thought they probably knew the whole circumstances, the reason the murder

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

was committed and everything I thought they knew some motive for it.

Q After you had failed to pick out anybody and had made the statement that you didn't think the man that you looked for was in that line, what occurred? A. I went to where he was standing -

Q You had a conversation then? A. No conversation.

Q Didn't you talk with Officer Price? A. No, sir; I talked right out.

Q Did they say look again? A. No, sir.

Q You went there and said what? A. This looks very much like the man.

Q You never said it was the man? A. No, sir.

Q And you won't be positive even at that time that it was the man now? A. No, sir; I wouldn't be psoitive.

Q There is doubt in your mind at the present time that this is the man? A. That I wouldn't say.

By the Coroner:-

Q Do you really think now that this is the man you saw running away? A. I couldn't answer that question.

Q While you were in the captain's room did you see any of the men? A. No, sir, the door was locked.

Q And when you went into the room they were in line?

A. Yes, sir.

Q And you said what? A. "I don't think the man is there."

Q You said that loud not addressing it particularly to any person? A. No, sir.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Q They didn't post you in any shape or form?

A. No, sir.

Q And you said in words loud enough to be heard by every person in the room that you didn't think the man was there? A. I said he looks very much like the man.

Q Did you put your hand on the man? A. Yes, sir; I made a direct walk for this man here before I left the room and I went up to that man and put my hand on him and said he looked very much like this man that I saw commit the assault.

Q But still you were not positive? A. No, sir.

By a Juror:-

Q You passed the man twice and you went back and put your hand on him? A. Yes, sir.

Q What caused you to do that? A. Whether the manwas changed, that is why I didn't recognize him - I don't know whether that was the case or not; I said I don't think the man was there and I said I thought he looked very much like this man.

By the Coroner:-

Q Tell the Jury now whether you really believe now that he was the man that assaulted the deceased? A. I wouldn't want to say it because it is very serious; I couldn't positively say.

By a Juror:-

Q Upon what ground did you put your hand on the man?

A. Because he looked very much like the man.

----------oOo----------

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Dr. PHILIP E. DONLIN, duly sworn.

On December 9th, 1891, I made a post mortem examination of the body of Thomas Hunt at the Chambers Street Hospital. There was a discoloration over the right eye, and an abrasion of the upper lid of right eye, one half inch long; another abrasion under the right eye one and a half inch long and three fourths of an inch wide; the abrasion was one inch under the right eye, and extended two thirds of an inch from the right claw of the nose. There was a wound on the back of the head two inches in length approximated by sutures, it commenced one and one half inches from the medium line on the right side and extended to within one inch of the occipital protuberance. There was a bilateral fracture of the lower jaw. There was a contused wound over the upper part of the sternum about two inches in circumference with extravasation of blood beneath. The whole back of the head beneath the scalp from vortex to one inch below the occipital protuberance was one mass of extravasated blood, more marked on the left than the right side. There was an extensive hemorrhage beneath the dura mater involving the whole right hemisphere of the cerebrum. There was extra dural hemorrhage on the left side on the posterior fosa and there was a fracture of the base of the skull commencing one and one half inches to the left of the parital suture and one and one half inches above the occipital parital suture, extending across the middle fossa to the left side of the sella turcica. There was laceration of the anterior and temporal convolutions on the right side. The vessels of left lateral ventrical were engorged; the laceration of left hemisphere extended to the fissure of sejhrus

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

There were old pleuritic adhesions on left side of thorax and lung was evidently adherent; both lungs were congested but normal. Heart hypertrophied. Liver, fatty degeneration; kidneys showed fatty changes; stomach congested. Death was due to shock from facture of the base of the skull

By a Juror:

Q Was there any sign on that body, that the deceased was under the influence of liquor at the time? A. Yes, sir, congestion of the stomach.

Q You think his death was caused by the blows?

A By the fracture of the base of the skull.

By Mr. Friend:

Q I understand you to say, where the stomach is congested, it is a certain evidence of intoxication? A. It is a good evidence; I did't take that alone, I took the condition of the stomach and liver, and know he was a chronic drinker.

Q A man under the influence of liquor could receive wounds, similar to the wounds upon the skull and the fall caused this death as testified to, from the fall? A. This wound in the back of the head, could have been made by a fall, the other wounds described were not probably made by a fall.

Q You ascribe the cause of death, a fracture of the skull from the fall? A. Yes, sir.

Q Particularly when a man is under the influence of liquor?

A I can answer the simple question, that a fracture of the base of the skull could be caused by a fall.

Q And more than likely if a man was under the influence of liquor, he would be more or less helpless, and more likely to fall?

A. Yes.

----------000----------

JOHN BUZZI, duly sworn:-

By the Coroner:

Q Where do you live? A. No. 40 Baxter Street.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Q What is your business? A Bootblack in the 23rd., Precinct.

Q Did you see any trouble on Baxter Street about half past two on December 8th., between any Parties?

A I was in the house eating my dinner at three o'clock, about ten minutes to three, I heard a lady scream, I opened the window, and I seen this Hunt lying in the gutter, his feet was in the gutter, and his head towards the other gutter he lay there with his feet in the gutter, and his head towards the other gutter, I looked up, I seen a man running one way, and the other running the other way.

Q Before you saw this man running, did you see anybody standing near Hunt, and strike him or kick him?

A No sir; I seen one man running towards Bayard Street, and one man running up Baxter Street towards Worth. One man looked like this fellow, and the other man was that man down there, LeVine.

Q Whom do you mean by saying this fellow?

A Dennis Sullivam.

Q In what direction was Sullivan running?

A Towards Bayard Street.

Q Are you sure it was Sullivan running?

A I am not sure, but it look ed like him, I could not exactly see his face-- he stopped and ran again.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Q How long do you know Sullivan? A I am not acquainted with him much, I know him by eye sight; by seeing him around.

Q Do you know who was Dennis Sullivan?

A I know they call him "barber" I know that.

Q But you are not positive that he was the man that ran away? A No sir.

Q You said now, you could not say positively whether this was the man or not? A No sir; I would not swear at al.

By a Juror:

Q In what way do you think it was the man?

A It looked like him in the way he was running.

Q The man you saw running away on the 9th December, did he have anything in his mouth? A Yes sir.

Q When he was running away? A Yes sir.

Q Whom did you identify in the 6th. Precinct Station House the next day? A This man here, Dennis Sullivan; I could not swear it was him; I knew the man around there for years.

Q From the resemblance of this man? A I picked this man out, as the man I seen run.

Q You won't swear positively? A No sir/

By The Coroner:

Q Are you positive about LeVine? A I knew him by the light coat he had on.

By A Juror:

Q Did this man, Sullivan, in the Station House have the same clothes on? A I could not tell you.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Q You did see the coat LeVine had on?

A Yes sir; he had a white coat on, if you had a white handkerchief around your neck , I would know it; I was cooking some meat at the time-- when I came down on the sidewalk the man was in the ambulance.

Q When you were sent for in the Station House the next day, did you have any hesitation in picking the man out?

A No sir.

Q Did anybody prompt you or point him out to you?

A. No, sir.

Q Were you allowed to go in there of your own free will?

A. Yes, sir.

Q Without any suggestions from anybody else? A. No, sir.

Q And you selected this man as the man you saw running away? A. Yes, sir.

By Mr. Friend:-

Q You never have been positive that this is the man at all? A. No, sir.

Q You simply picked him out because he looked something like the man you saw running? A. Yes, sir.

Q You were up the fourth story of a tenement house?

A. Yes, sir.

Q And this took place on the opposite side of the street?

A. Yes, sir.

Q Near what street do you reside on Baxter Street?

A. Near Leonard Street.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Q But the man he ran not in your direction but in the opposite direction? A. Yes, sir; in the opposite direction.

Q All you did see of the man that looked something like this defendant was his back? A. Yes, sir.

Q But Mr. Levine his face was turned towards you?

A. It was this way; Levine was right opposite me, I could see him and he had a light coat. I told him aint you the man I seen there; he said yes; I have seen Levine before that in the shoe makers.

Q On the opposite side of the street going towards Bayard Street there are several stores there, are there not?

A. They are not many stores there, there isa laundry there, first a cigar store and liquor store.

Q There is an awning there, isn't that true? A. Yes, sir.

Q Looking from the fourth story down to the sidewalk upon which that man whose back you only have seen was these awnings did to some extent break the view? A. I couldn't swear to that.

Q Is it not true also that there are wagons standing there? A. There were lots of wagons there at the time.

Q As a matter of fact is it not true that it would be almost an impossibility for a person to psoitively identify a man from the position you were at the window?

A. It is pretty hard.

Q And you won't swear positively that it is the man?

A. No, sir; I would not.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

By the Coroner:-

Q Did he turn around after he ran away? A. He partly turned around; I seen the pipe; he was not running fast, very easy.

Q You seen the pipe in his mouth? A. Yes.

Q You are positive the man you saw running away had a pipe in his mouth? A. Yes, sir.

Q Of that you are positive? A. Yes, sir.

----------oOo----------

JOHN BUZZI, duly sworn:-to interpret the testimony of the following witness:

AUGUSTINA BARDELLA, duly sworn:-

By the Coroner:-

Q Where do you live? A. No. 40 Baxter Street.

Q Did you see the trouble that occurred opposite the house 41 Baxter Street? A. I was in the house and seen one man give three kicks at this man lying in the street.

Q Who is the man that you saw ~~got~~ get three kicks to the man lying in the street? A. I don't know.

Q Could you identify the man that you saw kicking the man in the street? A. No, sir.

Q Did you see anybody running away? A. No, sir.

Qn You saw the man lying on the street, did you?

A. I saw him lying in the street.

Q Did you see anybody kicking him? A. I saw one man kicking him.

Q Could you identify the man that was kicking him?

A. No, sir.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Q Have you any reason to conceal anything you know about the case - has anybody been talking to you about the case?

A. I seen this officer, he came up into my room.

Q Did you make a statement to Officer O'Rourke?

A. No, sir.

Q Did you tell Officer O'Rourke what you knew about the case? A. I heard a lady scream and saw a man lying in the street, that is all I know about it. I told him that I heard the lady scream and saw a man lying in the street. That is all I told Officer O'Rourke.

Mr. Friend: I ask your honor to charge the Jury to exonerate this defendant as there is not a particle of evidence here that would implicate him.

The Coroner: You desire to put your client upon the stand?

Mr. Friend: No, sir; under the circumstances I will not put my client upon the stand.

Mr. Oliver: I have nothing to say, but I ask your Honor to charge the Jury to exonerate the prisoners upon the evidence given here.

----------oOo----------

VERDICT: We find that Thomas Hunt came to his death at Chmabers Street Hospital on December 9th, 1891, 12.20, A. M., from a fracture of the base of the skull, which injuries he received on December 8th, 1891, about three o'clock, P. M., opposite No. 41 Baxter Street, and we find that Dennis J. Sullivan was instrumental in causing the death of the deceased, and we fail to find anything against Michael Harrington and Dennis Harrington and we exonerate them from all blame in the matter.

----------oOo----------

POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAL

TESTIMONY.

Philip E. Dunn M.D. being sworn says on Dec 7. 1891 I made a post mortem examination of the body of Thomas Hunt at the Chambers St Hospital and found

A discoloration over right eye and an abrasion of the upper lid of right eye one half inch long. Another abrasion ~~over the~~ of the right eye 1½ inch long & ¾ inch wide. ~~This~~ another abrasion was one inch under the right eye & extended to ⅔ of an inch from the right side of the nose.

There was a wound of the back of the head two inches in length approximated by sutures it commenced 1½ inches from the median line on the right side & extended to within one inch of the occipital protuberance. There was a bilateral fracture of the lower jaw. (both sides fractured)

There was a contused wound over the upper part of the sternum about 2 inches in circumference with extravasation of blood beneath. The whole back of the head beneath the scalp from ear to ear which below the occipital protuberance was one mass of extravasated blood more marked on the left than the right side.

There was an extensive haemorrhage beneath the dura mater involving the whole right hemisphere of the cerebrum.

There was extensive haemorrhage on the left side in the posterior fossa and there was a fracture of the base of the skull commencing 1½ inches to the left of the parietal suture and 1½ inches above the occipital parietal suture extending across the middle fossa to the left side of the Sella turcica.

Sworn to before me,

this day of 189

CORONER.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0128

TESTIMONY.

M. D., being duly sworn, says:

I have made of the body of now lying dead at and from such and history of the case, as per testimony, I am of opinion the cause of death is

There was laceration of the anterior & temporal convolutions on right side
The vessels inflow of left lateral sinus were congested. The laceration of left hemisphere extended to the posterior fossa
There was old plumbar adhesions on left side of thorax and lung was ~~but~~ evidently adherent
The lungs congested but normal
Heart Hypertrophied Valve intact insufficient
Liver fatty degeneration
Kidneys showed fatty changes
Stomach congested
Death was due to shock from fracture of the base of the skull

P E Demler

M. D.

Sworn to before me,
this 9 day of Dec 189
Daniel O'Leary CORONER.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0129

MEMORANDA.

AGE			PLACE OF NATIVITY	WHERE FOUND	Date When Reported
83 Years	Months	Days	US	Chambers St Hosp	Dec 9/91

No 1406. 2d-ar
ad Dec 8. 2.50 PM
died Dec 9. 12.20 am

agent

Fracture fossa bac: w/o gran rupt
Relatives Rockaway
or Rochester

Cause
Frac base

Cert by Dr Leigh med
exam: Cor Boyle &
Inquest. Dec. 8/91

D. H.

No. _____

Dun.

189

AN INQUISITION

On the VIEW of the BODY of

Unknown Male

whereby it is found that he came to
h. death by

Inquest taken on the 16 day
of Dec 1891 before

DANIEL HANLY, Coroner.

862

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Coroner's Office,

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Dennis J. Sullivan being duly examined before the undersigned, according to law, on the annexed charge, and being informed that he was at liberty to answer or not, all or any questions put to him, states as follows, viz.:

Question—What is your name?

Answer – Dennis J. Sullivan

Question—How old are you?

Answer— Twenty-five years

Question—Where were you born?

Answer— New York City

Question—Where do you live?

Answer— 91 Baxter

Question—What is your occupation?

Answer— Brass Moulder

Question—Have you anything to say, and if so, what, relative to the charge here preferred against you?

By advice of Counsel I have nothing to say at this time.

Dennis J. Sullivan

Taken before me, this 16 *day of* Dec 1891

Daniel Hanly CORONER

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

MEMORANDA.

AGE			PLACE OF NATIVITY	WHERE FOUND	DATE When Reported
Years	Months	Days			
33			U. S.	Chambers St Hosp	Dec 9. 91.

Witnesses;

Bernard Larkin
Frank Nugent } 6th Prect.
Harry Levrie 53 E 107
Dr Philip Donlin Chambers St Hospital
John Buzzi 40 Baxter St
Augustina Gardella 40 Baxter St

4th B.O. 176
Quar Sess. 1891

HOMICIDE.

AN INQUISITION. 176

On the VIEW *of the* BODY *of*

Thomas Hunt

whereby it is found that he came to his Death by the hands of

Dennis J. Sullivan

Inquest taken on the 16th *day of* December 1891

before

Daniel Hardy **Coroner.**

Committed

Bailed

Discharged

RECEIVED. FEB 12 1892 DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

Date of death

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

(522)

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

Dennis J. Sullivan

THE GRAND JURY OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, by this indictment, accuse Dennis J. Sullivan

of the crime of Manslaughter in the second degree,

committed as follows:

The said Dennis J. Sullivan,

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the eighth day of December, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-one, at the City and County aforesaid, in and upon one Thomas Hunt then and there being, wilfully and feloniously did make an assault, and then and there wilfully and feloniously did with great force and violence push, cast and

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

throw him the said Thomas Hunt down into and upon the ground there, and then and there wilfully and feloniously did with great force and violence, kick, strike, beat and wound him the said Thomas Hunt, in and upon his head, with the hands and feet of him the said Dennis J. Sullivan, giving unto him the said Thomas Hunt, then and there, as well by so pushing, casting and throwing him down unto and upon the ground there as aforesaid, as also by so kicking, striking, beating and wounding him, in the manner aforesaid, in and upon the head of him the said Thomas Hunt, one mortal wound and fracture, of which said mortal wound and fracture he the said Thomas Hunt from the said eighth day of December in the year aforesaid, until the ninth day of December, in the same year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, did languish, and languishing did lie, on which said ninth day of December, in the year aforesaid he the said Thomas Hunt, at the City and County aforesaid,

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

of the said mortal wound and fracture, died.

And so the Grand Jury aforesaid do say, that the said Dennis J. Sullivan, him the said Thomas Hunt, in the manner and form, and by the means aforesaid, wilfully and feloniously did kill and slay; against the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York, and their dignity.

De Lancey Nicoll,

District attorney.

0135

BOX:
470

FOLDER:
4306

DESCRIPTION:
Sullivan, Michael

DATE:
02/15/92

4306

0136

BOX:
470

FOLDER:
4306

DESCRIPTION:
Lynch, Thomas F.

DATE:
02/15/92

4306

0137

BOX:
470

FOLDER:
4306

DESCRIPTION:
Lynch, Thomas F.

DATE:
02/15/92

4306

188. J.B.N.

Witnesses:

Offa Beckwell

Counsel, M.M.O.

Filed 15 day of Feby 189 2

Pleads, Not guilty 16

14 456 114

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Michael Sullivan

and

Thomas F. Lynch

Burglary in the Third Degree.

[Section 498, Penal Code.]

2 20 3 to 4323.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,

District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

Henry S. Harrison

Feby 19/92

Foreman.

Both Alex Bangsley

Both Elmira Ref.

R.B.M.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

8610

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Police Court—5 District.

City and County of New York, } ss.:

Henry Fried

of No. 67 E. 130th Street, aged 41 years,

occupation Barber being duly sworn

deposes and says, that the premises No 2323 3d avenue ~~Street~~

in the City and County aforesaid, the said being a four story brick building

and which was occupied by deponent as a barber shop and cigar store

~~and in which there was at the time a human being, by name~~

were BURGLARIOUSLY entered by means of forcibly breaking open the door ~~the~~ leading from the hallway on the 1st floor of said premises into deponents store. and entering said store with the intent to commit a felony

on the 31st day of January 1892 in the night time, and the following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz:

Five hundred cigars in boxes of fifty and twenty five. one Valise sixteen story books. thirteen pennies twenty razors. and three tiles of cosmetic one pocket knife. all of the value of about seventy dollars.

the property of deponent and John Gunger. and all in deponents care and custody

and deponent further says, that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid BURGLARY was committed and the aforesaid property taken, stolen, and carried away by Michael Sullivan. and Thomas Lynch (both now here).

for the reasons following, to wit: that at the hour of 11.30 o'clock P.M. January 30th 1892 said shop was securely locked and fastened and closed for the night. and at that time all of said property was in said shop. and on the following morning January 31st at 7.30 o'clock said shop was discovered to have been entered as aforesaid. and that

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

said property was missing from said shop.
Deponent is informed by Officer George Bicknell of the 29th Precinct Police that at about the hour of 2.30 o'clock A.M. Feby 9. 1892. he arrested these defendants together and in company with each other in the act of attempting to burglarize a house No 201 & 127 st. and in searching the defendants he found a razor in the possession of each of these defendants. and that the defendant Lynch admitted to him the officer that he and Sullivan had entered this barber shop and stole said property
Deponent further says that said razors so found with these defendants are the property of his workmen.
Wherefore deponent charges these defendants with being together and acting in concert with each other and burglariously entering said premises as aforesaid and stealing said property. Thereupon I, Fried

Sworn to before me
this 4th day of Feby 1892

Police Justice

Police Court ____ District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

vs.

Burglary ——— Degree.

Dated ____ 188

Magistrate.
Officer.
Clerk.

Wm Peck

Witnesses:

Committed in default of $ ____ Bail.

Bailed by ____

No. ____ Street.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

1877.

George Bickwell

aged ..... years, occupation Police Officer of No. 29th Precinct Police Street, being duly sworn, deposes and says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Henry Friel and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own knowledge.

Sworn to before me, this 4 *day of* July 1894

George Bickwell

Wm Divver

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0142

Sec. 193—200.

51 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK } ss.

Michael Sullivan being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is h right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h; that the statement is designed to enable h if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiver cannot be used against h on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Michael Sullivan

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 19 years old

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York City

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 245 E. 114th St 1 year

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Plumber's helper

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer.

I am not guilty.

Michael Sullivan

Taken before me this day of 1889

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0143

Sec. 198—200.

5

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Thomas F. Lynch being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Thomas F. Lynch

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 20 years old

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 2323 B. ave. Bwn

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Expressman

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer.

I am guilty

Thomas F. Lynch

Taken before me this 6 *day of* May 1888

Mott Fields

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0144

P172

163

Police Court--- 5 District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Henry Fried
67 Ludlow St.
Michael Sullivan
Thomas Lynch

3.
4.

Offence Burglary

Dated Feby 4 1892

Geo Richards Magistrate.
25 Officer.
Geo Richards Precinct.
Witnesses
No. 29 Pearl Street Street.

No. Street.
No. Street.
$2500 Each to answer
34 Corn Street.
Gen G. Am. Pr
2500 Bail

RECEIVED FEB 11 1892 DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Michael Sullivan and Thomas Lynch *guilty thereof, I order that* [he] *be held to answer the same and* [he] *be admitted to bail in the sum of* Twenty Hundred *Dollars,* *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until* [he] *give such bail.*

Dated Feb 4 *18* 92 Wm Welde *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated *18* *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named
guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order h *to be discharged.*

Dated *18* *Police Justice.*

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Thos. F. Lynch
Born Newyork
Occpt No
Married No
Single Yes
Residence 2223 3d av city
Parents No

Michael Sullivan
Born New York
Occpt No
Married No
Single Yes
Residence 245 E. 114th st city
Parents No

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Michael Sullivan
and
Thomas F. Lynch

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse Michael Sullivan & Thomas F. Lynch of the crime of attempting to commit ~~of~~ the CRIME OF BURGLARY IN THE THIRD DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said Michael Sullivan and Thomas F. Lynch, both —

late of the 12th Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the third day of February in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-two in the night-time of the same day, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, a certain building there situate, to wit, the Saloon of one Henry Thramann —

there situate, feloniously and burglariously did attempt to break into and enter, with intent to commit some crime therein, to wit: with intent the goods, chattels and personal property of the said Henry Thramann ~~in~~ the said Saloon — then and there being, then and there feloniously and burglariously to steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

De Lancey Nicoll,
District Attorney.

Witnesses:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Counsel, 189.

Filed day of 189

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Michael Sullivan

and

Thomas J. Lynch

Burglary in the Third Degree.
[Section 498, Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

Foreman.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

1448

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Police Court— 5 —District.

City and County of New York, } ss.:

Henry Shramann

of No. 201 E. 127th Street, aged 47 years,

occupation Saloon Keeper being duly sworn

deposes and says, that the premises No 201. E. 127th Street,

in the City and County aforesaid, the said being a Four Story Brick Dwelling house

and which was occupied by deponent as a Saloon

~~and in which there was at the time a human being, by name~~

were BURGLARIOUSLY attempted to be entered by means of forcibly prying open the door leading from the hallway on 1st floor of said premises into the vending room of said saloon with a chisel and attempting to enter said saloon with the intent to commit a felony

on the 3d day of February 1892 in the Night time, ~~and the following property feloniously taken, stolen, and carried away, viz:~~

~~the property of~~

and deponent further says that he has great cause to believe, and does believe, that the aforesaid BURGLARY was attempted to be committed ~~and the aforesaid property taken, stolen, and carried away~~ by Michael Sullivan. and Thomas Lynch. (both now here)

for the reasons following, to wit: that at the hour of 1 Oclock A.M. on said date deponent securely locked and fastened the doors and windows of said saloon and closed said saloon for the night. Deponent is informed by Officer George Bichnell of the 29th Precinct Police. that at about the hour of 2.40 Oclock A.M. on said date he heard

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

a noise in the hallway of said premises and after listening for some time he the officer saw the defendant Sullivan poke his head out of the doorway of said premises and that he placed him under arrest and then in searching the premises he the officer found the defendant Lynch in the cellar of said premises and placed him under arrest and in searching the defendants he the officer found in the possession of Lynch a mask a loaded revolving pistol and a razor and in the possession of Sullivan a loaded revolving pistol and a razor. Deponent further says that he found the marks of a chisel on said door and that a chisel was found in the cellar of said premises where the defendant Lynch was arrested.

Wherefore deponent charges the said defendants and each of them with being together and acting in concert with each other and attempting to burglariously enter said premises with the intent to commit a crime.

Sworn to before me this 4th day of Feby 1892 } Henry Shannon

Police Court _____ District.

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF
vs.

Burglary ——— Degree.

Police Justice

Dated _____ 88

Magistrate. Officer. Clerk.

Witnesses:

Committed in default of $ _____ Bail.

Bailed by _____

No. _____ Street.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

1877.

George Bickwell

aged ..... years, occupation Police Officer of No. 29 Prince Street, being duly sworn, deposes and says, that he has heard read the foregoing affidavit of Henry Thurman and that the facts stated therein on information of deponent are true of deponent's own knowledge.

George Bickwell

Sworn to before me, this 4 *day of* May *189* 81

Wm Welch

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Sec. 198—200.

51 District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Michael Sullivan being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is h right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h; that the statement is designed to enable h if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiver cannot be used against h on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Michael Sullivan

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 19 years old

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York City

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 245 E 114th St 1 year

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Plumbers helper

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer.

I am not guilty.

Michael Sullivan

Taken before me this 13 *day of* May 1887

[illegible]

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0152

Sec. 198—200.

5

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Thomas L. Lynch being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Thomas L. Lynch

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 40 years old

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. New York

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 1323 Pacific Ave.

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Expressman

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer.

I am not guilty

Thomas L. Lynch

Taken before me this 6 *day of* May 1886

[illegible]

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0153

P 173

163

Police Court 5 **District.**

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

Thos. Shannon
No. 20 Ro. E. 27 St.
Michael Sullivan
Simon Lynch

Offence Burglary

BAILED,

No. 1, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

No. 2, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

No. 3, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

No. 4, by .....

Residence ..... Street.

3 .....

4 .....

Dated Feb 4 1892

Lewis ..... Magistrate.

Geo Dolchell ..... Officer.

25 ..... Precinct.

Witnesses Geo Dolchell

No. 25 Rockaway Pkwy ..... Street.

No. ..... Street.

No. ..... Street.

RECEIVED FEB 11 1892 DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

$2500 Cash or Bond ..... Sureties.

Fri 9. Dec 8

Dec 2.00

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Michael Sullivan and Simon Lynch *guilty thereof, I order that* he *be held to answer the same and* he *be admitted to bail in the sum of* Twenty five *Hundred Dollars,* ..... *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until* he *give such bail.*

Dated ..... *18* ..... *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named .....
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated ..... *18* ..... *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named .....
..... *guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order* he *to be discharged.*

Dated ..... *18* ..... *Police Justice.*

ORIGINAL

Telephone Call, 271 Harlem.

OFFICE OF

WM. TUBRIDY,

PLUMBER AND GAS FITTER,

167 EAST 121st STREET.

New York, January 8 1892

To whom it may concern:

This is to certify that the bearer, Michael Sullivan, has worked for me as Helper, and has given Satisfaction.

William Tubridy

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
against
Michael Sullivan
and
Thomas F. Lynch

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse Michael Sullivan and Thomas F. Lynch

of the CRIME OF **BURGLARY IN THE THIRD DEGREE,** committed as follows:

The said Michael Sullivan and Thomas F. Lynch, both —

late of the 12th Ward of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the 31st day of January in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-two in the night-time of the same day, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, a certain building there situate, to wit, the Shop of one Henry Fried, —

there situate, feloniously and burglariously did break into and enter, with intent to commit some crime therein, to wit: with intent the goods, chattels and personal property of the said Henry Fried in the said Shop —

then and there being, then and there feloniously and burglariously to steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

SECOND COUNT—

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said Michael Sullivan and Thomas F. Lynch of the CRIME OF Grand LARCENY in the second degree, committed as follows: ~~The said~~ Michael Sullivan and Thomas F. Lynch, both late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid, in the night time of said day, with force and arms, five hundred segars of the value of five cents each, one watch of the value of five dollars, sixteen printed books of the value of one dollar each, twenty razors of the value of one dollar each, thirteen coins of the United States of America, of the kind called cents, of the value of one cent each, three pieces of cosmetic of the value of twenty-five cents each piece, and one pocket-knife of the value of one dollar

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Henry Fried

in the shop of the said Henry Fried

there situate, then and there being found, in the shop aforesaid, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

THIRD COUNT:

And the Grand Jury aforesaid, by this indictment, further accuse the said Michael Sullivan and Thomas F. Lynch of the CRIME OF RECEIVING STOLEN GOODS, committed as follows:

The said Michael Sullivan and Thomas F. Lynch, both late of the Ward, City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: on the day and in the year aforesaid, with force and arms, at the Ward, City and County aforesaid,

the same goods, chattels and personal property described in the second count of this indictment

of the goods, chattels and personal property of Henry Fried

by a certain person or persons to the Grand Jury aforesaid unknown, then lately before feloniously stolen from the said Henry Fried

unlawfully and unjustly did feloniously receive and have; (the said Michael Sullivan and Thomas F. Lynch then and there well knowing the said goods, chattels and personal property to have been feloniously stolen, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

0158

BOX:
470

FOLDER:
4306

DESCRIPTION:
Sullivan, Thomas

DATE:
02/04/92

4306

61

Witnesses:

Walter Miller

Counsel,

Filed 4 day of Feby 1892

Pleads,

THE PEOPLE

vs.

Thomas Sullivan

Grand Larceny, Second Degree.
[Sections 528, 584, Penal Code.]

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

Henry S. Harrison
Foreman.

Feby 5/92

Glendon G. Hazley

S.P. 3 yrs - B.M.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

6519

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

(1365)

Police Court— 4th District.

Affidavit—Larceny.

City and County of New York, } ss.

Nathan Miller

of No. 525 1st Ave ~~Street~~, aged 29 years,

occupation Restaurant Keeper being duly sworn,

deposes and says, that on the 23rd day of January 1892 at the City of New York, in the County of New York, was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away from the possession of deponent, in the day time, the following property, viz:

One Gold watch and
One Gold Chain Valued at
Forty five dollars
$45 00/100

the property of Ethel Miller in the care of deponent

and that this deponent has a probable cause to ~~suspect~~ and does suspect, that the said property was feloniously taken, stolen and carried away by Thomas Ullivan (now here)

from the fact that defendant came into deponents place of business on said day that said property was on a table in said place. that deponent missed said property and upon said fact at the station house of the 21st precinct Officer Hauser arrested the defendant. That defendant told deponent he would return the property to deponent if he deponent would let up on him (defendant) that he gave deponent a pawn ticket for a watch that deponent has identified the watch pawned as the property of deponent stolen as aforesaid. Sworn in

Sworn to before me this day of 189 Police Justice

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

informed by Officer Mulcahey that the defendant told him he had found the chain to a young lady and that said Officer has shown defendant a chain which defendant has identified as the property of deponent.

Deponent therefore charges the defendant with having stolen said property and prays that he be held to answer.

Sworn to before me this 25th day of June 1895.

Nathan Miller

E. H. Glass

Police Justice

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0162

Sec. 198—200.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Thomas Sullivan being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is his right to make a statement in relation to the charge against him; that the statement is designed to enable him if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against him; that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that his waiver cannot be used against him on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Thomas Sullivan

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 20 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. U. S. New York

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 387 3rd Ave 1 year

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Driver

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer. I am Guilty

Thomas Sullivan

Taken before me this 25 *day of* March *1895*

Police Justice.

District Police Court.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

0163

104

Police Court---.....**District.**

THE PEOPLE, &c.,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

William Muller

No. 2 Dutch St

No. 3 Pulitzer

2.....

3.....

4.....

Offence Larceny

Dated January 25 1892

Justice Mullarky ..... Magistrate.

Officer.

Precinct.

Witnesses Officer Mullarky

No. 1 24 Precinct Street.

No.....Street.

No.....Street.

$ 500 to answer

RECEIVED JAN 28 1892 DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

BAILED,

No. 1, by.....

Residence.....Street.

No. 2, by.....

Residence.....Street.

No. 3, by.....

Residence.....Street.

No. 4, by.....

Residence.....Street.

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named .....

guilty thereof, I order that he be held to answer the same and he be admitted to bail in the sum of Five *Hundred Dollars,* ..... *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until he give such bail.*

Dated January 25 *189*2 ..... *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named .....

to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated ..... *18* ..... *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named .....

..... *guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order h to be discharged.*

Dated ..... *18* ..... *Police Justice.*

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

against

Thomas Sullivan

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse Thomas Sullivan of the CRIME OF GRAND LARCENY IN THE second DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said Thomas Sullivan

late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the 23rd day of January in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-two, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms,

one watch of the value of thirty dollars, and one chain of the value of fifteen dollars

of the goods, chattels and personal property of one Ethel Miller

then and there being found, then and there feloniously did steal, take and carry away, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

De Lancey Nicoll,
District Attorney

0166

BOX:
470

FOLDER:
4307

DESCRIPTION:
Tats, Leonard

DATE:
02/15/92

4307

0167

BOX:
470

FOLDER:
4307

DESCRIPTION:
Finch, John

DATE:
02/15/92

4307

Witnesses:

Patk O'Gerity
Mary Gerity
Mary Weinberg
Joseph Venn
Offin Gilligan

Pon III
Feb 19 1892. No 2 herein having pleaded to assault in the 2d degree. I recommend that No 1 be discharged on his own recognizance as the evidence against him is not sufficient to secure a conviction for any degree of assault

A. A. Vaedong
Deputy

Counsel,

Filed 15 day of Feb 189 2

Pleads Not guilty

THE PEOPLE

vs.

No 1 Leonard Tate

2 No 2 and 3 Not guilty John Finch

Assault in the First Degree, Etc.
(Sections 217 and 218, Penal Code.)

DE LANCEY NICOLL,
District Attorney.

A TRUE BILL.

Foreman.

Part 3. February 19/92.
No 2 Pleads Assault 2d deg

S P 5 yrs

No 1 Discharged on his verbal recog. on motion of Dist Atty.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

8916

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Police Court— 2nd District.

City and County of New York, } ss.:

Patrick Gerrity

of No. 173 Thompson Street, aged 39 years,

occupation Janitor, being duly sworn

deposes and says, that on the 8th day of February 1882 at the City of New York, in the County of New York,

he was violently and feloniously ASSAULTED and BEATEN by

Leonard Tate and John Finch (both now here). and while acting in concert with each other, from the following facts to wit: That said defendant Finch struck deponent a blow on the head, with an Iron sash weight then and there held in his hand, cutting and wounding deponents head severely, and also struck deponent a blow on the hand with said sash weight. and that said defendant Tate attempted to cut and stab deponent with a Knife then and there held in his hand, and threatened and made the remark, he would cut deponents heart out.

with the felonious intent to take the life of deponent, or to do him grievous bodily harm; and without any justification on the part of the said assailant

Wherefore this deponent prays that the said assailant may be apprehended and bound to answer for the above assault, etc., and be dealt with according to law.

Sworn to before me, this 8th day of February 1882 } Patrick Gerrity

[illegible] Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Sec. 198—200.

2

District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

Lenard Tate being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is h right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h ; that the statement is designed to enable h if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h waiver cannot be used against h on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. Lenard Tate

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 22 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. U. S.

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. 176 - Thompson Street - 2 years -

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Cat Driver

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer.

I am not guilty -

Leonard Tate

Taken before me this [illegible] *day of* [illegible] 188[illegible]

[illegible]

Police Justice.

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

2

Sec. 198—200. District Police Court.

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK, } ss.

John Finch being duly examined before the undersigned according to law, on the annexed charge; and being informed that it is h— right to make a statement in relation to the charge against h—; that the statement is designed to enable h— if he see fit to answer the charge and explain the facts alleged against h— that he is at liberty to waive making a statement, and that h— waiver cannot be used against h— on the trial.

Question. What is your name?

Answer. John Finch

Question. How old are you?

Answer. 27 years

Question. Where were you born?

Answer. U. S.

Question. Where do you live, and how long have you resided there?

Answer. No 7-6-Avenue-3 months

Question. What is your business or profession?

Answer. Race Horses

Question. Give any explanation you may think proper of the circumstances appearing in the testimony against you, and state any facts which you think will tend to your exculpation?

Answer.

I am not guilty

John Finch

Taken before me this 9 day of May 1884

Police Justice.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE
ORIGINAL

0172

Police Court 2 District 169

THE PEOPLE, &c.,
ON THE COMPLAINT OF
Patrick Flynn
178 Franklin
Frank Cate
John Smith

Offence Assault
Felony

BAILED,

No. 1, by ..... Residence ..... Street.

No. 2, by ..... Residence ..... Street.

No. 3, by ..... Residence ..... Street.

No. 4, by ..... Residence ..... Street.

3 .....
4 .....

Dated February 9 1892

Flynn ..... Magistrate.
Sullivan ..... Officer.
15 ..... Precinct.

Witness Joseph Lynn
No. 166 Franklin Street.
Mary Kenney
No. 173 Franklin Street.
Mary Renburn
No. 74 Franklin Street.
$1000 to insure appearance

RECEIVED FEB 11 1892 DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

It appearing to me by the within depositions and statements that the crime therein mentioned has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named defendants

guilty thereof, I order that ~~he~~ *be held to answer the same and* ~~he~~ *be admitted to bail in the sum of* one *Hundred Dollars,* each bond *and be committed to the Warden and Keeper of the City Prison, of the City of New York, until* ~~he~~ *give such bail.*

Dated February 9 *18*92 ..... *Police Justice.*

I have admitted the above-named .....
to bail to answer by the undertaking hereto annexed.

Dated ..... *18* ..... *Police Justice.*

There being no sufficient cause to believe the within named .....
..... *guilty of the offence within mentioned. I order* h *to be discharged.*

Dated ..... *18* ..... *Police Justice.*

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

Court of General Sessions of the Peace

OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

against

Leonard Tate and John Finch

The Grand Jury of the City and County of New York, by this indictment, accuse Leonard Tate and John Finch of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE FIRST DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said Leonard Tate and John Finch, both late of the City of New York, in the County of New York aforesaid, on the eighth day of February in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-two, with force and arms, at the City and County aforesaid, in and upon the body of one Patrick Geraghty in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously did make an assault and him the said Patrick Geraghty with a certain iron cash weight which the said Leonard Tate and John Finch in their right hand then and there had and held, the same being a deadly and dangerous weapon, then and there wilfully and feloniously did strike, beat, ~~cut, stab~~ and wound, with intent him the said Patrick Geraghty thereby then and there feloniously and wilfully to kill, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.

SECOND COUNT—

AND THE GRAND JURY AFORESAID, by this indictment, further accuse the said Leonard Tate and John Finch of the CRIME OF ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE, committed as follows:

The said Leonard Tate and John Finch, both late of the City and County aforesaid, afterwards, to wit: On the day and in the year aforesaid, at the City and County aforesaid, with force and arms, in and upon the body of the said Patrick Geraghty in the peace of the said People then and there being, feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully make another assault, and him the said Patrick Geraghty with a certain iron cash weight which the said Leonard Tate and John Finch in their right hand then and there had and held, the same being a weapon and an instrument likely to produce grievous bodily harm, then and there feloniously did wilfully and wrongfully strike, beat, ~~cut, stab~~ and wound, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace of the People of the State of New York and their dignity.